



Additive Manufacturing for Space Applications

Rick Russell, NASA Technical Fellow for Materials

America Makes MMX August 18, 2022







Exciting time at NASA with a lot of activities around Earth Orbit, getting ready to go to the Moon with eyes on Mars

Additive Manufacturing at NASA

- Fully embraces advantages of AM
 - Cost/lead time/part count reduction, new design and performance opportunities, rapid design-fail-fix cycles
- While fully understanding the challenges
 - Especially in delivering high value, high performance AM hardware
- NASA has dual roles
 - Drive and foster AM technology research and development in support of broad industry adoption and industrialization
 - Develop protocols for spaceflight hardware certification for access to space that can safely meet mission objectives













AM Technology Maturation

- AM Processes, Alloy, Part Development
- AM Process Selection criteria, comparison, integrated evaluation
- New AM-able alloy development
- AIAA Book
- Material database metal AM properties to aid conceptual designs
- STRI Proposal call
- Tipping Point/ACOs/Commercial Partnerships
- Out of the world AM

Spaceflight AM Hardware Certification and Qualification

- NASA-STD-6030 and 6033
- Agency-wide AACT Team– AM Cert competency development + associated projects
- Active flight program insight activities
- Role of NDE and In Situ Process Monitoring
- Computational models for AM process validation
- Fracture control of un-inspectable AM parts

AM Technology Maturation and Process Selection



Removed 7

AW-DED Outlier

L-PBF

EB-PBF

LP-DED

LW-DED AW-DED EBW-DED

Cold spray AFS-D

Powder Bed Fusion Capability

Build Diameter (mm)

3000

4000

5000

Material Efficiency

Post Processing

2000

Cost

LIAM





Electron Beam Wire DED









Laser Wire DED



*Not inclusive of all metal AM processes

Arc Wire DED

NASA

A) Laser Powder Bed Fusion [https://doi.org/10.1016/i.actamat.2017.09.051]. B) Electron Beam Powder Bed Fusion [Credit: Courtesv of Freemelt AB. Sweden]. C) Laser Powder DED [Credit: Formalloy], D) Laser Wire DED [Credit: Ramlab and Cavitar], E) Arc Wire DED [Credit: Institut Maupertuis and Cavitar], F) Electron Beam DED [NASA], G) Cold spray [Credit: LLNL], H) Additive Friction Stir Deposition [NASA], I) Ultrasonic AM [Credit: Fabrisonic].

Gradi, P., Tinker, D., Park, A., Mireles, P., Garcia, M., Wilkerson, R., Mckinney, C. (2021). "Robust Metal Additive Manufacturing Process Selection and Development for Aerospace Components". (Journal Article in Release)







EXPL. MEMO

AM Technology Maturation Rocket Engine Part Development and Hot Fire Testing



Additive Manufacturing (AM) Development at NASA for Liquid Rocket Engines



Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF) Copper Alloys combined with other AM processes to provide bimetallic



Directed Energy Deposition



L-PBF of complex components, new alloy developments for harsh environment





Enabling New Alloy Development using Additive Manufacturing NASA EXPLOREMOON **GRCop-42**, High conductivity **NASA HR-1**, high strength **GRX-810**, high strength, low and strength for high heat flux superalloy for hydrogen creep rupture and oxidation at applications environments extreme temperatures 2000 600 160 Fracture Elongation (%) **ODS Superalloys** . Yield Strength (MPa) 60 140 GRCop-42, UTS 500 UTS (MPa) GRX-810 GRCop-84, UTS ≥ 120 ----- GRCop-42, 0.2% Yield 1500 GRCop-84, 0.2% Yield ODS-ReB 50 ODS-NICoCr edw 400 Strength (MPa) Elongation (%) Strength, 300 A MATERIA AM NICCC 1000 Incoloy 800 a 30 Superalloys 310 S5 200 74 7.6 7.8 8.2 8.4 8.6 8.8 100 500 Density (g/cm³) -200Temperature, °C IN625 IN718 NASA HR-1 NASA HR-1 1-Step Age 2-Step Age Ref: Tim Smith, Christopher Kantzos / NASA GRC 12

7

Vzh-98 +

laynes 230 .



AM Technology Maturation - Refractory Alloy Development



- Refractory manufacture at MSFC ٠
 - NTP fuel development (FY11-present)
- Refractory AM demonstrated using:
 - Laser powder bed fusion (L-PBF)
 - Electron beam PBF (EB-PBF)
 - Laser powder DED (LP-DED)
 - Electron beam wire DED (EW-DED)



First NASA W AM build: NTP fuel clad with integrated coolant channels.

- **Design AM-optimized refractory alloys**
 - Integrated Computational Materials Engineering (ICME).
 - Melt/solidification transformation and dynamics, crack • susceptibility, AM build simulation, and property prediction.
 - NASA Ames Research Center & NASA MSFC lead.



Content credit : Omar Mireles (MSFC)



Nano-powder dispersoid stabilization and strengthening [1].



RHEA specimen characterization. Courtesy TAMU.



L-PBF AM (A) C103 1 N reaction chamber and thrust stand-off, (B) 1N AM W chamber, (C) AM W NTP fuel clad, (D) AM W hypersonic wing leading edge with integrated heat pipe channels.

AM Technology Maturation – Writing a book!



Metal Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Applications

Edited by Paul R. Gradl, Omar R. Mireles, Christopher S. Protz, and Chance P. Garcia



https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/book/10.2514/4.106279

Online version available now and hardcopy in mid-August

P. R. Gradl, O. Mireles, C.S. Protz, C. Garcia. (2022). *Metal Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Applications*. AIAA Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics Book Series. <u>https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/book/10.2514/4.106279</u>

Additive manufacturing (AM) processes are proving to be a disruptive technology and are grabbing the attention of the propulsion industry. AM-related advancements in new industries, supply chains, design opportunities, and novel materials are increasing at a rapid pace. The goal of this text is to provide an overview of the practical concept-toutilization lifecycle in AM for propulsion applications.

AM Technology Maturation – Property Database







- Obtained material samples from L-PBF and LP-DED vendors with full traceability
- Characterization of as-built samples and evolution through heat treatments to select optimal heat treatment cycle (HIP baselined)
 - Partnership with Auburn NCAME
- After appropriate heat treatment cycle, complete temperature dependent tensile and fatigue testing
- Partial data set will be published as an appendix in upcoming AIAA book on AM
- All data will be published in a handbook and raw data uploaded to selected database (potentially MAPTIS)





AM Technology Maturation Electron Beam Freeform Fabrication (EBF3)







Ground-Based System for Large Structural Components

- Electron beam melts pool on substrate, metal wire added to build up parts in vacuum environment
- Large build volume (72" x 48" x 24") and high deposition rates (3 to 30 lbs/hr) possible with lower resolution for parts that will be finish machined
- Dual wire-feed and free-standing, 6-axis part manipulation enables functional gradients and addition of details onto simplified preforms
- Alloys deposited include aluminum (2219, 2139, 2195), stainless steel (316), nickel (In625, In718), titanium (Ti-6-4, CP Ti), copper

Portable Systems for In-Space Simulation Experiments

- First successful microgravity demos February 2006
- Microgravity tests support fabrication, assembly and repair of space structures and in-space manufacturing of spare parts
- Smaller build volume (12" x 12" x 12") with finer wire for more precise deposits minimizing or eliminating finish machining
- Two systems designed and integrated in-house to assess different approaches for reducing power, volume and mass without impacting build volume





AM Technology Maturation Jet Propulsion Lab



- Additive hardware on Mars 2020 (Planetary Instrument for X-ray Lithochemistry)
 - Used tenets similar to NASA 6030
 - Structural components are EB-PBF Ti-6Al-4V
 - Non-structural components (covers) are L-PBF AlSi10Mg
- JPL qualifying L-PBF AI 6XRAM2 and Ti-6AI-4V to QMP-A per NASA-STD-6030 on EOS M290s
- Developing gradient alloy systems using Laser DED for potential inclusion on the Sample Return Lander



Gradient alloy sample, with EBSD plot of gradient region





Mounting frame



Back cover



Front cover



PIXL in service on Mars, image courtesy NASA/JPL-Caltech



AM Technology Maturation AM Thermal Protection System Development





AM Technology Maturation STRI Space Tech Research Grants Proposal Call



The goal of the institute is to conduct ground-breaking interdisciplinary research to exploit new advancements in computational tools in concert with experimentation to advance the use of model-based tools for accelerated certification of critical additively manufactured aerospace products.

1. Further the understanding of materials-processes-structure-property relationships for AM through integrated computational materials engineering (ICME), materials genome initiative (MGI), and other model-based approaches.

2.Demonstrate uncertainty quantified physics-based models and simulations to understand the factors that can affect the formation, distribution, and effects of process-induced defects and address other principal sources of variability.

3.Integrate and apply methodology, software tools, artificial intelligence and machine learning, and databases based on computational and experimental tools to a model-centric certification approach via digital twins.

4.Educate, Train, and Connect the Materials and Manufacturing R&D Workforce to promote US economic competitiveness and leadership in space and grow a world-class AM workforce.

Award Information - Preliminary Proposals Closed on 8.3.2022

- Expected duration: 5 years
- Award amount up to \$3M per year (\$15M over 5 years)
- Award instrument: grants to U.S. universities
- Low to mid TRL
- Institutes expected (and *empowered*) to implement their own review internal processes
- NASA oversight annual reviews and brief quarterly status reports







Space Technology Research Grants Early Innovation Awards

ESI20 – Year 2 to Year 3 Continuation Advancement of Additive Manufacturing Techniques for	INSTITUTION
High Temperature Materials	
Efficient Alloy and Process Design for Additive	Texas A&M University
Manufacturable Refractory Alloys	
Design of Refractory Alloys for Processing by Additive	
Manufacturing and Service at Extremely High	Carnegie Mellon University
Temperatures	
Conventional and Flash Sintering of Tungsten and	
Tungsten Alloys Prepared by Robocasting of ALD-doped	University of Colorado, Boulder
Precursors	



AM Technology Maturation In-Space Manufacturing (ISM)

On-Demand Manufacturing & Recycling of Plastics

•3D printing and recycling system designed to repeatedly recycle plastic materials into feedstock for additive manufacturing in the microgravity environment of the ISS.

On-Demand Manufacturing of Metal

• Additive and subtractive manufacturing systems for creating metal parts on demand.

On-Demand Manufacturing of Electronics

• Ability to fabricate electronics during missions, such as crew and structural monitoring systems and sensors.



First 3D printer in space, 2014 ISS Demonstration



Refabricator ISS Demonstration



Redwire Commercial ISS Additive Manufacturing Facility (AMF)





Techshot Fabrication Laboratory



AM Technology Maturation Moon-to-Mars Planetary Autonomous Construction Technology (MMPACT)



- Develop, deliver, and demonstrate capabilities to:
 - Protect crew and hardware
 - Build infrastructure
 - Construct landing pads, habitats, shelters, roadways, berms and blast shields using lunar regolith-based materials
 - Candidate methods include both cementitious and straight regolith (melting) methods



Demonstration Mission, DM-1 (~2026)

Partners: ICON, SEArch+, USAF, Defense Innovation Unit, Texas Air National Guard



Spaceflight AM Certification Motivation for Standard Development



- AM parts are being use for NASA programs in critical applications
- Human exploration of space, especially deep space, requires <u>extreme</u> reliability

Low Earth Paradigm







250 miles vs 83,000,000+ miles 15-30 year life vs 50 to 100+ years Replacement parts vs Limited replacement parts Safe haven of earth vs no safe haven

Deep Space Paradigm





Spaceflight AM Certification Standard Development





NASA-STD-6030: Summary of Methodology

- General Requirements
 - Additive Manufacturing Control Plan (AMCP) and Quality Management System (QMS)
 - Backbone that defines and guides the engineering and production practices
- Foundational Process Control Requirements
 - Includes the requirements for AM processes that provide the basis for reliable part design and production
- Part Production Control Requirements
 - Includes design, assessment controls, plans (PPP), preproduction articles and AM production controls









QUALIFIED

MATERIAL

PROCESS

NASA-STD-6030: Key Elements



Applicable Technologies

rechnology	Materials Form
Laser Powder Bed	
Metals Fusion (L-PBF) Directed Energy Deposition (DED),	Metal Powder
Any Energy Source	Metal Wire
DED, Any Energy	Metal Blown
Source	Powder
I_DRE	Thermoplastic
L-PDF	Powder
Vat	Photopolymeric
Photopolymerization Material Extrusion	Thermoset Resin
	Thermoplastic
	Laser Powder Bed Fusion (L-PBF) Directed Energy Deposition (DED), Any Energy Source DED, Any Energy Source L-PBF Vat Photopolymerization Material Extrusion

STATISTICAL PROCESS

CONTROL

(SPC)

MATERIAL

PROPERTIES

SUITE

(MPS)





NASA-STD-6030: Training Class



METRIC/SI (ENGLISH) General Requirements NASA TECHNICAL STANDARI NASA-STD-6030 OMS AMCP Approved: 2021-04-2 Class on NASA Approach to AM Q&C NASA-STD-6033 Feedstocl Specification Methodology at The Aircraft Airworthiness & Definition of Oualification EFCP AM Process Material Maintenance Foundational Process Controls Calibration Process Sustainment Conference Post-AM ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING REQUIREMENTS Process FOR SPACEFLIGHT SYSTEMS Training Plan Training Oual o Material • Class: August 29, 2022 Machine OMP-A. B or C • Full Conference: Aug 29 – Sept 2 Machine Sub-QMP-A, B Sub-QMP-A, B Sub-QMP-A, B or C or C or C Registration • The Sawgrass Marriott Resort, Ponte (A or B) Vedra, FL SPC Criteria PCRD Data MPS Data APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE_DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITE Design Course Objectives: Properties Reinforce a basic understanding of AM Part Design Classify Part Production Controls PPP Part Process METRIC/SI (ENGLISH) processes NASA TECHNICAL STANDARD NASA_STD_603 Approved: 2021-04-21 Become familiar with NASA-STD-6030 and Pre-Prod Article Pre-Prod Article Pre-Prod Article Plan Report Evaluation NASA-STD-6033 requirements AMRR Appreciate integrated path to MRB ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING REQUIREMENTS OPP FOR EQUIPMENT AND FACILITY CONTROL **Qualification and Certification** Witness SPC, NDE, Production Understand products necessary to get Production Class B3 - O Engineering Acceptance Controls Tests you to Qualification and Certification Qual Class A - B2 Unit From NASA-STD-6030 22 APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE-DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED



Spaceflight AM Certification Active Flight Program Support







Spaceflight AM Certification Collaborations



Carnegie
Mellon
UniversityNASA University Leadership Initiative at Carnegie Mellon
University on Development of an Ecosystem for Qualification
of Additive Manufacturing Processes and Materials in Aviation
(6 universities, 2 small businesses)
Focused on development of defect-based process maps that guide
AM production machine settings to minimize or eliminate those
manufacturing defects.

→ Process Windows to Guide AM Machine Settings



Transformation Tools and Technologies Project's effort on *Qualification and Certification of Advanced Manufacturing-Based Materials and Structures*

Focused on understanding the effect of processing on evolution of material microstructure and defects and the resulting effects of microstructure and defects on lifecycle performance

→ Microstructurally-Informed Durability and Damage Tolerance



Content credit : Ed Glaessgen (LaRC)

Document ID: 20210020659



Spaceflight AM Certification <u>Agency-wide AM Cert support Team (AACT)</u>



- <u>Need for AACT</u>: There is no formal and "institutionalized" group, no formal authority for technical integration across agency, scattered and sporadic support to high-risk programs.
 - Missed opportunity for knowledge advancement and gap closure, everyone needs it but no one program could fund it.
 - Education of NASA workforce and SMEs; hinderance to being a smart buyer.
 - Technical hurdles for AM certification remain significant
- **<u>Goal</u>**: Enabling AM adoption as safely as possible without additional barriers
 - o <u>Develop</u> Smart Buyer NASA workforce to ensure sustainable agency-wide AM Certification
 - <u>Advocate</u> for critical AM tech advancement and capabilities across the agency, cross agency risk reduction
 - o <u>Provide</u> centralized leadership for AM technical integration across agency

• Near-Term Objective:

- Work risk mitigation/capability enhancing projects to close gaps in current certification knowledge
- Form AM SME competency development program (OJT training, mentoring, external engagement)
 - Train them to be, and be recognized as, the go-to experts
- Continue supporting programs with critical AM qual/cert activities





Spaceflight AM Certification Fracture Control Framework for AM Parts

- Fracture control is reliant on understanding the design, analysis, testing, inspection and tracking of hardware.
 - The adaptation of state-of-the-art AM technologies introduces new and unique challenges
 - e.g. Multiple lasers and adaptive technologies
 - For AM applications the application of conventional NDE techniques is questionable
 - There is a need to produce alternate approaches through the adaptation of a Probabilistic Damage Tolerance Approach (PDTA)
 - Computational modeling for AM
 - Understanding the "Effects of defects"
 - In-situ monitoring and inspection

These items MUST Work together not separate



Spaceflight AM Certification Computational Modeling of AM



- Two aspects of qualification and certification to consider:
 - 1. Design Certification
 - Demonstration that design meets all the requirements of the defined mission
 - 2. Hardware Certification
 - Demonstration that the hardware meets all the requirements of the certified design

APPLICATION OF PSP MODELING FRAMEWORK Integration within AACT



Adapt validated high-fidelity models for higher TRL applications

- Reduced order model approaches
 - Quickly predict performance metrics from defect content
 - Reduce the process parameter space based on design specifications
- Uncertainty quantification framework
 - Bound probability of detecting crack initiating defects in fatigue

Open to dialogue for integration of tools within AACT





Spaceflight AM Certification Effects of Defects



Flaws in AM fall into two categories

- Inherent flaws Flaws that are representative of the characterized nominal operation of a qualified AM process.
- 2. <u>Process Escape flaws</u> Flaws that are not representative of the characterized nominal operation of a qualified AM process.
- Flaw an imperfection or discontinuity that may be detectable by nondestructive testing and is not necessarily rejectable.
- <u>Defects</u> one or more flaws whose aggregate size, shape, orientation, location, or properties do not meet specified acceptance criteria and are rejectable.





Spaceflight AM Certification Effects of Defects



- Phase 1: Understanding inherent defects
- Phase 2: Using process controls to control inherent defect populations
- Phase 3: Understanding Rogue (process escape) defects





Spaceflight AM Certification In-Situ Monitoring



- NASA-STD-6030 requires
 - Quantitative NDE for class A parts
 - NDE for process control for class B parts
 - In-situ monitoring must be qualified in manner analogous to other NDE techniques
- Two main functions of in-situ process monitoring:
 - Process Control
 - Real-time warnings of build problems
 - Check for process drift
 - Monitor effects of parameter changes

Must meet requirements of NASA-STD-5009

- Part Quality
 - Quantitative analysis
 - Requires correlations between indications, physics of the process and actual defects
 - Need to know probability of detection



Spaceflight AM Certification In-Situ Monitoring



- Challenges to using in-situ monitoring:
 - Indirect defect observations will require an understanding of the physics
 - Current certification approach requires a locked process
 - For real-time changes a new approach is needed
 - Current certification approach does not accommodate the use of adaptive systems
 - Creates two issues for verification
 - 1. Verify the senor performance, algorithm and machine response (control system)
 - 2. Verify the physics does controlling this parameter result in a good part?



Spaceflight AM Certification In-Situ Monitoring NASA/ASTM Workshop



- NASA sponsored a workshop focused on in-situ technology readiness for application in AM qualification and certification June 28-29, 2022.
- The workshop was run by the ASTM AM CoE
- Objectives
 - Middle-to-high technology readiness level (TRL) in situ technologies that show promise for near-term use
 - Approaches to qualification of in situ methods for use as a quality assurance tool in critical applications
 - Methods for integrating in situ data in AM production including real-time detection and closed-loop control
 - Standardization gaps, key challenges, and research & development needs
- Day 1 included 9 technical talks and a panel discussion
- Day 2 included breakout sessions
 - Topic 1: Technical Development/Maturation
 - Topic 2: Types of Detectable Defect States
 - Topic 3: Data/Defect Correlation
 - Topic 4: Real-Time Detection & Closed-Loop Control
 - Topic 5: Standards

Event outcome = Public Roadmap







Questions?



