# Dragonfly Entry and Descent Flight Mechanics Modeling and Analysis 

AIAA SciTech Forum



## 23-27 January 2023

Richard Winski, Alejandro Pensado
AMA, Inc.
NASA Langley

This material is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States

A relocatable lander to explore Titan's prebiotic chemistry and habitability

- Dragonfly is a New Frontiers Program mission that will deliver a rotorcraft lander to Saturn's moon, Titan.
- This mission is designed to explore diverse locations to characterize the habitability of Titan's environment.
- The purpose of this presentation is to give an overview of the status of Dragonfly flight mechanics modeling as of the preliminary design review (PDR).


## Wake up avionics, begin telemetry transmission; E-250 min

## Turn to entry, spin up to 2 rpm ; E-27 min <br> Entry

Vent cruise thermal loop; E-22 min $\quad$ Preparation
Cruise stage separation; $h=5074 \mathrm{~km}$; E-600 sec
Entry interface, $h=1270 \mathrm{~km}, V=7.33 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}, g=-47.8^{\circ}$; E-0 sec
Peak heating $h=247 \mathrm{~km}, V=5.77 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}, q \sim 291 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} ; \mathbf{E + 2 2 8} \mathbf{s e c}$

Peak deceleration, $h=219 \mathrm{~km}, V=4.55 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$, decel. $=10 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{2 4 1} \mathbf{~ s e c}$$\quad$| Ballistic |
| :---: |
| Entry |

$$
\text { Drogue deployment, } h=142 \mathrm{~km}, M=1.5 ; \mathbf{E}+374 \mathbf{~ s e c}
$$

(A1) Descent under drogue parachute
Main parachute deployed by drogue, $h=4 \mathrm{~km}, V=6.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$; $\mathbf{E}+94 \mathbf{~ m i n}$
${ }_{r}$ Heatshield separation, $h=3.6 \mathrm{~km}$; E+96 min
Descent on Parachute

Lander Pose, $h=2.3 \mathrm{~km}, \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{1 0 8} \mathbf{~ m i n}$
Yaw Despin, $h=2.3 \mathrm{~km}, \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{1 0 9} \mathbf{~ m i n}$


LaRC - NASA Langley Research Center
APL - Applied Physics Laboratory
JPL - Jet Propulsion Laboratory
Ames - NASA Ames Research Center Lockheed - Lockheed Martin Space Company
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## Titan EDL Compared to Mars EDL

- Titan: Taller/denser atmosphere and lower gravity leads to much longer descent time under parachute(s), > 1.5 hours versus $\sim 2$ minutes for Mars
- Results in thermal and power challenges
- Dragonfly: supersonic (drogue) parachute diameter is slightly larger than capsule diameter, unlike Mars (4-5X)
- Results in descent system dynamics challenges over a long duration
- Titan: larger scale height leads to insensitivity of aeroheating environments to entry flight path angle range, unlike Mars

|  | Titan | Mars |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height of Atmosphere <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ | $\sim 1270$ | $\sim 125$ |
| Density at surface <br> (compared to Earth) | 4 X | $<0.02 \mathrm{X}$ |
| Temperature at surface <br> $(\mathrm{K})$ | $\sim 94$ | $\sim 200$ |
| Scale Height (km) | $\sim 40$ | $\sim 7$ |
| Surface gravity (Earth g) | 0.14 | 0.38 |
| Atmosphere (by mass) | $98 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}+$ <br> $2 \% \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $96 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}+$ <br> $2 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}+2 \% \mathrm{Ar}$ |
| Avg. distance to Earth <br> (millions of mi) | 800 | 140 |

Titan environment leads to EDL challenges due to atmosphere height/density/composition and lower gravity compared to Mars

## Titan Atmosphere Compared to Mars

Altitude vs. Density


Altitude vs. Temperature


MSL BET = Best Estimated Trajectory from onboard IMU + flush air data system
Titan atmosphere: taller/denser/colder atmosphere with larger scale height compared to Mars

Sample Dragonfly Trajectory Compared to MSL




Dynamic pressure max. is similar to Mars, but peak occurs at much higher altitude. Much longer time at subsonic conditions for Titan.

Sample Dragonfly Trajectory Compared to MSL

Altitude vs. Velocity


Altitude vs. Time


Titan EDL sequence is almost 2 hours compared to Mars " 7 minutes of terror"

## NASA <br> deling and Simulations



* El = entry interface

Aerodynamic Configurations

* Scales and times are approximate

| Capsule | Capsule on Drogue | Capsule on Main | Heatshield Separation | Stowed Lander in Backshell | Posed Lander in Backshell |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry to Mach 1.5 | Mach 1.5 to $7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Mach < 0.04 | 3.6 km |  | 2.7 m/s |
| $\mathrm{El}-10 \mathrm{~min}$ to El + 6 min | 90 min | 2 min | Main +2 min | 12 min | 11 min |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry Vehicle: <br> - Current Best Estimate | Mass: 2255 kg |  |  | $\dot{L}$ | $\underset{1}{1}$ |

- Current Best Estimate (CBE) Mass: 2255 kg
- Maximum Possible Value (MPV) Mass: 2500 kg
- Diameter: 4.5 m
- Sphere Cone Angle: 60
- Based on Genesis Sample Return Capsule
- Aerodatabase uses computational fluid dynamics (CFD), the Langley 12' low speed wind tunnel, and the Langley Transonic Dynamic Tunnel (TDT).
- Drogue Parachute
- 5.4 m Disk Gap Band (DGB)
- Deployed via mortar fire using a smart trigger to minimize the total angle off attack
- Main Parachute
- 16.55 m DGB
- Deployed using Drogue parachute at a specified altitude
- Chemical equilibrium with applications (CEA) is a NASA code that computes chemical equilibrium conditions and thermodynamic states for various scenarios, including processes occurring in a rocket engine and in a shock tube. CEA has been integrated with POST2 to provide thermodynamic and mass properties of an equilibrium reaction at every time step
- Source: NASA Glenn documentation and software release "Computer Program for Calculation of Complex Chemical Equilibrium Compositions and Applications II. Users Manual and Program Description" McBride, Gordon. 1996. NASA-RP-1311.
- Implementation: POST2 interface to CEA Fortran code (source code)
- Verification: Comparison of POST2 outputs with stand alone CEA code
- Uncertainties: None for CEA
- Inputs: Freestream properties, atmospheric composition, atmospheric relative velocity
- Outputs: Post-shock properties density, temperature, Mach, inputs to aeroheating calculations.
- Future improvements: Possible CEA future updates


## NASA <br> Aerothermal Indicators

- Implementation: C source code of curve fits for convective and radiative heating; incorporated with CEA
- Inputs:
- Free stream velocity and density
- Post shock Density, Temperature, and CN Mass Fraction (CEA)
- Outputs:
- Convective and radiative heating at 4 body points (Stagnation Point, Fore Shoulder, Aft Shoulder, and Edge of Aft Cover).
- Verification: Comparison against DPLR/NEQAIR results. Compared heating results and compared CEA values with A. Brandis
- Uncertainties: Dragonfly aerothermal design margins included into the fit
- Gaps: Anchored on 2100 and 2700 kg trajectories, not current design mass
- Improvements: Additional body points as needed, and updates to indicators based on DAC1b aerothermal simulations. The radiative heating margin may also be updated based on analysis of current EAST testing.

$$
q_{\text {conv }}=A \times\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{\left.\mathbf{3} \times \rho_{\infty}\right)^{B} \times\left(\frac{v_{\infty}}{\mathbf{1 0}^{3}}\right)^{C} \times\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{T}_{2}}{\mathbf{1 0}^{3}}\right)^{D}}\right.
$$

Updated DAC1 CFD Fit Coefficients

| Location | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stagnation Point | 0.29649 | 0.46144 | 2.80644 | 0.74544 |
| Forebody Shoulder | $9.96533 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0.83002 | 4.60919 | -0.17372 |
| Aft Shoulder | 0.11543 | 0.49693 | 1.48421 | 1.38858 |
| Aft Lid | 0.13921 | 0.56549 | 1.02911 | 1.54841 |



Updated DAC1 CFD Fit Coefficients

| Location | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stagnation Point | $2.08619 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.45819 | 6.83797 |
| Forebody Shoulder | $2.59984 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.35411 | 6.76723 |
| Aft Shoulder | $2.12678 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.32056 | 7.56926 |
| Aft Lid | $3.17503 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.35465 | 5.47184 |

## NASA <br> Representative Heating




## NASA <br> Representative Heating




## NASA <br> Requirements and EDL Metrics

| Requirement Description | Requirement Phase | Requirement Type | Requirement <br> Comparison/Units |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lateral Tipoff Rate Magnitude After CS Sep Entry Prep | max | $<3$ | $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |
| Peak Entry Load | Entry | $\max$ | $<12$ | g |
| Max Peak Heat Rate | Entry | $\max$ | $<310$ | $\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Peak Drogue Opening Load | Drogue Parachute | $99 \%$ | $<14700$ | N |
| Total AoA @ Drogue Chute Deploy | Drogue Parachute | $99 \%$ | $<15$ | deg |
| Time to Mach 1.4 | Drogue Parachute | $99 \%$ | $<10$ | s |
| High Altitude @ Main Deploy | Main Parachute | $1 \%$ | $>=3500$ | m |
| Low Altitude @ Main Deploy | Main Parachute | $99 \%$ | $<=4500$ | m |
| Altitude @ Lander Release | Prep for Powered Flight | $1 \%$ | $>1200$ | m |
| Down Atm. Rel. Velocity @ Lander Release Prep for Powered Flight | $99 \%$ | $<2.9$ | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |
| Total Descent Time from Atm Interface | Entry | $99 \%$ | $<7200$ | s |

## Interpreting EDL Performance Charts



## Entry Heating

- Peak Entry Heating < 310 W/cm ${ }^{2}$ (99\%-tile)



Requirements and EDL Metrics Compliance

| Constraint Name | Requirement Type | Value | Units | $\begin{array}{c}\text { MPV } \\ \text { Primary }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { MPV } \\ \text { Back Up }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Back Up |  |  |  |  |  |$]$

- Both cruise stage separation and total descent time for CBE will be designed based on updated mass properties - expect no failures as MPV and CBE converge in Phase C


## Landing Site Targeting

- Mission Design and EDL teams work to design reference trajectory "center point" of lander separation target at the EDL handoff point
- Titan lat/long $=3.7 \mathrm{~N}, 161.8 \mathrm{E}$ at a reference altitude of 1200 m .
- Target region is defined as follows: $95 \%$ of lander separation points shall be in the box ( $95 \%$ is advocated as criterion rather than $99 \%$ since boundaries are not 'sudden death', but merely degraded scenarios/higher risk)

1. Lat $>3.0 \mathrm{~N}$ (further south requires $>176 \mathrm{~km}$ traverse to Selk center. Could waive this limit if traverse range were not a concern)
2. Lat <4.5 N (further north entails high probability of ejecta blanket/rough terrain)
3. Lon > 159.5 E (further West takes us into terra incognita. Most probably dunes, but
 no useful radar data to confirm)
4. Lon < 162.5 E (further East goes into poorer radar data, and increases required traverse range)

EDL Assembly PDR June 2022

## Lander Separation Footprint

Dragenfly EDL Assessment

## Lander Separation - 95\% Confidence

## - Requirement:

- The Ground System shall be designed such that 95\% of the lander separation points are within a box on Titan's surface defined by: 3.0 N < latitude $<4.5 \mathrm{~N}$, 159.5 E < longitude < 162.5 E.
- All four baseline scenarios meet this requirement



## Summary \& Future Work

- Current trajectory design meets all aerothermal requirements with margin against all uncertainties modeled through Monte Carlo analysis
- Expected new model deliveries
- Yaw-despin controller from APL mobility
- Low subsonic aerodynamics from TDT testing
- Capsule drop test data
- Parachute models from subcontractor
- IMU and Flight software from APL G\&C
- Model verification
- New analysis
- Investigation of lander separation trigger update
- Incorporation of despin controller and actuation in POST2
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$\Delta \mathrm{V}$ = Deep Space Maneuver (DSM) EGA = Earth Gravity Assist
3 = Near 3:1 resonance with Earth (3 yrs) $+=$ post perihelion EGA
- Launch: $06 / 20 / 2027, \mathrm{C} 3=55.0 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$
- EGA: 08/17/2030, Alt $=472.5 \mathrm{~km}$
- Titan Arr.: 11/26/2033
$\triangle$ Maneuvers


## Launch Period

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Prime: } & 6 / 20 / 27 & --7 / 10 / 27 \\ \text { Backup: } 7 / 4 / 28 & --7 / 24 / 28\end{array}$
ckup: 7/4/28 -- 7/24/28

|  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\square R A G}{\wedge N F L Y}$ | Launch $6 / 21 / 27$ | DSM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EGA } \\ & 08 / 17 / 30 \end{aligned}$ | Titan Arrival 11/26/33 | 11/18/2021 | 23 |

- Entry Vehicle:
- Current Best Estimate (CBE) Mass: 2255 kg
- Maximum Possible Value (MPV) Mass: 2500 kg
- Diameter: 4.5 m
- Sphere Cone Angle: 60
- Based on Genesis Sample Return Capsule
- Aerodatabase is updated using computational fluid dynamics (CFD), the Langley 12 ' low speed wind tunnel, and the Langley Transonic Dynamic Tunnel (TDT).
- Drogue Parachute
- 5.4m Disk Gap Band (DGB)
- Deployed via mortar fire using a smart trigger to minimize the total angle off attack
- Main Parachute

- 16.55 m DGB
- Deployed using Drogue parachute at a specified altitude
- Gravity Modeling
- $4 \times 4$ spherical harmonics provided by JPL/APL
- Atmosphere and Wind Modeling
- Using Yelle Model [1] based on Hyugens data
- Wind and Gust models provided by Mission Architect based on Hyugens data.
- Titan's atmospheric density scale height is much larger ( $\sim 40 \mathrm{~km}$ ) than Earth and Mars ( $\sim 7 \mathrm{~km}$ ) which makes the aerothermal story unique.

[1] Yelle, Roger V. et al. "Engineering Models for Titan ' s Atmosphere.", 1998.
- The Program to Optimize Simulated Trajectories II (POST2) is a generalized point mass, discrete parameter targeting and optimization program. POST2 provides the capability to target and optimize point mass trajectories for multiple powered or un-powered vehicles near an arbitrary rotating, oblate planet. POST2 has been used successfully to solve a wide variety of atmospheric ascent and entry problems, as well as exoatmospheric orbital transfer problems. The generality of the program is evidenced by its multiple phase simulation capability which features generalized planet and vehicle models. This flexible simulation capability is augmented by an efficient discrete parameter optimization capability that includes equality and inequality constraints. POST2 supports NASA's Strategic Goal to expand the frontiers of knowledge, capability, and opportunity in space by directly contributing to expanding our human and robotic presence into the solar system and to the surface of Mars as well as other planetary bodies.

- https://www.nasa.gov/post2


## Margin Relative to Requirements

- Expressing margin to



## Peak Entry Deceleration

- Metric
- Peak Entry Deceleration < 12 g's (99\%)


