1	
2	Reducing Aerosol Forcing Uncertainty By Combining Models with Satellite and
3	Within-the-Atmosphere Observations: A Three-Way Street
4	Ralph A. Kahn ¹ , Elisabeth Andrews ² , Charles A. Brock ³ , Mian Chin ¹ , Graham Feingold ³ ,
5	Andrew Gettelman ⁴ , Robert C. Levy ¹ , Daniel M. Murphy ³ , Athanasios Nenes ^{5,6} , Jeffrey R.
6	Pierce ⁷ , Thomas Popp ⁸ , Jens Redemann ⁹ , Andrew M. Sayer ^{1,10} , Arlindo da Silva ¹ , Larisa
7	Sogacheva ¹¹ , and Philip Stier ¹²
8	¹ Earth Sciences Division, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD USA
9	² Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado,
10	Boulder, Colorado and Global Monitoring Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO USA
11	³ Chemical Sciences Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO USA
12	⁴ National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO USA,
13 14	Now at: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA USA
15	⁵ Laboratory of Atmospheric Processes and their Impacts, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de
16	Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland
17	⁶ Center for the Study of Air Quality and Climate Change, Foundation for Research and
18	Technology Hellas (FORTH), Greece
19	⁷ Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO USA
20	⁸ German Aerospace Center (DLR), German Remote Sensing Data Center (DFD),
21	Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
22	⁹ School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK USA
23	¹⁰ University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD USA
24	¹¹ Finnish Meteorological Institute, Climate Research Programme, Helsinki, Finland
25	¹² Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford, UK
26	Corresponding author: Ralph A. Kahn (<u>ralph.kahn@nasa.gov</u>)

27 Key Points:

28	٠	Aerosol climate forcing uncertainty is virtually undiminished despite two decades of
29		advances in many aspects of aerosol-climate science
30	٠	This review concludes that current and planned aerosol modeling, satellite and ground-
31		based observation programs remain essential
32	•	New, systematic aircraft aerosol particle and cloud process measurements are also
33		needed, along with better model-measurement integration
34		

35 Abstract

Aerosol forcing uncertainty represents the largest climate forcing uncertainty overall. Its 36 magnitude has remained virtually undiminished over the past 20 years despite considerable 37 advances in understanding most of the key contributing elements. Recent work has produced 38 modest increases only in the confidence of the uncertainty estimate itself. This review 39 summarizes the contributions toward reducing the uncertainty in the aerosol forcing of climate 40 made by satellite observations, measurements taken within the atmosphere, as well as modeling 41 and data assimilation. We adopt a more measurement-oriented perspective than most reviews of 42 43 the subject in assessing the strengths and limitations of each; gaps and possible ways to fill them are considered. Currently planned programs supporting advanced, global-scale satellite and 44 surface-based aerosol, cloud, and precursor gas observations, climate modeling, and intensive 45 field campaigns aimed at characterizing the underlying physical and chemical processes 46 47 involved, are all essential. But in addition, new efforts are needed: (1) to obtain systematic aircraft *in situ* measurements capturing the multi-variate probability distribution functions of 48 49 particle optical, microphysical, and chemical properties (and associated uncertainty estimates), as well as co-variability with meteorology, for the major aerosol airmass types; (2) to conceive, 50 develop, and implement a suborbital (aircraft plus surface-based) program aimed at 51 systematically quantifying the cloud-scale microphysics, cloud optical properties, and cloud-52 53 related vertical velocities associated with aerosol-cloud interactions; and (3) to focus much more research on integrating the unique contributions satellite observations, suborbital measurements, 54 and modeling, in order to reduce the uncertainty in aerosol climate forcing. 55

56

57 Plain Language Summary

Aerosols, such as airborne wildfire smoke, desert dust, volcanic and pollution particles, affect Earth's climate by reflecting (some also absorb) sunlight. These aerosol particles also play key roles in cloud formation and evolution, further affecting the planet's energy balance. The magnitudes of these effects, and even the underlying mechanisms, represent the largest uncertainty in climate modeling. Despite two decades of advances in many aspects of aerosolclimate science, aerosol climate forcing uncertainty is virtually undiminished. Yet, reducing this uncertainty is critical for any effort to attribute, mitigate, or predict climate changes. We adopt a

measurement-oriented perspective to assess the strengths and limitations of measurement and 65 modeling programs, and conclude that current and planned efforts need to continue. However, in 66 addition, new efforts are needed: (1) to obtain aircraft in situ measurements that capture 67 systematically aerosol particle properties for the major aerosol airmass types, globally, (2) to 68 conceive, develop, and implement an aircraft and surface-based program aimed at filling gaps in 69 our understanding of the interactions between aerosol particles and clouds, along with (3) 70 focusing much more research on integrating the unique contributions of satellite observations, 71 72 suborbital measurements, and modeling, to reduce the uncertainty in our understanding of Earth's changing climate. 73

74

75 **1 Introduction**

The confidence with which Earth's present and possible future climate can be simulated depends 76 upon our ability to represent the factors that heat and cool the system, and the processes that 77 78 mediate the environmental response. In this context, radiative forcing describes the energy fluxes that drive the climate system. Changes in the radiative forcing of climate are caused primarily by 79 perturbations of atmospheric constituents, mainly greenhouse trace gases (GHGs), airborne 80 particles (aerosol particles), and clouds. By convention, positive forcing produces net surface 81 82 heating, whereas negative forcing produces cooling. Understanding changes in the radiative forcing of climate is critical for any effort to attribute, mitigate, or predict climate change. 83

Although GHGs contribute most of the positive radiative forcing, its magnitude is tightly 84 constrained, so uncertainty in the climate forcing by aerosol particles dominates the uncertainty 85 in forcing changes overall (e.g., Forster et al., 2021; Watson-Parris & Smith, 2022). Globally, 86 87 aerosol forcing is generally negative. As one illustration of the importance of reducing aerosol forcing uncertainty, decreases in aerosol amount in some regions can lead to increased surface 88 heating (Jenkins et al., 2022; Quaas et al., 2022). Thus, understanding the factors driving climate 89 change, and improving our ability to predict climate changes under different future scenarios, 90 requires a reduction in the uncertainty in aerosol climate forcing. This includes both the direct 91

radiative forcing due to light scattering and absorption by airborne particles, as well as indirect
effects due to the interactions between aerosol particles and clouds (ACI).

94 There are fundamental reasons why aerosol radiative forcing is more difficult to quantify than 95 forcing from GHGs. First, present-day aerosol forcing is often assessed relative to assumed preindustrial conditions, which are presumed to be largely unaffected by human influences (e.g., 96 97 IPCC, 2013). Yet, there are few observational constraints on the pre-industrial aerosol state (e.g., Carslaw et al., 2017). For example, for aerosol amount there is no analog to the ice core data that 98 99 defines pre-industrial GHG concentrations, and unlike gases, aerosol microphysical properties vary greatly. Further, even for the present day, the distinction between natural and anthropogenic 100 101 aerosol is often ambiguous. Is wind-blown dust to be considered natural or anthropogenic when it is emitted in regions experiencing desertification due to increased use of water resources, over-102 103 grazing and other farming practices, or some combination of larger-scale environmental changes of uncertain natural or anthropogenic origin? The same question applies to smoke aerosol from 104 lightning-ignited wildfires that might be greatly intensified by anthropogenically enhanced 105 warming, drying, or forest management practices. Also, in practical terms, remote-sensing data 106 107 alone cannot be interpreted precisely enough in most cases even to distinguish natural aerosol 108 from aerosol that are unambiguously anthropogenic when directly sampled (see Section 2 below). These issues with historical data and the attribution of today's emissions contribute to 109 large uncertainty in the difference between aerosol forcing under both pristine and present-day 110 conditions (Carslaw et al., 2013; Hamilton et al., 2018). 111

Note that the total direct radiative forcing by aerosol includes natural sources, representing the dominant contribution to the global atmospheric aerosol mass load, plus anthropogenic aerosol. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) calls the total quantity the aerosol direct radiative effect, and refers to the anthropogenic component as the aerosol direct forcing. Given the ambiguities from an observational perspective, we use the term "aerosol forcing" to include anthropogenic and natural direct and indirect forcings and adjustments; we specify direct or indirect where needed.

A second reasons why aerosol radiative forcing is more difficult to quantify: aerosol particles are
 vastly more spatially and temporally heterogeneous than GHGs, due to relatively short

121 atmospheric lifetime, sources that are highly non-uniform in space and time, and particle

122 physical and chemical evolution that varies with atmospheric conditions. As such, frequent,

123 global observation of aerosol distribution and key properties must be provided to capture this

124 heterogeneity. Not only is aerosol amount highly variable at different locations and times,

aerosol light scattering, light absorption, and cloud nucleating properties vary considerably with

source, composition, and particle evolution. As we discuss in Section 2, these factors cannot be

127 determined adequately from remote sensing alone.

128 Finally, aerosol particles produce relatively large adjustments to forcing compared to GHGs.

129 That is, aerosol particles have secondary climate impacts, such as changing the atmospheric

130 stability profile or altering cloud radiative properties, lifetime, and precipitation. These semi-

131 direct (ambient heating by light-absorbing particles), indirect (e.g., increased particle

132 concentration causing additional cloud droplet or ice crystal formation), and other effects

represent aerosol-induced changes in the atmosphere that can cause changes in surface

134 temperature indirectly. For example, vertical redistribution of aerosol extinction can alter the

135 atmospheric thermodynamic structure, and atmospheric adjustments can even change the sign of

136 black carbon forcing in the upper atmosphere compared to the surface (e.g., Lau et al., 2008;

137 Samset et al., 2013), whereas for major GHGs such as CO₂, adjustments are estimated to

represent much smaller fractional contributions (Forster et al., 2021). A combination of

139 measurements and modeling is required to constrain adjusted forcing.

140 Reducing the magnitude of aerosol forcing uncertainty to a value comparable to that of CO₂ and

other long-lived GHGs (estimated at ± 0.35 W/m² and ± 0.23 W/m², respectively; IPCC, 2013)

142 will require greater observational constraints than near-future satellite measurements alone are

143 likely to provide. A lower bound on the uncertainty range of just the direct component of the

144 total global-mean all-sky aerosol forcing (i.e., that due to scattering and absorption by airborne

particles but not their indirect effects on clouds) is estimated as $\pm 1.1 \text{ W/m}^2$ when considering

anticipated data from a planned next-generation NASA satellite mission aimed at addressing

global climate change issues (1σ ; Thorsen et al., 2021). (This number represents 30% or more of

the global all-sky aerosol forcing by most estimates.) Systematically incorporating prior

149 information beyond that available from current or near-future large-scale observations is

150 necessary to reduce uncertainties in the role aerosol particles play in climate forcing. Thorsen et

al. (2021) suggest that incorporating additional particle property constraints might approximately 151 halve the direct forcing uncertainty estimate, though the even larger uncertainty associated with 152 the indirect effects of aerosol particles on clouds is more difficult to reduce or even to estimate. 153 Thus, to reduce climate modeling uncertainties, there is a crucial need for better particle optical, 154 microphysical, and chemical property information than can be provided by satellite 155 measurements alone. This persistent uncertainty is reflected in part by the enormously diverse, 156 yet often simplistic, particle property assumptions made in different models (e.g., CCSP, 2009). 157 Such diversity is also true of the aerosol optical model priors (i.e., initial guesses) or 158 climatologies adopted as constraints in leading satellite aerosol retrieval algorithms (e.g., Remer 159 et al., 2005; Levy et al., 2007; Kahn & Gaitley, 2015; Kim et al., 2018; Holzer-Popp et al., 160 2013). Further, the uncertainties in aerosol-cloud interactions must be reduced as well. 161

162 Previous reviews of aerosol climate forcing tend to adopt a model-centric perspective,

appropriately, as models offer the ability to make climate predictions. Such reviews can provide

164 formal estimates of aerosol forcing and its uncertainties based on current modeling, often also

taking account of the limited constraints provided by available measurements (e.g., Bellouin et

al., 2020). Here we take a more measurement-focused perspective, reviewing where we stand at

167 present on the contributions measurements can make and their relationships with models,

allowing us to also identify gaps that need to be filled.

169 Figure 1 provides a framework for discussing research activities aimed at reducing uncertainty in

aerosol forcing, and also helps organize the material presented in subsequent sections. It

171 illustrates the unique and essential roles played by satellite observations, measurements made

172 within the atmosphere (often termed "suborbital" measurements when taking a perspective that

includes global satellite measurements), as well as aerosol and climate modeling, in closing the

gap in aerosol forcing uncertainty relative to that of GHGs. The arrows and associated, color-

175 coded annotations in Figure 1 highlight the required *exchange of information* between these three

elements. (The common expression "a two-way street" means A affects B, but B also affects A.

177 Here, A affects B and C, B affects A and C, and C affects A and B; hence, a three-way street.)

178 From the synthesis provided by this review, we conclude that satellite measurement and

179 modeling efforts and plans for addressing aerosol climate forcing are relatively mature.

180 However, the uncertainty in aerosol impacts on climate directly, and indirectly through their

- interactions with clouds, could be significantly reduced through specific enhancements to the
- suborbital component, along with greater synergy among the three elements. As such, we review
- the satellite and modeling contributions first (Sections 2 and 3, below), then consider the
- suborbital element in Section 4, and provide a synthesis in Section 5 and the Conclusions. The
- view presented here is informed in part by discussions in the AeroCom and AeroSat
- 186 communities over the past decade (https://aerocom.met.no and https://aero-sat.org, respectively;
- 187 last accessed: March 2023), the work of the Systematic Aircraft Measurements to Characterize
- 188 Aerosol Air Masses (SAM-CAAM) Science Definition Team (Kahn et al., 2017), and the
- 189 collective insights of the authors of the current paper.



190

- 191 Figure 1. A framework for considering the research activities needed to reduce the persistent
- aerosol forcing uncertainty. It illustrates the relationships among the measurements, the
- 193 modeling contributions, and the interactions among these elements that we identify as central to

- 194 this effort (adapted from Kahn, 2012). The arrows and associated, color-coded annotations
- 195 indicate the contributions each element can make to the other two a three-way street.
- 196

197 **2. Satellite Contributions**

Earth-orbiting satellites offer the opportunity to collect frequent, global data, and are well suited to remotely measure quantities that vary on kilometer or even smaller spatial scales, at daily or even shorter timescales.

201 2.1. Aerosol Amount – Total-Column Optical Depth and 3-D Distribution

For more than 20 years, satellites have demonstrated the ability to provide extensive spatial and 202 frequent temporal coverage of aerosol column amount globally, primarily under clear-sky 203 conditions, and generally reported as the mid-visible aerosol optical depth (AOD). This quantity 204 205 is widely used as a constraint on climate models, or for model evaluation (e.g., Kinne et al., 2006; Buchard et al., 2017; Gelaro et al., 2017; Randles et al., 2017; Rubin et al., 2017; 206 Schutgens, et al., 2020; Gliß et al., 2021), though non-negligible differences among AOD 207 retrieval products must yet be addressed (Sogacheva et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020). Tables 208 209 summarizing currently available satellite AOD products are given in Sogacheva et al. (2020) and Kahn and Samset (2022). Space-based lidars provide the altitudes of extended aerosol layers 210 211 with the precision of tens of meters (e.g., Winker et al., 2009; Yorks et al., 2016), and usually sample well downwind of aerosol sources, where the AOD tends to be low enough for the signal 212 213 to penetrate the column under cloud-free conditions. Lidar measurements are complemented by near-source aerosol plume height from multi-angle imagery obtained in low-Earth or 214 215 geostationary orbit (Kahn et al., 2008; Nelson et al., 2013; Carr et al., 2022). Such information can help characterize aerosol vertical extent or source injection height in models (e.g., van 216 217 Donkelaar et al., 2010; Val Martin et al., 2018). Although coverage by these measurements is currently limited, their precision has demonstrated value in constraining and/or validating models 218 aimed at simulating downwind wildfire smoke and volcanic ash dispersion (e.g., Zhu et al., 219 2018a; Vernon et al., 2018). A number of satellite-based, passive-imager spectral techniques, at 220 wavelengths ranging from the ultraviolet (UV) to the infrared, offer greater aerosol layer-height 221

222 coverage, at the cost of additional assumptions that increase uncertainty (e.g., Jeong & Hsu,

223 2008, Griffin et al., 2020; Go et al., 2020; Kylling et al., 2018; Lu et al., 2021; Lyapustin et al.,

224 2020). Passive-imager techniques, especially in UV channels, have also been effective in

constraining aerosol occurrence, and even amount, over cloudy scenes (e.g., Torres et al., 2012;

226 Meyer et al., 2015; Sayer et al., 2019).

227 Models require the source strength in addition to the injection height to represent aerosol

sources. Efforts at using satellite AOD measurements to constrain source strength have applied
 either inverse modeling to infer source location along with strength from broad-swath-imager

AOD maps (e.g., Dubovik et al., 2008), or forward modeling, where a measured smoke-plume

AOD snapshot is compared to a model, run in the forward direction and initialized with varying

source strengths (Petrenko et al., 2017). These methods work best for high-AOD aerosol plumes

with little background or aged aerosol in the scene to complicate interpretation. Other

approaches, specifically for wildfires, use the magnitude of the 4-micron brightness temperature

anomaly, which can be observed from remote sensing, combined with empirically derived,

ecosystem-specific emission factors, to estimate smoke source strength (e.g., Ichoku & Ellison,

237 2014; Wiggins et al., 2020; see review by Andreae, 2019). Next-generation spacecraft

deployments promise to greatly increase coverage frequency and diurnal sampling, with

239 instrumentation such as multi-angle, multi-spectral polarimeter imagers and High Spectral

240 Resolution Lidars offering advanced measurement capabilities (e.g., <u>https://aos.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>,

last accessed, June 2022). Further, recent advances in estimating satellite-retrieved AOD

uncertainty, even at the individual pixel level, have increased the utility of the satellite AOD

243 measurements as constraints on aerosol forcing (Sayer et al., 2020; Witek et al., 2018).

The largest remaining uncertainties in the measured global distribution of aerosol amount for 244 climate forcing applications are due to (a) difficulties in retrieving AOD over snow, ice, bright or 245 246 topographically complex land, and cloud-covered surfaces, (b) accounting in satellite retrieval 247 algorithms for the detailed aerosol light-scattering and light-absorption properties, as well as the hydration state of different aerosol types under ambient conditions that affect retrieved aerosol 248 amount, (c) obtaining adequate spatial coverage for aerosol layer-height observations, and (d) 249 specifying the relative-humidity-dependent Mass Extinction Efficiency (MEE) that is required to 250 translate between AOD derived optically from remote sensing and the dry aerosol mass that is 251

bookkept in climate and air quality models. Nighttime AOD is also poorly sampled by passive

sensors including broad-swath imagers at present, and it can be important in accounting for the

- infrared part of the energy balance, especially in areas where airborne mineral dust is abundant.
- 255 This is probably a secondary issue in representing global aerosol climate forcing, given the
- uncertainties under daylight conditions (e.g., Adebiyi & Kok, 2020); however, some space-based
- thermal IR (Vandenbussche et al., 2013; Capelle et al., 2018) and even visible (Wang et al.,
- 258 2016) remote-sensing techniques hold promise to provide extensive nighttime AOD coverage
- beyond what is possible with active sensors. As discussed in subsequent sections, appropriate
- combinations of modeling and suborbital measurements with the satellite observations (Figure 1)
- appear to be key to addressing the limitations of the space-based AOD data record.

262 **2.2. Satellite Constraints on Aerosol Particle Properties**

Satellite observations have up to now been less successful at providing the quantitative particle 263 optical, microphysical, and chemical properties needed to model aerosol forcing. For example, 264 uncertainty in aerosol light-absorption, usually represented by the spectral single-scattering 265 albedo (SSA), is comparable or possibly of greater importance compared to AOD in setting the 266 overall uncertainty in aerosol direct forcing of Earth's energy budget (McComiskey et al., 2008; 267 Loeb & Su, 2010; Thorsen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). Along with aerosol vertical distribution, 268 SSA is also critical for modeling the semi-direct aerosol effect, i.e., the aerosol impact on solar-269 energy absorption and atmospheric heating, along with the attendant effects on clouds. 270

271 The ability to obtain quantitative or even qualitative constraints on properties such as particle

size, SSA, and sphericity depends on retrieval conditions as well as instrument characteristics.

273 These variables can be derived from multi-angle, multi-spectral observations when the surface is

not too complex and the AOD is sufficiently high – typically exceeding 0.2 to 0.5 at mid-visible

wavelengths. This is often challenging, as the global average mid-visible AOD is ~0.14 (e.g.,

- Andrews et al., 2017). For example, much of wildfire smoke instantaneous radiative forcing
- occurs over extended areas downwind, where smoke AOD < 0.1 (Schill et al., 2020). In addition,
- the sun-spacecraft observing geometry must provide an adequate range of scattering angles for

robust results using this technique (e.g., Kalashnikova et al., 2013; Kahn & Gaitley, 2015;
Fougnie et al., 2020).

Temporal compositing of single-view sensor measurements acquired at different times with 281 282 different viewing angles (Lyapustin et al., 2021) and/or different AOD (e.g. Seidel and Popp, 2012; Wells et al., 2012) can also be used to enhance their information content and obtain tighter 283 284 AOD or SSA constraints. Polarized, multi-spectral multi-angular measurements improve retrieval sensitivity to the real part of the particle refractive index and to the width of the particle 285 size distribution, as well as broadening the range of conditions under which meaningful particle-286 type constraints can be obtained (e.g., Mishchenko & Travis, 1997; Dubovik et al., 2011; 287 Hasekamp et al., 2011). Despite this, systematic differences in aerosol absorption derived from 288 different algorithms applied to the same polarimetric sensor still exceed the requirements for 289 290 adequate constraints on aerosol forcing (Schutgens et al., 2021). Although the deployment of new polarimeters with increased polarimetric accuracy (e.g., Werdell et al., 2019) should reduce 291 these discrepancies to some degree, algorithmic assumptions will still be required (e.g., when 292 layers containing different aerosol types occur in the atmospheric column); as with all new 293 294 measurements, the quality of the results remains to be demonstrated.

Space-based, two-channel backscatter lidar with polarization sensitivity currently provides a 295 height-resolved classification of six empirically defined aerosol types operationally, using the 296 retrieved depolarization ratio, attenuated backscatter, layer top and base altitudes, and surface 297 type as input (Kim et al., 2018). Future spacecraft deployment of High-Spectral-Resolution Lidar 298 (HSRL) instruments promises to refine lidar aerosol-type retrieval by constraining, in addition, 299 the light extinction-to-backscatter ratio (e.g., Burton et al., 2012; Hélière et al., 2012; Russell et 300 301 al., 2014; Dawson et al., 2017), a key aerosol-type-dependent microphysical property that must be assumed when interpreting backscatter-only observations in terms of aerosol amount. The 302 303 inclusion of an ultraviolet channel in a future space-based lidar instrument for greater particle-304 type discrimination, especially with depolarization sensitivity, is also possible (Burton et al., 2015; Nicolae et al., 2018; Papagiannopoulos et al., 2018). 305

Overall, within the AOD and other retrieval caveats discussed above, satellite remote sensing can
 contribute to constraining direct aerosol forcing by mapping aerosol types qualitatively and

308 identifying differences in particle size and light-absorption. However, to date, constraints from

309 satellites alone on SSA and particle size distribution lack the coverage, the accuracy, as well as

the error characterization, required for closing the aerosol forcing uncertainty gap in mostenvironments.

When retrieval conditions are favorable, some general deductions about the mechanisms driving 312 313 aerosol property evolution have also been gleaned from satellite data, such as near-source in wildfire smoke and volcanic plumes. For example, changes in AOD, and in retrieved effective 314 315 particle size and light-absorption downwind of major sources, have been used to infer sizeselective or size-independent particle deposition, particle hydration or oxidation, secondary 316 317 aerosol formation, the condensation of volatiles on existing particles, and particle activation processes (Junghenn Noyes et al., 2020; Flower & Kahn, 2020a; b). In addition, having plume-318 319 level wind vectors, e.g., derived from multi-angle stereo imaging, makes it possible to estimate timescales for the observed transitions, at least near major aerosol sources. Coincident field data, 320 primarily from aircraft making detailed *in situ* measurements and in some cases from ground 321 observers, have been essential for building confidence in these inferences made from remote-322 323 sensing observations (e.g., Junghenn Noves et al., 2020). At the same time, extensive, qualitative 324 aerosol property mapping from satellites can place the detailed aircraft measurements, acquired, e.g., along disjoint transects of a smoke plume, into the larger context of plume-particle 325 evolution. This complementary exchange of information is illustrated in Figure 1 (red and dark 326 green arrows). Further, despite the qualitative nature of satellite-based particle-evolution-process 327 328 deductions, the satellite data also offer broad spatial coverage that allows for multi-year assessment of the dominant particle evolution processes and associated timescales where data 329 quality is adequate (e.g., Junghenn Noves et al., 2022). 330

Several approaches have been taken to using satellite measurements and the associated inferences as validation or constraints on models (Figure 1, blue and light green arrows). In addition to statistical comparisons between aggregated satellite observations and models of particle evolution processes and timescales, by configuring models to simulate specific instrument measurements, more quantitative, direct comparisons between models and observations can be made in some cases. The results can be especially useful as a means of diagnosing model behavior, leading to better constraints on model parameterizations, at least

338 statistically. Such work has been performed mainly on daily snapshots from polar-orbiting

instruments. However, as the capabilities of instruments on geostationary (Gupta et al., 2019;

Lim et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020a) and even more remote platforms (Marshak et al., 2018)

341 advance, data from these sources promise to increase constraints on aerosol processes by

resolving the time-evolution of particle properties over large areas.

343 2.3. Strengths and Limitations of Space-based Aerosol-Cloud Interactions (ACI) 344 Observations

From the satellite perspective, reducing the forcing uncertainties due to the indirect effects of 345 aerosol particles on clouds is yet more challenging, given the subtlety and complexity of the 346 aerosol as well as the cloud processes involved, and the range of spatial and temporal scales on 347 which they operate (e.g., reviews by Rosenfeld et al., 2014 Seinfeld et al., 2016; Mülmenstädt & 348 Feingold 2018; McCoy et al., 2016; Quaas et al., 2020; Bellouin et al., 2020). Cloud-formation 349 processes require aerosol particles to serve as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), except under 350 very cold, homogeneous-nucleation conditions. More generally, the CCN size spectrum and 351 concentration, particle hygroscopicity, and SSA are all required to model cloud-droplet 352 formation and ACI. However, the mutual interactions between aerosol particles and clouds mean 353 that the challenge is much greater than just measuring CCN, because a host of other cloud 354 microphysical and dynamical processes change ('adjust'), depending in part on CCN properties 355 and concentrations. 356

A fundamental limit to the contribution satellites can make regarding ACI is that particles 357 smaller than about 0.1 µm in diameter are difficult to characterize with remote sensing; they are 358 very inefficient at scattering light and are essentially indistinguishable from the background 359 atmospheric gas. Yet, such small particles can represent a significant fraction of the activated 360 361 CCN, particularly under high updraft velocities (e.g., Twomey, 1974; Stier, 2016). Broad-swath, single-view imagers can provide AOD and can constrain its spectral dependence under good 362 retrieval conditions. The extinction Ångström Exponent (ANG), defined as the negative slope of 363 AOD vs. wavelength (both in log space) is inversely proportional to particle size, provided a 364 single aerosol mode dominates the atmospheric column. The product of AOD and ANG (derived 365 from AOD retrieved at several wavelengths), designated the aerosol index (AI), yields a metric 366

that weights AOD toward smaller (CCN-like) sizes (Nakajima et al., 2001). As an estimate of

368 CCN, AI is a qualitative indicator at best, in part because particle hygroscopicity is generally

369 unconstrained by remote-sensing measurements but can dominate the relationship between

370 retrieved AOD and particle number, especially for small particles (Kapustin et al., 2006; Cao et

al., 2023), and in part because extrapolating the observed part of the aerosol spectrum to smaller

372 sizes engenders additional assumptions. However, AI is often treated as quantitative for lack of

373 other observational constraints.

At the other end of the CCN size distribution, giant CCN, on the order of several microns in 374 diameter, can have an inordinately large effect on precipitation formation in warm clouds, even 375 376 at concentrations on the order 1/liter (Feingold et al., 1999), far too low to be retrieved from space-based remote sensing. High concentrations of coarse-mode and giant CCN (~ 1-2 microns 377 378 in diameter and larger) can also have a disproportionately large impact on cloud supersaturation and susceptibility to aerosol perturbations (e.g., Ghan et al., 1998; Morales Betancourt & Nenes, 379 2014). As such, giant CCN characterization must rely primarily on suborbital measurement. 380 Some recent advances in aircraft instrumentation and analysis are improving our ability to 381 382 characterize giant CCN in situ (e.g., Jung et al., 2015; Dadashazar et al., 2017; Gonzalez et al., 2022). Yet, giant nuclei measurement remains challenging, due to issues such as losses in 383 conventional inlets (e.g., Wilson et al., 2004), sizing uncertainties in wing-mounted probes (e.g., 384 Gonzalez et al., 2022), generally low number concentrations, and the need to know the time-385 history of relative humidity exposure to relate dry and ambient particle properties. 386

387 Particle light-absorption is also challenging to constrain quantitatively with remote sensing

388 (Section 2.2 above). Yet aerosol composition, particularly smoke or dust aerosol having low

389 SSA, can play an important role in driving cloud-mediated radiative forcing. Such particles can

heat the atmosphere, reducing the ambient relative humidity and causing cloud-droplet

evaporation (the so-called semi-direct effect). Further, by affecting the atmospheric vertical

392 stratification, they can either suppress (e.g., Koren et al., 2004) or possibly enhance convection,

depending on the location of the aerosol (Koren et al., 2005; Jiang & Feingold, 2006). Well-

defined smoke plumes can apparently also alter mesoscale (Lee et al., 2014) and large-scale
(Williams et al., 2022) circulation patterns.

396 Satellite-based mapping of aerosol amount over extended regions is obtained from passive 397 measurements of column-integrated AOD. Attempts at correlating AOD directly with CCN concentration measured *in situ* have yielded only qualitative relationships (e.g., Andreae, 2009; 398 399 Shinozuka et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2019), and vertical decoupling introduces significant additional uncertainty (Stier, 2016). Of particular relevance for this application, the CCN that 400 401 actually participate in the cloud-droplet-formation process are typically just below cloud base, a region inaccessible to passive measurements from space. These CCN can be measured directly 402 only with in situ aircraft instruments or inferred from surface remote sensing, by inverting cloud 403 microphysical measurements (Feingold et al., 1998), athough indirect methods offer promise for 404 some situations (e.g., Rosenfeld et al., 2016). 405

Further complicating the satellite contribution to measuring ACI is the complexity of interpreting 406 remote-sensing observations in the vicinity of clouds (e.g., Marshak et al., 2021). This region, 407 sometimes termed the "twilight zone," contains a continuum of hydrated aerosol, evaporating 408 cloud droplets, and cloud fragments (Koren et al., 2007). To interpret remote-sensing 409 observations, both "cloud contamination" of the aerosol signal and light-scattering by the cloud 410 must be taken into account. Standard retrieval algorithms assume 1-D radiative transfer whereas 411 these situations are inherently three-dimensional; the 3-D radiative transfer that applies here can 412 produce significantly different AOD retrieval results and aerosol forcing estimates (e.g., Wen et 413 al., 2007; Yang et al. 2022). Furthermore, considering the hygroscopic growth of particles in the 414 vicinity of clouds as a continuum means that the aerosol forcing is driven by the 3-D spatial 415 distribution of humidity in the cloud field (which varies on much smaller scales than the aerosol 416 417 properties (e.g., Anderson et al., 2003)).

Atmospheric dynamics plays an important role in determining the effects of aerosol on clouds, and this too is difficult to constrain from space at the relevant spatial and temporal scales, and with the required accuracy. Water vapor supersaturation, responsible for cloud droplet and ice crystal formation, is generated by expansion cooling that is directly proportional to vertical velocity. The fraction of particles that activate to form cloud droplets is often strongly dependent on updraft velocity, although aerosol number concentration, size distribution, and to a lesser

extent composition also affect the result (Feingold, 2003; Ervens et al., 2005; McFiggans et al., 424 2006). Vertical velocity is more important when cloud supersaturation is low; such "velocity-425 limited conditions" occur frequently around the globe (Reutter et al., 2009; Morales Betancourt 426 & Nenes, 2014; Sullivan et al., 2016). When low supersaturation occurs under high aerosol 427 (polluted) conditions, drop concentration depends on both CCN concentration and vertical 428 velocity (e.g., Kacarab et al., 2020; Bougiatioti et al., 2020; Georgakaki et al., 2021; Foskinis et 429 al., 2022); however, factors such as aerosol size distribution and chemical composition can also 430 become more important under these conditions (e.g., Rissman et al., 2004; McFiggans et al., 431 2006). In aerosol-poor environments such as the Arctic, the factor limiting droplet formation is 432 often the number concentration of CCN, and vertical velocity uncertainty becomes less important 433 (e.g., Feingold et al., 2003; McFiggans et al., 2006). Yet, vertical velocity is rarely constrained 434 435 by measurements contemporaneous with aerosol amount and properties such as particle size distribution and hygroscopicity (e.g., Kacarab et al. 2020; Bougiatioti et al. 2020). Further, 436 437 despite its high spatial variability globally (order 10s of meters), vertical velocity only can be measured at the required accuracy and spatial resolution with limited sampling, using active 438 439 Doppler lidar or Doppler radar from suborbital platforms (e.g., Guimond et al., 2014; Schroeder et al., 2020). At least until next-generation satellite instruments are developed, updraft velocity 440 441 measurements relevant to drop and ice formation are unlikely to be possible from spacecraft 442 alone.

The most widely observed ACI process is cloud brightening, which is caused by an increase in 443 CCN concentration generating an increase in cloud droplet number, and all else being equal, a 444 decrease in droplet size (Twomey, 1974). However, this conceptually simple mechanism is also 445 strongly affected by cloud adjustments (e.g., Twomey, 1977; Quaas et al., 2020). Much work has 446 focused on aerosol-related cloud brightening with all other factors, especially cloud liquid water 447 path (LWP), held constant. However, both LWP and cloud fraction have been shown to exhibit 448 non-monotonic responses to aerosol perturbations. Under clean conditions, increases in aerosol 449 tend to increase LWP, whereas under polluted conditions LWP might be reduced (Wang et al. 450 2003; Ackerman et al. 2004, Bretherton et al. 2007; Gryspeerdt et al. 2019). Similar results 451 appear to be valid for cloud fraction (e.g., Xue et al. 2008; Gryspeerdt et al. 2022). Although 452 453 some in-cloud processes can be inferred from satellite observations (e.g., Rosenfeld et al., 2016), 454 at least with assumptions that must be carefully assessed (Grosvenor et al., 2018; Zhu et al.,

2018b), the spatial and temporal resolution required to observe most ACI processes is beyond

present-day satellite-measurement capabilities. Further, the lack of simultaneous, detailed, space-

based observations of the multiple factors of import in these processes precludes resolving the
key subtleties in these aspects of ACI with satellite data alone.

The processes associated with cloud brightening are relatively fast, e.g., on order 10 minutes for 459 aerosol activation in shallow clouds to approximately 20 h for LWP and cloud fraction 460 adjustments (Glassmeier et al., 2021). However, aerosol particles can also alter regional-scale 461 circulation patterns on much longer timescales, which in turn change cloud amount (e.g., Menon 462 et al., 2002). From climate-forcing and even extreme-weather perspectives, these circulation 463 responses are of great importance (Soden & Chung, 2017; Persad et al., 2022; Williams et al., 464 2022). This is one aspect of ACI where satellite observations play a dominant role, providing 465 frequent, regional-to-global-scale measurements of cloud amount and bulk cloud properties 466 (Figure 1). 467

In summary, many of the factors that dominate aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions, such as CCN 468 concentration, particle size and composition, are difficult to measure with space-based remote 469 sensing; this is especially true for efforts to obtain coincident aerosol and related cloud 470 properties. As such, knowledge of these quantities remains limited on a global scale (e.g., 471 Seinfeld et al., 2016), leaving model-simulated aerosol indirect effects inadequately constrained 472 by observations. Except in special circumstances and with stringent assumptions (e.g., Pahlow et 473 al., 2006; Rosenfeld et al., 2016; Dawson et al., 2020), quantitative constraints on nearly all the 474 kev variables needed to characterize detailed ACI are beyond the capabilities of existing and 475 476 currently planned space-based remote-sensing instruments. Nevertheless, satellite observations 477 of well-defined aerosol sources such as ship tracks, industrial point sources of pollution, wildfire smoke and volcanic plumes, represent "natural laboratories" that have proven particularly useful 478 479 in studying ACI, because the unaffected surroundings provide a control for the confounding 480 factors associated with meteorology, at least to first order (Christensen et al., 2022). Also, the large datasets obtained from space can support fine-scale stratification of meteorological 481

482 conditions, allowing the aerosol effects on clouds to be isolated statistically in some cases (e.g.,
483 Zamora & Kahn, 2020).

Characterizing ACI globally requires knowledge of co-varying aerosol properties and vertical 484 485 velocity from suborbital platforms, as a function of meteorological variables that can also be monitored over broad regions from space or derived from reanalysis. The suborbital 486 487 measurements would be targeted at characterizing statistically the key variables at cloud scale for a range of specific cloud types and meteorological conditions, some of which could be retrieved 488 489 from space-based instruments with enough specificity to map out the appropriate ACI regimes. Steps in this direction have been taken by several field campaigns, including VOCALS 490 491 (Bretherton et al., 2010; Mechoso et al., 2014), ORACLES (Redemann et al., 2021), and recently ACTIVATE (Sorooshian et al., 2019; 2021). The complexity of ACI and resulting ramification 492 493 calls for a further, comprehensive program of targeted efforts of this kind, especially with simultaneous radiative flux measurements included, so the indirect effect can be quantified and 494 radiative closure assessed. Specifying such a program is beyond the scope of the current review. 495

496

497 3. Regional-to-Global-Scale Modeling Contributions

Climate modeling is of course essential for calculating direct and indirect aerosol forcing globally, for filling gaps in the observational record, and for making climate forcing and response predictions based on assumed future emissions scenarios. Such models can also play a key role in targeting aircraft *in situ* measurements to sample specific aerosol and cloud situations, and subsequently, in identifying the aerosol sources and aging histories for aerosol airmasses captured by satellite and suborbital observations (Figure 1, purple and yellow arrows, respectively). However, from emissions to aerosol climate forcing, models incorporate large numbers of assumptions and parameterizations of complex physical and chemical processes.

506

507 3.1. Model Representation of Aerosol Particle Properties

There are a few fundamental steps models take in simulating the global distribution of aerosol 508 particles, and then calculating aerosol direct radiative forcing (e.g., Kinne et al., 2003). First, 509 aerosol paticles and their precursor emissions are usually prescribed from existing datasets, such 510 as for combustion aerosol (e.g., van der Werf et al., 2017), or calculated interactively by the 511 models, typically for sea salt (de Leeuw et al., 2011) and mineral dust (e.g., Zender et al., 2003; 512 513 Pu et al., 2020) based upon meteorological conditions such as near-surface wind shear and 514 surface properties. The emission inventories specify the initial state of aerosol mass, and might provide some indication of particle composition, size distribution, and aerosol precursor gases 515 (e.g., Randerson et al., 2012; Hoesly et al., 2018). These data, as well as the source locations and 516 vertical distribution of emissions, must be supplied to the model, based on measurements where 517 available (see Section 2), and inferred or assumed elsewhere. Then the atmospheric aerosol 518 concentrations are calculated from simulated atmospheric processes, including chemical and 519 520 physical transformation, transport, dry deposition, and wet removal. These processes are typically represented as parameterizations of physical mechanisms or as empirical relationships 521 that depend on aerosol physical and chemical properties; they can be coupled interactively to 522 meteorological conditions from the host general circulation model. Next, the aerosol optical 523 properties, including the wavelength-dependent extinction (scattering + absorption), SSA, and 524 particle single-scattering phase function (or asymmetry factor) are calculated as a function of 525 aerosol mass composition, particle size distribution, particle-type-specific hygroscopic growth, 526 refractive indices, and sometimes particle shape, limited by the extent to which these properties 527 have been measured for the aerosol types involved (see Section 4 below). In bulk aerosol 528 treatments, the microphysical properties are prescribed, although a growing number of modal 529 530 and sectional aerosol models calculate them explicitly from microphysical schemes (e.g., Stier et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2007; Bauer et al., 2008; Mann et al., 2010; Kokkola et al., 2018). The 531 resulting optical and microphysical properties vary greatly depending on model 532

parameterizations, choice of parameter values, and assumed meteorological conditions. Finally, 533

the direct aerosol radiative effects are derived from the calculated or assumed aerosol optical 534

properties, simulated mass loading, and meteorological conditions, typically using fast, 535

simplified radiative transfer models, though often traceable to more detailed models (e.g., Iacono 536

et al., 2008). 537

544

538 The best-constrained aerosol-related quantity in this process is probably the mid-visible AOD,

thanks to the modern-era satellite observations from multiple space-borne platforms (see Section 539

540 2 above). This provides a basis for models to adjust their parameterizations and assumptions to

better match at least the AOD. Soon after monthly global satellite AOD data products became 541 542 available, they were used to validate model results (e.g., Kinne et al., 2006; Gliß et al., 2021).

More recently, satellite as well as surface-network-based AOD has been used to constrain some 543 models directly. Assimilation of aerosol data has become routine in many operational and

research forecasting organizations (e.g., Benedetti et al., 2009; Randles et al., 2017). The 545

International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) offers a multi-model ensemble that 546

includes major aerosol forecasting activities from around the world, often assimilating satellite-547

derived AOD as a constraint (Xian et al., 2019). 548

However, reproducing the global, mid-visible AOD to within the satellite retrieval uncertainty, 549 typically a few tenths under good retrieval conditions, does not resolve the fundamental issues 550 created by the intermediate steps leading up to the AOD calculation. This is clearly demonstrated 551 in AeroCom studies showing that the diversity of model-simulated total AOD is much smaller 552 than the diversities of individual aerosol species and other key quantities such as mass loading, 553 mass extinction efficiency, and lifetime, for which there are far fewer observational constraints 554 (Kinne et al., 2006; CCSP, 2009; Gliß et al., 2021). Apparently, different models match the 555 observed AOD for different reasons. Further, within models, the diversity of all aerosol-forcing-556 557 related quantities increases on regional spatial and seasonal/sub-seasonal temporal scales in most cases. 558

559 Although mid-visible AOD is by far the most common observable assimilated in these models,

assimilation of lidar extinction and/or backscatter profiles as well as multi-wavelength 560

reflectances from passive sensors is being explored (e.g., Benedetti et al., 2009; Sekiyama et al., 561

562 2010; Zhang et al., 2011; 2020b). Generally, in aerosol data assimilation, aerosol optical

563 properties are specified by the host model; measurements are used to adjust only the aerosol

564 constituent mass concentrations. As such, the process is limited by uncertainties in both the

565 measurements and the model parameterizations. Further, the simultaneous adjustment of optical

566 properties, particle size, and mass concentration has not yet received adequate attention,

sepecially given the increasing availability of hyperspectral data (e.g., Lee et al., 2015).

568 **3.2.** Application of Model Aerosol Type to Complement Measurements

Aerosol composition based on source characteristics, transport, removal processes, as well as 569 microphysical and chemical evolution, can be extracted from model simulations and used to 570 complement satellite measurements. Specifically, models can in principle provide priors to 571 aerosol remote-sensing retrieval algorithms, or retrospective refinement to the aerosol-type 572 mixing state as part of the satellite aerosol-retrieval process when the aerosol-type information 573 content of the satellite-observed signals is limited (Figure 1, purple arrow). For example, the 574 model-simulated aerosol species, advected downwind, can be used to weight a loosely 575 constrained range of particle properties derived from the satellite observations; the model-576 simulated aerosol type depends only on the source-receptor relationship, whereas the specificity 577 of satellite-retrieved aerosol-type constraints varies with retrieval conditions, and is greatly 578 diminished when the AOD is low or when a dominant type is lacking (Section 2 above). The 579 580 challenge is associating the aerosol properties assumed by the model with the aerosol types derived by the satellite retrieval algorithm. An early effort keyed on ANG and absorbing AOD 581 to connect aerosol properties simulated by an aerosol transport model with the satellite-retrieved 582 aerosol type (Li et al., 2015). To make the comparisons, AOD fractions of the modeled aerosol 583 584 components were ranked, reducing dependence of the result on absolute AOD values. This application is in its infancy, and the process of associating satellite-constrained aerosol types 585 586 with aerosol as represented in models requires substantial further investigation.

However, there is also considerable uncertainty in model-simulated particle property detail,
arising in part from the lack of such detail in emission inventories used to initialize climate
models, and limitations in the representation of particle microphysical and chemical aging
processes. Model representation of aerosol properties often fails to capture the complexity found

in nature, particularly in bulk models lacking microphysics, and generally, differences among 591 commonly used aerosol representations in models can produce very different aerosol forcing 592 593 results in model simulations (Nazarenkpo et al., 2017). Further, it has been shown many times in the literature that large diversity exists in model-simulated aerosol properties and both direct and 594 indirect radiative forcing (e.g., Shindell et al., 2013; Schutgens et al., 2013; Stier et al., 2013; 595 Fiedler et al., 2019). The first comprehensive inter-model comparisons of the main parameters 596 determining the aerosol radiative forcing were documented by the AeroCom community, with 597 simulation results from more than a dozen global models (Textor et al., 2006, 2007; Kinne et al., 598 2006; Schulz et al., 2006). Since then, the diversity among model simulations of aerosol forcing 599 and climate response has persisted, especially at regional scales (e.g., Koch et al., 2009; Huneeus 600 et al., 2011; Samset et al., 2014; Tsigaridis et al., 2014; Pan et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2014, 2019; 601 602 Bian et al., 2017; Sand et al., 2017; Mortier et al., 2020; Gliß et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021) despite the advances in satellite observation and *in situ* measurement (summarized in Sections 2 603

and 4, respectively).

For climate modeling applications, aerosol amount is quite well measured by the combination of 605 satellite and ground-based instruments (Section 2.1 above), which also places constraints on 606 607 aerosol source strength (e.g., Dubovik et al., 2008; Petrenko et al., 2017), transport, and to some extent, removal (e.g., Das et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2014; 2019; Reid et al., 2009). However, 608 aerosol-type diversity and the associated uncertainty in aerosol-species-specific physical and 609 optical properties, especially SSA (e.g., McComiskey et al., 2008; Loeb & Su, 2010; Thorsen et 610 611 al., 2021), continue to play a leading role in simulated aerosol direct forcing uncertainty. The availability of generally good, global AOD datasets from satellite remote sensing (Section 2 612 above) helps constrain transport and removal processes in models, although uncertaintities in 613 other, aerosol-type-specific properties such as SSA and mass extinction efficiency lead to much 614 poorer constraints on mass loading and direct aerosol forcing. For indirect forcing, uncertainty 615 due to limited observational constraints on the processes by which aerosol particles affect 616 different cloud types (Section 2.3 above) combines with particle and cloud property uncertainties 617 (Carslaw et al., 2013). In addition, vertical velocity at cloud-scale cannot be resolved in current 618 climate models, yet, uncertainties in this quantity can make models overly sensitive to aerosol or 619 620 meteorological conditions, obscuring the underlying sources of modeled indirect forcing 621 uncertainty (Sullivan et al., 2016). In addition, only a few models treat the aerosol effects on ice

nucleation for cold clouds (e.g. Gettelman et al 2012), further contributing to spread due to

model diversity. These aerosol-climate-forcing issues in turn make major contributions to the

624 diversity in model climate prediction, and model diversity represents only a lower bound on

625 model uncertainty, especially when model diversity is reduced as a consequence of model inter-

626 comparison studies rather than based on comparisons with measurements. To obtain estimates

627 of actual model uncertainty requires having appropriate measurements againt which to

628 *compare*.

629 **3.3. Strengths and Limitations of the Aerosol-Forcing Modeling Process**

630 Within models, the terms associated with aerosol climate forcing can be isolated. Aerosol

radiative forcing is usually defined as the change of net irradiance (in W m⁻²) at either the 631 tropopause after stratospheric temperature adjustment (e.g., Ramaswamy et al., 2001), or at the 632 top-of-atmosphere, provided stratospheric adjustment has little effect on the aerosol radiative 633 forcing (e.g., Schulz et al., 2006). As such, aerosol radiative forcing (ARF) is the combined 634 result of aerosol-radiation interactions (ARI) and ACI (Boucher et al., 2013). ARI represents the 635 change aerosol particles make to the incoming solar radiation reaching Earth's surface through 636 both direct and semi-direct effects. As discussed in Section 2.3, ACI accounts for the impact 637 aerosol particles have on cloud albedo and lifetime when they serve as CCN (or Ice Nuclei, IN), 638 in turn altering radiative fluxes. ARF can produce positive or negative net climate forcing, 639 depending on the aerosol type, meteorological conditions, surface properties, and the spatial 640 distribution of aerosol particles relative to clouds. 641

To calculate ARI, models must represent the vertically and spectrally resolved aerosol extinction, 642 SSA, and phase function (or asymmetry factor) of airborne particles. As discussed in Sections 643 3.1 and 3.2 above, these quantities depend on the emissions inventories and meteorology used to 644 initialize the model, the atmospheric transport, removal, chemical processes used to simulate 645 aerosol mass concentrations and composition, and the underlying aerosol physical and optical 646 properties including particle mixing state, size distribution and shape, hydroscopic growth, and 647 spectral-dependent complex refractive indices. The parameterizations associated with each step 648 in model simulation entail considerable uncertainties. Although most of the required quantities 649 are either inferred from measurements or derived from theory, measurements to constrain the 650

651 models are grossly limited or unavailable. Extrapolating sparse optical property measurements

over much larger spatial and temporal domains is risky, resulting in large uncertainties

propagating throughout the multiple stages of radiative forcing calculations (e.g., Schutgens etal., 2013).

Modeling the ACI component of aerosol radiative forcing is even more uncertain than that for 655 ARI, as it involves the liquid and ice cloud formation processes, lifetime, and properties along 656 with aerosol particle microphysical characteristics (Section 2.3 above; comprehensively 657 reviewed by Bellouin et al., 2020). For example, changes in CCN can affect cloud-droplet 658 659 number; clouds can respond with 'adjusted' mass and extent. Similarly, aerosol particles can affect precipitation and latent heat release, although changes in precipitation on regional scales 660 are mediated primarily by energy and water budget considerations (e.g., Held & Soden, 2006; 661 O'Gorman et al., 2012; Gettelman & Sherwood, 2016; Dagan and Stier, 2020). Studies of 662 aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds find that with increased aerosol loading, precipitation 663 and convective updrafts might increase, decrease, or remain relatively unchanged, apparently 664 665 depending upon other, unidentified factors (Tao et al., 2012; Boucher et al., 2013), results that entail significant model uncertainties (Marinescu et al., 2021). As discussed earlier, quantifying 666 aerosol indirect effects also involves modeling cloud formation, lifetime, and properties, and is 667 highly sensitive to cloud-scale vertical velocities and the above-mentioned aerosol 668 characteristics. Many of the relevant processes operate on spatial scales far smaller than the 669 resolution of global models and are therefore either highly parameterized or simply not included, 670 such as some aerosol effects on convective clouds. Large Eddy Simulations (LES) are valuable 671 research tools, complementary to climate models in that they can resolve many of the smaller-672 scale processes; however, they still entail assumptions that are often unconstrained by 673 measurements, are impractical to run over large areas or long time periods, and cannot provide 674 675 estimates of forcing (e.g., Bellouin et al., 2020).

Further, the review of aerosol forcing modeling above addresses only present-day conditions. In most modeling-oriented climate assessments such as the IPCC reports, aerosol radiative forcing requires accounting for the difference between present-day and "pre-industrial" conditions, i.e., conditions at a time when it is assumed anthropogenic influences were minimal, commonly taken
as 1750 or 1850. This of course engenders furthur uncertainties, as discussed in Section 1.

681

682 4. The Role of Aircraft and Ground-based *In situ* and Remote-sensing Measurements

Existing aircraft and ground-based in situ and remote-sensing aerosol measurements are 683 sometimes described collectively as the suborbital aerosol program-of-record. These data 684 provide the key information that has been applied by both the satellite and modeling 685 communities as constraints on aerosol microphysical properties (e.g., Reddington et al., 2017; 686 Gliß et al., 2021) and vertical structure (Watson-Parris et al, 2019). The particle property 687 688 measurements are used to assign priors and to make other assumptions in many satellite remote-689 sensing aerosol retrieval algorithms. They also form the basis for the microphysical property assumptions of aerosol source characteristics in most models, and they can contribute to model 690 691 validation (Figure 1). For climate applications, these suborbital measurements are usually used in a statistical sense due to their significant spatial and/or temporal sampling limitations. 692

693 4.1. Surface-based Observation Network and Aircraft Field Campaign Contributions

694 Networks of surface-based remote-sensing instruments, such as sun photometers (e.g., the Aerosol Robotic Network, AERONET, Holben et al., 1998; the Marine Aerosol Network, 695 696 MAN, Smirnov et al., 2011) and aerosol lidars (e.g., the Micro-Pulse Lidar Network, MPLNET, Welton et al., 2001; the European Aerosol Research Lidar Network, EARLINET, Pappalardo et 697 698 al., 2014), generate a substantial database of aerosol amount, vertical distribution, and some particle property information. In addition, heavily instrumented surface sites, such as the US 699 700 Department of Energy's Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) program at their Southern 701 Great Plains site and Mobile Facilities (e.g., Vogelman et al. 2012; Mather & Voyles, 2013) 702 contribute detailed, local aerosol, cloud, and radiation measurements. (A table of leading surfacebased aerosol measurement networks is given in Kahn et al. (2004).) Direct in situ sampling at 703 ground stations can add physical, optical, and chemical detail to the remotely sensed particle 704 optical properties and can document long-term variability, but such measurements are acquired 705 only near or at the surface and at only a few sampling sites, mostly concentrated in a few regions 706

- of the globe (e.g., The US Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments network,
- ⁷⁰⁸ IMPROVE, Malm & Hand, 2007; the Surface Particulate Matter Network, SPARTAN, Snider et
- al., 2015; the Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW) network, Andrews et al., 2019; Rose et al.,
- 710 2021; Laj et al., 2020; the European Commission's Aerosol, Clouds, and Trace Gases Research
- 711 Infrastructure (ACTRIS) (<u>https://www.actris.eu</u>; last accessed: March 2023); plus studies of
- collected data by Fanourgakis et al., 2019; Burgos et al., 2020).
- 713 Intensive aircraft field campaigns complement the surface-based measurements, offering much
- greater flexibility in sampling the atmosphere near and downwind of aerosol sources; this allows
- for *in situ* as well as remote-sensing measurement of transported aerosol layers aloft as they
- evolve, and further, can provide insight into the processes involved in aerosol evolution and
- aerosol-cloud interaction (e.g., the Atmospheric Tomography Mission, ATom, Brock et al.,
- 2021; the Studies of Emissions and Atmospheric Composition, Clouds and Climate Coupling by
- 719 Regional Surveys campaign, SEAC⁴RS, Toon et al., 2016; The Green Ocean Amazon
- Experiment, GOAMAZON, Martin et al., 2017; the Observations of Aerosols above Clouds and
- their Interactions campaign, ORACLES, Redemann et al., 2021; the Aerosol Cloud Meteorology
- 722 Interactions over the Western Atlantic Experiment, ACTIVATE, Sorooshian et al., 2021).
- However, such campaigns can target only selected regions and are usually limited in time,
- typically lasting only a few weeks or months. Finally, laboratory analysis of collected samples is
- sometimes required to obtain compositional and some key optical results, but are currently also
- severely limited in scope (e.g., Di Biagio et al., 2017; McNeill et al., 2020).

4.2. Strengths and Limitations of Aerosol Property Data Available from Suborbital Sources

Aerosol property databases have been developed in the past. A leading example is the Optical 728 Properties of Aerosols and Clouds (OPAC) database (Hess et al., 1998). It provides tabulated, 729 digital, microphysical and optical properties, covering UV, visible, and infrared spectral ranges, 730 for aerosol components as well as mixtures representing various aerosol types, and includes data 731 calculated at a number of relative humidity values. The need for such databases is demonstrated 732 733 by the widespread use of OPAC optical properties in models, satellite remote sensing retrieval algorithms, and as precomputed packages for use in radiative transfer codes (e.g., Chin et al., 734 2002; Thomas et al., 2009; Dee et al., 2011; Gasteiger & Wiegner, 2018; Vicent et al., 2020). 735

⁷³⁶ Indeed, OPAC has over 3,000 citations at the time of writing, according to Google Scholar.

737 However, OPAC has known, significant limitations, due to the lack of representative (or in some

cases, any) measurements of the required aerosol properties. These limitations have been

documented in the literature (e.g., Colarco et al., 2013; Zieger et al., 2013; Alvarado et al., 2016)

and, despite the availability of much better instrumentation than that used decades ago to help

741 construct OPAC, this situation persists today.

As consistently and systematically measured particle chemical, microphysical, and optical 742 743 properties are currently lacking, it is difficult to critically assess and improve model assumptions. Recent efforts to collect retrospective, in situ aerosol data into a better organized "program-of-744 record" promise to help refine our sense for the range of particle property values in different 745 places (e.g., Reddington et al., 2017; Balkanski et al., 2021; Schuster & Trepte, 2021). However, 746 past aerosol property measurements were not designed to provide the comprehensive inputs 747 needed for global climate modeling. To be most useful as constraints on modeling aerosol 748 forcing, suborbital measurements of particle microphysical and chemical properties must meet 749 the following four criteria: 750

• A statistical sampling of key variables for major aerosol airmass types is needed. (An aerosol 751 airmass describes the spatial and temporal distribution of aerosol particles having similar 752 properties, based on remote-sensing retrieval of aerosol type or on model simulation from a 753 particular source and evolution.) Aircraft in situ aerosol measurements are not often made with 754 the aim of obtaining the distribution (e.g., the probability distribution function or PDF) of 755 particle property values associated with specific aerosol types. Except at sparse surface-based 756 757 sampling stations, a limited number of individual measurements of a given aerosol airmass type is the best we currently have in most cases, whereas to establish the PDF of a quantity, a 758 sufficient number of measurements is required so that subsequent measurements reproduce the 759 760 distribution of values already obtained.

• A *suite of specific physical, chemical, and optical quantities* is needed to adequately
 characterize an aerosol type for climate applications, and would also be useful for air quality
 modeling (Table 1). All the required types of measurements have been made in the past, but

rarely have they all been made together for the same aerosol airmass and under appropriateranges of meteorological conditions.

• Particle property evolution during transport needs to be characterized. The properties of 766 767 many aerosol types change significantly as they age. As such, the particle properties of major aerosol types need to be characterized systematically downwind from the source (or upwind 768 769 toward the source) to adequately capture the aging process. Further, the source, the age, and the associated environmental conditions need to be recorded for each sample, best done in most 770 cases by aerosol transport modeling (Figure 1, yellow arrow). (The timescales for particle 771 evolution vary. Changes in wildfire smoke particles and secondary aerosol formation typically 772 773 occur over minutes to hours (e.g., Yokelson et al., 2009; Kleinman et al., 2020), whereas alteration of mineral dust properties can take days (e.g., Denjean et al., 2016) and is unlikely to 774 775 be fully captured during a single aircraft flight.) Such aircraft measurements can also acquire information characterizing aerosol variability at spatial scales of a few km or less, which can be 776 important for representing sub-grid-scale aerosol properties and ACI processes in climate models 777 (Haywood et al., 1997; Fast et al., 2022). With the help of aerosol transport modeling and/or 778 779 synoptic-scale satellite observations, aerosol sources and transport history can be determined, 780 even when aerosol layers are superposed at different elevations and from different sources, but again, this has not been done for much of the program-of-record. 781

• Uncertainty characterization is critical to assess the resulting uncertainty in derived aerosol 782 forcing. However, estimates of measurement uncertainty are difficult to obtain for many aircraft 783 in situ instruments. This situation can be improved considerably with techniques that were 784 785 unavailable for most field campaigns until recently. For example, open-path instruments, some 786 existing and some in development, can measure aerosol properties under ambient conditions. Such properties include aerosol scattering and extinction, as well as particle size distribution 787 788 (Martins, 2016) and light-absorption estimates (Gordon et al., 2015). These measurements 789 facilitate uncertainty estimate calculations for the particle hygroscopicity derived from other, inaircraft measurements. In addition, the open-path instruments can produce uncertainty estimates 790

791 (or even corrections) for directly measured inlet efficiency, which is especially important for

⁷⁹² super-micron particles.

793

Table 1*. The suite of aerosol properties that need to be measured systematically, primarily from
aircraft, and the purpose of each, for the climate-forcing application[†].

796

Aerosol Properties Obtained from In Situ Measurements [§] and Integrated Analysis			
Spectral extinction coefficient	- To constrain and to interpret spectral Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD)		
Spectral absorption or single-scattering albedo	- To determine atmospheric spectral light-absorption and heating		
	- To constrain spectral AOD retrievals		
Particle hygroscopic growth factor	- To connect particle properties with ambient RH conditions		
	- To model particle activation and aerosol-cloud interactions		
	 To model particle optical properties and loss mechanisms 		
	- To model particle activation and aerosol-cloud interactions		
Particle size distribution	 As a complement to chemical composition discrimination 		
	 Required for deriving aerosol Mass Extinction Efficiency (MEE) 		
Particle composition	 For source identification To classify measurements in terms of aerosol types as specified in most models, e.g., sea salt, sulfate, mineral dust, black carbon (BC), brown carbon (BrC), especially important for aerosol-cloud- interaction modeling To support deriving properties of the anthropogenic fraction, as needed to calculate anthropogenic aerosol "climate" forcing (also supports air quality applications) 		
Spectral single-scattering phase matrix [all possible angles]	 To calculate radiation fields To constrain multi-angle radiance AOD retrievals <i>Polarized</i> – to help determine aerosol type, and to constrain remote-sensing observations where polarized data are included 		
Mass extinction efficiency (MEE)	 To translate between optical remote-sensing measurements and model aerosol mass [Can be derived from integrated analysis of particle size distribution and extinction coefficient, with density deduced from particle compositional constraints or measured directly from samples] 		
Real Refractive Index	- To model aerosol optical properties		

	- To constrain AOD retrievals to the level-of-detail required for aerosol forcing				
Variables Providing Meteorological Context					
Carbon Monoxide (CO; also possibly CO ₂ , NO ₂ , O ₃)	 As a tracer for smoke, to help distinguish smoke from urban pollution in some cases For modeling heterogeneous reactions, secondary aerosol formation 				
Ambient temperature, Pressure, and Relative humidity (RH)	 To help interpret ambient measurements To translate between instrument and ambient conditions 				
Aircraft 3-D location	- To relate aircraft measurements to model simulations and to any available satellite observations, for source identification and aging history				
Variables Providing Ambie	nt, Remote-Sensing Context				
Ambient Spectral single-scattering phase matrix [all possible angles]	 To assess in-aircraft measurements by comparing with ambient conditions To help calculate radiation fields and constrain remote-sensing AOD retrievals <i>Polarized</i> – to help determine aerosol type, and to constrain remote-sensing retrievals where polarized data are included 				
Ambient Spectral extinction coefficient	 To constrain remote-sensing spectral AOD retrievals and assess in-aircraft measurements by comparing with ambient conditions 				
Large particle / cloud probe	 To provide information about dust and other particles larger than the inlet size cut of other instruments As an independent measure of possible cloud impact on the reliability of other data 				
Aerosol layer heights	 To determine flight levels for subsequent direct sampling To correlate with meteorological conditions As a constraint on trajectory modeling to identify aerosol sources and evolution 				

797

798 *Adapted from Kahn et al., 2017; © American Meteorological Society. Used with permission. This table was originally developed for aircraft aerosol measurements, as these can sample 799 800 aerosol aloft and can characterize particle property evolution systematically. However, a subset 801 of these measurements acquired from surface-based instruments can contribute as well, especially for the near-surface components that are difficult to sample from airborne platforms. 802 [†]The variables in this table are aimed at characterizing aerosol properties for the major aerosol 803 804 air mass types in general. CCN and Ice Nucleii (IN) would be included, especially in the 805 hygroscopic growth factor and size distribution measurements. However, systematic and comprehensive measurements of additional cloud and meteorological variables associated with 806

aerosol-cloud interactions, for the major cloud types and meteorological regimes, globally, as
introduced in Section 2.3, would require a different aircraft program, beyond the scope of this
review.

810 §These quantities are typically measured inside the aircraft, at low or at least altered RH.

811

Although many current models are not equipped to apply detailed *in situ* aerosol property 812 measurements directly due to the simplicity of their parameterizations, such information remains 813 814 critical for characterizing model error. By systematically collocating measured and modeled aerosol optical properties in space and time, the probability distribution of errors in these 815 parameters can be estimated. Such diagnostics can help refine model parameterizations and 816 better quantify the uncertainties in the derived aerosol radiative forcing, especially those 817 associated with simplified assumptions and parameterizations. And as computer capabilities 818 819 allow climate models to advance, the applicability of information provided by such measurement detail will increase. For example, more sophisticated parameterizations of aerosol-cloud 820 821 interactions and more subtle particle physical and chemical changes during particle aging could 822 be captured in the simulations.

823

824 5. Discussion – Addressing Suborbital Data Limitations

Of the three elements shown in Figure 1, the suborbital measurement component is the least well addressed for the aerosol climate forcing application by existing and planned efforts. In this section, we review the more comprehensively defined possibilities for aerosol property measurements first, and touch on those for ACI subsequently.

The current aerosol measurement program-of-record does not meet the criteria summarized in Section 4. This is reflected in the *diversity of assumed aerosol properties* in remote-sensing retrieval algorithms, and the even greater particle property diversity among model assumptions (e.g., CCSP, 2009; Myhre et al., 2013; Gliß et al., 2021). The lack of consensus about aerosol intensive properties provides some indication of what is yet to be achieved with systematic *in situ* aerosol measurements. *All measurements have uncertainties. However, in situ measurements* can provide the most direct way to quantify particle SSA and size distribution, offer by far the

best constraints on particle hygroscopicity and the CCN size spectrum, and produce the only

837 available constraints on MEE and actual particle composition. This is illustrated, for example,

by the work of Brock et al. (2021).

As such, a comprehensive database of key *in situ* measurements targeting the major aerosol 839 840 airmasses would fill this persistent gap in our ability to model the effects of aerosol particles on climate. It would also add value to several decades of existing as well as all future global satellite 841 842 remote-sensing measurements by providing more robust, complete, and detailed particle microphysical property information than is currently available to use as priors or constraints on 843 844 remote-sensing retrieval algorithms. For data assimilation, such measurements would provide a better basis for quantifying errors arising from faulty or uncertain assumptions about aerosol 845 optical properties in the retrieval process and would open the door for the assimilation of aerosol 846 properties beyond AOD. The data would be of value for air quality applications as well, by 847 contributing to the accuracy of aerosol species as represented in air quality models. 848

One simplifying factor for developing a database addressing current needs is that, for a given 849 source in a given season, the particle intensive microphysical and optical properties tend to be 850 repeatable, even as the aerosol amount varies on many spatial and temporal scales. For example, 851 although the amount of dust raised from a particular desert source region can vary diurnally, 852 seasonally, and interannually, the emitted dust microphysical properties generally remain 853 unchanged (e.g., Reid et al., 2008). Similarly, wildfires consuming the same vegetation types in 854 the same ecosystem and season tend to produce smoke particles having similar properties (e.g., 855 Reid et al., 2005; Junghenn Noyes et al., 2022). This means a program aimed at making the suite 856 857 of key in situ particle intensive property measurements systematically is at least feasible.

Complementary satellite measurements are also needed (Figure 1), frequently, over large spatial scales for regional context, (a) to map the varying extensive properties, i.e., aerosol amounts and 3-D spatial distributions of different aerosol types, and (b) to limit as much as possible sampling bias (e.g., Schutgens et al., 2017). Aerosol type, the classification possible based on particle size, shape, and SSA constraints that can be derived from satellite remote-sensing measurements, is the key to linking the extensive aerosol air masses, mapped out frequently and globally by

satellites, with the detailed intensive properties that can only be derived from *in situ* 864 measurements. Hence, systematic *in situ* aircraft measurements will have made a key 865 contribution to reducing aerosol forcing uncertainty once they have adequately characterized the 866 detailed particle microphysical properties for major aerosol sources and their downwind 867 evolution. Further, the in situ measurements can be acquired under non-precipitating cloudy 868 conditions, in some cases even if the aerosol particles are concentrated within a cloud layer, and 869 the observations need not be obtained in synchrony with satellite observations (although doing so 870 at times would be important, e.g., for remote-sensing retrieval validation and assessment of 871 consistency among different measurement approaches). 872

873 Aircraft *in situ* programs aimed at measuring aerosol microphysical or optical properties systematically have been deployed in the past, though with different objectives from the one 874 discussed here (e.g., the Civil Aircraft for Regular Investigation of the Atmosphere Based on an 875 Instrument Container, CARIBIC, Nguyen et al., 2006; the Vertical Profiles of Aerosol Optical 876 Properties over rural Oklahoma program, Andrews et al., 2011; the Vertical Profiles of Aerosol 877 Optical Properties over Central Illinois program, Sheridan et al., 2012). Some recent airborne 878 879 science projects have also included components aimed at characterizing aerosol properties or processes systematically (Brock et al., 2021; Redemann et al., 2021, Sorooshian et al., 2019; 880 2021). These efforts offer some guidance as to how such programs might operate. However, the 881 level of effort needed to characterize statistically the required suite of aerosol properties (Table 882 1), for many aerosol types, has been beyond the scope of past campaigns, which have either 883 884 focused on one specific region or included only commercial airline pathways, and some quantities such as MEE have not been constrained in most of these experiments. 885

886 A possible future aircraft program addressing the needed aerosol microphysical properties for reducing aerosol forcing uncertainty has been outlined previously, showing that the key variables 887 888 could be measured with a relatively small but dedicated aircraft, and with technologies that 889 existed even in 2014, though there have been some significant improvements in instrumentation since (Kahn et al., 2017). The aircraft would fly two-to-three times per week from an initial base 890 of operations, with fixed flight plans aimed at sampling all the major, climatologically likely 891 aerosol airmasses accessible from the base, as identified in advance from model simulations and 892 satellite aerosol-type mapping. The flight plan would begin with a high-altitude traverse along 893

one of the pre-determined paths, and a simple lidar on board would determine the elevations of
the aerosol layers below. The layers would then be sampled, as far up- or downwind as feasible,
and the aircraft would return to base.

897 Once the PDFs of the key variables were acquired for the accessible aerosol airmasses, the aircraft would move to a new base of operations, again informed by an analysis of aerosol 898 899 transport model simulations and aircraft siting considerations. Unlike typical aircraft field campaigns, the data would be processed routinely, probably at a central location, as is common 900 901 for NASA satellite missions. In addition to helping deployment planning in advance, by identifying important source locations and likely dispersion pathways as sampling targets, 902 903 aerosol transport models would also be run routinely during deployment, to characterize the sources and aging histories of the aerosol particles sampled during each flight (Figure 1, yellow 904 905 arrow). As opportunities arose, the aircraft would overfly ground stations for cross-validation, and could also participate in intensive field campaigns when appropriate. Taking account of 906 typical aircraft maintenance considerations, the availability of basing facilities, and the 907 seasonality of some aerosol sources, we estimate that a deployment of roughly three-to-four 908 909 years would be required to sample the major aerosol airmasses of North America. There is 910 additional uncertainty in this estimate, however, due to limited knowledge about the number of samples required to obtain PDFs for different key variables and for different aerosol types, 911 depending also on the capabilities of the designated aircraft and the robustness of the 912 instrumentation. The successful demonstration of such a program could engender similar 913 914 programs hosted in other regions around the world, leading to characterization of the major 915 aerosol airmass types, globally.

916 The requirements for suborbital measurements to comprehensively reduce uncertainties in modeling ACI processes and effects are not as well established as those described above for 917 918 addressing aerosol properties. A suborbital ACI measurement program could be considerably 919 more complex. Reviews of past modeling and measurement work (e.g., Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Mülmenstädt & Feingold, 2018; Bellouin et al., 2020) and experience from recent aircraft 920 campaigns aimed at characterizing aerosol particles, clouds, and their interactions in specific 921 regions (Behrenfeld et al., 2019; Sorooshian et al., 2019; 2021; Crosbie et al., 2022) provide 922 some indication of what would be involved. Both aerosol and cloud properties would need to be 923

measured for this application, on spatial scales ranging from 10^{-7} to 10^{6} m and temporal scales of 924 minutes to hours or more. Sampling would have to encompass major cloud types, and several 925 coordinated aircraft would probably be required to provide an combination of remote-sensing 926 and *in situ* observations capable of resolving variations both vertically as well as horizontally 927 within and surrounding cloud systems. Variables identifying distinct cloud and meteorological 928 regimes, that could subsequently be mapped with space-based remote sensing, would be required 929 to allow the field results of be extrapolated to global scale and extended time periods. A future 930 study identifying the required variables, likely instruments to make the key measurements, 931 targeting requirements, and observation strategies aimed at reducing ACI modeling uncertainties 932 in general is indicated. 933

934

935 6. Conclusions – A Three-Way Street

The aerosol-related climate forcing uncertainties have not diminished appreciably in more than
20 years, despite substantial progress in other aerosol- and cloud-related measurement and
modeling areas. Forcing uncertainty translates directly into climate change attribution and
prediction uncertainty.

Unlike most previous reviews of aerosol climate forcing constraints and uncertainties, we take a 940 measurement-oriented perspective. As illustrated in Figure 1, the satellite and suborbital 941 942 measurement as well as the modeling research communities each have unique and essential contributions to make to the other two to achieve substantial progress in reducing the uncertainty 943 in aerosol climate forcing overall. The application of loose satellite constraints on aerosol type 944 and precursor gas distributions to improve model simulations needs to be explored in broader 945 terms than previously, in part by taking the limited retrieved information content on particle size, 946 947 shape, and light-absorption where available and linking it to more specific aerosol species, based on source properties projected to the observed locations by aerosol transport modeling. This is an 948 example of a two-way street - the models help refine the satellite-retrieved aerosol type results, 949 especially where the AOD is too low for reliable satellite aerosol-type retrieval or where cloud, 950 951 complex surface topography or snow cover entirely precludes remote-sensing aerosol measurement; the aggregate of satellite instruments provides the 3-D spatial distribution of 952
aerosol amount and type to constrain and/or validate the models, improving aerosol source
initialization, transport, transformation, and deposition, and reducing model bias. Aerosol data
assimilation offers another example: for each analysis cycle, the model projects past observations
into the future, which in turn provides additional constraints for the assimilation of new data.

As outlined in Section 4 above, for the suborbital component to adequately contribute to 957 958 modeling and to the interpretation of satellite observations (the third way), a database of systematic, statistically representative in situ measurements needs to be developed, containing 959 960 the key particle microphysical, optical, and chemical properties and associated uncertainty estimates that cannot be derived from remote-sensing measurements alone. Such in situ 961 measurements are needed to improve assumptions and parameterizations in models as well as 962 observation operators for data assimilation, putting the model-assumed aerosol particle 963 properties on more solid and consistent footing. The detailed aerosol properties will also serve to 964 improve the priors or constraints on satellite retrieval algorithms, adding value to more than two 965 decades of retrospective as well as planned future satellite aerosol observations. Further, such in 966 situ aerosol data, with attention to the co-variability among aerosol and meteorological variables, 967 would make more precise and *quantitative* connections between the satellite optical constraints 968 969 on aerosol amount and type and the modeled, species-specific, aerosol mass (e.g., the MEEs), a key requirement for data assimilation and model validation. A separate suborbital measurement 970 program is needed to characterize the processes by which the aerosol particles and clouds 971 interact, reporting detailed cloud properties in the presence of different aerosol amounts and 972 973 types, under a range of meteorological conditions.

Completing the pattern of relationships, models, constrained by satellite measurements, can help 974 975 in targeting the systematic *in situ* measurements to regions where they will matter most. Subsequently, models can help interpret the aerosol source and aging history, as well as cloud 976 977 regime-type and evolution acquired through satellite and suborbital observations. In addition to 978 its application to climate-related study, this approach would help improve model representation 979 of surface-level particulate matter (PM) concentrations and composition, which is at the heart of air quality monitoring on regional and global scales (e.g., Appel et al., 2008; Hammer et al., 980 2019; van Donkelaar et al., 2021). Specifically, two leading sources of uncertainty for air quality 981 applications relate to the aerosol vertical distribution and aerosol composition, which are also 982

among the leading uncertainties in aerosol contributions to climate forcing, though the

observational requirements for air quality applications are somewhat different, e.g., requiring

near-surface aerosol chemical toxicity and possibly tighter constraints on particle size. For air

quality applications, recent advances in data analysis techniques are likely to make important

987 contributions (e.g., Bellinger et al., 2017)

988 Clearly, programs supporting advanced, global-scale satellite and surface-based aerosol, precursor gas, and cloud observations, climate modeling, surface networks, and intensive field 989 990 campaigns aimed at characterizing the underlying physical and chemical processes involved, as currently planned, are all essential. The main parts of the overall picture missing from current 991 992 programs or those planned for the near future are: (1) a program of long-term, systematic aircraft measurements aimed at creating a climatology of the key aerosol microphysical properties 993 994 driving aerosol-climate interactions for the major aerosol airmass types, along with their statistical distributions and uncertainties, (2) a suborbital program aimed at filling gaps in 995 aerosol-cloud-interaction-related cloud-scale microphysics, cloud optical properties, and 996 associated dynamics, and (3) the mandate, the support, and the computational resources for the 997 998 respective communities to work together in detail on the *synthesis* effort – the three-way street 999 (Figure 1). Such efforts would go a long way toward 'model-data fusion' (e.g., Gettelman et al., 2022); that is, developing consistent representations of aerosol properties as retrieved from 1000 remote sensing, measured in situ, and adopted by models (sometimes referred to in the 1001 AeroCom, AeroSat, and ICAP communities as "harmonizing" aerosol types among different 1002 1003 platforms and communities). It would help members of the involved research communities agree upon those properties that most affect the aerosol analysis or application in a given place and 1004 time, and would greatly improve the feasibility of exchanging aerosol information among and 1005 1006 between measurements and models. The cloud-related requirements for constraining aerosol-1007 cloud interactions, such as better characterization of ice-cloud processes, documentation of aerosol effects on cloud condensate and cloud fraction, as well as vertical velocity constraints, 1008 especially in convective systems, are also needed. Yet, fully effecting the three-way street seems 1009 to be essential for substantially reducing the aerosol direct and indirect forcing uncertainty that 1010 1011 persistently dominates climate and also affects air quality prediction uncertainty. The technical 1012 capabilities to achieve this are all currently available.

1	0	1	3
-	~	-	~

1015 Acknowledgments

1016

1017 R. Kahn thanks the AeroCom and AeroSat communities for discussions over many years that 1018 helped refine many of the ideas presented in this paper, as well as the SAM-CAAM Science 1019 Definition Team for demonstrating that a notional payload of technologies available even in 2014 could meet the systematic aerosol *in situ* measurement requirements and fit within a 1020 relatively small aircraft. We also thank Yves Balkanski, Alan Brewer, Richard Ferrare, Bob 1021 1022 Yokelson, and John Yorks for helpful notes related to their work, and three anonymous reviewers whose notes helped us improve the paper. AeroSat was initiated in the ESA Climate 1023 1024 Change Initiative (CCI) project Aerosol cci framework (ESA-ESRIN Contract no. 4000101545/10/I-AM), which also provides some AeroSat coordination support. R. Kahn is 1025 supported in part by NASA's Climate and Radiation Research and Analysis Program under Hal 1026 1027 Maring, NASA's Atmospheric Composition Modeling and Analysis Program under Richard 1028 Eckman, and the NASA EOS MISR and Terra projects, E. Andrews is supported by NOAA cooperative agreement NA22OAR4320151. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is 1029 1030 supported by the National Science Foundation. A. Nenes acknowledges support by the project PyroTRACH (ERC-2016-COG) funded from H2020-EU.1.1. - Excellent Science - European 1031 1032 Research Council (ERC), project ID 726165 and from the European Union project FORCeS 1033 funded from Horizon H2020-EU.3.5.1 (project ID 821205). J.R. Pierce is supported by NASA grant 80NSSC21K0429. P. Stier acknowledges support from the European Research Council 1034 (ERC) project RECAP under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation 1035 programme (https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/funding/funding-1036 1037 opportunities/funding-programmes-and-open-calls/horizon-2020 en, last accessed April 2023) with grant agreement no. 724602, and the the FORCeS and NextGEMs project under the 1038 1039 European Union's Horizon 2020 research programme with grant agreements 821205 and 1040 101003470, respectively 1041 We did not identify any conflicts-of-interest for any coauthor.

1042

1043 **Open Research**

1044	This paper represents a review and analysis of previously published work. As such, the
1045	underlying data are made available from the original sources through the cited literature.
1046	
1047	References
1048	
1049	Ackerman, A. S., Kirkpatrick, M. P., Stevens, D. E., & Toon, O. B. (2004). The impact of
1050	humidity above stratiform clouds on indirect aerosol climate forcing. Nature 432,
1051	doi:10.1038/nature03174.
1052	
1053	Adebiyi, A.A., & Kok, J.F. (2020). Climate models miss most of the coarse dust in the
1054	atmosphere. Sci. Adv. 6, eaaz9507, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz9507.
1055	
1056	Alvarado, M.J., Lonsdale, C.R., Macintyre, H.L., Bian, H., Chin, M., Ridley, D.A., et al. (2016).
1057	Evaluating model parameterizations of submicron aerosol scattering and absorption with in situ
1058	data from ARCTAS 2008. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 9435-9455, doi:10.5194/acp-16-9435-2016.
1059	
1060	Anderson, T.L., Charlson, R.J., Winker, D.M., Ogren, J.A., & Holmen, K. (2003). Mesoscale
1061	variations of tropospheric aerosols., J. Atmosph. Sci. 60, 119-135, doi:10.1175/1520-
1062	0469(2003)060.
1063	
1064	Andreae, M.O. (2009). Correlation between cloud condensation nuclei concentration and
1065	aerosol optical thickness in remote and polluted regions. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 543–556,
1066	doi:atmos-chem-phys.net/9/543/2009.
1067	
1068	Andreae, M.O. (2019). Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning – an updated
1069	assessment. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8523-8546, doi:10.5194/acp-19-8523-2019.
1070	
1071	Andrews, E., Sheridan, P.J., & Ogren, J.A. (2011). Seasonal differences in the vertical profiles of
1072	aerosol optical properties over rural Oklahoma. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 10661-10676,
1073	doi:10.5194/acp-11-10661-2011.

- 1075 Andrews, E., Ogren, J.A., Kinne, S., & Samset, B. (2017). Comparison of AOD, AAOD and
- 1076 column single scattering albedo from AERONET retrievals and in situ profiling measurements.
- 1077 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 6041–6072, doi:10.5194/acp-17-6041-2017.
- 1078
- 1079 Andrews, E., Sheridan, P.J., Ogren, J.A., Hageman, D., Jefferson, A., et al. (2019). Overview of
- 1080 the NOAA/ESRL Federated Aerosol Network. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 123-135,
- 1081 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0175.1.
- 1082
- 1083 Appel, K.W., Bhave, P.V., Gilliland, A.B., Sarwar, G., & Roselle, S.J. (2008). Evaluation of the
- 1084 community multiscale air quality (CMAQ) model version 4.5: Sensitivities impacting model
- 1085 performance; Part II—particulate matter. Atmos. Env. 42, 6057-6066,
- 1086 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.036.
- 1087
- 1088 Balkanski, Y., Mona, L., Andrews, E., Bellouin, N., Carslaw, K., Chin, M., et al. (2021).
- 1089 AeroCom-AeroSat Commission on Constraining Aerosol Properties. AeroCom-AeroSat virtual
 1090 meeting, 14 October 2021.
- 1091
- 1092 Bauer, S. E., Wright, D. L., Koch, D., Lewis, E. R., McGraw, R., Chang, L.-S., et al., (2008).
- 1093 MATRIX 534 (Multiconfiguration Aerosol TRacker of mIXing state): an aerosol microphysical
- 1094 module for 535 global atmospheric models. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 8(20), 6003–6035,
- 1095 doi:10.5194/acp-8-6003-2008.
- 1096
- 1097 Behrenfeld, M.J., Moore, R.H., Hostetler, C.A., Graff, J., Gaube, P, et al. (2019). The North
- 1098 Atlantic Aerosol and Marine Ecosystem Study (NAAMES): Science Motive and Mission
- 1099 Overview. Front. Mar. Sci. 6, 122, doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00122.
- 1100
- Bellinger, C., Jabbar, M.S.M, Zaiane, O., & Osornio-Vargas, A. (2017). A systematic review of
 data mining and machine learning for air pollution epidemiology. *BMC Public Health 17 (907)*,
- 1103 doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4914-3.
- 1104

- 1105 Bellouin, N., Quaas, J., Gryspeerdt, E., Kinne, S., Stier, P., Watson-Parris, D., Boucher, O., et al.
- 1106 (2020). Bounding global aerosol radiative forcing of climate change. *Rev. Geophys.* 58(1),
- 1107 *e2019RG000660*, doi:10.1029/2019RG000660.
- 1108
- 1109 Benedetti, A., Morcrette, J.-J., Boucher, O., Dethof, A., Engelen, R. J., Fisher, M., et al. (2009).
- 1110 Aerosol analysis and forecast in the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
- 1111 Integrated Forecast System: 2. Data assimilation. J. Geophys. Res., 114, D13205,
- 1112 doi:10.1029/2008JD011115.
- 1113
- 1114 Bian, H., Chin, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Schulz, M., Myhre, G., Bauer, S. E., et al. (2017).
- 1115 Investigation of global particulate nitrate from the AeroCom phase III experiment. *Atmos. Chem.*
- 1116 Phys. 17, 12911–12940. doi:10.5194/acp-17-12911-2017.
- 1117
- 1118 Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., et al. (2013).
- 1119 Clouds and Aerosols. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
- 1120 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 1121 Change [Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., et al.
- (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 1124 Bougiatioti, A., Nenes, A., Lin, J.J., Brock, C.A., de Gouw, J., Liao, J., et al. (2020). Drivers of
- 1125 cloud droplet number variability in the summertime with focus on the Southeast United States.
- 1126 Atmos. Chem. Phys 20, 12163–12176, doi:10.5194/acp-20-12163-2020.
- 1127
- 1128 Bretherton, C.S., Wood, R., George, R.C., Leon, D., Allen, G., & Zhent, X. (2010). Southeast
- 1129 Pacific stratocumulus clouds, precipitation and boundary layer structure sampled along 20 S
- 1130 during VOCALS-Rex. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10639–10654, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-10639-2010.
- 1131
- 1132 Bretherton, C. S., Blossey, P. N., and Uchida, J.: Cloud droplet sedimentation, entrainment
- efficiency, and subtropical stratocumulus albedo, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L03813,
- 1134 doi:10.1029/2006GL027648.
- 1135

- 1136 Brock, C.A., Froyd, K.S., Dollner, M., Williamson, C.J., Schill, G., Murphy, D.M., et al. (2021).
- 1137 Ambient aerosol properties in the remote atmosphere from global-scale in situ measurements,
- 1138 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 15023–15063, doi:10.5194/acp-21-15023-2021.
- 1139
- 1140 Buchard, V., Randles, C.A., Silva, A.M. da, Darmenov, A., Colarco, P.R., Govindaraju, et al.
- 1141 (2017). The MERRA-2 aerosol aeanalysis, 1980 onward. Part II: Evaluation and case studies. J.
- 1142 *Clim. 30, 6851–6872*, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0613.1.
- 1143
- Burgos, M.A., Andrews, E., Titos, G., Benedetti, A., Bian, H., Buchard, V., et al. (2020). A
- 1145 global model-measurement evaluation of particle light scattering coefficients at elevated relative
- 1146 humidity. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 10231–10258, doi:10.5194/acp-20-10231-2020.
- 1147
- 1148 Burton, S.P., Ferrare, R.A., Hostetler, C.A., Hair, J.W., Rogers, R.R., Obland, M.D., et al.
- 1149 (2012). Aerosol classification using airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar measurements –
- 1150 methodology and examples. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 73–98, doi:10.5194/amt-5-73-2012.
- 1151
- 1152 Burton, S.P., Hair, J.W., Kahnert, M., Ferrare, R.A., Hostetler, C.A., Cook, A.L., et al. (2015).
- 1153 Observations of the spectral dependence of linear particle depolarization ratio of aerosols using
- 1154 NASA Langley airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13453–
- 1155 13473, doi:10.5194/acp-15-13453-2015.
- 1156
- 1157 Cao, Y., Zhu, Y., Wang, M., Rosenfeld, D., Liang, Y., Liu, J., et al. (2023). Emission reductions
- significantly reduce the hemispheric contrast in cloud droplet number concentration in recent two
- 1159 decades. J. Geophys. Res. Atmosph. 128, e2022JD037417, doi:10.1029/2022JD037417.
- 1160
- 1161 Capelle, V., Chedin, A., Pondrom, M., Crevoisier, C., Armante, R., et al. (2018). Infrared dust
- aerosol optical depth retrieved daily from IASI and comparison with AERONET over the period
- 1163 2007–2016. Remt. Sens. Env. 206, 15-32, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2017.12.008.
- 1164

1165	Carr, J.L., Á. Horváth, D.L. Wu, & M.D. Friberg (2022). Stereo plume height and motion
1166	retrievals for the record-setting Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption of 15 January 2022.
1167	Geophys. Res. Lett. 49, e2022GL098131, doi:10.1029/2022GL098131
1168	
1169	Carslaw, K.S., Lee, L.A., Reddington, C.L., Pringle, K.J., Rap, A., Forster, P.M., et al. (2013).
1170	Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing. Nature 503, 67-74,
1171	doi:10.1038/nature12674.
1172	
1173	Carslaw, K.S., Gordon, H., Hamilton, D.S., Johnson, J.S., Regayre, L.A., et al. (2017). Aerosols
1174	in the pre-industrial atmosphere. Curr. Clim Change Rep. 3, 1-15, doi:10.1007/s40641-017-
1175	0061-2.
1176	
1177	CCSP (U.S. Climate Change Science Program) Synthesis and Assessment Product 2.3 (2009).
1178	Atmospheric aerosol properties and climate impacts. Chin, M., R.A. Kahn, & S. Schwartz, Eds.
1179	Pp. 116.
1180	
1181	Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, Duncan, B.N., et al. (2002). Tropospheric
1182	aerosol optical thickness from the GOCART model and comparisons with satellite and sun
1183	photometer measurements. J. Atmos. Sci. 59 (3), doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2002).
1184	
1185	Christensen, M., Gettelman, A., Cermak, J., Dagan, G., Diamond, M., Douglas, A., et al. (2022).
1186	Opportunistic experiments to constrain aerosol radiative forcing. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 22, 641-
1187	674, doi: 10.5194/acp-22-641-2022.
1188	
1189	Colarco, P.R., Nowottnick, E.P., Randles, C.A., Yi, B., Yang, P., Kim, K-M., et al. (2013).
1190	Impact of radiatively interactive dust aerosols in the NASA GEOS-5 climate model: Sensitivity
1191	to dust particle shape and refractive index. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 753-786,
1192	doi:10.1002/2013JD020046.
1193	

- 1194 Crosbie, E., Ziemba, L.D., Shook, M.A., Robinson, C.E., Winstead, E.L., et al. (2022).
- 1195 Measurement report: Closure analysis of aerosol-cloud composition in tropical maritime warm
- 1196 convection. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 13269–13302, doi:10.5194/acp-22-13269-2022.
- 1197
- 1198 Das, S., Harshvardhan, H., Bian, H., Chin, M., Curci, G., et al. (2017), Biomass burning aerosol
- 1199 transport and vertical distribution over the South African-Atlantic region. J. Geophys. Res.
- 1200 Atmos., 122, 6391-6415, doi:10.1002/2016JD026421.
- 1201
- 1202 Dawson, K.W., Meskhidze, N., Burton, S.P., Johnson, M.S., Kacenelenbogen, M.S., Hostetler,
- 1203 C.A., & Hu, Y. (2017). Creating Aerosol Types from Chemistry (CATCH): A new algorithm to
- 1204 extend the link between remote sensing and models. J. Geophys. Res., Atmos. 122, 12,366–
- 1205 *12,392*, <u>doi:10.1002/2017JD026913</u>.
- 1206
- 1207 Dagan, G. & P. Stier (2020). Constraint on precipitation response to climate change by
- 1208 combination of atmospheric energy and water budgets. *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci. 3 (34)*.
- 1209 doi:10.1038/s41612-020-00137-8.
- 1210
- 1211 Dadashazar, H., Wnag, Z., Crosbie, E., Brunke, M., Zeng, X., et al. (2017). Relationships
- 1212 between giant sea salt particles and clouds inferred from aircraft physicochemical data. J.
- 1213 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 3421–3434, doi:10.1002/2016JD026019.
- 1214
- 1215 Dawson, K.W., Ferrare, R.A., Moore, R.H., Clayton, M.D., Thorsen, T.J., & Eloranta, E.W.
- 1216 (2020). Ambient aerosol hygroscopic growth from combined Raman lidar and HSRL. J.
- 1217 Geophys. Res. Atmos. 125, e2019JD031708, doi:10.1029/2019JD031708.
- 1218
- 1219 Dee, D.P., S.M. Uppala, A.J. Simmons, P. Berrisford, P. Poli, S. Kobayashi, U., et al. (2011).
- 1220 The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Q.
- 1221 J. Royal Met. Soc. 137 (656), 553-597, doi:10.1002/qj.828.
- 1222

- 1223 de Leeuw, G., Andreas, E.L., Anguelova, M.D., Fairall, C.W., Lewis, E.R., O'Dowd, C., Schulz,
- 1224 M., &. Schwartz S.E. (2011). Production flux of sea spray aerosol. *Rev. Geophys. 49, RG2001*,
- 1225 doi:10.1029/2010RG000349.
- 1226
- 1227 Denjean, C., Formenti, P., Desboeufs, K., Desboeufs, K., Chevaillier, S., Triquet, S., et al.
- 1228 (2016). Size distribution and optical properties of African mineral dust after intercontinental
- 1229 transport. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 7117–7138, doi:10.1002/2016JD024783.
- 1230
- 1231 Di Biagio, C., Formenti, P., Balkanski, Y., Caponi, L., Cazaunau, M., Pangui, E., et al. (2017).
- 1232 Global scale variability of the mineral dust long-wave refractive index: a new dataset of in situ
- 1233 measurements for climate modeling and remote sensing. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 1901–1929,
- 1234 doi:10.5194/acp-17-1901-2017.
- 1235
- 1236 Dubovik, O., Lapyonok, T., Kaufman, Y.J., Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kahn, R.A., & Sinyuk, A.,
- 1237 (2008). Retrieving global sources of aerosols from satellites using inverse modeling. *Atmos.*
- 1238 Chem. Phys. 8, 209-250, doi:10.5194/ACP-8-209-2008.
- 1239
- 1240 Dubovik, O., Herman, M., Holdak, A., Lapyonok, T., Tanre, D., Deuze, J.L., et al. (2011).
- 1241 Statistically optimized inversion algorithm for enhanced retrieval of aerosol properties from
- 1242 spectral multi-angle polarimetric satellite observations. *Atmos. Meas. Tech. 4, 975–1018, doi:*
- 1243 10.5194/amt-4-975-2011.
- 1244
- 1245 Ervens, B., Feingold, G., & Kreidenweis, S. M. (2005). The influence of water-soluble organic
- 1246 carbon on cloud drop number concentration. J. Geophys. Res., 110, D18211,
- 1247 doi:10.1029/2004JD005634.
- 1248
- 1249 Fanourgakis, G. S., Kanakidou, M., Nenes, A., Bauer, S.E., Bergman, T., Carslaw, K.S., et al.
- 1250 (2019). Evaluation of global simulations of aerosol particle and cloud condensation nuclei
- number, with implications for cloud droplet formation *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8591–8617, doi:
- 1252 10.5194/acp-19-8591-2019.
- 1253

1254	Fast, J.D.	Bell.	D.M.,]	Liu. J	Mei. F	Saliba.	G., Sł	nilling. J	J.E., et al.	(2022)	. Using a	ircraft
-)	, ,	,	, ,	, ,)))	(7))	(-)	C7	

- 1255 measurements to characterize subgrid-scale variability of aerosol properties near the ARM
- 1256 Southern Great Plains site. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 11217–11238, doi:10.5194/acp-22-11217-
- 1257 1258

2022.

- Feingold, G., Yang, S., Hardesty, R.M., & Cotton, W.R. (1998). Feasibility of retrieving cloud condensation nucleus properties from doppler cloud radar, microwave radiometer, and lidar. *J.*
- 1261 Atmos. Ocean Tech. 15, 1188-1195. doi:10.1175/1520-0426.
- 1262
- 1263 Feingold, G., Cotton, W.R., Kreidenweis, S.M., & Davis, J.T. (1999). Impact of giant cloud
- 1264 condensation nuclei on drizzle formation in marine stratocumulus: Implications for cloud
- 1265 radiative properties. J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 4100-4117, doi:10.1175/1520-0469.
- 1266
- 1267 Feingold, G., Eberhard, W.L., Veron, D.E. & Previdi, M. (2003). First measurements of the
- Twomey aerosol indirect effect using ground-based remote sensors. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30 (6),
 1269 1287, doi:10.1029/2002GL016633.
- 1270
- Feingold, G. (2003). Modeling of the first indirect effect: Analysis of measurement requirements. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *30 (19) 1997*, doi:10.1029/2003GL017967.
- 1273
- 1274 Fiedler, S., Kinne, S., Huang, W.T.K., Räisänen, P., O'Donnell, D., Bellouin, N., et al. (2019).
- 1275 Anthropogenic aerosol forcing insights from multiple estimates from aerosol-climate models
- 1276 with reduced complexity. *Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6821–6841*, doi: 10.5194/acp-19-6821-2019.
- 1277
- 1278 Flower, V.J.B., & Kahn, R.A. (2020a). Interpreting the volcanological processes of Kamchatka,
- 1279 based on multi-sensor satellite observations. Remote Sens. Environ. 237, 111585,
- 1280 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2019.111585.
- 1281
- Flower, V.J.B., & Kahn, R.A. (2020b). The evolution of Iceland volcano emissions, as observed
 from space. J. Geophys. Res., 125, e2019JD031625, doi:10.1029/2019JD031625.
- 1284

1285	Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, JL., Frame, D., et al. (2021). The
1286	Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity. In Climate Change 2021:
1287	The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of
1288	the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A.,
1289	Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., et al. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
1290	United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 923-1054, doi:10.1017/9781009157896.009.
1291	
1292	Foskinis, R., Nenes, A., Papayannis, A., Georgakaki, P., Kokkalis, P., Eleftheriadis, E.,
1293	Komppula, M., Vratolis, S., Soupiona, O., Gini, M., Vakkari, V., Tombrou, M., & Bossioli, E.
1294	(2022). Towards reliable retrievals of cloud droplet number for non-precipitating planetary
1295	boundary layer clouds and their susceptibility to aerosol. Front. Remote Sens., 3:958207.
1296	doi:10.3389/frsen.2022.958207.
1297	
1298	Fougnie, B., Chimot, J., Vazques-Navarro, M., Marbach, T., & Bojkov, B. (2020). Aerosol
1299	retrieval from space -how does geometry of acquisition impact our ability to characterize aerosol
1300	properties. J. Quant. Spect. & Radiative Transf. 256, 107304, doi: 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2020.107304.
1301	
1302	Gasteiger, J. & Wiegner, M. (2018). MOPSMAP v1.0: a versatile tool for the modeling of
1303	aerosol optical properties. Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 2739-2762, doi:10.5194/gmd-11-2739-2018.
1304	
1305	Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M.J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., et al. (2017). The
1306	Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2). J.
1307	Clim. 30, 5419-5454. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1.
1308	
1309	Georgakaki, P., Bougiatioti, A., Wieder, J., Mignani, C., Ramelli, F., Kanji, Z.A., et al. (2021).
1310	On the drivers of droplet variability in Alpine mixed-phase clouds. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21,
1311	10993-11012, doi:10.5194/acp-21-10993-2021.
1312	
1313	Gettelman, A., Liu, X., Barahona, D., Lohmann, U., & Chen, C.C. (2012). Climate Impacts of
1314	Ice Nucleation. J. Geophys. Ress 117, D20201, doi:10.1029/2012JD017950.
1315	

1316	Gettelman, A., & Sherwood, S.C. (2016). Processes Responsible for Cloud Feedback. Curr.
1317	Clim. Change Rep. 2, 179–189, doi:10.1007/s40641-016-0052-8.
1318	
1319	Gettelman, A., Geer, A.J., Forbes, R.M., Carmichael, G.R., Feingold, G., Posselt, D.J., et al.
1320	(2022). The Future of Earth System Prediction: Advances in Model-Data Fusion. Science
1321	Advances 8 (14): eabn3488, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abn3488.
1322	
1323	Ghan, S., Guzman, G., and Abdul-Razzak, H. (1998). Competition between sea salt and sulfate
1324	particles as cloud condensation nuclei. J. Atmos. Sci., 55, 3340-3347, doi:10.1175/1520-0469.
1325	
1326	Glassmeier, F., Hoffmann, F., Johnson, J.S., Yamaguchi, T., Carslaw, K.S., & Feingold, G.
1327	(2021). Ship-track-based assessments overestimate the cloud-mediated cooling effect of
1328	anthropogenic aerosol. Science, 371, 485 – 489, doi:10.1126/science.abd3980.
1329	
1330	Gliß, J., Mortier, A., Schulz, M., Andrews, E., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S.E., et al. (2021).
1331	AeroCom phase III multi-model evaluation of the aerosol life cycle and optical properties using
1332	ground- and space-based remote sensing as well as surface in situ observations. Atmos. Chem.
1333	<i>Phys. 21, 87–128.</i> doi:10.5194/acp-21-87-2021.
1334	
1335	Go, S., Kima, J., Mok, J., Irie, H., Yoon, J., Torres, O., et al. (2020). Ground-based retrievals of
1336	aerosol column absorption in the UV spectral region and their implications for GEMS
1337	measurements. Remt. Sens. Env. 245, 111759, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2020.111759.
1338	
1339	Gonzalez, M.E., Corral, A.F., Crosbie, E., Dadazhazar, H., Diskin, G.S., et al. (2022).
1340	Relationships between supermicrometer particle concentrations and cloud water sea salt and dust
1341	concentrations: analysis of MONARC and ACTIVATE data. Environ. Sci. Atmosph. 2, 738, doi:
1342	10.1039/d2ea00049k.
1343	
1344	Gordon, T.D., Wagner, N.L., Richardson, M.S., Law, D.C., Wolfe, D., Eloranta, E.W., et al.
1345	(2015). Design of a novel open-path aerosol extinction cavity ringdown spectrometer. Aerosol

1346 Sci. Tech., 49:9, 717-726, doi:10.1080/02786826.2015.1066753.

- 1348 Griffin, D., Sioris, C., Chen, J., Dickson, N., Kovachik, A., deGraaf, M., et al. (2020). The 2018
- 1349 fire season in North America as seen by TROPOMI: aerosol layer height validation and
- evaluation of model-derived plume heights. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 13, 1427–1445,
- 1351 doi:10.5194/amt-13-1427-2020.
- 1352
- 1353 Grosvenor, D. P., Sourdeval, O., Zuidema, P., Ackerman, A., Alexandrov, M. D., Bennartz, R.,
- et al. (2018). Remote sensing of droplet number concentration in warm clouds: A review of the
 current state of knowledge and perspectives. *Rev. Geophys.* 56, doi:10.1029/2017RG000593.
- 1357 Gryspeerdt, E., Goren, T., Sourdeval, O., Quaas, J., Mülmenstädt, J., Dipu, S., Unglaub, C.,
- 1358 Gettelman, A., and Christensen, M. (2019). Constraining the aerosol influence on cloud liquid
- 1359 water path. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *19*, *5331–5347*, doi:10.5194/acp-19-5331-2019.
- 1360
- Gryspeerdt, E., Goren, T., & Smith, T.W.P. (2021). Observing the timescales of aerosol-cloud
 interactions in snapshot satellite images. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *21*, 6093–6109, doi:10.5194/acp21-6093-202.1.
- 1364
- 1365 Guimond, S.R., Tian, L., Heymsfield, G.M., & Fraiser, S.J. 2014. Wind retrieval algorithms for
- 1366 the IWRAP and HIWRAP airborne doppler radars with applications to hurricanes. *J. Atmos.*
- 1367 Ocean Tech. 31, 1189-1214, doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-13-00140.1.
- 1368
- 1369 Gupta, P., Levy, R.C., Mattoo, S., Remer, L.A., Holz, R.E., & Heidinger, A.K. (2019). Applying
- 1370 the Dark Target aerosol algorithm with Advanced Himawari Imager observations during the
- 1371 KORUS-AQ field campaign. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6557-6577, doi:10.5194/amt-12-6557-
- 1372

2019.

- 1374 Hamilton, D.S., Hantson, S., Scott, C.E., Kaplan, J.O., Pringle, K.J., et al. (2018). Reassessment
- 1375 of pre-industrial fire emissions strongly affects anthropogenic aerosol forcing. *Nat. Comm. 9*,
- 1376 *3182*, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05592-9.
- 1377

- 1378 Hammer, M.S., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R.V., Li, C., Lyapustin, A., Sayer, A.M., Hsu, C.N.,
- 1379 et al. (2019). Improved global estimates of fine particulate matter concentrations and trends
- 1380 derived from updated satellite retrievals, modeling advances, and additional ground-based
- 1381 monitors. Environ. Sci. Tech. 54, 7879-7890, doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c01764.
- 1382
- 1383 Hasekamp, O.P., Litvinov, P., & A. Butz, A. (2011). Aerosol properties over the ocean from
- 1384 PARASOL multiangle photopolarimetric measurements. J. Geophys. Res. 116, D14204,
- 1385 doi:10.1029/2010JD015469.
- 1386
- 1387 Haywood, J.M., Ramaswamy, V., & Donner, L.J. (1997). A limited-area-model case study of the
- 1388 effects of sub-grid scale Variations in relative humidity and cloud upon the direct radiative
- 1389 forcing of sulfate aerosol. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 24, 143-146, doi: 10.1029/96GL03812.
- 1390
- Held, I.M., and Soden, B.J. (2006). Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global
 warming. J. Clim. 19, 5686-5698, doi: 10.1175/JCLI3990.1.
- 1393
- Hélière, A., Gelsthorpe, R., Le Hors, L., & Toulemont, Y. (2012). ATLID, the atmospheric lidar
 on board the Earthcare Satellite. *Proc. SPIE 10584, 105842D*. doi:10.1117/12.2309095.
- 1396
- 1397 Hess, M., Koepke, P., & Schult, I. (1998). Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds: The
- 1398 software package OPAC. *Bull. Am. Met. Soc. 79, 831-844*, doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(1998)079.
 1399
- 1400 Hoesly, R.M., Smith, S.J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Bolt, R.M., et al.
- 1401 (2018). Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the
- 1402 Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 369–408,
- 1403 doi:10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018.
- 1404
- 1405 Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanré, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., et al. (1998). AERONET
- 1406 A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization *Remt. Sens.*
- 1407 Environ., 66, 1–16, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5.
- 1408

- 1409 Holzer-Popp, T., de Leeuw, G., Griesfeller, J., Martynenko, D., Kluser, L., Bevan, S., et al.
- 1410 (2013). Aerosol retrieval experiments in the ESA Aerosol cci project. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6,
- 1411 *1919–1957*, doi: 10.5194/amt-6-1919-2013.
- 1412
- 1413 Huneeus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J.A., Kinne, S., et al. (2011).
- Global dust model intercomparison in AeroCom Phase I. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *11*, 7781–7816,
 doi:10.5194/acp-11-7781-2011.
- 1416
- 1417 Iacono, M.J., Delamere, J.S., Mlawer, E.J., Shephard, M.W., Clough, S.A., & Collins, W.D.
- 1418 (2008). Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative
- 1419 transfer models. J. Geophys. Res. 113, no. D13103, doi:10.1029/2008JD009944.
- 1420
- 1421 Ichoku, C., & Ellison, L. (2014), Global top- down smoke- aerosol emissions estimation using
- satellite fire radiative power measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6, 643-6,667, doi:
- 1423 10.5194/acp-14-6643-2014.
- 1424
- 1425IPCC (2013). Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
- 1426 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
- 1427 on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung,
- 1428 J., et al. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,1429 USA.
- 1430
- 1431 Jenkins, S., Povey, A., Gettelman, A., Grainger, R., Stier, P., & Allen, M. (2022). Is
- anthropogenic global warming accelerating?. J. Clim. 35, 4273-4290, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-22-
- 1433 0081.1.
- 1434
- 1435 Jeong, M-J., & Hsu, N.C. (2008). Retrievals of aerosol single-scattering albedo and effective
- 1436 aerosol layer height for biomass-burning smoke: Synergy derived from "A-Train" sensors.
- 1437 Geophys. Res. Lett. 35, L24801, doi:10.1029/2008GL036279.
- 1438

- 1439 Jiang, H., & Feingold, G. (2006). Effect of aerosol on warm convective clouds: Aerosol-
- 1440 cloudsurface flux feedbacks in a new coupled large eddy model. J. Geophys. Res., 111, D01202,
- 1441 doi:10.1029/2005JD006138.
- 1442
- 1443 Jung, E., Albrecht, B.A., Jonsson, H.H., Chen, Y.-C., Seinfeld, J.H., et al. (2015). Precipitation
- 1444 effects of giant cloud condensation nuclei artificially introduced into stratocumulus clouds.
- 1445 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5645-5658, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5645-2015.
- 1446
- 1447 Junghenn Noyes, K.T., Kahn, R.A., Sedlacek, A., Kleinman, L., Limbacher, J., Li, Z. (2020).
- 1448 Wildfire smoke particle properties and evolution, from space-based multi-angle imaging. *Remote*
- 1449 Sens. 12, 769, doi:10.3390/rs12050769.
- 1450
- 1451 Junghenn Noyes, K.T., Kahn, R.A., Limbacher, J.A., & Li, Z. (2022). Canadian and Alaskan
- 1452 wildfire smoke particle properties, their evolution, and controlling factors, using satellite
- 1453 observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 22, 10267–10290, doi:10.5194/acp-22-10267-2022.
- 1454
- 1455 Kacarab, M., Thornhill, K.L., Dobracki, A., Howell, S.G., O'Brien, J.R., Freitag, S., et al.
- 1456 (2020). Biomass burning aerosol as a modulator of droplet number in the southeast Atlantic
- region. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3029–3040, doi:10.5194/acp-20-3029-2020.
- 1458
- 1459 Kahn, R.A., Ogren, J.A., Ackerman, T.P., Bosenberg, J., Charlson, R.J., Diner, D.J., et al.
- 1460 (2004). Aerosol data sources and their roles within PARAGON, Bull. Am. Met. Soc. 85, 1511-
- 1461 *1522*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-85-10-1511.
- 1462
- 1463 Kahn, R.A., Chen, Y., Nelson, D.L., Leung, F-Y., Li, Q., Diner, D.J., & Logan, J.A. (2008).
- Wildfire smoke injection heights Two perspectives from space. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35,
 doi:10.1029/2007GL032165.
- 1466
- Kahn, R.A. (2012). Reducing the uncertainties in direct aerosol radiative forcing. *Surv. Geophys. 33*, 701–721, doi:10.1007/s10712-011-9153-z.
- 1469

1470	Kahn, R.A., & Gaitley, B.J. (2015). An analysis of global aerosol type as retrieved by MISR. J.
1471	Geophys. Res. Atmos. 120, 4248-4281, doi:10.1002/2015JD023322.
1472	
1473	Kahn, R.A., Berkoff, T., Brock, C., Chen, G., Ferrare, R., Ghan, S., et al. (2017). SAM-CAAM: A
1474	concept for acquiring systematic aircraft measurements to characterize aerosol air masses. Bull.
1475	Am. Meteoro. Soc. 2215-2228, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0003.1.
1476	
1477	Kahn, R.A., & Samset, B.H. (2022). Ch. 10, Remote sensing measurements of aerosol properties.
1478	In: Aerosols and Climate, K.S. Carslaw, Ed., Elsevier Publications, ISBN: 978-0-12-819766-0,
1479	pp. 823.
1480	
1481	Kalashnikova, O.V., Garay, M.J., Martonchik, J.V., & Diner, D.J. (2013). MISR Dark Water
1482	aerosol retrievals: operational algorithm sensitivity to particle non-sphericity. Atmos. Meas.
1483	<i>Tech., 6, 2131–2154</i> , doi:10.5194/amt-6-2131-2013.
1484	
1485	Kapustin, V.N., Clarke, A.D., Shinozuka, Y., Howell, S., Brekhovskikh, V., Nakajima, T., &
1486	Higurashi, A. (2006). On the determination of a cloud condensation nuclei from satellite:
1487	Challenges and possibilities, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D04202, doi:10.1029/2004JD005527.
1488	
1489	Kim, D., M. Chin, M., Yu, H., Diehl, T., Tan, Q., Kahn, R.A., et al. (2014). Sources, sinks, and
1490	transatlantic transport of North African dust aerosol: A multi-model analysis and comparison
1491	with remote sensing data. J. Geophys. Res. 119, 6259-6277, doi:10.1002/2013JD021099.
1492	
1493	Kim, D., Chin, M., Yu, H., Pan, X., Bian, H., Tan, Q., et al. (2019). Asia and trans-Pacific Dust:
1494	A multi-model and multi-remote sensing observation analysis. J. Geophys. Res. 124,
1495	doi:10.1029/2019JD030822.
1496	
1497	Kim, M-H., Omar, A.H., Tackett, J.L., Vaughan, M.A., Winker, D.M., Trepte, C.R., et al.
1498	(2018). The CALIPSO version 4 automated aerosol classification and lidar ratio selection
1499	algorithm. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 11, 6107-6135, doi: 10.5194/amt-11-6107-2018.
1500	

- 1501 Kinne, S., Lohmann, U., Feichter, J., Timmreck, C., Schulz, M., Ghan, S., et al. (2003). Monthly
- 1502 Averages of Aerosol Properties: A Global comparison among models, satellite data and
- 1503 AERONET ground data. J. Geophys. Res, 108, 4634. doi: 10.1029/2001JD001253.
- 1504
- 1505 Kinne, S., Schulz, M., Textor, C., Guibert, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., et al. (2006). An
- 1506 AeroCom initial assessment optical properties in aerosol component modules of global models.
- 1507 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1815–1834. doi:10.5194/acp-6-1815-2006.
- 1508
- 1509 Kleinman, L. I., Sedlacek III, A. J., Adachi, K., Buseck, P. R., Collier, S., Dubey, M. K., et al.
- 1510 (2020). Rapid evolution of aerosol particles and their optical properties downwind of wildfires in
- 1511 the western US. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 13319–13341, doi:10.5194/acp-20-13319-2020.
- 1512
- 1513 Koch, D, Schulz, M., Kinne, S., McNaughton, C., Spackman, J.R., Balkanski, Y., et al. (2009).
- 1514 Evaluation of black carbon estimations in global aerosol models. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9001–
- 1515 *9026*, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9001-2009.
- 1516
- 1517 Kokkola, H, T. Kühn, A. Laakso, T. Bergman, K.E.J. Lehtinen, T. Mielonen, A. (2018).
- 1518 SALSA2.0: The sectional aerosol module of the aerosol-chemistry-climate model
- 1519 ECHAM6.3.0-HAM2.3-MOZ1.0. Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 3833–3863, doi: 10.5194/gmd-11-
- 1520
- 1521
- 1522 Koren, I., Kaufman, Y.J., Remer, L.A., Martins, J.V. (2004). Measurement of the effect of
- 1523 Amazon smoke on inhibition of cloud formation. *Science*, *303*, *1342–1345*, doi:
- 1524 10.1126/science.1089424.

3833-2018.

- 1525
- 1526 Koren, I., Kaufman, Y.J., Rosenfeld, D., Remer, L.A., & Rudich, Y. (2005). Aerosol
- invigoration and restructuring of Atlantic convective clouds. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *32*, *L14828*,
 doi:10.1029/2005GL023187.
- 1528 1529
- 1530 Koren, I., Remer, L.A., Kaufman, Y.J., Rudich, Y., and Martins, J.V. (2007). On the twilight
- zone between clouds and aerosols. *Geophys. Res. Lett 34, L08805*, doi: 10.1029/2007GL029253.

- 1533 Kylling, A., Vandenbussche, S., Capelle, V., Cuesta, J., Klüser, L., Lelli, L., et al. (2018).
- 1534 Comparison of dust layer heights from active and passive satellite sensors, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*
- 1535 *11, 2911-2936*, doi:10.5194/amt-11-2911-2018.
- 1536
- 1537 Laj, P., Bigi, A., Rose, C., Andrews, E., Myhre, C.L., Coen, M.C., et al. (2020). A global
- 1538 analysis of climate-relevant aerosol properties retrieved from the network of Global Atmosphere
- Watch (GAW) near-surface observatories. *Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 4353–4392*, doi:10.5194/amt13-4353-2020.
- 1541
- 1542 Lau, K. M., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G.-X., Li, Z., Tsay, S. C., and Hsu, C.N. (2008). The joint
- aerosol-monsoon experiment: a new challenge for monsoon climate research. *Bull. Am.*
- 1544 Meteorol. Soc. 89, 369–383. doi:10.1175/BAMS-89-3-369
- 1545
- 1546 Lee, C.M., Cable, M.L., Hook, S.J., Green, R.O., Ustin, S.L., Mandl, D.J., & Middleton, E.M.
- 1547 (2015). An introduction to the NASA Hyperspectral InfraRed Imager (HyspIRI) mission and
- 1548 preparatory activities. *Remt. Sens. Environ. 167, 6-19*, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.06.012.
- 1549
- 1550 Lee, J.-Y., Marotzke, J., Bala, G., Cao, L., Corti, S., Dunne, J.P., et al. (2021). Future Global
- 1551 Climate: Scenario-Based Projections and Near- Term Information. In Climate Change 2021: The
- 1552 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 1553 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A.,
- 1554 Connors, S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., et al. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- 1555 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 553–672, doi:10.1017/9781009157896.006.
- 1556
- 1557 Lee, S.S., Feingold, G., McComiskey, A., Yamaguchi, T., Koren, I., Martins, J.V., & H. Yu, H.
- 1558 (2014), Effect of gradients in biomass burning aerosol on shallow cumulus convective
- 1559 circulations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 9948–9964, doi:10.1002/2014JD021819.
- 1560

- 1561 Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., & Dubovik, O. (2007). Global aerosol optical properties and
- application to Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol retrieval over land, J.
- 1563 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112, D13210, doi:10.1029/2006JD007815.
- 1564
- 1565 Li, S., Kahn, R.A., Chin, M., Garay, M.J., & Liu, Y. (2015). Improving satellite-retrieved aerosol
- 1566 microphysical properties using GOCART data. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 8, 1157–1171.
- 1567 doi:10.5194/amt-8-1157-2015.
- 1568
- 1569 Li, J., Kahn, R. A., Wei, J., Carlson, B.E., Lacis, A. A., Li, Z., et al. (2020). Synergy of satellite-
- and ground-based aerosol optical depth measurements using an ensemble Kalman filter
- 1571 approach. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 125, e2019JD031884, doi:10.1029/2019JD031884.
- 1572
- 1573 Li, J., Carlson, B.E., Yung, Y.L., Lv, D., Hansen, J.E., Penner, J.E., et al. (2022). Scattering and
- Absorbing Aerosols in the Climate System. *Nature Rev. Earth Env.*, doi:10.1038/s43017-022-00296-7.
- 1576
- 1577 Lim, H., Choi, M., Kim, J., Kasai, Y., & Chan, P.W. (2018). AHI/Himawari-8 Yonsei Aerosol
- 1578 Retrieval (YAER): Algorithm, validation and merged products. *Remote Sens.*, 10, 699,
- 1579 doi:10.3390/rs10050699.
- 1580
- 1581 Liu, X., Penner, J.E., Ghan, S.J., & Wang, M. (2007). Inclusion of ice microphysics in the
- 1582 NCAR Community Atmospheric Model Version 3 (CAM3). J. Climate 20, 4526-4547.
- 1583
- Loeb, N.G., & Su, W. (2010). Direct aerosol radiative forcing uncertainty based on a radiative perturbation analysis. *J. Climate 23, 5288–5293*, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3543.1.
- 1586
- Lu, Z., Wang, J., Xu, X., Chen, X., Kondragunta, S., Torres, O., et al. (2021). Hourly mapping of the layer height of thick smoke plumes over the western U.S. in 2020 severe fire season. *Front*.
- 1589 Remote Sens. 2, 766628, doi:10.3389/frsen.2021.766628.
- 1590

- 1591 Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Korkin, S., Kahn, R.A., & Winker, D. (2020). MAIAC thermal
- technique for smoke injection height from MODIS. IEEE Geosci. Remt. Sens. Lett. 17 (5), 730-
- 1593 734, doi: 10.1109/LGRS.2019.2936332.
- 1594
- 1595 Lyapustin, A., Go, S., Korkin, S., Wang, Y., Torres, O., et al. (2021). Retrievals of Aerosol
- Optical Depth and Spectral Absorption From DSCOVR EPIC. *Front. Remt. Sens. 2, 645794*,
 doi:10.3389/frsen.2021.645794.
- 1598
- 1599 Malm, W.C, & Hand, J.L. (2007). An examination of the physical and optical properties of
- aerosols collected in the IMPROVE program. *Atmos. Env.* 41, 3407–3427,
- 1601 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.12.012.
- 1602
- 1603 Mann, G.W., Carslaw, K.S., Spracklen, D.V., Ridley, D.A., Manktelow, P.T., Chipperfield,
- 1604 M.P., et al. (2010). Description and evaluation of GLOMAP-mode: a modal global
- aerosol microphysics model for the UKCA composition-climate model. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, *3*, *519–551*, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-519-2010.
- 1607
- 1608 Marinescu, P.J., van den Heever, S.C., Heikenfeld, M., Barrett, A.I., Barthlott, C., Hoose, C., et
- al. (2021). Impacts of Varying Concentrations of Cloud Condensation Nuclei on Deep
- 1610 Convective Cloud Updrafts A Multimodel Assessment. J. Atmosph. Sci. 78, 1147-1172,
- 1611 doi:10.1175/JAS-D-20-0200.1.
- 1612
- 1613 Marshak, A., Herman, J., Szabo, A., Blank, K., Carn, S., Cede, A., et al. (2018). Earth
- 1614 Observations from DSCOVR/EPIC Instrument. Bulletin Amer. Meteor. Soc. (BAMS), 9, 1829-
- 1615 *1850*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0223.1.
- 1616
- 1617 Marshak, A., Ackerman, A., Da Silva, A., Eck, T., Holben, B.N., Kahn, R.A., et al. (2021).
- 1618 Aerosol properties in cloudy environments from remote sensing observations: review of current
- 1619 state of knowledge. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. E2177-E2197, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0225.1.
- 1620

Martin, S.T., Artaxo, P., Machado, L., Manzi, A.O., Souza, R.R.F., et al. (2017). The Green

1621

1622 Ocean AMAZON experiment (GOAMAZON 2014/2015) observes pollution affecting gases, 1623 aerosols, couds, and rainfall of the rain forest. Bulletin Amer. Meteor. Soc. (BAMS), 981-997, 1624 doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00221.1. 1625 Martins, J.V. (2016). Airborne Open Polar/Imaging Nephelometer for Ice Particles in Cirrus 1626 Clouds and Aerosols Field Campaign Report. U.S. Dept. of Energy, Office of Science, ARM 1627 Climate Research Facility, DOE/SC-ARM-15-063. 1628 1629 Mather, J. H., & Voyles, J. W. (2013). The ARM Climate Research Facility: A review of 1630 structure and capabilities, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 94(3), 377-392. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-1631 1632 00218.1. 1633 McCov, D.T., Tan, I., Hartmann, D.L., Zelinka, M.D., Storelymo, T. (2016). On the relationships 1634 among cloud cover, mixed-phase partitioning, and planetary albedo in GCMs. J. Adv. Model. 1635 1636 Earth Syst., 8, doi:10.1002/2015MS000589. 1637 McFiggans, G., Artaxo, P., Baltensperger, U., Coe, H., Facchini, M.C., Feingold, G., et al. 1638 (2006). The effect of physical and chemical aerosol properties on warm cloud droplet activation. 1639 1640 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2593–2649, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2593-2006. 1641 1642 Mechoso, C.R., Wood, R., Weller, R., Bretherton, C.S., Clarke, A.D., et al. (2014). Ocean-Cloud-Atmosphere-Land interactions in the southeastern Pacific: The VOCALS program. Bull. 1643 1644 Am. Meteoro. Soc. 95, 357-375, doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00246.1. 1645 Meyer, K., Platnick, S., & Zhang, Z. (2015). Simultaneously inferring above-cloud absorbing 1646 aerosol optical thickness and underlying liquid phase cloud optical and microphysical properties 1647 using MODIS. J. Geophys. Res. Atm. 120, 5524-5547, doi:10.1002/2015JD023128 1648 1649

- 1650 McComiskey A., Schwartz, S.E., Schmid, B., Guan, H., Lewis, E.R., Ricchiazzi, P., & Ogren,
- 1651 J.A. (2008). Direct aerosol forcing: calculation from observables and sensitivities to inputs. J.
- 1652 Geophys. Res. 113, D09202, doi:10.1029/2007JD009170.
- 1653
- 1654 McNeill, J., Snyder, G., Weagle, C.L., Walsh, B., Bissonnette, P., Stone, E., et al. (2020). Large
- 1655 global variations in measured airborne metal concentrations driven by anthropogenic sources.
- 1656 Nature Sci. Rep. 10:21817, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-78789-y.
- 1657
- 1658 Menon, S., Hansen, J., Nazarenko, L., and Y. Luo, Y. (2002), Climate effects of black carbon
- aerosols in China and India. *Science*, *297*, *2250–2253*, doi: 10.1126/science.1075159.
- 1660
- Mishchenko, M.I., & Travis, L.D. (1997). Satellite retrieval of aerosol properties over the ocean
 using polarization as well as intensity of reflected sunlight. J. Geophys. Res. 102 (D14) 16,98917,013, doi:10.1029/96JD02425.
- 1664
- Morales Betancourt, R., & Nenes, A. (2014). Understanding the contributions of aerosol
 properties and parameterization discrepancies to droplet number variability in a global climate
 model. *Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4809–4826*, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-4809-2014.
- 1668
- 1669 Mortier, A., Gliss, J., Schulz, M., Aas, W., Andrews, E., Bian, H., et al. (2020). Evaluation of
- 1670 climate model aerosol trends with ground-based observations over the last two decades an
- 1671 AeroCom and CMIP6 analysis. *Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 13355–13378.* doi:10.5194/acp-20-
- 1672 13355-2020.
- 1673
- Mülmenstädt, J. & Feingold, G. (2018). The radiative forcing of aerosol-cloud interactions in
 liquid clouds: Wrestling and embracing uncertainty. *Curr. Clim. Change Rep., 4, 23–40*,
 doi:10.1007/s40641-018-0089-y, 2018.
- 1677
- 1678 Myhre, G., Samset, B.H., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T.K., et al. (2013).
- 1679 Radiative forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II simulations. *Atmos. Chem.*
- 1680 Phys. 13, 1853–1877, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1853-2013.

- Nakajima, T., Higurashi, A., Kawamoto, K., & Penner, J.E. (2001). A possible correlation
 between satellite-derived cloud and aerosol microphysical parameters. *Geophys. Res. Lett. 28*(7), 1171-1174, doi:10.1029/2000GL012186.
- 1685
- 1686 Nazarenko, L., Rind, D., Tsigaridis, K., Del Genio, A.D., Kelley, M., & Tausnev, N. (2017).
- 1687 Interactive nature of climate change and aerosol forcing. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 3457–
- 1688 *3480*, doi:10.1002/2016JD025809.
- 1689
- 1690 Nelson, D.L., Garay, M.J., Kahn, R.A., & Dunst, B.A. (2013). Stereoscopic height and wind
- retrievals for aerosol plumes with the MISR INteractive eXplorer (MINX). *Remote Sens. 5*,
 4593-4628, doi:10.3390/rs5094593.
- 1693
- Nguyen, H.N., Gudmundsson, A., & Martinsson, B.G. (2006). Design and calibration of a multichannel aerosol sampler for tropopause region studies from the CARIBIC platform. *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 40:649–655, doi:10.1080/02786820600767807.
- 1697
- Nicolae, D., Vasilescu, J., Talianu, C., Binietoglou, I., Nicolae, V., Andrei, S., & Antonescu, B.
 (2018). A neural network aerosol-typing algorithm based on lidar data. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *18*, *14511–14537*, doi:10.5194/acp-18-14511-2018.
- 1701
- O'Gorman, P.A., Allan, R.P., Byrne, M.P., & Previdi, M. (2012). Energetic constraints on
 precipitation under climate change. *Survy. Geophys. 33, 585-608*, doi:10.1007/s10712-011-91596.
- 1705
- 1706 Pahlow, M., Feingold, G., Jefferson, A., Andrews, E., Ogren, J.A., Wang, J., et al. (2006).
- 1707 Comparison between lidar and nephelometer measurements of aerosol hygroscopicity at the
- 1708 Southern Great Plains Atmospheric Radiation Measurement site. J. Geophys. Res., 111, D05S15,
- 1709 doi:10.1029/2004JD005646.
- 1710

- 1711 Pan, X., Chin, M., Gautam, R., Bian, H., Kim, D., Colarco, P.R., et al. (2015). A multi-model
- 1712 evaluation of aerosols over South Asia: Common problems and possible causes. *Atmos. Chem.*
- 1713 *Phys. 15, 5903-5928*, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5903-2015.
- 1714
- 1715 Papagiannopoulos, N., Mona, L., Amodeo, A., D'Amico, G., Gumà Claramunt, P., Pappalardo,
- 1716 G., et al. (2018). An automatic observation-based aerosol typing method for EARLINET. *Atmos.*
- 1717 Chem. Phys., 18, 15879–15901, doi:10.5194/acp-18-15879-2018.
- 1718
- 1719 Pappalardo, G., Amodeo, A., Apituley, A., Comeron, A., Freudenthaler, V., Linn, H., et al.
- 1720 (2014). EARLINET: towards an advanced sustainable European aerosol lidar network. *Atmos.*
- 1721 Meas. Tech., 7, 2389–2409, doi:10.5194/amt-7-2389-2014.
- 1722
- 1723 Persad, G.G., Samset, B.H., & Laura J. Wilcox, L.J. (2022). Aerosols must be included in
- 1724 climate risk assessments, *Nature, 611 (24), 662-664*. doi:10.1038/d41586-022-03763-9.
- 1725

- Petrenko, M., Kahn, R.A., Chin, M., & Limbacher, J.A. (2017). Refined use of satellite aerosol
 optical depth snapshots to constrain biomass burning emissions in the GOCART model. *J. Geophys. Res.* 122, doi:10.1002/2017JD026693.
- 1726 *Geophys. Res. 122*, doi:1
- Pu, B., Ginoux, P., Guo, H., Hsu, C., Kimball, J., et al. (2020). Retrieving the global distribution
 - 1731 of the threshold of wind erosion from satellite data and implementing it into the Geophysical
 - 1732 Fluid Dynamics Laboratory land–atmosphere model (GFDL AM4.0/LM4.0). Atmos. Chem.

1733 *Phys., 20, 55–81*, doi:10.5194/acp-20-55-2020.

- 1734
- 1735 Quaas, J., Arola, A., Cairns, B., Christensen, M., Deneke, H., Ekman, A.M.L., et al. (2020).
- 1736 Constraining the Twomey effect from satellite observations: Issues and perspectives. *Atmos.*
- 1737 Chem. Phys., 20, 15079–15099, doi:10.5194/acp-20-15079-2020.
- 1738
- 1739 Quaas, J., Hailing, J., Smith, C., Albright, A.L., Aas, W., Bellouin, N., et al. (2022). Robust
- 1740 evidence for reversal of the trend in aerosol effective climate forcing. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22,
- 1741 *12221–12239*, doi: 10.5194/acp-22-12221-2022.

- 1743 Ramaswamy, V., Boucher, O., Haigh, J., Hauglustaine, D., Haywood, J., et al. (2001). Ch. 6,
- 1744 Radiative forcing of climate change. In: <u>Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis</u>, J. T.
- 1745 Houghton et al., Eds., Cambridge University Press, 349–416.
- 1746
- 1747 Randerson, J. T., Chen, Y., van der Werf, G. R., & Morton, D. C. (2012). Global burned area and
- biomass burning emissions from small fires. J. Geophys. Res. 117, G04012,
- 1749 doi:10.1029/2012JG002128.
- 1750
- 1751 Randles, C. A., da Silva, A.M., Buchard, V., Colarco, P.R., Darmenov, A., Govindaraju, R., et al.
- 1752 (2017). The MERRA-2 aerosol reanalysis, 1980–onward, Part I: System description and data
- assimilation evaluation. J. Climate 30, 6823-6850, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-16-0609.s1.
- 1754
- Reddington, C.L., Carslaw, K.S., Stier, P., Schutgens, N., Coe, H., Liu, D., et al. (2017). The
 global aerosol synthesis and science project (GASSP). *Bull. Am. Meteoro. Soc. 1857-1877*,
 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00317.1.
- 1758
- 1759 Redemann, J., Wood, R., Zuidema, P., Doherty, S.J., Luna, B., LeBlanc, S.E., et al. (2021). An
- 1760 overview of the ORACLES (ObseRvations of Aerosols above CLouds and their intEractionS)
- 1761 project: aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions in the southeast Atlantic basin. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
- 1762 *21, 1507–1563*, doi:10.5194/acp-21-1507-2021.
- 1763
- 1764 Reid, J.S., R. Koppmann, R., Eck, T.F., & Eleuterio, D.P. (2005). A review of biomass burning
- emissions part II: intensive physical properties of biomass burning particles. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *5*, *799–825*, doi:1680-7324/acp/2005-5-799.
- 1767
- 1768 Reid, J.S., Reid, E.A., Walker, A., Piketh, S., Cliff, S., Al Mandoos, A., et al. (2008). Dynamics
- 1769 of southwest Asian dust particle size characteristics with implications for global dust research. J.
- 1770 Geophys. Res., 113, D14212, doi:10.1029/2007JD009752.
- 1771

- 1772 Reid, J.S., Hyer, E.J., Prins, E.M., Westphal, D.L., Zhang, J., et al. (2009). Global monitoring
- and forecasting of biomass-burning smoke: Description of and lessons from the Fire Locating
- and Modeling of Burning Emissions (FLAMBE) program. IEEE J. Topics Applied Earth Obs. &

1775 Remt. Sens. 2 (3), 144-162, doi:1939-1404/26.00.

- 1776
- 1777 Remer, L.A., Kaufman, Y.J., Tanre, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D.A., Martins, J.V., et al. (2005). The
- 1778 MODIS aerosol algorithm, products, and validation. J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 947–973,
- 1779 doi:10.1175/JAS3385.1.
- 1780
- 1781 Reutter, P., Su, H., Trentmann, J., Simmel, M., Rose, D., Gunthe, S. S., Wernli, H., et al. (2009).
- 1782 Aerosol- and updraft-limited regimes of cloud droplet formation: influence of particle number,
- size and hygroscopicity on the activation of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), Atmos. Chem.
- 1784 *Phys.*, *9*, 7067–7080, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7067-2009.
- 1785
- 1786 Rissman, T., Nenes, A., & Seinfeld, J.H. (2004). Chemical amplification (or dampening) of the
- 1787 Twomey effect: Conditions derived from droplet activation theory. J. Atmos. Sci., 61(8), 919-
- 1788 *930*, doi:10.1175/1520-0469.
- 1789
- 1790 Rose, C., Coen, M.C., Andrews, E., Lin, Y., Bossert, I., Myhre, C.L., et al. (2021). Seasonality of
- the particle number concentration and size distribution: a global analysis retrieved from the
- 1792 network of Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) near-surface observatories. Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 1793 *21, 17185–17223*, doi:10.5194/acp-21-17185-2021.
- 1794
- 1795 Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M.O., Asmi, A., Mian Chin, M., de Leeuw, G., Donovan, D.P., et al.
- 1796 (2014). Global observations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation-climate. Rev. Geophys. 52,
- 1797 doi:10.1002/2013RG000441.
- 1798
- 1799 Rosenfeld, D., Zheng, Y., Hashimshoni, E., Pöhlker, M.L., Jeferson, A., Pöhlker, C., et al.
- 1800 (2016). Satellite retrieval of cloud condensation nuclei concentrations by using clouds as CCN
- 1801 chambers. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 113 (21), doi:10.1073/pnas.1514044113.
- 1802

- 1803 Rubin, J.I., Reid, J.S., Hansen, J.A., Anderson, J.L., Holben, B.N., Xian, P., et al. (2017).
- 1804 Assimilation of AERONET and MODIS AOT observations using variational and ensemble data
- assimilation methods and its impact on aerosol forecasting skill. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 122,
- 1806 4967–4992. doi:10.1002/2016JD026067.
- 1807
- 1808 Russell, P.B., Kacenelenbogen, M., Livingston, J.M., Hasekamp, O.P., Burton, S.P., Schuster,
- 1809 G.L., et al. (2014). A multiparameter aerosol classification method and its application to
- 1810 retrievals from spaceborne polarimetry. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 119,
- 1811 doi:10.1002/2013JD021411.
- 1812
- 1813 Samset, B. H., G. Myhre, M. Schulz, Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T.K., et al. (2013).
- 1814 Black carbon vertical profiles strongly affect its radiative forcing uncertainty. *Atmos. Chem.*
- 1815 *Phys. 13(5), 2423–2434*, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2423-2013.
- 1816
- 1817 Samset, B., Myhre, G. & Schulz, M. (2014). Upward adjustment needed for aerosol radiative
- 1818 forcing uncertainty. *Nature Climate Change 4, 230–232*. doi:10.1038/nclimate2170.
- 1819
- 1820 Sand, M., Samset, B.H., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Bellouin, N., Berntsen, T.K., et al. (2017).
- 1821 Aerosols at the poles: an AeroCom Phase II multi-model evaluation. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17,

1822 *12197–12218*. doi:10.5194/acp-17-12197-2017.

- 1823
- Sayer, A.M., Hsu, N.C., Lee, J., Kim, W.V., Burton, S., Fenn, M.A., et al. (2019). Two decades
 observing smoke above clouds in the south-eastern Atlantic Ocean: Deep Blue algorithm updates
 and validation with ORACLES field campaign data. *Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 3595–3627*,
 doi:10.5194/amt-12-3595-2019.
- 1828
- 1829 Sayer, A.M., Govaerts, Y., Kolmonen, P., Lipponen, A., Luffarelli, M., Mielonen, T., et al.
- 1830 (2020). A review and framework for the evaluation of pixel-level uncertainty estimates in
- 1831 satellite aerosol remote sensing. *Atmos. Meas. Tech. 13, 373–404*, doi:10.5194/amt-13-373-2020.
- 1832

- 1833 Schill, G.P., Froyd, K.D., Bian, H., Kupc, A., Williamson, C., Brock, C.A., et al. (2020).
- 1834 Widespread biomass burning smoke throughout the remote troposphere. *Nature Geosci.*,
- 1835 doi:10.1038/s41561-020-0586-1.
- 1836
- 1837 Schroeder, P., Brewer, W.A., Choukulkar, A., Weickmann, A., Zucker, M, Holloway, M.W., &
- 1838 Sandberg, S. 2020. A compact, flexible, and robust micropulsed Doppler lidar. J. Atmosph.
- 1839 Ocean Tech. 37, 1387-1402, doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-19-0142.1.
- 1840
- 1841 Schulz, M., Textor, C., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., et al. (2006). Radiative
- 1842 forcing by aerosols as derived from the AeroCom present-day and pre-industrial simulations.
- 1843 Atmos. Chem. Phys. 6(12), 5225–5246, doi:10.5194/acp-6-5225-2006.
- 1844
- 1845 Schuster, G.L., & Trepte, C. (2021). Models, In situ, and Remote sensing of Aerosols (MIRA).
- 1846 <u>https://science.larc.nasa.gov/wpcontent/uploads/sites/147/2021/11/MIRA_newsletter_20211027.</u>
- 1847 <u>pdf</u> (last accessed 05/02/2022)
- 1848
- 1849 Schutgens, N., Tsyro, S., Gruspeerdt. E., Goto, D., Weigum, N., Schultz, M., & Stier, P. (2017).
- 1850 On the spatio-temporal representativeness of observations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 9761-8780,
- 1851 doi:10.5194/acp-17-9761-2017.
- 1852
- 1853 Schutgens, N., Sayer, A.M., Heckel, A., Hsu, N.C., Jethva, H., de Leeuw, G., et al. (2020). An
- 1854 AeroCom–AeroSat study: intercomparison of satellite AOD datasets for aerosol model
- 1855 evaluation. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20, 12431–12457, doi:10.5194/acp-20-12431-2020.
- 1856
- 1857 Schutgens, N., Dubovik, O., Hasekamp, O., Torres, O., Jethva, H., Leonard, P.J.T., et al. (2021).
- 1858 AEROCOM and AEROSAT AAOD and SSA study Part 1: Evaluation and intercomparison of
- 1859 satellite measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 6895–6917, doi:10.5194/acp-21-6895-2021.
- 1860
- 1861 Seidel, F., & C. Popp (2012). Critical surface albedo and its implications to aerosol remote
- 1862 sensing. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1653–1665, doi: 10.5194/amt-5-1653-2012.
- 1863

1864	Seinfeld, J.H., Bretherton, C.S., Carslaw, K.S., Coe, H., DeMott, P.J., Dunlea, E.J., et al. (2016).
1865	Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol-cloud interactions in the climate
1866	system. Proc. Nat. Academy Sci. 113 (21), 5781-5790, doi:10.1073/pnas.1514043113.
1867	
1868	Sekiyama, T. T., Tanaka, T. Y., Shimizu, A., & Miyoshi, T. (2010). Data assimilation of
1869	CALIPSO aerosol observations. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 39-49. doi:10.5194/acp-10-39-2010.
1870	
1871	Shen, Y., Virkkula, A., Ding, A., Luoma, K., Keskinen, H., Aalto, P. P., et al. (2019). Estimating
1872	cloud condensation nuclei number concentrations using aerosol optical properties: role of
1873	particle number size distribution and parameterization, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 15483-15502,
1874	doi:10.5194/acp-19-15483-2019.
1875	
1876	Sheridan, J.P., Andrews, E., Ogren, J.A., Tackett, J.L., & Winker, D.M., (2012). Vertical profiles
1877	of aerosol optical properties over Central Illinois and comparison with surface and satellite
1878	measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11695-11721, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11695-2012.
1879	
1880	Shindell, D.T., Lamarque, JF., Schulz, M., Flanner, M., Jiao, C., Chin, M., et al. (2013).
1881	Radiative forcing in the ACCMIP historical and future climate simulations. Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
1882	<i>13, 2939–2974</i> , doi: 10.5194/acp-13-2939-2013.
1883	
1884	Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A.D., Nenes, A., Jefferson, A., Wood, R., McNaughton, C.S., et al.
1885	(2015). The relationship between cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentration and light
1886	extinction of dried particles: indications of underlying aerosol processes and implications for
1887	satellite-based CCN estimates. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 7585-7604, doi:10.5194/acp-15-7585-
1888	2015.
1889	
1890	Smirnov, A, Holben, B.N., Giles, D.M., Slutsker, I., O'Neill, N.T., Eck, T.F., et al. (2011).
1891	Maritime aerosol network as a component of AERONET - First results and comparison with
1892	global aerosol models and satellite retrievals. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 4, 583-597, doi:10.5194/amt-4-
1893	583-2011.

- 1895 Snider, G., Weagle, C.L., Martin, R.V., van Donkelaar, A., Conrad; K., Zwicker, M., et al.
- 1896 (2015). SPARTAN: A global network to evaluate and enhance satellite-based estimates of
- 1897 ground-level aerosol for global health applications. *Atmos. Meas. Tech. 8, 505-521*,
- 1898 doi:10.5194/amt-8-505-2015.
- 1899
- 1900 Soden, B., and Chung, E.-S. (2017). The Large-Scale Dynamical Response of Clouds to Aerosol
- 1901 Forcing. J Clim. 30(21), 8783–8794, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0050.1.
- 1902
- 1903 Sogacheva, L., Popp, T., Sayer, A. M., Dubovik, O., Garay, M. J., Heckel, A., et al. (2020).
- 1904 Merging regional and global aerosol optical depth records from major available satellite
- 1905 products, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 2031–2056, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-2031-2020.
 1906
- 1907 Sorooshian, A., Anderson, B., Bauer, S.E., Braun, R.A., Cairns, B., et al. (2019), Aerosol-Cloud-
- 1908 Meteorology interaction airborne field investigations: Using Lessons Learned from the U.S.
- 1909 West Coast in the Design of ACTIVATE off the U.S. East Coast. Bull. Am. Meteor. Soc. 100,
- 1910 *1511–1528*, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0100.1.
- 1911
- Sorooshian, A., Atkinson, J., Ferrare, R., Hair, J., & Ziemba, L. (2021). Taking flight to study
 clouds and climate. *Eos*, *102*, doi:10.1029/2021EO158570.
- 1914
- 1915 Stier, P., Feichter, J., Kinne, S., Kloster, S., Vignati, E., Wilson, J., et al. (2005). The aerosol-
- climate model ECHAM5-HAM. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *5*, *1125–1156*, doi: 10.5194/acp-5-11252005.
- 1918
- 1919 Stier, P., Schutgens, N.A.J., Bellouin, N., Bian, H., Boucher, O., Chin, M., et al. (2013). Host
- 1920 model uncertainties in aerosol radiative forcing estimates: results from the AeroCom Prescribed
- intercomparison study. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3245–3270, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-3245-2013.
- 1922
- 1923 Stier, P. (2016). Limitations of passive remote sensing to constrain global cloud condensation
- 1924 nuclei. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6595–6607, doi:10.5194/acp-16-6595-2016.
- 1925

1926	Sullivan, S.C., Lee, D., Oreopoulos, L., & Nenes, A. (2016). Role of updraft velocity in temporal
1927	variability of global cloud hydrometeor number. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 113 (21), 5791-5796,
1928	doi:10.1073/pnas.1514039113.
1929	
1930	Tao, WK., Chen, JP., Li, Z., Wang, C., & Zhang, C. (2012). Impact of aerosols on convective
1931	clouds and precipitation. Rev. Geophys., 50, RG2001, doi:10.1029/2011RG000369.
1932	
1933	Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., et al. (2006). Analysis
1934	and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
1935	6, 1777–1813.
1936	
1937	Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., et al. (2007). The effect
1938	of harmonized emissions on aerosol properties in global models – an AeroCom experiment.
1939	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4489-4501, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4489-2007.
1940	
1941	Thomas, G.E., Poulsen, C.A., Sayer, A.M., Marsh, S.H., Dean, S.M., Carboni, E., et al. (2009).
1942	The GRAPE aerosol retrieval algorithm. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2, 679-701, doi:10.5194/amt-2-
1943	679-2009.
1944	
1945	Thorsen, T.J., Winker, D.M., & Ferrare, R.A. (2021). Uncertainty in observational estimates of
1946	the aerosol direct radiative effect and forcing. J. Climate 34, 195-213, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-
1947	1009.1.
1948	
1949	Tsigaridis, K., Daskalakis, N., Kanakidou, M., Adams, P. J., Artaxo, P., Bahadur, R., et al.
1950	(2014). The AeroCom evaluation and intercomparison of organic aerosol in global models.
1951	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 10845-10895, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10845-2014.
1952	
1953	Toon, O. B., Maring, H., Dibb, J., Ferrare, R., Jacob, D.J., Jensen, E.J., et al. (2016). Planning,
1954	implementation, and scientific goals of the Studies of Emissions and Atmospheric Composition,
1955	Clouds and Climate Coupling by Regional Surveys (SEAC4RS) field mission. J. Geophys. Res.
1956	Atmos., 121, doi:10.1002/2015JD024297.

- Torres, O., Jethva, H., & Bhartia, P.K. (2012). Retrieval of aerosol optical depth above clouds 1958 1959 from OMI observations: sensitivity analysis and case studies. J. Atmos. Sci. 69, 1037-1053, 1960 doi:10.1175/JASD-11-0130.1. 1961 1962 Twomey, S. (1974). Pollution and the planetary albedo. Atmos. Env. 8, 1251-1256, doi: 10.1016/0004-6981(74)90004-3. 1963 1964 Twomey, S. (1977). The influence of pollution on the short wave albedo of clouds. J. Atmos. 1965 Sci., 34, 1149–1152, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034. 1966 1967 Val Martin, M. Kahn, R.A., & Tosca, M. (2018). A global climatology of wildfire smoke 1968 injection height derived from space-based multi-angle imaging. Remote Sensing 10, 1609, 1969 doi:10.3390/rs10101609. 1970 1971 1972 Vandenbussche, S., Kochenova, S., Vandaele, A.C., Kumps, N., & De Maziere, M. (2013). Retrieval of desert dust aerosol vertical profiles from IASI measurements in the TIR atmospheric 1973 1974 window. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2577-2591, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2577-2013. 1975 1976 van der Werf, G.R., Randerson, J.T., Giglio, L., van Leeuwen, T.T., Chen, Y., et al. (2017). Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 9, 697–720, doi: 1977 1978 10.5194/essd-9-697-2017. 1979 1980 Van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R.V., Brauer, M., Kahn, R.A., Levy, R.C., Verduzco, C., & Villeneuve, P. (2010). Global estimates of average ground-level fine particulate matter 1981 concentrations from satellite-based aerosol optical depth. Environ. Health Perspect. 118, 847-1982 855, doi:10.1289/EHP.0901623. 1983 1984 1985 Van Donkelaar, A., M. Hammer, M., Bindle, L., Brauer, M., Brook, J., Garay, M., et al. (2021). Monthly global estimates of fine particulate matter and their uncertainty. Environ. Sci. Tech. 55, 1986
- 1987 *15287–15300*, doi:10.1021/acs.est.1c05309.

1988	
1989	Vernon, C.J., Bolt, R., Canty, T., & Kahn, R.A. (2018). The impact of MISR-derived injection-
1990	height initialization on wildfire and volcanic plume dispersion in the HySPLIT model. Atmos.
1991	Meas. Tech. 11, 6289–6307, doi:10.5194/amt-11-6289-2018.
1992	
1993	Vicent, J., Verrelst, J., Sabater, N., Alonso, L., Rivera-Caicedo, J.P., Martino, L., et al. (2020).
1994	Comparative analysis of atmospheric radiative transfer models using the Atmospheric Look-up
1995	table Generator (ALG) toolbox (version 2.0). Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 1945-1957,
1996	doi:10.5194/gmd-13-1945-2020.
1997	
1998	Vogelmann, A., G. M. McFarquhar, J. A. Ogren, D. D. Turner, J. M. Comstock, G. Feingold, et
1999	al. (2012). RACORO extended-term, aircraft observations of boundary-layer clouds, Bull. Amer.
2000	Meteor. Soc., 93, 861-878, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00189.1.
2001	
2002	Wang I Aggerter C XII X & Szukman II (2016) Potential application of VIIRS
2002	Day/Night Band for monitoring nighttime surface PM2 5 air quality from space <i>Atmosph</i>
2005	Environ 124 55-63 doi:10.1016/j.atmoseny.2015.11.013
2001	
2005	
2006	Wang, S., Wang Q., & Feingold, G. (2003). Turbulence, condensation, and liquid water
2007	transport in numerically simulated nonprecipitating stratocumulus clouds. J. Atmos. Sci., 60,
2008	262–278, doi:10.1175/1520-0469.
2009	
2010	Watson-Parris, D., Schutgens, N., Reddington, C., Pringle, K.J., Liu, D., et al. (2019). In situ
2011	constraints on the vertical distribution of global aerosol. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11765-11790,
2012	doi:10.5194/acp-19-11765-2019.
2013	
2014	Watson-Parris, D., & Smith, C.J. (2022). Large uncertainty in future warming due to aerosol
2015	forcing. Nat. Clim. Chang. 12, 1111-1113, doi:10.1038/s41558-022-01516-0.
2016	

- 2017 Wells, K.C., Martins, J.V., Remer, L.A., Kreidenweis, S.M., & G. L. Stephens G.L. (2012).
- 2018 Critical reflectance derived from MODIS: Application for the retrieval of aerosol absorption over
- 2019 desert regions, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D03202, doi:10.1029/2011JD016891.
- 2020
- 2021 Welton, E.J., Campbell, J.R., Spinhirne, J.D., & Scott, V.S. (2001). Global monitoring of clouds
- and aerosols using a network of micro-pulse lidar systems. *Proc. SPIE 4153, 151-158,*
- 2023 doi:10.1117/12.417040.
- 2024
- 2025 Wen, G., Marshak, A., Cahalan, R.F., Remer, L., & Kleidman, R.G. (2007). 3D aerosol-cloud
- 2026 radiative interaction observed in collocated MODIS and ASTER images of cumulus cloud fields.
- 2027 J. Geophys. Res., 112, D13204, doi:10.1029/2006JD008267.
- 2028
- 2029 Werdell, P.J., Behrenfeld, M.J., Bontempi, P.S., Boss, E., Caorms. B. et al. (2019). The plankton,
- 2030 aerosol, cloud, ocean ecosystem mission: Status, science, advances. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.
- 2031 1775-1794, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0056.1.
- 2032
- 2033 Wiggins, E.B., Soja, A.J., Gargulinski, E., Halliday, H.S., Pierce, R.B., et al. (2020). High
- 2034 Temporal Resolution Satellite Observations of Fire Radiative Power Reveal Link Between Fire
- 2035 Behavior and Aerosol and Gas Emissions. Geophys. Res. Lett. 47, e2020GL090707,
- 2036 doi:10.1029/2020GL090707
- 2037
- Williams, A.I.L., Stier, P., Dagan, G., & Watson-Parris, D. (2022). Strong control of effective
 radiative forcing by the spatial pattern of absorbing aerosol. *Nature Climate Change (12), 735-*742, doi:10.1038/s41558-022-01415-4.
- 2041
- 2042 Wilson, J.C., Lafleu, B.G., Hilbert, H., Seebaugh, W.R., Fox, J., Gesler, D.W., Brock, C.A.,
- Huebert, B.J., & Mullen, J. (2004) Function and performance of a low turbulence inlet for
- sampling supermicron particles from aircraft platforms. *Aerosol Sci. Tech., 38(8), 790-802,*
- 2045 doi:10.1080/027868290500841.
- 2046
- 2047 Winker, D.M., Vaughan, M.A., Omar, A., Hu, Y., & Powell, K.A. (2009). Overview of the
- 2048 CALIPSO mission and CALIOP data processing algorithms. J. Atmos. Ocean Technol. 26,
- 2049 *2310–2323*, doi:10.1175/2009JTECHA1281.1.
- 2050
- 2051 Witek, M.L., Garay, M.J., Diner, D.J., Bull, M.A., & Seidel, F.C. (2018). New approach to the
- 2052 retrieval of AOD and its uncertainty from MISR observations over dark water. *Atmos. Meas.*
- 2053 Tech. 11, 429–439, doi:10.5194/amt-11-429-2018.
- 2054
- 2055 Xian, P., Reid, J.S., Hyer, E.J., Sampson, C.R., Rubin, J.I., Ades, M. et al. (2019). Current state
- 2056 of the global operational aerosol multi-model ensemble: An update from the International
- 2057 Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP). *Q. J. Royal Meteoro. Soc. 145 (Suppl.), 176–209*,
 2058 doi:10.1002/qj.3497.
- 2059
- Xue, H., Feingold, G., & Stevens, B. 2008. Aerosol effects on clouds, precipitation, and the
 organization of shallow cumulus convection. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 65, 392–406,
 doi:10.1175/2007JAS2428.1.
- 2063
- Yang, C.K., Chiu, J.C., Marchak, A., Feingold, G., Varnai, T., Wen, G., et al. (2022). Near-cloud
 aerosol retrieval Uusing machine learning techniques, and implied direct radiative effects.
- 2066 Geophys. Res. Lett. 49, e2022GL098274, doi:10.1029/2022GL098274.
- 2067
- 2068 Yokelson, R., Crounse, J. D., DeCarlo, P. F., Karl, T., Urbanski, S., Atlas, E., et al. (2009).
- 2069 Emissions from biomass burning in the Yucatan. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5785-5812,
- 2070 doi:10.5194/acp-9-5785-2009.
- 2071
- 2072 Yorks, J.E., McGill, M.J., Palm, S.P., Hlavka, D.L., Selmer, P.A., Nowottnick, E.P., et al.
- 2073 (2016). An overview of the CATS level 1 processing algorithms and data products. *Geophys.*
- 2074 Res. Lett., 43, 4632–4639, doi:10.1002/2016GL068006.
- 2075

2076	Zamora, L.M., & Kahn, R.A. (2020). Saharan dust aerosols change deep convective cloud
2077	prevalence, possibly by inhibiting marine new particle formation. J. Climate 33, 9467-9477,
2078	doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0083.1
2079	
2080	Zender, C.S., Bian, H., & Newman, D. (2003). Mineral Dust Entrainment and Deposition
2081	(DEAD) model: Description and 1990s dust climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D14), 4416,
2082	doi:10.1029/2002JD002775, 2003.
2083	
2084	Zhang, H., Kondragunta, S., Laszlo, I., & Zhou, M. (2020a). Improving GOES Advanced
2085	Baseline Imager (ABI) aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrievals using an empirical bias correction
2086	algorithm. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 13, 5955-5975, doi:10.5194/amt-13-5955-2020.
2087	
2088	Zhang, J., Spurr, R.J.D., Reid, J.S., Xian, P., Colarco, P.R., Campbell, J.R., et al. (2020b).
2089	Development of an Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) aerosol index (AI) data assimilation
2090	scheme for aerosol modeling over bright surfaces – a step toward direct radiance assimilation in
2091	the UV spectrum. Geosci. Model Dev, 14, 27-42, doi:10.5194/gmd-14-27-2021.
2092	
2093	Zhang, J., Campbell, J.R., Reid, J.S., Westphal, D.L., Baker, N.L., Campbell, W.F., & Hyer, E.J.
2094	(2011). Evaluating the impact of assimilating CALIOP-derived aerosol extinction profiles on a
2095	global mass transport model. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L14801, doi:10.1029/2011GL04773.
2096	
2097	Zhu, L., Val Martin, M., Hecobian, A., Deeter, M.N., Gatti, L.V., Kahn, R.A., & Fischer, E.V.
2098	(2018a). Development and implementation of a new biomass burning emissions injection height
2099	scheme for the GEOS-Chem model. Geosci. Model Develop. 11, 4103-4116, doi:10.5194/gmd-
2100	11-4103-2018.
2101	
2102	Zhu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., & Li, Z. (2018b). Under what conditions can we trust retrieved cloud
2103	drop concentrations in broken marine stratocumulus? J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 123, 8754-8767,
2104	doi:.
2105	

Reviews of Geophysics

- Zieger, P., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Weingartner, E., & Baltensperger, U. (2013). Effects of 2106
- relative humidity on aerosol light scattering: results from different European sites. Atmos. Chem. 2107
- 2108 *Phys.*, *13*, *10609–10631*, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10609-2013
- 2109



- 2111
- 2112