Supraglacial river forcing of subglacial water storage and diurnal ice sheet motion

L.C. Smith^{1,2,3}, L.C. Andrews⁴, L.H Pitcher^{5,3}, B.T. Overstreet⁶, Å.K. Rennermalm⁷, M.G.
 Cooper^{3,8}, S.W. Cooley^{9,3}, J.C. Ryan¹, C. Miège^{7,10}, C. Kershner^{11,13}, C.E. Simpson^{12,3}

- ⁹ ²Department of Earth, Environmental, and Planetary Sciences, Brown University, Providence,
 Rhode Island, 02912, USA
- ³Department of Geography, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California,
 90095, USA
- ⁴ Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt,
- 14 Maryland, 20771, USA
- ¹⁵ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado
- 16 Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, 80303, USA
- ¹⁷ ⁶Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, 82071, USA
- ⁷Department of Geography, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick,
 08901, New Jersey
- 20 8Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
- 21 Richland, Washington, 99352, USA
- ⁹Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305Affiliation for
 author 9.
- ¹⁰Department of Geography, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84112, USA
- ²⁵ ¹¹Research Directorate, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, Springfield, VA 22150
- 26 ¹²RedCastle Resources, Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah, 84138, USA
- ¹³Department of Geography and Geoinformation Science, George Mason University, Fairfax,
 VA 22030
- 29 Corresponding author: Laurence Smith (<u>laurence_smith@brown.edu</u>)
- 30

1

2 3 4

31 Key Points:

- We present coincident field measurements of supraglacial river discharge and ice sheet motion on the southwest Greenland ablation zone
- The measurements are obtained upstream of a major moulin, enabling study of how
 supraglacial meltwater runoff influences subglacial hydrology and ice motion

 ⁷ ¹Institute at Brown for Environment and Society (IBES), Brown University, Providence, Rhode
 ⁸ Island, 02912, USA

- Recorded ice velocities are strongly correlated with measurements of supraglacial river
 discharge acquired hourly over the 7-day study period
- Differencing of supra- and proglacial discharge hydrographs suggests that diurnal
 fluctuations in subglacial water storage drive short-term variations in ice motion
- 40

41 Key Points

- We measure supraglacial river discharge entering a major moulin simultaneously with
 local accelerations in ice sheet motion
- Recorded ice speeds are strongly correlated with diurnal cycles of moulin input over the
 7-day field experiment period
- Differencing supra- and proglacial hydrographs suggests diurnal fluctuations in
 subglacial water storage drive short-term ice motion
- 48

49 Abstract (150 words max)

50 Surface melting impacts ice sheet sliding by supplying water to the bed, but subglacial processes

- 51 driving ice accelerations are complex. We examine linkages between surface runoff, transient
- 52 subglacial water storage, and short-term ice motion from 168 consecutive hourly measurements
- of meltwater discharge (moulin input) and GPS-derived ice surface motion for Rio Behar, a ~60
- 54 km² moulin-terminating supraglacial river catchment on the southwest Greenland Ice Sheet.
- 55 Short-term accelerations in ice speed correlate strongly with lag-corrected measures of
- supraglacial river discharge (r=0.9, l=0.7, p<0.01). Though our 7-day record cannot address
- 57 seasonal-scale forcing, diurnal ice accelerations align with normalized differenced supraglacial
- and proglacial discharge, a proxy for subglacial storage change, better than GPS-derived ice
 surface uplift. These observations counter theoretical steady-state basal sliding laws and suggest
- that moulin- and proglacially induced fluctuations in subglacial water storage, rather than
- absolute subglacial water storage, drive short-term ice accelerations.
- 62

63 Plain Language Summary

The importance of surface meltwater runoff to Greenland ice sheet subglacial hydrology and ice 64 sliding dynamics is widely recognized but poorly constrained by field observations. We present 65 168 consecutive hours of rare in-situ discharge measurements in a large supraglacial river 66 draining the ice sheet surface, just upstream of where it plummets into a major moulin. GPS 67 measurements of ice surface motion record brief accelerations in ice sliding speed that follow 68 daily cycles in meltwater entering the moulin. By comparing these measurements with 69 proglacial river discharges leaving the ice sheet, we identify daily fluctuations in subglacial 70 water storage that track short-term accelerations in ice motion. These findings affirm the 71 72 importance of supraglacial rivers to subglacial water pressure and ice dynamics, even in relatively thick ice >40 km inland from the ice terminus. 73

74

1. Introduction 75

Accurate models of ice-sheet response to climate change require good physical 76 understanding of interactions between surface melting, subglacial hydrology, and ice dynamics 77 (e.g., Bell, 2008; Chu, 2014; Davison et al., 2019). On the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) ablation 78 zone, surface melting activates a perennial hydrologic system of supraglacial streams, rivers, and 79 lakes (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011; Rennermalm et al., 2013a; Lampkin and VanderBerg, 2014; 80 Pitcher and Smith, 2019), which commonly drain into moulins forming a dynamic subglacial 81 drainage system that modifies basal pressures and ice motion (e.g. Zwally et al., 2002; Van de 82 Wal et al., 2008; Bartholomew et al., 2012; Meierbachtol et al., 2013). While early concerns 83 about warming-induced runaway sliding now seem unfounded (e.g. Tedstone, et al., 2013; 84 2015; van de Wal et al., 2015; Flowers, 2018), physical processes linking GrIS supraglacial 85 meltwater runoff, ice sheet basal pressures, and ice sliding remain under intense study (Nienow et 86 al., 2017; Davison et al., 2019; Williams et al. 2020), particularly processes governing englacial 87 88 connectivity and subglacial evolution due to surface melting (e.g. Poinar et al., 2015; Stevens et al., 2015; Christoffersen et al., 2018). 89 90 Traditional basal sliding law formulations linking subglacial pressure and ice motion

assume steady-state basal cavities (e.g. Bindschadler, 1983; Schoof, 2005; Gagliardini et al., 91 92 2007). However, observational research suggests that cavities constantly undergo transient evolution in response to fluctuations in supraglacial meltwater supply and subglacial 93 94 channelization (Iken et al., 1983; Bartholomaus et al., 2008; Hoffman et al., 2011; Cowton et al., 2016; Andrews et al., 2018). If so, highest subglacial water pressures (and therefore ice sliding 95 96 speeds) should occur when transient cavities are growing fastest, not when they are largest (Iken

et al., 1983; Cowton et al., 2016). 97

98 Evidence for transient cavity evolution is drawn primarily from GPS-derived correlations of horizontal ice speed with vertical ice surface uplift (interpreted as a proxy for total subglacial 99 water storage, S) or its first derivative (interpreted as subglacial water storage rate-of-change, 100 L'S). GrIS horizontal ice sliding speed broadly covaries with vertical surface uplift over seasonal 101 time scales (e.g., Bartholomew et al., 2010; 2012; Hoffman et al., 2011), but variations at shorter 102 timescales tend to correlate better with its derivative (Hoffman et al., 2011; Cowton et al., 2016; 103 104 Andrews et al., 2018). Such correlations are typically weak and spatially variable due to a range of factors confounding estimation of basal uplift from ice surface elevation measurements 105 (Hoffman et al., 2011; Andrews et al., 2018). Therefore, it is difficult to infer interactions 106 between surface melting, subglacial water storage, cavity growth, and ice motion for the GrIS, 107 despite previous success on mountain glaciers (e.g. Bartholomaus et al., 2008; 2011; Armstrong 108 and Anderson, 2020) 109

To study the links among supraglacial runoff, subglacial water storage fluctuations, and 110 short-term ice motion, we present in situ measurements of moulin input (i.e. supraglacial 111 discharge), ice surface speed, and ice surface uplift for Rio Behar, a large supraglacial river on 112 the GrIS mid-elevation (>1200 m a.s.l.) ablation zone (Figure 1). We compare daily cycles in 113 these variables with PROMICE automated weather station (AWS) measurements of surface 114 energy balance and ablation (Fausto and van As, 2019), and with proglacial river discharges 115 from three gauging stations downstream (Rennermalm et al., 2017; Tedstone et al., 2017; van As 116 et al., 2019). We present GPS measurements of horizontal ice surface speed and vertical uplift, 117 and use them to estimate subglacial storage S and rate-of-change L'S, respectively. We also 118 119 compute alternate proxies for S and L'S by differencing normalized supraglacial and proglacial

- discharge hydrographs (adapted from *Bartholomaus et al., 2008, 2011; McGrath et al., 2011;*
- 121 Armstrong and Anderson, 2020). We conclude that diurnal cycles in supraglacial river discharge
- drive ice accelerations through L'S, confirming that transient water storage and cavity growth are
- important influences on GrIS subglacial basal pressure and short-term ice motion.
- 124 125
- 126

Figure 1: Study area in southwest Greenland. Black star shows location of our GPS measurements of ice surface motion and Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) measurements of moulin input (supraglacial discharge) in Rio Behar, a large supraglacial river penetrating the ice sheet >40 km from the ice edge. Field work was conducted ~750 m upstream of the Rio Behar terminal moulin. Black outline delineates the surface catchment

131 (60.02 km² in July 2016). White stars locate proglacial river gauging stations; black square locates PROMICE

- 132 KAN_M automated weather station. Background is a 26 July 2016 true-color Landsat-8 satellite image.
- 133

134 **2. Data and Methods**

135 **2.1 Observational data**

In July 2016 the Rio Behar terminal moulin was located at 67.047°N, -49.033°W, with an 136 upstream drainage catchment of $\sim 60.2 \text{ km}^2$ and mean surface elevation > 1200 m (Figure 1). We 137 established a field camp to measure moulin meltwater input and ice surface motion ~750 m 138 upstream (~67.050°N, -49.018°W). During 5-13 July 2016 we collected 174 measurements of 139 supraglacial river discharge using a SonTek RiverSurveyor M9 Acoustic Doppler Current 140 141 Profiler (ADCP) and methods of Smith et al. (2017). A Tyrolean cableway was suspended over the river to safely and repeatedly tow the ADCP back and forth across the channel every hour 142 (Figures S1-S4). In total 847 ADCP transects were acquired, of which 677 later passed rigorous 143

- 144 quality-assurance screening and were used to compute 168 consecutive hourly discharge
- 145 measurements from 6-13 July (Text S1-S3, Figure S5, Tables S1-S2, Datasets S1-S2).
- Simultaneous measurements of ice surface motion were collected every 5-s using a
 Trimble R7 GPS receiver and Trimble Zephyr Geodetic antenna anchored 3m into the ice to
- prevent its movement from ablation (67.048°N, -49.018 °W, elevation 1211.43 m). On-ice
- kinematic GPS positions were later estimated using carrier-phase differential processing relative
- to a bedrock mounted base station (\sim 47 km baseline, 67.150°N, -50.058°W, elevation 581.19)
- and final International GNSS Service satellite orbits (*Chen, 1998; Estey and Meertens, 1999;*
- 152 Hoffman et al., 2011; Andrews al. 2014; 2018; Text S4). To assess surface melt processes,
- 153 simultaneous measurements of 2-m air temperature, energy balance, and ablation were obtained
- 154 from the nearby PROMICE KAN MAWS (*Fausto and van As, 2019*, **Text S5**). Proglacial river
- discharges were obtained from gauges at Qinnguata Kuussua/Watson River in Kangerlussuaq
- 156 (van As et al., 2019), its northern tributary Akuliarusiarsuup Kuua (AK4) near the ice terminus
- 157 (Rennermalm et al., 2017, AK4 station), and a discontinued gauge near Leverett Glacier
- 158 (Tedstone et al., 2017) (Figure 1). Lagged correlation coefficients (e.g. Flowers et al., 2016;
- 159 Armstrong and Anderson, 2020) were used to quantify links between these variables and GPS-
- derived ice motion, and to compute proglacial timing delays between the ice edge and
- 161 Kangerlussuaq (**Text S6, S8**).

162 **2.2 Proxies for S and AS**

163 GPS-derived vertical positions and their first derivative were used to estimate subglacial

- 164 storage S and rate-of-change L'S (e.g. *Bartholomew et al.*, 2012; *Cowton et al.*, 2016; **Text S7**).
- 165 Proxies for *S* and L'S were also computed by adapting a meltwater input-output approach
- 166 (Bartholomaus et al. 2008; 2011; McGrath et al. 2011; Armstrong and Anderson, 2020)
- 167 comparing relative timings of supra- and proglacial river discharge hydrographs (**Text S7**).
- 168 Hydrographs were normalized and differenced (supraglacial minus proglacial) to assess their
- relative timings and shapes at Rio Behar moulin and at the ice edge. These "discharge-
- 170 difference" proxies are unitless and do not satisfy mass conservation. They characterize
- 171 instantaneous net water storage changes, not subglacial routing delays and/or storages known to
- retard proglacial discharges longer than 24 h (e.g. *Chandler et al., 2013; Rennermalm et al.,*
- 173 2013b; Smith et al., 2015; Chu et al., 2016; van As et al., 2017; Pitcher et al. 2020). From dye
- tracing experiments, subglacial routing from ~1300 m elevation takes 1-3 days (*Chandler et al.*
- 175 2013, site L57), or ~2-5 days from proglacial hydrograph analysis (van As et al., 2017). Such
- subglacial delays and storages are irrelevant to our purpose here, which is simply to characterize
- instantaneous subglacial conditions at our field site, not Lagrangian transport to the ice edge.
- Descriptions of all data, methods, and uncertainties are presented in SI (Text S1-S9, Figures S7-
- 179 **S14, Tables S1-S5**).



180

Figure 2: In situ measurements of (a) melt energy, air temperature, and ice ablation from KAN_M; (b) Rio Behar

- moulin input (supraglacial discharge) and proglacial discharge; (c) ice surface speed and elevation. Colored
 envelopes (b, c) represent measurement uncertainties.
- 184

185 **3. Results**

3.1 Correlations of ice speed with other variables

We find strong diurnal cycles in all variables except surface elevation, with daily accelerations in horizontal ice speed closely tracking moulin input and melt energy (Figure 2; Table S3). A consistent progression is observed in the timing of daily peaks, with melt energy and air temperatures peaking near local solar noon, followed by sequential peaks in ice ablation, moulin input, ice speed, and proglacial discharge (Figure 3). The timing of daily peaks is most consistent for melt energy, moulin input, ice speed, and proglacial discharge, whereas peaks in air temperature, ablation, and especially ice surface elevation are more variable as indicated by



195

Figure 3: Mean daily peak timing (circles) and timing range (grey bars) of observed variables. Diurnal cycles in melt energy and air temperatures peak around solar noon, followed by peaks in ice ablation, proglacial discharge, moulin input, ice speed, and ice surface uplift. GPS-derived daily peaks in uplift are temporally variable (Table S3). Peak proglacial discharge has little timing variability and is shifted -5h earlier to account for the timing offset between Kangerlussuaq and the ice edge. This figure presents only the timing of daily peaks, not subglacial routing delays and/or storages of meltwater.

After lagging our GPS-derived horizontal ice speed time-series to correct for its mean 203 timing offsets with the other variables, we compute correlations between potential forcing 204 variables and ice speed using Pearson's r, which assumes a linear relationship, and Mann-205 206 Kendall's τ , which does not assume a linear relationship between variables. We find that ice speed correlates strongly with moulin input (r=0.90, $\tau=0.70$), melt energy (r=0.90, $\tau=0.67$), and 207 proglacial discharge (r=0.88, $\tau=0.71$) (Figure 4, Table S4). We find moderately strong 208 correlations with ice surface ablation (r=0.74, $\tau=0.59$) and air temperature (r=0.70, $\tau=0.54$). 209 drivers of melt energy and runoff, respectively. Lowest correlation is found for detrended ice 210 surface elevation (i.e. uplift, r=0.36, $\tau=0.24$, Table S4; Figure 4e). All correlations are 211

- statistically significant (p < 0.01). Unlike melt energy (which turns negative, implying nocturnal
- refreezing), moulin input persists throughout the night. Because i) moulin input closely tracks
- 214 (and derives from) melt energy; ii) virtually all surface runoff generated within Rio Behar
- catchment flows to its terminal moulin; and iii) an observed 6h time lag between peak melt
- energy and peak supraglacial discharge (**Table S3**) is similar to a previously calculated
- catchment routing delay for Rio Behar (i.e. estimated time-to-peak t_p =5.5h, Smith et al., 2017)
- 218 we infer that supraglacial river discharge, a product of catchment-integrated melt energy, is the
- 219 dominant supraglacial forcing variable driving our locally recorded ice speed variations.



220

Figure 4. Correlations between ice speed and other variables, after correcting for their mean differences (values in parentheses) in daily peak timing: (a) melt energy (-7h); (b) air temperature (-8h); (c) ablation (-4h); (d) moulin input (-2h); (e) ice surface elevation (+6h); (f) proglacial discharge (-2h at terminus). Statistical correlations presented in Table S4. Moulin input displays strongest correlation with ice speed (r=0.90, τ =0.70, p<0.01).

- presented
- 225

226

3.2 Comparison of short-term ice accelerations with S and AS

To further investigate drivers of short-term ice speed variations, we test proxies of 227 subglacial water storage S and rate-of-change L'S calculated from GPS-derived ice surface 228 observations (following Anderson et al., 2004; Harper et al., 2007; Howat et al., 2008; Hoffman 229 230 et al., 2011; Cowton et al., 2016; Andrews et al., 2018) and by differencing normalized hydrographs of supraglacial and proglacial river discharge (Text S7-S9). Implicit in the latter 231 "discharge-difference" calculations are assumptions that englacial storage is negligible; that 232 en/subglacial melting is negligible; that subglacial routing delays are irrelevant to instantaneous 233 net storage; and that proglacial discharge reflects overall regional basal water pressure, allowing 234

235 Rio Behar moulin input to be compared with regional proglacial discharge despite its smaller

spatial domain (60 km² vs. \sim 2800 km² to 1750 m a.s.l.) and absolute discharge magnitude (\sim 6-38

237 $m^3 s^{-1} vs. \sim 800-1300 m^3 s^{-1}$).

Comparison of our observed horizontal ice speeds with both proxies for *S* and L'S
suggests that L'S drives short-term accelerations in ice speed (Figures 5, S11, S13, S14; Table
S5). This conclusion is clearest from the discharge-difference proxies, with L'S tracking ice as
well or better than *S* (see Figure 5c vs. 5b; S13c vs. S13b; S11, S14). This same conclusion
may be drawn, albeit less compellingly, from conventional GPS-derived *S* and L'S proxies
(Figure 5a vs. Figure 2c; S11d and S14d vs. b). For both methods, L'S generally correlates
with ice accelerations better than *S* (Table S5) suggesting that changes in subglacial water

storage force short-term ice speed accelerations at our field site.



246



²⁴⁹ discharge-difference; (c) ΔS estimated from normalized discharge-difference. See Figure 2(c) for S estimated

from GPS. Brief accelerations in ice speed track ΔS better than S. Discharge-difference (c) tracks ice speed better

than GPS (a). Figure S13 presents another version of this figure using AK4 proglacial discharges.

252 **4. Discussion and conclusion**

We find that diurnal cycles in moulin input (following the integrative and delaying 253 effects of surface routing through the upstream catchment) are the primary driver of short-term 254 accelerations in ice sliding velocity at our field site (Figure 4). This finding supports previous 255 work (Andrews et al., 2014) and the conclusion that over diurnal scales, variability in 256 supraglacial river input imposes a first-order control on subglacial water pressure fluctuations. 257 258 Furthermore, while short-term accelerations in ice speed closely follow moulin input, they also tend to track proxies of subglacial water storage change (L'S) better than proxies of absolute 259 storage (S) (Figures 5, S11, S13, S14), suggesting that nightly peaks in subglacial water storage 260

261 drive subglacial basal pressure and short-term ice motion.

This conclusion is more evident in discharge-difference proxies than conventional GPS-262 derived proxies (e.g. Figures 5c vs. 5a; S13c vs. S13a; S11, S14). This is likely due to our 263 inability to assess the impact of changes in the ice column due to variations in vertical strain, 264 265 making our GPS-derived proxies susceptible to local and non-local forcings (e.g., Price et al., 2008; Rvser et al., 2014; see Text S7). Differencing supra- and proglacial hydrographs, 266 therefore, may characterize subglacial water storage conditions more sensitively than small 267 vertical ice surface elevation changes, which are inherently difficult to detect and have multiple 268 sources of uncertainty (Anderson et al., 2004; Andrews et al., 2018). A discharge input-output 269 approach (e.g. Bartholomaus et al., 2008; 2011; McGrath et al., 2011; Armstrong and Anderson, 270 271 2020), comparing moulin inputs with proglacial outputs, offers an alternate strategy for characterizing subglacial water storage and its link to basal sliding laws. Future studies, for 272 example, could develop discharge-difference proxies over longer time scales and larger study 273 areas by pairing surface-routed climate model output (e.g. Smith et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2019) 274 with proglacial discharge records (*Rennermalm et al. 2017; van As et al., 2019*), to relate net 275 increases/decreases in L'S to regional ice speed variations. 276

It is well-known that evolution of the subglacial system from inefficient to efficient states 277 acts to modulate the ice dynamical response to supraglacial water inputs (e.g. Bartholomew et 278 al., 2010; 2011; Hoffman et al., 2011). We find that peak or ascendant L'S is associated with 279 localized GrIS velocity accelerations (Figure 5c; Figure 13c). This suggests that highest 280 subglacial water pressure (and ice sliding speed) occurs when subglacial cavities are growing the 281 fastest, not when their volume is largest (e.g. Iken et al., 1983; Cowton et al., 2016). As such, 282 steady-state theoretical basal sliding laws – which assume a relationship between cavity size and 283 284 subglacial pressure – do not accurately represent transient behavior of the subglacial system.

285 It is important to note that the strong correlation between moulin input and ice velocity reported here (Figure 4d) is unlikely to hold over an entire melt season. Previous work has 286 clearly established that Greenland ice sliding velocities are strongly influenced by long-term 287 288 seasonal evolution of the subglacial hydrological system (e.g. Bartholomew et al., 2010; Hoffman et al., 2011; Nienow et al., 2017; Andrews et al., 2018). Our short 7-day record 289 captures neither the early nor late melt season, when subglacial efficiency (and associated ice 290 291 speeds) undergo extensive changes. Subglacial evolution makes melt-driven proxies 292 inappropriate for estimating ice motion over the entire melt season (*Bartholomew et al., 2010*; Andrews et al., 2014) or multiple years (Tedstone et al., 2015; Davison et al., 2019). Over short 293 294 time scales, however, we find that diurnal cycles in moulin input are the primary driver of

- 295 fluctuating subglacial water pressures and associated ice accelerations even in relatively thick
- ice (~1 km) more than 40 km inland from the ice edge. Some slight differences in peak timings
- between L'S and ice motion, as well as some non-linear behavior on descending limbs (Figure
- 298 **5c, Figure 13c**) are discussed further in **Text S9**.

This study adds to a small but growing collection of GrIS supraglacial streamflow 299 measurements (Holmes 1955; Echelmever and Harrison 1990; Carver et al. 1994; McGrath et 300 al. 2011; Chandler et al., 2013; Gleason et al. 2016; Smith et al., 2015; 2017). With peak daily 301 discharges of $26.59 - 37.61 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (Table S2), the discharges reported here are far larger than 302 303 those collected in most supraglacial streams, but are typical for trunk supraglacial rivers in southwest Greenland (Smith et al., 2015: 2017). Nearly all of them terminate in moulins (Smith 304 et al., 2015; Yang and Smith, 2016), and the high diurnal variability we observe (19.05 – 30.50 305 m^{3}/s , **Table S2**) signifies that their subglacial channels are likely out of equilibrium with 306 307 supraglacial inputs for large portions of the day, such that associated accelerations in ice speed are driven by addition or removal of water outside of the channelized system. 308

Based on satellite mapping (e.g. Yang and Smith, 2013; 2016; Lampkin and VanderBerg, 309 2014; Smith et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; 2016) and topographic modeling (e.g. Banwell et al. 310 2012; 2016; Karlstrom and Yang, 2016; King et al., 2016; Crozier et al., 2018), we maintain that 311 supraglacial rivers likely drive ice accelerations near hundreds of other terminal moulins as well. 312 Process-level understanding and modeling of subglacial hydrology and associated ice dynamics 313 should presume large, strongly diurnal inputs of meltwater entering hundreds of supraglacial 314 river moulins distributed throughout Greenland's ablation zone. These inputs, countered by 315 water output discharged beneath outlet glaciers, trigger short-term fluctuations in subglacial 316 water storage that drive short-term accelerations in ice sheet motion. 317

318

319 **5. Data Availability**

- 320 Discharge data, surface mass balance data, ADCP and GPS data summaries, S and ΔS
- proxies, and a time-lapse camera video are available as tables (**Tables S1-S2**) and/or Additional
- 322 Supporting Information (**Datasets S1-S7**). Original, full-resolution ADCP and GPS data will be
- archived at the Arctic Data Center (<u>https://doi.org/10.18739/A2PN8XG5T</u>). PROMICE
- KAN_M automated weather station data (*Fausto and van As, 2019*) are available from
- 325 https://www.promice.org/PromiceDataPortal/. Proglacial river discharges for Qinnguata
- 326 Kuussua/Watson River (van As et al., 2019), Akuliarusiarsuup Kuua (Rennermalm et al., 2013b;
- 327 2017), and Leverett Glacier (*Tedstone et al., 2017*) are available from
- 328 <u>https://doi.org/10.22008/promice/data/watson_river_discharge</u>,
- 329 https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.876357, and https://doi.org/10.5285/17c400f1-ed6d-4d5a-
- 330 <u>a51f-aad9ee61ce3d</u>.

331

332 6. Acknowledgements

- 333 This research was funded by the NASA Cryospheric Science Program (grants
- 80NSSC19K0942 and NNX14AH93G) managed by Dr. Thorsten Markus. Polar Field Services,

- Inc. and Kangerlussuaq International Science Support (KISS) provided logistical field support.
- 336 GPS equipment was loaned by UNAVCO, Inc. with support from NASA and NSF. A. Zaino and
- 337 J. Pettit of UNAVCO advised on GPS receiver hardware, programming, and installation.
- 338 Proglacial discharge data from Qinnguata Kuussua/Watson River were gathered by the
- 339 University of Copenhagen and the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland. The KAN_M
- 340 weather station is part of the Programme for Monitoring of the Greenland Ice Sheet
- 341 (<u>www.PROMICE.dk</u>). The authors declare there are no real or perceived financial conflicts of
- interest, or other affiliations for any author that may be perceived as having a conflict of interest
- 343 with respect to the results of this research.
- 344

345 **Dedication**

- We dedicate this paper to the memory of Dr. Konrad Steffen (1952-2020).
- 347
- 348 **References**
- 349

Andrews L.C., Catania G.A., Hoffman M.J., Gulley J.D., Lüthi M.P., et al. (2014), Direct observations of evolving subglacial drainage beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Nature*, 514, 80–83, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13796

- Andrews, L.C., Hoffman, M.J., Neumann, T.A., Catania, G.A., Lüthi, M.P., Hawley, R.L., et al.
 (2018), Seasonal evolution of the subglacial hydrologic system modified by supraglacial
 lake drainage in western Greenland. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*, 123,
 1479–1496. https://doi.org/10.1029/
- Anderson, R.S., Anderson, S.P., MacGregor, K.R., Waddington, E.D., O'Neel, S., Riihimaki,
 C.A., Loso, M.G. (2004), Strong feedbacks between hydrology and sliding of a small
 alpine glacier. *Journal of Geophysical Research—Earth Surface*, 109(F3), F03005.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JF000120
- Armstrong, W.H., Anderson, R.S. (2020), Ice-marginal lake hydrology and the seasonal
 dynamical evolution of Kennicott Glacier, Alaska. *Journal of Glaciology*, 66(259), 699 713. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2020.41
- Banwell, A.F., Arnold, N.S., Willis, I.C., Tedesco, M., Ahlstrom, A.P. (2012), Modelling
 supraglacial water routing and lake filling on the Greenland ice sheet. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 117, F04012, doi:10.1029/2012JF002393.
- Banwell, A., Hewitt, I., Willis, I., Arnold, N. (2016), Moulin density controls drainage
 development beneath the Greenland ice sheet. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 121, 2248–
 2269, doi:10.1002/2015JF003801.
- Bartholomaus, T.C, Anderson, R.S., Anderson, S.P. (2008), Response of glacier basal motion to
 transient water storage. *Nature Geoscience*, 1, 33–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo.2007.52</u>

- Bartholomaus, T.C., Anderson, R.S., Anderson, S.P. (2011), Growth and collapse of the
 distributed subglacial hydrologic system of Kennicott Glacier, Alaska, USA, and its effects
 on basal motion. *Journal of Glaciology*, 57(206), 985-1002, DOI:
- 375 https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311798843269
- Bartholomew, I., Nienow, P., Mair, D. et al. (2010), Seasonal evolution of subglacial drainage
 and acceleration in a Greenland outlet glacier. *Nature Geosci.*, 3, 408–411,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo863
- Bartholomew, I., Nienow, P., Sole, A., Mair, D., Cowton, T., Palmer, S., Wadham, J. (2011),
 Supraglacial forcing of subglacial drainage in the ablation zone of the Greenland ice sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L08502, doi:10.1029/2011GL047063.
- Bartholomew, I. D., Nienow, P., Sole, A., Mair, D., Cowton, T., King, M. A. (2012), Short-term
 variability in Greenland Ice Sheet motion forced by time-varying meltwater drainage:
 Implications for the relationship between subglacial drainage system behavior and ice
 velocity. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *117*(F3), F03002.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002220
- Bell, R. (2008) The role of subglacial water in ice-sheet mass balance. *Nature Geosci*, 1, 297–304, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo186
- Bindschadler, R. (1983), The importance of pressurized subglacial water in separation and
 sliding at the glacier bed. *Journal of Glaciology*, 29(101), 3–19.
 https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000005104
- Burkey, J. (2021). Mann-Kendall Tau-b with Sen's Method (enhanced). *MATLAB Central File Exchange*, <u>https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/11190-mann-kendall-</u>
 tau-b-with-sen-s-method-enhanced, retrieved 10 January 2021
- Carver S., Sear D., Valentine E. (1994) An observation offroll waves in a supraglacial meltwater
 channel, Harlech Gletscher, East Greenland. J. Glaciology 40(134):75–78
- Catania G.A., Neumann T.A. (2010), Persistent englacial drainage features in the Greenland Ice
 Sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett*, 37:1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041108
- Chandler, D. M., Wadham, J. L., Lis, G. P., Cowton, T., Sole, A., Bartholomew, I., ... Hubbard,
 A. (2013), Evolution of the subglacial drainage system beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet
 revealed by tracers. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(3), 195–198. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1737</u>
- Chen, G. (1998). GPS kinematic positioning for airborne laser altimetry at Long Valley,
 California. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA. Retrieved from
 http://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/9680
- Christoffersen, P., Bougamont, M., Hubbard, A., Doyle, S.H., Grigsby, S., Pettersson, R. (2018),
 Cascading lake drainage on the Greenland Ice Sheet triggered by tensile shock and fracture.
 Nature Communications 9, 1064 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03420-8
- 409 Chu, V. W. (2014), Greenland ice sheet hydrology: a review, Prog. Phys. Geogr., 38(1), 19-54.
- 410 Chu W., Schroeder D.M., Seroussi H., Creyts T.T., Palmer S.J., Bell R.E. (2016), Extensive
- 411 winter subglacial water storage beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*412 43(24):12,484-12,492

Clason C.C., Mair D.W.F., Nienow P.W., Bartholomew I.D., Sole A., et al. (2015), Modelling 413 the transfer of supraglacial meltwater to the bed of Leverett Glacier, Southwest Greenland. 414 The Cryosphere, 9(1), 123–38. www.the-cryosphere.net/9/123/2015/doi:10.5194/tc-9-123-415 2015 416 Cooper M.G., Smith L.C., Rennermalm A.K., Miège C., Pitcher L.H, et al. (2018), Meltwater 417 storage in low-density near-surface bare ice in the Greenland ice sheet ablation zone. The 418 Cryosphere 12(3):955-70 419 Cooper, M.G., Smith, L.C. (2019) Satellite Remote Sensing of the Greenland Ice Sheet Ablation 420 Zone: A Review. Remote Sensing, 11, 2405. DOI: 10.3390/rs11202405 421 Cowton T., Nienow P., Sole A., Wadham J., Lis G., et al. (2013), Evolution of drainage system 422 morphology at a land-terminating Greenlandic outlet glacier. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 423 118(1):29-41 424 Cowton, T., Nienow, P., Sole, A., Bartholomew, I., Mair, D. (2016), Variability in ice motion at 425 a land-terminating Greenlandic outlet glacier: the role of channelized and distributed 426 drainage systems. Journal of Glaciology, doi:10.1017/jog.2016.36 427 Crozier, J., Karlstrom, L., and Yang, K. (2018), Basal control of supraglacial meltwater 428 429 catchments on the Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 12, 3383-3407, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3383-2018 430 Davison, B.J., Sole, A.J., Livingstone, S.J., Cowton, T.R., Nienow, P.W. (2019) The influence of 431 hydrology on the dynamics of land-terminating sectors of the Greenland ice sheet. 432 Frontiers in Earth Science, 7(10), ISSN 2296-6463 433 https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00010 434 Echelmeyer K., Harrison W.D. (1990) Jakobshavns Isbræ, West Greenland: seasonal variations 435 in velocity—or lack thereof. J. Glaciology, 36(122):82-88. 436 437 Estey, L.H., and Meertens, C.M. (1999) TEQC: The Multi-Purpose Toolkit for GPS/GLONASS 438 Data. GPS Solutions (pub. by John Wiley & Sons), Vol. 3(1), 42-49, 439 https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012778 440 Fausto, R.S. and van As, D., (2019). Programme for monitoring of the Greenland ice sheet 441 (PROMICE): Automatic weather station data. Version: v03, Dataset published via 442 Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland. DOI: 443 https://doi.org/10.22008/promice/data/aws 444 Flowers, G., Jarosch, A., Belliveau, P., Fuhrman, L. (2016), Short-term velocity variations and 445 sliding sensitivity of a slowly surging glacier. Annals of Glaciology, 57(72), 71-83. 446 doi:10.1017/aog.2016.7 447 Flowers, G.E. (2018), Hydrology and the future of the Greenland Ice Sheet. Nature 448 Communications, 9(2729). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-05002-0 449 Gagliardini, O., Cohen, D., Raback, P. Zwinger, T. (2007) Finite-element modeling of subglacial 450 cavities and related friction law. J. Geophys. Res. 112, F02027. 451

452	 Gleason, C.J., Smith, L.C., Chu, V.W., Legleiter, C.J., Pitcher, L.H., Overstreet, B.T.,
453	Rennermalm, A.K., Forster, R.R., and Yang, K. (2016), Characterizing supraglacial
454	meltwater channel hydraulics on the Greenland Ice Sheet from in situ observations. <i>Earth</i>
455	<i>Surf. Process. Landforms</i> , 41: 2111–2122. doi: 10.1002/esp.3977.
456	Harper, J. T., Humphrey, N. F., Pfeffer, W. T., Lazar, B. (2007), Two modes of accelerated
457	glacier sliding related to water. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 34(12), L12503.
458	https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL030233
459 460 461	Hoffman M.J., Catania G.A., Neumann T.A., Andrews L.C., Rumrill J.A. (2011), Links between acceleration, melting, and supraglacial lake drainage of the western Greenland Ice Sheet. <i>J. Geophys. Res.</i> , 116, F04035
462	Hoffman, M., Andrews, L., Price, S. et al. (2016), Greenland subglacial drainage evolution
463	regulated by weakly connected regions of the bed. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 7, 13903.
464	https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13903
465	Holmes G. 1955. Morphology and hydrology of the Mint Julep area, southwest Greenland. In
466	Project Mint Julep: Investigation of Smooth Ice Areas of the Greenland Ice Cap, 1953; Part
467	II: Special Scientific Reports. Maxwell Air Force Base, AL: Arct. Desert Tropic Inf. Cent.,
468	Res. Stud. Inst., Air Univ.
469	Howat, I.M., Tulaczyk, S., Waddington, E., Björnsson, H. (2008), Dynamic controls on glacier
470	basal motion inferred from surface ice motion. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth</i>
471	<i>Surface</i> , 113(F3), F03015. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000925
472	Iken, A. Röthlisberger, H., Flotron, A., W. Haeberli, W. (1983) The uplift of Unteraargletscher at
473	the beginning of the melt season - a consequence of water storage at the bed? J. Glaciol.,
474	29(101), 28–47
475 476	Irvine-Fynn, T.D.L., A.J. Hodson, B.J. Moorman, G. Vatne, A.L. Hubbard (2011), Polythermal glacier hydrology: a review, <i>Rev. Geophys.</i> , 49(4), RG4002.
477 478	Jansson, P. (1996), Dynamics and hydrology of a small polythermal valley glacier. <i>Geografiska</i> Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography, 78, 171–180.
479	Kamb, B. (1970), Sliding motion of glaciers: Theory and observation. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> ,
480	8(4), 673–728. https://doi.org/10.1029/RG008i004p00673
481 482	Karlstrom, L., Yang, K. (2016), Fluvial supraglacial landscape evolution on the Greenland Ice Sheet, <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> , 43, 2683–2692, doi:10.1002/2016GL067697.
483 484	King L., Hassan M.A., Yang K., Flowers G. (2016), Flow Routing for Delineating Supraglacial Meltwater Channel Networks. <i>Remote Sensing</i> , 8(12):988. doi:10.3390/rs8120988
485	Lampkin, D.J., VanderBerg, J. (2014), Supraglacial melt channel networks in the Jakobshavn
486	Isbræ region during the 2007 melt season, <i>Hydrol. Process.</i> , 28, 6038–6053, doi:
487	10.1002/hyp.10085
488	Lindbäck, K., Pettersson, R., Hubbard, A.L., Doyle, S.H., van As, D., Mikkelsen, A.B.,
489	Fitzpatrick, A.A. (2015). Subglacial water drainage, storage, and piracy beneath the
490	Greenland Ice Sheet. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 42(18), 7606–7614.

491 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065393

492 Mair, D., Sharp, M.J., Willis, I.C. (2002), Evidence for basal cavity opening from analysis of surface uplift during a high-velocity event: Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland. Journal of 493 Glaciology, 48(161), 208–216. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756502781831502 494 McGrath D., Colgan W., Steffen K., Lauffenburger P., Balog J. (2011), Assessing the summer 495 water budget of a moulin basin in the Sermeq Avannarleq ablation region, Greenland ice 496 sheet. J. Glaciol. 57(205):954-64 497 498 Meierbachtol, T., J. Harper, N. Humphrey (2013), Basal Drainage System Response to 499 Increasing Surface Melt on the Greenland Ice Sheet. Science, 341, 6147, 777-779. DOI: 10.1126/science.1235905 500 Morlighem, M., Williams, C. N., Rignot, E., An, L., Arndt, J. E., Bamber, J. L., ... Zinglersen, 501 502 K. B. (2017), BedMachine v3: Complete bed topography and ocean bathymetry mapping of Greenland from multibeam echo sounding combined with mass conservation, Geophysical 503 Research Letters, 44, 11,051–11,061. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074954 [Data 504 accessed 7/20 at Morlighem, M. et al. 2017, updated 2018. IceBridge BedMachine 505 Greenland, Version 3. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center 506 507 Distributed Active Archive Center. doi: https://doi.org/10.5067/2CIX82HUV88Y] Nienow, P.W., Sole, A.J., Slater, D.A., Cowton, T.R. (2017), Recent Advances in Our 508 509 Understanding of the Role of Meltwater in the Greenland Ice Sheet System. Curr Clim Change Rep (2017) 3:330-344, DOI 10.1007/s40641-017-0083-9 510 Palmer S., Shepherd A., Nienow P., Joughin I. (2011), Seasonal speedup of the Greenland ice 511 sheet linked to routing of surface water. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 302(3-4):423-28 512 513 Pitcher, L.H., Smith, L.C. (2019), Supraglacial Streams and Rivers. Annual Review of Earth and *Planetary Sciences*, 47(1), 421-452, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060212 514 Pitcher, L.H., Smith, L.C., Gleason, C.J., Miege, C., Ryan, J.C., Hagedorn, B., van As, D., Chu, 515 W., Forster, R.R. (2020), Direct observation of wintertime meltwater drainage from the 516 Greenland Ice Sheet. Geophysical Research Letters, e2019GL086521, 517 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086521 518 519 Poinar, K., Joughin, I., Das, S. B., Behn, M. D., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and van den Broeke, M. R. (2015), Limits to future expansion of surface-melt-enhanced ice flow into the interior of 520 western Greenland. Geophysical Research Letters 42, 1800-1807, 521 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063192 522 Price, S. F., Payne, A. J., Catania, G. A., & Neumann, T. A. (2008). Seasonal acceleration of 523 inland ice via longitudinal coupling to marginal ice. Journal of Glaciology, 54(185), 213-524 219. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214308784886117 525 526 Rennermalm, Å.K., Moustafa, S.E., Mioduszewski, J., Chu, V.W., Forster, R.R., Hagedorn, B., Harper, J.T., Mote, T.L., Robinson, D.A., Shuman, C.A., et al. (2013a), Understanding 527 528 Greenland ice sheet hydrology using an integrated multi-scale approach. Environ. Res. Lett. 2013, 8, 015017. 529 Rennermalm, Å.K., Smith, L. C., Chu, V.W., Box, J.E., Forster, R.R., Van den Broeke, M. R. 530 (2013b). Evidence of meltwater retention within the Greenland ice sheet. *The Cryosphere*, 531 7, 1433-1445, 2013. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1433-2013 532

Rennermalm, A.K., Smith, L.C., Hammann, A.C., Leidman, S.Z., Cooper, M.G., Cooley, S.W., 533 Pitcher, L. (2017), River discharge at station AK-004-001, 2008 - 2016, version 3.0. The 534 State University of New Jersey, PANGAEA, https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.876357 535 Ryan, J.C., Smith, L.C., van As, D., Cooley, S.W., Cooper, M.G., Pitcher, L.H, Hubbard, A. 536 537 (2019), Greenland Ice Sheet surface melt amplified by snowline migration and bare ice exposure Science Advances 5(3), eaav3738, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aav3738 538 539 Ryser, C., Lüthi, M. P., Andrews, L. C., Catania, G. A., Funk, M., Hawley, R. L., et al. (2014). Caterpillar-like ice motion in the ablation zone of the Greenland ice sheet. Journal of 540 Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119(10), 2258–2271. 541 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JF003067 542 Schoof, C. (2010), Ice-sheet acceleration driven by melt supply variability. *Nature*. 543 468(7325):803 544 Schoof, C. (2005), The effect of cavitation on glacier sliding. Proc. R. Soc. A. 461609-627 545 546 http://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2004.1350. Schweizer, J., Iken, A. (1992), The role of bed separation and friction in sliding over an 547 548 undeformable bed. Journal of Glaciology, 38(128), 77-92. https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000009618 549 Stevens, L., Behn, M., McGuire, J., Das, S.B., Joughin, I., Herring, T., Shean, D.E., King, M.A. 550 (2015), Greenland supraglacial lake drainages triggered by hydrologically induced basal 551 slip. Nature 522, 73-76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14480 552 Sugiyama, S., Gudmundsson, H. (2004), Short-term variations in glacier flow controlled by 553 subglacial water pressure at Lauteraargletscher, Bernese Alps, Switzerland. Journal of 554 555 Glaciology, 50(170), 353-362. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756504781829846 Shepherd, A., Hubbard, A., Nienow, P., King, M., McMillan, M., Joughin, I. (2009), Greenland 556 557 ice sheet motion coupled with daily melting in late summer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L01501, doi:10.1029/2008GL035758. 558 Smith, L.C., Chu, V.W., Yang, K., Gleason, C.J., Pitcher, L.H., Rennermalm, A.K., et al. (2015), 559 Efficient meltwater drainage through supraglacial streams and rivers on the southwest 560 Greenland Ice Sheet. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112 (4) 1001-1006. 561 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413024112 562 Smith, L.C., Yang, K., Pitcher, L.H., Overstreet, B.T., Chu, V.W., Rennermalm, Å.K., et al. 563 (2017), Direct measurements of meltwater runoff on the Greenland Ice Sheet surface. 564 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(50), E10622-E10631, DOI: 565 10.1073/pnas.1707743114 566 Tedesco, M., Fettweis, X., Mote, T., Wahr, J., Alexander, P., Box, J.E., and Wouters, B. (2013), 567 Evidence and analysis of 2012 Greenland records from spaceborne observations, a regional 568 climate model and reanalysis data, The Cryosphere, 7, 615-630, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-569 7-615-2013 570 Tedstone, A.J., Nienow, P.W., Sole, A.J., Mair, D.W.F., Cowton, T.R., Bartholomew, I.D., King, 571 M.A. (2013), Greenland ice sheet motion insensitive to exceptional meltwater forcing. 572

- 573 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110 (49) 19719-19724; DOI:
 574 10.1073/pnas.1315843110
- Tedstone, A., Nienow, P., Gourmelen, N., Dehecq, A., Goldberg, D., Hanna, E. (2015), Decadal
 slowdown of a land-terminating sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet despite warming.
 Nature, 526, 692–695. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15722</u>
- Tedstone, A.J. et al (2017), Proglacial discharge measurements, Leverett Glacier, south-west
 Greenland (2009-2012), Polar Data Centre, Natural Environment Research Council, UK.
 https://doi.org/10.5285/17c400f1-ed6d-4d5a-a51f-aad9ee61ce3d
- van As, D., Bech Mikkelsen, A., Holtegaard Nielsen, M., Box, J. E., Claesson Liljedahl, L.,
 Lindbäck, K., Pitcher, L., Hasholt, B. (2017), Hypsometric amplification and routing
 moderation of Greenland ice sheet meltwater release. *The Cryosphere*, 11, 1371–1386,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1371-2017
- van As, D., Hasholt, B., Ahlstrøm, A.P., Box, J.E., Cappelen, J., Colgan, W., Fausto, R.S.,
 Mernild, S.H., Mikkelsen, A.B., Noël, B.P.Y., Petersen, D., van den Broeke, M.R. (2018),
 Reconstructing Greenland Ice Sheet meltwater discharge through the Watson River (1949–2017), *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 50:1, DOI:
- 589 10.1080/15230430.2018.1433799
- van As, D., Hasholt, B., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Box, J. E., Cappelen, J., Colgan, W., Fausto, R.S.,
 Mernild, S.H., Mikkelsen, A.P., Noël, B.P.Y., Petersen, D., van den Broeke, M. R. (2019).
 Programme for monitoring of the Greenland ice sheet (PROMICE): Watson river
 discharge. Version: v01, Dataset published via Geological Survey of Denmark and
 Greenland. https://doi.org/10.22008/promice/data/watson_river_discharge
- van de Wal, R. S. W., W. Boot, M. R. Van den Broeke, C. J. P. P. Smeets, C. H. Reijmer, J. J. A.
 Donker, J. Oerlemans (2008), Large and rapid melt-induced velocity changes in the
 ablation zone of the Greenland ice sheet, *Science*, 321(5885), 111-113.
- van de Wal, R.S.W., Smeets, C.J.P.P., Boot, W., Stoffelen, M., van Kampen, R., Doyle, S.H.,
 Wilhelms, F., van den Broeke, M.R., Reijmer, C.H., Oerlemans, J., Hubbard, A. (2015),
 Self-regulation of ice flow varies across the ablation area in south-west Greenland, *The Cryosphere*, 9, 603–611, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-603-2015
- Williams, J.J., Gourmelen, N., Nienow, P. (2020), Dynamic response of the Greenland ice sheet
 to recent cooling. *Sci Rep*, 10, 1647. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58355-2
- Yang, K., Smith, L.C., Supraglacial Streams on the Greenland Ice Sheet Delineated From
 Combined Spectral-Shape Information in High-Resolution Satellite Imagery. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 10(4), 801–805,
 doi:10.1109/LGRS.2012.2224316, 2013
- K. Yang, Smith, L.C., Chu, V.W., Gleason, C.J., Li, M. (2015), A Caution on the Use of Surface
 Digital Elevation Models to Simulate Supraglacial Hydrology of the Greenland Ice Sheet.
 IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing,
 8(11), 5212-5224, doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2015.2483483.
- Yang K., Smith L.C. (2016), Internally drained catchments dominate supraglacial hydrology of
- 613 the southwest Greenland Ice Sheet. J. *Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 1891–1910. 614 doi:10.1002/2016JE003927
- 614 doi:10.1002/2016JF003927

- Yang, K., Smith, L.C., Karlstrom, L., Cooper, M.G., Tedesco, M., van As, D., Cheng, X., Chen,
 Z., Li, M.(2018), A new surface meltwater routing model for use on the Greenland Ice
 Sheet surface, *The Cryosphere*, 12, 3791–3811, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3791-2018
- Yang, K., Smith, L.C., Fettweis, X, Gleason, C.J., Lu, Y., Li, M. (2019) Surface meltwater
 runoff on the Greenland ice sheet estimated from remotely sensed supraglacial lake
- 620 infilling rate (2019). *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 234, 111459,
- 621 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111459
- Yang, K., Sommers, A., Andrews, L.C., Smith, L. C., Lu, X., Fettweis, X., Li, M. (2020) Inter comparison of surface meltwater routing models for the Greenland Ice Sheet and influence
 on subglacial effective pressures, *The Cryosphere Discuss.*, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc 2019-255.
- Zwally, H.J., Abdalati, W., Herring, T., Larson, K., Saba, J., Steffen, K. (2002), Surface melt
 induced acceleration of Greenland ice-sheet flow, *Science*, 297(5579), 218-222. DOI:
 10.1126/science.1072708
- 629
- 630