#### Supplement

Several large-scale regions are of specific interest for researchers. Unfortunately, high quality observations of SW $\downarrow$  fluxes at these locations are very meager. We have done evaluation of the various SW $\downarrow$  products over Brazil, Australia, Africa and China, using all available observations.

### 1) EVALUATION OVER BRAZIL

The Baseline Surface Radiation Network (BSRN) (Ohmura et al. 1998; Driemel et al. 2018) sites Petrolina (PTR) and São Martinho da Serra (SMS) are in Brazil and represents a large and important portion of South America. **Figure S1** presents the evaluation of daily SW↓ from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA-2 against observations at these two BSRN sites during 10/01/2013-08/31/2015. Statistics can be found in **Table 1**. The satellite estimates and the ERA5 reanalysis products performed best with a *R* (≥0.88) and smaller *RMSE* (≤36.18 W m<sup>-2</sup>). Provided is also information on the % change from the mean for *Bias and RMSE*.





Fig. S1. Evaluation of daily SW↓ from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA2 against observations at two BSRN sites in Brazil (PTR and SMS) during the period of 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

Table S1.Statistics of evaluation of daily SW $\downarrow$  from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF,ERA5, CFSR and MERRA2 against ground observations over Brazil during<br/>the period of 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

	R	Bias	(%)	RMSE	(%)	N
UMD	0.93	9.41	4.30	31.45	14.38	1625
LIS/USAF	0.90	13.90	6.36	37.13	16.98	1625
ERA5	0.88	0.12	0.05	36.18	16.55	1625
CFSR	0.79	10.17	4.65	54.14	24.76	1625
MERRA2	0.82	26.60	12.16	52.86	24.18	1625

# 2) EVALUATION OVER AUSTRALIA

There are three BSRN sites in Australia used for the evaluation of daily SW $\downarrow$  from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA-2. They are: Alice Springs (ASP), Cocos Island (COC) and Darwin Met Office (DWN). The best agreements are achieved by UMD/MODIS and LIS/USAF with respective *Rs* of 0.96 and 0.93, *Bias* of -0.11 W m<sup>-2</sup> and 0.33 W m<sup>-2</sup> and *RMSE* of 21.80 and 28.23, respectively. Provided is also information on the % change from the mean for *Bias and RMSE*.



Fig. S2. Evaluation of daily SW $\downarrow$  from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA-2 against ground observation over Australia during the period of 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

Table S2. Statistics of evaluation of daily SW $\downarrow$  from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA2 against ground observations over Australia during the period of 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

	R	Bias	(%)	RMSE	(%)	N
UMD	0.96	-0.11	0.04	21.80	8.47	548
LIS3	0.93	0.33	0.13	28.23	10.97	548
ERA5	0.84	-4.22	1.64	28.33	11.01	548
CFSR	0.90	-6.93	2.69	36.14	14.04	548
MERRA2	0.86	10.18	3.95	41.22	16.02	548

## 3) EVALUATION OVER AFRICA

The two BSRN sites in Africa used in this study are Tamanrasset (TAM) and Gobabeb (GOB). The LIS/USAF (*Bias*=3.62 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and MERRA-2 (*Bias*=1.82 W m<sup>-2</sup>) overestimate the daily SW $\downarrow$  while the lowest RMSE are for UMD of 26.80 and LIS/USAF 29.69. Provided is also information on the % change from the mean for *Bias and RMSE*.





Fig. S3. Evaluation on daily SW↓ from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA2 against ground truth over Africa during the period 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

Table S3. Statistics of evaluation of daily SW↓ from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA2 against ground observations over Africa during 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

	R	Bias	(%)	RMSE	(%)	N
UMD	0.93	-9.00	3.23	26.80	9.61	1144
LIS/USAF	0.90	3.62	1.30	29.69	10.65	1144
ERA5	0.84	-4.38	1.57	29.73	10.66	1144
CFSR	0.86	-6.23	2.23	35.99	12.91	1144

MERRA2	0.90	1.82	0.65	29.79	10.68	1144
--------	------	------	------	-------	-------	------

#### 4) EVALUATION OVER CHINA

Only one station in China Xianghe (XIA), belongs to the BSRN. There are about 100 stations in China but they do not meet the criteria of BSRN. **Figure 4** shows the daily SW $\downarrow$  from XIA, UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA-2 during 10/01/2013-08/31/2015. Statistics of the evaluations are shown in **Table 4**. The best results are for UMD and ERA5 with R values of 0.93 and 0.92, *Bias* of -1.98 and 13.20 and RMSE of 29.53 and 35.05 respectively. Provided is also information on the % change from the mean for *Bias and RMSE*.



Fig. S4. Daily SW $\downarrow$  from UMD/MODIS, LIS/USAF, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA-2 against ground observed SW $\downarrow$  at BSRN site of Xianghe (XIA), China during 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

Table S4. Statistics of evaluation of daily SW↓ from UMD/MODIS, LIS3, ERA5, CFSR and MERRA2 against BSRN/XIA during 10/01/2013 – 08/31/2015.

	R	Bias	(%)	RMSE	(%)	N
UMD	0.93	-1.98	1.18	29.53	17.60	579
LIS/USAF	0.90	27.64	16.47	46.41	27.66	579
ERA5	0.92	13.20	7.87	35.05	20.89	579
CFSR	0.88	27.0	16.10	47.95	28.58	579
MERRA2	0.90	17.13	10.21	40.06	23.88	579

The sample sizes differed for each region with 1626 for Brazil, 548 for Australia, 1144 for Africa and 579 for China.