A Design Exploration of Natural Laminar Flow Applications for the SUSAN Electrofan Concept



Michelle N. Lynde, Richard L. Campbell, and Brett R. Hiller NASA Langley Research Center

AIAA SciTech Forum • San Diego, CA • January 2022

Copyright 2022 United States Government as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. No copyright is claimed in the United States under Title 17, U.S. Code. All Other Rights Reserved. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. with permission.

2022 AIAA SciTech Forum

Outline

- Introduction
- Computational Tools
- Design Results
- Multidisciplinary Considerations
- Concluding Remarks







Subsonic Single Aft Engine (SUSAN) Electrofan: Subsonic regional jet utilizing a single aft engine design with wing-mounted distributed electric propulsion

- Team exploring a variety of technologies to improve performance
- Natural laminar flow (NLF) study objectives:
 - 1. Quantify performance potential available from NLF wings
 - 2. Identify multidisciplinary impact of NLF





- Laminar flow significantly improves vehicle performance by reducing skin friction and profile drag
- NLF has been limited to aircraft components with low sweep and Reynolds number, primarily due to crossflow instabilities
- Applying NLF to transport wings requires crossflow control. Options include:
 - Reducing wing sweep
 - Adding suction system
 - Use Crossflow Attenuated Natural Laminar Flow (CATNLF) airfoils
- CATNLF design method changes the shape of airfoils to obtain pressure distributions that delay transition by damping leading-edge crossflow instabilities

Example Design Target Pressures



Notable differences:

- Leading-edge acceleration
 - Laminar uses rapid acceleration for crossflow (CF) control
- Rooftop pressure gradient
 - Laminar uses mild favorable gradient for Tollmien-Schlichting (TS) control
 - Turbulent uses mild adverse gradient for shock strength reduction
- Shock strength
 - Turbulent has weaker shock



• Design Module: CDISC

Applies knowledge-based design rules to change geometry to match target pressure distributions

• Flow Solver: USM3D

Solves Navier-Stokes equations on unstructured tetrahedral grid

• Boundary Layer Profile Solver: BLSTA3D

Calculates boundary layer velocity and temperature profiles based on chordwise pressure distribution assuming conical flow

• Boundary Layer Stability Analysis: LASTRAC

Stability analysis and transition prediction using e^N Linear Stability Theory method with compressibility effects

Design Results: Geometry and Airfoils









Laminar Design supports laminar flow on approximately 53% of the surface area on the wing upper surface





Configuration	C∟	C _D	C _m	ML/D
Turbulent Design	0.500	0.0216	-0.281	18.17
Laminar Design (Laminar Analysis)	0.500	0.0197	-0.309	19.92
Laminar Design (Turbulent Analysis)	0.500	0.0216	-0.291	18.17

- Laminar Design reduced drag by 19 counts (8.8%) from Turbulent Design
- Total loss of laminar flow on Laminar Design would results in:
 - No performance change from Turbulent Design
 - Drag increase of 19 counts (8.8%) from Laminar Design



Laminar Design shows sustained performance improvement across near-cruise off-design range





• General NLF considerations:

- Surface finish requirements → additional manufacturing and maintenance costs
- Smooth surface requirements \rightarrow wing upper surface must be free of all steps and gaps
- SUSAN Electrofan considerations:
 - Impact of wing-mounted engines on NLF:
 - Engines can cause forward shock movement → limit possible NLF extent
 - Engines may increase turbulence/noise in boundary layer → reduced NLF extent
 - Impact of NLF on wing-mounted engines:
 - NLF thins boundary layer → reduced boundary layer ingestion benefit
 - Possible boundary layer thickness changes → engine performance with range of thicknesses



- CATNLF design process applied to the SUSAN Electrofan regional jet configuration
- NLF study objectives:
 - 1. Quantify performance potential available from NLF wings
 - 2. Identify multidisciplinary impact of NLF on SUSAN Electrofan
- Laminar Design supports 53% laminar flow on the wing upper surface providing an 8.8% decrease in drag for the wing-fuselage configuration
- Off-design characteristics show robust design with sustained laminar flow benefit
- Next steps:
 - Design wing with wing-mounted engines
 - Explore NLF on other surfaces such as nacelles, tail, nose, etc.



Contact: Michelle Lynde <michelle.n.lynde@nasa.gov>