

### Influence of High-Intensity Ultrasound on Ti-6AI-4V Microstructure During Laser Powder Bed Fusion Solidification Conditions

Brodan Richter<sup>1</sup>, <u>brodan.m.richter@nasa.gov</u> Samuel J.A. Hocker<sup>1</sup> Wesley A. Tayon<sup>1</sup> Erik L. Frankforter<sup>1</sup> Ji Su<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

MRS 2022 Spring Meeting 5/10/2022

## **Powder Bed Fusion Additive Manufacturing**

Spread powder, melt, & repeat...







[1] C. Zhao, et al., "Real-time monitoring of laser powder bed fusion process using high-speed X-ray imaging and diffraction." Sci Rep 7, 3602 (2017), https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03761-2 Video S2 used under CC BY 4.0 license, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bv/4.0/

MRS 2022 Spring Meeting

## **Microstructure developing during PBF**

Powder



3

Repeated melting and solidification events

Causes the formation of large, columnar grains oriented in the build direction



[2] Microstructure Development Mechanism

**Build Direction** Wall ase (a) (b) (d) (e) (C)

[2] Examples of reconstructed  $\beta$ -grain structures for (b) 1 mm, (c) 1.5 mm, (d) 2.0 mm, and (e) 5 mm wide walls in Ti64

[2] A.A. Antonysamy et al., "Effect of build geometry on the βgrain structure and texture in additive manufacture of Ti6Al4V by selective electron beam melting." *Materials characterization* 84 (2013): 153-168., <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2013.07.012</u> Figures 3 and 7 used under CC BY 3.0 license, <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/</u>, Figures cropped, rearranged, and relabeled vs. original





# **AM Microstructure – Why is it important?**

(%)

- Columnar grains cause location-dependent variability in properties
- Makes qualification and certification (Q&C) of aerospace parts difficult
- What can be done to refine/prevent this microstructure?





[3] A.R. Balachandramurthi, "Anisotropic fatigue properties of Alloy 718 manufactured by Electron Beam Powder Bed Fusion," Int. J. Fatigue 141 (2020) Figures 10 & 13 used under CC BY 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

MRS 2022 Spring Meeting



## **Ultrasonic-Induced Grain Refinement**

Powder stream

40

30

20

Frequency (%)

[4] Ultrasonic Cavitation Grain

 $2.1 \pm 0.9 \,\mu\text{m}$  (*n* = 1366)

Aspect ratio

Without ultrasound

[4] Grain

aspect ratio

w/ and w/o

ultrasound

13

With ultrasound

 $4.0 \pm 2.2 \, \mu m \, (n = 325)$ 

11

**Refinement Mechanism** 

- Recent work has demonstrated the viability of ultrasonic (US) cavitation for in-situ microstructure refinement during AM of alloy Ti-6AI-4V [4]
- Limited to Directed-Energy-Deposition (DED) Processing
- Is this compatible with PBF?



[4] C.J. Todaro et al., "Grain structure control during metal 3D printing by high-intensity ultrasound." *Nature communications* 11.1 (2020): 1-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13874-z</u> Figures 1, 2f, & 6e used under CC BY 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

MRS 2022 Spring Meeting









## **Overview and Objective of Current Work**

Three linked research areas

Objective is to test feasibility of using ultrasonic induced grain refinement for PBF

#### Thermal Simulations of the DED & PBF Processes

- What temp. gradients are imposed?
- What is the solidification rate?

### Columnar-To-Equiaxed Transition (CET) Predictions

- How does PBF compare to the DED process?
- What amount of nucleation is required?

### Ultrasonic Cavitation Experiments

- Does the technique work for PBF?
- What are the ultrasonic tip conditions?





### **Thermal Simulations of the DED & PBF Processes**

Position (mm)

- Rosenthal Equation point source model
  - Used effective thermophysical values to account for variation with temperature
- Simulated with experimental PBF and reported DED processing parameters
- Extracted



temperature gradient and solidification rate





# **Prediction of Morphology & CET Curves**

Estimated columnar vs. equiaxed using experimentally-calibrated Hunt's criterion curves [5]

♦ 0.34 & 1.00  $\alpha$  for DED due to uncertainty

Equiaxed: 
$$G < 0.617 N_0^{1/3} \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta T_N^3}{\Delta T_c^3} \right) \Delta T_c \qquad \Delta T_c = \left( \frac{v_d C_0}{A} \right)^{1/2}$$

Columnar:  $G > 0.617 \ (100N_0)^{1/3} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta T_N^3}{\Delta T_c^3}\right) \Delta T_c$ 

- PBF has higher thermal gradients and solidification rates
- Upon layering, PBF microstructure returns to the columnar region

[5] S.L. Kuntz, "Feasibility of attaining fully equiaxed microstructure through process variable control for additive manufacturing of Ti-6AI-4V." (2016), M.S. Thesis, Wright State University MRS 2022 Spring Meeting





## **Increasing Nucleant Particles**

- Ultrasonic-induced grain refinement mechanism: fracture of dendrite tips
- Leads to increase in heterogenous nucleant particles
- Columnar:  $G > 0.617 (100 N_0)^{1/3} \left(1 \frac{\Delta T_N^3}{\Delta T_c^3}\right) \Delta T_c$ Need to increase nucleant particles by a factor of  $10^2 - 10^4$ times
- If suitable for DED, should also be compatible with PBF





## **Experimental Setup**



- Configurable Architecture Additive Testbed (CAAT) at NASA LaRC
- 1070 nm TEM00 laser (179 W effective power used for processing)
- 500, 850, 1200 mm/s scanning velocities

#### **Experimental Setup**





## **Measurement of Ultrasonic Tip Displacement**

- Velocity measured via Doppler vibrometer (LDV)
  - ♦ Velocity → displacement → acoustic intensity
- 12.7 mm diameter Ti-6AI-4V sonicator probe at 12.4 µm sinusoidal displacement amplitude
- Ultrasonic intensity  $I = 3350 W/cm^2$ , >30 times higher than  $100 W/cm^2$ approximate cavitation threshold [4] for light metal alloys

$$I = \frac{1}{2}\rho c (2\pi f A)^2$$



[4] Todaro, C. J., et al. "Grain structure control during metal 3D printing by high-intensity ultrasound." *Nature communications* 11.1 (2020): 1-9.



Sonicator probe velocity measurement via LDV

12.7 mm probe velocity measured via LDV





## **Evidence of Cavitation**

NASA

- Evidence of cavitation in 500 mm/s & 850 mm/s
- ✤ Time-scales with bubble formation & collapse ~100 µs
- Slow velocity = longer melt pool = more time for cavitation



## **Effect on Microstructure**



- Evidence suggests that 850 mm/s velocity modifies reconstructed grain aspect ratio
  - ✤ No effect observed at 1200 mm/s velocity
- Increased residence time seems to promote more cavitation



## Conclusions



- Ultrasonic cavitation-induced grain refinement appears to be compatible with PBF solidification conditions
- Evidence indicates that cavitation occurred between 500 mm/s and 850 mm/s
- Modification to reconstructed grain aspect ratio was observed under 850 mm/s velocity conditions

This work was supported by NASA Langley Research Center's Internal Research & Development (IRAD) program

