TFAWS Interdisciplinary Session

Computation of Effective Mechanical Properties and Mechanical Erosion Modeling of TPS Materials

Sergio Fraile Izquierdo, Jeremie B.E. Meurisse, Federico Semeraro, Georgios Bellas Chatzigeorgis, Marcos Acín, Nagi N. Mansour

Analytical Mechanics Associates, Inc. at NASA Ames Research Center



Presented by Sergio Fraile Izquierdo

Thermal & Fluids Analysis Workshop TFAWS 2022 September 6th-9th, 2022 Virtual Conference







Outline



- Motivation: TPS Solid Mechanics at Different Scales
- Computation of Effective Mechanical Properties
 - Introduction to PuMA
 - Micro-mechanics Model
 - Multi-scale Modeling of TPS Composites
 - Porous Matrix, Fibers/Tows, Unit Cell
 - Validation Case: 3D Woven TPS
- Mechanical Erosion Modeling of TPS Materials
 - Introduction to PATO
 - Mechanical Erosion Model
 - Stress Analysis Solver Implementation
 - Solver Validation Cases
 - Failure Criteria and Mass Removal Model
- Conclusions and Future Work







Shear stress during atmospheric entry



Motivation: TPS Solid Mechanics at different scales



- Solid mechanics simulations of TPS materials allow us to understand their mechanical performance during entry.
- TPS mechanical properties are needed for the full-scale models, these can be obtained through micro-mechanics modeling of the material's microstructure.
- This modeling process leverages two frameworks developed at NASA:
 - The Porous Microstructure Analysis (PuMA) Software [1].
 - The Porous material Analysis Toolbox based on OpenFOAM (PATO) [2].

Macroscale / Full scale



Carbon-fiber microstructure

Motivation: TPS Solid Mechanics at different scales



- Solid mechanics simulations of TPS materials allow us to understand their mechanical performance during entry.
- TPS mechanical properties are needed for the full-scale models, these can be obtained through micro-mechanics modeling of the material's microstructure.
- This modeling process leverages two frameworks developed at NASA:
 - The Porous Microstructure Analysis (PuMA) Software [1].
 - The Porous material Analysis Toolbox based on OpenFOAM (PATO) [2].





Introduction to PuMA



 The PuMA software is able to either generate domains artificially or import them from micro-CT scans and compute material properties such as: porosity, specific surface area, effective thermal conductivity, pore diameter, tortuosity, permeability. It also enables the computation of mechanical properties though its anisotropic elasticity solver.





Introduction to PuMA



- Lead developers: J.C. Ferguson and F. Semeraro
- Installation: conda install -c conda-forge puma
- Open-source repository: <u>https://github.com/nasa/puma</u>
- Documentation: <u>https://puma-nasa.readthedocs.io</u>
- Community chat: <u>https://gitter.im/puma-nasa/community</u>
- Tutorials: <u>YouTube</u> channel and <u>Colab notebook</u>



Dragonfly software using PuMA

PuMA GUI



PuMA architecture diagram



Introduction to P

- Lead developers: J.C. Ferguson and F. Semeraro
- Installation: conda install -c conda-forge puma
- Open-source repository: <u>https://github.com/nasa/pum</u>
- Documentation: <u>https://puma-nasa.readthedocs.io</u>
- Community chat: <u>https://gitter.im/puma-nasa/communi</u>
- Tutorials: <u>YouTube</u> channel and <u>Colab notebook</u>



PuMA architecture diagram







- This presentation focuses on multi-scale modeling to obtain the mechanical properties TPS materials.
- Modeling steps: Matrix → Tows → Unit Cell
- TPS optimization: constituents' selection, resin infusion process, curing process, yarns' structure, fiber volume fraction...
- PuMA's voxel-based stress analysis solver for anisotropic linear elastic materials:
 - Finite volume
 - Cell-centered discretization
 - Cell-face stress values obtained with the MPSA-W method [3,4]

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = 0$$
 where

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} C^{11} & C^{12} & C^{13} & C^{14} & C^{15} & C^{16} \\ C^{12} & C^{22} & C^{23} & C^{24} & C^{25} & C^{26} \\ C^{13} & C^{23} & C^{33} & C^{34} & C^{35} & C^{36} \\ C^{14} & C^{24} & C^{34} & C^{44} & C^{45} & C^{46} \\ C^{15} & C^{25} & C^{35} & C^{45} & C^{55} & C^{56} \\ C^{16} & C^{26} & C^{36} & C^{46} & C^{56} & C^{66} \end{bmatrix}} \frac{\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{u})}{2}$







- Effective mechanical properties obtained with PuMA following the Representative Volume Element (RVE) homogenization method [5,6]:
 - Run a simulation for each principal direction (3 total) imposing a known displacement on each face while keeping the opposite face fixed.
 - Symmetry or periodic boundary conditions for the other 4 faces.
 - Solve the stress field generated in the material and obtain the anisotropic elasticity tensor C.
 - Assuming isotropic or orthotropic behavior, it is possible to obtain from C the effective Young's modulus E, and the Poisson's ratio v.
- Similar approach can be used for the pure shear cases.







Validated with **Bert's** [7] and **Roberts'** [8] semiempirical equations that follow the structure:

$$E_{\phi} = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\phi_{max}} \right)^c$$

 $E_L = E_{f_L} V_f + E_m V_m \qquad \qquad \nu_{LT} = \nu_{f_{LT}} V_f + \nu_m V_m$ $E_T = E_m \frac{1 + \xi \eta V_f}{1 - \psi \eta V_f}$ where, $\eta = \frac{r - 1}{r + \xi}$ $r = \frac{E_{f_T}}{E_m}$ Halpin-Tsai Nielsen [10,11] **Chamis** [12] $E_T = \frac{E_m}{1 - \sqrt{V_f} \left(1 - \frac{E_m}{E_s}\right)}$



TFAWS 2022 – September 6th-9th, 2022



Validation Case: 3D Woven TPS





TFAWS 2022 – September 6th-9th, 2022

Intra-tow fiber packing

11

0.8

E_L (PuMA)

🔺 ν_{LT} (PuMA)

0.7

v_{LT} (ROM)

0.26

0.25

0.24 🛄

natio

0.22 8

0.21

0.20

v



Validation Case: 3D Woven TPS



TPS unit cell







- PATO is an open-source software for Computational Material Response of reactive porous materials submitted to high-enthalpy environments [13].
- Enables modeling most of the atmospheric entry material response physics to refine estimates of mission risks:
 - Equilibrium / Finite-rate chemistry / Multi-material
 - Ablation / Pyrolysis / Heat conduction
- Recent advancements [14]:
 - Coupling with CFD
 - PICA-NuSil Modeling
 - Unified Solver
 - Mechanical Erosion Modeling
- The Mechanical Erosion Model relies on the mechanical properties computed with PuMA.





PATO full-heatshield simulations



Introduction to PATO





- Point of contact:
 - Jeremie B.E Meurisse
- PATO website:
 - https://pato.ac/
- PATO module on PFE
 - module use –a /u/jmeuriss/modulefiles
 - module load PATO/dev
 - module load dakota/6.7
 - module load cmake/3.9
- 1D, 2D, 3D tutorials on PFE
 - /u/jmeuriss/sharing/PATO/PATOdev/tutorials
- Development repository:
 - <u>https://gitlab.com/PATO/PATO-</u> <u>dev</u>
- Open-source repository:
 - https://github.com/nasa/pato





- The goal of this work is to model the mechanical response of TPS materials during atmospheric entry.
- Determine if there is additional surface recession in Thermal Protection Systems (TPS) materials as a result of mechanical erosion due loads and thermal effects during atmospheric entry:
 - External forces on the heatshield's surface: shear stress and pressure from the flow field
 - Thermal stress induced by the material's temperature field
 - Normal stress induced by pyrolysis gas build-up
 - Shrinkage due to pyrolysis of the material
- These same physics also apply to intumescent gap-filler materials such as RTV, which are important to understand to model the differential recession between the TPS material and the gap-filler.



Thermal mechanics of charring ablators [15]







Assuming small strains and small rotations, the conservation of linear momentum solved in PATO follows [16,17]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega_0} \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega_0 = \underbrace{\overbrace{\oint_{\Gamma_0} n_0 \cdot (K \cdot \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0}^{\mathrm{Implicit Term}}}_{\prod_0 n_0 \cdot \sigma} + \underbrace{\overbrace{\oint_{\Gamma_0} n_0 \cdot \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0}^{\mathrm{Explicit Terms}}}_{\prod_0 n_0 \cdot \sigma} + \underbrace{\oint_{\Gamma_0} n_0 \cdot (K \cdot \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma_0}_{\prod_0 n_0 \cdot \sigma} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega_0} \rho \, b \, \mathrm{d}\Omega_0}_{\prod_0 n_0 \cdot \sigma}$$

Where K·∇u is an approximation of the stress field in terms of the displacement field. This segregated solution approach allows to solve the governing equation independently for each direction. Outer iterations are performed until the explicit terms change less than some predefined tolerance; in that case, the first and third terms on the right-hand side "cancel out" and the calculated displacement field satisfies the governing equation.

Orthotropic constitutive law for material: the 81 terms of the elastic stiffness tensor, C, can be reduced to nine independent material parameters:
 C_{ijkl} = f(E₁, E₂, E₃, G₁, G₂,

$$G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{23}, v_{31}$$
 $G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{23}, v_{31}$
 $G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{23}, v_{33}$
 $G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{23}, v_{33}$
 $G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{23}, v_{33}$
 $G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{23}, v_{33}$
 $G_{3}, v_{12}, v_{$





The analytical solution of the stress σ around the circumference of the hole derived by Lekhnitskii [18] has been used by different authors to validate their models [19,20]. To validate the orthotropic stress analysis implemented in PATO, the test case shown below was used which consists of a plate of 8 x 8 with a hole radius of 0.5 and 70,405 hexahedron elements.

Properties	Values
E_x	10, 30, 50 GPa
$E_{\mathcal{Y}}$	10, 30, 50 GPa
G_{xy}	8 GPa
ν_{xy}	0.25



Detail of the model (left) and mesh structure (right) for the Orthotropic Plate with a Hole validation case













TFAWS 2022 – September 6th-9th, 2022



Solver Validation Cases



 σ_{xx} (Error_{max} = 0.005%)

- To validate the orthotropic thermal stress implementation, a cube (0.5 x 0.5 x 0.5 m) shaped mesh made of 125,000 hexahedron elements was used with the following mechanical properties and boundary conditions:
- Constant T = 300 K, $T_0 = 100 K$
- Acceleration = $[9800 \ 0 \ 0] \ m/s^2$
- $\alpha_{ortho} = [2 \cdot 10^{-5} \ 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \ 6 \cdot 10^{-5}] K^{-1}$
- $v_{xy} = 0.15$, $v_{yz} = 0.20$, $v_{xz} = 0.25$
- $E_x = 6 GPa$, $E_y = 3 GPa$, $E_z = 1 GPa$
- $G_{xy} = 0.9 \ GPa$, $G_{yz} = 0.6 \ GPa$, $G_{xz} = 0.4 \ GPa$
- Results were compared against FEA solutions [21].







Solver Validation Cases

 σ_{zz} (Error_{max} = 0.025%)











σ_{vz} (Error_{max} = 0.057%)











Failure criteria model

 In the maximum stress failure theory [6], the maximum stresses of each mode are compared to the material strength using the following equation in 2D:

$$\max\left(\frac{\sigma_{xx}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{tu},xx}}, \left|\frac{\sigma_{xx}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{cu},xx}}\right|, \frac{\sigma_{yy}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{tu},yy}}, \left|\frac{\sigma_{yy}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{cu},yy}}\right|, \left|\frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{su},xy}}\right|\right) > 1$$

 Where tu, cu and su are the maximum tension, compression and shear material strengths in x and y directions. σ_{ij} is the stress tensor computed in the stress analysis solver. The validity of this theory is further enhanced by the fact that spallation failure is expected to be brittle. An example is given here:

$\sigma_{xx} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xx} = 2 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_{xx} = 100 \text{ kPa}$
$\sigma_{yy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{yy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{yy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$
$\sigma_{xy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$
$\sigma_{xx} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xx} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xx} = 100 \text{ kPa}$
$\sigma_{yy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{yy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{yy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$
$\sigma_{xy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$	$\sigma_{xy} = 100 \text{ kPa}$

Ultimate strengths

 $F_{tu,xx} = 1 \text{ MPa}$ $F_{cu,xx} = 1 \text{ MPa}$ $F_{tu,yy} = 1 \text{ MPa}$ $F_{cu,yy} = 1 \text{ MPa}$ $F_{su,xy} = 1 \text{ MPa}$

Mass removal model

 The surface recession rate s and the mass loss M_{loss} due to mechanical erosion are computed as follows:

$$\dot{s} = \frac{L}{\Delta t}$$
 $M_{\rm loss} = \rho \ A \ \dot{s} \ \Delta t$

Where L is the failing distance computed with a mesh search algorithm, Δt is the time step, ρ is the solid density, A is the surface area. The failing region is removed only if its topology is connected to the surface. The veloctityLaplacian motion solver implemented in OpenFOAM was used to move the dynamic mesh. An example is given here:



Mass removal using dynamic mesh motion







- Described a methodology to carry out multi-scale analyses of TPS composites with PuMA to obtain the homogenized orthotropic mechanical properties.
 - Firstly, modeling the constituents at the micro-scale, and then using those results to accurately model the unit cell.
 - Results were validated for the porous matrix and the yarns of a 3D woven TPS by comparing them to semiempirical expressions listed in the literature.
- Described the mechanical erosion model implemented in PATO to predict the mechanical response of TPS materials during atmospheric entry.
 - This model relies on having accurate TPS mechanical properties, which can be computed with PuMA.
 - The implemented stress analysis solver was validated against analytical expressions and FEA results.
 - Implemented a failure criteria and mass removal model that accounts for the potential mechanical erosion.

Future work:

 Validate the full-scale mechanical erosion model coupled with the rest of the entry physics against results obtained from arc-jet experiments.





[1] Ferguson, J. C., Semeraro, F., Thornton, J. M., Panerai, F., Borner, A., & Mansour, N. N. (2021). Update 3.0 to PuMA: The porous microstructure analysis software", (PII: s2352711018300281). SoftwareX, 15, 100775.

[2] Lachaud, J., & Mansour, N. (2013). Porous-material Analysis Toolbox based on OpenFOAM-extend and Applications. In 44th AIAA Thermophysics Conference (p. 2767).

[3] Nordbotten, J. M., "Cell-centered finite volume discretizations for deformable porous media," International journal for numerical methods in engineering, Vol. 100, No. 6, 2014, pp. 399–418.

[4] Keilegavlen, E., and Nordbotten, J. M., "Finite volume methods for elasticity with weak symmetry" International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, Vol. 112, No. 8, 2017, pp. 939–962.

[5] Omairey, S. L., Dunning, P. D., and Sriramula, S., "Development of an ABAQUS plugin tool for periodic RVE homogenisation," Engineering with Computers, Vol. 35, No. 2, 2019, pp. 567–577.

[6] Andreassen, E., and Andreasen, C. S., "How to determine composite material properties using numerical homogenization," Computational Materials Science, Vol. 83, 2014, pp. 488–495

[7] Bert, C. W., "Prediction of elastic moduli of solids with oriented porosity," Journal of materials science, Vol. 20, No. 6, 1985, pp. 2220–2224.

[8] Roberts, A. P., and Garboczi, E. J., "Elastic properties of model porous ceramics," Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 83, No. 12, 2000, pp. 3041–3048.

[9] Ghafaar, M. A., Mazen, A., and El-Mahallawy, N., "Application of the rule of mixtures and Halpin-Tsai equations to woven fabric reinforced epoxy composites," JES. Journal of Engineering Sciences, Vol. 34, No. 1, 2006, pp. 227–236.

[10] Halpin, J. C., "Effects of Environmental Factors on Composite Materials." Tech. rep., Air Force Materials Lab Wright-Patterson AFB OH, 1969.





[11] Nielsen, L. E., "Generalized equation for the elastic moduli of composite materials," Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 41, No. 11, 1970, pp. 4626–4627.

[12] Chamis, C. C., "Simplified composite micromechanics equations for hygral, thermal and mechanical properties," 1983.

[13] Meurisse, J. B. E., Lachaud, J., Panerai, F., Tang, C., & Mansour, N. N. (2018). Multidimensional material response simulations of a full-scale tiled ablative heatshield. Aerospace Science and Technology, 76, 497-511.

[14] Thornton, J. M., Meurisse, J. B. E., Fraile Izquierdo, S., Dias, B., Bellas Chatzigeorgis, G., and Mansour, N. N.. "Recent Advancements in the PATO Material Response Code", International Planetary Probe Workshop (2022)

[15] Schneider, P. J., T. A. Dolton, and G. W. Reed. "Mechanical erosion of charring ablators in ground-test and re-entry environments." AIAA Journal 6.1 (1968): 64-72.

[16] Cardiff, Philip, et al. "A large strain finite volume method for orthotropic bodies with general material orientations." Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 268 (2014): 318-335.

[17] Demirdžić, I., et al. "Finite volume analysis of stress and deformation in hygro-thermo-elastic orthotropic body." Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering 190.8-10 (2000): 1221-1232.

[18] Lekhnitskii, Sergei Georgievich, et al. "Theory of elasticity of an anisotropic elastic body." Physics Today 17.1 (1964): 84.

[19] Toubal, Lotfi, Moussa Karama, and Bernard Lorrain. "Stress concentration in a circular hole in composite plate." Composite structures 68.1 (2005): 31-36.

[20] Cardiff, Philip. "Development of the finite volume method for hip joint stress analysis". University College Dublin (2012).

[21] Oruganti, S., Fraile Izquierdo, S., Meurisse, J. B. E., Bellas Chatzigeorgis, G., and Mansour, N. N. "Inclusion of thermal expansion for orthotropic materials into PATO's stress analysis solver" (2022).



Questions?

L. Linner out

Thank You

sergio.fraile.lzquierdo@nasa.gov