Investigation of Ionic Liquid Isolated Iron for Ductile Iron Castings

Blake Stewart

Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems Mississippi State University



About the Presenter

- Blake C. Stewart
 - Graduate Research Assistant
 - Ph.D. candidate
 - B.S. Mechanical Engineering
- Highlights and Interests
 - Steelmaking and cast iron
 - In-situ resource utilization (ISRU)
 - Extraterrestrial manufacturing methods
 - Experience in manufacturing, field engineering, and experimental research



CENTER FOR ADVANCED VEHICULAR SYSTEMS

About the Presenter

- Authors:
 - Blake Stewart^{1,2}, Haley Doude¹, Morgan B. Abney³, Eric Fox³, Jennifer Edmunson³, Jeffrey Mehan³, Christopher Henry³, Phillip Hall³, Hongjoo Rhee^{1,2}

¹Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems, Mississippi State University

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Mississippi State University

³Marshall Space Flight Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration

- Funding Acknowledgement:
 - NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), CAN No. 80MSFC18N002
- Contact Information:
 - Email: bcs346@cavs.msstate.edu



Motivation

- In-situ resource utilization (ISRU) crucial for future exploration and colonization beyond low-Earth orbit
- Mechanical components needed from resources available in Lunar and Martian environments
- Martian regolith rich in metallic elements but found in silicates and oxides



Team SEArch+/Apis Cor rendering of structure manufactured on Martian surface [1]

[1] NASA, Team SEArch+/Apis Cor, Phase 3: Level 4 software model

<u>Overview</u>

- Chemical analysis to determine the composition of lonic liquid-sourced iron (IL-Fe)
- Ductile iron ingot cast using commercial materials with a composition simulating the use of IL-Fe
 - Carbon (C) sourced from Bosch reactor at MSFC
 - Cast material referred to as Sim-IL ductile iron (DI)
- Produced ingot compared to commercially available ductile iron
 - Characterized microstructure
 - Continuous cooling transformation (CCT) and time temperature transformation (TTT) diagrams
 - Mechanical property (hardness)
- Investigation suggested quality ductile iron can be produced from IL-Fe and Bosch C



Literature Review: Ionic Liquids, Bosch Carbon, and Martian Regolith

- Ionic liquids (IL) currently studied at MSFC to extract and recover metallic elements [2]
- Bosch process currently studied at MSFC as a life support system for oxygen regeneration with a by-product of elemental C [3]

[2] E. Fox, et. al, Astronomy on Tap Club, May 2019
[3] M. B. Abney, et. al, ICES, 2012.
[4] A.S. Yen, et. al., "Evidence for a Global Martian Soil Composition Extends to Gale Crater", LPSC, 2013.
[5] R.V. Morris, et. al, LPC, 2014.
[6] M.J. Rutherford, et. al, Workshop on Mars, 2001.
[7] G. Peters, et. al., Icarus, 2008.
[8] C. Allen, et. al., Eos, 1998.
[9] C. Allen, et. al., Lunar Planet. Sci, 1997.



Literature Review: Ductile Iron Alloying

Elements

- Iron (Fe), C, silicon (Si) and manganese (Mn) are primary elements in ductile iron
 - Magnesium (Mg) needed to "spheroidize" graphite [10]
 - Characteristic feature separating gray cast iron from ductile iron
- Phosphorus (P), often considered an impurity, increases castability, machinability, and tensile properties [11]
- Nickel (Ni) increases tensile properties, hardness at the expense of ductility [12]
 - Elongation and impact energy increase with Ni up to 0.71 wt.% [13]
- Less than 0.55 wt.% molybdenum (Mo) can increase Ni effects on hardness, and decreases ductility [14]
 - Omitted as variable due to desire for minimal alloying

[10] Hot Topics, Ductile Iron Society, 2003. [11] Hot Topics, Ductile Iron Society, 2000. [12] C. Hsu, et. al, Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 2007. [13] Y. Sun, et. al., Mater. Des, 2012.



[14] C.F. Walton, Gray Iron Founders' Society, 1958.

Literature Review: Mg in Ductile Iron[10]

- Mg is necessary to spheroidize graphite from flakes to nodules
- Mg requirement varies with sulfur (S) composition
- Forms MgS first
- "Residual Mg" is excess after sulfides form
- $%Mg = 0.020 + \frac{3}{4} (\%S)$
- Too much Mg results in "exploded" graphite, porosity, and degraded performance
 - Max of 0.040 wt.%

[10] Hot Topics, Ductile Iron Society, 2003.





Effects on graphite shape with varying Mg



Exploded graphite (left) [10], spherical graphite (right)

Literature Review: Magnesium Additions to Ductile Iron

- Ingot was cast using pure (99+ %) bulk material at MSU
- Mg sourced from commercially available "master alloy"
 - Master alloys used where a low melting temperature elements is required
 - Mg vapor temperature is ~1100 °C while melting temperature of pure Fe is ~1600 °C
- Master alloy reduces volatile reaction, enabling for greater recovery rates when casting [15]
 - Recovery rate obtained within this experiment was ~33%



5.5Mg-48Si-Fe w/ trace lanthanum (La) and Ca

[15] kbmaffilips.com, 2020

Initial Evaluation of Commercial Ductile Iron

- Two grades of ductile iron purchased [16-17]
 - 65-45-12 and 100-70-03
 - Nearly identical chemical composition
 - Naming convention from minimum properties
 - (Tensile strength in ksi yield strength in ksi elongation in percent)
- Sample of 100 grade melted and cooled at 1.0°C/s and compared to 65 grade indicated both grades were produced with heat treatment alone

[16] "Dura-Bar 65-45-12 Continuously Cast Ductile Iron Bar Stock ASTM A536", Matweb.com, 2019.
[17] "Dura-Bar 100-70-03 Continuously Cast Ductile Iron Bar Stock ASTM A536", Matweb.com, 2019.



Microstructural phases typically present in ductile iron



65-45-12 cooled at 1°C/s

65-45-12 cooled at 10°C/s

Phases formed due to different cooling rates and/or elemental additions. I.E., Ferrite, pearlite, martensite (slow to fast cooling).



Testing suggested both commercial grades are similar with differences attributed to heat treatment



1.0°C/s

Hereafter, only 65-45-12 is used for analysis and comparison



Sim-IL DI As-Normalized vs Commercial

Ductile Iron

- Sim-IL DI was cast then normalized to homogenize microstructure
 - Soaked in 900°C furnace for 2 hours, removed and air cooled
- Sim-IL DI showed more ferrite (white) than commercial
 - Likely due to a faster cooling rate upon casting/heat treating of commercial material
- Difficult to compare properties due to unknown heat treatment parameters of commercial ductile iron



Sim-IL DI Asnormalized

65-45-12 As-received



Experimental Evaluations





Chemical Composition

- Chemical composition acquired via optical emission spectrometry (OES) and carbon/sulfur analyzer
- Commercial ductile iron compared to the target and resultant Sim-IL DI ingot as well as the composition of raw IL-Fe
- C difference effect negligible or offset based on properties found (lower graphite volume in Sim-IL DI)

Chemical Composition Comparisons					
	Commercial	IL-Fe (n=3)	Sim-IL DI Target	Sim-IL DI Result (n=10)	
С	3.83	-	3.83	3.35 ± 0.0	
Si	2.65	1.40 ± 0.3	2.65	2.58 ± 0.1	
Mn	0.24	0.47 ± 0.1	0.46	0.31 ± 0.0	
Ni	-	0.14 ± 0.0	0.13	0.12 ± 0.0	
AI	-	0.11 ± 0.1	0.14	0.04 ± 0.0	
Со	-	0.08 ± 0.0	0.08	0.06 ± 0.0	
Mg	0.035	2.27 ± 0.3	0.035	0.030 ± 0.00	
Na	-	0.04 ± 0.0	0.04	-	
Ca	-	0.11 ± 0.1	0.13	0.00 ± 0.0	
Fe	bal	bal	bal	bal	
				(wt %) + st dev	



Dilatometry – How volume changes over time

- 9 mm OD x 25 mm L samples were heated to 900°C and held for 30 min to normalize microstructure and allow C to saturate austenite from graphite nodules
 - Austenite = common phase start point for heat treatment
- Cooling rate maintained (for continuous cooling transformation) with diameter monitored to visualize phase transformation temperatures



Transformation temperatures showed minimal difference

- Transition temperatures were within 10°C for all
- This round of tests showed minimal differences in transition temperatures for the heat treatment rates completed

Transformation Temperatures					
Rate	Mat'l	Fs	F_F,P_S	P _F	
	65-45-12	760	682	649	
0.1°C/s	Sim-IL DI	761	677	650	
	Diff.	-1	5	-1	
	65-45-12	753	673	649	
1.0°C/s	Sim-IL DI	756	668	642	
	Diff.	-3	5	7	



Microstructures showed slightly greater fraction of harder phases

 Sim-IL DI showed slightly greater area fraction of harder phases, suggesting it could more readily respond to heat treatment





<u>Microstructural area fraction verified harder</u> phases

- Higher pearlite content in Sim-IL DI
 - Could be due to increased Ni and Mn
- Lower graphite fraction in Sim-IL DI due to reduced carbon quantity in material
 - Lower recovery rate for Bosch C due to fine powder morphology versus larger chunks of commercial C feedstock

Area Fraction Averages (n=5)					
Rate	Mat'l	Graphite	Ferrite	Pearlite	
	65-45-12	13.0	81.6	5.4	
0.1°C/s	Sim-IL DI	10.2	73.9	15.9	
	Diff.	2.8	7.7	-10.5	
	65-45-12	11.7	35.0	53.3	
1.0°C/s	Sim-IL DI	10.3	32.0	57.6	
	Diff.	1.4	3	-4.3	



Mechanical Testing: Hardness

- Hardness measured and converted across Brinell (HB), Rockwell-B (HRB), and Rockwell-C (HRC)
- Hardness values
 approximately equal

Area Fraction Averages (n=5)				
Rate		HB	HRB	HRC
	65-45-12	151	81	1
0.1°C/s	Sim-IL DI	148	80	0
	Diff.	3	1	1
	65-45-12	219	96	20
1.0°C/s	Sim-IL DI	211	95	18
	Diff.	8	1	2
Measured, conversion				



Sim-IL DI vs Commercial DI

- Sim-IL DI
 - More pearlite
 - Higher highness
 - Greater tensile strength
 - Lower ductility
 - Lower graphite fraction
 - Less mass fraction carbon

- Commercial 65-45-12
 - More ferrite, less pearlite
 - Lower hardness
 - Lower tensile strength
 - Greater elasticity
 - Higher graphite fraction
 - More mass fraction carbon
- Sim-IL DI performance difference possibly due to greater Ni and Mn composition
- Sim-IL DI potentially obtains similar properties as commercial material with slower cooling rates



<u>Summary</u>

- Dilatometry and CCT results showed similar phase transitions with some variation attributable to presence of Ni and larger Mn composition
- Hardness and microstructure showed Sim-IL DI responds well to heat treatment
- The use of IL-Fe and Bosch C as casting feedstock could produce ductile iron with equivalent properties to commercial ductile iron
- In summary, the use of Bosch C with IL-Fe is likely a viable option to manufacture ductile iron on the Lunar or Martian surfaces with some limitations



Acknowledgements

- The researchers at Mississippi State University would like to thank Drs. Morgan B. Abney (ES62) and Eric Fox (EM22) for their oversight and expertise involved with the production of the work within this CAN project (CAN Award No. 80MSFC19M0040)
- We would like to thank Dr. Jennifer Edmunson (ST23), Jeffrey J. Mehan (ES62), Christopher R. Henry (ED01), and Phillip B. Hall (ST23) for their assistance and shared knowledge that proved critical in the completion of this project.
- The authors would also like to thank the Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems for their financial support.



References

[1] "Latest Updates from NASA on 3D-Printed Habitat Competition," NASA, March 2019. [Online]. Available:

https://www.nasa.gov/directorates/spacetech/centennial_challenges/3DPHab/latest-updates-from-nasa-on-3d-printed-habitat-competition

[2] E. Fox, et. al, "Ionic Liquid and In Situ Resource Utilization," Astronomy on Tap Club, May 2019

[3] M. B. Abney, et. al, "Series Bosch System Development," 42nd International Conference on Environmental Systems, 2012.

[4] A.S. Yen, et. al., "Evidence for a Global Martian Soil Composition Extends to Gale Crater", 44th Lunar and Planetary Conference, 2013.

[5] R.V. Morris, et. al., "Update on the chemical composition of crystalline, smectite, and amorphous components for rocknest soil and John Klein and Cumberland mudstone drill fines at Gale Crater Mars", 45th Lunar and Planetary Conference, 2014.

[6] M.J. Rutherford, M. Minitti, and C.M. Weitz, "Compositions of mars rocks: SNC meteorites, differentiates, and soils", Workshop on Mars, 2001.

[7] G. Peters, et. al., "Mojave Mars simulant – Characterization of a new geologic Mars analog", Icarus, vol. 197, pp. 470-479, 2008.

[8] C. Allen, et. al., "Martian Soil simulant Available for Scientific, Educational Study", Eos, vol. 79, no. 34, pp. 405-412, 1998.

[9] C. Allen, et. al., "JSC Mars-1: Martian Soil Simulant", Lunar and Planetary Science XXVIII, 1997.

[10] "Hot Topics: Effect of Magnesium in Ductile Iron," DIS, Issue 12, 2003.

[11] "Hot Topics: Phosphorus and its Effects in Ductile Iron," DIS, Issue 11, 2000.

[12] C. Hsu, M. Chen, C. Hu, "Microstructure and mechanical properties of 4% cobalt and nickel alloyed ductile irons", Materials Science and Engineering A, vol. 444, pp. 339-346, 2007.

[13] Y. Sun, et. al., "Effects of nickel on low-temperature impact toughness and corrosion resistance of high-ductility ductile iron", Materials and Design, vol. 41, pp. 37-42, 2012.

[14] C.F. Walton, The gray iron casting handbook: including data on gray, ductile (nodular), white, and high alloy irons. Cleveland, OH: Gray Iron Founders' Society, 1958.

[15] "Applications of our master alloys," kbmaffilips.com, Online: https://www.kbmaffilips.com/applications_us/

[16] "Dura-Bar 65-45-12 Continuously Cast Ductile Iron Bar Stock ASTM A536", Matweb.com, 2019. [Online]. Available: http://www.matweb.com/search/DataSheet.aspx?MatGUID=383ea2d3274d40f2b2e7f36c94252cf1. [Accessed: 26- Nov- 2019]

[17] "Dura-Bar 100-70-03 Continuously Cast Ductile Iron Bar Stock ASTM A536", Matweb.com, 2019. [Online]. Available: http://www.matweb.com/search/DataSheet.aspx?MatGUID=ef5e7aa003c2424cae83a02a1daa3a60. [Accessed: 26- Nov- 2019]

