

**Validation of IASI satellite ammonia observations at the pixel scale using in-situ vertical profiles**

Xuehui Guo<sup>1</sup>, Lieven Clarisse<sup>2</sup>, Rui Wang<sup>1</sup>, Martin Van Damme<sup>2</sup>, Simon Whitburn<sup>2</sup>, Pierre-François Coheur<sup>2</sup>, Cathy Clerbaux<sup>2,3</sup>, Bruno Franco<sup>2</sup>, Da Pan<sup>1</sup>, Levi M. Golston<sup>1,4†</sup>, Lars Wendt<sup>1,5</sup>, Kang Sun<sup>1,6†</sup>, Lei Tao<sup>1,7</sup>, David Miller<sup>1,8†</sup>, Tomas Mikoviny<sup>9,10,11†</sup>, Markus Müller<sup>12,13†</sup>, Armin Wisthaler<sup>12,11</sup>, Alexandra G. Tevlin<sup>14,15†</sup>, Jennifer G. Murphy<sup>14</sup>, John B. Nowak<sup>16,17†</sup>, Joseph R. Roscioli<sup>16</sup>, Rainer Volkamer<sup>18,19,20</sup>, Natalie Kille<sup>18,19,20</sup>, J. Andrew Neuman<sup>19,21</sup>, Scott J. Eilerman<sup>22</sup>, James H. Crawford<sup>17</sup>, Tara I. Yacovitch<sup>16</sup>, John D. Barrick<sup>17</sup>, Amy Jo Scarino<sup>17</sup>, and Mark A. Zondlo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

<sup>2</sup>Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Spectroscopy, Quantum Chemistry and Atmospheric Remote Sensing (SQUARES), Brussels, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>LATMOS/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, CNRS, Paris, France

<sup>4</sup>Atmospheric Science Branch, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA

<sup>5</sup>Hunterdon Central Regional High School, Flemington, NJ, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

<sup>7</sup>Princeton Institute for the Science and Technology of Materials, Princeton, NJ, USA

<sup>8</sup>Sonoma Technology, Inc., Washington, D.C., USA

<sup>9</sup>Chemistry and Dynamics Branch, Science Directorate, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

<sup>10</sup>Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

<sup>11</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

<sup>12</sup>Institute for Ion Physics and Applied Physics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>13</sup>Ionicon Analytik, Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>14</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>15</sup>Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>16</sup>Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA, USA

<sup>17</sup>NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

<sup>18</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>19</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>20</sup>Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>21</sup>NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory (CSL), Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>22</sup>Jupiter Intelligence, Boulder, Colorado 80302, USA

† - current affiliation

## **Contents of this file**

Tables S1 to S4

## **Introduction**

This SI provides more details on the comparison between IASI and in-situ columns in Colorado and California. Orthogonal regression was used for all the analyses as mentioned in the main text.

IASI	In-situ	IASI (cont'd)	In-situ (cont'd)
-1.90e15	8.87e15	2.32e15	3.11e15
1.04e15	1.56e16	3.25e15	2.44e15
1.51e16	5.04e15	1.74e16	5.62e15
7.57e15	2.33e16	1.68e16	1.49e16
6.52e15	4.44e15	3.21e16	2.26e16
1.40e16	1.00e16	3.61e15	1.29e16
5.51e15	6.52e15	2.57e15	2.43e15
8.36e15	8.23e15	2.43e15	3.05e15
7.49e15	5.74e15	8.16e15	9.29e15
1.70e16	7.70e15	6.45e15	1.86e15
1.02e16	1.11e16	1.81e16	4.79e15
4.80e15	1.09e16	6.12e15	6.23e15
9.11e15	5.70e15	5.91e15	2.16e15
9.46e15	2.09e16	3.16e15	1.62e15
1.68e16	4.04e15	4.37e15	6.86e15
1.05e16	1.49e16	3.68e15	2.34e14
2.45e16	4.10e16	1.05e16	3.17e15
7.23e15	5.57e14	2.05e15	1.07e15
9.10e15	2.25e16	7.44e15	1.82e15
1.41e16	2.41e16	4.98e15	4.41e15
4.05e15	3.27e15	2.99e15	8.26e14
6.67e15	9.77e15	3.42e15	2.47e15
1.51e16	2.04e16	8.63e14	1.98e15
5.03e15	2.43e15	1.62e16	4.67e15
2.84e16	3.23e16	-5.00e14	3.02e15
7.00e15	2.40e15	9.98e15	5.38e15
3.75e15	1.04e16	2.60e15	2.38e15
8.54e15	3.66e15	1.59e16	1.02e16
2.50e16	1.33e16	2.35e15	1.09e16
3.02e15	5.97e15	9.32e15	4.28e15

**Table S1.** Near real-time IASI product and corresponding in-situ columns in Colorado based on the  $\pm 15$  km and  $\pm 60$  min window and MLH assumption (N = 60). Unit: molecules  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ .

Temporal window		20 min			60 min			180 min		
Spatial window	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	
Slope	N/A	11 ±31	2.3 ±0.43	1.7 ±0.92	4.8 ±4.0	4.1 ±0.81	4.6 ±8.2	4.1 ±4.2	1.6 ±0.21	
Intercept	N/A	-1.6e17 ±5.8e17	-8.6e15 ±7.4e15	8.1e15 ±1.4e16	-6.6e16 ±7.8e16	-2.7e16 ±1.0e16	-6.0e16 ±1.6e17	-4.8e16 ±7.3e16	-8.5e15 ±4.3e15	
Correlation coefficient	N/A	0.15	0.70	0.67	0.37	0.56	0.27	0.29	0.65	
Number of datapoints	1	5	29	4	9	57	4	11	76	
IASI mean	5.4e16	3.3e16 ±2.0e16	2.4e16 ±2.3e16	3.0e16 ±1.7e16	2.6e16 ±1.7e16	2.1e16 ±2.4e16	3.0e16 ±1.7e16	2.3e16 ±1.7e16	1.9e16 ±2.3e16	
In-situ mean	1.8e16	1.9e16 ±9.5e15	1.4e16 ±1.3e16	1.3e16 ±1.2e16	1.9e16 ±8.0e15	1.2e16 ±9.6e15	1.9e16 ±9.8e15	1.7e16 ±9.9e15	1.7e16 ±1.7e16	
% difference	192	76	68	128	36	78	54	34	8.7	

**Table S2.** Orthogonal regression statistics between the reanalysis IASI product and the in-situ MLH assumption in California for all the spatiotemporal windows tested, including overlapping points.

Temporal window	20 min			60 min			180 min		
Spatial window	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	Within pixel	15 km	45 km
Slope	1.2 ±0.18	1.0 ±0.19	0.11 ±0.04	3.0 ±1.2	1.0 ±0.19	1.5 ±0.23	3.2 ±0.66	3.5 ±0.77	0.66 ±0.11
Intercept	-2.2e13 ±2.5e15	3.8e13 ±2.9e15	8.9e15 ±8.0e14	-1.0e16 ±8.7e15	1.3e15 ±1.9e15	-1.4e15 ±1.7e15	-7.6e15 ±4.5e15	-1.1e16 ±5.3e15	4.1e15 ±7.8e14
Correlation coefficient	0.88	0.74	0.22	0.45	0.57	0.39	0.65	0.44	0.35
Number of datapoints	12	23	151	26	63	240	33	84	248
IASI mean	1.2e16 ±1.2e16	1.2e16 ±1.1e16	1.0e16 ±8.6e15	1.1e16 ±9.2e15	1.0e16 ±8.4e15	8.5e15 ±7.9e15	1.2e16 ±1.0e16	1.1e16 ±1.0e16	7.9e15 ±6.9e15
In-situ mean	1.1e16 ±1.0e16	1.2e16 ±1.1e16	1.1e16 ±2.1e16	7.1e15 ±5.2e15	8.8e15 ±8.2e15	6.8e15 ±6.8e15	6.1e15 ±4.5e15	6.4e15 ±5.1e15	5.7e15 ±8.0e15
% difference	15	-0.14	-7.4	56	19	26	96	74	39

**Table S3.** Orthogonal regression statistics between the reanalysis IASI product and the in-situ MLH assumption in Colorado for all the spatiotemporal windows tested, including overlapping points.

Temporal window	20 min			60 min			180 min		
Spatial window	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	Within pixel	15 km	45 km	Within pixel	15 km	45 km
Slope	1.2 ±0.18	1.0 ±0.23	0.16 ±0.05	3.5 ±1.4	1.1 ±0.22	1.5 ±0.25	3.2 ±0.63	3.6 ±0.78	1.1 ±0.22
Intercept	2.9e14 ±2.6e15	5.1e14± 3.5e15	9.3e15 ±8.5e14	-1.1e16 ±9.7e15	1.7e15 ±2.2e15	-1.4e15 ±1.8e15	-6.3e15 ±4.0e15	-1.0e16 ±5.1e15	2.3e15 ±1.4e15
Correlation coefficient	0.89	0.68	0.28	0.45	0.54	0.37	0.67	0.46	0.30
Number of datapoints	12	22	151	25	60	243	32	80	258
IASI mean	1.3e16 ±1.2e16	1.3e16 ±1.1e16	1.1e16 ±9.0e15	1.1e16 ±1.0e16	1.1e16 ±8.7e15	9.0e15 ±8.1e15	1.2e16 ±1.0e16	1.2e16 ±1.0e16	8.8e15 ±8.6e15
In-situ mean	1.1e16 ±1.0e16	1.3e16 ±1.1e16	1.0e16 ±1.9e16	6.6e15 ±5.1e15	8.5e15 ±8.2e15	6.7e15 ±6.8e15	5.7e15 ±4.4e15	6.2e15 ±5.0e15	5.9e15 ±8.4e15
% difference	24	4.3	7.5	74	30	33	111	88	51

**Table S4.** Orthogonal regression statistics between the in-situ derived IASI product and the in-situ MLH assumption in Colorado for all the spatiotemporal windows tested, including overlapping points.