

Force and Moment Analysis for the High Reynolds Number Wind Tunnel Test of the Space Launch System at Ascent Conditions

Patrick R. Shea, David T. Chan, Morgan A. Walker, Sarah L. Langston, Jesse G. Collins, Lee J. Mears, Elizabeth F. Rieken, and Jeremy T. Pinier

2023 AIAA SciTech Forum – National Harbor, MD January 23, 2023

This material is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States

100

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Outline



- Background
- Test facility and experimental setup*
- Data corrections and response surface modeling
- Reynolds number effects
 - Force and moment coefficients
 - Surface pressure coefficients
- Summary and conclusions

*More details can be found in the companion paper by David Chan, et al., "Overview of the High Reynolds Number Ascent Wind Tunnel Test of the Space Launch System at the National Transonic Facility" AIAA Paper 2023-0425

Background





- Wind tunnels typically used for SLS testing are limited to diameter-based Reynolds numbers (Re_D) 1-2 orders of magnitude lower than flight conditions
- CFD simulations at ground test and flight conditions have been only data available to assess and account for Reynolds number effects
- Objectives
 - Assess the aerodynamics of the SLS Block 1
 Cargo for the largest range of Reynolds numbers
 obtainable at the National Transonic Facility
 - Obtain six-component aerodynamic force and moment data
 - Obtain static surface pressure data



NASA Langley National Transonic Facility

- Cryogenic test facility
 - Closed-circuit
 - Continuous flow
- Test section
 - 8.2 feet x 8.2 feet x 25 feet
 - Slotted floor and ceiling
 - 6% open
- Air mode capabilities
 - Mach 0.1 to 1.10
 - Maximum $\text{Re}_{\infty} = 20 \times 10^6/\text{ft}$
 - 80°F to 130°F
- Nitrogen mode capabilities
 - Mach 0.1 to 1.20
 - Maximum Re_{∞} = 145x10⁶/ft
 - -250°F to 80°F





Experimental Setup

- 1.75% scale SLS Block 1 Cargo test article
 - As large as possible to allow for detailed protuberances
 - Small enough to stay under 0.5% blockage ratio recommended by NTF
- Test section installation
 - Arc sector
 - Roll mechanism
 - Sting mounted
- Instrumentation
 - Internal six-component balance
 - 124 pressure ports
 - Base and cavity pressures
 - Surface pressures
- Boundary layer tripping
 - Air mode ($Re_D < 4x10^6$)
 - Free transition: Trip = 0
 - Trip dot rings: Trip = 1
 - Nitrogen mode ($Re_D \ge 4x10^6$)
 - Trip dot rings: Trip = 2







Data Corrections

NASA

- Standard facility corrections
 - Flow angularity
 - Transonic Wall Interference Correction System (TWICS)
 - Mach
 - Dynamic pressure
 - Pitch angle
 - Lift, drag, and pitching moment
- Base and cavity corrections
 - Base pressure environment in wind tunnel does not represent flight conditions
 - Corrections computed using base and cavity pressures
 - Axial force and yawing moment
- Mach setpoint
 - Small changes in Mach number can have large impacts on axial force
 - Axial force correction accounts for difference between nominal and actual Mach setpoint
- Roll-dependent bias
 - Standard SLS correction when using pitch-roll model positioning
 - Accounts for minor systematic biases that are measured by rolling the model at 0° angle of attack
 - Correction applied to all forces and moments

Response Surface Modeling



- Response surfaces used to help simplify Reynolds number effect comparisons
- Response surfaces computed using thin-plate splines in Matlab
 - All relevant repeat data used for generating response surfaces
 - Response surface not required to pass through training data
 - Two inputs
 - Angle of attack (α)
 - Sideslip angle (β)
 - One output
 - Single force or moment coefficient
 - Unique response surfaces computed for each Mach and Reynolds number
- Uncertainty quantification
 - Balance accuracy
 - Calculated as part of balance calibration prior to test
 - Modeling and repeatability
 - Computed as the difference between acquired data and response surfaces
 - Balance and modeling error root-sum-squared for combined uncertainty
 - 95% confidence bounds used as error bars on figures to follow

Response Surface Example

 \square Run = 179 $\operatorname{Run} = 263$

 \triangle Run = 364 ∇ Run = 368

0

- Pitch sweeps
- $Re_D = 30x10^6$
- Mach = 0.90



Reynolds Number Effects on Force and Moment Coefficients

- Longitudinal coefficients
- Mach = 0.90
- Legend Re_D in millions (x10⁶)
- Trip dot configurations
 - 0 Free transition
 - 1 Air mode sizing
 - 2 Nitrogen mode sizing
- Key findings
 - No significant Re_{D} effect on normal force coefficient C_{N}
 - Significant Re_D effect on pitching moment coefficient C_m
 - Re_{D} trends potentially continue for Re_{D} greater than 40×10^6
 - Effects seen across entire pitch range



Reynolds Number Effects on Force and Moment Coefficients

- Lateral coefficients
- Mach = 0.90
- Legend Re_D in millions (x10⁶)
- Trip dot configurations
 - 0 Free transition
 - 1 Air mode sizing
 - 2 Nitrogen mode sizing
- Key findings
 - No significant Re_D effect on side force coefficient C_Y
 - Significant Re_{D} effect on yawing moment coefficient C_n
 - Re_D trends potentially continue for Re_D greater than 40×10^6
 - Larger impact at higher sideslip angles



Reynolds Number Effects on Axial Force Coefficient

- $\alpha = \beta = 0^{\circ}$
- Legend Re_D in millions (x10⁶)
- Trip dot configurations
 - 0 Free transition
 - 1 Air mode sizing
 - 2 Nitrogen mode sizing
- Key findings
 - Significant Re_D effect on axial force coefficient
 - Effects are Mach-dependent
 - Maximum effect seen by $Re_D = 10x10^6$
 - Extrapolating results past Mach 1.0 might not give meaningful estimations



Reynolds Number Effects on Pressure Coefficients

- α = 0°
- β = 0°
- Mach = 0.90
- $\Delta C_p = C_{p,ReD=30} C_{p,ReD=2}$
- Key findings
 - Large difference seen on cargo fairing shoulder likely due to shift in lambda shock footprint
 - Large differences seen near SRB forward attach hardware
 - Differences are generally symmetric between top and bottom of vehicle







Reynolds Number Effects on Pressure Coefficients

- $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ (Blue) and $\alpha = -4^{\circ}$ (Orange)
- β = 0°
- Mach = 0.90
- $\Delta C_p = C_{p,ReD=30} C_{p,ReD=2}$
- Key findings
 - Large differences seen near SRB forward attach hardware
 - Top and bottom asymmetry now evident in pressure coefficient difference
 - Change in wake development near SRB likely impacts pitching moment due to effects localized to aft half of the model







 ΔC_n

Reynolds Number Effects on Pressure Coefficients

- α = 0°
- $\beta = 0^{\circ}$ (Blue) and $\beta = -7^{\circ}$ (Orange)
- Mach = 0.90
- $\Delta C_p = C_{p,ReD=30} C_{p,ReD=2}$
- Key findings
 - Large differences seen near SRB forward attach hardware
 - Left and right asymmetry now evident in pressure coefficient difference
 - Change in wake development near SRB likely impacts yawing moment due to effects localized to aft half of the model





Summary and Conclusions



- SLS Block 1 Cargo vehicle tested at NASA Langley National Transonic Facility
 - Re_{D} ranging from 2x10⁶ to 40x10⁶
 - Mach numbers ranging from 0.50 to 0.95
- Force and moment coefficients
 - Normal and side force coefficients had no measurable sensitivity to Re_D
 - Pitching and yawing moment coefficients were sensitive to Re_D
 - Largest effects at higher angles of attack and sideslip
 - No threshold seen indicating Re_D effects may increase past 40x10⁶
 - Axial force coefficient sensitive to Re_D
 - Axial force coefficient generally decreased at higher Re_D
 - Re_D effect threshold reached by $10x10^6$ for Mach 0.80 and 0.90
 - Re_D effect trend started to reverse at Mach 0.95
- Pressure coefficients
 - SRB forward attach hardware showed high sensitivity to Re_D
 - Flow state at forward attach point influences flow field development for aft half of vehicle