

Novel Analytics and Parameter-Space Estimations for Human Spaceflight Medical Risk with MEDPRAT V2.0: LEVERAGING COMPUTATIONAL EFFICIENCY

> Lauren McIntyre¹ Larry Leinweber³ Jerry Myers¹ Matthew Prelich¹

Clara Gasiewski² Drayton Munster¹ Mona Matar¹ Michael Lovell²



Human Research Program | Maturation & Integration Office

¹ NASA Glenn Research Center
² BQMI
³ ZIN Technologies Inc.

MEDPRAT

Medical Extensible Dynamic Probabilistic Risk Assessment Tool





MEDPRAT



- MEDPRAT is a computational PRA (probabilistic risk assessment) model for quantifying spaceflight medical risk
- An evolution of IMM shares the basic functionality of IMM, but with a new underlying software architecture and many new features
- The 'math engine' that provides the modeling capability for ExMC's IMPACT tool









- Demonstrate computational efficiency: ability to do large (batches) simulations with a short turnaround
- Demonstrate versatility: provide examples of questions that MEDPRAT can address along with their associated scale and runtime
- Engage the community: bring forth ideas and discussions for other possible applications



Key Terms



Simulation

- a single run of MEDPRAT with given input configuration
- outputs risk metrics and resource consumption

Trial

- a single simulated mission
- most **simulations** are run for 100k to 300k trials

Optimization

- a single run of the MEDPRAT set selector with optimization configuration
- provides as output an optimized medical kit
- runs usually consist of 8-15 simulations, each with 100k-300k trials

Runtime

• the amount of time an analysis took to complete on the HRP-GRC compute cluster (156 cores)

Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) Removal To Definitive Care (RTDC) Quality/Task Time Lost (QTL/TTL) How does mission medical risk change with respect to mission duration when using the ISS medical kit?





Risk vs Mission Duration – LOCL/RTDC





Risk vs Mission Duration - QTL



Average QTL by Mission Duration

- 910 individual simulations
- 300,000 trials each
- 18-hour runtime

90% CI

Which treatment capabilities are mission medical risk most sensitive to?



Leave-One-Out Sensitivity Analysis





Capabilities Producing the Largest Changes in TTL When Unavailable



- 200,000 trials each
- 18-hour runtime
- Preliminary result, unreviewed data set (Evidence Library)

What effect does the Knowledge, Skills, and Ability (KSA) of the crew have on risk?

Description
National Registry Emergency Medicine Technician
(EMT) - Basic
National Registry Paramedic
Certified Emergency Registered Nurse
First Year Resident Medical Doctor (Intern)
Attending Physician (not specialty specific)



Sensitivity to KSA – Task Time Lost (TTL)



With partial credit/treatment for partially available resources

Fully untreated if any resources unavailable

Sensitivity to KSA – Loss of Crew Life (LOCL)



With partial credit/treatment for partially available resources

Fully untreated if any resources unavailable

Sensitivity to KSA – Removal To Definitive Care (RTDC)





With partial credit/treatment for partially available resources

Fully untreated if any resources unavailable

Sensitivity to KSA

- 36 individual simulations
- 200,000 trials each
- 3-hour runtime
- Preliminary result, an approximation to implemented feature

Should an investment be made to reduce the mass of a large device like an ultrasound machine?





Risk of Quality Time Lost (QTL) Relative to Optimized Medical Kit Mass and Ultrasound Mass







Risk of Removed To Definitive Care (RTDC) Relative to Optimized Medical Kit Mass and Ultrasound Mass







Risk of Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) Relative to Optimized Medical Kit Mass and Ultrasound Mass



Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) Removal To Definitive Care (RTDC)

Quality/Task Time Lost (QTL/TTL)

How does risk for an Artemis like mission change with respect to medical kit mass and volume constraints?





Risk with varying medical set size constraints



Average Quality Time Lost (QTL) for Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume

Average Evacuations for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume





Risk with varying medical set size constraints

Average Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume



- 28 optimization runs
- 10-18 simulations per optimization run
- 200,000 trials per simulation
- ~18-hour runtime

2. 675

Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) Removal To Definitive Care (RTDC) Quality/Task Time Lost (QTL/TTL) How does risk for an Artemis like mission change with respect to medical kit mass and volume constraints?

Should we send an ultrasound machine?





Risk with and without Ultrasound Machine





Lauren.p.McIntyre@nasa.gov



Risk with and without Ultrasound Machine



Average Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume



How does risk for an Artemis like mission change with respect to medical kit mass and volume constraints?

Should we send an ultrasound machine?

The new ultrasound machine will be 1kg/1L instead of 5kg/6.3L, how does this change the result?





Risk with and without Ultrasound Machine (1kg/1L)



Average Quality Time Lost (QTL) for Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume



Average Quality Time Lost (QTL) for Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume





Risk with and without Ultrasound Machine (1kg/1L)





Average Evacuations for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume

Average Evacuations for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume



2 621



Risk with and without Ultrasound Machine (1kg/1L)



Average Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume



Average Loss of Crew Life (LOCL) for Medical Kits Constrained by Varying Mass and Volume







Thank you

Al-

Lauren.p.McIntyre@nasa.gov

Artwork by Dr. Mona Matar