

Cardiovascular responses to simulated spaceflight: molecular signatures and surrogate outputs to measure CVD risk

Candice Tahimic, PhD

Department of Biology University of North Florida c.tahimic@unf.edu

2024 NASA Human Research Program Investigators' Worskhop

Acknowledgements

NASA Ames Research Center

April Ronca Joshua Alwood Yasaman Shirazi-Fard Stephanie Puukila Siddhita Mhatre Janani Iyer Steffy Tabares Ruiz Moniece Lowe

Embry Riddle Aeronautical University Amber Paul

Icahn School of Medicine David Goukassian Kansas University Medical Center Lane Christenson

Joseph Sagol Neuroscience Center Linda Rubinstein

Florida State University Michael Delp

Supported by

- HRP Space Radiation Element to C. Tahimic
- HRP Human Factors Behavioral Performance Element to A. Ronca

Acknowledgements, continued

University of North Florida

Adaline Brekker Maya Semel Ivan Korostenskij Kaelyn Kelley Julia Santos Osanna Krikourian Yanni Palatsidis Kennedy Bommarito



Spaceflight-induced cardiovascular changes

- Increased left atrial volume after 6 months in ISS (Khine et al. 2018)
- Reduced left ventricular mass 10 days after STS-55 mission (Perhonen et al. 2001)

In some astronauts returning from ~6 month stay in ISS 38 H post-flight vs preflight

- 17-30% increase in arterial stiffness (Hughson et al. 2016)
- Aging of arteries by 10-15 years after 6 months of spaceflight
- Abnormal retrograde blood flow in jugular vein (6/11 astronauts) at day 50 and 150 in flight
- Thrombus formation in 1 astronaut which resolved using anticoagulants
 Marshall-Goebel et al 2019
- Appears to be transient (resolves after re-adaptation to Earth)

Cardiovascular changes in rodent models of simulated space radiation exposure

Latent effects in animals exposed to lower doses (3 – 50 cGy) of simulated space radiation

- Decline in select measures of cardiovascular function, increased oxidative damage in heart at 1-3 months post exposure (Yan et al. 2014)
- In hearts, altered expression of genes linked to cardiovascular and degenerative diseases at 16 months post exposure (Garikipati et al. 2021)

Goal: Gain insight on the effects of simulated space radiation singly or in combination with microgravity on the cardiovascular system

 \rightarrow Inform on risks and countermeasures for deep space missions

Hypotheses

- Space radiation alone or with simulated microgravity
 - → changes in CVD markers, structure, transcriptome, and cytokine milieu of heart and vasculature
 - → decreased CV performance, aging and increased CVD risk
- Correlation between measures of cardiovascular and systemic immune signatures
 - → Less invasive measures of cardiovascular health
 - → informative in extrapolating cardiovascular health and performance

Experiment design

Study 1



Study 2



Genes linked to CVD progression are differentially expressed in females vs males (0 cGy groups) at 124 days post-GCR exposure

Disease	FDR	No. of DEGs	DEGs
Chronic heart failure	0.007	10	PENK, LEPR, ADIPOQ, TIMP4, LOX, CD34, NFKB1, VEGFD, DKK3, SOD2
Congestive heart failure	0.018	26	CYP1A1, PDK4, PENK, ACTB, XIST, LEPR, AKAP12, SULT1E1, FZD4, PTN, CCN5, ADIPOQ, TIMP4, LOX, SPON1, CD34, CNTN5, NFKB1, PAPPA, HADH, HSD11B1, LUM, VEGFD, DKK3, SOD2, CA3
Coronary Artery Disease	0.039	23	CYP1A1, MGAM, ACTB, KDM5D, GDF10, LEPR, SULT1E1, SERPINE2, ADIPOQ, TIMP4, EGR3, LOX, CD34, NFKB1, PRKAB2, COL14A1, PAPPA, CCL11, HSD11B1, LUM, CXCL5, DKK3, SOD2
Hypertensive disease	0.041	27	CYP1A1, MGAM, ACTB, LEPR, SULT1E1, FZD4, MEST, ADIPOQ, EFEMP1, CEP20, SLC24A2, AMOT, LOX, CD34, CNTN5, NFKB1, KCNN3, FGF10, CCL11, BMPER, HSD11B1, CXCL5, GSTO1, WNK3, PRNP, MX1, SOD2
Dilated cardiomyopathy	0.045	9	PDK4, ACTB, ANO5, ADIPOQ, TIMP4, NFKB1, KCNN3, FBXO32, SOD2

RNAseq analysis of hearts (left ventricle) Thresholds: FRD<0.05; log2FC<-0.25 or >0.25. N=9/group

GCR-dependent changes in the heart transcriptome at 124 days post-GCR exposure

	Group	Symbol	Gene name	Log2 FC
	F 15 cGy	Zfp236	zinc finger protein 236	1.42
AdamtsI3: KO mice develop cardiac dysfunction and dilatation after pressure overload		Septin2	septin 2	1.26
		Afg3l2	AFG3-like AAA ATPase 2	-0.27
		Cd81	CD81 antigen	-0.35
		Capzb	capping protein (actin filament) muscle Z-line, beta	-0.45
		Adamtsl3	ADAMTS-like 3	-0.50
		Zc3h7b	zinc finger CCCH type containing 7B	-0.97
CD81: extracellular vesicle marker; EVs play a role in cardiac Ca ²⁺ cycling and disease	F 50 cGy	Rab26os	RAB26, member RAS oncogene family, opposite strand	1.74
		Adamtsl3	ADAMTS-like 3	-0.49
		Zc3h7b	zinc finger CCCH type containing 7B	-0.79
		Ccdc152	coiled-coil domain containing 152	-1.98
	M 15 cGy	Pdzd9	PDZ domain containing 9	-2.13
	M 50 cGy	Surf4	surfeit gene 4	-0.30
		Cd81	CD81 antigen	-0.31
		Maea	macrophage erythroblast attacher	-0.38
		Wdr60	WD repeat domain 60	-1.17
		Polr3h	polymerase (RNA) III (DNA directed) polypeptide H	-1.76
		Pdzd9	PDZ domain containing 9	-2.52

RNAseq analysis of hearts (left ventricle) Thresholds: FDR<0.05; log2FC<-0.25 or >0.25. N=9/group

Sex differences in immune-modulating cytokines in the heart

No differences between 0 vs 50 cGy groups at 124 days post-GCR exposure



HU and GCR exposure did not alter protein cytokine expression, no combined effects at 14 days post-GCR exposure



Heat map showing protein levels of 16 detectable cytokines in right ventricle (out of 44 tested) *Legend:* NL/Sham: normally loaded, sham controls

IR: 15 cGy GCR

HU: Hindlimb unloaded

Correlation analysis: plasma vs heart cytokines at 124 days post-GCR Representative results: Female, 50 cGy

Diagram we have to taking a	Plasma Cytokine	Heart Cytokine	Spearman Correlation
Plasma vs neart cytokines	IL-10	TIMP-1	0.85
• Female 0 cGv	IL-1B	IL-15	0.7848
	IP-10	IP-10	0.7628
 Female, 50 cGy 	IL-1B	TARC	0.7563
	IL-1B	MIG	0.7479
Wale, UCGy	IL-10	Eotaxin	0.7167
 Male, 50 cGv 	IL-9	IL-11	0.7143
	MIP-1B	VEGF	0.6891
	IP-10	Eotaxin	0.6833
	IL-10	IL-11	0.6807
Spearman correlation at p<0.05	IL-10	IP-10	0.6781
	5 IL-10 IL-11 IL-10 IP-10 IP-10 IL-11 IL-9 MCP-5	0.6723	
	IL-9	TIMP-1 IL-15 IP-10 TARC MIG Eotaxin IL-11 VEGF Eotaxin IL-11 IP-10 IL-11 MCP-5 VEGF 6Ckine/Exodus 2 Fractalkine IL-1B VEGF IFNB-1 M-CSE	0.6667
	IL-1B IL-15 IP-10 IP-10 IL-1B TARC IL-1B MIG IL-10 Eotaxin IL-9 IL-11 MIP-1B VEGF IP-10 Eotaxin IL-10 IL-11 MIP-1B VEGF IP-10 IL-11 IL-10 IL-11 IL-10 IL-11 IL-10 IL-11 IL-10 IL-11 IL-10 IP-10 IL-16 GCkine/Exodus 2 IL-16 Fractalkine TARC IL-1B Fractalkine VEGF IL-18 IFNB-1 IP-10 MCP-5	-0.6833	
	IL-16	6Ckine/Exodus 2	-0.8452
	IL-16	Fractalkine	-0.7983
	TARC	IL-1B	-0.7833
	Fractalkine	VEGF	-0.7833
	IL-1B	IFNB-1	-0.7394
	IP-10	M-CSF	-0.7

Candice Tahimic | c.tahimic@unf.edu

Correlation analysis: plasma vs heart cytokines at 124 days post-GCR Representative results: Female, 50 cGy

Plasma vs heart cytokines

- Female, 0 cGy
- Female, 50 cGy
- Male, 0 cGy
- Male, 50 cGy

Spearman correlation at p<0.05

Generate pairwise interaction networks

Plasma Cytokine	Heart Cytokine	Spearman Correlation
IL-10	TIMP-1	0.85
IL-1B	IL-15	0.7848
IP-10	IP-10	0.7628
IL-1B	TARC	0.7563
IL-1B	MIG	0.7479
IL-10	Eotaxin	0.7167
IL-9	IL-11	0.7143
MIP-1B	VEGF	0.6891
IP-10	Eotaxin	0.6833
IL-10	IL-11	0.6807
IL-10	IP-10	0.6781
IP-10	IL-11	0.6723
IL-9	MCP-5	0.6667
MCP-5	VEGF	-0.6833
IL-16	6Ckine/Exodus 2	-0.8452
IL-16	Fractalkine	-0.7983
TARC	IL-1B	-0.7833
Fractalkine	VEGF	-0.7833
IL-1B	IFNB-1	-0.7394
IP-10	M-CSF	-0.7

GCR exposure leads to long-term alterations in correlations between plasma and heart cytokine protein levels

Pairwise interactions networks between plasma (PL) and heart cytokines (RV) at 124 days post-GCR exposure

GCR exposure leads to long-term alterations in cytokine-cytokine interactions and regulation in a sex-dependent manner.



Circulating and heart cytokines implicated in CVD show show altered correlations after 124 days post-GCR exposure

Eotaxin (CCL11)

- Upregulated in eosinophilic myocarditis
- Plasma and heart cytokine levels show + correlation in sham groups
- Correlation lost after 50 cGy exposure
- + correlation with heart MCP-5 levels in sham groups
- Correlation with MCP-5 lost after 50 cGy exposure



GCR exposure leads to long-term alterations in interactions of cytokines implicated in CVD and inflammatory disease.

Candice Tahimic | c.tahimic@unf.edu

Sex differences in markers for aging and mitochondrial function but no GCR effects in heart and aorta

Time-dependent differences also observed (14 vs 124 day post GCR groups)

Factors	Mitochondrial DNA cop	y number	Telomere length		
	Aorta*	Heart	Aorta*	Heart	
Dose	Yes (50 cGy > 5 cGy)	No	No	No	
Sex	No	Male > Female	No	No	
Time	Yes (Intermediate > Delayed)	No	Yes (Intermediate > Delayed)	No	
Dose*Sex	No	No	No	No	
Dose*Time	No	No	No	No	
Sex*Time	No	No	No	No	

qPCR-based assays; Two-way ANOVA: when main effects were observed, a t-test was used to compare between groups within that main effect; N=8-9/group

Summary

Sex differences in molecular signatures

Transcriptome: differential expression of CVD-related and antioxidant genes Cytokine milieu: generally higher in female hearts

- Consistent with sex differences in cardiovascular disease susceptibility in humans

Long-term effects of GCR on molecular signatures

Transcriptome: changes in small number of genes linked to development of CVD Cytokine milieu: no changes in protein levels; altered correlations between circulating and heart cytokines

- Long-term changes in regulation and interactions between heart and circulating cytokines; differ between the sexes

- → informative in assessing inflammatory signaling in cardiovascular system?
- Sex differences should be considered in the development of strategies to maintain cardiovascular health of mission crew
- Rodent models are useful tools in anticipating human responses to spaceflight and underlying mechanisms



Cardiovascular responses to simulated spaceflight: molecular signatures and surrogate outputs to measure CVD risk

Candice Tahimic, PhD

Department of Biology University of North Florida c.tahimic@unf.edu

2024 NASA Human Research Program Investigators' Worskhop