


Carbonization Under Confinement: Predicting Charring Behavior of Carbon Composite Resins

Jacob Gissinger* and Kristopher Wise
NASA Langley Research Center

*jacob.r.gissinger@gmail.com
ICCM 23



All Images Credit NASA
unless otherwise indicated




Composites are Pervasive in Aerospace

- Composites have replaced many components of aircraft and spacecraft
- Huge design space: the efficacy of new chemistries is difficult to predict
- Computational screening can help guide us toward next-generation high-temperature resins




Why is Char Yield Important?

- Char yield is the amount of material left over after subjecting it to high temperature pyrolysis
 - Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is used to obtain plots of mass vs time and/or temperature (typically ramped up to 1073 K -1273 K)
- High char materials require fewer cycles of carbonization and resin infiltration to achieve desired properties





Char Yield Simulation Protocol

- Temperature ramp cycle
 - 300 K - 3000 K at 10 K/ps
 - High temperatures/rates to accelerate reactions
- Anneal at high pressure (1 GPa) to achieve final densities of 1.8 g/cm³ - 2.0 g/cm³
- ReaxFF with periodic removal of outgassing products to allow for carbonization and densification
- Initial system size: 36,000 atoms



Char Yield Results


- Simulation protocol able to accurately predict char yield trends across a wide range of functional groups, heteroatom content and char yield values
- Chemically specific method
- No assumptions or fitting of experimental results



Gissinger et al. "Predicting char yield of high-temperature resins." *Carbon* 202 (2023): 336-347.



Mechanical Properties

- Nonporous char morphology results in high predicted elastic moduli
- Predicted values in expected range for glassy carbon (~30 GPa @ 1.5 g/cm³), but far lower than high modulus carbon fibers
- Highlights importance of achieving structures with high density and low defects, porosity




Final Morphology: Ring Distribution

- Final carbonized structure consists primarily of fused five-, six- and seven-membered carbon rings
- Twice as many six-membered rings as other sizes, but rings are well distributed with respect to ring size
- Similar final morphology obtained for lower char yield resins



Outgassing Products


- Protocol keeps track of molecules removed from the system to mimic outgassing
- Primarily CO for oxygen-containing resins (highly stable bond)
- Useful metric to compare to experimental techniques such as TGA-mass spectrometry to confirm the chemistry is being captured accurately




Tools for Direct Experimental Comparison

- Simulated XRD pattern allows for direct comparison with experimental morphologies
- Curve is typical of non-graphitized glassy carbon at lower carbonization temperatures
- The 002 peak, which indicates graphitic structure, notably sharpens after increasing the annealing time

XRD: X-ray Diffraction




Simulating high-resolution microscopy



HRTEM: High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy

Simulated microscopy consistent with non-graphitized glassy carbon at lower temperatures




Experimental HRTEM vs. carbonization temperature

Jurkiewicz, Karolina, et al. "Evolution of glassy carbon under heat treatment: correlation structure–mechanical properties." *Journal of materials science* 53.5 (2018): 3509-3523.



Adding Interfaces to the Model

- Triple-layer graphene inserted into initial monomer configuration for composite model
- Bulk PAN char: 54 wt%, 1.76 g/cm³
- Confined PAN: 42 wt%, 1.96 g/cm³
- Significantly larger regions of fused six-membered rings observed at interface due to templating effect of graphene




Neat vs Confined Resin Char Yields

- Char yields for confined resins in composite systems matched those recorded for neat resin
- Implies that prediction of char yield is mostly independent of degree of confinement for this modeling protocol
- Technical Note: Graphene sheets should remain mobile to obtain realistic results




Reactivity at the Graphene Sheet Interface


- It was critical to keep graphene surface mobile to achieve charring behavior
- At each graphene-resin interface ($\sim 46 \text{ nm}^2$), crosslinking occurred at ~ 7 sites, often involving two or more adjacent crosslinks that distort the surface



Non-resin-facing side of graphene sheets with crosslinks indicated




6.8 nm



Above, graphene sheets in gray and resin char in color

A Promising Method for Predicting Char Yield

- A chemically specific protocol was developed to predict char yield for high temperature resins
 - No prior knowledge of high-temperature behavior required
- Validated for low, medium and high char yield resins with various chemical structures and number of heteroatoms
- Additional outputs include atomistic structure, composition, morphology, mechanical properties, chemical pathways, outgassing products
- Currently being used to investigate and screen new chemistries



Thank You!



Email: jacob.r.gissinger@gmail.com

References (experimental char yield values):

PAA (polyarylacetylene): Katzman, H. A. et al. DTIC Accession Number ADA302053, 1995.

TPEB (1,2,4,5-tetraphenylethynylbenzene): Jones, K. M. et al. Polymer, 36, 187, 1995.



P1 (phthalonitrile): Hu, Y. et al. RSC Advances, 8, 32899, 2018.

BADCY (bisphenol A dicyanate ester): Wang, Y. et al. Polymer, 77, 354, 2015.


PAN (polyacrylonitrile): Song, C. et al. J Porous Materials, 16, 197, 2009.

Adamantane: Kazanskii, B. A. et al. Russian Chemical Bulletin, 17, 2506, 1968.

Strain to Failure



Carbon Hybridization/Heteroatoms Evolution



Ternary 'glassy carbon' phase diagram
axes = fractional number of atoms