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Motivation

Planning for the safe ground testing of NTP engines requires an accurate estimation of fission product inventories, incident flux, and dose resulting from reactor operation.

The goal of this work is to determine the fission product inventory due to reactor operation and resulting dose at candidate demonstration test sites.

The Kiwi-B4A Reactor awaiting testing at the Nevada Test Site, 1962 [1]

Nuclear Rocket Testing (Nerva Reactor) at Test Cell A at Nevada Test Site [2]
Background

Fission products produced from reactor operations are unstable and result in release of radiation as they decay. High energy radiation is harmful to any person in proximity and must be mitigated.

- Activity is a measurement of the rate of decay. It is dependent on the total initial concentration of unstable isotopes and the half life ($t_{1/2}$) of each isotope.
  - The total fission product inventory is directly dependent on reactor operating power and duration.
  - Fission products will decay into daughter products which can also decay, leading to changes to total radioactivity and is time dependent.

\[
N(t) = N_0 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t/t_{1/2}}
\]

\[
N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau}
\]

\[
N(t) = N_0 e^{-\lambda t}
\]

$N(t)$ = quantity of the substance remaining

$N_0$ = initial quantity of the substance

$t$ = time elapsed

$t_{1/2}$ = half life of the substance

$\tau$ = mean lifetime

$\lambda$ = decay constant

Fission Product Yield and Decay Chain Examples [3]
Background

Fission products produced from reactor operations are unstable and result in release of radiation as they decay. High energy radiation is harmful to any person in proximity and must be mitigated.

- Dose is the amount of energy deposited in a material due to a radiation source. The dose equivalent is a modified calculation of dose which takes into account the local impact of radiation interactions due to radiation type and unique biological effects.
  - Dose equivalent units: roentgen equivalent man (rem) and sievert (Sv)
  - Dose equivalent can be calculated based upon the test series and compared to existing limits for exposure to onsite personnel or the public.

\[
N(t) = N_0 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t/t_{1/2}}
\]

Where:
- \( N(t) \) = quantity of the substance remaining
- \( N_0 \) = initial quantity of the substance
- \( t \) = time elapsed
- \( t_{1/2} \) = half life of the substance
- \( \tau \) = mean lifetime
- \( \lambda \) = decay constant

\[
N(t) = N_0 e^{-\lambda t}
\]

\[
N(t) = N_0 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}
\]

Fission Product Yield and Decay Chain Examples [3]
Methodology

The generation of fission product inventory and source term, requires analysis using specialized tools to accurately perform dose and depletion analysis.
Methodology: Depletion and Fission Product Inventory

Depletion analyses were performed in Serpent to calculate fission product inventory using the SNP project testing reference design (TRD).

The depletion analysis varied several inputs such as fuel type (cercer vs cermet), power level, and burn times with cooldown to simulate test series scenarios.
### Methodology: Depletion and Fission Product Inventory

**The Serpent MC code produces output depletion files containing all needed isotope activity data.**

Fission products can be tracked by contribution to dose, isotope type, and other factors. All activities are reported as Bequerels (Bq), are converted to Curies (Ci).

### Example Fission Product Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope Name</th>
<th>Activity Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr87</td>
<td>9.05939E+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr88</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr89</td>
<td>1.98132E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr90m</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr97</td>
<td>1.98132E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr98</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr99</td>
<td>1.98132E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr100</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr101</td>
<td>1.98132E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr102</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr103</td>
<td>1.98132E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr104</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr105</td>
<td>1.98132E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr106</td>
<td>2.10164E+23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: Predicted Activity from TRD Operations

Activity from fission product inventory is compared to HCA Limits. Shown below are the contributions from isotopes with the highest activity after reactor operations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A DOE nuclear facility categorized as…</th>
<th>Has the potential for…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hazard Category 1</td>
<td>Significant off-site consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard Category 2</td>
<td>Significant on-site consequences beyond localized consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard Category 3</td>
<td>Only local significant consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below Hazard Category 3</td>
<td>Only consequences less than those that provide a basis for categorization as a hazard category 1, 2, or 3 nuclear facility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hazard Category Analysis from the Department of Energy (DOE) is used to categorize nuclear facilities, and direct comparisons to current restrictions will highlight isotopes above limits and set margins for facility trades.
Methodology: Activity Analysis

Depletion data is interpreted in the PyCharm interface and postprocessed for maximum activity levels and levels over time. Activities are compared to the set Hazard Category definitions to observe which isotopes are above or below set limits.

Isotopes of interest can be isolated and behavior over time can be analyzed, identifying needed time of cooldown to ensure lowest activity level and how activity compares to HCA levels.
Methodology: Fission Product Release

During operation, a fraction of fission products may be released. Using data collected by Cleary and Rymer (1964), temperature dependent diffusion coefficient-based fractional release formulas were developed to estimate FP release.

Significant data gaps present in fractional release data. Type II Fuel from NERVA different than contemporary designs in geometry and fuel design.

\[ 1 - f = f_0 e^{-D_t} \]
\[ D = D_0 e^{-E/(RT)} \]
Methodology: Source Term Calculation

Using HotSpot for source term calculations, isotope activities can be used for determination of dose and the impact to workers and the general public.

HotSpot can simulate different test sites and conditions for the source term. Meteorological factors like release height, wind speed and direction, ground shine, breathing rate, and more can be adjusted as needed.
Results: Predicted Dose from TRD Operations

Fractional release is applied to the activity levels of the fission products. These activities are uploaded to the HotSpot source term code to determine dose impact per isotope.

**Total Effective Dose Equivalent in rem is shown for 5 minutes of operation and shutdown to observe behavior. Fractional release was applied based on temperature dependent diffusion coefficient formulas. Isotopes that did not have relevant data were given 60% fractional release.**

Cercer 12.5 klbf After 5 min Operation

Cercer 12.5 klbf After 5 min Operation After 3.5 Hour Shutdown

NTP Fission Product and Source Term Analysis Nuclear and Emerging Technologies for Space (NETS) Conference 2022
Conclusions and Recommendations

• Only Iodine-135 was found to be above Occupational Dose Limit for General Public in a Controlled Area (0.1 rem) for the reference case of a 5-minute burn at 12.5 klbf.
  • This indicates additional mitigations are still needed to allow for NTP engine operations at the test site.
  • At maximum fuel temperature of 2860 K, I-135 had a fractional release of 93.8%.

• Fuels with high fission product retention (>90%) will reduce fission product release allowing for longer operations during a demonstration.
  • Fractional release is a key sensitivity for dose analysis and is fuel form dependent (governed by geometry and diffusion). There does not exist accurate fission product diffusion data for the testing reference design fuel form.

• Filtration or capture systems can further play a role in preventing fission product release to the environment.
  • Fission product release may not be mitigated through fuel design alone as it is inherently governed by diffusion through the fuel form.
Future Work

- Future work aims to update HotSpot analyses with site specific meteorological conditions to evaluate the impact on predicted dose to inform facility trades.
  - Benchmarking in-house models to results from site personnel focus for improving AMA source term generation capabilities.

- Shielding and activation analyses using radiation flux data are currently underway.
  - This analysis will inform updated dose calculations based on flux fields of neutrons, gammas, and other incident particles
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