2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Gas Sources from the Coma and Nucleus of Comet 46P/Wirtanen Observed Using ALMA

M. A. CORDINER,^{1,2} N. X. ROTH,^{1,2} S. N. MILAM,¹ G. L. VILLANUEVA,³ D. BOCKELÉE-MORVAN,⁴ A. J. REMIJAN,⁵ S. B. CHARNLEY,¹ N. BIVER,⁴ D. C. LIS,⁶ C. QI,⁷ B. P. BONEV,⁸ J. CROVISIER,⁴ AND J. BOISSIER,⁹

¹Astrochemistry Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA. ²Department of Physics, Catholic University of America, Washington, DC 20064, USA.

³Planetary Systems Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA.

⁴LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, 5 place Jules Janssen, F-92195

Meudon, France.

⁵National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA.

⁶ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Drove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA.

⁷ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, MS 42, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA.

⁸Department of Physics, American University, Washington D.C., USA.

⁹Institut de Radioastronomie Millimetrique, 300 rue de la Piscine, F-38406, Saint Martin d'Heres, France.

ABSTRACT

Gas-phase molecules in cometary atmospheres (comae) originate primarily from (1) outgassing by the nucleus, (2) sublimation of icy grains in the near-nucleus coma, and (3) coma (photo-)chemical processes. However, the majority of cometary gases observed at radio wavelengths have vet to be mapped. so their production/release mechanisms remain uncertain. Here we present observations of six molecular species towards comet 46P/Wirtanen, obtained using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) during the comet's unusually close (~ 0.1 au) approach to Earth in December 2018. Interferometric maps of HCN, CH₃OH, CH₃CN, H₂CO, CS and HNC were obtained at an unprecedented sky-projected spatial resolution of up to 25 km, enabling the nucleus and coma sources of these molecules to be accurately quantified. The HCN, CH₃OH and CH₃CN spatial distributions are consistent with production by direct outgassing from (or very near to) the nucleus, with a significant proportion of the observed CH₃OH originating from sublimation of icy grains in the near-nucleus coma (at a scale-length $L_p = 36 \pm 7$ km). On the other hand, H₂CO, CS and HNC originate primarily from distributed coma sources (with L_p values in the range 550–16,000 km), the identities of which remain to be established. The HCN, CH_3OH and HNC abundances in 46P are consistent with the average values previously observed in comets, whereas the H_2CO , CH_3CN and CS abundances are relatively low.

Keywords: Comets, individual: 46P/Wirtanen — Radio interferometry — Molecular lines — Astrochemistry

1. INTRODUCTION

Measurements of cometary compositions provide a unique tool for investigating ice chemistry in the protosolar disk midplane during the epoch of planet formation, and can therefore provide insight into the reagents available for prebiotic chemistry in the early Solar System (Mumma & Charnley 2011). A wealth of molecular species were recently detected in comet 67P by the Rosetta spacecraft (Altwegg et al. 2019), yet remote observations of coma gases remain the most common method for determining cometary compositions (Cochran et al. 2015).

The coma is typically understood in terms of a quasi-spherical expanding outflow of 'parent' species, sublimating directly from the nucleus, with 'daughter' species originating from photolysis of the parents in the coma (Haser

Corresponding author: M. A. Cordiner martin.cordiner@nasa.gov

CORDINER ET AL.

1957). Several coma molecules, on the other hand, exhibit 'distributed' (spatially extended) sources, some of which are believed to arise from the breakdown of macromolecular or dust-grain precursors (Meier et al. 1993; Cottin & Fray 2008; Cordiner et al. 2014, 2017a), the precise identity of which remains unknown, but could be related to the organic-rich, refractory material identified in comet 67P by the Rosetta mission (Capaccioni et al. 2015; Fray et al. 2016; Bardyn et al. 2017). An analysis of a sample of 26 comets led Mumma et al. (2017) to propose that thermal dissociation of ammonium salts ($NH_4^+X^-$, where X^- is a deprotonated acid) could be another source of gas-phase coma molecules, and this was found to be a plausible explanation for the abundances of several organics observed in the coma of 67P (Altwegg et al. 2020; Poch et al. 2020).

To-date, interferometric observations using the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) have confirmed the presence of daughter (or distributed) sources of H_2CO in three comets, while the HNC and CS molecules have been found to exhibit distributed sources in two comets (Cordiner et al. 2014; Roth et al. 2021a; Biver et al. 2022). Earlier single-dish mapping work (Biver et al. 1999) and interferometric observations (Milam et al. 2006) identified extended H_2CO spatial distributions in comets C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) and C/1995 O1 (Hale-Bopp), respectively. Infrared spectroscopic studies have also indicated the presence of both nucleus and coma sources for H_2CO and CO (Disanti et al. 1999; DiSanti et al. 2006), although no compelling evidence for a distributed CO source was found by Bockelée-Morvan et al. (2010). Despite decades of investigations into the chemical compositions of cometary comae and nuclei, it is surprising that the chemical origins of these commonly-detected (and relatively simple) coma gases still remain be conclusively determined.

A common way to parameterize molecular production in comets as a function of distance from the nucleus is using 59 the Haser daughter formula (Haser 1957). Through radiative transfer modeling of coma mapping observations, it is 60 then possible to derive the characteristic distance scale at which a given species is produced (commonly expressed as 61 a production scale length, or parent scale length, L_p ; Biver et al. 1999; Cordiner et al. 2014; Roth et al. 2021b). Due 62 to the paucity of detailed studies to-date, and relatively large uncertainties on the derived L_p values for HNC, H₂CO 63 and CS, combined with strong variability in the H_2CO parent scale lengths derived for different comets (even after 64 correcting for heliocentric distance), further studies are needed to help improve our understanding of the distributions 65 of these molecules in cometary comae. By comparison with laboratory measurements and detailed numerical models 66 (e.g. Meier et al. 1993; Fray et al. 2006; Cordiner & Charnley 2021), observational characterization of molecular 67 production as a function of distance from the nucleus allows proposed identities of the parent species to be tested and 68 validated. Measurements of the parent scale lengths of coma daughter species are therefore important in our quest 69 to better understand the native chemical constituents of comets, from which we gain new insights into the chemical 70 processes that occurred during the earliest history of the Solar System. 71

Previous interferometric studies of molecular production scales in comets have been restricted to bright, long-period 72 comets from the Oort cloud. But these objects represent only part of the wider population of small icy bodies available 73 for study in our Solar System today. Gravitational scattering by the giant planets is believed to have redistributed 74 comets within the inner Solar System and into their various present-day reservoirs: in particular, the Oort cloud and 75 the Kuiper Belt or scattered disk. Most comets discovered each year come from the Oort cloud, while the scattered 76 77 Kuiper disk is considered to be the source of Jupiter-family comets (JFCs). However, dynamical models have various predictions regarding the formative regions of comets, spanning a diverse range of heliocentric distances (e.g. Gomes 78 et al. 2005; Tsiganis et al. 2005). It is therefore vitally important to study both Oort cloud comets (OCCs) and 79 JFCs because it is unclear whether comets from each reservoir were formed in entirely overlapping regions in the 80 protosolar disk. Comparative studies of JFCs and OCCs can also provide insights into the impact of Solar irradiation 81 and thermal processing on the nucleus composition, since JFCs are typically subject to repeated cycles of irradiation, 82 heating and cooling during their relatively frequent, periodic perihelion passages (Meech & Svoren 2004; Gkotsinas 83 et al. 2022). Due to its extremely close approach to Earth ($\Delta = 0.077$ au on UT 2018-12-16), the 2018 apparition 84 of comet 46P/Wirtanen provided a unique opportunity to observe the molecular come of a Jupiter-family comet, at 85 unprecedented spatial resolution from the ground. 86

In this study, we present ALMA observations of molecular emission from comet 46P/Wirtanen, conducted in early December 2018 just before its closest approach to Earth. The resulting spectral images include molecules previously identified as daughter/distributed species in Oort cloud comets (H₂CO, HNC and CS), as well as suspected parent species (HCN and CH₃OH). We also present the first map of CH₃CN, which is yet to be imaged in any comet, so its association with sublimating ices in the nucleus, or chemistry in the coma, remains unexplored. Through application of our recently-developed, 3D radiative transfer and excitation model (SUBLIME; Cordiner et al. 2022), the coma

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

Set.	UT Date	UT Time	$Int.^a$	$r_H{}^b$	Δ^c	$\dot{\Delta}^d$	ν_R^{e}	Band^f	$Baselines^g$	$\theta_{min}{}^h$	$\theta_{PB}{}^i$	PWV^{j}	ϕ_{STO}^{k}	PS Ang. ¹
			(\min)	(au)	(au)	$(\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	(GHz)		(m)	('')	('')	(mm)	(°)	(°)
1	2018-12-02	04:16-05:12	43	1.07	0.115	-7.2	354.5	7	15.1 - 952	0.45×0.31	16.4	0.63	44.1	36.3
2	2018-12-02	02:30-03:52	63	1.07	0.115	-7.4	351.8	7	15.1 - 952	0.41×0.31	16.5	0.90	44.2	36.2
3	2018 - 12 - 07	02:34-04:06	63	1.06	0.096	-5.9	241.8	6	15.1 - 784	0.62×0.55	24.0	0.78	42.2	38.5

 $^a\,$ On-source observing time.

 b Heliocentric distance of the comet.

 c Geocentric distance of the comet.

 d Comet's mean topocentric radial velocity.

^e Representative frequency.

^f ALMA receiver band 6 range is 211–275 GHz; band 7 covers 275–370 GHz.

 g Range of antenna baseline lengths.

^h Angular resolution (dimensions of Gaussian fit to PSF) at ν_R .

^{*i*} Primary beam FWHM at ν_R .

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

 j Median precipitable water vapor column length at zenith.

 k Sun-Target-Observer (phase) angle.

^l Position angle (in the plane of the sky) of the extended Sun-target vector, counter-clockwise from north.

temperature distribution is derived, parent scale-lengths and abundances are calculated, leading to new insights into the nucleus vs. coma contributions of the observed molecules.

2. OBSERVATIONS

ALMA observations of 46P/Wirtanen were carried out on UT 2018-12-02 and 2018-12-07, when the comet was ≈ 0.1 au from Earth (1.1 au from the Sun), using forty-three 12 m antennas in an intermediate array configuration (with baselines in the range 15-952 m; see Table 1). The comet was tracked, and the position of the array phase center on the sky was updated in real-time using JPL Horizons orbital solution #K181/6. Weather conditions were very good throughout, with a vertical precipitable water vapor column (PWV) of less than 1 mm. This resulted in good phase stability, which was checked and corrected for with regular visits (every 6–7 minutes) to the nearby phase-calibration quasar J0241-0815.

The ALMA correlator was configured to observe three spectral setups in receiver bands 6 and 7, covering lines from HCN (setting 1), HNC and H₂CO (setting 2), and CH₃OH, CH₃CN and CS (setting 3), with spectral resolutions in the range 122–977 kHz (0.1–1.1 km s⁻¹). Integration times on source and other observational parameters are given in Table 1, while the detected spectral line frequencies of interest to the present study are shown in Table 2.

Noisy outlier data points were identified and flagged (removed) through inspection by Joint ALMA Observatory (JAO) staff. The raw data (visibilities) were further flagged and calibrated using the CASA software (version 5.4; CASA Team et al. 2022), using standard scripts supplied by the JAO. Prior to imaging, the visibilities were continuum-subtracted using a 2nd-order polynomial fit to the line-free channels in each spectral window. The time-resolved interferometric data series was Doppler-corrected to the rest frame of the comet using the CASA cvel task, with cubic spline interpolation between the frequency channels.

Imaging was performed using the CASA tclean (Clark) algorithm with natural weighting. A pixel size of 0.1''113 was used for the band 6 data and 0.05'' for band 7. Deconvolution of the spatial point spread function (PSF) was 114 performed within an 8"-diameter circular mask centered on the comet, and stopping at a flux threshold of twice the 115 RMS noise level (σ). The resulting image cubes were corrected for the response of the ALMA primary beam and then 116 transformed from celestial coordinates to sky-projected distances with respect to the center of the comet, which was 117 determined from the peak of the HCN emission (in settings 1 and 2) and the peak CH₃OH emission (in setting 3). 118 The coma gas and continuum (dust plus nucleus) emission peaks were both found to be consistent (within 0.2'') with 119 the JPL Horizons ephemeris position. 120

Autocorrelation (total power) spectra were also extracted from the ALMA data following the method of Cordiner et al. (2019) and Cordiner et al. (2020). The complete set of autocorrelation scans for all antennas was averaged together to form a single total-power spectrum for each molecule, which was then corrected for atmospheric opacity at the mean elevation angle of the observations, and converted to a flux scale (in Janskys) using the beam size and aperture efficiencies from the ALMA Technical Handbook (Cortes et al. 2022).

CORDINER ET AL.

Table 2. Observed spectral line parameters

Species	Transition	Freq.	E_u	Res.
		(MHz)	(K)	(kHz)
CH ₃ OH	$5_0 - 4_0 E$	241700.1590(0040)	48	244
$\rm CH_3OH$	$5_{-1} - 4_{-1} E$	241767.2340(0040)	40	244
${\rm CH_3OH}$	$5_0 - 4_0 A^+$	241791.3520(0040)	35	244
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$	$5_4 - 4_4 A^{\pm}$	241806.5240(0040)	115	244
${\rm CH_3OH}$	5_{-4} - 4_{-4} E	241813.2550(0040)	123	244
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$	$5_3 - 4_3 A^{\pm}$	241833.1060(0040)	85	244
${\rm CH_3OH}$	$5_2 - 4_2 A^-$	241842.2840(0040)	73	244
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$	$5_3-4_3 E$	241843.6040(0040)	83	244
$\rm CH_3OH$	5_{-3} - $4_{-3}E$	241852.2990(0040)	98	244
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$	$5_1 - 4_1 E$	241879.0250(0040)	56	244
$\rm CH_3OH$	$5_2 - 4_2 A^+$	241887.6740(0040)	73	244
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$	$5_{-2} - 4_{-2} E$	241904.1470(0040)	61	244
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{OH}$	$5_2 - 4_2 E$	241904.6430(0040)	57	244
\mathbf{CS}	5 - 4	244935.5565(0028)	35	488
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CN}$	$14_4 - 13_4$	257448.1282(0002)	207	977
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CN}$	14_{3} 13_{-3}	257482.7919(0002)	157	977
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CN}$	14_{-3} -13 ₃	257482.7919(0002)	157	977
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CN}$	$14_2 - 13_2$	257507.5619(0002)	121	977
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CN}$	$14_1 - 13_1$	257522.4279(0002)	100	977
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CN}$	$14_0 - 13_0$	257527.3839(0002)	93	977
$\rm H_2CO$	$5_{1,5}-4_{1,4}$	351768.6450(0300)	62	244
HCN	4 - 3	354505.4773(0005)	43	122
HNC	4-3	362630.3030(0090)	44	244

Note — All spectral line data were obtained from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS) (Endres et al. 2016). Transition quantum numbers are $J'_{K'}-J''_{K''}$ for CH₃OH and CH₃CN, $J'_{K'_a,K'_c}-J'_{K'_a,K'_c}$ for H₂CO and J'-J'' for CS, HCN and HNC. Uncertainties on the trailing digits of the spectral line frequencies are given in parentheses.

3. RESULTS

Spectral line emission was identified with at least 3σ confidence for all the transitions listed in Table 2. For these lines, the spectral channels with fluxes above 2σ were integrated to produce the flux maps shown in Figures 1 and 2. A centrally-peaked morphology is clearly evident for HCN, CH₃OH and CH₃CN (Figure 1), whereas the H₂CO and CS fluxes (Figure 2) are more spatially distributed, lacking any prominent, well-defined emission peak. HNC does not show any significant emission in the interferometric data.

The inset panels in the upper-right corners of Figures 1 and 2 show the spectral line fluxes of each species as a function of cometocentric Doppler velocity, integrated within a 5"-diameter circle centered on the comet. For CH_3OH , the inset spectrum represents an average of the five strongest, spectroscopically distinct (unblended) lines, whereas for CH_3CN , the four strongest lines were averaged. The autocorrelation spectra for each species are shown in Figure 3. For HNC, emission is clearly detected in the autocorrelation spectrum, but not in the interferometric data.

In contrast to the interferometric data, which have a spatial resolution $\sim 0.3-0.6''$ (see Table 1), or 26-38 km at 137 the distance of the comet, and are insensitive to any structures on the sky larger than $\sim 3-6''$ (260-410 km), the 138 autocorrelation spectra contain flux from the entirety of the ALMA primary beam (which has a FWHM = 16-24''). 139 Due to the extended nature of the cometary coma (spanning hundreds of arc-seconds), the autocorrelation spectra 140 contain intrinsically more flux per beam, especially for gases that have increasing abundances as a function of distance 141 from the nucleus. The weaker, more spatially extended appearance of the H_2CO , CS and HNC emission maps, 142 combined with the relative strength of their autocorrelation spectra, is therefore characteristic of a more extended 143 spatial distribution for these species, consistent with their release in the coma as daughter/distributed species. On 144

135

136

126



Figure 1. Spectrally-integrated ALMA emission maps of HCN, CH₃OH and CH₃CN, centered on their respective emission peaks. Beam size (FWHM of a Gaussian fit to the PSF) is shown lower left. Inset plots show the molecular spectra on a cometocentric velocity scale, integrated within a 5"-diameter aperture centered on the brightness peak. The (sky-projected) direction of the comet's orbital trail and comet-Sun vector are shown for CH₃CN in the lower right. Five times the RMS noise level (5 σ) is indicated on the color bar for each species (σ (HCN) = 5.0 mJy km s⁻¹, σ (CH₃OH) = 7.1 mJy km s⁻¹, σ (CH₃CN) = 2.1 mJy km s⁻¹).



Figure 2. Same as Figure 1, but for H₂CO, CS and HNC. The H₂CO and HNC maps are centered on the position of the HCN emission peak, relative to the phase center, while the CS map is centered on the CH₃OH peak. In the inset spectrum, the H₂CO data have been binned over 8 spectral channels for display. The RMS noise level (σ) is indicated on the color bar for each species (σ (H₂CO) = 3.0 mJy km s⁻¹, σ (CS) = 0.5 mJy km s⁻¹, σ (HNC) = 1.6 mJy km s⁻¹)

the other hand, the strongly centrally peaked morphologies for HCN, CH_3OH and CH_3CN are indicative of their production as parent species, directly from the nucleus. However, considering the observed flux distributions result from a complex interplay between molecular excitation and emission processes in a three-dimensional outflowing coma, detailed radiative transfer modeling is required to reliably determine the molecular origins and derive production scale lengths.

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

4. SUBLIME RADIATIVE TRANSFER MODELING

4.1. Model physics and geometry

Our modeling approach follows a similar method to the previous comet interferometry studies by Boissier et al. (2007), Cordiner et al. (2014) and Roth et al. (2021a). Here, the interferometric visibilities are modeled using a non-LTE radiative transfer code called SUBLIME (SUBlimating gases in LIME, where LIME is the LIne Modeling Engine; Brinch & Hogerheijde 2010), which takes into account the detailed molecular excitation and emission processes that occur in the presence of varying coma density, temperature and abundance distributions. The model incorporates a Haser (1957) radial density profile, and treats coma molecules as parent species, photochemical daughter species, or a mixture of both, expanding outward at a constant velocity. However, our method differs from the 1D modeling performed by earlier studies as we adopt a two-component description of the outflowing gases in three dimensions, similar to that used for the analysis of single-dish CO spectral/spatial observations of comet C/2016 R2 (PanSTARRS) by Cordiner et al. (2022).



Figure 3. ALMA autocorrelation (total power) spectra, in the rest frame of the comet. The spectra have been baselinesubtracted and corrected for the nominal ALMA beam efficiency (see text). CH_3OH is the mean of the five strongest unblended lines.

The coma is divided into two solid-angle regions (Ω_1, Ω_2) , each with an independent water production rate (Q_1, Q_2) , outflow velocity (v_1, v_2) and kinetic temperature as a function of radius $(T_1(r), T_2(r))$. As shown in Appendix A Figure 8, the first solid angle region (Ω_1) is defined by a cone of half-opening angle θ_{jet} , with its apex at the center of the nucleus, and its axial vector pointing at a phase angle ϕ with respect to the observer, and at a position angle ψ in the plane of the sky. The second region (Ω_2) represents the remaining (ambient) coma. This geometry, although still likely to be highly simplified compared to reality, represents an evolution from the two-hemisphere coma model of Roth et al. (2021b), which was successfully used for the analysis of asymmetric CH₃OH spectra observed in 46P using the ALMA 7 m array (ACA). As explained by Cordiner et al. (2022), the SUBLIME model provides a sufficiently good approximation to the structure of a coma with a single dominant, rapidly expanding (near-) sunward-facing jet, embedded in a slower-moving, ambient coma. The adopted geometry is found to reproduce very well the spectral line profiles (including asymmetries) observed for all our detected species, while keeping the number of variable model parameters at a minimum.

The radial distribution of a daughter species is governed by its parent scale length (L_p) , which, in a uniformly-174 expanding come of outflow velocity v, is related to the photodissociation rate (Γ) of its parent species by $L_p = v/\Gamma$. 175 For consistency, we assume that Γ is constant for a given parent species across both coma solid angle regions (Ω_1 , 176 Ω_2), so the ratio of production scale-lengths for a daughter species in those two regions is determined by the ratio of 177 outflow velocities (v_1/v_2) . Consequently, rather than reporting parent scale lengths for both regions, we report only the 178 L_p value corresponding to the jet component (Ω_1). Outflow velocities for daughter species in our model are allowed 179 to differ from the parents. Daughter production rates in the two coma solid angle regions are also independently 180 optimized; reported daughter abundances are therefore taken as averages over the entire coma. 181

The excitation calculation in our model is time-dependent and takes into account radiative cooling of rotational 182 levels, (de-)excitation by collisions with H_2O and electrons, and pumping by the Solar radiation field as the gas moves 183 outward (for more details see Cordiner et al. 2022). The impact of coma opacity on the molecular excitation is negligible 184 for the observed species in this comet, so radiation trapping effects are not included. We adopt H_2O -HCN collision 185 rates from Dubernet & Quintas-Sánchez (2019) (also assumed to apply to HNC), while collision rates of H_2O with 186 CH₃OH, H₂CO, CH₃CN and CS are assumed to be the same as for H₂, and have been taken from the LAMDA database 187 (van der Tak et al. 2020). An electron density scaling factor of $x_{ne} = 0.2$ is used, following the recommendations of 188 Hartogh et al. (2010) and Biver et al. (2019, 2021). Pumping rates for HCN, HNC, H₂CO, and CS were calculated 189 as described by Cordiner et al. (2019) and Roth et al. (2021b), based on the method of Crovisier & Encrenaz (1983), 190 with rovibrational transition data from the latest versions of the HITRAN and GEISA catalogues (Gordon et al. 191

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

2022; Delahaye et al. 2021). CH₃OH pumping rates were calculated similarly. However, the Einstein A coefficients 192 for CH₃OH in the HITRAN catalogue were found to be incorrect because they were calculated considering corrupted 193 statistical weights and partition functions. On the other hand, HITRAN line intensities at 296 K are accurate, so by converting these to A coefficients, employing accurate statistical weights and partition functions (Villanueva et al. 2012), the required spectroscopic information could be recovered. We further complemented the HITRAN data by 196 adding rovibrational transitions from the strong v_2 , v_3 and v_9 bands from the quantum band models of Villanueva 197 et al. (2012). The data were then homogenized based on the CH_3OH quantum numbers and energies reported for the 198 ground-state Hamiltonian by Mekhtiev et al. (1999). 199

4.2. Model optimization strategy

Our ALMA observations were obtained over two dates: 2018-12-02 and 2018-12-07 (see Table 1). On 2018-12-02, 201 the coma physical structure (characterized by the ratio of production rates in the sunward jet vs. ambient coma, 202 Q_1/Q_2 , and the jet properties, θ_{jet} , ϕ and ψ) was determined using a model fit to the bright HCN J = 4 - 3 line 203 observations. On 2018-12-07, multiple lines from the CH_3OH ($J_K = 5_K - 4_K$) band were used instead, since HCN 204 was not observed on this date. Both these species correlate well with H₂O (Dello Russo et al. 2016a; Bockelée-Morvan 205 & Biver 2017), and therefore provide a reasonable proxy for the overall coma outflow velocity and Q_1/Q_2 ratio in 206 the absence of spectrally-resolved H_2O data. The H_2O coma physical structure derived from fitting the HCN data 207 was therefore used in our models for H_2CO and HNC (also obtained on 2018-12-02), whereas the structure derived 208 using CH₃OH was applied to CH₃CN and CS. For CH₃CN, the observed ALMA data were of insufficient spectral 209 resolution to adequately constrain the values of v_1 and v_2 , whereas for H₂CO, HNC and CS, the correlation with 210 H₂O is insufficiently demonstrated, and the data were of insufficient S/N to reliably constrain the coma structure by 211 themselves. 212

The total H_2O production rate on each date was obtained from a linear fit to the measurements between 2018-12-02 213 and 2018-12-10 by Combi et al. (2020) using Ly- α observations by the SOlar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) 214 satellite, which gave $Q(H_2O) = 6.1 \times 10^{27} \text{ s}^{-1}$ on 2018-12-02 and $Q(H_2O) = 7.2 \times 10^{27} \text{ s}^{-1}$ on 2018-12-07. These 215 values are consistent with a slow increase in $Q(H_2O)$ as the comet approached perihelion on 2018-12-12, and are in line 216 with the average values of 8×10^{27} s⁻¹ measured by Lis et al. (2019) using the Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared 217 Astronomy (SOFIA) between 2018-12-14 and 2018-12-20, and $7 \times 10^{27} \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured using IRTF between 2018-12-06 218 and 2018-12-21 (Khan et al. 2023). 219

Our models were set up on a 3D Delaunay grid containing 10,000 points distributed pseudo-randomly with a density 220 of points proportional to the logarithm of the radial distance (r) from the center of the nucleus (excluding the nucleus 221 itself). The model domain therefore consisted of a spherical coma region extending from the surface of the nucleus 222 (assumed to be a sphere of radius 500 m), to an outer boundary at $r = 2 \times 10^5$ km. The outer boundary was chosen to 223 be large enough so that further increasing it had no significant impact on our model results. Raytracing was performed 224 along the line-of-sight vectors through each grid point, on a frequency grid with a uniform channel spacing of 100 m s^{-1} , 225 which was then interpolated in two (spatial) dimensions onto the image grid, which consisted of 768×768 pixels 0.1''226 in size. The innermost 4×4 pixel region of the image was further super-sampled using a 30×30 point Cartesian grid, 227 to accurately capture the nonlinear behavior of the coma flux on the smallest relevant radial scales. To simulate the 228 response of the ALMA primary beam, each plane of the synthetic image cubes was multiplied by a 2D Gaussian of 229 FWHM = $1.13\lambda/D$, where λ is the wavelength and D is the antenna diameter. For each molecule, the frequency axis 230 of the resulting synthetic image cube was convolved to the spectral resolution of the ALMA observations, followed by 231 cubic spline interpolation to the observed frequency grid. This allowed a channel-by-channel comparison of the model 232 with the observations. 233

Interferometric observations inherently suffer from incomplete spatial sampling, and the resulting, Fourier-234 transformed images can be subject to artifacts introduced by gridding, interpolation, and numerical deconvolution. 235 To facilitate accurate modeling of the data, and avoid our model fits becoming biased by image artifacts, we chose 236 to perform all model fitting in the Fourier domain. This requires taking the Fourier transform of the simulated coma 237 image cubes, then sampling each spectral channel with the same set of uv points (antenna baseline lengths and ori-238 entations) as the observations, which was performed using the vis_sample code (Loomis et al. 2018). To make the 239 problem computationally tractable, the observed visibilities were first averaged along the time-axis to produce a single 240 (complex) visibility point per baseline, per channel. The chi-squared statistic 241

CORDINER ET AL.

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{(\Re(V_{i,j}^{obs}) - \Re(V_{i,j}^{mod}))^{2}}{\sigma_{\Re}^{2}} + \frac{(\Im(V_{i,j}^{obs}) - \Im(V_{i,j}^{mod}))^{2}}{\sigma_{\Im}^{2}} \right) + \sum_{i} \frac{((S_{i}^{obs}) - (S_{i}^{mod}))^{2}}{\sigma_{S}^{2}}$$
(1)

was minimized using lmfit (Newville et al. 2016), by application of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. Summation of the interferometric residuals (the difference between V^{obs} for the observations, and V^{mod} for the model) was performed over the set of baselines j = N(N-1), where N is the number of ALMA antennas (43), and i is the number of spectral channels. Due to the presence of coma asymmetries, both the real (\Re) and imaginary (\Im) parts of the complex visibilities were included in the χ^2 calculation. The difference between the model total power spectra (S_i^{mod}) and the observed autocorrelation spectra (S_i^{obs}), provide additional, strong constraints on the production scale length (L_p) of each species due to the larger angular scales probed by these data. During fitting, the residuals were scaled by the respective standard deviations of the real and imaginary visibilities, and of the autocorrelation spectra ($\sigma_{\Re}, \sigma_{\Im}$ and σ_S , respectively), which were calculated from line-free data regions adjacent to each spectral line.

To allow for errors in the comet ephemeris coordinates, a positional (RA, dec.) offset of the model origin from the image center was included as a further pair of free parameters in the model fits. Statistical uncertainties on all model parameters were obtained from the diagonal elements of the lmfit covariance matrix.

4.3. Coma temperature structure derived from CH₃OH visibilities

Model optimization was performed first for CH_3OH , because the multiple transitions observed for this species span a broad range of upper-state energy levels (see Table 2), which allow its rotational excitation state to be determined, from which the coma kinetic temperature is derived (see Cordiner et al. 2017b or Biver et al. 2021, for example). Our method differs from previous studies, however, due to our model's ability to interpret the coma temperature structure in three dimensions, by fitting the variations in individual CH_3OH spectral line channels (V_i , S_i) as a function of spatial (uv) coordinate.

We began with the simplest assumption of a constant kinetic temperature (T) as a function of radius, then added complexity to the T(r) profile until a good fit to the data was obtained. This strategy keeps the number of free parameters at a minimum (therefore keeping the χ^2 minimization computationally feasible), and ensures that there are enough degrees of freedom in the model to reproduce the data, but not so many that the model becomes illconstrained. To obtain a good fit to the observations, it was necessary to implement different temperature profiles as a function of radius $(T_1(r), T_2(r))$, within the two different coma solid angle regions. Temperature variability was implemented using a segmented linear function (in $\log(r)$ -T space, within the domain $r = \theta_{min}$ to θ_{PB}), with a variable number of segments (n), of equal length (l_s) in $\log(r)$ space. The segmented function was smoothed (in $\log(r)$ space) by convolving it with a Gaussian of FWHM equal to l_s . The temperature was set constant inside a radius corresponding to half the minor axis of the ALMA beam $(r = \theta_{min}/2)$, and outside a radius corresponding to half the primary beam FWHM $(r = \theta_{PB}/2)$. A good fit to the entire CH₃OH dataset was obtained using n = 5 variable points in the temperature profiles as a function of radius (see Figure 4 and Appendix B, Figure 9).

Due to the high S/N of our CH₃OH observations, the best-fitting coma model is tightly constrained by the data, and 274 has a jet half-opening angle of $\theta_{jet} = 70 \pm 5^{\circ}$, with a phase angle of $\phi = 38 \pm 1^{\circ}$ and position angle of $\psi = 33 \pm 1^{\circ}$. The 275 resulting model jet emanates from close to (within 10° of) the sub-solar point on our (assumed) spherical nucleus, and is 276 therefore consistent with preferential outgassing in the general sunward direction. The best-fitting jet outflow velocity 277 is $v_1 = 0.729 \pm 0.002$ km s⁻¹, with the remaining (ambient/nightside) come expanding at $v_2 = 0.395 \pm 0.003$ km s⁻¹. 278 We initially attempted to fit the observed ALMA data assuming CH₃OH was solely a parent species, but a significant 279 (15σ) improvement in the final χ^2 value was obtained using a composite (parent + daughter) model. Independent 280 optimization of the parent and daughter CH_3OH production rates resulted in approximately equal abundances for 281 both components of $1.2 \pm 0.1\%$ (relative to H₂O), with a best-fitting parent scale length of $L_p = 36 \pm 7$ km for the 282 CH_3OH daughter (in the jet component, Ω_1). The presence of an additional CH_3OH daughter component implies the 283 production of significant amounts of CH₃OH in the near-nucleus coma, likely from the sublimation of icy grains (see 284 Section 5.2). We also attempted to fit the CH_3OH data using a pure daughter model, but this resulted in a statistically 285 worse fit at the 11σ level, so our modeling strongly implies the presence of both nucleus (parent) and coma (daughter) 286 sources for CH_3OH in comet 46P (see also Section 4.4). 287

A comparison between the best-fitting modeled and observed visibility spectra is shown in Appendix B Figure 9, which includes the total power (autocorrelation) spectrum at the top, followed below by the real part of the interferometric visibilities, binned within successive 20 m baseline ranges. The angular scales probed decrease with



Figure 4. Variation of the 46P coma kinetic temperature with radius in the sunward (jet) and antisunward (ambient) coma regions, derived from modeling the CH₃OH J = 5 - 4 data. Shaded regions indicate the $\pm 1\sigma$ error envelopes.

increasing baseline length, from the 24" scale of the autocorrelation spectrum, down to the 0.6" scale probed by the longest baselines. We show only the real part of the visibilities since the imaginary components are weak and noisy.

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

As shown in Figure 4, the average temperature on the sunward (jet) side of the nucleus is significantly lower than the anti-sunward (ambient) side. This is particularly true closest to the nucleus (within r = 19 km), where $T_1 = 59 \pm 3$ K and $T_2 = 105 \pm 5$ K, amounting to almost a factor of two difference. The temperature in the sunward jet rises with increasing cometocentric distance, reaching a peak with $T_1 = 79 \pm 5$ K at r = 121 km (compared with $T_2 = 71 \pm 5$ K in the ambient coma at the same radius), before falling smoothly towards $T_1 = 25 \pm 4$ K ($T_2 = 49 \pm 6$ K) at large radii (r > 1500 km). The different temperature behavior on opposite sides of the nucleus is remarkable, since it implies significant differences in the balance of heating and cooling mechanisms on the sunward and anti-sunward sides of the comet. Biver et al. (2021) also identified cooler gas on the day side of the nucleus than the night side (57 K vs. 71 K) based on single-dish CH₃OH observations probing coma radial distances ≤ 600 km. This is qualitatively similar to our result, and was explained by Biver et al. (2021) as being due to more efficient adiabatic cooling on the sunward side (for further discussion see Section 5.2).

The observed transitions of CH₃CN from different K levels provide an additional measure of the coma temperature. However, due to the lower spectral resolution and S/N, it was not possible to reliably constrain the spatial distribution of temperatures for this species. Assuming a constant temperature throughout the coma, we found $T = 80 \pm 8$ K using the CH₃CN data.

4.4. Visibility modeling to derive parent scale lengths

Adopting the best-fitting coma kinetic temperature distribution from our CH₃OH modeling, we proceeded to optimize 309 the remaining free model parameters for HCN, HNC, CS, H_2CO , and CH_3CN . As a result of lower S/N and spectral 310 resolution for CS and CH₃CN (simultaneously observed with CH₃OH), we employed the same jet opening angle (70°) 311 and ratio of H₂O production rates as derived for CH₃OH ($Q_1/Q_2 = 1.21$). The HCN jet opening angle (70°) and 312 Q_1/Q_2 ratio (1.33) was employed for modeling the HNC and H₂CO data. The best-fitting HCN coma outflow velocities 313 were $v_1 = 0.741 \pm 0.001$ km s⁻¹ and $v_2 = 0.443 \pm 0.001$ km s⁻¹, with a jet phase angle $\phi = 44 \pm 1^{\circ}$ and position angle 314 $\psi = 37 \pm 1^{\circ}$, consistent with preferential outgassing in the sunward direction. The jet axis was therefore fixed along 315 the comet-sun vector for all species apart from CH₃OH. Model fits to the binned visibility spectra for all molecules are 316 shown in Appendix B (Figures 9 to 14). Since the visibility data for CH₃CN, H₂CO, CS and HNC are noisier than for 317 CH_3OH and HCN (particularly on large baselines), some of the longer baseline ranges for which no signal is evident 318 have been omitted from Figures 11 to 14. 319

To visualize the radial flux distribution for each molecule, in Figures 5 and 6 we plot the real part of the interferometric visibility as a function of baseline length (uv distance). The observed and modeled fluxes are averaged across the spectral channels containing line emission, and the interferometric data have been further averaged into 10 m-wide uv



Figure 5. Observed interferometric visibilities for HCN, CH_3OH and CH_3CN , with best-fitting models overlaid. The observations and model data have been (spatially) averaged within 10 m uv bins and (spectrally) averaged over all line emission channels for each species, including 13 lines for CH_3OH and 5 lines for CH_3CN . The zero-spacing data points (at uv = 0) were taken from the ALMA autocorrelations (observed simultaneously with the interferometric data). Preferred, best-fitting visibility models are shown with solid lines, while dashed and dotted curves show models that are ruled out based on a poorer quality of fit (or other criteria; see text).



Figure 6. Same as Figure 6, for H₂CO, CS and HNC.

bins. Statistical error bars on the interferometric data are typically very small due to the large number of data points being averaged together, whereas the total power data points have an additional 10 % error added in quadrature with the statistical error, to allow for uncertainty in the aperture efficiency of the ALMA antennas. For HCN, a parent outgassing model provides the best fit to the data. In an attempt to better fit the total power (uv = 0) data point, a daughter distribution of HCN was added, and the model parameters were re-optimized (with variable parent and daughter abundances, and variable HCN parent scale length L_p). However, this did not significantly improve the χ^2 value, and the optimized L_p value was found to be 5 ± 16 km, which is consistent with zero, showing that HCN is likely a parent species.

The binned CH₃OH visibilities and corresponding model fits are shown in Figure 5 (middle panel). As explained in Section 4.3, in contrast to HCN, a parent + daughter model provides the best fit for CH₃OH (with $L_p = 36 \pm 7$ km for the daughter component). The difference between the CH₃OH "parent" and "parent + daughter" models is difficult to see in Figure 5; unbinned, zoomed CH₃OH visibilities are therefore shown in Appendix B, Figure 15, where the improved fit for the parent + daughter model is evident. For CH₃CN, a parent model fits the data very well. Addition of a CH₃CN daughter component slightly improved the fit (resulting in $L_p = 101^{+194}_{-101}$ km for the daughter), but the associated drop in χ^2 value corresponded to only 1.6 σ , so the improvement in fit quality was not statistically significant.

The binned visibility data for H_2CO , CS and HNC are plotted in Figure 6, and as shown by the overlaid model 338 curves, these three species can only be well fit using daughter models (their respective best-fitting parent scale lengths 339 are given in Table 3). To obtain the best visibility fits for these daughter species, we allowed their outflow velocities 340 to differ from those of the underlying H_2O distribution (determined from our HCN and CH_3OH models), which may 341 be physically justified if they originate from a non-nucleus source. We also attempted to fit these data using 'parent 342 only models, by setting $L_p = 0$ and optimizing the abundance to obtain the best fit to the observations. However, 343 in all three cases, a parent model provides a clearly inadequate fit, particularly at the largest scales probed by the 344 total power (uv = 0) data. For CS, we also tried to fit the observations assuming production of this species from CS₂ 345 photolysis (at a photodissociation rate $\Gamma = 2.61 \times 10^{-3}$, which corresponds to $L_p = 280$ km in the jet and $L_p = 152$ km 346

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336



Figure 7. Parent scale-length χ^2 analysis for our observed species in 46P, showing the change in chi-square value $(\Delta \chi^2)$, as a function of parent scale-length (L_p) . Dotted horizontal lines show the $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$ threshold (1σ) , corresponding to 68 % confidence, and dashed lines show the $\Delta \chi^2 = 6.63$ threshold (2.6σ) , corresponding to 99 % confidence.

Table 3. 3D visibility modeling results

Species	Abundance ^{a} (%)	$v_1 ({\rm km s^{-1}})$	$v_2 ({\rm km s^{-1}})$	L_p (km)
HCN	$0.1176^{+0.0003}_{-0.0003}$	0.741 ± 0.001	0.443 ± 0.001	< 3
CH_3OH^b	$2.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	0.729 ± 0.002	0.395 ± 0.002	$0~/~36\pm7$
$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CN}$	$0.011\substack{+0.002\\-0.002}$	0.729^{c}	0.395^{c}	< 18
$\rm H_2CO$	$0.153^{+0.031}_{-0.023}$	0.65 ± 0.02	0.47 ± 0.02	876^{+250}_{-175}
\mathbf{CS}	$0.022\substack{+0.004\\-0.002}$	0.66 ± 0.02	0.37 ± 0.02	675^{+159}_{-124}
HNC	$0.0054_{-0.0018}^{+0.0232}$	0.69 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.05	$1412_{-367}^{+14,876}$

 a Total production rate relative to H₂O. b CH₃OH best-fitting model has both nucleus (parent) and coma (daughter) production; the reported abundance is a sum over both components.

 c Held fixed at the CH₃OH values (simultaneously observed) due to the low spectral resolution for CH₃CN.

in the ambient coma; Huebner & Mukherjee 2015). As shown by the dashed orange curve, the CS_2 photolysis model produces insufficient CS flux on large scales (small uv values) to reproduce the observations. The final, best fitting model parameters for all species are given in Table 3.

³⁵⁰ Due to the inverse exponential behavior of cometary molecular densities as a function of radius, uncertainties on ³⁵¹ the parent scale lengths can be highly asymmetric, and are therefore not adequately represented using the symmetric ³⁵² error bars obtained from the lmfit covariance matrix. To address this issue, we calculated the χ^2 surface for each ³⁵³ species as a function of L_p (with the abundance as a variable, but other model parameters held fixed), and plotted the ³⁵⁴ resulting $\Delta \chi^2(L_p)$ curve, with cubic spline interpolation between points (with $\Delta \chi^2(L_p) = \chi^2(L_p) - \chi^2_m$, where χ^2_m is ³⁵⁵ the minimum value). The results are shown in Figure 7, with dotted horizontal lines to show the 1σ (68 % confidence) ³⁵⁶ $\Delta \chi^2$ thresholds, and dashed lines to show the 2.6σ (99 % confidence) thresholds.

For HCN, the χ^2 minimum is for $L_p = 0$, confirming that this species is a parent. For CH₃CN, the smallest χ^2 value occurs around $L_p = 2.5$ km, but this minimum is much shallower than 1σ , and is therefore not significant. The CH₃CN $\Delta\chi^2$ curve crosses the 99% confidence threshold at $L_p = 18$ km, which represents a strict upper limit on the

Cordiner et al.

scale of possible coma production for this species assuming a single single (distributed) source. CH₃OH, on the other hand, shows a well defined χ^2 minimum at $L_p = 13$ km. However, as explained in Section 4.3, a composite (parent + daughter) model provides the best fit for this species (with a lower χ^2 value than the pure daughter model plotted here); the L_p value of the daughter component in the CH₃OH composite model is well constrained as 36 ± 7 km, so this is reported in Table 3 instead.

Assuming a scale length of $L_p = 36$ km for a sublimating icy grain source (*i.e.* the same as derived for CH₃OH), the abundance upper limit (at the 99% confidence level) for icy grain production of HCN is < 0.001 % relative to H₂O. This corresponds to < 1 % of the total HCN. The associated upper limit for icy grain production of CH₃CN is < 0.01 %, which is comparable to the measured CH₃CN parent abundance, and shows that a significant source of CH₃CN production from icy grains in the coma, close to the nucleus, cannot be ruled out by our data.

³⁷⁰ H₂CO, CS and HNC all exhibit well-defined χ^2 minima at substantially larger L_p values than the other observed ³⁷¹ species, so these molecules are confirmed as originating primarily in the coma of comet 46P, rather than from the ³⁷² nucleus. While the H₂CO and CS L_p values are well constrained (at 876^{+250}_{-175} km and 675^{+159}_{-124} km, respectively), ³⁷³ the HNC uncertainty interval is much larger and highly asymmetric, with an L_p value lying between 1045 km and ³⁷⁴ 14,880 km (at 1 σ confidence). At the stricter, 99 % confidence level, the L_p (HNC) value is only constrained by a lower ³⁷⁵ limit of > 218 km.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. HCN

The high spatial resolution of ALMA combined with the close geocentric distance of 46P places unusually tight constraints on the production scale length of HCN. With an upper limit of $L_p < 3$ km, it is likely that HCN originates primarily from outgassing by the nucleus of the comet. At the outflow velocity of 0.74 km s⁻¹ (in the sunward jet), any HCN daughter production would need to occur on a timescale of < 4 s, which is significantly shorter than the lifetimes of any known coma molecules (typically in the range 10^3 – 10^6 s; Huebner & Mukherjee 2015). Our scale length upper limit is consistent with (although significantly better constrained than) the previous upper limits of $L_p < 50$ km in C/2012 F6 (Lemmon) and C/2012 S1 (ISON) (Cordiner et al. 2014) and $L_p < 100$ km in C/2015 ER61 (Roth et al. 2021a), which were obtained using ALMA and Atacama Compact Array (ACA) data. Including the IRAM Plateau de Bure interferometric study of comet Hale-Bopp (Wink et al. 1997) and the Keck NIRSPEC study of C/2014 Q2 (Lovejoy), the body of evidence demonstrating HCN release from direct sublimation of nucleus ices, with no clear evidence for production in the coma, is now substantial. Coma HCN abundance measurements in fully-activated comets thus provide a valid proxy for the abundance of this molecule in the nucleus ices.

Our derived HCN abundance is 0.1176 ± 0.0003 % with respect to H₂O, with the error bar accounting only for statistical uncertainties. Adopting a conservative estimate for the ALMA flux scale uncertainty of 10 %, and an extra uncertainty of 10 % in the H₂O production rate (added in quadrature), the abundance error increases to ± 0.016 %. Our measured HCN abundance in comet 46P is therefore consistent with the value of 0.11 ± 0.01 % obtained by Biver et al. (2021) within the same month, and matches the average value observed previously in OCCs and JFCs using radio spectroscopy (Bockelée-Morvan & Biver 2017).

5.2. CH_3OH

Our observations reveal the presence of two coexisting outgassing modes for CH₃OH, consisting of (1) production directly from the nucleus and (2) production from a near-nucleus coma source. Our best-fitting SUBLIME model indicates that both sources are responsible for similar amounts of CH₃OH production, with best-fitting abundances (with respect to H₂O) of 1.19 ± 0.13 % for the nucleus (parent) source of CH₃OH gas and 1.18 ± 0.13 % for the distributed (coma) source.

The presence of icy grain sublimation has been known to be an important source of gases in cometary comae since the EPOXI mission to comet 103P/Hartley 2 (A'Hearn et al. 2011). Using infrared spectroscopy, Protopapa et al. (2014) observed 1 μ m sized water ice grains in the coma of 103P, while Kelley et al. (2013) observed a halo of larger, longer-lived ice chunks (with sizes ≥ 1 cm) surrounding the nucleus at distances up to ~ 40 km. Using a detailed DSMC coma model, Fougere et al. (2013) determined that 77 % of the H₂O outgassing in 103P originates from sublimation of icy grains in the coma. Such an excess of H₂O production compared with its small nucleus size, led to 103P being designated as a 'hyperactive' comet.

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

46P/Wirtanen is also hyperactive (Lis et al. 2019; Moulane et al. 2023), and the large H₂O production rate for its 409 nucleus size can, at least in part, be attributed to the presence of icy grains in the coma (Bonev et al. 2021). Knight 410 et al. (2021) explained the different OH and CN morphologies in 46P as being partly due to OH production from 411 an icy-grain H_2O source. However, Protopapa et al. (2021) exclude pure H_2O ice grains in the coma of this comet. 412 Based on the non-detection of H_2O ice absorption features, these authors suggested two possible explanations for the 413 hyperactivity of 46P: icy grains ($\sim 1 \ \mu m$ in size) containing a small amount of low-albedo dust, or larger chunks ejected 414 from the nucleus, containing significant amounts of water ice. Previous ALMA observations by Cordiner et al. (2017b) 415 identified nucleus outgassing as the primary source of CH_3OH in the coma of C/2012 K1 (PanSTARRS). On the 416 other hand, a distributed CH_3OH source (with a scale length ~ 550 km) was observed using the James Clerk Maxwell 417 Telescope (JCMT) in comet 252P/LINEAR, and was attributed to CH_3OH production from icy grains in the coma 418 (Coulson et al. 2017). It is therefore reasonable to attribute the source of our observed CH_3OH daughter distribution 419 in 46P (around 40 km from the nucleus) to the presence of sublimating icy grains in the coma, composed of a mixture 420 of CH₃OH, H₂O and dust. The sublimation rate of coma icy grains depends on their size and composition. Based on 421 the calculations of Beer et al. (2006), and assuming that the gas and dust outflow velocities are coupled, our derived 422 lifetime of 496 ± 97 s for the CH₃OH-producing grains in 46P implies a dirty ice grain size of ~ 10 μ m. If the dust is 423 moving outward slower than the gas, then the grains could be larger. 424

The presence of sublimating icy grains can significantly impact the coma temperature, as shown by the direct simu-425 lation Monte Carlo (DSMC) models of Fougere et al. (2012) and Fougere (2014), due to the transfer of excess kinetic 426 energy from sublimated molecules to the surrounding gas. In the absence of such a mechanism, the gas kinetic tempera-427 ture in coma physical models (e.g. Rodgers et al. 2004; Tenishev et al. 2008) falls rapidly with distance from the nucleus, 428 from $\gtrsim 100$ K at r = 0 to ~ 10 K at r = 100 km, due to quasi-adiabatic expansion. Such steeply declining tempera-429 tures are at odds with coma observations. For example, the observations of comets 73P-B/Schwassmann–Wachmann, 430 103P/Hartley 2, C/2012 S1 and 46P/Wirtanen (Bonev et al. 2008, 2013, 2014, 2021) and C/2012 K1 (Cordiner et al. 431 2017b) revealed a shallower temperature decay — and in some cases, increasing temperatures — as a function of 432 radius, attributable to sublimative heating. Spatially resolved temperature observations therefore provide an indirect 433 probe for the presence of icy grains in cometary comae. 434

Our derived 46P coma kinetic temperature profile (Figure 4) shows an initial increase with radius on the sunward 435 side of the nucleus, followed by a relatively slow decrease, consistent with strong coma heating due to the sublimation 436 of icy grains within a few hundred kilometers of the nucleus. On the antisunward side, the temperature drop is also less 437 steep than predicted by hydrodynamical and DSMC models for other comets, and flattens out to a higher temperature 438 than predicted without the presence of icy grain heating (Rodgers et al. 2004; Tenishev et al. 2008; Fougere et al. 439 2012). This temperature behavior therefore suggests the presence of significant icy grain sublimation in the 46P coma, 440 consistent with conclusions based on spatially resolved infrared observations of H_2O in this comet (Bonev et al. 2021). 441 Dedicated theoretical modeling will be required to further investigate this hypothesis. 442

Our total CH₃OH abundance of 2.7 ± 0.1 % including the nucleus and coma sources is consistent (at the 2σ level) 443 with the value of 3.03 ± 0.23 % observed by Bonev et al. (2021) on 2018-12-18, but somewhat smaller than the value 444 of 3.38 ± 0.03 % observed by Biver et al. (2021) during December 11–18, and larger than the value of 1.6 ± 0.1 % 445 observed by Bergman et al. (2022) on Dec. 22-28. These differences could be explained by temporal variability of the 446 CH_3OH and H_2O outgassing rates, since significant short-timescale variations in $Q(CH_3OH)$ (over a period of several 447 hours to several days) were observed in this comet by Roth et al. (2021b). Indeed, our CH₃OH production rate of 448 $(1.9\pm0.1)\times10^{26}$ s⁻¹ on 2018-12-07 is very close to the value of $(2.2\pm0.1)\times10^{26}$ s⁻¹ observed on 2018-12-06 by Khan 449 et al. (2023), and $(2.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{26}$ s⁻¹ on 2018-12-11 (Biver et al. 2021), demonstrating good consistency between 450 the ALMA, IRTF and IRAM measurements in this case. 451

The presence of an icy grain source for CH_3OH , but not HCN implies that the icy grains are chemically distinct 452 from the bulk (sublimating) ice within the nucleus. Drahus et al. (2012) also inferred the presence of icy grain sources 453 of CH₃OH and HCN in comet 103P, and concluded that CH₃OH is more intimately mixed with H₂O ice than HCN, 454 with a larger abundance of CH_3OH in the icy grains. The apparent dichotomy between the abundances of CH_3OH 455 and HCN in the two cometary ice storage reservoirs (icy grains vs. bulk nucleus) was interpreted by Drahus et al. 456 (2012) as due to thermal evolution of the nucleus. A primordial origin in the protoplanetary disk or interstellar cloud, 457 prior to accretion of the comet, is also possible. Spatial differentiation of O and CN-rich molecules between gas and ice 458 phases has been observed in disks (Bergner et al. 2018; Booth et al. 2021; Oberg & Bergin 2021), indicating an active 459 carbon chemistry occurs in the gas phase, while O-bearing species such as CH_3OH and H_2O remain largely frozen on 460

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486 487

488 489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

Cordiner et al.

grains. Non-uniform mixing of these distinct chemical reservoirs during comet accretion could lead to the observed spatial heterogeneity of the CH_3OH/HCN ratio within the nucleus.

5.3. $CH_3 CN$

A production scale length upper limit of $L_p < 18$ km was derived for CH₃CN, based on our visibility modeling. The ALMA data show no significant evidence for production of this molecule as a coma daughter species (either from photolysis of a parent molecule, or from sublimation of icy grains), so we conclude that the primary source of CH₃CN is from direct sublimation of molecular ices in the nucleus. The abundance of this molecule (0.011 ± 0.002 %) is consistent with, but toward the lower end of the range of values (0.008–0.054 %) previously observed in comets (Bockelée-Morvan & Biver 2017).

This is the most complex nitrile confirmed to be present in cometary ice to-date. It has long been detected in the gas phase in the interstellar medium and protostellar envelopes (Herbst & van Dishoeck 2009), while recent studies using ALMA have found CH₃CN to be widespread in protoplanetary disks where it is believed to be formed primarily *via* chemistry on grain surfaces (Öberg et al. 2015; Bergner et al. 2018; Ilee et al. 2021), before being thermally-desorbed into the gas phase where it can be observed. CH₃CN has not been detected so-far in interstellar ices, but our measured abundance in 46P is consistent with the upper limit of $\leq 2 \%$ (with respect to H₂O) found recently along two interstellar sightlines using the James Webb Space Telescope (McClure et al. 2023).

The CH₃CN/HCN ratios we measure in 46P are consistent with, or somewhat higher than the values observed in nearby protoplanetary disks by Bergner et al. (2018) and Ilee et al. (2021), implying a likely genetic relationship between protoplanetary disk and cometary nitriles. Our observed CH₃CN/H₂O ratio also matches that found in the (gas plus ice) phase of the GM Aur planet-forming disk by Ilee et al. (2021), but is ~ 8 times lower than that found in the AS 209 disk. The utility of such comparisons is limited, however, due to the action of gas-phase chemical processes, which can modify the CH₃CN/HCN/H₂O ratios found in the disk gas compared with those in the ice. Cometary observations therefore remain as a perhaps more useful probe of the abundances of complex nitriles in the ice reservoir of our solar system's planet-forming disk.

5.4. $H_2 CO$

Our measured H₂CO production scale length of $L_p = 876^{+250}_{-175}$ km at $r_H = 1.07$ is compatible with the values measured previously using ALMA of 2200^{+1100}_{-800} km at $r_H = 1.17$ au in C/2015 ER61 (PanSTARRS) (Roth et al. 2021b), 1200^{+1200}_{-400} at $r_H = 1.47$ au in C/2012 F6 (Lemmon) and 280 ± 50 at $r_H = 0.54$ au in C/2012 S1 (ISON) (Cordiner et al. 2014). When scaled by r_H^{-2} , these L_p values are in reasonably close agreement, consistent with H₂CO production by photodissociation of a (molecular) parent species at a rate $\sim 7 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ (at $r_H = 1$ au), in an optically thin coma. However, Biver et al. (1999) measured a much larger L_p value of $7000r_H^{-1.5}$ km in comet C/1996 B2 (Hyakutake) using a single-dish radio telescope, and Meier et al. (1993) derived $L_p \sim 3600$ km in 1P/Halley at $r_H = 0.89$ au via in-situ mass spectrometry. CH₃OH photolysis is expected to produce H₂CO in the outer coma, but cannot be responsible for the observed H₂CO in these comets, since it occurs at a rate of 1.0×10^{-5} s⁻¹ at $r_H = 1.06$ au (Huebner & Mukherjee 2015; see also Heays et al. 2017), which is almost two orders of magnitude smaller than the H₂CO parent photodissociation rate required to fit our ALMA observations. Indeed, the corresponding CH₃OH dissociation scale in the 46P sunward jet is 73,000 km, which is much larger than the maximum angular scale of 1400 km spanned by the ALMA primary beam FWHM. Alternative H₂CO parents must therefore be sought.

The absence of other known C,H,O-bearing molecules with sufficient abundances has led to the idea that H_2CO 499 may be released in the coma from the thermal breakdown of organic-rich dust particles. Formaldehyde polymer (or 500 polycoxymethylene; POM) was found to provide a plausible explanation for the observed H_2CO parent scale length 501 in comet 1P/Halley (Cottin et al. 2004), and for the heliocentric dependence of H_2CO production rates observed in 502 O1/Hale-Bopp (Fray et al. 2006). The dissociation scale length of solid-phase POM depends strongly on the particle 503 size and temperature (Fray et al. 2006), so the observed variations in $L_p(H_2CO)$ can be explained as a result of 504 differing size distributions for the POM-rich dust grains in the different comets observed to-date. Conversely, Milam 505 et al. (2006) noted a lack of evidence for POM in Giotto mass spectra of comet Halley, and argued that it constitutes 506 an unlikely source of H_2CO in the coma. Furthermore, mass spectrometry by the Rosetta mission found no evidence 507 for POM in comet 67P (Hänni et al. 2022), so alternative explanations may be required to explain the distributed 508 H_2CO source. Moreover, given the limited sample size and large disparity between the observed $L_p(H_2CO)$ values, it 509 will be important to conduct more observations of H_2CO distributions in different comets, over a range of coma size 510

scales, at differing heliocentric distances, to better characterize the behavior of the H_2CO source(s) and help constrain the properties of its still-elusive parent.

By simultaneously fitting the H_2CO parent scale length and production rate ratio relative to water, we derive an 513 H₂CO abundance of $x = 0.153^{+0.031}_{-0.023}$ %, which is larger than the value of $x = 0.38 \pm 0.02$ % obtained from IRAM 30-m 514 observations by Biver et al. (2021) using $L_p(H_2CO) = 5000$ km. This discrepancy is not surprising considering the 515 retrieved H₂CO production rate from single-dish observations scales with the adopted L_p value. Using $L_p = 880$ km, 516 the IRAM data are consistent with $x = 0.13 \pm 0.01$ %. The H₂CO production rate of $(9.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{24}$ s⁻¹ obtained 517 by Coulson et al. (2020) using the JCMT assuming $L_p = 860$ km, also matches our derived H₂CO production rate. 518 Our H₂CO abundance is the second-lowest value reported in a comet to-date, at radio wavelengths (Bockelée-Morvan 519 & Biver 2017), but it should be remembered that the majority of those values were obtained using assumed (rather 520 than directly measured) $L_p(H_2CO)$ values. We therefore emphasize the importance of using an accurate parent scale 521 length when deriving H_2CO production rates. 522

An upper limit of x < 0.064 % was obtained by Bonev et al. (2021) using Keck infrared observations, but this 523 was calculated assuming H₂CO release directly from the nucleus (*i.e.* $L_p = 0$) — a common assumption among 524 infrared spectroscopists (e.g. DiSanti et al. 2006; Dello Russo et al. 2016b) due to the difficulty of deriving L_p values 525 from infrared spatial profiles — which inevitably underestimates the total coma H_2CO abundance in the presence of 526 distributed sources. Our ALMA observations show no evidence for H₂CO production by the nucleus, with a (3σ) upper 527 limit of 0.08 % on the abundance of any parent H_2CO , consistent with the Bonev et al. (2021) result. The relatively 528 short H_2CO parent lifetimes derived using ALMA imply significant daughter production of H_2CO in the near-nucleus 529 come that could, in some situations, appear similar to a parent source. Further investigations of H_2CO spatial emission 530 profiles at the angular scales of a few arcseconds probed by infrared spectroscopy are therefore warranted, 531

Despite the evidence for a lack of H_2CO in cometary ices inferred using ALMA observations, it remains one of the 532 most widespread gas-phase molecules in the Galaxy. Abundances of H_2CO relative to water can reach several per-533 cent in warm protostellar gas (Ceccarelli et al. 2000; Ehrenfreund & Charnley 2000), while mid-infrared spectroscopy 534 indicates possible abundances $\sim 6\%$ in ices around low-mass protostars (Boogert et al. 2015). The lack of detectable 535 H₂CO in cometary nuclei using ALMA therefore provides evidence for chemical processing to destroy H₂CO ice in (or 536 during its passage to) the protosolar disk, which is expected to occur as part of the pathway to forming more complex 537 organic molecules (including biologically relevant species), starting with hydrogenation of H₂CO to make CH₃OH ice 538 (Chuang et al. 2016). Although formaldehyde is commonly detected in the gas-phase in protoplanetary disks (e.g. 539 Pegues et al. 2020), total H₂CO/H₂O masses in the range ~ 10^{-5} - 10^{-3} were recently measured in a sample of five 540 disks by Guzmán et al. (2021), so relatively low H₂CO abundances in cometary nuclei ($\leq 6 \times 10^{-4}$, as found by our 541 study and Bonev et al. 2021), may not be surprising. 542

Of relevance to the nature of the H₂CO parent, it is noteworthy that the H₂CO outflow velocity of 0.65 ± 0.02 km s⁻¹ 543 in the sunward jet is significantly less than the HCN (parent molecule) outflow velocity of 0.74 km s^{-1} measured only 544 2 hours earlier. Such a rapid drop in the jet velocity over this period seems unlikely, so this result may constitute 545 evidence that the H_2CO parent is flowing radially outward at a slower rate than the gases sublimating directly from 546 547 the nucleus. A similar effect is also observed for the daughter species CS (observed simultaneously with CH_3OH) as well as HNC (albeit, at lower confidence). The outflow velocities of coma dust grains are significantly lower than that 548 of the gas particles due to the mass disparity between these fluids, which causes the dust to lag behind the gas (Crifo 549 et al. 2004). A relatively low outflow velocity for H_2CO , CS and HNC may therefore indicate production of these 550 molecules from a slower-moving dust precursor. 551

$5.5.\ CS$

552

The CS radical in cometary comae is commonly believe to originate from photodissociation of the CS_2 parent 553 molecule (Jackson et al. 1982; Rodgers & Charnley 2006; Feldman et al. 2010). Our derived CS parent scale length of $L_p = 675^{+159}_{-124}$ km, at an outflow velocity of 0.73 km s⁻¹ would therefore correspond to a photodissociation rate $\Gamma(CS_2) = (1.08 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $r_H = 1.06$ au (or $(1.21 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at $r_H = 1$ au). This value is 554 555 556 consistent (within errors) with the value derived by Feldman et al. (1999) using spatially resolved HST STIS imaging 557 of CS in comet C/1999 H1 (Lee). However, our inferred $\Gamma(CS_2)$ value is significantly smaller than the published rates: 558 $2.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Huebner & Mukherjee 2015), $1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Heavs et al. 2017) and $1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Jackson et al. 559 1986), obtained using experimentally-derived CS_2 photodissociation cross sections. Even if only one of these rates is 560 correct, the smaller value for the CS parent photodissociation rate obtained by our study implies that CS_2 photolysis 561

Cordiner et al.

cannot be the main source of CS in the coma of 46P. This is similar to the conclusion of Roth et al. (2021a), who derived a CS parent photolysis rate (at $r_H = 1$ au) of $(3.6^{+5.4}_{-2.2}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in comet C/2015 ER61, which is even smaller than our value derived for 46P, although the rates remain consistent at the 2σ level. Biver et al. (2022) also derived a small CS parent photolysis rate of $(4-8)\times10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in C/2020 F3 (NEOWISE).

After considering the possible CS sources in comet C/2015 ER61, Roth et al. (2021a) determined that H₂CS was a possible parent for CS, but noted that the abundance of H₂CS in many comets is actually less than the CS abundance, so it cannot be the parent of all cometary CS. Indeed, the upper limit on the H₂CS abundance in comet 46P of < 0.016 % (Biver et al. 2021) is at odds with this hypothesis based on our derived CS (parent) abundance of $0.022^{+0.004}_{-0.002}$ %, as well as with respect to Biver et al. (2021)'s CS abundance of 0.028 ± 0.003 %. Based on its abundance upper limit of 0.07 % (Biver et al. 2021), OCS is worth considering as another possible CS parent, but this species can be ruled out due to its photodissociation rate of $9.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Huebner & Mukherjee 2015)), which is too small to be compatible with the observed CS distribution. Other, larger carbon and sulfur-containing molecules should be considered as plausible candidates for the CS parent, such as CH₃SH, C₂H₆S, CH₄S₂ and C₄H₆S, which were detected using the ROSINA instrument at comet 67P (Calmonte et al. 2016; Hänni et al. 2022). However, since the photodissociation rates for these molecules are unknown, it remains to be seen if they could be consistent with the ALMA data. The difficultly of forming CS from any of these species in the inner coma should also be emphasized, due to the need to break multiple covalent bonds before CS can be liberated into the gas phase.

Further evidence against CS_2 as the parent of cometary CS was provided by the IRAM 30 m observations of comet 67P by Biver et al. (2023), who obtained a CS abundance of 0.05 ± 0.01 %. This is significantly larger than the CS_2 abundance measured by Rosetta during the previous apparition of 67P (0.02 %; Läuter et al. 2020), so assuming the coma chemistry was the same on the two apparitions, an additional source of CS is required in that comet.

The identity of the main CS parent in comets therefore remains elusive. Considering our present knowledge, the most plausible sources include dust grains rich in carbon and sulfur, or possibly large C and S-bearing molecules (such as $H_nC_mS_k$). Thermal degradation of large molecules or dust could also explain the variation in CS/HCN ratio as a function of heliocentric distance observed by Biver et al. (2006, 2011), assuming the CS production rate depends on the dust temperature. Future studies of the CS parent may benefit from the use of more physically realistic vectorial (or Monte Carlo) coma models, to account for the excess CS kinetic energy introduced during CS₂ photolysis, that might broaden its spatial distribution, leading to larger L_p values. The possibility that the CS₂ photolysis lifetime is larger than the currently-accepted value should also be considered.

The CS production rate in the 46P coma $(0.022^{+0.004}_{-0.002} \%$ with respect to water), is at the lower end of the range of values previously found in comets (0.02-0.20 %; Bockelée-Morvan & Biver 2017).

5.6. HNC

Our HNC parent scale length of $1412_{-367}^{+14,876}$ km in 46P is comparable with the values of $700_{-400}^{+1,100}$ km in S1/ISON (Cordiner et al. 2014) and $3300_{-2800}^{+19,700}$ km in ER61 (Roth et al. 2021b). Unfortunately, the large error bars hinder the identification of any trends in L_p (HNC) with heliocentric distance or any other cometary parameters, so more observations at higher S/N will be needed to further elucidate the behavior of the HNC parent.

A strong variation in the HNC/HCN abundance ratio as a function of r_H was observed in a sample of 14 moderately active comets by Lis et al. (2008). This trend was interpreted as arising from variations in the HNC production rate as a function of coma dust grain temperature. Given the difficulty in producing HNC from known gas-phase chemical processes in the coma (Rodgers & Charnley 2001), it was therefore concluded that HNC is released from the thermal breakdown of macromolecules or polymeric material originating from inside the nucleus (see also Cordiner et al. 2017a). Our 46P HNC/HCN ratio of 5^{+19}_{-2} % is in line with the observed trend with r_H , so similar breakdown of nitrogen-rich particles could be responsible for the observed HNC in comet 46P. The precise nature of those particles, however, remains to be elucidated.

6. CONCLUSIONS

ALMA observations of comet 46P provided an unusually close-up view of the coma, allowing quantitative measurements of molecular production scale lengths for the first time in a Jupiter-family comet. The interferometric data were analysed using a 3D radiative transfer model, consisting of a radially-expanding ambient coma and a broad, (near-)sunward jet of half-opening angle $\theta_{jet} = 70^{\circ}$. HCN is identified as a parent species, with a production scale length $L_p < 3$ km (at 99% confidence) and no evidence for any production of this molecule in the coma. CH₃OH is

found to have comparable contributions from a nucleus source and a near-nucleus coma source (with $L_p = 36 \pm 7$ km in the jet; 20 ± 4 km in the ambient coma). The CH₃OH coma source is explained as most likely originating from the sublimation of dirty ice grains or larger ice chunks in the coma, consistent with the hyperactive nature of this comet. The CH₃CN data are also consistent with a parent model, with an upper limit of $L_p < 18$ km, demonstrating for the first time, that this species is most likely a parent molecule and originates from ices stored within the nucleus. We therefore conclude that measurements of HCN, CH₃OH and CH₃CN abundances in fully-activated comets provide a valid proxy for the abundances of these molecules within cometary nuclei.

The H₂CO, CS and HNC observations, on the other hand, cannot be reproduced using a parent model. Our models show that these species originate in the coma, either as photochemical daughters, or from the breakdown of macromolecules or dust grains, with L_p values in the range 550–16,000 km. The detection of a distributed H₂CO source with $L_p = 876^{+250}_{-175}$ km, combined with a lack of any detectable nucleus (parent) source for this molecule, is consistent with the non-detection of H₂CO from the 46P nucleus at infrared wavelengths.

Additional, spatial mapping of the distributed/daughter molecules in different comets (at various heliocentric distances), combined with laboratory studies of the dissociation rates of their putative parent species will be required to conclusively identify the parent materials. Given the consistency of the measured production scale lengths for H_2CO and HNC with previous ALMA observations of Oort cloud comets, our observations suggest that similar parent materials are present in JFCs and OCCs. Such parent materials must therefore be resistant to the thermal processing experienced by JFCs during their repeated perihelion passages.

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

650

The observed spectral and spatial data are consistent with an asymmetric coma, and we find enhanced outflow velocities and production rates for all species on the sunward (day side) of the comet. Conversely, the coma kinetic temperature is found to be significantly lower on the day side than the night side, which may be a result of enhanced adiabatic cooling rates on the day side.

The abundances of HCN and CH₃OH in 46P are consistent with average values found in OCCs and JFCs. On the other hand, CH₃CN, H₂CO and CS are at the lower end of the range of previously observed abundances, consistent with a depletion of these species (or their parents) in the 46P nucleus. We find that the abundances measured for daughter species (H₂CO, HNC and CS) are strongly dependent on the assumed parent scale length (L_p) . We therefore emphasize the importance of using the correct L_p value when deriving the abundances of cometary daughter/product species, particularly for comets at relatively small geocentric distances, thus demonstrating a crucial need for further spatially resolved studies of cometary molecular emission.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. AST-2009253 (MAC) and AST-2009398 641 (BPB). The work of MAC, SNM, NXR and SBC was also supported by NASA's Planetary Science Division Internal 642 Scientist Funding Program through the Fundamental Laboratory Research work package (FLaRe). This work makes 643 use of ALMA dataset ADS/JAO.ALMA#2018.1.01114.S. Part of this research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion 644 Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under NASA contract 80NM0018D0004. ALMA is a partnership of 645 ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and NSC and 646 ASIAA (Taiwan), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, 647 AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation 648 operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. 649

APPENDIX

REFERENCES

651	A'Hearn, M. F., Belton, M. J. S., Delamere, W. A., et al.	655	Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., Hänni, N., et al. 2020, Nature
652	2011, Science, 332, 1396, doi: 10.1126/science.1204054	656	Astronomy, 4, 533, doi: 10.1038/s41550-019-0991-9
653	Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., & Fuselier, S. A. 2019, ARA&A,	657	Bardyn, A., Baklouti, D., Cottin, H., et al. 2017, MNRAS,
654	57, 113, doi: 10,1146/annurey-astro-091918-104409	658	469, S712, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stx2640

	Poor F. H. Dodolok, M. & Prishrik, D. 2006 Januar 180		Coccorolli C
659	472 doi: 10.1016/j.jcomus.2005.10.018	709	Ceccareni, C.,
660	475, doi: 10.1010/j.icarus.2005.10.018	710	A. G. G. M.
661	Age A 660 A 118 doi: 10.1051/0004.6261/202142582	711	E F le Lie
662	A&A, 000, A118, doi: 10.1051/0004-0501/202142585	712	L. F., & LII
663	Dergner, J. D., Guzman, V. G., Oberg, K. I., Loomis,	713	doi: 10.1095
664	R. A., & Fegues, J. 2010, ApJ, 657, 69,	714	cocinaii, A. L
665	doi: 10.3847/1538-4557/aab004	715	et al. 2013,
666	Biver, N., Bockelee-Morvan, D., Colom, P., et al. 2011,	716	dol: 10.1007
667	A&A, 528, A142, doi: 10.1051/0004-0501/201010250	717	DCL 1 79
668	Biver, N., Boissier, J., Bockelee-Morvan, D., et al. 2022,	718	PSJ, 1, 72, 9
669	A&A, 668, A171, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244970	719	Cordiner, M.
670	Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Crovisier, J., et al. 1999,	720	5401, doi: 10
671	AJ, 118, 1850, doi: 10.1086/301033	721	Cordiner, M.
672	2006, A&A, 449, 1255, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20053849	722	ApJL, 792,
673	Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Hofstadter, M., et al. 2019,	723	Cordiner, M.
674	A&A, 630, A19, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201834960	724	ApJ, 838, 14
675	Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Boissier, J., et al. 2021,	725	Cordiner, M
676	A&A, 648, A49, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202040125	726	837, 177, do
677	Biver, N., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Crovisier, J., et al. 2023,	727	Cordiner, M. J
678	A&A, 672, A170, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202245672	728	2019, ApJL
679	Bockelée-Morvan, D., & Biver, N. 2017, Philosophical	729	Cordiner, M. A
680	Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A,	730	Astronomy,
681	375, 20160252, doi: $10.1098/rsta.2016.0252$	731	Cordiner, M.
682	Bockelée-Morvan, D., Boissier, J., Biver, N., & Crovisier, J.	732	2022, ApJ,
683	2010, Icarus, 210, 898, doi: $10.1016/j$.icarus.2010.07.005	733	Cortes, P., Re
684	Boissier, J., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Biver, N., et al. 2007,	734	Cycle 9 Tec
685	A&A, 475, 1131, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20078380	735	Handbook,
686	Bonev, B. P., Disanti, M. A., Villanueva, G., et al. 2014,	736	978-3-92352
687	ApJL, 796, L6	737	Cottin, H., Bé
688	Bonev, B. P., Mumma, M. J., Kawakita, H., Kobayashi, H.,	738	Icarus, 167,
689	& Villanueva, G. L. 2008, Icarus, 196, 241,	739	Cottin, H., $\&$
690	doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2008.02.023	740	doi: 10.1007
691	Bonev, B. P., Villanueva, G. L., Paganini, L., et al. 2013,	741	Coulson, I. M.
692	Icarus, 222, 740, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.07.034	742	AJ, 153, 169
693	Bonev, B. P., Dello Russo, N., DiSanti, M. A., et al. 2021,	743	Coulson, I. M.
694	PSJ, 2, 45, doi: 10.3847/PSJ/abd03c	744	160, 182, dc
695	Boogert, A. C. A., Gerakines, P. A., & Whittet, D. C. B.	745	Crifo, J. F., F
696	2015, ARA&A, 53, 541,	746	Comets II, e
697	doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122348	747	Weaver, 471
698	Booth, A. S., Walsh, C., Terwisscha van Scheltinga, J.,	748	Crovisier, J., &
699	et al. 2021, Nature Astronomy, 5, 684,	749	Delahaye, T.,
700	doi: 10.1038/s41550-021-01352-w	750	Journal of M
701	Brinch, C., & Hogerheijde, M. R. 2010, A&A, 523, A25,	751	doi: 10.1016
702	doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201015333	752	Dello Russo, N
703	Calmonte, U., Altwegg, K., Balsiger, H., et al. 2016,	753	H. A. 2016a
704	MNRAS, 462, S253, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stw2601	754	doi: 10.1016
705	Capaccioni, F., Coradini, A., Filacchione, G., et al. 2015,	755	Dello Russo, N
706	Science, 347, aaa0628, doi: 10.1126/science.aaa0628	756	Icarus, 266,
707	CASA Team, Bean, B., Bhatnagar, S., et al. 2022, PASP,	757	DiSanti, M. A

⁷⁰⁸ 134, 114501, doi: 10.1088/1538-3873/ac9642

- Ceccarelli, C., Loinard, L., Castets, A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Caux, E. 2000, A&A, 357, L9
- A. G. G. M., & Caux, E. 2000, A&A, 557, Es
- Chuang, K. J., Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., van Dishoeck,
 E. F., & Linnartz, H. 2016, MNRAS, 455, 1702,
 doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv2288
- Cochran, A. L., Levasseur-Regourd, A.-C., Cordiner, M.,
 et al. 2015, Space Sci. Rev., 197, 9,
- doi: 10.1007/s11214-015-0183-6
- Combi, M. R., Mäkinen, T., Bertaux, J. L., et al. 2020,
 PSJ, 1, 72, doi: 10.3847/PSJ/abb026
- Cordiner, M. A., & Charnley, S. B. 2021, MNRAS, 504,
 5401, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab1123
- Cordiner, M. A., Remijan, A. J., Boissier, J., et al. 2014, ApJL, 792, L2, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/792/1/L2
- Cordiner, M. A., Boissier, J., Charnley, S. B., et al. 2017a, ApJ, 838, 147, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa6590
- Cordiner, M. A., Biver, N., Crovisier, J., et al. 2017b, ApJ, 837, 177, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa6211
- Cordiner, M. A., Palmer, M. Y., de Val-Borro, M., et al. 2019, ApJL, 870, L26, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aafb05
- Cordiner, M. A., Milam, S. N., Biver, N., et al. 2020, Nature Astronomy, 4, 861, doi: 10.1038/s41550-020-1087-2
- Cordiner, M. A., Coulson, I. M., Garcia-Berrios, E., et al. 2022, ApJ, 929, 38, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac5893
- Cortes, P., Remijan, A., Hales, A., et al. 2022, ALMA Cycle 9 Technical Handbook, 2022, ALMA Technical Handbook, ALMA Doc. 9.3, ver. 1.0 ISBN
 - 978-3-923524-66-2, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7764458
- Cottin, H., Bénilan, Y., Gazeau, M.-C., & Raulin, F. 2004,
 Icarus, 167, 397, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2003.10.009
- Cottin, H., & Fray, N. 2008, Space Sci. Rev., 138, 179, doi: 10.1007/s11214-008-9399-z
- Coulson, I. M., Cordiner, M. A., Kuan, Y.-J., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 169, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aa6440
- Coulson, I. M., Liu, F.-C., Cordiner, M. A., et al. 2020, AJ, 160, 182, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/abafc0
- Crifo, J. F., Fulle, M., Kömle, N. I., & Szego, K. 2004, in
 Comets II, ed. M. C. Festou, H. U. Keller, & H. A.
 Weaver, 471
- ⁸ Crovisier, J., & Encrenaz, T. 1983, A&A, 126, 170
- Delahaye, T., Armante, R., Scott, N. A., et al. 2021,
 Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 380, 111510,
 doi: 10.1016/j.jms.2021.111510
- 2 Dello Russo, N., Kawakita, H., Vervack, R. J., & Weaver,
- H. A. 2016a, Icarus, 278, 301,
- doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.05.039
- ⁵ Dello Russo, N., Vervack, R. J., Kawakita, H., et al. 2016b,
 ⁶ Icarus, 266, 152, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2015.11.030
- DiSanti, M. A., Bonev, B. P., Magee-Sauer, K., et al. 2006,
 ApJ, 650, 470, doi: 10.1086/507118

- Disanti, M. A., Mumma, M. J., Dello Russo, N., et al. 1999, 808 Nature, 399, 662, doi: 10.1038/21378 809 Drahus, M., Jewitt, D., Guilbert-Lepoutre, A., Waniak, W., 810 & Sievers, A. 2012, ApJ, 756, 80, 811 doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/756/1/80 812 Dubernet, M. L., & Quintas-Sánchez, E. 2019, Molecular 813 Astrophysics, 16, 100046, 814 doi: 10.1016/j.molap.2019.100046 815 Ehrenfreund, P., & Charnley, S. B. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 427, 816 1982, A&A, 107, 385 doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.38.1.427 817 818 Endres, C. P., Schlemmer, S., Schilke, P., Stutzki, J., & 819 Müller, H. S. P. 2016, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 820 327, 95, doi: 10.1016/j.jms.2016.03.005 821 Feldman, P. D., McCandliss, S. R., Morgenthaler, J. P., 822 et al. 2010, ApJ, 711, 1051, 823 doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/711/2/1051 824 Feldman, P. D., Weaver, H. A., A'Hearn, M. F., et al. 1999, 825 in AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting 826 Abstracts, Vol. 31, AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences 827 Meeting Abstracts #31, 35.02828 Fougere, N. 2014, PhD thesis, University of Michigan 829 Fougere, N., Combi, M. R., Rubin, M., & Tenishev, V. 830 2013, Icarus, 225, 688, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2013.04.031 831 Fougere, N., Combi, M. R., Tenishev, V., et al. 2012, 832 Icarus, 221, 174, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.07.019 et al. 2023, Nature Astronomy, 833 Fray, N., Bénilan, Y., Biver, N., et al. 2006, Icarus, 184, 834 239, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2006.04.014 835 Fray, N., Bardyn, A., Cottin, H., et al. 2016, Nature, 538, 836 72, doi: 10.1038/nature19320 837 Gkotsinas, A., Guilbert-Lepoutre, A., Raymond, S. N., & 1993, A&A, 277, 677 838 Nesvorny, D. 2022, The Astrophysical Journal, 928, 43, 839 doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac54ac 840 Gomes, R., Levison, H. F., Tsiganis, K., & Morbidelli, A. doi: 10.1006/jmsp.1998.7782 841 2005, Nature, 435, 466, doi: 10.1038/nature03676 842 Gordon, I., Rothman, L., Hargreaves, R., et al. 2022, 843 Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative 844 Transfer, 277, 107949, 845 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2021.107949 846 Guzmán, V. V., Bergner, J. B., Law, C. J., et al. 2021, 847 ApJS, 257, 6, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/ac1440 848 Hänni, N., Altwegg, K., Combi, M., et al. 2022, Nature 849 Communications, 13, 3639. 850 doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-31346-9 851 Hartogh, P., Crovisier, J., de Val-Borro, M., et al. 2010, 852 A&A, 518, L150, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201014665 853 Haser, L. 1957, Bulletin de la Societe Royale des Sciences 854 de Liege, 43, 740 855
- Heays, A. N., Bosman, A. D., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2017, 806
- A&A, 602, A105, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201628742 807

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

- Herbst, E., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 427, doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101654
- Huebner, W. F., & Mukherjee, J. 2015, Planet. Space Sci., 106, 11, doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2014.11.022
- Ilee, J. D., Walsh, C., Booth, A. S., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 9, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/ac1441
- Jackson, W. M., Butterworth, P. S., & Ballard, D. 1986, ApJ, 304, 515, doi: 10.1086/164185
- Jackson, W. M., Halpern, J. B., Feldman, P. D., & Rahe, J.
- Kelley, M. S., Lindler, D. J., Bodewits, D., et al. 2013, Icarus, 222, 634, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.09.037
- Khan, Y., Gibb, E. L., Roth, N. X., et al. 2023, AJ, 165, 231, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/acc074
- Knight, M. M., Schleicher, D. G., & Farnham, T. L. 2021, PSJ, 2, 104, doi: 10.3847/PSJ/abef6c
- Läuter, M., Kramer, T., Rubin, M., & Altwegg, K. 2020, MNRAS, 498, 3995, doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa2643
- Lis, D. C., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Boissier, J., et al. 2008, ApJ, 675, 931, doi: 10.1086/527345
- Lis, D. C., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Güsten, R., et al. 2019, A&A, 625, L5, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201935554
- Loomis, R. A., Öberg, K. I., Andrews, S. M., et al. 2018, AJ, 155, 182, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aab604
- McClure, M. K., Rocha, W. R. M., Pontoppidan, K. M.,
- doi: 10.1038/s41550-022-01875-w
- Meech, K. J., & Svoren, J. 2004, in Comets II, ed. M. C. Festou, H. U. Keller, & H. A. Weaver, 317
- Meier, R., Eberhardt, P., Krankowsky, D., & Hodges, R. R.
- Mekhtiev, M. A., Godfrey, P. D., & Hougen, J. T. 1999, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 194, 171,
- Milam, S. N., Remijan, A. J., Womack, M., et al. 2006, ApJ, 649, 1169, doi: 10.1086/506501
- Moulane, Y., Jehin, E., Manfroid, J., et al. 2023, A&A, 670, A159, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244779
- Mumma, M. J., & Charnley, S. B. 2011, ARA&A, 49, 471, doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081309-130811
- Mumma, M. J., Charnley, S. B., Cordiner, M., Paganini, L.,
- & Villanueva, G. L. 2017, in AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 49, AAS/Division for
- Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts #49, 414.19
- Newville, M., Stensitzki, T., Allen, D. B., et al. 2016, Lmfit:
- Non-Linear Least-Square Minimization and
 - Curve-Fitting for Python, Astrophysics Source Code
 - Library, record ascl:1606.014. http://ascl.net/1606.014
- Öberg, K. I., & Bergin, E. A. 2021, PhR, 893, 1, 856
- doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2020.09.004 857

- ⁸⁵⁸ Öberg, K. I., Guzmán, V. V., Furuya, K., et al. 2015,
- Nature, 520, 198, doi: 10.1038/nature14276
- Pegues, J., Öberg, K. I., Bergner, J. B., et al. 2020, ApJ,
 890, 142, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab64d9
- Poch, O., Istiqomah, I., Quirico, E., et al. 2020, Science,
 367, aaw7462, doi: 10.1126/science.aaw7462
- Protopapa, S., Kelley, M. S. P., Woodward, C. E., & Yang,
 B. 2021, PSJ, 2, 176, doi: 10.3847/PSJ/ac135a
- ⁸⁶⁶ Protopapa, S., Sunshine, J. M., Feaga, L. M., et al. 2014,
- ⁸⁶⁷ Icarus, 238, 191, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.04.008
- Rodgers, S. D., & Charnley, S. B. 2001, MNRAS, 323, 84,
 doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04099.x
- ⁸⁷⁰ —. 2006, Advances in Space Research, 38, 1928,
- doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2005.10.006
- 872 Rodgers, S. D., Charnley, S. B., Huebner, W. F., & Boice,
- ⁸⁷³ D. C. 2004, Comets II, ed. G. W. Kronk, 505
- ⁸⁷⁴ Roth, N. X., Milam, S. N., Cordiner, M. A., et al. 2021a,
- ApJ, 921, 14, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac0441

- 876 2021b, PSJ, 2, 55, doi: 10.3847/PSJ/abdd3a
- Tenishev, V., Combi, M., & Davidsson, B. 2008, ApJ, 685,
 659, doi: 10.1086/590376
- Tsiganis, K., Gomes, R., Morbidelli, A., & Levison, H. F.
 2005, Nature, 435, 459, doi: 10.1038/nature03539
- van der Tak, F. F. S., Lique, F., Faure, A., Black, J. H., &
- van Dishoeck, E. F. 2020, Atoms, 8, 15,
- doi: 10.3390/atoms8020015
- Villanueva, G. L., DiSanti, M. A., Mumma, M. J., & Xu,
 L.-H. 2012, ApJ, 747, 37,
- doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/747/1/37
- ⁸⁸⁷ Wink, J., Bockelée-Morvan, D., Despois, D., et al. 1997,
- Earth Moon and Planets, 78, 63,
- doi: 10.1023/A:1006263026604



Figure 8. SUBLIME model geometry used in the present study, showing the conical jet (Ω_1) and ambient coma (Ω_2) , in cartesian coordinates. θ_{jet} is the half-opening angle of the conical jet, ϕ is the (phase) angle of the jet axis with respect to the observer (z), and ψ is the jet position angle in the plane of the sky (x is north, y is east).

A. SUBLIME MODEL GEOMTERY

Figure 8 shows a schematic diagram of the two-component coma radiative transfer model geometry used in the present study.

B. INTERFEROMETRIC VISIBILITY SPECTRAL MODELING

Figures 9 to 14 show the real part of the observed and modeled ALMA visibilities for comet 46P, as a function of spectral channel. The top panel of each figure shows the autocorrelation (total power) spectrum, while each successive sub-panel shows the data averaged over an range of increasing antenna baseline lengths (corresponding to decreasing angular scales). Figure 15 shows a zoomed, un-binned version of Figure 5 for CH_3OH , to highlight the difference between the two best-fitting models and the data.



Figure 9. CH₃OH $J_K = 5_K - 4_K$ binned visibility spectra (real component), with best-fitting model overlaid in red. Each sub-panel shows the average spectrum for a range of uv distances (antenna baselines), given upper-left, which correspond to a range of angular scales (θ) on the sky.



Figure 10. HCN J = 4 - 3 binned visibility spectra (real component), with best-fitting model overlaid in red. Each sub-panel shows the average spectrum for a range of uv distances (antenna baselines), given upper-left, which correspond to a range of angular scales (θ) on the sky.



Figure 11. $CH_3CN J_K = 14_K - 13_K$ binned visibility spectra (real component), with best-fitting model overlaid in red. Each sub-panel shows the average spectrum for a range of uv distances (antenna baselines), given upper-left, which correspond to a range of angular scales (θ) on the sky.



Figure 12. H₂CO $J_{K_a,K_c} = 5_{1,5}-4_{1,4}$ binned visibility spectra (real component), with best-fitting model overlaid in red. Each sub-panel shows the average spectrum for a range of uv distances (antenna baselines), given upper-left, which correspond to a range of angular scales (θ) on the sky.



Figure 13. CS J = 5-4 binned visibility spectra (real component), with best-fitting model overlaid in red. Each sub-panel shows the average spectrum for a range of uv distances (antenna baselines), given upper-left, which correspond to a range of angular scales (θ) on the sky.



Figure 14. HNC J = 4-3 binned visibility spectra (real component), with best-fitting model overlaid in red. Each sub-panel shows the average spectrum for a range of uv distances (antenna baselines), given upper-left, which correspond to a range of angular scales (θ) on the sky.



Figure 15. Observed, spectrally averaged real interferometric visibilities for CH_3OH , zoomed in on the region of shortest baselines to show the improved fit of the parent + daughter model (blue circles) compared with the pure parent model (orange circles).