

Improving the Recovery of Oxygen from Carbon Dioxide

Full Presentation

F0.1-0007-21

Daniel J. Barta*, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX, USA Christine Stanley, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL USA Kevin Lange, Jacobs Engineering, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX USA Stephanie Roohi, Jacobs Engineering, NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX USA

43rd COSPAR Scientific Assembly, 28 January – 4 February 2021

*Presenter

www.nasa.gov

Background and Overview

NASA

- Oxygen is a critical life support system consumable for human spaceflight.
- On the International Space Station, oxygen is provided as compressed gas or by electrolysis of stored water.
- The mass of oxygen required for long duration human exploration missions can be prohibitive.
- Recovery of oxygen from metabolic carbon dioxide can reduce mission resupply requirements, providing benefit to long duration human exploration missions.
- This presentation will
 - $\circ\,$ describe the state-of-the-art oxygen recovery technology used on the ISS.
 - provide an overview of several alternative oxygen recovery technology investments by NASA to improve the percentage of oxygen recovered.
 - summarize findings from trade studies comparing the equivalent systems mass estimates for life support system architectures using these alternative technologies.
- Please note: this presentation is limited to physicochemical technologies for use within pressurized spacecraft, and will not address in situ resource utilization (ISRU) nor biological systems.



Astronaut Doug Wheelock installs the Sabatier CO₂ Reduction Assembly (CRA) on ISS. The CRA was returned to Earth in 2018. ESA's Advanced Closed Loop System (ACLS) launched in 2018, contains a Sabatier reactor.

Sabatier: The ISS State of the Art for Oxygen Recovery from CO₂



- o NASA's Carbon Dioxide Reduction Assembly (CRA)
- ESA's Advanced Closed Loop System (ACLS)

• Sabatier reactor operation

• Hydrogen (H₂) from an electrolyzer is combined with recovered Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) over catalysts at elevated temperature. Water (H₂O) and methane (CH₄) are produced as reaction products.

Primary Reactions

Sabatier: $CO_2 + 4 H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2 H_2O$ Electrolyzer (OGA): $2 H_2O \rightarrow 2 H_2 + O_2$

- The supply of H₂ is limited to that produced by the electrolyzer, based on the crew's oxygen requirement.
- There is insufficient hydrogen to react all the CO₂.
- Only about ~50% of the O₂ from metabolic CO₂ can be recovered based on the H₂ limitation.



Methane Pyrolysis: Recovery of Hydrogen Lost as Methane



- The limitation of available hydrogen (H₂) for Sabatier can be overcome by recovery of H₂ by methane pyrolysis.
- Providing the additional hydrogen from resupplied compressed gas storage does not trade favorably.
- A separate processor is required, adding an additional element to the Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS) architecture.
- Two methane pyrolysis technologies are under investigation by NASA:
 - 1) Plasma Pyrolysis Assembly PPA
 - Degrades methane (CH₄) into hydrogen and acetylene.

Reaction: 2 CH₄ \leftrightarrow 3 H₂ + C₂H₂

- Can approach 75% hydrogen recovery efficiency
- 2) Hydrogen Recovery by Carbon Vapor Deposition (CVD)
 - ➢ Degrades methane into solid carbon and hydrogen.
 Reaction: CH₄ ↔ 2 H₂ + C_(s)
 - Can approach 100% hydrogen recovery efficiency.



Plasma Pyrolysis of Methane for Hydrogen Recovery (1 of 2)



PPA Operation

- The Plasma Pyrolysis Assembly (PPA) accepts methane from the Sabatier.
- The CH₄ is converted to H₂ and acetylene (C₂H₂) by partial pyrolysis in a microwave generated plasma.
- The H₂ is separated from the PPA product stream, composed of acetylene and other hydrocarbons and recycled back to the Sabatier.
- Acetylene is vented.
- Nuisance carbon soot is removed by a regenerable carbon trap.

Selected Engineering Parameters:

- > Operates at ~ 13.3 KPa (110 torr)
- Methane flow rate: 1400 SCCM
- ➢ Power: 750 W to 850 W

- Plasma Temperature: ~ 2000C
- ➢ Methane conversion (%): ≥ 90%
- Acetylene selectivity (%): ~90%

Targeted PPA Reaction $2CH_4 \leftrightarrow 3H_2 + C_2H_2$

Side Reactions

 CH_4 Conversion to Ethane CH_4 Conversion to Ethylene CH_4 Conversion to Solid C CO Production CO Production

 $2CH_4 \leftrightarrow H_2 + C_2H_6$ $2CH_4 \leftrightarrow 2H_2 + C_2H_4$ $CH_4 \leftrightarrow 2H_2 + C_{(s)}$ $C_{(s)} + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + H_2$ $CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2$



The H₂-CH₄ Plasma is visible through observation port of the reactor



Plasma Pyrolysis of Methane for Hydrogen Recovery (2 of 2)

NASA

- NASA's investments in Plasma Pyrolysis were initiated in 2005, via a small business innovative research award to Umpqua Research Company.
- The technology has gone through several cycles of development.
- A "Third Generation" Plasma Pyrolysis Assembly (PPA) system was completed in 2013. NASA is currently testing and upgrading this system.

<u>Advantages</u>

- Gaseous byproducts are easily vented, making it microgravity compatible.
- Continuous operation.
- With a maximum hydrogen recovery rate of 75%, a theoretical oxygen recovery rate of 86% is possible when integrated with a Sabatier reactor.

<u>Challenges</u>

- Requires development of a hydrogen separator to purify H₂ from C₂H₂ and other hydrocarbons before sending it back to the Sabatier.
- Not all of the H_2 is recovered: some is lost as acetylene (C_2H_2).
- Production, management and removal of nuisance soot.
- Safety: High temperature reactor; use of microwave radiation.
 Maturity
- The Technology Readiness Level (TRL) is approximately 5.
- Future work includes completion of development of a hydrogen separator and carbon trap before integration with a Sabatier reactor, to achieve a TRL 6.



Third Generation PPA

Hydrogen Recovery by Carbon Vapor Deposition

NASA

- NASA's second investment in methane pyrolysis is entitled "Hydrogen Recovery by Carbon Vapor Deposition (CVD)", under development by Honeywell Aerospace.
- NASA began sponsoring this work in 2017.
- Like the PPA, the CVD reactor accepts methane from the Sabatier.
- Under high reactor temperatures, methane decomposes into H₂ and solid carbon.
- Under this unique, controlled process, carbon infiltrates and deposits within preformed substrates, circumventing the formation of soot and particulate carbon.

<u>Advantages</u>

- Carbon management: Resultant densified carbon product is easily handled.
- Efficiency: The highest theoretical recovery of hydrogen from methane (100%). The carbon end product contains no residual hydrogen. An ECLSS architecture using this technology could theoretically achieve 100% recovery of O₂ from CO₂.
- Effluent gases can potentially be directly integrated with a Sabatier without gas separation. This is under evaluation.

Challenges

- Mass and volume of consumable substrates
- Very high temperature reactor (~1200C)
- 2 reactor batch system requires crews intervention: while one reactor operates, spent substrates are removed from the second and fresh substrates are added.

Maturity

• A "TRL 5 ready" brassboard hardware will be delivered to NASA in 2021.



Soot-free Carbon Infiltrated Substrate Product



Reactor Concept with Vacuum Shell

Bosch: A Replacement for the Sabatier



• Replaces the Sabatier reactor in an ECLSS architecture

- $\circ\,$ All $\rm H_2$ in the reaction results in water production. Carbon is formed as a byproduct.
- \circ Approaches 100% efficiency in recovery of O₂ from CO₂.
- o Requires expendable catalyst as a consumable.

Bosch Reactions

RWGS:	CO	$H_2 + H_2 +$	→ CO	$+ H_2O$
CO Hydrogenation:	CC	$\overline{O} + \overline{H_2} +$	$\rightarrow H_2O$	$+ C_{(s)}^{-}$
Boudouard:		2CO +	$\rightarrow C\bar{O}_2$	$+ C_{(s)}^{(s)}$
			•	

Net Bosch Reaction: $CO_2 + 2H_2 \leftrightarrow C_{(s)} + 2H_2O$

• Selected Bosch Technology Investments by NASA:

- 1) Horizontal Bosch and Vertical Bosch Systems
 - $_{\odot}$ 2 reactors in parallel: 1st operating, 2nd being serviced.
- 2) Series Bosch Reactor
 - Two reactors in series: 1st optimizes RWGS reaction, 2nd reactor optimizes carbon formation reactions.
- 3) <u>Continuous Bosch Reactor</u>
 - Single continuously operating reactor.



Bosch History





General Dynamics Prototype



"Vertical" Bosch

Early investments in Bosch technology by NASA started in the 1960's.

Horizontal Bosch

- Initial prototype was built by General Dynamics in 1970. Life Systems produced an updated version in 1980's.
- Dual reactor system.
- While one reactor was operating, the other reactor was in maintenance mode to remove carbon and replenish catalyst.
- Uses steel wool catalyst.
- ≻ 650°C to 688°C operating temperature.
 <u>Vertical Bosch</u>
 - Developed in the 1990's by Life Systems.
 - Dual reactor system.
 - Nickel wool catalyst.
- ➢ Operates at about 565°C.
- The Vertical Bosch was tested against a Sabatier for use on Space Station, but ultimately the Sabatier was chosen.



Life Systems "Horizontal Bosch" Test Stand at MSFC

Challenges

- High use of catalyst & other consumables
- Low carbon packing density
- Low single pass efficiency
- Nuisance carbon & carbon management requiring regular maintenance
- Power/Thermal Management

Maturity

• TRL is 4 - 5

Series Bosch

NASA

- Development began around 2008.
- Two different reactors are in series to optimize the two types of reactions:
 - RWGS is optimized using a Nickel catalyst and is operated at ~650°C.
 - Carbon Formation is optimized using an Iron catalyst and is operated at ~550°C.
- Requires two gas separation membranes:
 - \succ CO₂ separation & H₂ Separation.
 - A minimum of 34 kPa delta across membranes is required.
 - The RWGS is operated at 55 kPa and the CFR is operated at 93 kPa.
 - Sub-ambient pressures mitigate any leakage to the outside atmosphere.

<u>Maturity</u>

- A TRL 4 breadboard has been built and tested.
- A TRL 5 brassboard design is complete.





Series Bosch Breadboard (above) & schematic (left)

<u>Advantages</u>

• Potentially lower catalyst use and higher single pass efficiency due to optimized reactors.

Disadvantages/Challenges

- High complexity
- Power Requirement/Thermal Management
- Carbon buildup causes pressure drop
- Nuisance carbon
- Reactor maintenance & crew time

Issues Common to All Bosch Systems

Continuous Bosch Reactor



- Under development by Umpqua Research Company

 Novel metallic catalysts were developed in 2011.
 Reactor development was initiated in 2015.
- A novel design allows continuous operation.
 - o Catalyst is fed into the reactor as needed.
 - o Attritor stirs catalyst & prevents carbon clumping.
 - $\,\circ\,$ Carbon exits at port & collects in replaceable bag.
 - Cyclone separator removes suspended carbon from effluent gas stream.

<u>Advantages</u>

- Reduced catalyst consumption
- Improved carbon handling
- Less maintenance/crew time Challenges
- Potential clogging of frits and filters
- Power Use/Thermal Management
 <u>Maturity</u>
- TRL 4 breadboard was built and operated.
- High fidelity brassboard hardware has been designed.



Catalyst beads, particulate carbon & attritor are visible at base of subscale reactor



Schematic of Continuous Bosch Reactor & Gas Flow Loop

Electrochemical Reactors

- Direct generation of oxygen from CO₂.
- May eliminate need for a separate water electrolyzer (OGA), simplifying an ECLSS architecture.
- Can be coupled with carbon formation reactors* (CFR) to improve overall efficiency, at the expense of additional mass and complexity.
- Several technologies were investigated starting in 2015 in response to a NASA Solicitation.
- 1) Solid Oxide Co-Electrolysis (SOCE)

o Very high temperature ceramic electrochemical cells
o NASA Glenn (GRC) with pH Matter, Inc.

- 2) <u>Microfluidic Electrochemical Reactor (MFECR)</u>

 Ambient temperature electrochemical cell
 University of Texas at Arlington with NASA MSFC
- 3) Ion Exchange Membrane Electrolysis (IEME)
 - o Ambient temperature electrochemical cell
 - $\circ\,$ University of Delaware, with NASA GRC





Solid Oxide Co-Electrolysis (SOCE)



<u>Highlights</u>

- Has heritage from solid oxide fuel cell development.
- Made of yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) between electrode layers.
- Takes advantage of selective oxygen conduction through ceramics at high temperature.
- Theoretical oxygen recovery rate: 100% with a CFR; 50% without.

Advantages

- Pure, dry oxygen output
- Solid state reactor
- Water is conserved (SOCE + CFR)

Challenges

- Very high temperatures (800°C -850°C)
- Differential thermal expansion
- Carbon management (CFR)
- Power Use/Thermal Management Maturity
- TRL 4 breadboard was built & operated.



Primary Reactions



Net Reactions



Schematic of Cell Operation

SOCE Integration with CFR

Microfluidic Electrochemical Reactor (MFECR)



<u>Highlights</u>

- Water and CO₂ react to form oxygen and ethylene.
- Novel design combines CO₂ conversion and water electrolysis into same electrochemical cell.
- Uses unique copper oxide–copper bromide electrochemical films.
- These electro catalytic films at electrodes serve as gas liquid contactors.
- Low temperatures enhance conversion of the intermediate CO to hydrocarbons.

<u>Advantages</u>

- Low temperature solid state reactor (3 5°C)
- High theoretical efficiency (up to 73%) for oxygen recovery from CO₂
- CFR and OGA not necessary

<u>Challenges</u>

- Selectivity for ethylene over other hydrocarbons (ethane, methane, propylene)
- Water electrolysis as a competitive reaction
- Electrode life



Prototype Cell

Primary Reactions

 $\begin{array}{l} 2 \ H_2O \rightarrow 2 \ H_2 + O_2 \\ 2 \ CO_2 + 2 \ H_2O \rightarrow C_2H_4 + 3 \ O_2 \end{array}$

<u>Maturity</u>

- A TRL 4 laboratory cell was evaluated.
- An Engineering Development Unit is under development.



Schematic of electrochemical CO₂ reduction in the MFECR

Ion Exchange Membrane Electrolysis (IEME)

<u>Highlights</u>

- CO₂ is reduced to O₂ and CO at ambient temperature.
- Utilizes a novel polymer-electrolyte membrane electrolysis cell incorporating a nanoporous silver catalyst.
- CO can be re-converted to CO₂ & C_(s) using a Catalytic Bed Reactor or CFM.
- A gas liquid contactor is necessary to dissolve gaseous CO₂ in electrolyte.
- Theoretical oxygen recovery rate: 100% with a CFR; 50% without.

<u>Advantages</u>

- Low temperature solid state reactor
- Directly produces O₂ (OGA not necessary) <u>Challenges</u>
- Gas liquid contactors to achieve necessary concentrations of dissolved CO₂ in electrolyte
- Cell life and efficiency (to drop mass & volume)
- Integration and operation of a CFR <u>Maturity</u>
- TRL 4 breadboard components were evaluated
- Integration of IEME Cell Stack & CFR needed







Breadboard IEME Cell Stack





Trade Studies: General Findings and Conclusions



- A trade study was performed that compared the Equivalent Systems Mass (ESM) of the Sabatier with an OGA against advanced technologies.
 - ESM combines hardware mass with mass penalties for volume, power and cooling.
 - Mission duration: 1100 Days (Mars class)
 - o 2 EVA Cases: Large number vs minimal
 - Does not consider ISRU
 - o Does not give credit for surplus water production.

Key Findings and Considerations

- Advanced technologies are generally expected to trade better for missions with longer durations and higher water requirements.
- Example: extended duration planetary surface missions with regular EVA.
- Advanced technologies generally don't trade well for mission duration < 400-500 days or with high water system closure and little or no EVA losses.
- Consideration for other requirements for water or oxygen (e.g. radiation shielding) can affect trades.



Oxygen Recovery Architecture Trade Study

A Few Words on Biological Systems & ISRU

NASA

Bioregenerative Life Support

- Biological systems used on a mature planetary base can potentially provide 100% of the O₂ required for crew respiration, assimilating expired CO₂ via photosynthesis.
- In this context, physicochemical systems may be used during initial start up then held as secondary back up systems.

In Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU)

- Physicochemical systems for O₂ recovery may have dual use for ISRU on Mars to recover O₂ from CO₂ in the Mars Atmosphere.
- The MOXIE experiment on the Mars 2020 Rover, scheduled to land on Mars February 18, 2021, will demonstrate Solid Oxide Electrolysis of CO₂.
- The ISRU community is developing other alternative O₂ recovery technologies



Photosynthesis naturally recovers O₂ from CO₂



NASA's 15-day Human Bioregenerative Air Revitalization Test



ISRU Systems on Mars



Food Production Module with Mars Habitat

Image Credits: NASA, Ray Wheeler, Pat Rawlings

Acknowledgements

Original Sources of Figures and For Additional Information:

Greenwood, Z., Abney, M., Brown, B., Fox, E., and Stanley, C.; 2018. "State of NASA Oxygen Recovery", ICES-2018-48 Abney, M., Schneider, W., Brown, B., Stanley, C., Lange, K., Wetzel, J., Morrow, R. and Gatens, R.; 2020. "Comparison of Exploration Oxygen Recovery Technology Options Using ESM and LSMAC". ICES-2020-108.

Yates, S., Childers, A., Brom, N., Skomurski, S., Lo, C., Abney, M.; 2019. "Hydrogen Recovery by Methane Pyrolysis to Elemental Carbon," 49th International Conference on Environmental Systems, ICES-2019-103, 2019.

Wheeler, R., Hadley, N., Wambolt, S., and Abney, M.; 2014. "Third Generation Advanced PPA Development", ICES 2014-034.

Green, R., Matter, P., Holt, C., Beachy, M., Gaydos, J., Farmer, S., and Setlock, J.; 2016. "Development Status for a Combined Solid Oxide Co-Electrolyzer and Carbon Formation Reactor System for Oxygen Regeneration", AIAA 2016-5454.

Tacconi, N., Chanmanee, W., Rege, A., Dennis, B., Rajeshwar, K.; 2016. "Microfluidic Electrochemical Reactor for Oxygen Recovery via Carbon Dioxide Electrolysis," NASA/CR-2016.

Tacconi, N., Chanmanee, W., Dennis, B., and Rajeshwar, K.; 2017. "Composite copper oxide–copper bromide films for the selective electroreduction of carbon dioxide", J. of Materials Res., Vol. 32, Issue 9, 15 May 2017, pp. 1727 – 1734.

Burke, K., Jiao, F.; 2016. "Oxygen Recovery From Carbon Dioxide Using Ion Exchange Membrane Electrolysis Technology—Final Report", NASA/TM—2016-219436.

Luc, W., Jouny, M., Rosen, J. and Jiao, F.; 2018. "Carbon dioxide splitting using an electrothermochemical hybrid looping strategy", Energy Environ. Sci., 2018, 11, 2928-2934

Levri, J., Drysdale, A., Ewert, M., Fisher, J., Hanford, A., Hogan J., Jones, H., Joshi, J., Vaccari, D.; 2003. "Advanced Life Support Equivalent System Mass Guidelines Document," NASA TM-2003-212278, NASA Ames Research Center.