

La Soufriere volcanic eruptions launched gravity waves into Space

Jia Yue^{1,2}, Steven D. Miller^{3,4}, William Straka⁵, Yoo-Jeong Noh³, Min-Yang Chou^{1,2}, Ralph Kahn¹, Verity Flower⁶

1. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

2. Physics Department, Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

3. Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

4. Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

5. Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI, USA

6. University of Stirling, UK

Key Points:

- 1. La Soufriere volcanic explosive eruptions in April 2021 excited gravity waves propagating into the mesopause.**
- 2. MISR detected elevated ash plume after the eruption that overshot the tropopause.**
- 3. Concentric ionospheric disturbances in GNSS TEC data could be induced by the volcanic excited gravity waves.**

Abstract

Atmospheric gravity waves can be excited by explosive volcanic eruptions and may reach Earth's upper atmosphere. In this paper, we report on mesoscale concentric gravity waves observed in the mesopause airglow layer following the La Soufriere volcano eruption in April 2021. A large ash plume observed by the spaceborne Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR)

24 instrument on April 10 reached ~20 km. Temporal evolution of the volcanic ash plume was
25 provided by the GOES-16 Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI). Nightglow gravity waves were
26 observed by the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) Day Night Band (DNB).
27 These waves had horizontal wavelengths of ~25-40 km, and took about a half-to-one hour to
28 travel from the tropopause to the mesopause. Some concentric ionospheric disturbance signatures
29 are also seen in GNSS-TEC (total electron content) maps. We found the launch of gravity waves
30 to be highly correlated with the elevated ash plume from explosive eruptions.

31

32 **Plain language summary**

33 Explosive volcanic eruptions occur when the pressure of hot gases trapped inside magma builds
34 up, resulting in the rapid injection hot gas and ash many kilometers into the atmosphere,
35 sometimes reaching the stratosphere. Atmospheric gravity waves are excited in this process.
36 Concentric gravity waves observed in the mesopause airglow layer were launched by the La
37 Soufriere volcano explosive eruption in April 2021. A large ash plume on April 10 reached ~20
38 km, overshooting the tropopause layer. Nightglow gravity waves observed by the Day Night
39 Band of VIIRS instruments on both the Suomi NPP and NOAA-20 satellites reveal concentric
40 patterns. These waves took about a-half-to-one hour to reach the mesopause. Some concentric
41 ionospheric disturbance signatures are also induced by the volcanic gravity waves. Our
42 observations of this event provide direct evidence of lithosphere-atmosphere-ionosphere
43 coupling via the generation and propagation of gravity waves.

44

45 **1. Introduction**

46 Volcanic eruptions become explosive when hot gases are trapped inside magma and
47 pressure gradually build up. Explosions occur when the gases blast out of vent violently, issuing
48 hot gases and ash kilometers into the atmosphere, sometimes reaching the lower stratosphere.
49 Gravity (or buoyancy) waves arise from departures of air from its equilibrium in a stably
50 stratified background atmosphere such as in the stratosphere. Buoyancy under stable
51 stratification acts as the restoring force for these waves. Explosive volcanic eruptions along with
52 hot ash can drastically perturb air in the troposphere and stratosphere, thus exciting a broad
53 spectrum of gravity waves, in addition to acoustic waves.

54 Gravity and infrasonic (acoustic) waves have been observed near the ground after large
55 volcanic eruptions. Barogram and seismogram recordings during the eruptions of El Chichon in
56 1982 and Mount Pinatubo in 1991 measured both near-field and far-field Rayleigh waves and
57 infrasonic-acoustic waves having periods of a few hundred seconds, i.e., mHz frequency (Mauk,
58 1983; Kanamori & Mori, 1992; Widmer & Zurn, 1992; Tahira et al., 1996). Acoustic coupling
59 with the air waves excited by the eruptions was suggested to be the source of those Rayleigh
60 waves. Both infrasonic and gravity waves have been detected in the near field (< 6 km) by an
61 infrasonic array and pressure transducers near the Soufriere Hills Volcano, Montserrat (Ripepe et
62 al., 2010). In particular, gravity waves with frequencies as low as ~ 1 mHz or 1000 s (~ 16 min)
63 periods have been recorded during volcanic eruptions (De Angelis et al., 2011). Volcanic
64 eruption-excited air waves are postulated as being the response of the atmosphere to mass or heat
65 injections at a single point source (Kanamori et al., 1994; Baines & Sacks, 2017). As such,
66 Ripepe et al. (2016) suggest using the gravity waves generated by volcanic eruptions near the
67 source to deduce the size, rate and duration of eruptions. All prior observations and hypotheses

68 considered only near-surface volcanic gravity waves—not upward propagating waves as
69 observed in the current study.

70 The ionosphere begins about 80 km above the surface, an atmospheric layer where
71 molecules and atoms are weakly ionized. Disturbances in ionospheric density or total electron
72 content (TEC) by explosive volcanic eruptions, so-called covolcanic ionospheric disturbances
73 (CVID), have been observed 10-45 min after several large events (Astafyeva, 2019). CVIDs
74 were observed after the eruption of Mount St. Helens on May 18 1980 (Roberts et al., 1982a,
75 1982b; Ogawa et al., 1982) and Mount Pinatubo on 15 June 1991 along with surface pressure
76 fluctuations (Cheng & Huang, 1992; Igarashi et al., 1994). These CVIDs had periods of 16-30
77 min, wavelengths of 160-435 km and velocities of 131-290 m/s. Heki (2006) detected CVIDs
78 with electron column densities of 0.03-0.16 TECU 12 min after the 1 September 2004 Asama
79 volcano explosion in Japan. Dautermann et al. (2009) found quasiperiodic CVIDs with a period
80 of ~ 12 min that lasted 40 min after the Soufriere Hill Volcano in Montserrat erupted on 13 July
81 2003. Furthermore, Manta et al. (2021) defined the Ionospheric Volcanic Power Index (IVPI) to
82 quantify the relationship between the TEC perturbation and the volcanic eruption characteristics.
83 Although many of the observed CVIDs were associated with gravity waves, Most of the
84 observed CVIDs were apparently caused by acoustic waves with shorter periods, higher
85 frequencies, and faster velocities (e.g., Heki, 2006; Shults et al., 2016; Nakashima et al., 2016);
86 rare cases have been clearly associated with gravity waves. Acoustic waves are outside the scope
87 of this paper.

88 There have also been only rare observations of gravity waves between the ground and the
89 ionosphere excited by volcanic eruptions, prohibiting a thorough understanding of their
90 excitation and vertical propagation. The single definite volcanic gravity wave observation in the

91 mesopause region (~85 km) was made over the 23 April 2015 Calbuco volcano eruption (41°S,
92 72°W) in Chile using the Day/Night Band (DNB) of the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer
93 Suite (VIIRS) imager on the Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (NPP) satellite (Miller et
94 al., 2015). Along with the volcanic ash plume observed in the VIIRS 10.76 μm infrared imagery,
95 the DNB revealed concentric gravity waves centered over the volcano. This ring pattern in
96 nightglow was reminiscent of gravity waves launched from deep convection (e.g., Taylor and
97 Hapgood, 1988; Sentman et al., 2003; Suzuki et al., 2007; Yue et al., 2009, 2014; Miller et al.,
98 2015; Smith et al., 2020). It suggests that they might be attributed to similar excitation
99 mechanisms: rapid mechanical “overshooting” of the tropopause and sudden heating, in this case
100 ash/gas heating from volcanic eruptions rather than latent heating within thunderstorms (Fritts &
101 Alexander, 2003). However, no detailed analysis has so far been carried out on volcanic gravity
102 wave (GW) events in the middle atmosphere.

103 On the other hand, there have been independent CVID reports on this same Calbuco
104 eruption event (Shults et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017). Shults et al. (2016) reported two types of
105 CVIDs, the first group occurred ~15 min after the initial eruption and the second group ~40 min
106 after the second eruption. All modes were acoustic waves that propagated at the sound speed of
107 0.8-1 km/s in the ionosphere (Shults et al., 2016). There is a missing link between the mesopause
108 and ionosphere for the gravity waves excited by the 2015 Calbuco eruption. Furthermore, no
109 study connects the heat released from the tropospheric/stratospheric volcanic plume or explosion
110 to nightglow waves in the mesopause region and then upward to CVIDs in the ionosphere. It is
111 extremely challenging to observe nightglow volcanic gravity waves from the ground, as the ash
112 plume can obscure optical observations. However, spaceborne airglow-sensitive sensors can

113 detect those waves, provided that the satellite overpass time coincides with the eruption and
114 appearance of the ephemeral wave pattern.

115 In this letter, we report on nightglow gravity waves near the mesopause region from the
116 La Soufriere volcanic eruption that occurred in April 2021 and CVIDs observed in ionospheric
117 TEC. By way of these novel observations, we help fill the outstanding gap between the ground
118 and the ionosphere and shed some light on volcanic gravity wave generation and propagation
119 into the upper atmosphere.

120

121 **2. La Soufriere volcanic eruptions**

122 La Soufriere (13°N, 61°W) is an active stratovolcano on the main island of Saint Vincent and
123 the Grenadines in the Caribbean. The eruption started with an effusive phase on December 27,
124 2020 as its lava dome was growing. The first explosive eruption occurred at 1240 UT (840 AM
125 local time, LT) on 9 April 2021, forcing about 16,000 people to evacuate. The ash plume reached
126 up to 8 km. The second explosion occurred in the afternoon (1445 LT or 1845 UT), followed by
127 a third one at 1845 PM LT or 2245 UT. According to the Global Volcanism Program of
128 Smithsonian Institution/National Museum of National History, “Periods of banded tremor
129 associated with explosive activity and stronger pulses of ash emissions to higher altitudes began
130 at 0330 LT or 0730 UT on 10 April, lasting for periods of 20-30 minutes with 1-3-hour gaps. The
131 resulting ash plumes rose to 10-16 km altitude throughout the day.” On 11 April, explosions and
132 ash emissions continued, with associated plumes rising to 12-16 km. The University of East
133 Anglia identified about 30 explosions through 18 April, though most explosions took place
134 during April 9-11. No plumes higher than 12 km were reported after April 11. More information
135 about the La Soufriere eruptions can be found on the website of the Global Volcanism Program

136 of Smithsonian Institution/National Museum of National History available at
 137 <https://volcano.si.edu/volcano.cfm?vn=360150>. The timelines of volcanic eruption and relevant
 138 observations below are summarized in Table 1. Not all ~30 explosions are included.

Events/Observations	Time/Date (UT)	Measurements	Height
First explosion	1240, 9 April	ground	surface, troposphere
Second explosion	1845, 9 April	ground	surface, troposphere
Third explosion	2245, 9 April	Ground	surface, troposphere
Elevated plume	1435, 10 April	MISR	tropopause
One explosion	0300-0320, 11 April	ABI	troposphere
Another explosion	0500-0530, 11 April	ABI	troposphere
lightning	0510, 11 April	GLM	troposphere
Nightglow gravity wave 1	0516, 11 April	VIIRS on SNPP	mesopause
Nightglow gravity wave 2	0606, 11 April	VIIRS on NOAA20	mesopause
CVIDs	0605-0702, 11 April	GNSS TEC	Ionosphere

139 Table 1. Chronicles of important events observed during the La Soufriere volcanic eruptions
 140 in April 2021.

141 The NASA Disasters Program monitored and reported on this eruption and precursors, based
 142 primarily on NASA spacecraft observations and associated modeling, beginning in December
 143 2020:

144 <https://maps.disasters.nasa.gov/arcgis/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=483b9f632f6c4dadbb7>

145 [3fa60e8b30b4f](#). On April 10, 2021, the NASA Terra satellite flew over La Soufriere and the
146 Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) instrument (Diner et al., 1998) aboard this
147 platform observed the volcanic plume at 14:35 UT, as shown in Figure 1. Plume 3-D structure,
148 motion vectors, and particle microphysical properties were derived from the MISR multi-angle,
149 multi-spectral imagery, using the MISR Interactive eXplorer (MINX) software developed for this
150 purpose (e.g., Nelson et al., 2013; Flower & Kahn, 2020; Junghenn-Noyes et al., 2020). A user
151 defines the plume outline, source location, and wind direction in on-screen imagery, and the
152 program calculates the heights of contrast features in the plume at 1.1 km horizontal and 250-500
153 m vertical resolution from the apparent geometric parallax. As it takes about 7 minutes for the
154 nine MISR cameras to view a given location on Earth, the associated wind vectors, along with
155 wind-corrected heights, are also derived. Figure 1a shows the wind-corrected results obtained
156 from MISR red-band imagery.

157 MISR measurements of La Soufriere volcanic plume are not available on other days. Figure
158 1a shows that the plume peaked at around 20 km above the volcano, suggesting that some plume
159 material penetrated the tropopause at ~17.5 km (read from the radiosonde at 0 UT on April 10
160 2021 at station TBPB Grantley Adams Observations, tropopause temperature was -82 C or 191K,
161 <https://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html>). This plume reached the stratosphere. Recall
162 that the ground-based naked eye observation estimated ash plume rose to “10-16 km altitude” on
163 April 10, significantly lower than the MISR measurement. This is because ground observations
164 offer a different perspective from MISR, often sampling closer to the lower aerosol layers than to
165 the tops, that are more readily observed from space (e.g., Flower and Kahn, 2017). The plume
166 was transported to the east, with significant lateral spreading of 250 km. Bands appear
167 progressively downwind in the imagery, consistent with banded tremors and pulses of ash

168 emissions. Figure 1b illustrates the vertical structure of the plume in downwind profile, clearly
169 showing that the maximum height is ~20 km. In general, close correlation between convective
170 overshooting of the tropopause by 1-3 km and concentric gravity waves in nightglow at ~85 km
171 has been established previously (Yue et al., 2009; Vadas et al., 2012). Because the stratosphere is
172 stably stratified, mechanical or thermal disturbances in this layer will naturally excite gravity
173 waves with large enough vertical wavelength to penetrate the upper atmosphere and become
174 observable. Though the MISR measurements of the plume vertical structure are available only at
175 one instance on April 10, we speculate that the very high plume injection continued on April 11.
176 Circumstantial evidence supporting this hypothesis is presented next.

177 To gain more temporal information about the plume, we closely inspect measurements from
178 GOES-16, a next-generation geostationary weather satellite operated by the National Oceanic
179 and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), on April 11, around the time of nightglow
180 observations discussed in the next section. The Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) spectral band at
181 11.2 μm IR on GOES-16 recorded pulsed volcanic plumes from this event (Figure 2). On April
182 11, one eruption took place around 0300-0320 UT, and a later one was around 0500-0530 UT,
183 accompanied by a blue lightning flash measured by the GLM (Geostationary Lightning Mapper)
184 also on GOES-16 (Figure 2, Panel 3). No eruptions occurred between 0320 and 0500 UT (middle
185 panel of Figure 2). The lightning signal is the accumulation of GLM Level-2 group energy over
186 ABI's scan duration (full-disk 10 minutes for this case). The interval between consecutive
187 eruptions was ~1-2 hours and each eruption lasted 20-30 min. This is consistent with the
188 aforementioned ground observations/records from Global Volcanism Program. Volcanic
189 lightning usually forms in thick volcanic plumes such as the one characterized by MISR (Figure
190 1) (McNutt and Williams, 2010). As particles are violently ejected into a volcanic plume, they

191 rub against each other and become electrically charged. When charged particles ascend, the
192 plume gains charge separation and lightning occurs. Similar volcanic lightning was also
193 observed from the 2015 Calbuco volcano eruption (Eaton et al., 2016). All the MISR, ABI and
194 GLM measurements suggest that periodic, strong explosions and high plume injection took place
195 on April 10 and 11, likely favoring gravity wave excitation.

196

197 **3. Nightglow gravity waves**

198 Similar to the nightglow gravity waves above the Calbuco volcanic eruption in 2015 (Miller
199 et al., 2015), nightglow concentric gravity waves near Saint Vincent were observed in the DNB
200 of VIIRS on board the Suomi NPP satellite at 0516 UT and aboard the NOAA-20 satellite at
201 0606 UT on April 11, 2021, as shown in Figure 3. The thermal IR (11 μm) band of VIIRS maps
202 volcanic plumes with moderate resolution (750 m/pixel at nadir). The brightness temperature of
203 the ash plume colder than 190 K at 0606 UT in Figure 3, which further confirms that the
204 volcanic plume on April 11 “overshot” the tropopause. The ash/cloud top temperature being
205 colder than the tropopause temperature (194 K at the 18-km tropopause height at 12 UT on April
206 11, 2021) is indicative of tropopause “overshooting” (Yue et al., 2009).

207 VIIRS DNB observations (over 0.5–0.9 μm wavelength) of OH airglow gravity waves were
208 first reported by Miller et al. (2013, 2015) and Yue et al. (2014, 2019). The faint signatures of
209 gravity waves in the airglow can also be seen in DNB/VIIRS of Suomi NPP at 0516 UT on April
210 10 (shown in the appendix). No airglow gravity waves were observed after April 11, consistent
211 with the fact that no high plumes were reported on subsequent days. The apparent centers of
212 these rings are near the volcano. The waves have horizontal wavelengths of $\sim 25\text{-}40$ km. To
213 estimate the propagation time from the tropopause to the OH airglow layer at ~ 85 km, we

214 assume the vertical wavelength is 40 km, twice the elevation of the plume observed by MISR.
215 This conjecture is based on the concept that gravity waves from convective heating can have
216 vertical wavelengths approximately twice the depth of heating (~40 km) or even longer (Holton
217 et al., 2002). As the background wind is typically weak (<20 m/s) in the stratosphere and
218 mesosphere in April (Liu et al., 2021), we assume zero background wind (Yue et al., 2009).
219 Using the gravity wave dispersion relationship of equation 3 in Yue et al. (2009), we estimate the
220 vertical group velocity to be about 20-40 m/s, and the propagation time from the tropopause to
221 mesosphere to be ~30-60 min. Inclusion of a weak background wind will not change this
222 estimate significantly (<10%) because these are fast propagating waves. Therefore, the gravity
223 waves at 0516 UT were excited at ~0416-0446 UT and the waves at 0606 UT originated around
224 0506-0536 UT. Recall that the closest explosions before 0606 UT took place at 0300-0320 UT
225 and 0500-0530 UT, based on ABI observations. Because ABI recorded no explosions during
226 0416-0446 UT, the gravity waves observed at 0516 UT could not have been excited by a
227 contemporaneous explosion, but likely by lofting from the high plume after the explosion and
228 “overshooting” of the tropopause (Yue et al., 2009; Vadas et al., 2009) (Figures 1 and 3). On the
229 other hand, the waves at 0606 UT could have been excited by either the elevated plume or the
230 explosion at 0500-0530 UT. As those volcanic gravity waves in the DNB imagery had already
231 reached the mesopause by 0516 UT, they could likely penetrate the ionosphere and perturb
232 plasma densities, as shown in Figure 3.

233 **4. CVIDs**

234 We further examined the ground-based Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) TEC
235 observations over the Caribbean to identify any CVIDs, as illustrated in Figure 4. The 30-s
236 sampling of GPS and GLONASS Receiver Independent EXchange (RINEX) data from the dual

237 frequency receivers operated by Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS), Scripts
238 Orbit and Permanent Array Center (SOPAC), and Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística
239 (IBGE) are utilized to calculate the vertical TEC. Details of the TEC processing procedures are
240 presented by Liu et al. (1996). Note that we assume the altitude of ionospheric pierce point (IPP)
241 to be 300 km for converting the slant TEC to vertical TEC, and elevation angles greater than 20°
242 are excluded to mitigate multipath error. Then, the fifth order Butterworth filter with periods of
243 10-30 min is applied to extract the TEC perturbations related to CVIDs for each vertical TEC
244 observation.

245 Figure 4 shows the time sequence of GNSS TEC maps in the immediate vicinity of the
246 La Soufriere volcano during ~0605-0702 UT on April 11, 2021. Mesoscale traveling ionosphere
247 disturbances (TIDs) centered at St. Vincent were only observable to the northwest during 0630-
248 0730 UT on April 11. There are two distinct crests of ~ 0.08 TEC Unit (TECU) disturbance over
249 Cuba (Figure 4c and 4d). We searched for these CVIDs in TEC near the volcano in April 2021
250 and cannot find similar perturbations. Figure 4e, the TID keogram, reveals that these CVIDs
251 have phase velocities of ~ 175 - 192 m/s, periods of ~ 21 - 26 min, and horizontal wavelengths of
252 ~ 220 - 300 km, consistent with previous CVIDs. The perturbations of CVIDs are minor owing to
253 the low ionospheric electron density after midnight; however, the CVIDs display a coherent
254 wave pattern emanating northwestward. One challenge is that GNSS receivers are sparse over
255 the Caribbean compared to the continental US, Europe, or Japan. No GNSS measurements over
256 the ocean are available to the east of St. Vincent where nightglow gravity waves are seen.

257 Though these CVIDs were not caused by the gravity waves seen in VIIRS, both were
258 likely excited by the same volcanic eruption. To test the hypothesis that the observed CVIDs
259 were likely related to gravity waves excited by the La Soufriere volcanic eruption, we can

260 calculate the horizontal distance travelled by gravity waves from their source using equation 2 in
261 Yue et al. (2009).

$$262 \quad R = \Delta z \tan \beta = \Delta z \sqrt{\left(\frac{N}{\omega_I}\right)^2 - 1} \quad (1)$$

263 where Δz and β indicate the vertical distance from the source to the ionosphere and zenith angle
264 between vertical and wave vector, respectively. R is the horizontal distance travelled by gravity
265 waves from their source. N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency and ω_I is the intrinsic frequency.
266 Assuming $\Delta z=280$ km from the plume altitude of 20 km to the IPP altitude of 300 km and $N =$
267 $\frac{2\pi}{8.8 \text{ min}}$ using the empirical neutral atmosphere parameters from NRLMSISE-00 (Picone et al.,
268 2002), the horizontal distance travelled by gravity waves from their source is estimated to be
269 $\sim 606\text{-}778$ km. This is generally consistent with the TEC observations; the keogram (Figure 4e)
270 shows CVIDs emerging approximately 650 km away from the volcano. The calculated vertical
271 velocity is 68-73 m/s. Thus, the estimated propagation time from the tropopause to the
272 ionosphere (or horizontally from the volcano to the wave front) is about one hour. Therefore,
273 these CVIDs can be associated with volcanic gravity waves excited at $\sim 0530\text{-}0630$ UT, after the
274 explosion of 0500-0530 UT, and the source was likely the elevated ash plume. Because the
275 timing of the sources is different between the CVIDs and nightglow gravity waves, these two
276 waves (nightglow in Figure 3 and CVIDs in Figure 4) were excited by different point sources
277 (either elevated plume or explosion). But they were likely both ultimately associated with the
278 volcano eruption.

279

280 **5. Discussion and conclusion**

281 Unlike previous volcanic gravity waves, that were measured near-surface, this paper focuses
282 on gravity waves in the mesosphere and ionosphere. This is the second documentation of airglow

283 gravity waves from volcanic eruptions, following the Calbuco eruption in April 2015 (Miller et
284 al., 2015). The common features of both volcanic eruptions are strong explosion level (Volcanic
285 Explosivity Index, $VEI \geq 4$) and elevated ash plume production. The Calbuco eruption sent plume
286 particles more than 15 km above the surface, “overshooting” the midlatitude tropopause of ~12
287 km. The gravity wave excitation mechanism closely resembles deep convection with tropopause
288 “overshooting.” The absence of nightglow gravity waves after April 11, 2021 confirms that a
289 high-altitude plume is a necessary condition for wave generation. Weak stratospheric and
290 mesospheric winds in the month of April (both Calbuco, 2015 and La Soufriere 2021 eruptions)
291 enables gravity wave propagation to higher altitudes. On the other hand, effusive eruptions, such
292 as commonly occur at Hawaii’s Kilauea volcano, produce only surface lava flows. Lacking
293 explosive eruption producing elevated plumes and ash, effusive eruptions are not effective at
294 exciting gravity waves.

295 GNSS soundings have been widely used to study and monitor acoustic and gravity waves
296 from seismic activity, such as earthquakes and tsunamis (Astafyeva, 2019). Such waves are
297 excited by vertical displacements of the ground or ocean floor. However, volcanic eruptions
298 excite gravity waves in a way similar to deep convection or thunderstorms. As most explosive
299 volcanic eruptions in the 21st century have been located in remote areas (settlements tend to
300 avoid these hazardous areas), good GNSS coverage of volcanic eruptions is less likely compared
301 to that for earthquakes and tsunamis. Polar-orbiting satellites such as Suomi NPP and NOAA20
302 have to fly over volcanic eruptions at the right time to capture those airglow gravity waves
303 during night. Therefore, it is challenging to obtain gravity wave data that would provide
304 additional information about eruptions, except for the explosive characteristics and plume
305 elevation that ground observations usually provide (e.g., the United States Geological Survey

306 (USGS) reports <https://www.usgs.gov/programs/VHP>). A potential ground-based gravity wave
307 observational campaign could deploy airglow cameras or GPS receivers at nearby but safe
308 locations (several hundreds of km away) before a forecasted volcanic eruption. The La Soufriere
309 volcano was in effusive eruption mode for months before its final explosive eruption phase in
310 April 2021. Such events could give some lead time for field campaign planning.

311 It is yet more challenging to conduct similar surface observations on other planets or moons
312 than on Earth. However, we postulate that volcanic gravity waves can be a tool to probe active
313 volcanic eruptions on other planetary/lunar bodies in the solar system as well, such as Venus, Io,
314 Triton and Enceladus, whether by radio wave or optical remote sensing. For example, gravity
315 waves have been observed in the Venus atmosphere from Venus Express (Ando et al., 2015;
316 Sugimoto et al., 2021). This airglow volcanic-wave-monitoring idea was also recently postulated
317 by Byrne and Krishnamoorthy (2022).

318 In summary, this paper provides a novel example of Lithosphere-atmosphere-ionosphere
319 coupling through the generation and propagation of gravity waves. ABI, MISR, GLM, and
320 VIIRS provide temporal and 3D spatial information about the La Soufriere eruption and its
321 plume. The DNB sensor on VIIRS observed the second instance of nightglow gravity waves
322 from a volcanic eruption, following the Calbuco eruption in 2015. The GNSS network measured
323 CVIDs to the northwest of the eruption. We understand now that these gravity waves were most
324 likely excited by elevated eruption plumes reaching above the tropopause rather than by the
325 explosions themselves. We expect more observations of volcanic gravity waves in the
326 mesosphere and ionosphere, leading to enhanced understanding in the future. Numerical
327 simulations combining volcanic eruptions, gravity wave excitation and propagation and their

328 ensuing disturbances in the ionosphere are of prime interest when linking this process to
329 applications such as radio frequency communication impacts.

330

331

332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353

Acknowledgement: The MISR contribution to this paper is part of the NASA Active Aerosol Plume-height (AAP) Project, V. Flower, R. Kahn, K. Junghenn-Noyes, visualized by Garrett Layne, NASA Disasters Program. The work of R. Kahn and V. Flower is supported in part by the NASA Earth Surface and Interior program under Ben Phillips and the NASA Atmospheric Composition Program under Richard Eckman. JY and MC are supported by NASA 80NSSC20K0628 and AIM. WCS are supported by the NOAA JPSS and GOES-R program offices via Award No. NA20NES4320003.

Open Data: The volcanic plume height calculation was performed using the MISR Interactive eXplorer (MINX) software tool, which is publicly available at <https://github.com/nasa/MINX>. MISR data can be accessed at <https://misr.jpl.nasa.gov/getData/accessData/>. Suomi NPP and NOAA20 VIIRS data, GOES-16 ABI L1b and GLM L2 data are all publicly available at the NOAA Comprehensive Large Array-data Stewardship System (CLASS; <https://www.class.noaa.gov>), which can be found under “JPSS VIIRS Sensor Data Record Operational (VIIRS_SDR)”, “GOES-R Series ABI Products (GRABIPRD)” and “GOES-R Series GLM L2+ Data Product (GRGLMPROD)”, respectively. The processed GNSS TEC data is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5855060>.

354 **References:**

355

356 Ando, H., Imamura, T., Tsuda, T., Tellmann, S., Patzold, M., & Hauler, B. (2015). Vertical
357 wavenumber spectra of gravity waves in the Venus atmosphere obtained from Venus Express
358 Radio Occultation Data: Evidence for saturation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 72(6),
359 2318–2329. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-14-0315.1>

360

361 Astafyeva, E. (2019). Ionospheric detection of natural hazards. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 57,
362 1265-1288. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000668>.

363

364 Baines, P.G., & Sacks, S. (2017). The Generation and Propagation of Atmospheric Internal
365 Waves Caused by Volcanic Eruptions. *Atmosphere*, 8(3), 60;
366 <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos8030060>

367

368 Byrne, P. K., & Krishnamoorthy, S. (2022). Estimates on the frequency of volcanic eruptions on
369 Venus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 127, e2021JE007040. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE007040)
370 [org/10.1029/2021JE007040](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE007040)

371

372 Cheng, K., & Huang, Y. N. (1992). Ionospheric disturbances observed during the period of
373 Mount Pinatubo eruptions in June 1991. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*,
374 97(A11), 16995–17004. <https://doi.org/10.1029/92JA01462>

375

376 Dautermann, T., Calais, E., & Mattioli, G. S. (2009). Global Positioning System detection and
377 energy estimation of the ionospheric wave caused by the 13 July 2003 explosion of the Soufrière
378 Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114(B2), B02202.
379 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB005722>
380

381 De Angelis, S., McNutt, S. R., & Webley, P. W. (2011). Evidence of atmospheric gravity waves
382 during the 2008 eruption of Okmok volcano from seismic and remote sensing observations,
383 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L10303, doi:10.1029/2011GL047144.
384

385 Diner, D.J., Beckert, J.C., Reilly, T.H., Bruegge, C.J., Conel, J.E., Kahn, R.A., Martonchik, J.V.,
386 Ackerman, T.P., Davies, R., Gerstl, S.A.W. *et al.* (1998). Multi-angle Imaging
387 SpectroRadiometer (MISR) instrument description and experiment overview. *IEEE Transactions*
388 *on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 36, 1072–1087.
389

390 Van Eaton, A. R., Á. Amigo, D. Bertin, L. G. Mastin, R. E. Giacosa, J. González, O. Valderrama,
391 K. Fontijn, and S. A. Behnke (2016), Volcanic lightning and plume behavior reveal evolving
392 hazards during the April 2015 eruption of Calbuco volcano, Chile, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 3563–
393 3571, doi:10.1002/2016GL068076.
394

395 Flower, V., and R.A. Kahn, 2017. Assessing the altitude and dispersion of volcanic plumes using
396 MISR multi-angle imaging: Sixteen years of volcanic activity in the Kamchatka Peninsula,
397 Russia. *J. Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 337, 1–15, doi:
398 /10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.03.010.

399

400 Flower, V.J.B., & Kahn, R. A. (2020). The evolution of Iceland volcano emissions, as observed
401 from space. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 125, e2019JD031625,
402 doi:10.1029/2019JD031625.

403

404 Fritts, D. C., & Alexander, M. J. (2003). Gravity wave dynamics and effects in the middle
405 atmosphere, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 41(1), 1003, doi:10.1029/2001RG000106.

406

407

408 Global Volcanism Program (2021). Report on Soufriere St. Vincent (Saint Vincent and the
409 Grenadines). In: Sennert, S K (ed.), *Weekly Volcanic Activity Report*, 15 September-21
September 2021. Smithsonian Institution and US Geological Survey.

410 Heki, K. (2006). Explosion energy of the 2004 eruption of the Asama Volcano, central Japan,
411 inferred from ionospheric disturbances. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L14303.

412 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026249>

413

414 Holton, J. R., Beres, J. H., & Zhou, X. (2002). On the Vertical Scale of Gravity Waves Excited
415 by Localized Thermal Forcing. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 59(12), 2019–2023.,
416 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<2019: OTVSOG>2.0.CO;2.

417

418 Igarashi, K., Kainuma, S., Nishimuta, I., Okamoto, S., Kuroiwa, H., Tanaka, T., & Ogawa, T.
419 (1994). Ionospheric and atmospheric disturbances around Japan caused by the eruption of Mount
420 Pinatubo on 15 June 1991. *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 56(9), 1227–1234.

421 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169\(94\)90060-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169(94)90060-4)

422

423 Junghenn, K.T., Kahn, R.A., Limbacher, J.A., Sedlecheck, A., Kleinman, L., & Li, Z. (2020).
424 Wildfire Plume Particle Properties and Evolution, From Space-Based Multi-angle Imaging.
425 Biomass Burning special issue. *Remote Sensing*, 12, 769; doi:10.3390/rs12050769.

426

427 Kanamori, H., & Mori, J. (1992). Harmonic excitation of mantle Rayleigh waves by the 1991
428 eruption of Mount Pinatubo, Philippines. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 19, 7, 721-724.

429

430 Kanamori, H., Mori, J. & Harkrider, D. G (1994). Excitation of atmospheric oscillations by
431 volcanic eruptions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 99, 21,947–21,961, doi:
432 10.1029/94JB01475.

433

434 Liu, J. Y., Tsai, H. F., & Jung, T. K. (1996). Total electron content obtained by using the Global
435 Positioning System. *Terrestrial, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences*, 7, 107.

436

437 Liu, X., Zhang, Q., Shah, M., & Hong, Z. (2017). Atmospheric-ionospheric disturbances
438 following the April 2015 Calbuco volcano from GPS and OMI observations. *Advances in Space*
439 *Research*, 60(12), 2836–2846. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2017.07.007>

440

441 Liu, X., Xu, J., Yue, J., Yu, Y., Batista, P. P., Andrioli, V. F., Liu, Z., Yuan, T., Wang, C., Zou,
442 Z., Li, G., & Russell III, J. M. (2021). Global Balanced Wind Derived from SABER
443 Temperature and Pressure Observations and its Validations. *Earth System Science Data*,
444 <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2021-192>, in press.

445

446 Manta, F., Occhipinti, G., Hill, E. M., Perttu, A., Assink, J., & Taisne, B. (2021). Correlation
447 between GNSS-TEC and eruption magnitude supports the use of ionospheric sensing to
448 complement volcanic hazard assessment. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
449 126(2), e2020JB020726-. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2020JB020726>

450

451 Mauk, F. J. (1983). Utilization of seismically recorded infrasonic-acoustic signals to monitor
452 volcanic explosions: The El Chichon Sequence 1982—A case study. *Journal of Geophysical*
453 *Research: Solid Earth*, 88, 10,385–10,401, doi:10.1029/JB088iB12p10385.

454

455 McNutt, S. R. and E. R. Williams (2010). Volcanic lightning: global observations and constraints
456 on source mechanisms, *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 72, 1153-1167.

457

458 Miller, S.D., Straka III, W., Mills, S.P., Elvidge, C.D., Lee, T.F., Solbrig, J., Walther, A.,
459 Heidinger, A.K., & Weiss, S.C. (2013). Illuminating the capabilities of the Suomi NPP VIIRS
460 Day/Night Band. *Remote Sensing*, 5(12), 6717–6766. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs5126717>

461

462 Miller, S. D., Straka, W. C., Yue, J., Smith, S. M., Alexander, M. J., Hoffmann, L., et al. (2015).
463 Upper atmospheric gravity wave details revealed in nightglow satellite imagery. *Proceedings of*
464 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(49), E6728–E6735.

465 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508084112>

466

467 Nakashima, Y., Heki, K., Takeo, A., Cahyadi, M. N., Aditiya, A., & Yoshizawa, K. (2016).
468 Atmospheric resonant oscillations by the 2014 eruption of the Kelud volcano, Indonesia,
469 observed with the ionospheric total electron contents and seismic signals. *Earth and Planetary*
470 *Science Letters*, 434, 112–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.11.029>
471

472 Nelson, D.L., Garay, M.J., Kahn, R.A., & Dunst, B.A. (2013). Stereoscopic Height and Wind
473 Retrievals for Aerosol Plumes with the MISR INteractive eXplorer (MINX). *Remote Sensing*, 5,
474 4593-4628, doi:10.3390/rs5094593.
475

476

477 Ogawa, T., Hiroshi Kumagai, K. Sinno (1982). Ionospheric disturbances over Japan due to the
478 18 May 1980 eruption of Mount St. Helens, *J. Atmos. Terres. Physics*, 44, 10, 863-868,
479 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169\(82\)90039-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169(82)90039-3).
480

481 Picone, J. M., Hedin, A. E., Drob, D. P., & Aikin, A. C. (2002). NRLMSISE-00 empirical model
482 of the atmosphere: Statistical comparisons and scientific issues. *Journal of Geophysical*
483 *Research*, 107(A12), 1468. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JA009430>
484

485 Ripepe, M., De Angelis, S., Lacanna, G., & Voight, B. (2010). Observation of infrasonic and
486 gravity waves at Soufrière Hills Volcano, Montserrat. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, L00E14,
487 doi:10.1029/2010GL042557.
488

489 Ripepe, M., Barfucci, G., De Angelis, S., Delle Donne, D., Lacanna, G., & Marchetti, E. (2016).
490 Modeling volcanic eruption parameters by near-source internal gravity waves. *Scientific Reports*,
491 36727.

492

493 Roberts, D. H., J. A. Klobuchar, P. F. Fougere, D. H. Hendrickson (1982a). A large-amplitude
494 traveling ionospheric disturbance produced by the May 18, 1980, explosion of Mount St. Helens,
495 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, A8, 6291-6301.

496

497 Roberts, D. H., A. E. E. Rogers, B. R. Allen, C. L. Bennett, B. F. Burke, P. E. Greenfield, C. R.
498 Lawrence, T. A. Clark (1982b), Radio interferometric detection of a traveling ionospheric
499 disturbance excited by the explosion of Mount St. Helens, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87, 48, 6302-6306.

500

501 Sentman, D. D., Wescott, E. M., Picard, R. H., Winick, J. R., Stenbaek-Nielsen, H. C., Dewan, E.
502 M., Moudry, D. R., Sã o Sabbas, F. T., Heavner, M. J., & Morrill, J. (2003). Simultaneous
503 observations of mesospheric gravity waves and sprites generated by a midwestern thunderstorm,
504 *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 65, 537–550, doi:10.1016/S1364-
505 6826(02)00328-0.

506

507 Shults, K., Astafyeva, E., & Adourian, S. (2016). Ionospheric detection and localization of
508 volcano eruptions on the example of the April 2015 Calbuco events. *Journal of Geophysical*
509 *Research: Space Physics*, 121, 10,303–10,315. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023382>

510

511 Smith, S. M., Setvak, M., Belesky, Y., Baumgardner, J., & Mendillo, M. (2020). Mesospheric
512 gravity wave momentum flux associated with a large thunderstorm complex, *Journal of*
513 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
514 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033381>
515

516 Sugimoto, N., Fujisawa, Y., Kashimura, H., Noguchi, K., Kuroda, T., Takagi, M., & Hayashi, Y.
517 (2021). Generation of gravity waves from thermal tides in the Venus atmosphere. *Nature*
518 *Communications*, 12, 3682.
519

520 Suzuki, S., Shiokawa, K., Otsuka, Y., Ogawa, T., Nakamura, K., & Nakamura, T. (2007). A
521 concentric gravity wave structure in the mesospheric airglow images. *Journal of Geophysical*
522 *Research: Atmospheres*, 112, D02102, doi:10.1029/2005JD006558.
523

524 Tahira, M., Nomura, M., Sawada, Y., & Kamo, K. (1996). Infrasonic and acoustic-gravity waves
525 generated by the Mount Pinatubo eruption of June 15, 1991, in *Fire and Mud: Eruptions and*
526 *Lahars of Mount Pinatubo, Philippines*. Edited by C. G. Newhall and R. S. Punongbayan, pp.
527 601–614, Univ. of Wash. Press, Seattle.
528

529 Taylor, M. J., & Hapgood, M. A. (1988). Identification of a thunderstorm as a source of short
530 period gravity waves in the upper atmospheric nightglow emissions. *Planetary and Space*
531 *Science*, 36, 975– 985, doi:10.1016/0032-0633(88)90035-9.
532

533 Vadas, S. L., Yue, J., & Nakamura, T. (2012). Mesospheric concentric gravity waves generated
534 by multiple convective storms over the North American Great Plain. *Journal of Geophysical*
535 *Research: Atmospheres*, 117. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017025>

536

537 Widmer, R., & Zurn, W. (1992). Bichromatic excitation of long-period Rayleigh and air waves
538 by the Mount Pinatubo and El Chichon volcanic eruptions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 19, 8,
539 765-768.

540

541 Yue, J., Vadas, S. L., She, C.-Y., Nakamura, T., Reising, S. C., Liu, H.-L., Stamus, P., Krueger,
542 D. A., Lyons, W., & T. Li (2009). Concentric gravity waves in the mesosphere generated by
543 deep convective plumes in the lower atmosphere near Fort Collins, Colorado, *Journal of*
544 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 114, D06104, doi:10.1029/2008JD011244.

545

546 Yue, J., Miller, S., Hoffmann, L., & Straka III, W. C. (2014). Stratospheric and mesospheric
547 concentric gravity waves over tropical cyclone Mahasen: Joint AIRS and VIIRS satellite
548 observations. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 119, 83-90,
549 doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2014.07.003.

550

551 Yue, J., Perwitasari, S., Xu, S., Hozumi, Y., Nakamura, T., Sakanoi, T., Saito, A., Miller, S. D.,
552 Straka, W., & Rong, P. (2019). Preliminary dual-satellite observations of atmospheric gravity
553 waves in airglow: ISS-IMAP VISI vs. Suomi NPP VIIRS DNB. *Atmosphere*, 10, 650,
554 doi:10.3390/atmos10110650.

555

556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577

Figure 1. (a) MISR stereo-derived plume-height map showing the La Soufriere eruption plume at ~1435 UT on April 10, 2021. (b) Downwind profile plot of the same plume. Red points are derived from the parallax of plume contrast elements in the MISR multi-angle imagery; blue points present the heights corrected for any proper motion of plume elements. The surface elevation is indicated in green.


Figure 2. GOES-16 ABI infrared observations of the volcanic plume on April 11 2021, along with a GLM lightning detection (blue flash) at 0510 UT (lower left panel).

Figure 3. Thermal IR (11 μm ; top panels) and Day Night Band (bottom panels) VIIRS observations of volcanic plume and airglow gravity waves at 0516 UT (SNPP spacecraft; left panels) and 0606 UT (NOAA-20; right panels) on April 11 2021. The white lines denote the bright gravity wave fronts.

Figure 4. (a-d) Two-dimensional filtered GNSS TEC maps with the Butterworth band-pass filtering (10–30 min) indicating the CVIDs after the La Soufriere volcanic eruption on April 11 2021. The red dashed circles help to locate those concentric CVIDS over Cuba. (e) Keogram of the CVIDs. The vertical axes are great circle distances between each of SIPs of filtered TEC and the position of the La Soufriere volcanic. The slant dashed lines denote the propagation velocities of the CVIDs.

Figure 1.

(a)



(b)




Figure 2.






Figure 3.





Figure 4.

