- 1 **Title:** Know Before You Go: A community-derived approach to planning for and preventing
- 2 sexual harassment at oceanographic field sites

## 3 **Authors**

- 4 Abby Ackerman<sup>1</sup>, Kristen Yarincik<sup>1,2</sup>, Stephanie Murphy<sup>3</sup>, Ivona Cetinic<sup>4</sup>, Allison Fundis<sup>5</sup>, Allison
- 5 Miller<sup>6</sup>, Emily Shroyer<sup>7</sup>, Aly Busse<sup>8</sup>, Qu'Derrick Covington<sup>1,9</sup>, Annette DeSilva<sup>10</sup>, Alison Haupt<sup>11</sup>,
- 6 Leah Johnson<sup>12</sup>, Craig Lee<sup>12</sup>, Laura Lorenzoni<sup>13</sup>, Brandi Murphy<sup>12</sup>, Jenny Ramarui<sup>14</sup>, Brad
- 7 Rosenheim<sup>15</sup>, and Deborah Steinberg<sup>16</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Consortium for Ocean Leadership; <sup>2</sup>IOOS Association; <sup>3</sup>Duke University; <sup>4</sup>NASA Goddard
- 9 Space Flight Center; <sup>5</sup>Ocean Exploration Trust; <sup>6</sup>Schmidt Ocean Institute; <sup>7</sup>Office of Naval
- 10 Research; 8Mote Marine Laboratory; 9Florida Institute of Oceanography; 10University of Rhode
- 11 Island; <sup>11</sup>California State University Monterey Bay; <sup>12</sup>University of Washington; <sup>13</sup>NASA
- Headquarters; <sup>14</sup>The Oceanography Society; <sup>15</sup>University of South Florida; <sup>16</sup>Virginia Institute of
- 13 Marine Sciences
- \*Corresponding Author: <u>ab.m.ackerman@gmail.com</u> 1030 15<sup>th</sup> Street NW Unit 404,
- 15 Washington, DC 20005-1503. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4864-0886

#### Abstract

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

45

46

Sexual harassment is a pervasive problem on oceanographic research vessels and while conducting fieldwork in general. A variety of factors contribute to inadequate protection against sexual harassment, such as poor training in prevention, support, and response; the remoteness of field sites; academic hierarchies that reinforce uneven power dynamics that extend to fieldwork; and multi-institutional teams with distinct policies or reporting structures that can lead to confusion in reporting and responding to incidents in the field. In compromising individuals' physical and mental health, sexual harassment can negatively affect research expeditions. For example, harassed individuals may decide to refrain from working on complicated team-based tasks, which can be a safety issue. A broader concern is that sexual harassment deters talented people from pursing or maintaining employment in ocean science. Harassment must be treated with the same gravity as research misconduct and safety policy infringements. When planning a research expedition, science team leaders are responsible for the safety of their team and other colleagues aboard and would benefit from resources aimed at helping team leadership create a plan to ensure safety and inclusivity. To address this resource gap and support consistent safety preparedness, 18 participants in the Workshop to Promote Field Safety in Ocean Sciences, convened by the Consortium for Ocean Leadership and held May 17-18, 2022, in Washington, D.C., developed a checklist for use by scientific leaders and others to assist in planning for participant safety and to prevent harassment the field. The checklist specifies the timing of, and who is responsible for, specific actions that should be taken to improve safety while conducting fieldwork, whether on a research vessel or on land. It also provides additional resources and suggestions for leaders on how to amend the checklist to address their specific fieldwork situations.

### **Background**

Fieldwork can be a training ground for students, a requirement for earning a degree in 42 geoscience, and a deciding factor in recruiting and retaining individuals to the field. Shafer et al. 43 (2022) analyzed geoscience job postings and found that over 60% of Earth science job postings 44 aimed at bachelor's-level graduates listed "field skills" as a desired gualification and was the second-most prevalent qualification of the 34 skills the study examined across over 1,000

unique job postings. It follows that broad access to and positive experiences in fieldwork can

lead to better recruitment and retention in the field (Nelson et al., 2017; NASEM, 2018; Marín-

48 Spiotta et al., 2020).

47

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

Environments that promote, normalize, or deal ineffectively with sexual and other forms of harassment are unsafe for researchers and staff, and can negatively impact both mental and physical health of those who experience harassment as well as bystanders (Armstrong et al., 2018; Marín-Spiotta et al., 2020). Sexual harassment includes gender-based harassment (e.g., threats, slurs, lewd comments and images, promoting stereotypes, demonstrating bias and discrimination, or other hateful conduct), unwanted sexual attention, and sexual coercion. It is not limited to those who identify as women and can also be the result of perceived sexual orientation and gender identity. Physical distance from an individual's home institution, paired with the power imbalances inherent to the hierarchical nature of academia and STEM leadership that is historically dominated by white men, can create or amplify a hostile or dangerous climate for people with marginalized genders or other marginalized identities (Marín-Spiotta et al., 2020; Kelly and Yarincik, 2022). In particular, people whose identities intersect multiple marginalized groups are at higher risk for harassment (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2018) and can experience both racial and sexual harassment simultaneously or experience racially motivated sexual harassment (Armstrong et al., 2018). Given the lack of diversity in geosciences in the United States (e.g., Bernard and Cooperdock, 2018), ensuring that people at all career stages are protected from racial, ethnic, gender-, or ability-based harassment is crucial to creating a more diverse and equitable field. Sexual harassment in ocean science is pervasive. According to a recent report by the organization Women in Ocean Science (2021), 78% of surveyed females have experienced sexual harassment in their workplace or learning environment, with fieldwork representing the most common location for such experiences. Individual perceptions (regardless of legal definitions) of what constitutes harassment and bullying might vary, with some defending

behavior as, for example, universally difficult personalities or cultural overcorrection (e.g.,

Harris, 2022). Ultimately, everyone deserves to be treated with respect in their workplace or

learning environment, and a climate of respect has been found to lead to reductions in identity-

55 based harassment (Robotham and Cortina, 2021).

Due to the many factors that can contribute to an "unsafe" field site, which range from personal-to institutional-level concerns, there is no single solution to making field sites safer from sexual harassment. Instead, ensuring field safety requires multiple policies and best practices that cover a wide range of topics, time scales, and levels of responsibility. Broadly speaking, the three dimensions of safety that need to be addressed at field sites are harassment prevention, support for those that experience harassment, and response to incidents.

Current policies and social norms at many institutions are insufficient for ensuring inclusive and harassment-free environments (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2018). In the field, ensuring participant safety also suffers from this isolating culture and a lack of clear and enforced policies and is compounded by an environment with multi-institutional — and sometimes multinational — teams and field sites with multiple jurisdictions that often complicate the question of responsibility when it comes to addressing instances of harassment or assault (Kelly & Yarincik, 2022). To remedy this, institutions and vessel operators must create and routinely update policies for prevention, support, and response. Critically, once such policies exist, institutions must consistently communicate and enforce them. As many in the literature have noted, even when codes of conduct and reporting mechanisms exist, they cannot be effective if not properly communicated to all working at a field site or if those in positions of authority are not familiar enough with policies to assist targets of harassment when reporting (Clancy et al., 2014; Nelson et al., 2017; Steinhardt, 2018). Likewise, of individuals who report their harassment or assault, few report being satisfied with the outcomes of reporting (Clancy et al., 2014) which can, and has, led to underreporting of incidents of harassment.

While ensuring the safety and culture of a field expedition may ultimately fall on those in charge, for example chief scientists, principal investigators (PIs) and other field team leaders, all participants have a role to play. Those who witness harassment have a critical role in demonstrating positive culture and lack of tolerance for such behavior if they intervene in the moment or report the behavior as appropriate, and bystander training should become a normalized part of the field experience (Kelly & Yarincik, 2022).

# **Developing a Safety Checklist**

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

The Workshop to Promote Field Safety in Ocean Sciences, convened by the Consortium for Ocean Leadership, was a follow-on event to the 2021 Workshop to Promote Safety in Field Sciences, which aimed to build a safer and more inclusive field culture for participants of all backgrounds and identities, offering a set of broad recommendations to inspire and guide different audiences and actors in field science to address harassment in a collaborative, community-based way. The ocean-focused Workshop used the Report of the Workshop to Promote Safety in Field Sciences (Kelly and Yarincik, 2022) as a foundational document to guide the development of recommended actions specific to the ocean science community and ocean science field platforms. Participants represented a variety of career stages and sectors of expertise, including but not limited to academic and federal seagoing scientists, UNOLS members, private research vessel operators, and others interested in promoting safety and inclusion, to reflect a broad range of experiences and reduce bias when crafting recommendations. The Workshop operated on the premise that, in addition to being research misconduct, harassment and assault are health and safety hazards and should accordingly be taken as seriously as other health and safety hazards on research vessels and other field stations or platforms.

Workshop participants recommended potential actions and a vessel safety checklist to provide specific and realistic steps that managers, policy makers, and field team leaders in the ocean

sciences community can implement immediately to improve policies and processes related to safety, positively impacting the culture of ocean science. The checklist (Table 1) is intended as a resource for chief scientists, PIs, and field team leaders as they prepare for fieldwork. By following the structure and timeline often used for scientific and logistical planning, the checklist aims to integrate safety into pre-, during, and post-cruise activities by outlining how and when to implement practices for preventing harassment, supporting targets of harassment, and encouraging reporting of incidents. The framework is intended to be flexible enough to allow institutions or individuals to amend the list as necessary for their unique purposes or as they learn new best practices: Implementation of the checklist should be treated as an iterative process that evolves with feedback and experience to better serve the community's goals.

## The Safety Checklist: Responsibility, Conduct, and Policies

When it comes to safety at sea, all bear some responsibility for keeping themselves and their colleagues safe, not just those in leadership roles. However, in planning this checklist (Table 1), workshop participants envisioned it as a resource for chief scientists and PIs to intentionally plan for safety from harassment and bullying ahead of time, as early as proposal development. The National Science Foundation, in particular its Directorate for Geosciences, has recently announced that several solicitations will require the submission of a plan for safe and inclusive work environments, pointing to the importance that funding agencies are beginning to put on harassment as a safety issue. The checklist is not exhaustive and should instead be viewed as the starting point for PIs, chief scientists, and organizations engaging with safety planning.

The items serve to ensure that critical communications and policies related to safety planning are not overlooked, including creating individual codes of conduct that represents the shared values of the field team and organizations involved in each expedition; identifying mandatory reporters and additional trained resource providers (Kelly & Yarincik, 2022); clarifying multi-

institutional roles and responsibilities that can confuse reporting of and response to sexual

harassment (Kelly and Yarincik, 2022); and thinking through traditions that may not feel inclusive to those new to sea-going research, such as milestone ceremonies (University National Oceanographic Laboratories System (UNOLS) and Maintaining an Environment of Respect Aboard Ships (MERAS) committee, 2019). One common theme throughout the checklist is clear, consistent, and two-way communication with the science team who will be onboard.

The safety checklist (Table 1) is divided based on when the action needs to take place relative to the research expedition to ensure that appropriate actions are taken at appropriate times. Not all expeditions will have the same planning timelines. The PI or chief scientist should have the responsibility of managing the safety checklist and plan to ensure that each action takes place. Table 2 directs the PI or chief scientist to resources available to support the recommended safety planning and preparation actions in the checklist.

### Conclusion

As is true for almost every other aspect of working in the field, preparation is the key to success. Having a plan in place to prevent sexual harassment, support targets, and respond to incidents on a ship is both key to the short-term goal of completing field research and the long-term goal of preventing attrition of talented scientists, among other objectives. It is important for teams going into the field to implement policies, trainings, and other measures that make participants safer from sexual harassment.

# Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. OCE-1938766. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Checklist to Promote Field Safety for Chief Scientists, Principal Investigators and Field Team Leads			
Timeline relative to mobilization for the cruise of field program	Checklist Item	Category	
	Identify and review the ship's or hosting institution's code of conduct.	Code of Conduct	
Ideally at least one week prior to pre-cruise meeting or 6 months prior to the cruise	Identify and review the sexual misconduct policy. It should clearly define harassment and assault.	Prevention	
	Identify and review the alcohol and drug policy.	Prevention	
	Identify and review pregnancy and nursing policy	Prevention	
	Identify who will be the ship's reporting contacts and 1-2 additional POCs in the science party to act as additional resource persons	Trained Support & Reporting	
	Ensure the science party has resources for and undertakes trainings on conflict resolution, bystander intervention, and reporting	Trained Support & Reporting	
	Integrate agenda items on safety at sea at all precruise meetings, all email communications should include aspects related to Safety at Sea as well as logistics and science aspects	Communication	
	Identify resources that are available to you through your own institution, including those on advising and reporting in remote field situations	Prevention	
At the pre-cruise meeting or	Ask any questions about the existing institutional code of conduct; if one does not exist inquire about adopting one for the cruise (e.g., from NSF)	Code of Conduct	
initial planning meeting with operators	Ask any questions about the sexual misconduct policy, alcohol and drug policy, and pregnancy/nursing policy to the ship operators. If policies do not exist inquire about establishing one. (See below if the ship does not establish policies.)	Prevention	
	Clarify and share policies for privacy, hygiene, sleeping quarters assignment or changes midcruise	Prevention	
Immediately following the pre- cruise meeting follow up with	If the ship does not have formal and complete codes of conduct and policies, adopt a code of conduct as a starting place for discussion with the Science Party	Code of Conduct	
science party members	Communicate policies for sexual harassment, alcohol and drugs, pregnancy and nursing, and any cruise-specific details like milestone ceremonies if relevant	Communication	
	Communicate policies for privacy, hygiene, and science party berthing assignments	Communication	

	Identify potential safety concerns particular to the specific science group prior to going out into the field	Prevention
One to two weeks prior to the	Ask that each science party member watch the UNOLS "Shipboard Civility - Fostering a Respectful Work Environment" videos	Prevention
cruise	Hold a virtual meeting with the science party to meet one another, go over ship life questions, introduce resource persons, and review code of conduct expectations; consider adding time for a discussion on the UNOLS Shipboard Civility videos	Module and Code of Conduct
	Make sure safety at sea is on the agenda during the initial safety meeting on site	Communication
During the cruise	Introduce the ship reporters and the science party POCs; if possible, post contact information in main lab and other areas frequented by participants (e.g., they ship's galley or field station canteen)	Trained Support & Reporting
	Plan on weekly check-ins on safety and environmental climate, these could be coupled to weekly drills	Maintaining a safe environment
After the cruise	Send an email requesting informal feedback on cruise environment; could be set up anonymously via Google forms or Qualtrics, should be done before your submission of post cruise assessment	Trained Support & Reporting
	Notify all participants that they are able to submit a formal post-cruise assessment (specific to UNOLS)	Trained Support & Reporting
	Send an email reminding participants of resources, including reporting avenues	Trained Support & Reporting

[caption] Table 1. Checklist to Promote Field Safety for Chief Scientists, Principal Investigators and Field Team Leads. The checklist is organized by three categories: When the action needs to occur, what the action is, and what dimension of preventing or responding to harassment it addresses.

Resources available to support the safety planning and preparation actions		
Category	Resources & Examples	Resource Link

General Safety & Inclusion Resources	Building a Better Fieldwork Future (BBFF) resources page	https://fieldworkfuture.ucsc.ed u/
	ADVANCEGeo Partnership community resources page	https://serc.carleton.edu/adva ncegeo/resources/index.html
Code of Conduct	Toolik Field Station Code of Conduct: Example that includes shared norms and values, including acknowledgement of challenges for multiple gender identity, sexual orientation, race, ethnicity, religion, and other identity groups.	https://ou- webserver01.alaska.edu/tooli k/handbook/policies.php
	ADVANCEGeo Sample Codes of Conduct: Example for including disciplinary responses to infringing on Code of Conduct.	https://serc.carleton.edu/adva ncegeo/resources/codes_con duct.html
	UNOLS Code of Conduct	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/MERAS_Code_of_ Conduct_23June2022.pdf
	NSF Office of Polar Programs US Antarctic Program 2016: Example that includes expectations for international and/or external participants.	https://www.nsf.gov/geo/opp/documents/policy/polar_coc.pdf
	Indiana University Geologic Field Station Code of Conduct: Includes an example of signed acknowledgement by all participants that they've read and understand the Code of Conduct.	https://iugfs.indiana.edu/docu ments/admittance-forms- g429.pdf
Prevention Policies	Sexual Misconduct Policy (Toolik Field Station)	https://fieldworkfuture.ucsc.ed u/assets/files/Toolik- FieldStationSexual_Miscondu ct_Policy.pdf
	Vessel alcohol policy (UCSD Scripps Institution of Oceanography)	https://scripps.ucsd.edu/ships /alcohol-and-illegal-drugs- zero-tolerance
	Preventing Harassment in Fieldwork Situations (Report from the University of Washington's Respect and Equality in Fieldwork (REIF) 2017 Committee)	http://psc.apl.washington.edu/ HLD/REIF/RespectandEqualit yinFieldwork_Recommendati onsandReportUW_Jan2018.p df
	Research Vessel Safety Standards (UNOLS)	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/RVSS_11- Master_Copy_Nov_2021.pdf
	Pregnancy and lactation policy (UCSD Scripps Institution of Oceanography	https://scripps.ucsd.edu/ships /policies-and- procedures/pregnancy-sea
	Milestone Ceremonies Policy (UNOLS)	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/MERAS_Milestone Ceremonies_White_Paper_fi nal_011019.pdf

	Harassment Prevention (UNOLS Research Vessel Safety Standards, Appendix E): communicates sexual harassment prevention	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/RVSS_11- Master_Copy_Nov_2021.pdf
Pre-Field Training	Preemptive Intervention and Trauma Mitigation Training (FIEST Training, The Fieldwork Initiative)	https://fieldworkinitiative.org/ the-fiest-training/
	Bystander Training (Green Dot)	https://greendot.tamu.edu/str ategy/
	Bystander Training (UCSC Building a Better Fieldwork Future Bystander Training)	https://fieldworkfuture.ucsc.ed u/
	Safety Training for Science Parties (UNOLS Research Vessel Safety Standards, Appendix G): communicates policies for, e.g., privacy, hygiene, switching sleeping quarters	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/RVSS_11- Master_Copy_Nov_2021.pdf
	Personal Behavior and Individual Safety (UNOLS Research Vessel Safety Standards, Chapter 6)	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/RVSS_11thEd- 12Nov2021.pdf
	Civility Training (UNOLS Shipboard Civility, Modules I and II)	https://www.unols.org/shipbo ard-civility
	Civility Training (UNOLS Companion Guide for Shipboard Civility Modules I & II Videos)	https://www.unols.org/sites/de fault/files/ShipboardCivilityDis cussionGuide_2022April.pdf
	Racial / Intersectionality Bias Training (Harvard Racial Bias in Scientific Fields Resource List)	https://projects.iq.harvard.edu /antiracismresources/science
Reporting	Title IX Reporting FAQs	https://www.knowyourix.org/le gal-action/taking-legal-action-title-ix/#:~:text=Title%20IX%20co mplaints%20are%20generally %20submit%20online%2C%2 0either%20through%20the,or %20not%2C%20by%20snail %20mail.
	Reporting to Law Enforcement (RAINN)	rainn.org/articles/reporting- law-enforcement
	Information on Responsible Employees & Mandated Reporters (University of California FAQ on responsible employees)	https://sexualviolence.universi tyofcalifornia.edu/faq/responsi ble-employee.html
	Clarifying reporting resources (Report from the University of Washington's Respect and Equality in Fieldwork (REIF) 2017 Committee - section 3.2.2)	http://psc.apl.washington.edu/ HLD/REIF/RespectandEqualit yinFieldwork_Recommendati onsandReportUW_Jan2018.p df
	UNOLS Shipboard Civility, Module III	https://www.unols.org/shipbo ard-civility

Identifying Potential Threats	World laws pertaining to LGBTQI+ relationships and expression	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fi le:World_laws_pertaining_to_ homosexual_relationships_an d_expression.svg
	Safe fieldwork strategies for at-risk individuals, their supervisors and institutions	https://www.nature.com/articl es/s41559-020-01328- 5?proof=t
Assessment	Post-Cruise Survey (UNOLS Post Cruise Assessment Report Form)	https://strs.unols.org/public/di u_pre_pcar.aspx

[caption] Table 2. Resources available to support the safety planning and preparation actions.

This list is not inclusive of all existing and developing resources to support field safety planning and preparation but is a starting point to help build knowledge and capacity for actions identified in Table 1.

- 183 **References**
- Armstrong, E. A., Gleckman-Krut, M., & Johnson, L. 2018. Silence, Power, and Inequality: An
- 185 Intersectional Approach to Sexual Violence. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *44*(1), 99–122.
- 186 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-073117-041410
- 187 Bernard, R., & Cooperdock, E. 2018. No progress on diversity in 40 years. *Nature Geoscience*,
- 188 11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0116-6
- 189 Clancy, K. B. H., Nelson, R. G., Rutherford, J. N., & Hinde, K. 2014. Survey of Academic Field
- 190 Experiences (SAFE): Trainees Report Harassment and Assault. *PLOS ONE*, 9(7), e102172.
- 191 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102172">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102172</a>
- Harris, M. 2022. "For an III-Fated Science Cruise, a Sea of Allegations." *Undark*, March 9, 2022,
- 193 https://undark.org/2022/03/09/for-an-ill-fated-science-cruise-a-sea-of-allegations/
- 194 Kelly, A., & Yarincik, K. 2022. Report of the Workshop to Promote Safety in Field Sciences.
- 195 Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5841983
- 196 Marín-Spiotta, E., Barnes, R. T., Berhe, A. A., Hastings, M. G., Mattheis, A., Schneider, B., &
- 197 Williams, B. M. 2020. Hostile climates are barriers to diversifying the geosciences. *Advances in*
- 198 *Geosciences*, 53, 117–127. https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-53-117-2020
- 199 National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2018. Sexual Harassment of
- 200 Women: Climate, Culture, and Consequences in Academic Sciences, Engineering, and
- 201 *Medicine*. The National Academies Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.17226/24994">https://doi.org/10.17226/24994</a>
- Nelson, R.G., Rutherford, J.N., Hinde, K., & Clancy, K.B.H. 2017. Signaling Safety:
- 203 Characterizing Fieldwork Experiences and Their Implications for Career Trajectories. *American*
- 204 *Anthropologist*, 119(4), 710-722. https://doi.org/10.1111/aman.12929

205 Robotham, K. and Cortina, L. 2021. Promoting respect as a solution to workplace harassment. Equality, Diversity and Inclusion, 40(4), 410-429. https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-04-2019-0137 206 207 Shafer, G., Viskupic, K., & Egger, A. 2022. Analysis of Skills Sought by Employers of Bachelors-208 Level Geoscientists. GSA Today, 32(2), 34–35. https://doi.org/10.1130/GSATG510GW.1 209 Steinhardt, S. B. (2018). The Instrumented Ocean: How Sensors, Satellites and Seafloor-210 Walking Robots Changed What It Means to Study the Sea. PhD Dissertation, Cornell University, 211 Ithaca, NY. https://doi.org/10.7298/X4XP735P 212 University National Oceanographic Laboratories System (UNOLS) & Maintaining an 213 Environment of Respect Aboard Ships (MERAS) committee. (2019, January 10). 214 MERAS MilestoneCeremonies White Paper final 011019.pdf. 215 https://www.unols.org/sites/default/files/MERAS MilestoneCeremonies White Paper final 011 216 019.pdf 217 Women in Ocean Science. 2021. Sexual Harassment in Marine Science.

https://www.womeninoceanscience.com/sexual-harassment

218