



# Next Generation Astronomical X-ray Optics: High Resolution, Light Weight, & Low Cost

## William W. Zhang NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

1





K.D. Allgood<sup>1</sup>, M.P. Biskach<sup>1</sup>, K.W. Chan<sup>2</sup>, T.A. DeVita<sup>1</sup>,M. Hlinka<sup>1</sup>, C.D. Hovis, J.D. Kearney<sup>1</sup>, J.R. Mazzarella<sup>1</sup>, R.S. McClelland, A. Numata<sup>1</sup>, R.E. Riveros<sup>2</sup>, T.T. Saha, P.M. Solly<sup>1</sup>, and W.W. Zhang *NASA Goddard Space Flight Center* <sup>1</sup> also Stinger Ghaffarian Technologies, Inc. <sup>2</sup> also University of Maryland, Baltimore County



# **Two Currently Operating X-ray Telescopes**







Parameters	Chandra (Flagship)	NuSTAR (SMEX)
Year of Launch	1999	2012
Mirror elements	Full Shells (4 P & 4 S)	Segments (1200 P, 1200 S)
Mirror element thickness	16 mm (inner), 25 mm (outer)	0.21 mm
PSF	0.5 arcseconds	58 arcseconds
Surface area	19 m <sup>2</sup>	45 m <sup>2</sup>
Mass	1,500 kg ( <b>79 kg/m²</b> )	40 kg ( <b>0.89 kg/m²</b> )
Cost	\$580M <b>(\$30M/m²</b> )	\$10M <b>(\$0.22M/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>

Compared to that of NuSTAR, Chandra's mirror is

- ~100 times better PSF;
- ~100 times heavier; and
- ~100 times more expensive.

# **Objective of Next Generation X-ray Optics**



Develop and Perfect an X-ray mirror technology that is far superior to those of Chandra and NuSTAR.

- Compared to Chandra's
  - Comparable PSF: 0.5" by 2025.
    Much Better PSF: 0.1" by 2030.
  - At least 10 times lighter, and
  - At least 10 times cheaper.
- Compared to NuSTAR's
  - At least 100 times better PSF, and
  - Comparable mass, and
  - Comparable cost.

## Major Steps to Build the Lynx Mirror Assembly





#### **Technology Development**

**Engineering Development** 



# **Mirror Module**



#### Materials (relative mass):

- <u>Silicon: 10,000.</u>
- <u>Coatings (Ir): ~5.</u>
- <u>Epoxy: ~1.</u>

#### **Key Characteristics:**

- Athermal:
  - Easy to test on ground.
  - Easy thermal control on orbit.
- Verifiable on ground:
  - Science performance.
  - Spaceflight environment.





## **Mirror Fabrication Process**







## **Mirror Segments are Better than Chandra's**













- Atomic-Layer Deposition (ALD)
  - Simultaneous and uniform coating,
  - Commercially available process,
  - Low cost and high throughput.



## **Mirror Integration Process**





Mirror Tech Days (11/02/2021)



## **Performance and Spaceflight Environmental Testing**





Mirror Module in an X-ray Beam Line in GSFC's Area 200



Mirror Module on a Vibration Table in Bldg 11 of GSFC



Mirror Module in a **Thermal Vacuum Chamber** in Bldg 11 of GSFC

## **Technology Status & Prospects**



#### • As of June 2021, Built and tested mirror modules

- Built two modules in recent weeks,
- X-ray tested them, and
- Thermal-vacuum tested one of them.
- Vibration tested one of them.

#### • Prospects

- Better than 2.0" PSF by December 2021,
- Better than 1.0" PSF by December 2022,
- Better than 0.5" PSF by December 2023



Full Illumination with 4.5 keV X-rays: 2.8" HPD.





# Summary



- We have demonstrated the basic elements of a technology to make high-resolution, light-weight, and low-cost X-ray optics.
- We continue to advance this technology to meet all requirements of *Lynx:* performance and programmatic.
- This technology uses only commercially available equipment and materials. It piggybacks on the semiconductor industry, avoiding obsolescence and ensuring continual advance.
- This technology can be used for missions of all sizes: suborbital, SmallSats, Pioneers, SMEX, MIDEX, Probes, and Flagships (Lynx).