

MRS CATHERINE JEAN CHAMBERLAIN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-5495-3219)

Article type : Regular Manuscript

Climate change reshapes the drivers of false spring risk across European trees

Authors:

Catherine J. Chamberlain, ORCID: 0000-0001-5495-3219¹, Benjamin I. Cook³, Ignacio Morales-Castilla, ORCID: 0000-0002-8570-9312⁴ & Elizabeth M. Wolkovich¹

Author affiliations:

¹Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, 1300 Centre Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02131 USA;

²Organismic & Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138 USA;

³NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, New York, 10025 USA;

⁴GloCEE - Global Change Ecology and Evolution Group, Department of Life Sciences, Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, 28805 Spain, @GloCEE_EcoEvo;

⁵Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, 22030 USA;

^oForest & Conservation Sciences, Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4 Canada;

Corresponding author: 248.953.0189; cchamberlain@g.harvard.edu

Received: 17 June 2020

Accepted: 22 July 2020

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/NPH.16851</u>

Summary

(1) Temperate forests are shaped by late spring freezes after budburst—false springs—which may shift with climate change. Research to date has generated conflicting results, potentially because few studies focus on the multiple underlying drivers of false spring risk.

(2) Here, we assessed the effects of mean spring temperature, distance from the coast, elevation and the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) using PEP725 leafout data for six tree species across 11648 sites in Europe, to determine which were the strongest predictors of false spring risk and how these predictors shifted with climate change.

(3) All predictors influenced false spring risk before recent warming, but their effects have shifted in both magnitude and direction with warming. These shifts have potentially magnified the variation in false spring risk among species with an increase in risk for early-leafout species (i.e., *Aesculus hippocastanum*, *Alnus glutinosa*, *Betula pendula*) versus a decline or no change in risk among late-leafout species (i.e., *Fagus sylvatica*, *Fraxinus excelsior*, *Quercus robur*).

(4) Our results show how climate change has reshaped the drivers of false spring risk, complicating forecasts of future false springs, and potentially reshaping plant community dynamics given uneven shifts in risk across species.

Keywords: false spring, climate change, phenology, spring freeze, elevation, risk, leafout, temperate tree

Introduction

False springs—late spring freezing events after budburst that can cause damage to temperate tree and shrub species—may shift with climate change. With earlier springs due to warming (Wolkovich *et al.*, 2012; IPCC, 2015), the growing season is lengthening across many regions in the Northern Hemisphere (Chen *et al.*, 2005; Liu *et al.*, 2006; Kukal & Irmak, 2018). Longer growing seasons could translate to increased plant growth, assuming such increases are not offset by tissue losses due to false springs. Last spring freeze dates are not predicted to advance at the same rate as warming (Inouye, 2008; Martin *et al.*, 2010; Labe *et al.*, 2016; Wypych *et al.*, 2016b; Sgubin *et al.*, 2018), potentially amplifying the effects of false spring events in some regions. In Germany, for example, the last freeze date has advanced by 2.6 days per decade since 1955 (Zohner *et al.*, 2016), but budburst has advanced 4.3 days per decade across Central Europe (Fu *et al.*, 2014; Vitasse *et al.*, 2018). To date, studies have variously found that spring freeze damage may increase (Hänninen, 1991; Augspurger, 2013; Labe *et al.*, 2016), remain the same (Scheifinger *et al.*, 2003) or even decrease (Kramer, 1994; Vitra *et al.*, 2017) with climate change. When damage does occur, studies have found it can take 16-38 days for trees to refoliate after a freeze (Gu *et al.*, 2008; Augspurger, 2009, 2013; Menzel *et al.*, 2015), which can detrimentally affect crucial processes such as carbon uptake and nutrient cycling (Hufkens *et al.*, 2012; Richardson *et al.*, 2013; Klosterman *et al.*, 2018).

Spring freezes are one of the largest limiting factors to species ranges and have greatly shaped plant life history strategies (Kollas *et al.*, 2014). Plants are generally the most freeze tolerant in the winter but this freeze tolerance greatly diminishes once individuals exit the dormancy phase (i.e. processes leading to budburst) through full leaf expansion (Vitasse *et al.*, 2014; Lenz *et al.*, 2016). Thus, most individuals that initiate budburst and have not fully leafed out before the last spring freeze are at risk of leaf tissue loss, damage to the xylem, and slowed canopy development (Gu *et al.*, 2008; Hufkens *et al.*, 2012). Plants have adapted to these early spring risks through various mechanisms with one common strategy being avoidance (Vitasse *et al.*, 2014). Many temperate species minimize freeze risk and optimize growth by using a complex mix of cues to initiate budburst: low winter temperatures (i.e., chilling), warm spring temperatures (i.e., forcing), and increasing spring daylengths (i.e., photoperiod). With climate change advancing, the interaction of these cues may shift spring phenologies both across and within species and sites, making some species less—or more—vulnerable to false springs than before.

Species may vary in their false spring risk for several major reasons. Species that leafout first each spring may be especially at risk of false springs, as their budburst occurs during times of year when the risk of freeze events is relatively high. To date these early-leafout species also appear to advance the most with warming (Wolkovich *et al.*, 2012). Thus, if climate change increases only the prevalence of late spring freezes, we would expect major increases in false spring risk for these species. In contrast, if climate change has restructured the timing and prevalence of false springs to later in the spring, then later-leafout species may experience major increases in false spring risk with climate change. Additional complexity in these predictions, however, comes from the potential of species-level differences in in their tolerance of low temperatures during leafout (Lenz *et al.*, 2013), and how quickly they progress from budburst to full leafout—when leaf tissue is least resistant to low temperature (Augspurger, 2009; Lenz *et al.*, 2013; Muffler *et al.*, 2016; Zohner *et al.*, 2020).

Some research suggests false spring incidence has already begun to decline in many regions (i.e. across parts of North America and Asia); however, the prevalence of false springs has consistently increased across Europe since 1982 (Liu *et al.*, 2018). Understanding differing results across regions is difficult without understanding the underlying drivers of false spring risk. Recent site-specific studies have examined some drivers, including elevation, where higher elevations appear at higher risk (Vitra *et al.*, 2017; Ma *et al.*, 2018; Vitasse *et al.*, 2018), and distance from the coast, where inland areas appear at higher risk (Wypych *et al.*, 2016b; Ma *et al.*, 2018). Examining these drivers together, however, is likely necessary to determine which regions are at risk currently and which regions will be more at risk in the future. Most studies assess only one predictor (e.g. temperature, elevation or distance from the coast), making it difficult to examine how multiple factors may together shape risk. Further, because predictors can co-vary—for example, higher elevation sites are often more distant from the coast—the best estimates of what drives false springs should come from examining all predictors at once.

Estimates of what drives false spring risk should also examine if drivers are constant over time. With recent warming the importance of varying climatic factors on phenology has shifted (e.g., Cook & Wolkovich, 2016; Gauzere *et al.*, 2019), which could in turn impact false spring risk. The importance of elevation, for example, may decline with warming.

Because warming tends to be amplified at higher elevations (Giorgi *et al.*, 1997; Rangwala & Miller, 2012; Pepin *et al.*, 2015), which can lead to increasing uniformity of budburst timing across elevations with climate change (Vitasse *et al.*, 2018), we may expect a lower effect of elevation on false spring risk in recent years. Warming impacts also appear greater further away from the coast, which could in turn impact how distance from the coast affects risk today (Wypych *et al.*, 2016b; Ma *et al.*, 2018). Further, climate change can alter major climatic oscillations, including the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), which structures European climate. The NAO is tied to winter and spring circulation across Europe, with more positive NAO phases tending to result in higher than average winter and spring temperatures. With climate-change induced shifts, years with higher NAO indices have correlated to even earlier budburst dates since the late 1980s in some regions (Chmielewski & Rötzer, 2001), suggesting the NAO's role in determining false spring risk with warming could also shift with climate change. Little research to date, however, has examined this.

Here we investigate the influence of known climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk (defined here as when temperatures fell below -2.2 °C between estimated budburst and leafout for all species included in the study, Schwartz, 1993). We assessed the number of false springs that occurred at 11648 sites across Europe using observed phenological data (755087 observations) for six temperate, deciduous trees, combined with daily gridded climate data (from 1951-2016), to understand (1) which climatic and geographic factors are the strongest predictors of false spring risk, and (2) how these major predictors have shifted with climate change across species. We focus on the major factors shown to influence false spring risk: mean spring temperature, elevation, distance from the coast, and NAO.

Materials and Methods

Phenological Data and Calculating Vegetative Risk

We obtained phenological data from the Pan European Phenology network (PEP725, www.pep725.eu), which provides open access phenology records across Europe (Templ *et al.*, 2018). The phenological data spans large parts of Central Europe—primarily in Germany, Austria and Switzerland—and also covers parts of Ireland, the United Kingdom, the Mediterranean and Scandinavia (Figure 1(a)-(f)). Since plants are most susceptible to damage from freezing temperatures between budburst and full leafout, we selected first leaf data (i.e., in Meier, 2001, BBCH 11, which is defined as the point of leaf unfolding and the first visible leaf stalk) from the PEP725 dataset. Given our focus on understanding how climatic and geographic factors underlie false spring risk, we selected species well-represented across space and time and not expected to be altered dominantly by human influence (i.e., as crops and ornamental species often are), thus our selection criteria were as follows: (1) to be temperate, deciduous species that were not cultivars or used as crops, (2) there were at least 90,000 observations of BBCH 11 (leafout), (3) to represent over half of the total number of sites available (11648), and (4) there were observations for at least 65 out of the 66 years of the study (1951-2016) (Table S1). This resulted in six

species: *Aesculus hippocastanum* Poir. (Sapindaceae), *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn. (Betulaceae), *Betula pendula* Roth. (Betulaceae), *Fagus sylvatica* Ehrh. (Fagaceae), *Fraxinus excelsior* L. (Oleaceae), and *Quercus robur* L (Fagaceae).

Individuals are most at risk to damage in the spring between budburst and leafout, when freeze tolerance is lowest (Sakai & Larcher, 1987). To capture this 'high-risk' timeframe, we subtracted 12 days from the first leaf date to find budburst which is the average rate of budburst across multiple studies and species (Donnelly *et al.*, 2017; Flynn & Wolkovich, 2018; USA-NPN, 2019)—and then added 12 days from the first leaf date to find leafout to establish a standardized estimate for day of budburst, since the majority of the individuals were missing budburst and full leafout observations.

We additionally considered a model that altered the timing between budburst and leafout for each species. For this alternate model, we calculated budburst and leafout by subtracting and adding 11 days respectively from the first leaf date for *Aesculus hippocastanum* and *Betula pendula*, 12 days for *Alnus glutinosa*, 5 days for *Fagus sylvatica*, and 7 days for both *Fraxinus excelsior* and *Quercus robur* based on growth chamber experiment data from phylogenetically related species (Buerki *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2016; Hipp *et al.*, 2018; Flynn & Wolkovich, 2018, see supplemental materials 'Supporting Information Methods S1: Species rate of budburst calculations' for more details).

Climate Data

We collected daily gridded climate data from the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D) and used the E-OBS 0.25 degree regular latitude-longitude grid (version 16). E-OBS version 16 incorporates station altitude in the interpolation scheme, thus spatially explicit information on day-to-day variability in the environmental lapse rate is captured (Cornes *et al.*, 2018). We used this daily minimum temperature dataset to determine if a false spring occurred. We defined false springs as temperatures at or below -2.2 °C (Schwartz, 1993) between budburst to leafout. Decades of research has found that many species sustain damage between budburst and leafout when temperatures drop below -2.2 °C. However, as there is evidence of interspecific variation in spring freeze tolerance, we additionally performed our analyses considering a -5 °C (Sakai & Larcher, 1987; Lenz *et al.*, 2013) threshold for one model and performed an additional model considering varying temperature thresholds for different species (Lenz *et al.*, 2016; Muffler *et al.*, 2016; Zohner *et al.*, 2020): with -5 °

C for early-leafout species (i.e., *Aesculus hippocastanum*, *Alnus glutinosa* and *Betula pendula*) and -2.2 C for late-leafout species (i.e., *Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior* and *Quercus robur*). In order to assess climatic effects, we calculated the mean spring temperature by using the daily mean temperature from March 1 through May 31. We used this date range to best capture temperatures likely after chilling had accumulated to compare differences in spring forcing temperatures across sites (Basler & Körner, 2012; Körner *et al.*, 2016). We collected NAO-index data from the KNMI Climate Explorer CPC daily NAO time series and selected the NAO indices from November until April to capture the effects of NAO on budburst for each region. We then took the mean NAO index during these months (KNMI, 2018). More positive NAO indices typically result in higher than average winter and spring temperatures across Central Europe. Since the primary aim

of the study is to predict false spring incidence in a changing climate, we split the data to create a binary 'climate change' parameter: before temperature trends increased (1951-1983), reported as '0' in the model, and after trends increased (1984-2016, Stocker *et al.*, 2013; Kharouba *et al.*, 2018) to represent recent climate change, reported as '1' in the model.

Data Analysis

Simple regression models

We initially ran three simple regression models—following the same equation (below) but with varying response variables—to assess the effects of climate change on budburst, minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout and the number of false springs across species (Equation 1).

 $\varepsilon_i \sim Normal(y \setminus s \setminus do5(i))$

$$y_i = \alpha_{[i]} + \beta_{ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange \times Species_{[i]}} + \varepsilon_{[i]}$$

Main Model

To best compare across the effects of each climatic and geographic variable, we scaled all of the predictors to a z-score following the binary predictor approach (Gelman & Hill, 2006). To control for spatial autocorrelation and to account for spatially structured processes independent from our regional predictors of false springs, we generated an additional 'space' parameter for the model. To generate our space parameter we first extracted spatial eigenvectors corresponding to our analyses' units and selected the subset that minimizes spatial autocorrelation of the residuals of a model including all predictors except for the space parameter (Diniz-Filho *et al.*, 2012; Bauman *et al.*, 2017, see supplemental materials 'Supporting Information Methods S2: Spatial parameter' for more details). We then took the eigenvector subset determined from the minimization of Moran's *I* in the residuals (MIR approach) and regressed them against the above residuals—i.e. number of false springs *vs.* climatic and geographical factors. Finally we used the fitted values of that regression as our space parameter, which, by definition, represents the portion of the variation in false springs that is both spatially structured and independent from all other predictors in the model (e.g. average spring temperature, elevation, etc. Griffith & Peres-Neto, 2006; Morales-Castilla *et al.*, 2012). A spatial predictor generated in this way has three major advantages. First, it ensures that no spatial autocorrelation is left in model residuals. Second, it avoids introducing

collinearity issues with other predictors in the model. And third, it can be interpreted as a latent variable summarizing spatial processes (e.g. local adaptation, plasticity, etc.) occurring at multiple scales.

To estimate the probability of false spring risk across species and our predictors we used a Bayesian modeling approach. By including all parameters in the model, as well as species, we were able to distinguish the strongest contributing factors to false spring risk. We fit a Bernoulli distribution model (also know as a logistic regression) using mean spring temperature (written as MST in the model equation), NAO, elevation, distance from the coast (written as DistanceCoast in the model equation), space, and climate change as predictors and all two-way interactions and species as two-way interactions (Equation 2), using the brms package (Bürkner, 2017), version 2.3.1, in R (R Development Core Team, 2017), version 3.3.1, and was written as follows:

$$y_i \sim Binomial(1)2$$

$$logit(p) = \alpha_{[i]} + \beta_{MST_{[i]}} + \beta_{DistanceCoast_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation_{[i]}} + \beta_{NAO_{[i]}} + \beta_{Space_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Species_{[i]}} +$$

$$+\beta_{MST \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{DistanceCoast \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{NAO \times Species_{[i]}}$$

$$+\beta_{Space \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{MST \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

$$+\beta_{DistanceCoast \times ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

$$+\beta_{NAO \times ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Space \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

We ran four chains of 4 000 iterations, each with 2 500 warm-up iterations for a total of 6 000 posterior samples for each predictor using weakly informative priors. Increasing priors five-fold did not impact our results. We evaluated our model performance based on *R* values that were close to one. We also evaluated effective sample size estimates, which were 1 994 or above. We additionally assessed chain convergence visually and posterior predictive checks. Due to the large number of observations in the data we used the FASRC Cannon cluster (FAS Division of Science Research Computing Group at Harvard University) to run the model.

Model estimates were on the logit scale (shown in all tables) and were converted to probability percentages in all figures for easier interpretation by following (Gelman & Hill, 2006). These values were then back converted to the original scale

by multiplying by two standard deviations. We calculated overall estimates (i.e., across species) of main effects in Figure 2, Figure S1, Figure S2 and S3 from the average of the posteriors of each effect by species. We report all estimated values in-text as mean \pm 98% uncertainty intervals, unless otherwise noted.

Results

Basic shifts in budburst and number of false springs

Day of budburst varied across the six species and across geographical gradients (Figures 1 and 3). *Betula pendula*, *Aesculus hippocastanum*, *Alnus glutinosa* (Figure 1**a-c**) generally initiated budburst earlier than *Fagus sylvatica*, *Quercus robur*, and *Fraxinus excelsior* (Figure 1**d-f**). Across all six species, higher latitude sites and sites closer to the coast tended to initiate budburst later in the season (Figure 1(a)-(f)).

Across species, budburst dates advanced 6.41 ± 0.29 days after 1983 (Table S2) and minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout increased by 0.58 ± 0.03 °C after climate change (Table S3). This trend in advancing day of budburst for each species corresponds closely with increasing mean spring temperatures (Figure 3(a)-(f)). While all species initiated budburst approximately seven days earlier (Figure 4a, Table S2 and Table S4), the average minimum temperature between budburst and leafout varied across the six species with *Betula pendula* and *Aesculus hippocastanum* experiencing the lowest minimum temperatures (Figure 4b), *Quercus robur* and *Fraxinus excelsior* experiencing the highest minimum temperatures, and *Fraxinus excelsior* experiencing the greatest variation (Figure 4b).

A simplistic view of changes in false springs—one that does not consider changes in climatic and geographic factors or effects of spatial autocorrelation—suggests that the number of false springs increased across species by 0.44% (\pm 1.21) after climate change (i.e., after 1983), but with important variation by species (Figure 4c). Early-leafout species (*Aesculus hippocastanum*, *Alnus glutinosa* and *Betula pendula*) showed an increased risk whereas later species (*Fagus sylvatica* and *Quercus robur*) generally showed a decrease in risk, except for *Fraxinus excelsior*, which also showed an increase in risk (Table S5).

The effects of climatic and geographic variation coupled with climate change on false spring risk

Climatic and geographic factors underlie variation across years and space in false springs (Figure 2 and Table Error! **Reference source not found.**) before recent climate change (1983). Mean spring temperature had a negative effect on false springs, with warmer spring temperatures resulting is fewer false springs (Figure 2 and Table S6; comparable

estimates come from using standardized variables—reported as 'standard units,' see 'Materials and Methods: Main Model' for more details). For every 2 °C increase in mean spring temperature there was a -3.27% in the probability of a false spring (-0.2 \pm 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit). Distance from the coast had the strongest effect on false spring incidence. Individuals at sites further from the coast tended to have earlier leafout dates, which corresponded to an increased risk in false springs (Figure 2 and Table **Error! Reference source not found.**). For every 150km away from the coast there was a 3.77% increase in risk in false spring (0.28 \pm 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit). Sites at higher elevations also had higher risks of false spring incidence—likely due to more frequent colder temperatures—with a 3.38% increase in risk for every 200m increase in elevation (0.29 \pm 0.08 probability of false spring/standard unit, Figure 2 and Table S6). More positive NAO indices, which generally advance leafout, heightened the risk of false spring, with every 0.3 unit increase in NAO index there was a 3.42% increased risk in false spring or 0.26 \pm 0.05 probability of false spring/standard unit (Figure 2 and Table S6).

These effects varied across species (Figure 5). While there were fewer false springs for each species with increasing mean spring temperatures, *Betula pendula*—an early-leafout species—had the greatest risk of false springs and *Fraxinus excelsior*—a late-leafout species—had the lowest risk (Figure 5a), though *Fagus sylvatica* had the biggest change in risk with increasing mean spring temperature. There was an increased risk of false spring for all species at sites further from the coast (Figure 5b), with a sharp increase in risk for *Fraxinus excelsior* at sites further from the coast. With increasing elevation, all species had a greater risk of a false spring, except for *Fraxinus excelsior*, which had a slightly decreased risk at higher elevations (Figure 5c). With increasing NAO indices, the risk of false spring increased for all species, but *Fagus sylvatica* experienced the greatest change in risk with higher NAO indices (Figure 5d).

After climate change, the effects of these climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk shifted (Figure 2). With climate change, the effect of mean spring temperature on false spring risk remained consistent, where warmer sites still tended to have lower risks of false springs -3.39% in risk per 2 °C (or -0.14 \pm 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit versus -3.27% per 2 °C or -0.2 before climate change; Figure 2 and Figure S4**a**). The level of risk also remained consistent before and after 1983 at sites further from the coast (Figure 2 and Figure S4**b**). With warming, there was a large reduction in risk in false springs at higher elevations (Figure 2 and Figure S4**c**), with 0.18% increase in risk per 150km (or 0.02 \pm 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit versus 3.38% increase 150km or 0.29 \pm 0.08 before climate change). The rate of false spring incidence largely decreased after climate change with increasing NAO indices (Figure 2 and Figure S4**d**), with a -4.07% in risk per 0.3 unit increase in the NAO index (or -0.84 \pm 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit or versus 3.42% per 0.3 unit increase in the NAO index or 0.26 \pm 0.06 before climate change). After climate change, NAO had the strongest effect on false spring risk, with higher NAO indices rendering fewer false springs.

Overall, there was little change in false spring risk across all species (-0.79% or -0.03 in probability of risk/standard unit), due to climate change (after 1983) that was not otherwise explained the climatic and geographic factors we examined. This effect, however, varied by species, with an 2.97% increased risk in false springs after climate change for *Aesculus*

hippocastanum (or 0.12 ± 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit; Figure 2, Figure 5d and Table S6), a 4.39% increase for *Alnus glutinosa*, and a 4.04% increase for *Betula pendula* (or a 0.18 ± 0.09 and 0.16 ± 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit respectively; Figure 2, Figure 5e and Table S6). Climate change decreased risk by -4.48% for *Fagus sylvatica*, *Fraxinus excelsior* by -6.99% and *Quercus robur* by -4.66% (or -0.178 \pm 0.09, -0.28 \pm 0.11 and -0.19 \pm 0.09 probability of false spring/standard unit respectively; Figure 2, Figure 2, Figure 5e and Table S6).

Sensitivity of results to duration of risk and temperature thresholds

Our results remained consistent (in direction and magnitude) when we applied different rates of leafout for each species (i.e., varied the length of time between estimated budburst and leafout). Mean spring temperature (-3.79% for every 2 °C or -0.24 \pm 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit), distance from the coast (3.81% increase for every 150km or 0.29 \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (2.94% increase for every 200m or 0.25 \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (2.94% increase for every 200m or 0.25 \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.67% increase for every 0.3 or 0.27 \pm 0.05 probability of risk/standard unit) all contributed to false spring risk (Figure **Error! Reference source not found.** and Table S7). After climate change, results also were congruous with our main findings (Figure S1, Table S7 and Figure S5).

Results also remained generally consistent when we applied a lower temperature threshold for defining a false spring (i.e., -5 °C), though there were more shifts in the magnitude of some effects, especially those of climate change. Mean spring temperature (-10.66% for every 2 °C or -0.67 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit) was the strongest predictor but distance from the coast (2.85% increase in risk for every 150km or 0.22 \pm 0.13 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (7.1% increase in risk for every 200m or 0.61 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.62% increase in risk for every 0.3 or 0.27 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit) all contributed to risk of false spring. There was greater increase in false spring risk due to the residual climate change effect across all six species combined, though the greatest increase was in the early-leafout species (8.83% increase or 0.35 \pm 0.11 probability of risk/standard unit; Figure S2, Table S8 and Figure S6).

Results of climatic and geographic effects, again, remained consistent in our varying threshold model (where we defined a false spring as -5 °C for early-leafout species and -2.2 °C for late-leafout species) with all predictors contributing to risk: mean spring temperature (-10.38% for every 2 ° or -0.65 \pm 0.13 probability of risk/standard unit), distance from the coast (2.41% for every 2 °C or 0.18 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (7.48% for every 200m or 0.64 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.74% for every 2 ° or 0.28 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit). There was also a slight increase in false spring risk due to the residual effect of climate change across all six species (3.59% increase or 0.14 \pm 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit; Figure **Error! Reference source not found.** and Table S9). In contrast to our other models, in this model late-leafout species (i.e., *Fagus sylvatica, Quercus robur, Fraxinus excelsior*)

experienced more false springs than the early-leafout species (i.e., *Aesculus hippocastanum*, *Alnus glutinosa*, *Betula pendula*), though after climate change all species experienced a more similar magnitude of risk (Figure S7).

Discussion

Integrating over 66 years of data, 11648 sites across Central Europe and major climatic and geographic factors, our results suggest climate change has reshaped the factors that drive false spring risk. Our results support that higher elevations tend to experience more false springs (Vitra *et al.*, 2017; Vitasse *et al.*, 2018) and sites that are generally warmer have lower risks of false springs (Wypych *et al.*, 2016a). Individuals further from the coast typically initiated leafout earlier in the season, which subsequently increased risk and, similarly, years with higher NAO indices experienced an increase in risk.

The effect of many of these factors on false spring risk have changed with climate change, with the effects of the NAO and elevation shifting the most after 1983, while the effects of distance from the coast and mean spring temperature shifting comparably little (Figure **Error! Reference source not found.**). These shifts in the influence of climatic and geographic factors subsequently result in different effects of climate change on species. The late-leafout species (e.g. *Fraxinus excelsior* and *Quercus robur*) have experienced decreases while the early-leafout species (e.g., *Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa* and *Betula pendula*) have experienced increases in risk, though these results depended on a common temperature threshold across all species to define false springs. Together, our results highlight where we have a more robust understanding of what drivers underlie shifts in false spring and for which species, and where we most critically need greater understanding.

Climatic and geographic effects on false spring risk

Past studies, often considering few drivers of false spring events (Wypych *et al.*, 2016b; Liu *et al.*, 2018; Ma *et al.*, 2018; Vitasse *et al.*, 2018), have led to contradictory predictions in future false spring risk. By integrating both climate gradients and geographical factors, we found that all factors contributed to false spring risk, emphasizing the need to incorporate multiple predictors to better understand false spring risk.

Climatic and geographic factors varied in how consistent, or not, they were across species. Mean spring temperature, distance from the coast and NAO effects were fairly consistent across species in direction, though *Fraxinus excelsior* experienced a much greater increase in risk at sites further from the coast and *Fagus sylvatica* had a heightened risk to higher NAO indices compared to the other species. Elevation was the only factor that varied in direction among the species with most species having an increased risk at higher elevations except for *Fraxinus excelsior*. These inconsistencies may capture range differences among species, with potentially contrasting effects of factors on individuals closer to range edges (Chuine & Beaubien, 2001).

Adding to this species-level complexity, the strength of these climatic and geographic effects has shifted since the onset of recent major climate change. After climate change, we found a decreased risk for individuals at higher elevations after climate change, in line with findings that warming has caused more uniform budburst across elevations (Vitasse *et al.*, 2018). Additionally, our results show a large decrease in risk of false springs with higher NAO indices, switching the role of NAO from increasing to decreasing false spring risk. This could be because high NAO conditions no longer lead to temperatures low enough to trigger a false spring—that is, climate-change induced warming coupled with high NAO conditions, which increase spring temperatures, could reduce the likelihood of freezing temperatures, leading to a decreased risk of false spring conditions (Screen, 2017).

Variation in risk across species

In addition to the shifts in climatic and geographic factors with climate change, we found that climate change has potentially increased differences in risk between early- and late-leafout species. Assuming a common threshold for damage of $-2.2 \degree$ C, before 1983 false spring risk was slightly higher for species initiating leafout earlier in the spring but overall the risk was more consistent across species (Figure 5e). After climate change species differences in risk amplified: the early-leafout species (i.e., *Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa* and *Betula pendula*) had an increased risk and the later-leafout species (i.e., *Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior* and *Quercus robur*) had a decreased risk (Figure 5e).

These results, however, hold only for using a common threshold for false spring risk across species. When we applied a model with varying thresholds for early and late species (-5 °C for early-leafout species and -2.2 °C for late-leafout species), we found contrasting results: with late species having the highest overall risk of false springs and climate change making the risks across species more similar. This is in some ways not surprising as this model more than doubles the threshold for a false spring event for early compared to late species thus biasing the model to find such differences, but it highlights the importance of continued research (e.g., Lenz *et al.*, 2013; Muffler *et al.*, 2016; Zohner *et al.*, 2020) to estimate the temperature threshold across species, and shows species-level findings can be highly dependent on this threshold. In contrast models using species-specific time periods for budburst to leafout or varying the temperature threshold for a false spring event across all species showed similar results to our main model.

Our model estimates further show how climatic and geographic factors shape differences in species' risk, highlighting the insight these factors can provide beyond simple estimates of absolute changes in number of false springs across species (e.g., Figure 4c). Our models generally showed that the three early-leafout species (*Betula pendula, Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa*) experienced large effects of climate change on false spring—outside of impacts through climatic or geographic factors—*Fagus sylvatica* experienced the greatest effects of climate change and the late-leafout species (*Fraxinus excelsior* and *Quercus robur*) experienced very small effects of climate change. These results suggest the climatic and geographic factors we examined are perhaps better at capturing variation in false spring risk for later species, but that we still fundamentally lack information on what drives false spring risk for most species. While our model

examines the major factors expected to influence false spring risk (Wypych *et al.*, 2016b; Liu *et al.*, 2018; Ma *et al.*, 2018; Vitasse *et al.*, 2018), these results highlight the need to explore other climatic factors to improve forecasting. We expect factors that affect budburst timing, such as shifts in over-winter chilling temperature or greater climatic stochasticity earlier in the season, may help explain these discrepancies. Progress, however, will require improved models of chilling beyond the current models, which were mainly developed for perennial crops (Dennis, 2003; Luedeling & Brown, 2011).

Our results and others (Ma *et al.*, 2018) suggest phenological differences between species may predict their changing false spring risk with warming, but further understanding species differences will require more data and new approaches. Our focus on understanding shifting climatic and geographic factors led us to limit our study to the few species well sampled over space and time. Data on more species are available (e.g., Ma *et al.*, 2018), but are sampled spatially and temporally much more variably. Thus, analyses of more species will need alternative datasets, or approaches that can detect and limit bias produced by uneven sampling of species across space and time.

Though our study focuses only on Central Europe, overall habitat preference and range differences among the species could also explain some of the species-specific variation in the results (Chuine *et al.*, 2001). The ranges of the predictors are similar across species within our dataset, but *Betula pendula* extends to the highest elevation and latitude and spans the greatest range of distances from the coast (Figure 1(a)-(f)) while *Quercus robur* experiences the greatest range of mean spring temperatures. Within our species, *Betula pendula* has the largest global distribution, extending the furthest north and east into Asia. The distribution of *Fraxinus excelsior* extends the furthest south (into the northern region of Iran). These global range differences could potentially underlie the unexplained effect of climate change seen in our results and why the climatic and geographic factors failed to explain all of the variation in false spring risk for our species. Though testing these hypotheses would require extending data across species' ranges (as our dataset does not cover these species full ranges) and would require data on more species—and species that vary strongly in their climatic and geographic ranges—for robust analyses. Such research may be particularly useful if it connects how range and habitat differences translate into differences in physiological tolerances and the underlying controllers of budburst and leafout phenology—the factors that proximately shape false spring risk.

Forecasting false springs

Our study shows that multiple major climatic and geographic factors underlie false spring risk in Europe, highlighting that robust forecasting will need to integrate over these factors across species and time. Of the four climatic and geographic factors we examined, the effects of mean spring temperature and distance from the coast remained relatively stable compared to elevation and NAO, suggesting stability in some factors over time. This is perhaps not surprising as climate change is shifting critical spring temperatures—and ultimately the environmental drivers of phenology (Gauzere *et al.*, 2019)—and reshaping the temporal and spatial dynamics of how climate affects budburst, leafout and freezing temperatures. Yet it does suggest that despite evidence that climate change has greater impacts on sites further from the coast (Harrington & Gould, 2015), warming does not restructure the effect of distance from the coast on false spring risk.

Moving forward more data on more species, especially including data on impacts of false spring on growth and survival, will be critical for estimates at community or ecosystem scales. Our results rely on an index of false spring risk to estimate when damage may have occurred; it does not assess the intensity or severity of the false spring events observed, nor does it record the amount of damage to individuals. A major gap is linking this index consistently to tissue damage and longer-term impacts on growth, which may vary by species (Lenz *et al.*, 2013; Körner *et al.*, 2016; Bennett *et al.*, 2018; Zhuo *et al.*, 2018). Some species or individuals may be less freeze tolerant (i.e., are damaged from higher temperatures than -2.2

 $^{\circ}$ C), whereas other species or individuals may be able to tolerate temperatures as low as -8.5 $^{\circ}$ C (Lenz *et al.*, 2016), and our results suggest these differences can be critical to species-level estimates (if not overall effects of climatic and geographic factors). Further, cold tolerance can be highly influenced by fall and winter climatic dynamics that influence tissue hardiness (Charrier *et al.*, 2011; Vitasse *et al.*, 2014; Hofmann & Bruelheide, 2015) and can also influence budburst timing (Morin *et al.*, 2007). Thus, we expect budburst, leafout and hardiness are likely integrated and that useful forecasting will require far better species-specific models of all these factors—including whether budburst and hardiness may be inter-related.

Our results highlight how climate change complicates forecasting through multiple levels. It has shifted the influence of climatic and geographic factors, fundamentally reshaping relationships with major climatic and geographic factors such that relationships before climate change no longer hold. It has also potentially magnified species-level variation in false spring risk. Layered onto this complexity is further effects of climate change that suggest we are missing key factors that drive interspecific variation in false spring risk. Our study focuses on one region (i.e., Central Europe) with high-quality and abundant phenological data, and may guide approaches in other systems to identify not only which species will be more vulnerable to false springs, but also where in their distributions they will be at risk. Integrating these findings into future models will provide more robust forecasts and help us unravel the complexities of climate change effects across species.

Acknowledgments

We thank our reviewers, D. Buonaiuto, W. Daly, A. Ettinger, J. Gersony, D. Loughnan, A. Manandhar and D. Sohdi for their continued feedback and insights that greatly improved the manuscript.

Author Contribution

C.J.C. performed the analyses and produced all figures and tables. C.J.C., E.M.W., B.I.C conceived of many aspects of the study and analysis and identified climatic parameters and datasets; I.M-C enhanced the modelling parameters and controlled for spatial autocorrelation issues. All authors contributed to the study design and edited the manuscript.

Data, Code & Model Output:

Phenological data is available at the Pan European Phenology network webpage (PEP725, www.pep725.eu). Data and code from the analyses will be available via KNB upon publication and are available to all reviewers upon request. Raw data, Stan model code and output are available on github at https://github.com/cchambel2/regionalrisk and provided upon request.

References

- Augspurger CK (2009) Spring 2007 warmth and frost: phenology, damage and refoliation in a temperate deciduous forest. *Functional Ecology*, **23**, 1031–1039. 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01587.x.
- Augspurger CK (2013) Reconstructing patterns of temperature, phenology, and frost damage over 124 years: Spring damage risk is increasing. *Ecology*, 94, 41–50. 10.1890/12-0200.1.
- Basler D, Körner C (2012) Photoperiod sensitivity of bud burst in 14 temperate forest tree species. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 165, 73–81. 10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.06.001.
- Bauman D, Drouet T, Dray S, Vleminckx J (2017) Disentangling good from bad practices in the selection of spatial or phylogenetic eigenvectors. *Ecography*. 10.1111/ecog.03380.
- Bennett JM, Calosi P, Clusella-Trullas S, Martínez B, Sunday J, Algar AC, Araújo MB, Hawkins BA, Keith S, Kühn I, et al. (2018) Globtherm, a global database on thermal tolerances for aquatic and terrestrial organisms. Scientific Data, 5, 180022. 10.1038/sdata.2018.22. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.22.
- Buerki S, Lowry II P, Alvarez N, Razafimandimbison S, Kupfer P, Callmander M (2010) Phylogeny and circumscription of *Sapindaceae* revisited: Molecular sequence data, morphology and biogeography support recognition of a new family, *Xanthoceraceae*. *Plant Ecology and Evolution*, **143**, 148–159. 10.5091/plecevo.2010.437.

Bürkner PC (2017) brms: An R Package for Bayesia Multilevel Models. Journal of Statistical Software, 80, 1-28.

- Charrier G, Bonhomme M, Lacointe A, Améglio T (2011) Are budburst dates, dormancy and cold acclimation in walnut trees (juglans regia l.) under mainly genotypic or environmental control? *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 55, 763–774. 10.1007/s00484-011-0470-1. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0470-1.
- Chen X, Hu B, Yu R (2005) Spatial and temporal variation of phenological growing season and climate change impacts in temperate eastern China. *Global Change Biology*, **11**, 1118–1130. 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00974.x.
- Chmielewski FM, Rötzer T (2001) Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **108**, 101 – 112. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00233-7.

- Chuine I, Aitken SN, Ying CC (2001) Temperature thresholds of shoot elongation in provenances of *Pinus contorta*. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **31**, 1444–1455. 10.1139/x01-072. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/x01-072.
- Chuine I, Beaubien EG (2001) Phenology is a major determinant of tree species range. *Ecology Letters*, **4**, 500–510. 10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00261.x. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00261.x.
- Cook BI, Wolkovich EM (2016) Climate change decouples drought from early wine grape harvests in france. *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 715–719. 10.1038/nclimate2960. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2960.
- Cornes RC, van der Schrier G, van den Besselaar EJM, Jones PD (2018) An Ensemble Version of the E-OBS Temperature and Precipitation Data Sets. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, **123**, 9391–9409. 10.1029/2017JD028200. URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2017JD028200.
- Dennis F (2003) Problems in standardizing methods for evaluating the chilling requirements for the breaking of dormancy in buds of woody plants. *HortScience*, **38**, 347–350.
- Diniz-Filho JAF, Bini LM, Rangel TF, Morales-Castilla I, Olalla-Tárraga MÁ, Rodríguez MÁ, Hawkins BA (2012) On the selection of phylogenetic eigenvectors for ecological analyses. *Ecography*, **35**, 239–249.
- Donnelly A, Yu R, Caffarra A, Hanes JM, Liang L, Desai AR, Liu L, Schwartz MD (2017) Interspecific and interannual variation in the duration of spring phenophases in a northern mixed forest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **243**, 55–67.
- Flynn DFB, Wolkovich EM (2018) Temperature and photoperiod drive spring phenology across all species in a temperate forest community. *New Phytologist*, **219**, 1353–1362.
- Fu YH, Piao S, Op de Beeck M, Cong N, Zhao H, Zhang Y, Menzel A, Janssens IA (2014) Recent spring phenology shifts in western central europe based on multiscale observations. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23, 1255–1263. 10.1111/geb.12210. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12210.
- Gauzere J, Lucas C, Ronce O, Davi H, Chuine I (2019) Sensitivity analysis of tree phenology models reveals increasing sensitivity of their predictions to winter chilling temperature and photoperiod with warming climate. *Ecological Modelling*, **411**, 108805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108805. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304380019303138.

Gelman A, Hill J (2006) Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Cambridge university press.

Giorgi F, Hurrell JW, Marinucci MR, Beniston M (1997) Elevation dependency of the surface climate change signal: a model study. *Journal of Climate*, **10**, 288–296

- Griffith DA, Peres-Neto PR (2006) Spatial modeling in ecology: the flexibility of eigenfunction spatial analyses. *Ecology*, **87**, 2603–2613.
- Gu L, Hanson PJ, Post WM, Kaiser DP, Yang B, Nemani R, Pallardy SG, Meyers T (2008) The 2007 Eastern US spring freeze: Increased cold damage in a warming world. *BioScience*, 58, 253. 10.1641/B580311.
- Hänninen H (1991) Does climatic warming increase the risk of frost damage in northern trees? *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 14, 449–454. 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1991.tb01514.x.
- Harrington CA, Gould PJ (2015) Tradeoffs between chilling and forcing in satisfying dormancy requirements for pacific northwest tree species. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **6**. 10.3389/fpls.2015.00120. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00120.
- Hipp A, S Manos P, González-Rodríguez A, Hahn M, Kaproth M, McVay J, Avalos S, Cavender-Bares J. (2018)
 Sympatric parallel diversification of major oak clades in the Americas and the origins of Mexican species diversity. *New Phytologist*, 217, 439–452. 10.
- Hofmann M, Bruelheide H (2015) Frost hardiness of tree species is independent of phenology and macroclimatic niche. *Journal of Biosciences*, **40**, 147–157. 10.1007/s12038-015-9505-9.
- Hufkens K, Friedl MA, Keenan TF, Sonnentag O, Bailey A, O'Keefe J, Richardson AD (2012) Ecological impacts of a widespread frost event following early spring leaf-out. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 2365–2377. 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02712.x.
- Inouye DW (2008) Effects of climate change on phenology, frost damage, and floral abundance of montane wildflowers. *Ecology*, **89**, 353–362.
- IPCC (2015) Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change, vol. 3. Cambridge University Press.
- Kharouba HM, Ehrlén J, Gelman A, Bolmgren K, Allen JM, Travers SE, Wolkovich EM (2018) Global shifts in the phenological synchrony of species interactions over recent decades. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **115**, 5211–5216. 10.1073/pnas.1714511115.
- Klosterman S, Hufkens K, Richardson AD (2018) Later springs green-up faster: the relation between onset and completion of green-up in deciduous forests of North America. *International Journal of Biometeorology*. 10.1007/s00484-018-1564-9.

KNMI (2018) Daily CPC NAO data. URL

https://climexp.knmi.nl/getindices.cgi?WMO=NCEPData/cpc\s\do5(n)ao\s\do5(d)ail y STATION=NAO TYPE=i id=someone@somewhere NPERYEAR=366.

- Kollas C, Körner C, Randin CF (2014) Spring frost and growing season length co-control the cold range limits of broadleaved trees. *Journal of Biogeography*, **41**, 773–783. 10.1111/jbi.12238.
- Körner C, Basler D, Hoch G, Kollas C, Lenz A, Randin CF, Vitasse Y, Zimmermann NE. (2016) Where, why and how? Explaining the low-temperature range limits of temperate tree species. *Journal of Ecology*, **104**, 1076–1088.
 10.1111/1365-2745.12574. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12574.
- Kramer K (1994) A modelling analysis of the effects of climatic warming on the probability of spring frost damage to tree species in The Netherlands and Germany. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **17**, 367–377. 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1994.tb00305.x.
- Kukal MS, Irmak S (2018) U.S. Agro-Climate in 20th century: growing degree days, first and last frost, growing season length, and impacts on crop yields. *Scientific Reports*, **8**. 10.1038/s41598-018-25212-2.
- Labe Z, Ault T, Zurita-Milla R (2016) Identifying anomalously early spring onsets in the CESM large ensemble project. *Climate Dynamics*, 48, 3949–3966. 10.1007/s00382-016-3313-2.
- Lenz A, Hoch G, Körner C, Vitasse Y (2016) Convergence of leaf-out towards minimum risk of freezing damage in temperate trees. *Functional Ecology*, **30**, 1–11. 10.1111/1365-2435.12623.
- Lenz A, Hoch G, Vitasse Y, Körner C (2013) European deciduous trees exhibit similar safety margins against damage by spring freeze events along elevational gradients. *New Phytologist*, **200**, 1166–1175. 10.1111/nph.12452.
- Liu Q, Piao S, Janssens IA, Fu Y, Peng S, Lian X, Ciais P, Myneni RB, Peñuelas J, Wang T (2018) Extension of the growing season increases vegetation exposure to frost. *Nature Communications*, **9**. 10.1038/s41467-017-02690-y.
- Liu X, Yin Z, Shao X, Qin N (2006) Temporal trends and variability of daily maximum and minimum, extreme temperature events, and growing season length over the eastern and central Tibetan Plateau during 1961–2003. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, **111**. 10.1029/2005JD006915.
- Luedeling E, Brown PH (2011) A global analysis of the comparability of winter chill models for fruit and nut trees. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **55**, 411–421.
- Ma Q, Huang JG, Hänninen H, Berninger F (2018) Divergent trends in the risk of spring frost damage to trees in europe with recent warming. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 351–360. 10.1111/gcb.14479.
- Martin M, Gavazov K, Körner C, Hattenschwiler S, Rixen C (2010) Reduced early growing season freezing resistance in alpine treeline plants under elevated atmospheric CO₂. Global Change Biology, 16, 1057–1070. 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01987.x.

- Meier U (2001) Growth stages of mono-and dicotyledonous plants BBCH Monograph Edited by Uwe Meier Federal
 Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry. *Agriculture*, **12**, 141----147 ST ---- Geochemical study of the organic mat. 10.5073/bbch0515.
- Menzel A, Helm R, Zang C (2015) Patterns of late spring frost leaf damage and recovery in a European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) stand in south-eastern Germany based on repeated digital photographs. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **6**, 110. 10.3389/fpls.2015.00110.
- Morales-Castilla I, Olalla-Tarraga MA, Purvis A, Hawkins BA, Rodriguez MA (2012) The imprint of cenozoic migrations and evolutionary history on the biogeographic gradient of body size in new world mammals. *The American Naturalist*, **180**, 246–256.
- Morin X, Améglio T, Ahas R, Kurz-Besson C, Lanta V, Lebourgeois F, Miglietta F, Chuine I (2007) Variation in cold hardiness and carbohydrate concentration from dormancy induction to bud burst among provenances of three European oak species. *Tree Physiology*, 27, 817–825. 10.1093/treephys/27.6.817. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.6.817.
- Muffler L, Beierkuhnlein C, Aas G, Jentsch A, Schweiger AH, Zohner C, Kreyling J (2016) Distribution ranges and spring phenology explain late frost sensitivity in 170 woody plants from the Northern Hemisphere. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 25, 1061–1071. 10.1111/geb.12466.
- Pepin N, Bradley RS, Diaz HF, Baraer M, Caceres EB, Forsythe N, Fowler H, Greenwood G, Hashmi MZ, Liu, XD, et al. (2015) Elevation-dependent warming in mountain regions of the world. *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 424–430. 10.1038/nclimate2563. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2563.
- R Development Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.*
- Rangwala I, Miller JR (2012) Climate change in mountains: a review of elevation-dependent warming and its possible causes. *Climatic Change*, **114**, 527–547. 10.1007/s10584-012-0419-3. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0419-3.
- Richardson AD, Keenan TF, Migliavacca M, Ryu Y, Sonnentag O, Toomey M (2013) Climate change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 169, 156 – 173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.09.012.
- Sakai A, Larcher W (1987) Frost Survival of Plants. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Scheifinger H, Menzel A, Koch E, Peter C (2003) Trends of spring time frost events and phenological dates in Central Europe. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **74**, 41–51. 10.1007/s00704-002-0704-6.
- Schwartz MD (1993) Assessing the onset of spring: A climatological perspective. Physical Geography, 14(6), 536–550.

- Screen JA (2017) The missing northern european winter cooling response to arctic sea ice loss. *Nature Communications*, **8**, 14603. 10.1038/ncomms14603. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14603.
- Sgubin G, Swingedouw D, Dayon G, de Cortázar-Atauri IG, Ollat N, Pagé C, van Leeuwen C (2018) The risk of tardive frost damage in French vineyards in a changing climate. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 250-251, 226 242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.12.253.
- Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM (2013) Climate
 Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1535 pp.
- Templ B, Koch E, Bolmgren K, Ungersböck M, Paul A, Scheifinger H, Rutishauser T, Busto M, Chmielewski, FM,
 Hájková *et al.* (2018) Pan European Phenological database (PEP725): a single point of access for European data. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62, 1109–1113. 10.1007/s00484-018-1512-8. URL
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-1512-8.
- USA-NPN (2019) Plant and animal phenology data. USA National Phenology Network. 10.5066/F78S4N1V. URL http://doi.org/10.5066/F78S4N1V.
- Vitasse Y, Lenz A, Körner C (2014) The interaction between freezing tolerance and phenology in temperate deciduous trees. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **5**. 10.3389/fpls.2014.00541.
- Vitasse Y, Schneider L, Rixen C, Christen D, Rebetez M (2018) Increase in the risk of exposure of forest and fruit trees to spring frosts at higher elevations in Switzerland over the last four decades. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 248, 60 69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.09.005.
- Vitra A, Lenz A, Vitasse Y (2017) Frost hardening and dehardening potential in temperate trees from winter to budburst. *New Phytologist*, **216**, 113–123. 10.1111/nph.14698.
- Wang N, McAllister HA, Bartlett PR, Buggs RJA (2016) Molecular phylogeny and genome size evolution of the genus *Betula* (Betulaceae). *Annals of Botany*, **117**, 1023–1035. 10.1093/aob/mcw048.
- Wolkovich EM, Cook BI, Allen JM, Crimmins TM, Betancourt JL, Travers SE, Pau S, Regetz J, Davies TJ, Kraft NJ B *et al.* (2012) Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change. *Nature*, **485**, 18–21. 10.1038/nature11014.
- Wypych A, Sulikowska A, Ustrnul Z, Czekierda D (2016a) Variability of growing degree days in Poland in response to ongoing climate changes in Europe. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 61, 49–59. 10.1007/s00484-016-1190-3. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00484-016-1190-3.

- Wypych A, Ustrnul Z, Sulikowska A, Chmielewski FM, Bochenek B (2016b) Spatial and temporal variability of the frostfree season in Central Europe and its circulation background. *International Journal of Climatology*, **37**, 3340–3352. 10.1002/joc.4920.
- Zhuo X, Zheng T, Zhang Z, Zhang Y, Jiang L, Ahmad S, Sun L, Wang J, Cheng T, Zhang Q (2018) Genome-wide analysis of the NAC transcription factor gene family reveals differential expression patterns and cold-stress responses in the woody plant *Prunus mume*. *Genes*, **9**. 10.3390/genes9100494.
- Zohner CM, Benito BM, Svenning JC, Renner SS (2016) Day length unlikely to constrain climate-driven shifts in leaf-out times of northern woody plants. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**, 1120–1123. 10.1038/nclimate3138.
- Zohner CM, Mo L, Renner SS, Svenning J-C, Vitasse Y, Benito BM, Ordonez A, Baumgarten F, Bastin J-F, Sebald V et al. (2020) Late-spring frost risk between 1959 and 2017 decreased in North America but increased in Europe and Asia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **117**, 12192–12200. 10.1073/pnas.1920816117. URL https://www.pnas.org/content/117/22/12192.

This article is prote

Table S1: Total number of observations, false springs, sites and years across species.

Table S2: Summary of linear regression of day of budburst before and after recent climate change across species.

Table S3: Summary of linear regression of average minimum temperature between budburst and leafout before and after recent climate change across species.

Table S4: Mean day of budburst and standard deviation for each species for before and after recent climate change.

Table S5: Summary of linear regression of number false springs before and after recent climate change across species.

Table S6: Summary of Bernoulli model with the effects of species, climatic and geographical predictors on false spring risk.

Table S7: Summary of Bernoulli model with different rates of leafout on false spring risk.

Table S8: Summary of Bernoulli model with a lower temperature threshold (-5°C) for defining a false spring.

Table S9: Summary of Bernoulli model with varying temperature thresholds for defining a false spring.

Figure S1: Model estimates of effects on false spring risk with different rates of leafout.

Figure S2: Model estimates of effects on false spring risk with a lower temperature threshold $(-5^{\circ}C)$ for defining a false spring.

Figure S3: Model estimates of effects on false spring risk with varying temperature thresholds for defining a false spring.

Figure S4: Average predictive comparisons for all climate change interactions with each of the main effects across species.

Figure S5: Model estimates of effects across species with varying rates of leafout.

Figure S6: Model estimates of effects across species with lower temperature threshold for defining a false spring.

Figure S7: Model estimates of effects across species with varying temperature thresholds for defining a false spring.

Methods S1: Species rate of budburst calculations.

Methods S2: Spatial parameter.

Figures

Figure 1: The average day of budburst mapped by site for each species (ordered by day of budburst starting with *Betula pendula* as the earliest budburst date to *Fraxinus excelsior*).

Figure 2: Effects of species, climatic and geographical predictors on false spring risk. More positive values indicate an increased probability of a false spring whereas more negative values suggest a lower probability of a false spring. Dots and lines show means and 98% uncertainty intervals. There were 536,993 zeros and 218,094 ones for false springs in the data. See Supporting Information Table S6 for full model output.

Figure 3: Mean spring temperatures are plotted for each site and year (from 1951-2016) for each species. The purple line shows the trend in mean spring temperatures from March 1 to May 31 and the green line represents the trend of average day of budburst for each year for each species. Both lines are cyclic penalized cubic regression spline smooths with basis dimensions equal to the number of years in the study (i.e., 66). Species are ordered by average day of budburst, with the earliest being *Betula pendula* and the latest being *Fraxinus excelsior*.

Figure 4: Day of budburst (**a**), minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout (**b**) and number of false springs (**c**) before and after 1983 across species for all sites. Box and whisker plots show the 25th and 75th percentiles (i.e., the interquartile range) with notches indicating 95% uncertainty intervals. Dots and error bars overlaid on the box and whisker plots represent the model regression outputs (Supporting Information Tables S2, S3 and S5). Error bars from the model regressions indicate 90% uncertainty intervals but, given the number of observations, are quite small for **a** and **b** and thus not easily visible (see Tables S2, S3 and S5). Uncertainty intervals are more apparent for **c** since we are counting the total number of false spring years for each species and site before and after climate change.

Figure 5: Species-level variation across geographic and spatial predictors (i.e., mean spring temperature (**a**), distance from the coast (**b**), elevation (**c**), North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index (**d**) and recent climate change (**e**)). Lines and shading are the mean and 98% uncertainty intervals for each species. To show results on the original scale of the data we converted model output. See Supporting Information Table S6 for full model output.



nph_16851_f1.tiff



nph_16851_f2.tiff



nph_16851_f3.tiff





nph_16851_f4.tiff



nph_16851_f5.tiff