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Motivation: Deep Neural Net for Hailstorm Detection

- Geostationary satellites such as the GOES series have been observing severe convection at 15-60 minute intervals (year/region dependent) for over 40 years
  - A valuable data record for estimating severe storm risk throughout the diurnal cycle
  - GOES-8 through -15 provides GEO climate data record for W. Hemisphere between 1994-2018
    - Assess detection skill sensitivity to imager resolution and sampling (GOES-R series)

- Environmental parameters favorable for hailstorm formation are well-known and are captured fairly well by reanalyses such as MERRA-2 and ERA-5
  - e.g., \((2 \cdot \text{MUCAPE})^{0.5} \cdot \text{SHEAR}_{0-6 \text{ km}}\) (WMAXSHEAR, Taszarek et al. 2017, 2020)

- Wealth of data over United States to train and test a deep neural network (DNN)
  - NEXRAD radar-estimated Maximum Expected Size of Hail (MESH) as truth
    - (Murillo and Homeyer 2019, Murillo et al. 2021)
    - Apply to other regions of the world
1) Normalize IR temperature using reanalysis tropopause temperature and identify convective anvil clouds

2) Identify cold spots embedded within anvils, and compute temperature difference relative to surrounding anvil

3) Use statistical functions based on human expert and NEXRAD OT identifications to combine IR-anvil, IR-tropopause, anvil area, and anvil spatial uniformity to derive OT Probability

4) Perform quantitative validation of geostationary OT detection using NEXRAD precipitation echo tops
• Good qualitative agreement between GOES and NEXRAD overshooting top regions

• Properties derived at the 2-4 km pixel scale for each detection
  • OT Probability
  • OT Cloud Top Height
  • OT IR - Tropopause BTD
  • OT IR – Anvil BTD
  • Tropopause Height
  • Area of Cloud < 225 K
  • Mean Anvil Height
  • Cold Spot Area

• How are these properties distributed for severe hail-producing storms compared to non-severe storms?
Analysis Process

- Aggregated over 2013-2017 CONUS warm seasons:
  - GOES-13 IR properties over anvils
    - Embedded cold spots
      - Local IR BT minima within intense anvils
  - 95th Percentile MESH local maxima cell objects
    - Hourly NEXRAD GridRad (5-minute for validation)
    - Spotter reports matched (within 28 km² and +/- 15 min.)
  - Microwave Hail Probability (Bang and Cecil, 2021)
  - ERA5/MERRA-2 environmental parameters (John Allen)
    - Max value within ~1.5° x 1.8° lat/lon box

- Combine GOES-13 and MERRA-2 to quantify severe hail discrimination skill
  - Let deep neural net objectively decide which parameter combination has best skill
  - GOES-13 proxy for historical GEO imagers
Observed Hail Climatologies

1 detection per day (00:00-23:00 UTC) is required to be considered an event

MESH95>=1.6” (40 mm, lower left) more representative of 1”+ (25 mm) hail reported at the ground (upper right) than MESH95>1.18” (30 mm, upper left)
## ERA5 and MERRA-2 derived parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter short name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>surfCape</td>
<td>Surface CAPE</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml1000Cape</td>
<td>1000-m Mixed-Layer CAPE</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml500Cape</td>
<td>500-m Mixed-Layer CAPE</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mucape</td>
<td>Most Unstable CAPE</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surfCin</td>
<td>Surface CIN</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml1000Cin</td>
<td>1000-m Mixed-Layer CIN</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml500Cin*</td>
<td>500-m Mixed-Layer CIN</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muCin*</td>
<td>Most Unstable CIN</td>
<td>J kg(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fzlv</td>
<td>Freezing Level</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shear01*</td>
<td>0-1-km Vertical Wind Shear</td>
<td>m s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shear06*</td>
<td>0-6-km Vertical Wind Shear</td>
<td>m s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t500*</td>
<td>500 hPa Temperature</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>midLapse*</td>
<td>700-500-hPa Lapse Rate</td>
<td>(^{\circ})C km(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship*</td>
<td>Significant Hail Parameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stpLM</td>
<td>Significant Tornado Parameter Left-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stpRM</td>
<td>Significant Tornado Parameter Right-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scpLM</td>
<td>Supercell Composite Parameter Left-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scpRM*</td>
<td>Supercell Composite Parameter Right-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ehi01LM*</td>
<td>0-1-km Energy Helicity Index Left-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ehi01RM*</td>
<td>0-1-km Energy Helicity Index Right-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ehi03LM*</td>
<td>0-3-km Energy Helicity Index Left-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ehi03RM*</td>
<td>0-3-km Energy Helicity Index Right-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srh01LM</td>
<td>0-1-km Storm Relative Helicity Left-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srh01RM</td>
<td>0-1-km Storm Relative Helicity Right-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srh03LM</td>
<td>0-3-km Storm Relative Helicity Left-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>srh03RM</td>
<td>0-3-km Storm Relative Helicity Right-mover</td>
<td>m(^2) s(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lapse3*</td>
<td>0-3-km Lapse Rate</td>
<td>(^{\circ})C km(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lapse24*</td>
<td>2-4-km Lapse Rate</td>
<td>(^{\circ})C km(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thgz</td>
<td>Thickness of Hail Growth Zone</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sbcl</td>
<td>Surface-based Lifted Condensation Level</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lclml500</td>
<td>500-m Mixed-Layer Lifted Condensation Level</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimal predictors are marked with an asterisk (*)

## Satellite IR derived cloud top parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter short name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OT Probability*</td>
<td>Overshooting Top Probability (Khlopenkov et al. 2021)</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS-Anvil BTD*</td>
<td>Brightness temperature difference between coldest pixel and mean anvil background</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECS Area</td>
<td>Area of pixels for each unique ECS region</td>
<td>km(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR–Tropopause*</td>
<td>GOES IR brightness temperature – MERRA-2 Tropopause temperature</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anvil Height*</td>
<td>Average cloud top height of pixels with IR anvil rating&gt;=20 within ~30 km(^2)</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Cold Cloud</td>
<td>Average area of pixels with IR BT&lt;225 K within ~30 km(^2)</td>
<td>km(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anvil Frequency*</td>
<td>Percentage of pixels with IR anvil rating&gt;=20 within ~30 km(^2)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud Top Height*</td>
<td>Derived from IR BT match with MERRA-2 temperature profile. OT regions are height-assigned using a constant lapse rate assumption from Griffin et al. (2016)</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troopause Height*</td>
<td>Smoothed tropopause height from MERRA-2 (Khlopenkov et al. 2021)</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DNN experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOES-13 + ERA5</td>
<td>GOES-13 and ERA5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA5 Only</td>
<td>Only ERA5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-13 + MERRA-2</td>
<td>GOES-13 and MERRA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRA-2 Only</td>
<td>Only MERRA-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-13 Only</td>
<td>Only GOES-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction Independent</td>
<td>GOES-13 and ERA5 excluding those with Left/Right-mover dependency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-16 + ERA5</td>
<td>GOES-16 and ERA5, i.e., GOES-13-based training applied to GOES-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm Season</td>
<td>GOES-13 and ERA5 for days of year 91–273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Season</td>
<td>GOES-13 and ERA5 for days of year 1–90 and 274–366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Marion et al. (2019) found significant correlation between OT Area + tornado intensity.

Median lapseMid sensitivity to observed hail size agrees closely with those reported by Johnson and Sugden (2014).

Modern reanalysis shows promising ability to discriminate large hail from small hail (SHIP).

Large (2”+) hail producing ECS are:
- ~5 K colder than tropopause
- ~3x wider
- ~60% more likely to be an overshooting top compared to <1” hail-producing ECS
• preliminary indicators of predictor importance for each observation type

• strong collinearity among certain predictors, e.g.,
  - OT Probability vs. ECS Area ($r = 0.78$)
  - ECS Area vs IR-Tropopause ($r = -0.67$)
  - and lapseMid vs. 2-4-km lapse rates ($r = 0.79$)

• MWR hail probability is best correlated with most ERA5 parameters

• Significantly weaker relationships are found between reported hail size and reanalysis/satellite parameters

• GOES-16 warm season parameters, revealing 0.05-0.10 improved correlation strength for many
A Deep Neural Network (DNN) for Predicting Significant Hail

Model computes likelihood of each ECS producing 1.18”+ MESH95 (severe class)

- How well can satellite observations + NWP distinguish significant/insignificant MESH hail events?
**k-fold Cross-validation Results**

- Randomly divide input set into $k$ equal divisions (e.g., $k=10$)
- Train on, e.g., 9 divisions and Test on 1 (repeat 9 times)
- Evaluate overall skill across $k$ permutations
  - **Recall**: What fraction of true classifications are predicted?
  - **Precision**: What fraction of true predictions correct? (False Alarms $= 1 −$ Precision)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill Metrics Evaluated with $k$-fold Cross-validation* for Optimal Available DNN Inputs</th>
<th>POD</th>
<th>FA Ratio</th>
<th>FA Rate</th>
<th>CSI</th>
<th>HSS</th>
<th>AUC*</th>
<th>PD Prob*</th>
<th>ROC Prob*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOES-13 + ERA5</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA5 Only</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-13 + MERRA-2</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRA-2 Only</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-13 Only</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction Independent</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.541</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOES-16 + ERA5*</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm Season</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Season</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to assess impact of probability thresholding on prediction skill

- **Probability of Detection (POD)** = Recall
- **False Alarm Rate (FAR)** = $1 −$ Precision

At near 50% confidence in threat of 1.18” hail, model identifies 72% of threats with 28% false alarms

Satellite resolution impacts false alarms

Model data are imperfect
Hail Model Validation

- Black curved lines are intervals of CSI from 0.10 through 0.90 (right axis).
- Circles mark the severe classification probability positioned at the peak of the ROC curve.
- Crosses mark the classification probability where CSI is largest.
- Warm season CSI over 0.1 better than cold season.
- Large overlap among neighboring bins highlights satellite’s limit in discerning marginal features.
- DNN is assigning the highest probabilities to the storms which are more likely to generate the largest hail.
DNN Filtered Climatologies (MESH>=1.18” Climatology Contoured)

Jan–Dec 2013–2017 Yearly Average ECS Events (DNN P(Hail)>=0.50)

Jan–Dec 2013–2017 Yearly Average OT Events (DNN P(Hail)>=0.50)

Jan–Dec 2013–2017 Yearly Average ECS Events (DNN P(Hail)>=0.75)

Jan–Dec 2013–2017 Yearly Average OT Events (DNN P(Hail)>=0.75)
18Z 16 May – 6Z 17 May, 2017 Event Summary

- Maximum ERA5 Most Unstable CIN (J/kg)
- Maximum ERA5 700–500-hPa Lapse Rate (C/km)
- Minimum IR BT – Tropopause Temperature (K)
- Maximum Overshooting Top Probability (%)
- Maximum ERA5 700–500–hPa Lapse Rate (C/km)
- Maximum ERA5 Surface–6–km Vertical Wind Shear (kts)
- Maximum ERA5 Significant Hail Parameter ()
- Maximum Tropopause Relative Cloud Top Height (km)
- Maximum ERA5 Significant Hail Parameter ()
- 95th–percentile Maximum Expected Size of Hail (mm)

Event Summary:

- 18Z 16 May – 6Z 17 May, 2017
- Event details and analysis
- Maps showing various parameters, including:
  - Maximum ERA5 Most Unstable CIN (J/kg)
  - Maximum ERA5 700–500–hPa Lapse Rate (C/km)
  - Minimum IR BT – Tropopause Temperature (K)
  - Maximum Overshooting Top Probability (%)
  - Maximum ERA5 Surface–6–km Vertical Wind Shear (kts)
  - Maximum ERA5 Significant Hail Parameter ()
  - Maximum Tropopause Relative Cloud Top Height (km)
  - Maximum ERA5 Significant Hail Parameter ()
  - 95th–percentile Maximum Expected Size of Hail (mm)
12Z 11 July – 8Z 12 July, 2017 Event Summary
Enhanced Case Studies: Sensitivity to Spatio-temporal Resolution

15-min G13

1-min GOES-16

5-min GOES-16

5-min MESH95

32°N 34°N 36°N 38°N

102°W 100°W 98°W

Maximum Likelihood of Hail > 1.18 in (30 mm) (%)
We seek to:

• Develop the highest possible resolution and longest duration satellite and reanalysis-based severe storm climatologies
  • Other regions of the world with less reliable warning systems
• Support CatModel development within the reinsurance industry
• Map damage to the land surface damage that hail and other severe weather events generate

Upcoming Activities Include:

• Generate a 25-year GOES-8 to -16 convection climatology over South America
• Use machine learning to develop an optimal combination of GEO IR + reanalysis parameters to discriminate hail from non-hailstorms observed by passive microwave imagers, human spotters, and U.S. NEXRAD observations
• Better understand the strengths and limitations of using Geostationary Lightning Mapper data for hailstorm detection
• Assess the potential for developing passive microwave hailstorm climatologies, and determine the best way to combine data from various satellites to analyze the diurnal cycle
• Automate a workflow for damage mapping using SAR and optical imager data

QUESTIONS/COLLABORATIONS?  
benjamin.r.scarino@nasa.gov
kristopher.m.bedka@nasa.gov
kyle.f.itterly@nasa.gov (presenting)
13Z 5 April – 6Z 6 April, 2017 Event Summary

- Maximum ERA5 Surface km Vertical Wind Shear (kts)
- Maximum ERA5 Significant Hail Parameter ()
- Maximum ERA5 Most Unstable CIN (J/kg)
- Maximum ERA5 700–500-hPa Lapse Rate (C/km)
- Minimum IR BT – Tropopause Temperature (K)
- Maximum Overshooting Top Probability ()
- Maximum ERAS–6–km Vertical Wind Shear (kts)
- Maximum ERA5 Significant Hail Parameter ()
- Maximum Tropopause Relative Cloud Top Height (km)
- Maximum Likelihood of Hail > 1.18 in (30 mm) (%)
- 95th–percentile Maximum Expected Size of Hail (mm)

![Maps and graphs showing various atmospheric parameters](image-url)
Seasonal Characteristics of Satellite and Reanalysis Hail Predictors

2013-2017 Parameters Binned by MESH95 Hail Size (in)

a) Cold Season | Warm Season

b) Cold Season | Warm Season

c) MuCape (J kg⁻¹)
d) 20.0

c) OT Probability

c) Lapse Rate (°C km⁻¹)
d) 40.0

c) Shear (m s⁻¹)

c) ECS Area (km²)
d) 400.0

nCold nCold nCold nCold nCold nCold nCold nCold
nWarm nWarm nWarm nWarm nWarm nWarm nWarm nWarm
=1140 =12728 =8563 =3686 =1755
=4963 =6097 =79922 =44296 =23295

GOES-13 vs. GOES-16 Parameter Sensitivity to Observed Hail

Apr-Aug, 2017 G13 + G16 Parameters Binned by MESH95 Hail Size (in)

a) OT Probability (I)

b) ECS Area (km²)

c) ECS-Awml BTD (K)

d) IR-Tropopause (K)

MESH95 Hail Size (in)
**Simplest Example: Logistic Regression**

- Think of Logistic Regression as a 1-layer Neural Network, with 1 node
- The node must predict ($\hat{y}$) the outcome ($y$) given input ($x$)

1) Linear Regression

$$z(x) = w^T \cdot x + b$$

2) Non-linear Activation

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \hat{y}$$

• I.e., “Linear Unit”
  - Defined by parameters $w$ and $b$

• I.E., non-linear “Activation Function”
  - Restricts output to finite range
  - Defines output of the node, i.e., whether it “activates” or “fires”
Logistic Regression as a Neural Network

• Make initial prediction

• Compute cost (error)

• Apply “backward propagation”
  • “Learning” step of “deep learning”
  • Find “downhill direction” toward minimal error
  • Update $w$ and $b$ at learning rate $\propto$

• Repeat process until convergence

• A larger Neural Networks looks like a Logistic Regression stacked and connected many more times

\[ \hat{Y} = \sigma(wX + b) \]

\[ w := w - \alpha \frac{dJ}{dw} \]
\[ b := b - \alpha \frac{dJ}{db} \]