Highly structured slow solar wind emerging from an equatorial coronal hole

3

4 S. D. Bale^{1,2,3,4*}, S. T. Badman^{1,2}, J. W. Bonnell¹, T. A. Bowen¹, D. Burgess⁴, A. W. Case⁵, C. A.

5 Cattell⁶, B. D. G. Chandran^{7,8}, C. C. Chaston¹, C. H. K. Chen⁴, J. F. Drake^{9,10,11}, T. Dudok de

- 6 Wit¹², J. P. Eastwood³, R. E. Ergun¹³, W. M. Farrell¹⁴, C. Fong^{1,2}, K. Goetz⁶, M. Goldstein^{15,16}
- 7 K. A. Goodrich¹, P. R. Harvey¹, T. S. Horbury³, G. G. Howes¹⁷, J. C. Kasper^{18,5}, P. J. Kellogg⁶,
- J. A. Klimchuk¹⁹, K. E. Korreck⁵, V. V. Krasnoselskikh¹², S. Krucker^{1,20}, R. Laker³, D. E.
- 9 Larson¹, R. J. MacDowall¹⁴, M. Maksimovic²¹, D. M. Malaspina¹³, J. Martinez-Oliveros¹, D. J.
- 10 McComas²², N. Meyer-Vernet²¹, M. Moncuquet²¹, F. S. Mozer¹, T. D. Phan¹, M. Pulupa¹, N. E.
- Raouafi²³, C. Salem¹, D. Stansby³, M. Stevens⁵, A. Szabo¹⁹, M. Velli²⁴, T. Woolley³, J. R.
 Wygant⁶
- 13
- 14 ¹Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-7450, USA
- ²Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-7300, USA
- ³The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
- ⁴School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, UK
- 18 ⁵Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, MA 02138 USA
- 19 ⁶School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 20 ⁷Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA
- 21 ⁸Space Science Center, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA
- 22 ⁹Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- 23 ¹⁰Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- 24 ¹¹Joint Space Science Institute, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- 25 ¹²LPC2E, CNRS and University of Orléans, Orléans, France
- 26 ¹³Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80303, USA
- 27 ¹⁴Code 695, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 28 ¹⁵Goddard Planetary Heliophysics Institute, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA
- 29 ¹⁶Code 672, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 30 ¹⁷Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
- 31 ¹⁸Climate and Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- 32 ¹⁹Heliophysics Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 33 ²⁰University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, 5210 Windisch, Switzerland
- 34 ²¹LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 92195 Meudon, France
- 35 ²²Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- 36 ²³Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD, USA
- ²⁴Department of Earth, Planetary, and Space Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
 38
- 39 * email: bale@berkeley.edu

40 At solar minimum, the solar wind^{1,2} is observed at high solar latitudes as a predominantly fast

- 41 (> 500 km/s), highly Alfvenic, rarefied stream of plasma originating deep within coronal holes,
- 42 while near the ecliptic plane it is interspersed with a more variable slow (< 500 kms) wind³.

43 The precise origins of the slow wind streams are less certain⁴, with theories and observations

44 supporting sources from the tips of helmet streamers^{5,6}, interchange reconnection near

45 coronal hole boundaries^{7,8}, and origins within coronal holes with highly diverging magnetic fields^{9,10}. The heating mechanism required to drive the solar wind is also an open question 46 and candidate mechanisms include Alfven wave turbulence^{11,12}, heating by reconnection in 47 nanoflares¹³, ion cyclotron wave heating¹⁴ and acceleration by thermal gradients¹. At 1 au, 48 the wind is mixed and evolved and much of the diagnostic structure of these sources and 49 processes has been lost. Here we present new measurements from Parker Solar Probe¹⁵ at 36 50 51 to 54 solar radii that show clear evidence of slow, Alfvenic solar wind emerging from a small 52 equatorial coronal hole. The measured magnetic field exhibits patches of large, intermittent reversals associated with jets of plasma and enhanced Poynting flux and interspersed in a 53 smoother and less turbulent flow with near-radial magnetic field. Furthermore, plasma wave 54 measurements suggest electron and ion velocity-space micro-instabilities^{16,10} that have been 55 56 identified with plasma heating and thermalization processes. Our measurements suggest an 57 impulsive mechanism associated with solar wind energization and a heating role for microinstabilities and provide strong evidence for low latitude coronal holes as a significant 58 contribution to the source of the slow solar wind. 59

60

Magnetic Field Structure: The first solar encounter (E1) of Parker Solar Probe occurred during solar minimum, the spacecraft orbit remained within 5° of the heliographic solar equator and unlike any previous spacecraft, was co-rotational with the Sun for two intervals surrounding perihelion. Figure 1 summarizes the radial magnetic field (B_R) structure observed by the FIELDS experiment¹⁷ for a six-week time interval centered on perihelion (November 6, 2018). Panel (a) shows 1 second cadence measurements of B_R (see Methods) which show the overall 1/r² behavior expected from simple flux-conservation arguments¹⁸ as PSP's heliocentric distance 68varied along its eccentric orbit. Upon this background, dramatic and unexpected rapid polarity69reversals of order $\delta B_R/|B| \sim 1$ are superposed. One-hour statistical modes (most probable value –70see Methods) of B_R in Fig. 1b remove the transient polarity inversions and reveal the large-scale71magnetic structure. Time series predictions of B_R generated from the simple, but widely used,72Potential Field Source Surface (PFSS) model^{19,20,21} are shown for comparison in black and green.73The implementation of this model and the procedure to connect it to the location of PSP and74generate time series is discussed in the Methods section.

75

76 PFSS is a zero-current force free model of the global solar corona, meaning it assumes magnetic 77 pressure dominates over gas pressure (low plasma beta) to such an extent that the problem reduces to magnetostatics, giving a solution of a static field configuration which rigidly corotates 78 79 with the sun. The role of gas dynamics is approximated by requiring the tangential field vanishes at a spherical "source surface" of some radius Rss, which simulates how the outflowing solar 80 81 wind drags the field lines out into the heliosphere. The magnetostatic approximation limits the 82 accuracy and applicability of the model. Nevertheless, PFSS is widely used as a computationally tractable first approximation and forms the basis for the more sophisticated models^{21,22}. We note 83 that PSP E1 took place very close to solar minimum, with low solar activity low, reducing the 84 85 impact of non-potential transient events and active regions.

In Fig 1b, two model evaluations are shown with $R_{SS} = 2.0 \text{ Rs}$ (green) and $R_{SS} = 1.2 \text{Rs}$ (black respectively. In both cases R_{SS} is well below the canonical value²³ but is necessary to provide good agreement for all model inputs (see Methods) and is not without precedent^{24,25}. Model comparison reveals an overall very good agreement for both models, but also shows the polarity inversions at features A and C are washed out except with the lower source surface height (black

91 line). Meanwhile the timing of feature G is better captured with the higher source surface height (green), illustrating the difficulty PFSS has with assuming a *single* source surface height and 92 supports previous findings of a varying "true" source surface height^{25,26}. Finally, Fig 1. (c) and 93 (d) depict field line mappings derived from the same PFSS models shown in panel (b) to connect 94 95 the spacecraft down to the lower corona to establish context for the *in situ* measurements. The 96 spacecraft trajectory is shown projected onto the source surface colored by its measured polarity. The background is a synoptic map of EUV emission in the 171Å wavelength for which dark 97 regions imply lower density plasma and the likely location of open magnetic field lines. This 98 background is shown in isolation in Extended Data Figure 4 along with its corresponding map 99 100 for the 193Å wavelength for the readers reference. The neutral lines derived from the PFSS 101 models are shown as single contours in the same color as their time series in Fig. 1(b). Panel (c) shows how the neutral line topology explains the polarity inversions measured by PSP. Panel (d) 102 zooms in to the 2-week interval closest to perihelion (330° longitude). During the entire 2-week 103 104 co-rotation loop period, PSP remained connected to a small, negative polarity, isolated equatorial 105 coronal hole, suggesting the rapid magnetic field polarity reversals seen in Fig. 1a are magnetic 106 structures emerging from this coronal hole and sweeping past the PSP spacecraft. Extended Data Figure 5 indicates the configuration schematically. For most of this interval, SWEAP²⁷ 107 108 measurements of the solar wind velocity indicated an Alfvenic slow wind stream (see Fig 2. 109 below), suggesting a significant slow wind source rooted in equatorial coronal holes at the Sun. Polarity inversions B and E are associated with (transient) fluxrope and coronal mass ejection²⁸ 110 events, respectively. 111 112

Alfvenic Fluctuations and Plasma Jets: Time series magnetic field and velocity structures
 show the correlations (Fig. 2c, 2d, and 2e) expected of propagating Alfvén waves²⁹, especially

115 during the quiet, radial field intervals. The δB_R polarity reversal intervals show enhanced radial 116 wind velocity (Fig. 2e) and the Alfvénic correlations (δv to δB) within the polarity inversions and jets suggest that these structures may be interpreted as large amplitude, 3D Alfvénic 117 118 structures convected away from the Sun. As a simple measure, statistics of zero-crossings (polarity reversals – see Methods) show that $\sim 6\%$ of the temporal duration of E1 is comprised of 119 jets, so defined. Many jet intervals show signatures of compressibility (Fig. 2a), in this case 120 121 anti-correlated plasma density ne and magnetic field magnitude |B| suggesting slow-mode or pressure-balanced behavior³⁰. While isolated Alfvénic features associated with magnetic field 122 reversals have been identified at 60 Rs^{31} , near 1 au³² and in the polar heliosphere by Ulysses³³, at 123 those greater distances little or no compressive signatures were present. It has been suggested³⁴ 124 that these magnetic structures could be signatures of impulsive reconnection events in the Sun's 125 atmosphere³⁵; simulations³⁶ show qualitative similarities to the E1 events but do not reproduce 126 127 the observed magnetic field reversals past 90°.

128

129 Alfvénic structures and waves have long been considered to be an important energy source for 130 the wind^{11,12}. The radial Poynting flux $S_R = ExB/\mu_0$ (see Methods) in the spacecraft frame (Fig. 131 2b) is ~10% of the kinetic energy flux (blue curve) and shows enhancements during the jet 132 intervals, suggesting that these plasma jets may impart energy to the emerging solar wind. As 133 seen in Fig. 1a and Fig. 2e, the plasma jets appear to be clustered and interspersed in an 134 otherwise quiet solar wind flow with prominently radial magnetic field.

Micro-instabilities and Turbulence: The quiet radial flow intervals contain plasma waves
consistent with expectations of micro-instabilities associated with ion¹⁴ and electron¹⁶ velocityspace structure (Fig. 3). The electric field spectrum from ~11 to ~1688 kHz, shows signatures of

plasma quasi-thermal noise³⁷ (Fig. 3a) at the electron plasma frequency f_{pe} (used to estimate the
total plasma density in Fig. 2a). Intense bursts of narrowband, electrostatic Langmuir waves
(Fig. 3a) occur throughout the perihelion encounter; narrowband Langmuir waves are driven by
electron beams and damp rapidly, suggesting the presence of an intermittent, local population of
electron beams.

143

144 The electric field spectrum (Fig. 3b) from 0.3 to ~75 kHz shows intermittent bursts of electrostatic whistler wave activity, peaked in power below the electron gyrofrequency fce. Also 145 146 present are waves containing harmonic structure consistent with electron Bernstein wave emission. Electrostatic whistler/Bernstein bursts¹⁶ are generated by features in the electron 147 148 velocity distribution function $f_e(v)$ and are not observed in the solar wind at 1 au. Here they occur only in the quiet radial field intervals. A wavelet spectrogram (divided by $P_{K} \sim f^{5/3}$) of 149 150 search coil magnetometer and fluxgate magnetometer data in Fig. 3c shows the spectral content 151 of the magnetic field to ~146 Hz. A spectral break between 1-10 Hz (in the spacecraft frame) is 152 highly variable and associated with the transition from a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulent cascade to dissipation and/or dispersion ranges at ion kinetic length scales³⁸. Note that 153 overall turbulent levels are lower and more intermittent in the quiet radial wind (Fig. 3c and Fig. 154 4a). The spectrum of magnetic helicity σ_m^{39} in Fig. 3d indicates intervals of large (1 > σ_m > 0.5 155 in red, $-0.5 < \sigma_m < -1$ in blue) circular polarization often associated with ion cyclotron (IC) 156 waves⁰⁴⁰. These ion wave events are apparent during quiet, radial field intervals. 157 158 159 The (trace) magnetic field spectra (see Methods), averaged over 30 minutes (upper panel Fig. 4), 160 show broken power-law behavior, with spectral indices roughly comparable to the -5/3 and -8/3 predictions for MHD and kinetic scale turbulence³⁸, respectively. This suggests that by 36.6 Rs, 161

162 the solar wind has already developed a turbulent cascade to transport energy from large scale 163 motions to the micro-scales where it can be dissipated. In the radial quiet wind (blue), where the turbulence level is significantly lower, an enhancement of wave power near the ion cyclotron 164 frequency is observed. In the active jet wind (black), a steep spectrum is seen at the plasma ion 165 166 inertial and gyroscales, indicating a transition to kinetic range turbulence and possibly the 167 dissipation of turbulent energy to heat the solar wind as it expands to fill the heliosphere. In both types of wind, the power levels are several orders of magnitude larger than at 1 au. The 168 magnetic compressibility⁴¹, defined as $C_{bb} = (\delta |B|/|\delta B|)^2$ shows an increase at high 169 170 frequencies as expected for kinetic range turbulence (lower panel Fig. 4). At low frequencies, the compressibility is larger in jet wind than in quiet wind, but remains small, $C_{bb} \ll 0.1$, 171 indicating that jet fluctuations have an enhanced compressible component but are still 172 predominantly Alfvenic⁴¹. In the quiet wind, the band of enhanced power near the cyclotron 173 174 frequency has a reduced magnetic compressibility as expected for quasi-parallel ion cyclotron waves⁴⁰. 175 176 PSP Encounter 1 reveals a more structured and dynamic solar wind than is seen at 1 au, with 177 impulsive, magnetic-field reversals and plasma jets embedded in a quiet radial wind emerging 178 from a small equatorial coronal hole. As PSP goes to lower altitudes, eventually to 9.8 Rs, 179 during the upcoming solar maximum, we expect to descend below the Alfvén surface and measure the interface between the corona and the solar wind for the first time. 180 181 182 Data Availability The data used in this study are available from November 12, 2019 at the NASA Space 183 Physics Data Facility (SPDF). 184 References 185

186

¹Parker, E. N., Dynamics of the Interplanetary Gas and Magnetic Fields., *The Astrophysical Journal*, 128,
664., 1958.

- ²Neugebauer, M. and Snyder, C. W., Solar Plasma Experiment., *Science*, 138, 109, 1962.
- ³McComas, D. J., et al., Weaker solar wind from the polar coronal holes and the whole Sun. *Geophysical*
- **191** *Research Letters* **35**, L18103, 2008.
- ⁴Abbo, L., et al., Slow Solar Wind: Observations and Modeling. *Space Science Reviews*, 201, 55, 2016
- 193 ⁵Lapenta, G., and Knoll, D.A., Effect of a Converging Flow at the Streamer Cusp on the Genesis of the
- 194 Slow Solar Wind., *The Astrophysical Journal*, 624, 1049, 2005.
- ⁶Einaudi, G., Boncinelli, P., Dahlburg, R.B., and Karpen, J.T., 1999, Formation of the slow solar wind in
- **196** a coronal streamer., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 521, 1999.
- ⁷Fisk, L.A., and Schwadron, N.A., The Behavior of the Open Magnetic Field of the Sun., *The*
- **198** *Astrophysical Journal*, 560, 425, 2001.
- ⁸Antiochos, S.K., Mikic, Z., Titov, V.S., Lionello, R., and Linker, J.A., A Model for the Sources of the
- 200 Slow Solar Wind., *The Astrophysical Journal*, 731, 112, 2011.
- ⁹Wang Y.-M. and N. R. Sheeley, On potential field models of the solar corona., *Astrophysical Journal*,
- v.392, p.310, 1992.
- 203 ¹⁰Cranmer, S.R.: 2009, Coronal Holes., *Living Reviews in Solar Physics*, 6, 3, 2009.
- 204 ¹¹Hollweg, J.V., and Johnson, W., Transition region, corona, and solar wind in coronal holes: Some two-
- 205 fluid models., J. Geophys. Res., 93, 9547, 1988.
- 206 ¹²Verdini, A., Velli, M., Matthaeus, W.H., Oughton, S., and Dmitruk, P., A Turbulence-Driven Model for
- Heating and Acceleration of the Fast Wind in Coronal Holes., *The Astrophysical Journal*, 708, L116,
- **208** 2010.
- 209 ¹³Parker, E.N., Heating Solar Coronal Holes., *The Astrophysical Journal*, 372, 719, 1991.
- 210 ¹⁴Cranmer, S.R., Field, G.B., and Kohl, J.L., Spectroscopic Constraints on Models of Ion Cyclotron
- 211 Resonance Heating in the Polar Solar Corona and High-Speed Solar Wind., *The Astrophysical Journal*,
- **212** 518, 937, 1999.
- 213 ¹⁵Fox, N.J., et al., The Solar Probe Plus mission: Humanity's first visit to our star., *Space Sci Rev*,
- **214** 204: 7, 2016
- 215 ¹⁶Breneman, A. W., et al, STEREO and Wind observations of intense cyclotron harmonic waves at the
- Earth's bow shock and inside the magnetosheath., J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, 7654–7664,
- **217** 2013.
- ¹⁷Bale, S.D, et al., The FIELDS Instrument Suite for Solar Probe Plus., *Space Sci Rev*, 204: 49, 2016.
- ¹⁸Parker, E. N., Dynamical Theory of the Solar Wind, *Space Science Reviews*, Volume 4, Issue 5-6, pp.
- **220** 666-708, 1965
- ¹⁹Altschuler, M. D. and G. Newkirk, Magnetic Fields and Structure of the Solar Corona: 1. Methods of
- 222 Calculating Coronal Fields., *Solar Physics*, Volume 9, Issue 1, pp.131-149, 1969.

- 223 ²⁰Schatten, K. H., J. M. Wilcox, and N. F. Ness, A model of interplanetary and coronal magnetic fields.,
- **224** *Solar Physics*, 6:442-455, March 1969.
- 225 ²¹Arge, C. N. and V. J. Pizzo, Improvement in the prediction of solar wind conditions using near-real time
- solar magnetic field updates., *J Geophys. Res: Space Physics*, 105(A5):10465-10479, 2000.
- 227 ²²Riley, P., J. A. Linker, Z. Mikic, R. Lionello, S. A. Ledvina, and J. G. Luhmann, A Comparison
- 228 between Global Solar Magnetohydrodynamic and Potential Field Source Surface Model Results., *The*
- *Astrophysical Journal*, 653:1510-1516, 2006.
- 230 ²³Hoeksema, J. T., Structure and evolution of the large scale solar and heliospheric magnetic fields.,
- **231** PhD Thesis, Stanford University, 1984.
- ²³² ²⁴Lee, C. O., et al, Coronal Field Opens at Lower Height During the Solar Cycles 22 and 23 Minimum
- 233 Periods: IMF Comparison Suggests the Source Surface Should Be Lowered., Solar Physics, 269:367-388,
- **234** 2011.
- 235 ²⁵Riley P., Downs C., Linker J. A., Mikic, Z, Lionello R., Caplan R. M., Predicting the Structure of the
- 236 Solar Corona and Inner Heliosphere during Parker Solar Probe's First Perihelion Pass., AAS, 874:L15,
- **237** 2019
- ²⁶Levine, R. H., M. D. Altschuler, J. W. Harvey, and B. V. Jackson, Open magnetic structures on the
 Sun., *Astrophysical Journal*, Part 1, vol. 215, p. 636-651, 1977.
- 240 ²⁷Kasper, J.C., et al. Solar Wind Electrons Alphas and Protons (SWEAP) Investigation., *Space Sci*
- **241** *Rev*, 204: 131, 2016.
- ²⁸McComas et al., Energetic particle environment near the Sun from Parker Solar Probe., *Nature*, this
 issue, 2019
- ²⁹Belcher, J. W., and Davis, L., Large-amplitude Alfvén waves in the interplanetary medium, 2., J.
- 245 Geophys. Res., 76 (16), 3534–3563, 1971
- ³⁰G. G. Howes *et al*, The slow mode nature of compressible wave power in solar wind turbulence., *The*
- 247 Astrophysical Journal Letters 753 L19, 2012
- 248 ³¹Horbury et al., Short, large-amplitude speed enhancements in the near-Sun fast solar wind., Monthly
- 249 Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Volume 478, Issue 2, p.1980-1986, 2018
- 250 ³²Gosling, J. T., H. Tian, & T. D. Phan, Pulsed Alfven waves in the solar wind., *The Astrophysical*
- **251** *Journal Letters* **737**L35, 2011
- 252 ³³Balogh, A., R. J. Forsyth, E. A. Lucek, T. S. Horbury, and E. J. Smith, Heliospheric magnetic field
- polarity inversions at high heliographic latitudes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 631–634, 1999.
- 254 ³⁴Yamauchi, Y., R. L. Moore, S. T. Suess, H. Wang, and T. Sakurai, The magnetic structure of ha
- 255 macrospicules in solar coronal holes., *Astrophys. J.*, 605, 511 520, 2004.

- 256 ³⁵Raouafi, N. E. and G. Stenborg, Role of transients in the sustainability of solar coronal plumes., *The*
- 257 Astrophysical Journal, Volume 787, Issue 2, article id. 118, 11, 2014.
- 258 ³⁶Merrill, R. A. *et al*, Simulated encounters of the Parker Solar Probe with a coronal-hole jet., *The*
- **259** *Astrophysical Journal* ,**866** 14, 2018.
- 260 ³⁷Meyer-Vernet, N., Issautier, K. & Moncuquet, M. Quasi-thermal noise spectroscopy: the art and the
- 261 practice., J. Geophys. Res. Space physics, 122, 7925-7945, 2017.
- 262 ³⁸A. A. Schekochihin *et al*, Astrophysical Gyrokinetics: kinetic and fluid turbulent cascades in
- 263 magnetized weakly collisional plasmas., *ApJS* **182** 310, 2009.
- ³⁹W. H. Matthaeus, M. L. Goldstein, and C. Smith, Evaluation of magnetic helicity in homogeneous
- 265 turbulence., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48, 1256, 1982.
- ⁴⁰L. K. Jian *et al*, Electromagnetic waves near the proton cyclotron frequency: STEREO
- 267 observations., *Astrophysical Journal*, 786 123, 2014.
- ⁴¹Bruno, R. & Carbone, V., The Solar Wind as a Turbulence Laboratory., *Living Rev. Sol. Phys.*, 10: 2.
- 269 <u>https://doi.org/10.12942/lrsp-2013-2</u>, 2013
- 270

271	Fig. 1. Radial magnetic field measurements are highly structured, map back to the Sun, and
272	are consistent with a low source surface. a. The measured radial magnetic field B_R is comprised
273	of the large-scale field, which scales as ${\sim}1/r^2$ (dotted lines) and rapid, large amplitude, ${\delta}B_R/ B {\sim}1$
274	polarity reversals associated with jets of plasma (Fig 2b). b . One-hour statistical modes of B_R (on
275	a bi-symmetric log plot) show the large-scale radial field colored for polarity (red=outward,
276	blue=inward). Predicted radial field profiles from a PFSS model are over-plotted using a source
277	surface height $R_{SS} = 1.2 R_S$ (black curve, unscaled) and 2.0 R_S (green curve, multiplied by a factor
278	of 6.5). R _{SS} at 1.2 R _S reproduces many of the measured polarity changes (labeled <i>A</i> , <i>C</i> , <i>F</i> , and G).
279	The $R_{SS} = 2.0 R_S$ model better predicts the timing of polarity inversion <i>G</i> (see Methods section).
280	Co-rotation CR1 and CR2 (green) and the perihelion PH (red) at 35.7 R_s are labeled. c. An EUV
281	synoptic map of 171Å (Fe IX) emission shows structure associated with active regions and lower
282	density plasma in coronal holes (darker regions). The PSP trajectory at the source surface is
283	superimposed, colored as above for measured field polarity. E1 begins at the orange diamond,
284	moves westward (in decreasing longitude) across the map through perihelion at \sim 330°, and ends at
285	the yellow diamond. A line shows the location of the model polarity inversion line (PIL) at the
286	source surface ($R_{SS} = 1.2$ is black, $R_{SS} = 2.0$ R_S is green). Red and blue colored squares indicate
287	the polarity either side of the PIL models. Red $(B_R > 0)$ and blue $(B_R < 0)$ lines map the magnetic
288	field from R_{SS} back to the photosphere for $R_{SS} = 2.0 R_S$; for $R_{SS} = 1.2 R_S$ the model field lines are
289	radial. d. The EUV map of the perihelion interval showing field lines mapping back to the Sun
290	into a small, equatorial coronal hole, and the location of the adjacent PIL associated with the
291	heliospheric current sheet, from the 2.0 Rs model.
292 293	Fig 2. Magnetic field reversals and plasma jets carry Poynting flux. a. Time series

measurements of magnetic field magnitude |B| (black) and total plasma density ne (blue) show anti correlation during jet events, consistent with MHD slow-mode behavior. b. Radial Poynting flux
 S_R (black) and ion kinetic energy flux F_p (blue) showing large enhancements during jet/field

297reversal events. c. Tangential (T) component of the magnetic field (black) and plasma velocity298(green) components showing Alfvenic fluctuations. d. The N component of magnetic field (black)299and plasma velocity (green). e. Radial magnetic field (black) and plasma velocity (green)300showing an interval of quiet, radial field and flow adjacent to magnetic structure associated with301jets of plasma. Measurements are made on ~00:00-03:00 on November 5, 2018 at ~36.6 Rs. The302Alfvén speed during the quiet interval is approximately $v_A \sim 100$ km/s.

303

304 Fig 3. Plasma wave activity near perihelion differs in quiet wind and jets. a. Spectral density 305 measurements of electric field fluctuations near the electron plasma frequency fpe show intense bursts of electrostatic Langmuir waves with intensities $\sim 10^2 - 10^4 \text{ V}^2/\text{Hz}$ above the thermal 306 background, suggesting the presence of electron beams. b. Electrostatic waves near the electron 307 308 cyclotron frequency f_{ce} (white dashed line) and its harmonics are often present in intervals of 309 ambient radial magnetic field, but not jet plasma c A wavelet spectrogram of the magnetic field 310 shows bursts of turbulent fluctuations with a distinct spectral break between 1-10 Hz associated 311 with transition to dissipation scales. d. Magnetic helicity (from the wavelet spectrogram) shows narrowband $f_{ci} < f < f_{ci} + V_R/V_A$ (the expected Doppler-shifted frequency - dashed lines) signatures 312 313 associated with ion cyclotron waves, again in quiet radial solar wind. e. The normalized radial 314 magnetic field $B_R/|B|$ shows distinct intervals of quiet wind with radial field, reduced turbulent 315 levels, and enhanced occurrence of electrostatic whistler and ion cyclotron instability. 316 Measurements are made on ~00:00-03:00 on November 5, 2018 at ~36.6 Rs.

317

Fig 4. Power spectral density and magnetic compressibility of magnetic field fluctuations in

quiet and jet wind. Thirty-minute integrated power spectra of fluctuations in quiet (blue) and jet
(black) solar wind conditions show the transition from MHD inertial range to dissipation and/or

321 dispersion range turbulence, here compared to spacecraft-frame frequency $f^{5/3}$ and $f^{8/3}$ power laws

322 (upper panel). The quiet wind spectrum (blue) shows enhanced power at near the ion cyclotron

323 frequency (f_{ci}) associated with enhanced magnetic helicity (Fig. 3e). The ratio of magnitude (|B|)

to Trace (B) spectra (lower panel) indicates enhanced magnetic compressibility during jet intervals
(black) compared to quiet wind (blue) up to the dissipation scale (-8/3 slope). The ion cyclotron
band corresponds to lower compressibility, as expected.

327

328

329 Methods

Heliocentric RTN Coordinates: We use so-called Heliocentric RTN coordinates in our study,
which are defined as follows: R points from the Sun center to the spacecraft. T lies in the
spacecraft plane (close to the ecliptic) and is defined as the cross product of the solar rotation
axis with R and points in the direction of prograde rotation. N completes a right-handed system.

Statistical Modes: To examine the large-scale magnetic structure, (Fig. 1b) we seek to remove the rapidly varying spikes observed in Fig. 1a. To do this we produce statistical modes which are defined by binning the full cadence magnetic field observations into 1-hour intervals and for each interval, calculating the modal value - the peak of the histogram of field values within each interval.

340

Identification of Jet Intervals: In the main text we state that approximately 6% of the duration of E1 consists of jet intervals. That number is computed by measuring the duration of positive polarity B_R intervals (58973 seconds) occurring from October 30, 2018 to November 11, 2018 (1036800 seconds total). This interval was chosen to correspond to interval *D*, of primarily negative polarity, in Fig. 1b over the coronal hole, and without transient coronal mass ejection events. The positive polarity jets were identified using a simple zero-crossing algorithm applied to 1 second cadence radial magnetic field data B_R . Of course, not all so-called 'jets' contain full polarity reversals. Biasing this calculation with an amplitude offset will produce a larger fractionof jet times; this is an ongoing study.

350

351 **PSP/FIELDS Measurement Details**: Measurements presented in the main text were made by the FIELDS¹⁷ and SWEAP²⁷ instruments on the PSP spacecraft. Magnetic field measurements in 352 Fig. 1a are made by the FIELDS fluxgate magnetometer and are averaged to 1 second cadence, 353 from their native cadence which varies from ~2.3 to 293 samples per second over E1. The B_R 354 355 data shown in Fig. 1b is derived from the 1 second data by then computing the distribution of 356 amplitudes in one-hour intervals, with amplitude resolution of 1 nT, and finding the peak value of that distribution: the statistical mode. This technique removes the fluctuating 'jet' intervals, 357 358 without introducing the amplitude bias of an averaging algorithm. 359 The magnetic field measurements in Fig. 2 start at 1 second cadence, averaged down from their native cadence as described above. All magnetic field measurements here are calibrated accurate 360 361 to better than 0.5 nT. SWEAP velocity measurements are made by the Solar Probe Cup (SPC) 362 sensor at a cadence of ~ 1 measurement per 0.87 sec and then averaged to 5 second intervals.

363 The 1 second cadence magnetic field data is then averaged onto these 5 second time intervals.

364 This reduces fluctuation noise in the SPC data and provides velocity and magnetic field

365 measurements at the same cadence. The plasma density measurements in Fig. 1a are made using

366 the FIELDS Low Frequency Receiver $(LFR)^{42}$, which measures the fluctuating electric field

across the V1-V2 antenna pair 17 and computes spectral density (also shown in Fig. 3a). The

368 spectral peak is identified and associated with the electron plasma frequency f_{pe} , as described in

369 Meyer-Vernet et al.²⁵, hence the frequency of the peak amplitude gives a reliable estimate of the

total plasma density. The spectral resolution of the LFR instrument is $\Delta f/f \approx 4\%$. The plasma

371	frequency f_{pe} is proportional to $\sqrt{n_e}$, where n_e is electron (total) density; therefore the resulting
372	uncertainty in the density measurement is $\Delta n/n \approx 2 \Delta f/f \approx 8\%$. Electric field measurements used
373	to compute the radial Poynting flux in Fig. 2b are measured directly as differential voltage pairs ⁴³
374	between V1-V2 and V3-V4 antennas ¹⁷ and then calibrated to electric field units by comparison
375	to $-\mathbf{v} \ge \mathbf{B}$ computed from the SPC velocity and fluxgate magnetometer data. This allows us to
376	remove spacecraft offset electric fields and compute an effective probe separation length, a
377	standard technique used to calibrate electric field instrumentation ⁴⁴ . The electric field
378	measurement is accurate to approximately 1 mV/m.
379	Measurements in Fig. 3a show the full spectrum of the RFS/LFR ⁴² receiver, in spectrogram
380	form, measured on the V1-V2 antenna pair. Wave intensity in Fig. 3a ranges from $\sim 6 \ 10^{-17}$ to 1.4
381	10^{-10} V ² /Hz and is represented logarithmically. The spectral bandwidth of the LFR receiver is
382	$\Delta f/f = 4.5\%$ and the cadence of the measurement is 1 spectrum each ~7 seconds. Fig. 3b shows
383	the electric field spectrogram of differential voltage measurements on the V1-V2 antenna pair
384	from the Digital Fields Board (DFB) subsystem ⁴³ , with intensity in arbitrary log amplitude units.
385	DFB 'AC' spectral resolution is $\Delta f/f \sim 6-12\%$ and the measurement cadence is 1 spectrum per 5.5
386	seconds. Fig. 3c shows the magnetic field spectrogram of search coil magnetometer
387	measurements on the from the Digital Fields Board (DFB) subsystem ⁴³ , with intensity in
388	arbitrary log amplitude units. DFB 'DC' spectral resolution is $\Delta f/f \sim 6-12\%$ and the measurement
389	cadence is 1 spectrum per 28 seconds. The wavelet spectrogram in Fig. 3d and magnetic helicity
390	spectrum in Fig. 3e were computed using the 'wav_data' IDL routine in SPEDAS ⁴² suite of IDL
391	analysis routines. Wave intensity in Fig. 3d is represented in log power in arbitrary units and is
392	divided by a factor $P_K \sim f^{5/3}$ (flattened), so that a power spectrum with spectral index -5/3 would
393	have no frequency dependence.

394

409

PFSS modeling and connection to Parker Solar Probe: Modeling the magnetic field time 395 396 series (Fig 1. Panel (b)) and tracing field lines from Parker Solar Probe down into the corona (Fig 397 1. panels (c,d)) was performed with 2 main steps : (1) *PFSS Implementation* : PFSS^{19,20,9} modeling used the recent open source python 398 implementation $pfsspv^{46,47}$. This code package is freely available online, extremely flexible with 399 400 regard to changing the input parameters, and efficient (a full PFSS solution can be extracted in 401 \sim 14 seconds including downloading the magnetogram on demand). Given a magnetogram and 402 source surface height (RSS) as boundary conditions, the code solves the Laplace equation 403 (Equation 1) for the magnetic scalar potential and outputs a full 3D magnetic field within the 404 annular volume bounded by the photosphere and the source surface parameter. The choice of 405 magnetogram data and values of source surface height depicted in figure 1 and discussed further 406 below. 407 $\nabla^2 \Phi_B(r) = 0$ 408 (Equation 1)

(2) Ballistic Propagation : The procedure to magnetically connect PSP to a particular location at
the outer boundary of the PFSS solution domain follows Nolte & Roelof^{48,49, 50}, where the field
line intersecting the position of PSP is assumed to follow a Parker spiral¹ with a curvature
determined by the co-temporal solar wind velocity measurement at that position. As discussed by
Nolte & Roelof⁴⁸, while at lower radii this approximation is strongly perturbed by both
corotational effects and the acceleration of the solar wind, these effects actually shift the coronal
longitude by a similar magnitude but in opposite directions resulting in an estimated error in

417 longitude less than 10 degrees. This produces a very simple mapping (Equation 2) from
418 spacecraft spherical Carrington coordinates (r_{PSP}, θ_{PSP}, φ_{PSP}) down to coordinates on the source
419 surface (r, θ, φ) which involves Ωs, the solar sidereal rotation rate and v_R, the measured solar
420 wind speed:

421

422
$$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ \theta \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{SS} \\ \theta_{PSP} \\ \varphi_{PSP} + \frac{\Omega_{S}}{V_{R}}(r_{PSP} - R_{SS}) \end{pmatrix}$$
 (Equation 2)

423

To generate time series predictions, we first download a magnetogram, choose a source surface 424 425 height and generate a PFSS solution with (1). We then take PSP's trajectory and use (2) to produce a time series of latitudes and longitudes on the source surface to which PSP was 426 427 connected to (see red and blue trajectory in Figure 1 (c,d) and Extended Data Figures 1-3). For 428 each latitude and longitude we obtain a B_R value at the source surface from the PFSS model. Finally, we scale each B_R value by C $(R_{SS}/r_{PSP})^2$ to produce an estimate of BR at PSP's location 429 as a function of time. C is an empirically determined constant used to scale the time series 430 prediction to match the peak measured magnetic field. Its value is dependent on the choice of 431 432 magnetogram but also approaches unity as the source surface height decreases and more flux is 433 opened to the heliosphere. For the model results shown in Figure 1, the values of C are 6.7 (2.0 Rs model) and 1.4 (1.2 Rs model). 434 To produce field line traces and generate Fig 1. (c,d), we start with the time series of latitudes 435

and longitudes on the source surface connected to PSP. For each pair of coordinates, we use

- 437 *pfsspy*'s built-in field line tracer. Given the output of the *pfsspy* model, we supply the source
- 438 surface latitudes and longitudes and the field line tracer generates a field line which starts from

that point and propagates it down to the photosphere. The model also provides a polarity for eachfield line generated which we use to colorize the field lines which we plot in Fig 1. (c,d).

441

442 Choice of Magnetogram Data and Source Surface Height for Figure 1: Synoptic maps of 443 the photospheric magnetic field are available from multiple sources which can cause variation in 444 PFSS model output. In this work we consider the NSO/GONG zero-point corrected data product⁵¹, SDO/HMI vector magnetogram data product⁵², and the DeRosa (LMSAL) modeled 445 magnetogram⁵³. GONG has the advantage of being operationally certified for space weather 446 447 predictions, SDO/HMI is space-based and offers better resolution, while the DeRosa model assimilates HMI data, uses a surface flux transport and far-side helioseismological data to far 448 449 side simulate photospheric dynamics such as differential rotation. 450 Additional variation arises from time evolution of the photospheric observations. Synoptic magnetograms are built by many observations of the Sun from Earth as it rotates with a ~ 27 day 451 452 period. Typically, only \pm 60 degrees longitude about the central meridian (sub-Earth point) are 453 used for each observation (grey regions in Extended Data Fig 1-3). While these maps can be 454 updated with new data as frequently as observations are made, portions of the Sun facing away 455 from Earth cannot be updated until they rotate into view, meaning all synoptic maps consist of a 456 mix of old and new data and evolve in time. Finally, the model output depends significantly on the choice of the source surface height 457 458 parameter (RSS). The inferred structure at the source surface changes as the source surface is

lowered: Implied structure such as the polarity inversion line (PIL) - contour of BR = 0 -

460 becomes more structured and warped. The footpoints of open field lines at the photosphere

461 encompass larger areas, increasing the predicted size of coronal holes and the total amount (both462 positive and negative) of magnetic flux crossing the source surface increases.

463 Our approach to make robust conclusions is to generate model results for multiple times from all 464 three magnetogram sources for varying source surface heights. Color maps of Br at the source 465 surface and the associated PILs are shown in Extended Data Figures 1-3. The majority of models 466 at 2.0 Rs and below predict polarity inversions in the vicinity of 240° and 310° longitude at all source surface heights, with additional polarity inversions around 10° and 140° longitude 467 468 developing at lower source surface height. These features are all consistent with PSP 469 measurements and we highlight that they are largely independent of time of observation and choice of magnetogram source. While the canonical²³ 2.5 Rs value still gives good results from a 470 471 GONG evaluation, both HMI and the DeRosa models produce strong disagreement around the 472 time of perihelion. In Figure 1 (b-d) we show results from the Gong zero-point corrected map 473 evaluated on 11/06/2018 about which our time range of analysis is symmetric. This evaluation 474 shows all the above features and produce good time series agreements. We show source surface 475 heights of 2.0 Rs and 1.2 Rs. These lower source surface heights do have modern precedent: The 2.0 Rs is consistent with PFSS modeling done for the same interval by Riley et al.²⁵, where they 476 chose this height to better match the observed extent of coronal holes. Lee et al²⁴ also 477 478 investigated the impact of lowering the source surface height on model results, observing at solar minimum a lower (<2.0 Rs) source surface height was required to populate equatorial coronal 479 480 holes with open field lines and improve estimates of magnetic field strength at 1 AU. 481

482

483 484

485 Additional References for Methods:

486

⁴²Pulupa, M., et al., The Solar Probe Plus Radio Frequency Spectrometer: Measurement 487 requirements, analog design, and digital signal processing., J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 122, 488 2836-2854, 2017 489 490 ⁴³Malaspina, D. M., et al., The Digital Fields Board for the FIELDS instrument suite on the Solar 491 Probe Plus mission: Analog and digital signal processing., J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 121, 492 493 5088-5096, 2016 494 ⁴⁴Mozer, F. S., DC and low-frequency double probe electric field measurements in space., J. 495 496 Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 121, 10,942–10,953, 2016. 497 ⁴⁵Angelopoulos, V. et al., The Space Physics Environment Data Analysis System (SPEDAS)., 498 499 Space Science Reviews, v215, id 9, 2019. 500 ⁴⁶Stansby, D., Dstansby/pfsspy: Pfsspy 0.1.2 zenodo, 2019. 501 502 ⁴⁷Yeates, A., Antyeates1983/pfss: First release of pfss code zenodo, 2018. 503 504 505 ⁴⁸Nolte, J. T. and E. C. Roelof, Large-Scale Structure of the Interplanetary Medium, I: High Coronal Source Longitude of the Quiet-Time Solar Wind., Solar Physics, 33:241-257, November 506 507 1973. 508 ⁴⁹Neugebauer, M., et al, Spatial structure of the solar wind and comparisons with solar data and 509 models., Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 103(A7):14587-14599, 7 1998. 510 511 ⁵⁰Stansby, D., T. S. Horbury, S. Wallace, and C. N. Arge, Predicting large-scale coronal structure 512 for Parker Solar Probe using open source software., Research Notes of the AAS, 3(3):57, mar 513 514 2019. 515 ⁵¹Clark, R., J. Harvey, F. Hill, and C. Toner, GONG Magnetogram Zero-Point Correction 516 Status., In AAS/Solar Physics Division Meeting #34, volume 35 of Bulletin of the American 517 518 Astronomical Society, page 822, May 2003 519 ⁵²Hoeksema, J. T., et al, The Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) Vector Magnetic Field 520 521 Pipeline: Overview and Performance., Solar Physics, 289(9):3483-3530, Sep 2014. 522 ⁵³Schrijver, C. J. and M. L. DeRosa, Photospheric and heliospheric magnetic fields., Solar 523 524 *Physics*, 212: 165, 2003. 525 526 Acknowledgements. The FIELDS experiment on the Parker Solar Probe spacecraft was designed and 527 developed under NASA contract NNN06AA01C. The FIELDS team acknowledges the contributions of 528 529 the Parker Solar Probe mission operations and spacecraft engineering teams at the Johns Hopkins 530 University Applied Physics Laboratory. S.D.B. acknowledges the support of the Leverhulme Trust 531 Visiting Professorship program. Contributions from S.T.B. were supported by NASA Headquarters under the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship Program Grant 80NSSC18K1201. This work utilizes data 532 533 obtained by the Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG) Program, managed by the National Solar

536 Altitude Observatory, Learmonth Solar Observatory, Udaipur Solar Observatory, Instituto de Astrofísica 537 de Canarias, and Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory. D. B. was supported by UK STFC 538 grant ST/P000622/1. J. P. E. and T. S. H. were supported by UK STFC grant ST/S000364/1. D. S. was 539 supported by UK STFC grant ST/N000692/1. CHKC is supported by STFC Ernest Rutherford 540 Fellowship ST/N003748/2. T.D. and V.K. are supported by CNES. 541 542 Author Contributions. S.D.B. wrote the manuscript with substantial contributions from S.T.B., 543 B.D.G.C., C.H.K.C., T.S.H., M.M., T.D.P. and M.V. All authors participated in the data interpretation, 544 read and commented upon the manuscript. S.D.B. led the FIELDS instrument team with contributions 545 from J.W.B., T.A.B, T.D., K.G., P.R.H., D.E.L., R.J.M., M.M, D.M.M., M.P, and N.E.R. 546 547

Observatory, which is operated by AURA, Inc. under a cooperative agreement with the National Science

Foundation. The data were acquired by instruments operated by the Big Bear Solar Observatory, High

- 548 Competing Interests. The authors declare no competing interests.549
- **550 Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to S.D.B.
- 551
 552 Reprints and permissions information is available at <u>http://www.nature.com/reprints</u>
- 553 554

534

535

- 555 Extended Data
- 556 557

558 **Extended Data Figure 1: Variation of PFSS Neutral Line topology with time and** 559 magnetogram choice at 2.5 Rs Source Surface Radius. Colormaps of Br at the source surface of PFSS extractions with Source Surface radius $R_{SS} = 2.5 R_s$. Red indicates positive polarity, 560 while blue indicates negative. The black line shows the polarity inversion line (contour of $B_R =$ 561 562 0). Superposed is the ballistically projected PSP trajectory colored by the measured polarity. Perihelion occurred around 330 longitude. Left to right, the columns show extractions from 563 NSO/GONG, SDO/HMI and the DeRosa LMSAL Model. From top to bottom, the models are 564 evaluated at a weekly cadence spanning 6 weeks about perihelion, with input magnetograms 565 from each source taken as close in time as possible. The grey shading shows +/-60 degrees about 566 567 the central meridian on date of model evaluation indicating the portion of the Sun that could be 568 observed at the time of observation.

569 570

571 Extended Data Figure 2: Variation of PFSS Neutral Line topology with time and

572 magnetogram choice at 2.0 Rs Source Surface Radius. Colormaps of B_r at the source surface 573 of PFSS extractions with Source Surface radius $R_{SS} = 2.0 R_s$. Red indicates positive polarity,

while blue indicates negative. The black line shows the polarity inversion line (contour of $B_R =$

575 0). Superposed is the ballistically projected PSP trajectory colored by the measured polarity.

576 Perihelion occurred around 330° longitude. Left to right, the columns show extractions from

577 NSO/ GONG, SDO/HMI and the DeRosa LMSAL Model. From top to bottom, the models are

578 evaluated at a weekly cadence spanning 6 weeks about perihelion, with input magnetograms

579 from each source taken as close in time as possible. The grey shading shows ± -60 degrees about

the central meridian on date of model evaluation indicating the portion of the Sun that could be

581 observed at the time of observation.

582

583 Extended Data Figure 3: Variation of PFSS Neutral Line topology with time and

584 magnetogram choice at 1.2 Rs Source Surface Radius. Colormaps of Br at the source surface of PFSS extractions with Source Surface radius $R_{SS} = 1.2 R_s$. Red indicates positive polarity, 585 while blue indicates negative. The black line shows the polarity inversion line (contour of $B_R =$ 586 0). Superposed is the ballistically projected PSP trajectory colored by the measured polarity. 587 Perihelion occurred around 330° longitude. Left to right, the columns show extractions from 588 589 NSO/ GONG, SDO/HMI and the DeRosa LMSAL Model. From top to bottom, the models are 590 evaluated at a weekly cadence spanning 6 weeks about perihelion, with input magnetograms 591 from each source taken as close in time as possible. The grey shading shows ± -60 degrees about the central meridian on date of model evaluation indicating the portion of the Sun that could be 592 593 observed at the time of observation.

594

595 Extended Data Figure 4. Synoptic maps of Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) coronal emission

596 from Carrington Rotation 2210 assembled from the STEREO A/EUVI and SDO/AIA

instruments. Top: 171 Å data showing coronal Iron-9 emission from ~600 000 K. This is the
background the Figure 1. Panels (c), (d). Bottom: 193Å (AIA)/ 195Å (EUVI) data showing
emission from coronal Iron-12 emission at 1000000 K. Brightness is positively correlated the
integrated plasma density squared along the line of sight. Dark regions in both images are likely
locations of coronal holes which are threaded by open magnetic field lines which allow plasma
to evacuate into interplanetary space and hence result in under-dense regions.

603 604

605 Extended Data Figure 5. During encounter 1, Parker Solar Probe (PSP) connects

606 magnetically to a small, negative polarity equatorial coronal hole. This schematic shows a potential field extrapolation of the solar magnetic field at the time of the first perihelion pass of 607 608 PSP. The solar surface is shown colored by AIA 211Å extreme ultraviolet emission (see Extended data figure 4 for other EUV wavelengths). Coronal holes appear as a lighter shade. 609 610 Superposed are various field lines initialized at the solar disk. Black lines indicate closed loops, blue and red illustrate open field lines with negative and positive polarities respectively. As 611 612 depicted here, and in Figure 1(c), (d), at perihelion PSP connected to a negative equatorial coronal hole. The "switchbacks" (jets) observed by PSP (Figure 1(a)) are illustrated as a kinks in 613 614 the open field lines emerging from this coronal hole and connecting to PSP. (Note the neither 615 the radial distance to the spacecraft nor the scale/amplitude of the jets/switchbacks are to scale.) Spacecraft image is courtesy of NASA/Johns Hopkins APL. 616

617