1 2	Sea surface height anomalies of the Arctic Ocean from ICESat-2: a first examination and comparisons with CryoSat-2
3	
4	M. Bagnardi ^{1,2} , N. Kurtz ¹ , A. A. Petty ^{1,3} , R. Kwok ⁴
5 6	¹ Cryospheric Sciences Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Beltsville, MD, United States.
7	² ADNET Systems, Inc., Bethesda, MD, United States.
8 9	³ Earth System Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States.
10 11	⁴ Polar Science Center, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States.
12	
13	
14	Corresponding author: Marco Bagnardi (marco.bagnardi@nasa.gov)
15	
16	Key Points:
17	• We present the first (multi-year) examination of Arctic Ocean sea surface height
18	anomalies (SSHA) from the ICESat-2 laser altimeter.
19	• ICESat-2 SSHA estimates compare well with near-coincident (<i>CRYO2ICE</i>) radar
20	altimetry-derived SSHA estimates from CryoSat-2.
21	• ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2 show good agreement in the seasonal variability in SSHA
22	suggesting ICESat-2 adds to the time-series of Arctic SSHA.

23 Abstract.

24 Accurately resolving spatio-temporal variations in sea surface height across the polar 25 oceans is key to improving our understanding of ocean circulation variability and change. Here, 26 we examine the first two years (2018-2020) of Arctic Ocean sea surface height anomalies 27 (SSHA) from the photon-counting laser altimeter onboard NASA's ICE, Cloud, and Land 28 Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2). ICESat-2 SSHA estimates are compared to estimates from 29 ESA's CryoSat-2 mission, including semi-synchronous along-track measurements from the 30 recent *CRYO2ICE* orbit alignment campaign. There are documented residual centimeter-scale 31 range biases between the ICESat-2 beams (in release-003 data) and we opted for a single-beam 32 approach in our comparisons. We find good agreement in the along-track estimates (spatial 33 correlation coefficient >0.8 and mean differences <0.03 m) as well as in the gridded monthly 34 SSHA estimates (temporal correlation coefficient of 0.76 and a mean difference of 0.01 m) from 35 the two altimeters, suggesting ICESat-2 adds to the CryoSat-2 SSHA estimates.

36

37 Plain Language Summary

38 The polar oceans, with warming and dramatic declines in sea ice coverage, are experiencing 39 some of the most rapid environmental changes on Earth. These changes have direct impacts on 40 ocean circulation and freshwater distribution, with observable changes in sea surface height. 41 Measuring and monitoring basin-scale variability of sea level of the ice-covered oceans has 42 proven challenging because the surface of these oceans is only exposed within narrow openings 43 in the sea ice, requiring high spatial resolution and bespoke measurement techniques. This study 44 takes a first look at new high-resolution laser altimetry measurements of sea level over the Arctic 45 Ocean collected by NASA's ICESat-2 satellite since its launch in 2018. We compare the results

with those obtained using independent data from ESA's CryoSat-2 satellite radar altimeter. By
looking at near-synchronous data from when the orbits of the two satellites coincide over the
Arctic Ocean, and by comparing sea surface height maps from both sensors during the two years
of overlap (2018-2020) between the two missions, we find good agreement between the sea
surface height estimates, providing additional confidence that ICESat-2 can be used to infer
regional and seasonal polar sea surface height variability.

52 **1 Introduction**

53 Satellite observations of the Arctic Ocean have shown significant changes in ocean 54 circulation, fresh water storage and energy balance since at least the 1980s, (Armitage et al., 55 2020; Morison et al., 2012, 2021; Polyakov et al., 2017; Proshutinsky et al., 2019; Timmermans 56 & Marshall, 2020). Routine and accurate profiling of the sea surface height (SSH) in the Arctic is 57 needed to continue these crucial time-series and provide more detailed insights into these 58 changes. While we can reliably monitor the SSH of the open oceans at low-to-mid latitudes using 59 satellite altimetry data (IPCC, 2019), continuous and widespread measurements at high-latitude 60 ice-covered seas have remained limited. The main challenges are the reduced coverage due to the 61 low inclination orbit of most satellite altimeters, sea surface sampling limited to narrow openings in the sea ice cover, and the need to accurately discriminate between sea ice and ocean surface 62 63 altimetry returns.

Measurements of Arctic SSH from satellite altimetry started with low resolution radar data collected by the European Space Agency's (ESA) ERS and Envisat radar missions (1995– 2010; Giles et al., 2012; Peacock & Laxon, 2004). However, the orbit inclination of these satellites limited measurements to 81.5° latitude. NASA's ICESat satellite, which operated between 2003 and 2009 (Zwally et al., 2002), offered higher resolution lidar data that improved

69	lead classification and SSH estimates (Kwok & Morison, 2011) while its orbit inclination
70	resulted in more extensive coverage of the Arctic Ocean. Since 2010, ESA's CryoSat-2 satellite
71	has been acquiring unfocussed synthetic aperture radar (SAR) altimetry data over the polar
72	regions (Parrinello et al., 2018; Wingham et al., 2006). CryoSat-2's high orbit inclination and
73	continuous data collection have enabled basin-scale mapping of seasonal and interannual SSH
74	variability up to 88° latitude. The SSH data from CryoSat-2 have been compared with Arctic tide
75	gauge measurements and ocean mass variations (e.g., GRACE) and basin-scale, monthly,
76	estimates of dynamic ocean topography (DOT; Armitage et al., 2016, 2018; Kwok & Morison,
77	2016) have been produced.
78	In September 2018, NASA launched the Ice, Cloud, and Land Elevation Satellite-2
79	(ICESat-2) laser altimetry mission, which has since been providing year-round profiling of the
80	Earth's surface up to 88° latitude (Neumann et al., 2019). The novel photon-counting Advanced
81	Topographic Laser Altimeter (ATLAS) on ICESat-2 provides high-resolution surface height
82	measurements across its six-beam configuration. For the polar oceans, the data collected by
83	ICESat-2 are currently being used to produce routine estimates of sea ice height, type (e.g.,
84	lead/ice), and freeboard (Kwok et al., 2021a). The ICESat-2 processing algorithms utilize
85	specular returns to discriminate open-water leads from sea ice, and the laser's spatial resolution
86	(~11 m diameter footprint; Magruder et al., 2020) is significantly higher than that of CryoSat-2
87	(380 m along-track and 1650 m across-track pulse limited footprint; Scagliola, 2013). Also,
88	contamination by off-nadir specular returns from up to 15 km across-track can potentially bias
89	CryoSat-2 surface height retrievals (Armitage & Davidson, 2014). New waveform processing
90	techniques have been developed, which could help account for these off-nadir returns and
91	improve lead height retrievals (e.g., Di Bella et al., 2020). On the other hand, laser altimetry

92 measurements are often hindered by the presence of clouds, which are otherwise penetrated by 93 radar. Measurements of sea ice height and freeboard by ICESat-2 have been validated against 94 coincident laser profiles collected during targeted underflights by NASA's Operation IceBridge 95 (OIB) airborne mission (Kwok et al., 2019) and the sea ice classification algorithm has been 96 shown to agree well with coincident imagery (Kwok et al., 2021b; Petty et al., 2021). At the time 97 of writing, ICESat-2 SSH measurements have yet to be compared against independent height 98 data (e.g., tide gauges, measurements from other airborne or satellite missions). 99 As of August 2020, the orbit of CryoSat-2 has been modified as part of the CRYO2ICE 100 campaign (https://earth.esa.int/eogateway/missions/cryosat/cryo2ice), such that every 19 orbits 101 (20 orbits for ICESat-2) the two satellites are aligned for hundreds of kilometers over the Arctic 102 Ocean, acquiring data along near-coincident ground tracks with a minimum time difference of 103 approximately three hours (https://cryo2ice.org/). In this study, we present a first comparison of 104 semi-synchronous along-track SSHA retrievals from ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2 from four 105 CRYO2ICE profiles. We examine SSHA from individual ICESat-2 beams and assess inter-beam 106 range biases. We produce gridded SSHA composite maps of the Arctic Ocean and examine the 107 relative agreement of the monthly, seasonal, and multi-year SSHA from the two altimeters. 108 Daily/monthly gridded SSHA measurements over both polar oceans are planned to be released as 109 an official ICESat-2 data product (ATL21) in Summer 2021, and this study offers an

110 examination of this type of composite SSHA data over the Arctic.

111 **2 Data and Methods**

112 *2.1 ICESat-2 data*

113 The ICESat-2 photon-counting laser altimeter transmits laser pulses split into a six-beam 114 configuration of three beam pairs (each having a strong and a weak beam), where beam numbers 115 1, 3, and 5 identify the strong beams, and 2, 4, and 6 the weak beams (Neumann et al., 2019). 116 The 10 kHz pulse repetition rate leads to a 0.7 m along-track separation between subsequent 117 laser pulses of the ~11 m lidar footprint (Magruder et al., 2020). Among the ICESat-2 data 118 products, the Level 3A sea ice products ATL07 (sea ice height and type, 119 https://nsidc.org/data/ATL07) and ATL10 (freeboard, https://nsidc.org/data/ATL10) provide 120 along-track measurements for six individual ground tracks (targeted at reference ground tracks, 121 RGTs), and up to 16 satellite passes per day over both the Arctic and the Southern Ocean. The 122 along-track surface heights are generated by aggregating 150 geolocated signal photon heights 123 from the primary science Level 2A ATL03 data product (Neumann et al., 2019). ATL10 data 124 coverage is limited to areas that have an ice concentration > 50% (15% for ATL07), as inferred 125 from passive microwave satellite measurements, and up to 25 km distance from land. A full 126 description of the ATL07/10 products can be found in the Algorithm Theoretical Basis 127 Document (ATBD, Kwok et al., 2021a) and recent changes to the algorithm are further discussed 128 in (Kwok et al., 2021b). In this study we use release 003 (r003) ATL10 data. 129 In ATL10, the SSHA represents the measured sea surface elevation relative to a multi-130 year mean sea surface (MSS, see Section 2.3) after various geophysical and atmospheric 131 corrections have been applied (see Table S1). Note that we adjust the solid earth tide correction 132 included in each ICESat-2 segment's SSHA from r003 ATL10 data to correct a discrepancy in 133 the permanent tide system. The adjustment is described in the supporting information (Text S1).

134 The SSHA is provided for each beam at three different length-scales: (1) segments classified as 135 sea surface after radiometric classification as specular returns and height filtering, where SSHA 136 measurements are calculated by fitting impulse-response weighted Gaussians to the height 137 distribution of 150 photons within a segment (~20 m mean along-track SSH segment resolution 138 for the strong beams); (2) individual leads, where the SSHA is calculated as the weighted mean 139 height from consecutive segments forming an individual lead; (3) ~10-km along-track sections, 140 where SSHA is calculated as the weighted mean of all leads within a given section for each 141 beam, or linearly interpolated from adjacent sections, and smoothed using a 3-point point 142 smoother. In subsequent analyses we use $(1) - height_segmet_height$, where $ssh_flag = 2$ -but 143 note that ATL21 data products will be formed using (3) to be consistent with the reference sea 144 surface heights used to calculate freeboards (ATL10 and ATL20). This choice does not introduce 145 significant differences in the gridded SSHA estimates (not shown) but allows us to take 146 advantage of higher spatial resolution and of non-interpolated data when comparing results with 147 CryoSat-2.

148 2.2 CryoSat-2 data

149 We use data acquired by the SIRAL K_u band SAR altimeter in the SAR mode, one of 150 CryoSat-2's three modes of operation. We use intermediate Level 2 (L2) ice products processed 151 at Baseline-D (Meloni et al., 2020) and available from ESA's CryoSat-2 Science Server 152 (https://science-pds.cryosat.esa.int/). L2 data provide geolocated height measurements above the 153 reference ellipsoid (WGS84) computed from each echo at intervals of approximately 300 meters. 154 The data are already corrected for instrument effects, propagation delays, measurement 155 geometry, and other geophysical effects (e.g., atmospheric delays and tides, see Table S1). In 156 Table S2 and Figure S1 we provide an assessment of the differences between the ICESat-2 and

157	CryoSat-2 geophysical corrections, estimated from orbit cross-overs (coincident data filtered
158	using a maximum time difference of 10 minutes and spatial difference of 10 km). Waveform
159	retracking is also already applied in L2 data and determined using a model-fitting method to
160	specular lead waveforms described by Giles et al. (2007). Further details and information can be
161	found in the CryoSat-2 Baseline D Product Handbook (ESA, 2019) and in Meloni et al. (2020).
162	Data coverage is controlled by the operational geographical mode mask
163	(https://earth.esa.int/web/guest/-/geographical-mode-mask-7107) and updated every two weeks
164	to account for changes in sea-ice extent.
165	2.3 Mean sea surface (MSS)
166	To consistently compute the SSHA for CryoSat-2 we remove a mean sea surface height
167	from each ellipsoidal elevation from L2 data (<i>height_sea_ice_lead_20_ku</i> , which includes all
168	instrumental and geophysical corrections) by bilinearly interpolating MSS values from a 2.5 km
169	grid (Kwok et al., 2020 – https://zenodo.org/record/4294048) to the interval centroids. The MSS
170	grid and the interpolation approach are the same as those used in the ICESat-2 sea ice data
171	products. The MSS includes the geoid component and is in the mean-tide system (see Text S1),
172	and is derived from CryoSat-2 SSH retrievals during 2011-2015 (Kwok & Morison, 2016) with
173	gaps filled mainly at lower latitudes using the DTU13 global high resolution MSS (data from 10
174	satellite missions from 1992-2012; Andersen et al., 2016).
175	2.4 SSHA data binning and gridding
176	In along-track comparisons for the CRYO2ICE campaign (Figure 1, Section 3.1), we first
177	identify measurement overlaps by selecting ICESat-2 SSHA segments from a given beam that

178 fall within the theoretical CryoSat-2 pulse-limited across-track footprint (±825 m across-track

from the centroid of each footprint; Scagliola, 2013). We then bin individual SSHA segments for ICESat-2 and SSHA intervals for CryoSat-2 in coincident 10-km sections (following the ATL10 sea ice product and based on the average Rossby radius of deformation for polar latitudes Chelton et al., 1998) and calculate the simple mean value from all measurements within each bin (shown as stars in Figure 1). For each profile we calculate the mean (μ) and standard deviation (*SD*) of the differences from all bins, and the correlation coefficient (R) between the two datasets.

186 To generate composite maps of the Arctic Ocean SSHA, along-track data from ICESat-2 187 and CryoSat-2 are first reprojected from the WGS 84 (EPSG:4326) to the NSIDC Sea Ice Polar 188 Stereographic North coordinate system (EPSG:3411). The SSHA data are then gridded to the 25-189 km SSM/I polar stereographic grid (as in ATL20 and the upcoming ATL21 data products) by 190 calculating the mean value within each grid cell for all data acquired within a given time period. 191 Finally, we apply to both datasets a mask based on the NSIDC Arctic regional mask, in order to 192 limit our assessment to the Beaufort, Chukchi, East Siberian, Laptev, Kara, Barents, and 193 Greenland seas, and the Central Arctic (see Figure S2 and black dashed outline in maps shown in 194 Figure 2-4).

195 **3 Results and discussion**

196 *3.1 Along-track CRYO2ICE SSHA comparison*

197There have been 77 orbit alignments between ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2 between the start

198 of the CRYO2ICE campaign on 4 August 2020 (ICESat-2 RGT 606) and 11 November 2020

199 (ICESat-2 RGT 739), the date of the last available ICESat-2 r003 ATL10 dataset. For some of

200 these alignments the data products are not available and for many others, SSH data are

201	missing/invalid (e.g., because of cloud cover for ICESat-2). From the subset of available data
202	(Figure S3), we find 4 overlaps that extend for at least 400 km with >1000 valid sea surface
203	height segments/intervals.
204	Figure 1 shows the along-track SSHA estimates for the four selected CRYO2ICE overlaps
205	(12 August to 22 September 2020). Of the four examples, three (14, 15 August and 22
206	September, Figure 1b-d) show mean differences of 0.01 m and one (12 August, Figure 1a) of –
207	0.03 m. The standard deviations are $0.02-0.03$ m and the correlation coefficients (<i>R</i>) vary
208	between 0.83 and 0.90. The relative differences between 10-km SSHA sections are shown in
209	Figure S4 together with differences between geophysical corrections (i.e., tides and inverted
210	barometer). Note that applying the geophysical corrections is key when doing these comparisons,
211	as the lack of time-coincidence can cause significant (up to 20 cm) differences (Figure S4).
212	The larger (> 0.20 m) SSHA excursion shown in both datasets (referenced to the same
213	MSS) in Figure 1b and smaller but still significant short-scale variability in the other profiles
214	may be localized geoid features (e.g., associated to deep ocean ridges) that are not represented
215	properly in the current MSS, and unlikely to be ocean circulation features.



216

217 Figure 1: CRYO2ICE along-track SSHA comparisons. Red dots represent ICESat-2 sea 218 surface segments, red stars show the mean value for 10-km sections. Blue dots represent 219 CryoSat-2 sea surface intervals and blue stars the mean value for the same 10-km sections as for 220 ICESat-2. The RGT number identifies the ICESat-2 reference ground track number. The date of 221 acquisition of both datasets (separated by ~3 hours) is shown for each panel. For each overlap we 222 report the mean difference (μ), the standard deviation (SD) of differences between the two 223 datasets, and the correlation coefficient R from the least-squares regression. Map insets show the 224 CryoSat-2 ground track in green and the extent of the overlap with the ICESat-2 beam footprint 225 in red. The black + symbol marks the beginning of the profile (left side in main panels).

- 226
- 227
- 228

3.2 ICESat-2 beam comparison

230 Preliminary analyses by the ICESat-2 Project Science Office (PSO) have suggested that 231 the ATLAS beams have different range biases and that these can vary through time -i.e. the 232 height profiles from the 6 beams are not yet fully calibrated/reconciled and centimeter-level 233 differences between beams remain. To understand the inter-beam range variability from SSHA 234 estimates we calculate the monthly mean SSHA value over the Arctic since the start of the 235 mission for the three strong beams independently (Figure 2a). The monthly SSHA estimate from 236 beam 1 presents the largest differences with respect to the two other strong beams (up to ~ 0.07 237 m in July 2019) while differences between beam 3 and beam 5 are consistently ≤ 0.02 m. 238 Correlation coefficients are 0.76, 0.66, and 0.93 for beam 1 – beam 3, beam 1 – beam 5, and 239 beam 3 – beam 5, respectively. In Figure 2b-d we show the spatial distribution of the beam-to-240 beam differences for a given month (January 2019, gray bar in Figure 2a), which show that 241 differences exhibit no obvious spatial correlation. This remains valid for all months since the 242 start of the mission. The same beam-to-beam differences are also shown as histograms in Figure 243 2e-g, further demonstrating the clear inter-beam bias associated with beam 1 (mean of -0.03 m 244 when compared to beam 3 and 5) and that differences between beam 3 and 5 are normally 245 distributed around a mean of 0.00 m with a standard deviation of 0.05 m. The significant larger 246 differences with beam 1 are also consistent with the findings of Brunt et al. (2021) estimated 247 over the interior ice sheets of Antarctica (beam 1-3: 0.039 m; 1-5: 0.036 m; 3-5: 0.003 m), 248 suggesting that these are sensor- or pointing solution-related.

For all of our subsequent analyses (Section 3.3 and 3.4), and until range differences between beams are fully characterized, we opt to use just a single strong beam when estimating Arctic SSHA. Based on the results presented above we select the middle strong beam (beam 3)

since, despite its lower transmitted energy level (~80% of beam 1 and 5), the steeper incidence
angle results in a stronger backscatter in the presence of highly reflective surfaces (e.g., leads)
consistently increasing the number of specular lead returns compared to other strong beams
(Kwok et al., 2021b). This is currently our recommended strategy for the initial production and
release of ICESat-2 ATL21 data.



Figure 2: ICESat-2 beam comparison. a) Monthly mean for the Arctic Ocean calculated using data from each beam. The cyan dashed bars mark months for which data do not cover the entire month, October 2018–beginning of science data acquisition on 14 October– and July 2019–data between 1 and 8 July are not available due to satellite safe mode operations. The gray bar marks the month for which data are shown in panel b-g. Correlation coefficients (*R*) between beams are

shown at the top. **b-d**) Maps showing the differences between beams for the month of January 264 2019. The black dashed line marks the extent of the area of interest. **e-g**) histograms showing the 265 distribution of the differences presented in panels b-d. The black dash lines mark the mean (μ) 266 and σ is the standard deviation.

267

268 *3.3 Monthly and multi-year SSHA comparison*

269 In Figure 3a we compare monthly SSHA means calculated using ICESat-2 beam 3 to those calculated using CryoSat-2 L2 data. We limit this comparison to the Central Arctic, the 270 271 area outlined by the green dashed line in Figure 3b (from NSIDC Arctic regional mask), where 272 we expect consistent year-round ice cover and to exclude effects introduced by season-dependent 273 changes in sea-ice extent and different data coverage near the coastal regions. Further details for 274 each monthly comparison (mean, number of valid grid cells, number of data points) are provided 275 in Table S3. Differences across all months between the two sensors have a mean of 0.01 m (SD = 276 0.02 m), and the correlation coefficient from a least-squares regression (R) is 0.76 (slope = 0.95, 277 intercept = -0.02 m). We find that up to 0.03 m of the observed monthly SSHA differences, 278 especially during fall/winter, are caused by differences in the inverted barometer correction 279 applied to each dataset (see also Table S2 and Figure S1). Our comparisons between heights 280 from ICESat-2 with those from CryoSat-2 show a better agreement than has been shown by 281 Brunt et al. (2021), who compared absolute ice height over the flat interiors of the Antarctic ice 282 sheet and found differences > 0.3 m. This larger discrepancy, however, is likely due to the much 283 greater penetration depth of the K_n band radar in firn compared to sea water. 284 We then compare the Arctic SSHA calculated from data spanning the two-year mission

overlap, from 1 November 2018 through 31 October 2020. The ICESat-2 mean 2018-2020

286 SSHA in shown in Figure 3b and that from CryoSat-2 is presented in Figure 3c. Both maps show

a positive SSHA in the southern Beaufort Sea, a strong negative anomaly in the

288	Chukchi/Siberian seas and a weaker negative SSHA in Central Western Arctic, a spatial pattern
289	consistent with recent positive phase in the Arctic Oscillation (Armitage et al., 2018; Morison et
290	al., 2021). In Figure 3d we show a histogram of the differences between ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2
291	SSHA, while a map of the SSHA differences is presented in Figure 3e, which shows the ICESat-
292	2 SSHA to be generally higher in the more marginal seas (Barents, Kara, East Siberian, and
293	Chukchi) and slightly lower in the Central Arctic. The marginal seas are areas of large SSH
294	variability where the different acquisition times between the two satellites can capture different
295	parts of these cycles (see Figure S5 for the standard deviation of each dataset, showing higher
296	values in the marginal seas) and can therefore explain much of these differences. Increased data
297	acquisition from both missions will enable a more reliable comparison of the mean SSHA from
298	ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2.



Figure 3: Comparison between ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2 Arctic SSHA. a) time series of monthly
 mean SSHA for the Central Arctic (area outlined by green dashed-line in panel b) from ICESat-2
 (red) and CryoSat-2 (blue), with shaded areas representing one standard deviation from the

mean. **b-c**) Multi-year mean SSHA estimated using data acquired between 1 November 2018 and 305 31 October 2020. **d**) Histogram showing the distribution of the differences between ICESat-2 and CryoSat-2, also shown in map view in panel **e**). In d) the black dash line marks the mean (μ) and σ is the standard deviation. The black dashed line in e) marks the extent of the area of interest (data outside this line are masked out).

- 309
- 310 *3.4 Seasonal SSHA variations from ICESat-2*

311 In Figure 4 we present seasonal maps of Arctic SSHA for three-month periods starting in 312 mid-October 2018 and ending in September 2020. The top row (Figure 4a-d) can be directly 313 compared to the bottom row (Figure 4e-h) to assess year-to-year differences, while from left to 314 right we track the temporal progression during two entire freezing-melting seasons (2018–2019 and 2019–2020). Note that variations in spatial coverage are dictated by variations in sea ice 315 316 extent since ICESat-2 ATL10 data are only provided for areas that have an ice concentration > 317 50%. Comparisons to CryoSat-2 for each three-month period are presented in Figure S6, and 318 confirm similar SSHA spatio-temporal variations providing some confidence in the capability of 319 ICESat-2 to produce consistent estimates of Arctic SSHA. 320 A positive SSHA centered on the Beaufort Sea (a strengthened Beaufort Gyre) is clearly 321 visible during winter months but less apparent in 2020 (see Figure 4 c-d compared to Figure 4 g-322 h). Large variability in the Siberian and Chukchi seas also corresponds to areas characterized by 323 high short-term SSH variability.



Figure 4: Seasonal mean SSHA maps from ICESat-2. OND = October, November, December; 325 JFM = January, February, March; AMJ = April, May, June; JAS = July, August, September. The 326 black dashed line marks the extent of the area of interest (data outside this line are masked out). 327 328

5 Summary and conclusions 329

330	Here we have presented a first examination of Arctic sea surface height anomalies
331	(SSHA) from NASA's ICESat-2 laser altimeter during the first two years of the mission (2018-
332	2020). We analyzed beam-to-beam differences and provided an independent assessment of inter-
333	beam range biases for the ATLAS altimeter. We compared the ICESat-2 SSHA estimates with
334	L2 sea ice data obtained from ESA's CryoSat-2 radar altimeter. We provided a brief description
335	of the necessary steps to compare the SSHA data from the two altimetry missions by imposing
336	the same permanent tide system and MSS. A careful reconciliation of the data (e.g., same
337	geophysical corrections) is needed in future efforts to blend data from ICESat-2 with those from
338	CryoSat-2 (and potentially other airborne and space-borne altimetry missions).

339	The strong agreement between both the semi-synchronous along-track estimates from the
340	CRYO2ICE overlaps and basin-scale gridded SSHA estimates between the two sensors suggests
341	that the higher resolution ICESat-2 data can be used to estimate monthly/seasonal SSHA and
342	perhaps resolve 10 km-scale spatial variability in SSHA. The multi-year record of overlap also
343	opens up the potential to produce a new, high-resolution, blended, estimate of the mean sea
344	surface of the Arctic Ocean (and indeed Southern Ocean) which could rectify what we believe to
345	be unphysically large short-scale variations in SSHA shown in the CRYO2ICE overlaps. Finally,
346	our results provide a first evaluation of the approach used for the production of ICESat-2 SSHA
347	gridded data products for the polar oceans (ATL21). Future work will extend this analysis to the
348	Southern Ocean, pending CRYO2ICE orbit maneuvers for the Southern Hemisphere.

349

350 Acknowledgments

351 The authors would like to thank the entire ICESat-2 project for their continued efforts in

delivering and maintaining the high-quality sea ice data analyzed in this study. The manuscript

353 greatly benefited from the comments of two anonymous reviewers and from discussions with

Rachel Tilling, Steven Fons and other sea ice and oceanography members of the ICESat-2 team.

355

356 Data Availability Statement

357 ICESat-2 ATL10 data products (freeboard) were obtained from NSIDC and are available at

358 <u>http://nsidc.org/data/atl10</u>. CryoSat-2 Level-2 data (SIR_SAR_L2) were obtained from ESA at

359 <u>https://science-pds.cryosat.esa.int/#</u>. The mean sea surface grid is available at

360 <u>https://zenodo.org/record/4294048</u>. Monthly gridded SSHA estimates for the Arctic Ocean

derived in this study are available on Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4707371

362

363 **References**

Andersen, O., Knudsen, P., & Stenseng, L. (2016). The DTU13 MSS (Mean Sea Surface) and MDT

- 365 (Mean Dynamic Topography) from 20 Years of Satellite Altimetry. In S. Jin & R. Barzaghi (Eds.), *IGFS*
- 366 2014 (pp. 111–121). Springer International Publishing.

368	Armitage, T. W. K., Bacon, S., & Kwok, R. (2018). Arctic Sea Level and Surface Circulation Response to
369	the Arctic Oscillation. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(13), 6576-6584.
370	https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078386
371 372	Armitage, T. W. K., Bacon, S., Ridout, A. L., Thomas, S. F., Aksenov, Y., & Wingham, D. J. (2016).
373	Arctic sea surface height variability and change from satellite radar altimetry and GRACE, 2003-2014.
374	Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121(6), 4303-4322. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011579
375 376	Armitage, T. W. K., & Davidson, M. W. J. (2014). Using the Interferometric Capabilities of the ESA
377	CryoSat-2 Mission to Improve the Accuracy of Sea Ice Freeboard Retrievals. IEEE Transactions on
378	Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52(1), 529–536. https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2013.2242082
379 380 381	Armitage, T. W. K., Manucharyan, G. E., Petty, A. A., Kwok, R., & Thompson, A. F. (2020). Enhanced eddy activity in the Beaufort Gyre in response to sea ice loss. <i>Nature Communications</i> , 11(1), 761.
382	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14449-z
383 384	Brunt, K. M., Smith, B. E., Sutterley, T. C., Kurtz, N. T., & Neumann, T. A. (2021). Comparisons of
385	Satellite and Airborne Altimetry With Ground-Based Data From the Interior of the Antarctic Ice Sheet.
386	Geophysical Research Letters, 48(2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090572
387 388	Chelton, D. B., Deszoeke, R. A., Schlax, M. G., Naggar, K. E., & Siwertz, N. (1998). Geographical
389	Variability of the First Baroclinic Rossby Radius of Deformation. JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL
390	OCEANOGRAPHY, 28, 28.
391 392 393	Di Bella, A., Kwok, R., Skourup, H., & Forsberg, R. (2020). Multi-peak Retracking of CryoSat-2 SARIn Waveforms Over Arctic Sea Ice. <i>IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE SENSING</i> ,
394	17.
395 396	Giles, K.A., Laxon, S. W., Wingham, D. J., Wallis, D. W., Krabill, W. B., Leuschen, C. J., McAdoo, D.,
397	Manizade, S. S., & Raney, R. K. (2007). Combined airborne laser and radar altimeter measurements over
398	the Fram Strait in May 2002. Remote Sensing of Environment, 111(2-3), 182-194.
399	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.02.037
400 401	Giles, Katharine A., Laxon, S. W., Ridout, A. L., Wingham, D. J., & Bacon, S. (2012). Western Arctic
402	Ocean freshwater storage increased by wind-driven spin-up of the Beaufort Gyre. Nature Geoscience,
403	5(3), 194–197. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1379

404 405	Kwok, R., Kacimi, S., Markus, T., Kurtz, N. T., Studinger, M., Sonntag, J. G., Manizade, S. S., Boisvert,
406	L. N., & Harbeck, J. P. (2019). ICESat-2 Surface Height and Sea Ice Freeboard Assessed With ATM
407	Lidar Acquisitions From Operation IceBridge. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(20), 11228–11236.
408	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084976
409 410	Kwok, R., & Morison, J. (2011). Dynamic topography of the ice-covered Arctic Ocean from ICESat.
411	Geophysical Research Letters, 38(2), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046063
412 413	Kwok, Ron, Petty, A., Cunningham, G. F., Hancock, D. W., Ivanoff, A., Wimert, J. T., Bagnardi, M., &
414	Kurtz, N. (2021a). Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) For Sea Ice Products.
415	https://nsidc.org/sites/nsidc.org/files/technical-
416	references/ICESat2_ATL07_ATL10_ATL20_ATL21_ATBD_r004.pdf
417 418	Kwok, R., Petty, A. A., Bagnardi, M., Kurtz, N. T., Cunningham, G. F., Ivanoff, A., & Kacimi, S.
419	(2021b). Refining the sea surface identification approach for determining freeboards in the ICESat-2 sea
420	ice products. The Cryosphere, 15(2), 821-833. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-821-2021
421 422	Kwok, Ron, & Morison, J. (2016). Sea surface height and dynamic topography of the ice-covered oceans
423	from CryoSat-2: 2011–2014. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121(1), 674–692.
424	https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011357
425 426	Magruder, L. A., Brunt, K. M., & Alonzo, M. (2020). Early ICESat-2 on-orbit Geolocation Validation
427	Using Ground-Based Corner Cube Retro-Reflectors. Remote Sensing, 12(21), 3653.
428	https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12213653
429 430	Meloni, M., Bouffard, J., Parrinello, T., Dawson, G., Garnier, F., Helm, V., Di Bella, A., Hendricks, S.,
431	Ricker, R., Webb, E., Wright, B., Nielsen, K., Lee, S., Passaro, M., Scagliola, M., Simonsen, S. B.,
432	Sandberg Sørensen, L., Brockley, D., Baker, S., Mizzi, L. (2020). CryoSat Ice Baseline-D validation
433	and evolutions. The Cryosphere, 14(6), 1889–1907. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1889-2020
434 435	Morison, J., Kwok, R., Dickinson, S., Andersen, R., Peralta-Ferriz, C., Morison, D., Rigor, I., Dewey, S.,
436	& Guthrie, J. (2021). The Cyclonic Mode of Arctic Ocean Circulation. Journal of Physical
437	Oceanography. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-20-0190.1

- 439 Morison, J., Kwok, R., Peralta-Ferriz, C., Alkire, M., Rigor, I., Andersen, R., & Steele, M. (2012).
- 440 Changing Arctic Ocean freshwater pathways. *Nature*, 481(7379), 66–70.
- 441 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10705
- 442
- 443 Neumann, T. A., Martino, A. J., Markus, T., Bae, S., Bock, M. R., Brenner, A. C., Brunt, K. M.,
- 444 Cavanaugh, J., Fernandes, S. T., Hancock, D. W., Harbeck, K., Lee, J., Kurtz, N. T., Luers, P. J., Luthcke,
- 445 S. B., Magruder, L., Pennington, T. A., Ramos-Izquierdo, L., Rebold, T., ... Thomas, T. C. (2019). The
- 446 Ice, Cloud, and Land Elevation Satellite 2 mission: A global geolocated photon product derived from
- the Advanced Topographic Laser Altimeter System. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 233, 111325.
- 448 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111325
- 449
- 450 Parrinello, T., Shepherd, A., Bouffard, J., Badessi, S., Casal, T., Davidson, M., Fornari, M., Maestroni, E.,
- 451 & Scagliola, M. (2018). CryoSat: ESA's ice mission Eight years in space. Advances in Space Research,
- 452 62(6), 1178–1190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2018.04.014
- 453
- 454 Peacock, N. R., & Laxon, S. W. (2004). Sea surface height determination in the Arctic Ocean from ERS
 455 altimetry. J. Geoph. Res., 109, C0700. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JC001026
- 456
- 457 Petty, A. A., Bagnardi, M., Kurtz, N., Tilling, R., Fons, S., Armitage, T., Horvat, C., & Kwok, R. (2021).
- 458 Assessment of ICESat-2 sea ice surface classification with Sentinel-2 imagery: Implications for freeboard
- and new estimates of lead and floe geometry. *Earth and Space Science*, 8, e2020EA001491.
- 460 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EA001491
- 461
- 462 Polyakov, I. V., Pnyushkov, A. V., Alkire, M. B., Ashik, I. M., Baumann, T. M., Carmack, E. C.,
- 463 Goszczko, I., Guthrie, J., Ivanov, V. V., Kanzow, T., Krishfield, R., Kwok, R., Sundfjord, A., Morison, J.,
- 464 Rember, R., & Yulin, A. (2017). Greater role for Atlantic inflows on sea-ice loss in the Eurasian Basin of
- 465 the Arctic Ocean. *Science*, *356*(6335), 285–291. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai8204
- 466
- 467 Proshutinsky, A., Krishfield, R., Toole, J. M., Timmermans, M. -L., Williams, W., Zimmermann, S.,
- 468 Yamamoto-Kawai, M., Armitage, T. W. K., Dukhovskoy, D., Golubeva, E., Manucharyan, G. E., Platov,
- 469 G., Watanabe, E., Kikuchi, T., Nishino, S., Itoh, M., Kang, S. -H., Cho, K. -H., Tateyama, K., & Zhao, J.
- 470 (2019). Analysis of the Beaufort Gyre Freshwater Content in 2003–2018. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 471 *Research: Oceans*, *124*(12), 9658–9689. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015281
- 472
- 473 Scagliola, M. (2013). CryoSat footprints-Aresys Technical Note. SAR-CRY2-TEN-6331, Aresys/ESA,
- 474 Italy.

- 476 Timmermans, M., & Marshall, J. (2020). Understanding Arctic Ocean Circulation: A Review of Ocean
- 477 Dynamics in a Changing Climate. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125(4).
- 478 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014378
- 479
- 480 Wingham, D. J., Francis, C. R., Baker, S., Bouzinac, C., Brockley, D., Cullen, R., de Chateau-Thierry, P.,
- 481 Laxon, S. W., Mallow, U., Mavrocordatos, C., Phalippou, L., Ratier, G., Rey, L., Rostan, F., Viau, P., &
- 482 Wallis, D. W. (2006). CryoSat: A mission to determine the fluctuations in Earth's land and marine ice
- 483 fields. Advances in Space Research, 37(4), 841–871. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.07.027
- 484
- 485 Zwally, H. J., Schutz, B., Abdalati, W., Abshire, J., Bentley, C., Brenner, A., Bufton, J., Dezio, J.,
- 486 Hancock, D., Harding, D., Herring, T., Minster, B., Quinn, K., Palm, S., Spinhirne, J., & Thomas, R.
- 487 (2002). ICESat's laser measurements of polar ice, atmosphere, ocean, and land. Journal of Geodynamics,
- 488 34(3-4), 405-445. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-3707(02)00042-X
- 489
- 490 IPCC. IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (IPCC, 2019).
- 491 https://www.ipcc.ch/srocc/

Figure 1.



Figure 2.







Figure 3.



NOVEMBER 2018 - OCTOBER 2020

Figure 4.

