

EXPLORE MOON to MAR

Advanced and Additive Manufacturing Technologies for Liquid Rocket Engine Components

Paul Gradl National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Marshall Space Flight Center

> September 2020 AIAA Liquid Rocket Engine Overview Training

Overview of Advanced Manufacturing



EXPLOREMOON

- Overview of Liquid Rocket Engine Components
- Background and goals at NASA
- Materials for Liquid Rocket Engine Applications
 - Requirements for Materials
 - Environments operation and manufacturing
- Overview of Additive Manufacturing (AM) Technologies
 - Chambers
 - Nozzles
 - Injectors
 - Turbomachinery
- Focus of Techniques for Additive Manufacturing
 - Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)
 - Blown Powder Directed Energy Deposition
 - Laser Wire DED
 - Wire Arc Additive Manufacturing
 - Comparison
- Design Applications in AM
 - Why select one process over another?
 - Limitations
- AM Component Applications in liquid rocket engines
- Development and Testing
- New Developments
 - Multi-metallic
 - Performance Improvements
- Summary



Advanced and Additive Manufacturing being used across all components on liquid rocket engines

EXPLOREMOON









- Direct alignment with program and mission goals for exploration through high performance and cost reduction
 - Establish and evolve advanced manufacturing and additive supply chain for NASA projects
- Enable other NASA projects, government, commercial space, and industry partners
- Develop advanced applications and low technology readiness level (TRL) processes and materials for liquid rocket engines
- Complete material testing and characterization, application development, relevant testing in liquid rocket engine hot-fire environments
- Provide rigor and methodology for certification of additive processes
- Disseminate data through technical reports, conferences, and other publications to allow industry to further evolve and improve





- Metal Additive Manufacturing (AM) provides significant advantages for lead time and cost over traditional manufacturing
 - Lead times reduced by 2-10x and cost reduced by more than 50% depending on the part
- Complexity is inherent in liquid rocket engines and AM provides new design and performance opportunities
- Materials that are difficult to process using traditional techniques, long-lead, or not previously possible are now accessible using metal additive manufacturing



Additive Manufacturing for flight applications

EXPLOREMO





Successful hot-fire testing of full-scale Additive Manufacturing Part to be flown on NASA's Space Launch System (SLS) RS-25 Pogo Z-Baffle – Used existing design with additive manufacturing to reduce complexity from <u>127 welds to 4 welds</u>

6



- Additive Manufacturing process of joining materials to create objects from 3D model data
- This presentation will focus exclusively on <u>metals</u>
- Additive manufacturing is <u>not a solve-all</u>; consider trading with other manufacturing technologies and use <u>only</u> when it makes sense
- Complete understanding of design process, build-process, and postprocessing critical to take full advantage of AM
- Additive manufacturing takes practice!
- AM = Additive Manufacturing
- L-PBF = Laser Powder Bed Fusion
- DED = Directed Energy Deposition

Focus of Metal AM Technologies

EXPLORE MOON



*Does not include all metal AM processes



Trades and Selection of Metal AM Technologies







Materials for Liquid Rocket Engines







• In general, once AM processes are refined they can yield near wrought properties

EXPLOREMOO

- Material properties are highly dependent on the type of process (L-PBF, DED, UAM, Coldspray,....), the starting feedstock chemistry, the parameters used in the process, and the heat treatment processes used post-build
- Each AM process results in different grain structures, which ultimately have an effect on properties
- Heat treatments should be developed based on the requirements and environment of the end component use
- Properties should be developed after AM process is stable and parameters confirmed



Example of Inconel 625, L-PBF and BP-DED (Typical)



Perceived Process Flow for AM



Actual Process Flow

EXPLORE MOON to





Each process step also includes a series of additional tasks in order to properly design, build, or complete post-processing

Advantages and Disadvantages of AM



Advantages:

- Components that are highly complex and low production rate.
- Features that could not be fabricated by other methods.
- Increased design freedom and customization.
- High feature resolution.
- Near net-shape complex geometry.
- Part count reduction.
- Performance improvement (i.e. weight reduction).
- One-off and discontinued parts.
- Shorter lead times.
- Properties are better than cast (but not wrought).





Disadvantages:

- Limited to weldable alloys
- Design constraints: overhang surfaces, minimum hole size.
- Surface roughness.
- As built microstructure will require post processing.
- Substantial touch labor.
- Waste generation: spent powder, build plates, failed builds.
- <u>MORE</u> expensive than traditional manufacturing (high hourly rates offset by reducing labor costs)





Powder-bed based Processes



VDI-Guideline 3404 (2009) Additive Fabrication-Rapid Technologies (Rapid Prototyping) – Fundamentals, Terms and Definitions, Quality Parameter, Supply Agreements. (2014). tilted mirror with focus burning point object







• Selective Laser Melting (SLM)

- <u>Basic Process</u>: Uses a layer-by-layer powder-bed approach in which the desired component features are sintered and subsequently solidified using a laser. Used widely in combustion devices applications.
- <u>Advantages</u>: Allows for high resolution, fine features, including complex internal designs to be fabricated, such as cooling channels
- <u>Disadvantages</u>: The scale for SLM is limited and does not provide a solution for all components

• Electron Beam Melting

- <u>Basic Process</u>: Similar to SLM, but uses an electron beam instead of a laser. Not frequently used in combustion devices applications.
- Advantages: Build is performed under vacuum, which can be useful for reactive materials such as titanium

L-PBF Operations







Basic Design Rules for L-PBF



- The machine will print (or attempt to) what is in the model
- Angled feature designs are limited (measured from horizontal)
 - Features <45° with respect to the built plate normally require support
 - Features >45° with respect to the built plate normally do not require support
 - Consider features in all dimensions
- Horizontal holes cannot be printed as true holes if larger diameter
 - Largest unsupported hole ~ .250"
 - Smallest hole/feature ~.030"
- Overhangs can be created, but require supports (and subsequent removal)
- New machine and software developments are evolving and opening the design space even further
- Design and analysis needs to consider surface finishes for internal and external features
- Internal passages may need to be oversized to account for burn-thru or undersized hole
- Support material should be understood in design phase
- Print orientation is critical evolve the CAD design with AM machine operator or vendor
- Post-processing steps must be considered in design phase



Example of injector elements with facets







Focus of L-PBF and Examples



- Extreme environments, complex shapes, and new materials
 - Combustion Chambers (regen-cooled)
 - Injector
 - Cryogenic Fluid Management
 - In-space thrusters
 - Turbomachinery (Fuel and LOX)
 - Pump and turbine ends of rotating
 - Nozzles
 - Ignition systems
 - Valves
 - Lines, ducts



Need for Large Scale AM Technologies

EXPLOREMOON



Gradl, P., Greene, S., Protz, C., Bullard, B., Buzzell, J., Garcia, C., Wood, J., Osborne, R., Hulka, J. Cooper, K. Additive Manufacturing of Liquid Rocket Engine Combustion Devices: A Summary of Process Developments and Hot-Fire Testing Results. 54th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum, (AIAA 2018-4625). July 9-12, 2018. Cincinnati, OH.

Various Directed Energy Deposition (DED) Technologies



Freeform fabrication technique focused on near net shapes as a forging or casting replacement and also near-final geometry fabrication. Can be implemented using powder or wire as additive medium.

Blown Powder Deposition

EXPLOREMOC

Melt pool created by laser and off-axis nozzles inject powder into melt pool; installed on gantry or robotic system





Laser Wire Deposition

A melt pool is created by a laser and uses an offaxis wire-fed deposition to create freeform shapes, attached to robot system



Integrated and Hybrid AM

- Combine L-PBF/DED \geq
- Combine AM with subtractive
- Wrought and DED



NASA L-PBF/DED



*Photos courtesy DMG Mori Seiki and DM3D

Arc-Based Deposition (wire)

Pulsed-wire metal inert gas (MIG) welding process creates near net shapes with the deposition heat integral to a robot





Electron Beam Deposition (wire)

An off-axis wire-fed deposition technique using electron beam as energy source; completed in a vacuum.





Overview of Blown Powder DED for Nozzles



Blown Powder Directed Energy Deposition (DED)

- <u>Basic Process</u>: Coaxial laser energy source with surrounding nozzles that inject powder (within inert gas) fabricating freeform shapes or cladding
- <u>Advantages</u>: Large scale (only limited by gantry or robotic system), multi-alloys in same build, high deposition rate
- <u>Disadvantages</u>: Resolution of features, rougher surface than L-PBF, higher heat input





Integrated Channel DED Nozzle



718, 1:4 Scale



JBK-75, IN625, NASA HR-1 Manifolds



JBK-75 Integrated Channel

21

Blown Powder DED







Directed Energy Deposition



Material properties are dependent on a number of processing parameters (material, build rates, environment, orientation...) => highly variable



Inco 625 As-Built - Hoop

Inco 625 As-Built - Axial















DED provides the ability to fabricate components much larger than powder-bed based technologies

• Scale is limited by gantry or robotic control systems DED offers a complementary solution to L-PBF (SLM) Example of ½ Scale RS25 Nozzle Liner in JBK-75 (no integral channels)





NASA Advancement of Nozzle Manufacturing Techniques and Materials



Process	Nozzle Application	Materials	
Blown Powder DED	 Integrated Channel Wall Nozzle (single Piece) Nozzle Liners Nozzle Manifolds 	 Inconel 625 Inconel 718 Haynes 230 JBK-75 NASA HR-1 GRCop-84/42 	
Arc-based DED or WAAM	Nozzle LinersNozzle Manifolds	 Inconel 625 Haynes 230 JBK-75 NASA HR-1 	
Laser Wire Direct Closeout (LWDC)	Closeout of nozzle/chamber liners with milled channels and cladding of structural jacket	 Inconel 625 Haynes 230 JBK-75 NASA HR-1 SS347 C-18150-Monel C-18150-Inconel 625 	

Wire Arc-Based Deposition Wire Arc Additive Manufacturing (WAAM)

EXPLOREMOC







Coolant Channel

- Laser Wire Direct Closeout (LWDC) is an additive manufacturing technique that locally bonds filler
 wire to the channel ribs and provides a structural
 - wire to the channel ribs and provides a structural jacket fabricated "in place"
 - Freeform welding process without need for filler within the channels
- No material "drop-thru" into channels

27

Laser-wire Deposition Laser Wire Direct Closeout (LWDC) Technology





Different methods for

different components!

Comparison of L-PBF with DED



Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)



Directed Energy Deposition (DED)



Feature Resolution / Complexity	High resolution of features Wall thicknesses and holes <0.010"	Medium resolution of features Walls >0.040" and limited holes		
Deposition Rate	Low build rates <0.3 lb/hr	High Build rates Ibs per hour (some systems >20lb/hr)		
Multi-alloys / Gradient Materials	Monolithic materials in single build	Option for multi-alloys or gradients within single build		
Materials Available	High number of materials available and being developed	High number of materials available and being developed		
Production Rates	Higher volume with several parts in a single build	Generally limited to single builds; longer programming/setup time		
Scale / Size of components	Limited to existing build volumes <15.6" dia or 16"x24"x19"	Scale is limited to gantry or robot size		
Added Features / Repair	No (limited) ability to add material to existing part	Can add material or features to an existing part		



29

- Various technologies exist for fabrication of actively-cooled nozzle structures to contain high pressure coolant and expansion of hot-gases in the core flow
- The most challenging process is the closeout process
 - Traditional manufacturing used brazed tube-wall nozzle construction, brazed channel wall nozzle fabrication techniques
 - New manufacturing technologies, such as laser welded sandwich wall, vacuum compression brazing and pressure assisted HIP braze, and additive manufacturing offer new design and fabrication advantages for nozzles



EXPLOREMOC

RL-10 Brazed Chamber/Nozzle

Laser Welded Sandwich Wall Courtesy: GKN Aerospace



RS-25/SSME Brazed Nozzle

Overview of Channel Wall Nozzle (CWN) Fabrication Technologies





Casting

Composite Overwrap

Ref: Gradl, P. "Rapid Fabrication Techniques for Liquid Rocket Channel Wall Nozzles". AIAA-2016-4771, Paper presented at 52nd AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, July 27, 2016. Salt Lake City, UT.

EXPLOREMOON

Examples of Blown Powder DED for Large Structures

EXPLOREMOO





Small Feature Blown Powder DED Nozzle Fabrication

EXPLOREMOO





New Materials using Additive Manufacturing NASA HR-1 and JBK-75



- NASA HR-1 is a high-strength Fe-Ni-base superalloy that resists high-pressure hydrogen environment embrittlement (HEE), oxidation, and corrosion.
- "HR" stands for <u>Hydrogen-Resistant</u> (HEE resistant)
- Originally derived from JBK-75, the development for NASA HR-1 started in late 1990 at the M&P lab and HEE resistant composition was identified in 1992.
- In addition to its HEE resistance, NASA-HR-1 has approximately 25% higher yield strength than JBK-75 and exhibits no ductility loss in a 5 ksi high pressure hydrogen environment
- NASA-HR-1 is a unique alloy that extends the compositional range of existing HEE-resistant Fe-Ni-base superalloys.







	A-286	JBK-75	NASA HR-1	
Fe	56.1	51.5	41.2	
Ni	25.5	30.0	34.0	
Со	-	-	3.3	
Cr	14.8	14.8	15.0	
Мо	1.3	1.3	2.0	
W	-	-	1.8	
Ti	2.1	2.2	2.5	
Al	0.2	0.3	0.3	

Configuration for Nozzle Hot-fire Testing







EXPLOREMOON









Hot-fire Testing Summary Nozzle Materials and Configurations



- Several techniques LWDC, Integrated-channel DED, WAAM, WJM
- Various materials have been evaluated and demonstrated for monolithic and bimetallic channel wall nozzle applications
- Development and hot-fire testing completed in a variety of potential liquid engine applications
- >10,000 sec of hot-fire time and 229 starts

Program	Propellant	Process	Material	Starts	Time (sec)
PH034	LOX/GH2	LWDC	SS347	4	160
PH034	LOX/GH2	LWDC	Inco 625	10	1060
PI100	LOX/GH2	LWDC	Haynes 230	1	180
PI100	LOX/GH2	LWDC	C-18150-Inco/Monel	3	540
PJ038	LOX/GH2	LWDC	C-18150-Monel 400	60	1830
PI100	LOX/GH2	LWDC	C-18150-Monel 400	9	1130
PJ038	LOX/GH2	DED	JBK-75	114	4170
PH034	LOX/GH2	DED	Inco 625	1	15
PI084	LOX/RP-1	DED	Inco 625	27	1057





SS347 LWDC



- Completed hot-fire testing on (9) development units
- 229 tests and >10,142 seconds on development units
 - 114 starts and 4,170 sec on DED Integral channel JBK-75 nozzle
 - 60 starts and 1,830 sec on bimetallic LWDC nozzle



• Test conditions chosen intentionally to provide aggressive wall temperatures with high cyclic reversal loads




Traditional Manufacturing of Chambers

- The most common techniques for channel-wall chamber fabrication includes electroplating closeout and brazed closeout
- Tube-wall structures have also been used on various engines, such as F-1, RS-27 and RL10





RL-10 Brazed Chamber/Nozzle

EXPLOREMOON

RS-25 HIP-bonded Chamber



Electroplated Chamber Closeout Photos Courtesy: Avio

Overview of Combustion Chamber Fabrication Technologies

EXPLOREMOON





High performance combustion chambers for liquid rocket engines require a high conductivity and high strength copper-alloy for the channel-cooled liner

- <u>Objective:</u> Demonstrate copper-alloy additive manufacturing (AM) technology to significantly reduce the lead-time and costs for combustion chamber fabrication
- Since 2014 NASA has been developing and maturing AM for GRCop-alloys
 - Specifically Selective Laser Melting (SLM) or Laser-Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)
 - Focused on GRCop-84 (Cu-8%Cr-4%Nb) and GRCop-42 (Cu-4%Cr-2%Nb)
 - Integral channels using L-PBF significantly reduces operations
 - Demonstrated secondary AM processes for bimetallic jackets
- Several NASA programs have and are making use of additively manufactured SLM chambers and NASA has accumulated significant development time



Additive Manufactured Combustion Chambers using Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)



- MSFC has developed over 25+ unique AM chambers between 2013-2020
 - Materials: GRCop-84, GRCop-42, C-18150, Monel K-500, Inco 625, Inco 718
 - Propellants: LOX/GH2, LOX/LCH4, LOX/RP-1
 - Additive Process: L-PBF and L-PBF/DED
- Over 300+ starts and 20,000+ seconds of hot fire test at NASA on GRCop-alloys
 - Industry has also completed significant development of AM chambers in various materials
 - Completed cycle testing on GRCop-42 >168 starts and 7,400 seconds
- Chambers have been fabricated using L-PBF powder bed AM technique, with a few test articles incorporating DED techniques for a bimetallic end product.



EXPLOREMOO



New Materials using Additive Manufacturing GRCop Copper-alloys for High Heat Flux



- GRCop-alloys resist oxidation and blanching during thermal and oxidationreduction cycling
- A maximum use temperature around 800°C, depending upon strength and creep requirements
- Good mechanical properties at high use temperatures (2x of typical copper)
- Lower thermal expansion to reduce thermally induced stresses and low cycle fatigue (LCF)
- Established powder supply chain
- Mature AM process that meets wrought properties and repeatability



NASA

- 1. Establishing, maturing, and controlling the powder supply chain
- 2. Scalability and transfer of the SLM process to various machines and size scales

EXPLOREMOOI

- Optimal work flow and processing time of GRCop-42 and GRCop-84
- 4. Characterizing the material and establishing a database of AM properties for design engineers
- Understanding property and microstructural sensitivities to powder supply, print parameters, and design features
- 6. Developing best design practices for the SLM GRCop alloy use in combustion chambers
- 7. Demonstrating component hardware in a relevant environment and testing at aggressive conditions to validate designs and property databases
- 8. Dissemination of data to US industry partners and US commercial print service vendors.



Comparison GRCop-84 and GRCop-42



Element	GRCop-42 Wt %	GRCop-84 Wt %	
Cr	3.1 - 3.4	6.2 – 6.8	
Nb	2.7 – 3.0	5.4 - 6.0	
Fe	Target <50 ppm	Target <50 ppm	
Ο	Target <400 ppm	<400 ppm Target <400 ppm	
Al	<50 ppm	<50 ppm	
Si	<50 ppm <50 ppm		
Cu	Balance	Balance	
Cr:Nb Ratio	1.12 - 1.15	1.12 - 1.15	

EXPLOREMOON



GRCop-42 and GRCop-84 for different applications:

- GRCop-42 has improved thermal conductivity (5-8%)
- GRCop-84 has higher strength and better LCF properties
- GRCop-42 has simplified powder atomization based on powder supplier comments
- GRCop-42 less costly fabrication build times based on increased layer height



Process Development of GRCop-alloys using L-PBF



- AM of GRCop-alloys using layer-by-layer SLM/L-PBF process
- Challenges with AM of Copper-alloys: <u>High reflectivity</u> and <u>high conductivity</u>
- Power and speed build parameters were varied on material samples and evaluated to determine optimal properties for given set of parameters
- Inclusion of the finer particles below the preferred (typical SLM) mesh size resulted in better density and material properties
- Stability of GRCop-42 weld pools allowed 50% thicker layers of powder to be deposited to facilitate (~20%) faster build times
- Similar build feature resolution and standard AM SLM build "rules of thumb"





Mechanical Properties of GRCop-84 and GRCop-42



 Demonstrated repeatability of GRCop-84 and GRCop-42 on various machines (Concept M2, EOS M290, EOS M400)

EXPL DREMOON

- Identical post-processing including Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP)
- HIP reduces strength but increases elongation to desired values
- Surface roughness studies completed











- Successful L-PBF development should place <u>considerable</u> <u>emphasis on post-processing</u>
 - Several chambers scrapped due to trapped powder
- Order of post-processing critical to success

EXPLOREMOOI

• Hot Isostatic Pressing (HIP) successfully developed

L-PBF GRCop-alloy Combustion Chambers

EXPLOREMOON







Hot-fire Testing



Overview of Injector Manufacturing



Flow Elements / Faceplate



EXPLOREMOON



- Multi-axis Machining
- Multi-axis Grinding
- Electro discharge Machining (EDM)
- Laser-Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)
- Laser Drilling
- Photochemical Etching
- Electrochemical Milling (ECM)
- Post-processing / Polishing
- Wrought (Forged / Barstock / Plate)
- Platelets

Manifolds and Subassemblies



- Forging
- Machining
- Powder Metallurgy
- Directed Energy Deposition
 - Blown Powder Laser
 - Wire-based Laser
 - Arc-based Wire
- Laser Powder Bed Fusion(L-PBF)
- Platelets
- Coldspray
- Casting
- Hydroforming

Final Assembly



- Brazing
- Welding TIG, EB, Laser, Inertia
- Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)
- Electroplating
- Multi-axis Machining
- Electro discharge Machining (EDM)
- Post-processing / Polishing
- Rigimesh Permeable Faceplates
- Directed Energy Deposition
 - Blown Powder Laser
 - Laser Wire Direct Closeout
 - Arc-based Wire
- Ultrasonic
- Diffusion Bonding
- Platelets
- Casting
- Coatings

Injector, Traditional Fabrication Examples

*All pictures courtesy NASA archives unless noted





EXPLOREMOON

Traditional machining of impinging injector on F-1 engine



Rigimesh Faceplate



Shear Coaxial, Machined and Brazed (SSME/RS-25)



Impinging, Machined (Credit: BU RPG)



RL-10 Traditional Coaxial

Examples of Additively Manufactured Injectors





100lbf LOX/Propane Nanolaunch Injector. Built 2012. Tested 2013.





1.2K LOX/Hydrogen Injector

First Tested in June 2013 >10,000 seconds hotfire

Tested Sept 2015





35K AMDE Injector with Welded Manifolds, Tested 2015



LOX/Methane Gas Generator Injector, Tested Summer 2017

- MSFC has developed and tested a total of 25+ unique AM injectors between 2012-2020
 - Materials: Inco 625, Inco 718, Monel K-500, GRCop-84/42, JBK-75
 - Element Types: swirl coax, shear coax, impinging
 - Number of Elements: ranging from 6 to 62+
 - Diameters: ranging from 1.125" to 7.5" +
 - Hundreds of hot-fire tests performed with AM injectors
- To date, all MSFC injector designs have been manufactured with L-PBF process.
- Advantages of AM application to injectors:
 - Reduction of part count, braze/weld operations, cost, and schedule
 - Allows non-conventional manifolding schemes and element designs
- Challenges of AM fabrication of injectors:
 - Feature size resolution (particularly radial to the build direction)
 - Excessive surface roughness
 - Removing powder prior to heat treatments (even stress relief) is both necessary and challenging

Injector Powerhead (RS25) Development of Blown Powder DED Inconel 718







EXPLOREMOOI





RPM Innovations (RPMI) under NASA SLS Artemis Program

Overview of Turbomachinery Manufacturing





Rotating Components

Final Geometry

EXPLOREMOON

- Multi-axis Machining
- Multi-axis Grinding
- Electro discharge Machining (EDM)
- Electrochemical Milling (ECM)
- Laser-Powder Bed Fusion
- Post-processing / Polishing

Starting Stock

- Forging
- Powder Metallurgy
- Directed Energy Deposition
 - Blown Powder Laser
 - Wire-based Laser
 - Arc-based Wire
- Coldspray
- Casting



Final Geometry

- Multi-axis Machining
- Electro discharge Machining (EDM)
- Laser-Powder Bed Fusion
- Post-processing / Polishing

Starting Stock

- Forging
- Casting
- Powder Metallurgy
- Directed Energy Deposition
 - Blown Powder Laser
 - Wire-based Laser
 - Arc-based Wire
- Coldspray
- Molded Composites



Assembly



- Manual and Auto TIG Welding
- Coatings
- Thermal Assistance
- Pressing Operations
- Manual and furnace brazing
- Multi-axis Machining
- Drilling
- Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF)
- Electroplating
- Directed Energy Deposition
- Diffusion Bonding

Turbomachinery – Traditional Manufacturing and Assembly





EXPLOREMOON

RS-25 Fuel Pump Discharge Housing, Cast and machined (Courtesy: Metalex/NASA)



RS-25 Fuel Pump 2nd Stage Impeller, Forged and Machined (Courtesy: Metalex/NASA)



RS-25 LOX Pump Forward Inducer, machined Courtesy: Metalex/NASA





RS-25 Fuel Pump Discharge Housing, cast and machined (Courtesy: Metalex/NASA)



J-2X Fuel Housing Assembly and Test Courtesy: Aerojet Rocketdyne/NASA

Machined A-286 Housing NASA/Derek O'Neal

Examples of Additively Manufactured L-PBF Turbomachinery

EXPLOREMOO





Bimetallic Components – Ignition Systems and Chambers

- NASA
- NASA has developed bimetallic combustion chambers using Copperalloy liners and Inconel structural jacket (GRCop-84 to Inconel 625)
 - L-PBF to fabricate the liner and DED for structural support
 - Similar processes used for Spark Ignition Systems with bimetallic but using wrought material and DED (C-18150 to Inconel 625)





Additively Manufactured Valves





High Temperature Nozzle Extensions Composite and Metallic



- High temperature materials can be used for un-cooled or film cooled nozzles as heat fluxes are reduced in higher expansion ratio thrust chamber assemblies
- Composite materials (C-C, C-SiC, CMC) and Refractory Metals are most often used
 - Composites have benefits of weight reduction, improved thermal margins, and potential cost reduction
 - Detailed understanding of properties under relevant conditions required
- Temperatures can exceed 3500°F





Composite Nozzle Extensions



- Various Carbon and refractory materials being explored and coatings to prevent oxidation
 - Carbon-Carbon (C-C)
 - Silicon-Carbide (SiC)
 - Carbon-Silicon/Carbide (C/SiC)
 - Refractory-based coatings with Zr, MoSi2, Hf, ZrB2
 - Secondary coating processes and matrix enhanced
- Development testing completed in LOX/H2, LOX/CH4, and LOX/RP-1



2.5D needled C-SiC, PIP densification, uncoated



T-300 6K Fiber with ACC-6 condition SiC conversion coating





Composite Nozzle Extensions





Generic Process Flow of AM Components





All Pictures Courtesy: Southwest Research Institute (SwRI)



- Additive manufacturing techniques <u>must</u> consider the entire process for parts to be successful – the print is only a portion of the process
 - De-powdering and confirmation
 - Heat Treatments including HIP
 - Inspections

EXPLOREMOON

- Build plates removal
- Cleaning of Parts
- Joining (welding/brazing)
- Machining operations
- Polishing



Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE)



63

- Structured Light Scanning
 - Surface mapping
 - Geometric distortion/deviation
 - Limited spatial resolution
 - Equipment expensive but operation relatively inexpensive
- X-ray radiography & CT
 - Detect trapped powder
 - Large flaws
 - Limited spatial resolution (excludes micro-focus CT)
 - Material determines scan time/resolution
 - Expensive & time consuming
- Other

EXPLOREMOOI

- Visual / Borescope
- In-situ
- Ultrasonic
- Penetrant
- Infrared



Visual Borescope



Structured Light Scanning



CAD-scan data comparison



Radiograph showing powder filled channels



In-situ Inspections



CT showing trapped powder in a manifold









EXPLOREMOON

Cracking from Residual Stresses during build



Restart line observed post-build







Residual stress induced failure.



Part separation from support structure



A significant hurdle in additive manufacturing is the surface roughness of internal and external surfaces

- Fatigue Performance significantly impacted
- Flow performance impacted, but can also result in heat transfer augmentation
- Various techniques are feasible: shot peen, chemical milling, abrasive machining (slurry), chemical mechanical polishing, electropolishing, laser polishing, ultrasonic, controlled corrosion







Response to Surface Roughness in Channels



Ref: Sutton, Rocket Propulsion Elements

Response to Surface Roughness of Hotwall

Surface	Pressure	Hotwall	Total Heat
Roughness	Drop	Temperature	Load
		1	

Ref: Sutton, Rocket Propulsion Elements

NASA Certification of Additive Manufacturing



- Standardization is essential for consistent and reliable production of flight critical AM components.
- NASA has chosen to develop internal standards to meet immediate needs while also participating in open industry AM standard development activities
- Status of NASA AM standardization
 - MSFC-STD-3716 & MSFC-SPEC-3717 issued
 - Issued October 2017
 - L-PBF only

EXPL DREMOON

- Agency wide standard, NASA-STD-6030 (AM Requirements for Crewed Spaceflight Systems)
 - Includes approach for L-PBF and DED
- Agency wide specification, NASA-SPEC-6033 (Additive Manufacturing Requirements for Equipment and Facility Control)
- NASA-STD-6030, target release is early fall 2020







Machine repeatability

NASA Standards for Additive Manufacturing



Key aspects of NASA AM requirements

- Overarching and foundational controls
 - AM Control Plan

EXPLOREMOON

- Quality Management System
- Equipment and facility control,
- Personnel training
- Machine/process qualification
- Material property methodology for AM
- Part production controls
 - Part classification
 - Part production plans
 - Pre-production articles
 - Qualified Part Process

Ingredients for AM Qualification and Certification

- <u>Understanding</u> and <u>Appreciation</u> of the AM process
- *Integration* across disciplines and throughout the process
- <u>Discipline</u> to define and follow a plan



EXPLOREMOON

Advances in Additive Manufacturing



Continued Development at NASA with Advanced Manufacturing

EXPLOREMOON





Industry Involvement in Key Technologies through Public-Private Partnerships

Continued Development at NASA with Advanced Manufacturing



NASA is continuing development of combining advanced manufacturing technologies to allow for optimization of multi-materials and weight reductions

EXPLOREMO





Examples of Additive Propulsion Components

EXPLOREMOO





Additive Manufacturing Questions to Consider For Powder-based AM Processes



- Should this part be printed or traditionally manufactured?
- What process will be used?
- Is the print accuracy adequate for the design?
- What is the build orientation?
- How am I going to remove all the powder?
- How do I verify powder removal?
- Will support structure be used in the build?
- Are there downstream operations that require fixturing or tooling to integrate in the print design?
- What kind of post machining needs to occur after the print?
- How is this part being removed from the build plate?
- Will there be any material processing after the print?
- What type of material properties do I require?
- What inspections are required to verify integrity of design?


- Additive manufacturing and advanced manufacturing must be traded with other manufacturing processes for component designs
- AM has significant advantages for components with complex internal features and for reduction of parts
 - Injectors and combustion chambers are a good use of AM
- High performance materials can still be maintained in design as the AM technology has advanced (ie. copper for chambers)
- Materials must be properly evaluated specific to the design, machine, configuration to have a thorough understanding of design allowables
- Certification of new processes must meet the approach and rigor relative to the design environment and functionality of the design





- Large scale, small feature DED processes
 - Focus on blown powder DED, also investigating WAAM, coldspray
 - Continue development on laser wire DED for channel closeout
 - Materials include: NASA HR-1, JBK-75, GRCop-alloys, new alloys
- Large scale DED processes for forging and casting replacement
 - Manifolds, liners, ducts, complex geometry to reduce machining
- Bimetallic and multi-metallic deposition with a variety of processes
 - Combining L-PBF and DED, and other processes (coldspray)
- New alloy development and/or with new processes (L-PBF and DED)
 - Refractory, Superalloys for specific environments
- Full material characterization and property development (L-PBF and DED)
- Certification of AM processes for flight applications



Contact: Paul Gradl NASA MSFC 256.544.2455 Paul.R.Gradl@nasa.gov

1 Dine (

NASA

Acknowledgements



Sandy Greene Chris Protz Tom Teasley Chris Hill Derek O'Neal Matt Marsh Ken Cooper (retired NASA) David Scannapieco Megan Le Corre Zach Jones Jim Lydon Tal Wammen **Cynthia Spraeder Chance Garcia Gregg Jones** Ian Johnston Marissa Garcia Kevin Baker **Dwight Goodman** Will Brandsmeier Adam Willis **Bob Witbrodt** Matt Cross

Van Luong (retired NASA) **Omar Mireles** Colton Katsarelis Will Tilson **Bob** Carter Brad Lerch (retired NASA) Ivan Locci David Myers **Ron Beshears Doug Wells** Sam Cordner **Brian West** Majid Babai James Walker Steve Wofford Warren Ruemmele / CCSC Jessica Wood Mike Shadoan **Keegan Jackson** John Vickers John Fikes **Keystone Synergistic RPM Innovations (RPMI)**

Tyler Blumenthal / RPMI **Rem Surface Engineering** DM3D Laser Tech / Phoenix Fraunhofer BeAM Elementum ASRC Astronautics Federal / Joe Sims 3DMT Additive Manufacturing Engineering ATI TPRL Auburn University NCAME / RAMPT Mike Ogles Nima Shamsaei **Stratasys** Incodema Westmoreland Mechanical Testing **Powder Alloy Corporation** Carpenter Various MSFC engineering and technician support

Considerations in Design and Printing



- Most 3D printers use .stl files (stereolithography)
 - .stl files are flat triangles used to approximate CAD geometry
 - The .stl file is sliced into layers to generate the laser toolpath / code
- Have observed significant differences in surfaces, although based on geometric features
- Finer resolution files are significantly larger and machines can be limited on toolpath code

Same CAD file with different export parameters



Considerations in Design and Printing



- Features <45° normally require support
- Features >45° normally do not require support
- Consider features in all dimensions
- Holes cannot be printed as true holes if larger diameter
 - Largest unsupported hole ~ .250"
 - Smallest hole/feature ~.030"
- Overhangs can be created, but require supports (and subsequent removal)







Manifold design

Design support needed

for flang

Considerations in Design and Printing

- Design and analysis needs to consider surface finishes for internal and external features
- Internal passages may need to be oversized to account for burn-thru or undersized hole
- Support material should be understood in design phase
 - Placement of support material is important
 - How support material is removed is equally important
 - Ask your operator or vendor
 - Support material highly dependent on print orientation







- Heat control is critical and can cause significant deformations or failures
 - May be driven by original design (too thick or thermal gradients too high across varying cross sections)
 - May be impacted by adjacent parts or witness specimens
- Material curl caused by coater arm damage
 - Based on knife edges during design
- Stops and starts are also common in 3D prints, causes knit lines
 - Refill of powder in dose chamber
 - Issue observed that requires visual









Considerations during Design and Post-Processing



- Geometric Dimensioning and Tolerancing (GD&T) needs to be considered during design for ease of postprocessing
 - Cylinders for better positional tolerance at feature level
 - Grooved for axial location
 - Flat surfaces for datums
 - Extra holes for powder removal
 - Additional stock material for critical features that will be post-machined
- Holes only when required or in softer materials
 - Existing printed holes can cause machine tools to "walk"
 - Do not print threads; post-machine
 - Undersize holes for reaming and tapping







Sample Design of Chamber





References



[1] Ellis, D.L., GRCop-84: A High-Temperature Copper Alloy for High-Heat-Flux Applications, NASA/TM-2005-213566.

[2] H. Groh III, D. Ellis, W. Loewenthal, Comparison of GRCop-84 to other Cu alloys with high thermal conductivities. J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 17, 594 (2008)

[3] Ellis, D.L. and G.M. Michal, "Precipitation Strengthened High Strength, High Conductivity Cu-Cr-Nb Alloys Produced by Chill Block Melt Spinning," NASA CR-185144, NASA LeRC, Cleveland, OH, (Sept. 1989). 70th International Astronautical Congress (IAC), Washington D.C., United States, 21-25 October 2019. This material is declared a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

[4] Ogbuji, L. and Humphrey, D.L., 2003. Comparison of the oxidation rates of some new copper alloys. Oxidation of metals, 60(3-4), pp.271-291.

[5] Thomas-Ogbuji, L., Humphrey, D.L. and Setlock, J.A., 2003. Oxidation-Reduction Resistance of Advanced Copper Alloys. NASA/CR-2003-212549. International Symposium on Corrosion Science in the 21st Century; July 06, 2003 - July 11. Manchester; United Kingdom

[6] L.U. Thomas-Ogbuji, and D.L. Humphrey: Oxidation Behavior of GRCop-84 (Cu-8Cr-4Nb) at Intermediate and High Temperatures. NASA/CR—2000-210369, 8/2000.
[7] Donovan, P.C. & Barton, C.J. MT (1973) 4: 1765. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02666209

[8] Cooper, K. G., Lydon, J. L., Corre, M. D., & Jones, Z. C. (2018). Three-Dimensional Printing GRCop-42 (pp. 1-9) (Unites States, NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center). Huntsville, AL. NASA/TM-2018-220129 (2019).

[9] Gradl, P., Protz, C., Cooper, K., Garcia, C., Ellis, D., Evans, L., "GRCop-42 Development and Hot-fire Testing using Additive Manufacturing Powder Bed Fusion for Channel-Cooled Combustion Chambers". Paper presented at 55nd AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, August 2019. Indianapolis, IN.

[10] Gradl, P.R., Protz, C., Greene, S.E., Ellis, D., Lerch, B., and Locci., I. "Development and Hot-fire Testing of Additively Manufactured Copper Combustion Chambers for Liquid Rocket Engine Applications", 53rd AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum, (AIAA 2017-4670)

[11] Gradl, P., Greene, S., Protz, C., Bullard, B., Buzzell, J., Garcia, C., Wood, J., Osborne, R., Hulka, J. Cooper, K. Additive Manufacturing of Liquid Rocket Engine Combustion Devices: A Summary of Process Developments and Hot-Fire Testing Results. 54th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum, (AIAA 2018-4625). July 9-12, 2018. Cincinnati, OH.

[12] Gradl, P., Mireles, O. and Andrews, N., Introduction to Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Systems. AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum 2019. Indianapolis, IN. August 20 (2019).

[13] Pells, G. P., and M. Shiga. "The optical properties of copper and gold as a function of temperature." Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 2, no. 10 (1969): 1835. [14] M. F. Ashby: Oxide Dispersion Strengthening, Proc. 2nd Bolton Landing Conf., G.S. Ansell, ed., Gordon and Breach, New York, NY, 1968, p. 143.

[15] Minnecci, R., Rawn, C., Jones, Z., Bunn, J. and Tramel, T., 2018. Residual Stress Mapping of As-Built and Hot Isostatic Pressure Treated GRCop-84 as Fabricated by Selective Laser Melting. 2017 Joint Nanoscience and Neutron Scattering User Meeting; 31 Jul. - 4 Aug. 2017; Oak Ridge, TN; United States

[16] Ashby, M.F. and Cebon, D., 1993. Materials selection in mechanical design. Le Journal de Physique IV, 3(C7), pp.C7-1.





[17] Ashby, M.F., Materials selection in mechanical design. Metallurgia Italiana, 86, (1994).

[18] Gradl, P.R., 2016. Rapid Fabrication Techniques for Liquid Rocket Channel Wall Nozzles. In 52nd AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference (p. 4771).

[19] Gradl, P., Mireles, O. and Andrews, N., 2018. Intro to Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Systems. M18-6849. AIAA Propulsion and Energy Conference; 9-11 Jul. 2018; Cincinnati, OH.

[20] Gradl, P., Brandsmeier, W., Calvert, M., Greene, S., O'Neal, D., Protz, C., Richard, J. and Morgan, K., 2017. Additive manufacturing overview: propulsion applications, design for and lessons learned.

[21] Gradl, P.R., Protz, C.S., Zagorski, K., Doshi, V. and McCallum, H., 2019. Additive Manufacturing and Hot-fire Testing of Bimetallic GRCop-84 and C-18150 Channel-Cooled Combustion Chambers Using Powder Bed Fusion and Inconel 625 Hybrid Directed Energy Deposition. In AIAA Propulsion and Energy 2019 Forum (p. 4390).

[22] Protz, C., Bowman, R., Cooper, K., Fikes, J., Taminger, K., Wright, B. Additive Manufacturing of Low Cost Upper Stage Propulsion Components. Joint Army-Navy-NASA-Air Force (JANNAF) Liquid Propulsion Subcommittee (LPS) Advanced Materials Panel (AMP) Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Applications Technical Interchange Meeting (TIM); 3-5 Sept. (2014).

[23] Garcia, C.P., Gradl, P.R., Protz, C.S., Wood, J., and Greene, S. E., "Characterizing Performance of Additively Manufacturing Regenerative Cooled Combustion Chambers through Hot Fire Testing," 65th JANNAF Propulsion Meeting/10th Liquid Propulsion Subcommittee, May 21-24, 2018. Long Beach, CA.

[24] Ek, K., "Additive Manufactured Metals," Master of Science thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology (2014).

[25] Gradl, P., Brandsmeier, W., Calvert, M., et al., "Additive Manufacturing Overview: Propulsion Applications, Design for and Lessons Learned. Presentation," M17-6434. 1 December (2017).

[26] ASTM Committee F42 on Additive Manufacturing Technologies. Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies ASTM Standard: F2792-12a. (2012).

[27] Gradl, P., Greene, S., Protz, C., Bullard, B., Buzzell, J., Garcia, C., Wood, J., Osborne, R., Hulka, J. Cooper, K. Additive Manufacturing of Liquid Rocket Engine Combustion Devices: A Summary of Process Developments and Hot-Fire Testing Results. 54th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum, (AIAA 2018-4625). July 9-12, 2018. Cincinnati, OH.

[28] Gradl, P., Greene, S., Brandsmeier, W. Channel Wall Nozzle Manufacturing and Hot-Fire Testing using a Laser Wire Direct Closeout Technique for Liquid Rocket Engines. 54th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum, (AIAA 2018-4860). July 9-12, 2018. Cincinnati, OH.

[29] Gradl, P., Greene, S., Wammen, T. Bimetallic Channel Wall Nozzle Development and Hot-fire Testing using Additively Manufactured Laser Wire Direct Closeout Technology. 55th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum. August 19-21, Indianapolis, IN. AIAA-2019-4361.

[30] Gradl, P., Protz, C., Wammen, T. Additive Manufacturing Development and Hot-fire Testing of Liquid Rocket Channel Wall Nozzles using Blown Powder Directed Energy Deposition Inconel 625 and JBK-75 Alloys. 55th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum. August 19-21, Indianapolis, IN. AIAA-2019-4362

[31] Pelton, R., Allison, T.C., Smith, N., Jung, J., "Design of a Wide-Range Centrifugal Compressor Stage for Supercritical CO2 Power Cycles," Proceedings of ASME Turbo Expo 2017: Turbomachinery Technical Conference and Exposition, Charlotte, NC, June 2017.

[32] Sutton, G. P., & Biblarz, O. (2016). Rocket propulsion elements. John Wiley & Sons.

[33] Gradl, P., Protz, C., Cooper, K., Garcia, C., Ellis, D., Evans, L. GRCop-42 Development and Hot-fire Testing using Additive Manufacturing Powder Bed Fusion for Channel-cooled Combustion Chambers. 55th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum. August 19-21, Indianapolis, IN. AIAA-2019-4228

[34] Gradl, P., Protz, C., Zagorski, K., Doshi, V., McCallum, H. Additive Manufacturing and Hot-fire Testing of Bimetallic GRCop-84 and C-18150 Channel-Cooled Combustion Chambers using Powder Bed Fusion and Inconel 625 Hybrid Directed Energy Deposition. 55th AIAA/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum. August 19-21, Indianapolis, IN. AIAA-2019-4390

[35] Gradl, P., Protz, C. "Channel Wall Nozzle Manufacturing Technology Advancements for Liquid Rocket Engines." 70th International Astronautical Congress 2019. 21-25 October 2019. Washington, DC. United States. IAC-19-C4-3x52522

[36] Gradl, P., Protz, C., Ellis, D.C., Greene, S.E. "Progress in Additively Manufactured Copper-Alloy GRCop-84, GRCop-42, and Bimetallic Combustion Chambers for Liquid Rocket Engines." 70th International Astronautical Congress 2019. 21-25 October 2019. Washington, DC. United States. IAC-19.C4.3.5x52514

[37] Gradl, P. R., & Protz, C. S. (2020). Technology advancements for channel wall nozzle manufacturing in liquid rocket engines. Acta Astronautica. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actaastro.2020.04.067