1	From Remotely-Sensed SIF to Ecosystem Structure, Function, and Service:
2	Part I - Harnessing Theory
3 4 5 6 7 8	Ying Sun <sup>1, *</sup> , Lianhong Gu <sup>2</sup> , Jiaming Wen <sup>1</sup> , Christiaan van der Tol <sup>3</sup> , Albert Porcar-Castell <sup>4</sup> , Joanna Joiner <sup>5</sup> , Christine Y. Chang <sup>6</sup> , Troy Magney <sup>7</sup> , Lixin Wang <sup>8</sup> , Leiqiu Hu <sup>9</sup> , Uwe Rascher <sup>10</sup> , Pablo Zarco-Tejada <sup>11</sup> , Christopher B. Barrett <sup>12</sup> , Jiameng Lai <sup>1</sup> , Jimei Han <sup>1</sup> , Zhenqi Luo <sup>1</sup>
9 10	<sup>1</sup> School of Integrative Plant Science, Soil and Crop Sciences Section, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA.
11 12	<sup>2</sup> Environmental Sciences Division and Climate Change Science Institute, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA
13 14	<sup>3</sup> Affiliation Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), University of Twente, Netherlands
15 16 17	<sup>4</sup> Optics of Photosynthesis Laboratory, Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR)/Forest Sciences, Viikki Plant Science Center (ViPS), University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
18 19	<sup>5</sup> National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD, USA
20 21	<sup>6</sup> US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Adaptive Cropping Systems Laboratory, Beltsville, MD, USA
22	<sup>7</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA
23 24	<sup>8</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), Indianapolis, IN, USA
25	<sup>9</sup> Department of Atmospheric and Earth Science, University of Alabama in Huntsville, AL, USA
26	<sup>10</sup> Institute of Bio- and Geosciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany
27 28	<sup>11</sup> School of Agriculture and Food (SAF-FVAS) and Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology (IE-FEIT), University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
29 30	<sup>12</sup> Charles H. Dyson School of Applied Economics and Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
31 32 33	*Correspondence to: Ying Sun ( <u>ys776@cornell.edu</u> )

#### 34 Abstract

- 35 Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) is a remotely sensed optical signal emitted during
- 36 the light reactions of photosynthesis. The past two decades have witnessed an explosion in
- 37 availability of SIF data at increasingly higher spatial and temporal resolutions, sparking
- 38 applications in diverse research sectors (*e.g.*, ecology, agriculture, hydrology, climate, and
- 39 socioeconomics). These applications must deal with complexities caused by tremendous
- 40 variations in scale and the impacts of interacting and superimposing plant physiology and three-
- 41 dimensional vegetation structure on the emission and scattering of SIF. At present, these
- 42 complexities have not been overcome. To advance future research, the two companion reviews
- aim to 1) develop an analytical framework for inferring terrestrial vegetation structures and
- 44 function that are tied to SIF emission, 2) synthesize progress and identify challenges in SIF
- 45 research via the lens of multi-sector applications, and 3) map out actionable solutions to tackle
- these challenges and offer our vision for research priorities over the next 5-10 years based on the
  proposed analytical framework. This paper is the first of the two companion reviews, and theory-
- 47 proposed analytical framework. This paper is the first of the two companion reviews, and theory
   48 oriented. It introduces a theoretically rigorous yet practically applicable analytical framework.
- 49 Guided by this framework, we offer theoretical perspectives on three overarching questions: 1)
- 50 **The forward (mechanism) question** How are the dynamics of SIF affected by terrestrial
- 51 ecosystem structure and function? 2) **The inference question**: What aspects of terrestrial
- 52 ecosystem structure, function, and service can be reliably inferred from remotely sensed SIF and
- 53 how? 3) **The innovation question**: What innovations are needed to realize the full potential of
- 54 SIF remote sensing for real-world applications under climate change? The analytical framework
- 55 elucidates that process complexity must be appreciated in inferring ecosystem structure and
- 56 functions from the observed SIF emission; this framework can serve as a diagnosis and inference
- 57 tool for versatile applications across diverse spatial and temporal scales.

#### 58 1. Introduction

Land plants harvest light energy for photosynthesis with three types of pigments: 59 chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids. The light energy harvested by a free pigment is 60 lost, partly radiatively as fluorescence and partly non-radiatively as heat; as a result, the 61 62 wavelength of emitted fluorescence is longer than that of the photons originally absorbed, a 63 phenomenon known as Stokes shift. Fluorescence is only emitted from the first excited state (S1) 64 as an electron boosted to a higher energy is immediately relaxed to the S1 state by giving off 65 some heat in a process known as internal conversion (Porcar-Castell et al., 2014). In addition to 66 emitting fluorescence, the S1 state can also relax to the ground state (S0) via internal conversion, 67 in which case heat is released, or transition to a long-lasting excited triplet state of chlorophyll 68 via intersystem crossing. Chlorophyll a and b extracts in ether can emit up to 30% and 15%, 69 respectively, of the absorbed energy as fluorescence (Barber et al., 1989; Latimer et al., 1956). 70 Carotenoids also fluoresce but their quantum yield is several orders of magnitude lower than 71 those of chlorophyll a and b, and can effectively be considered as non-fluorescent (Hashimoto et

- al., 2018). In *vivo*, the fluorescing characteristics of chlorophyll *a* and *b* change drastically.
- 73 Within the light-harvesting complexes, the excitation energy transfer from chlorophyll b to a is
- vultrafast (Bittner et al., 1994), leaving little chance for chlorophyll *b* to fluoresce; as a result, all
- chlorophyll fluorescence emission from plants can be considered as originating from chlorophyll
- 76 *a* (denoted as Chl*a*F emission hereafter). More importantly, photochemical and non-
- photochemical processes controlled by plant physiology compete with Chl*a*F emission, internal
- conversion, and intersystem crossing for the excitation energy at the S1 state, which can lead to
- an order of magnitude decrease in the quantum yield of Chl*a*F emission, depending on
- 80 environmental conditions. Details about the physical mechanisms of Chl*a*F emission can be
- 81 found in Papageorgiou & Govindjee (2004) and Porcar-Castell et al. (2014).
- Chl*a*F emission has no known physiological or ecological use to plants. It is not directly regulated by plants either. The energy lost in Chl*a*F emission is minuscule and has little impact on the energy budget of plants. However, owing to the principle of energy conservation, the dynamics of Chl*a*F emission are always coupled to the dynamics of photochemical and nonphotochemical processes that compete for the excitation energy of the S1 state (Gu et al., 2019; Porcar-Castell et al., 2014). Because plants actively regulate photochemical and nonphotochemical processes, the dynamics of Chl*a*F emission spontaneously reflect, but are not
- 89 directly controlled by, these regulations. Furthermore, because these processes have different
- 90 time constants, it is possible to differentiate their dynamics from the unique temporal patterns of
- 92 2011) and Pulse-Amplitude Modulated fluorometry (PAM) (Baker, 2008).

93 ChlaF emission can be excited by either artificial light, which leads to active 94 fluorescence, or sunlight, which leads to passive, Sun- or Solar-Induced chlorophyll 95 Fluorescence (SIF). Both active and passive ChlaF emission have a long history of applications 96 in plant science (Papageorgiou & Govindjee, 2004), ecosystem science (Mohammed et al., 97 2019), and marine biology (Suggett et al., 2010). Because ChlaF emission is a spontaneous, 98 unregulated byproduct of the light harvesting process, physiologically interpreting its dynamics 99 is in general not straightforward, even with active ChlaF emission at the leaf scale, where the 100 wavelength and intensity of the excitation light can be carefully manipulated.

- 101 The past two decades have witnessed a rapid growth in SIF research, spurred by advances 102 in SIF observing capabilities from various platforms. Applications of remotely sensed SIF range 103 from ecological sciences (e.g., Magney et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2017; Porcar-Castell, et al., 2021), 104 to agricultural (e.g., Guan et al., 2016; Guanter et al., 2014), hydrological (Gentine et al., 2019; 105 Zhan et al., 2022), climate feedback (e.g., Mueller et al., 2016), and even socioeconomic studies 106 (Browne et al., 2021) (Fig. 1). However, such applications face tremendous complexities arising 107 not only from the variations in scale (in both time and space) but also from interacting and 108 superimposing plant physiology and three-dimensional (3D) leaf and canopy structure (in both 109 vertical and horizontal dimensions). Intermingling physiology and structure affect ChlaF
- 110 emission and the subsequent scattering/reabsorption at both leaf and canopy scales (Chang et al.,

- 111 2021; Magney et al., 2020; Porcar-Castell et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2016; van Wittenberghe et al.,
- 112 2015), as well as the anisotropy of at-sensor SIF (depending on sun-canopy-sensor geometry,
- 113 Joiner et al., 2020). At present, these complexities have not been overcome. Consequently, the
- 114 "six blind men and the elephant" analogy, which was used to characterize the current
- understanding of terrestrial carbon cycling by Fisher et al. (2014) is also appropriate for SIF
- research. Previous studies may have touched different aspects of the "elephant", resulting in
- 117 mixed conclusions, for example, the linear vs nonlinear relationships between SIF and gross
- 118 primary production (GPP) (e.g., Damm et al., 2015; Li, Xiao et al., 2018; Pierrat et al., 2022), the
- sign/strength of the relationship between quantum yields of different energy dissipation
- 120 pathways (e.g., Martini et al., 2022; Miao et al., 2018), and the practical added-value of SIF in
- 121 inferring the functioning of natural and agricultural systems (e.g., Cai et al., 2019; Peng et al.,
- 122 2020; Sloat et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019).
- As SIF research progresses, more aspects of the "elephant" should be touched and understood. There is a critical need to connect these different aspects, and perhaps more importantly, to know what key aspects have not been touched yet, before we can predict what the whole "elephant" looks like. To advance, we must harness advances/innovations in theory and
- data (Fig. 1), in order to shift from correlational analyses to causal quantification and reasoning.
- Towards this end, we offer our perspectives on critical research priorities moving forward, from the theoretical and observational aspects in two companion reviews (i.e., this paper, and Sun et
- 130 al., 2023b, respectively). Addressing these priorities will ultimately help improve predictive
- understanding and management of natural and agricultural ecosystems to enhance the services
- 132 they offer to society (details in the companion review, Sun et al., 2023b).



#### 133

Fig. 1. Harnessing theory and data to enable applications across sectors and scales. Definition of
acronyms: GxExM, interactions of Genetics, Environment, and Management; ESMs, Earth
System Models; IAV, interannual variability; UAV, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles; ETR, electron
transport rate; GPP, Gross Primary Production. Other symbols are defined in <u>Table S1</u>.
Icon/images in this diagram come from <u>https://www.flaticon.com/</u>.

139 The objectives of the two companion reviews are to: 1) develop an analytical framework for inferring terrestrial vegetation structure and functions from remotely-sensed SIF 140 141 observations, 2) synthesize progress and identify challenges in SIF research through the lens of multi-sector applications, and 3) map out actionable solutions to tackle these challenges and offer 142 143 our vision for research priorities over the next 5-10 years based on the developed analytical 144 framework. There have been multiple recent reviews of SIF science and applications. For 145 example, Mohammed et al. (2019) provided a historical view of the progress in SIF research since the first discovery of ChlaF emission. The reviews of Pacheco-Labrador et al. (2019), 146 147 Aasen et al. (2019), and Cendrero-Mateo et al. (2019) concentrated on instrumental 148 characteristics, measurement protocols, and retrieval methods for proximal sensing of SIF. The 149 reviews of Porcar-Castell et al. (2014) and Porcar-Castell et al. (2021) provide an introduction of 150 mechanisms that connect SIF to photosynthesis across scales, and present a brief overview of 151 present challenges and unfolding opportunities. They were intended as a first primer on SIF for 152 less advanced audiences and purposefully more qualitative. Compared to these previous reviews, 153 the major contribution of these two companion reviews is to offer a quantitative framework (i.e.,

the theoretical perspective) and a data perspective that can 1) facilitate process interpretation, 2)

reconcile contradictory findings reported in literature, and 3) map out concrete future steps (by

156 guiding observational and applicational innovations) to overcome the most pressing challenges

towards realizing the full potential of SIF in the broad context of global change biology

applications (beyond photosynthesis). Nevertheless, the presence of these reviews not only setsthe basis for the present two reviews but also considerably reduces the scope and topics that need

- 160 to be covered. Throughout the two companion reviews, we emphasize that theory and
- 161 observations should go hand-in-hand to enable meaningful applications. Both reviews are
- 162 organized around three overarching questions:
- The forward (mechanism) question: How are the dynamics of SIF affected by terrestrial ecosystem structure and function?
- 165
   2. The inference question: What aspects of terrestrial ecosystem structure, function, and service can be reliably inferred from remotely sensed SIF and how?

167 168 3. **The innovation question**: What innovations are needed to realize the full potential of SIF remote sensing for real-world applications under climate change?

The forward question concerns mechanisms (i.e., ecosystem structure and functions) that control
the emission, reabsorption, and scattering of SIF. It lays the foundation for the next two

overarching questions. The inference question presents the retrieval of ecosystem structural and

functional information from remotely-sensed SIF as an inversion problem, and discusses howsuch inferred knowledge can inform diverse applications in ecological, agricultural,

174 hydrological, and socioeconomic sectors across scales in time and space. Through the

175 presentation of this inversion problem, we identify knowledge gaps and challenges. Collectively,

176 the answers to the forward and inference questions naturally lead to the **innovation** question,

177 where we propose strategies, solutions, and priorities to fill the knowledge gaps and to overcome

178 present challenges towards maximizing the capability of remotely-sensed SIF to monitor/predict

179 ecosystem structure, function, and service under climate change.

180 The present paper is the first of the two companion reviews, and theory-oriented. In this paper, we introduce a theoretically rigorous yet practically applicable analytical framework for 181 SIF research. This analytical framework is built upon the rapidly advancing understanding of 182 183 diverse physiological/structural processes affecting ChlaF emission and its subsequent 184 scattering/reabsorption within a canopy. Necessary assumptions/simplifications made in this 185 conceptualization are explicitly stated for future studies to refine. Such an analytical framework 186 is arguably the most critical research priority moving forward, as it enables explicitly elucidating 187 the "causal" relationships/connections among different aspects of the "elephant", and making the 188 knowledge gaps/challenges identified for SIF research tractable and quantifiable. Note that the 189 present review focuses on mechanistic understanding and is rather theoretical and quantitative, 190 readers who are just starting SIF research are advised to first read earlier reviews, particularly 191 Porcar-Castell et al. (2014), Mohammed et al. (2019), and Porcar-Castell et al. (2021).

## 192 2. The forward question: How are the dynamics of SIF affected by terrestrial ecosystem 193 structure and function?

194 The forward question concerns understanding and modeling the absorption of PAR (Photosynthetically Active Radiation, i.e., the excitation photons), subsequent ChlaF emission, 195 and its scattering and reabsorption along the path to the sensor in a complex structure of leaf and 196 197 canopy. Photosynthesis is typically separated into the light and carbon reactions. Issues related to the ChlaF emission can be more clearly discussed if we further separate the light reactions into 198 199 the *photophysical* and *photochemical* reactions (Kamen 1963). The photophysical reactions 200 cover the light harvesting and partitioning between photosystems, excitation energy transfer and 201 trapping, and partitioning of excitation energy into different dissipation pathways. The photochemical reactions include the water splitting by the oxygen evolving complex, the electron 202 203 transport from PSII to the cytochrome b6f complex (Cyt) to PSI to the eventual acceptor NADP+ 204 with plastoquinone, plastocyanin, and ferredoxin as electron carriers, and the associated proton transport from stroma to lumen and ATP synthesis. The carbon reactions refer to the downstream 205 206 processes in photosynthesis, i.e., the Calvin-Benson cycle, and are typically modeled by 207 biochemical models, such as the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry (FvCB) model (Farquhar et al., 208 1980). The ChlaF emission occurs during the light reactions, more specifically during the 209 photophysical reactions. The value of SIF as a photophysical variable lies in its potential for 210 providing information related to photochemical and biochemical variables.

#### 211 **2.1 Theoretical basis**

216 217

Theoretically, the total irradiance of Chl*a*F emission at wavelength  $\lambda_F$  (nm, ranging from 640 to 850nm) by a homogeneous canopy with total leaf area index (LAI, m<sup>2</sup> leaf area m<sup>-2</sup> ground area), denoted as  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$  (µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> ground area s<sup>-1</sup> nm<sup>-1</sup>), without considering any scattering and reabsorption by the canopy, can be described as:

$$F_{eT}(\lambda_F) = \int_0^{LAI} F_e(L,\lambda_F) dL$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^{LAI} p(L) \int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_F} \{ \underbrace{\Phi_{FII}(L)s_{II}(\lambda_F)\beta(L,\lambda_I)}_{\text{PSII}} + \underbrace{\Phi_{FI}(L)s_{I}(\lambda_F)[1-\beta(L,\lambda_I)]}_{\text{PSI}} \} \sigma(L,\lambda_I)I(L,\lambda_I)d\lambda_I dL$$
  
(1)

Here  $F_e$  denotes the ChlaF emission of an infinitely thin leaf layer with a thickness of dL at the 218 canopy depth L and emission wavelength  $\lambda_F$ , and is contributed by two components - ChlaF 219 emission from photosystem II and I (denoted as PSII and PSI hereafter). The need to include 220 both PSII and PSI contributions is discussed in detail in <u>SI-1</u>. At the leaf level, the  $F_e$  component 221 arising from PSII can be represented as the product of the broadband fluorescence quantum yield 222 of PSII ( $\Phi_{FII}$ , unitless), the total concentration (p, mol m<sup>-2</sup> leaf area) of light-harvesting 223 photosynthetic pigments (i.e., chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoids) associated with PSII (i.e., 224  $p \cdot \beta$ , where  $\beta$  is the fraction of *P*associated with PSII ), the fluorescence spectral shape function 225  $S_{II}$  (unitless), the overall effective absorption cross section of photosynthetic pigment ( $\sigma$ , m<sup>2</sup> 226 227 mol<sup>-1</sup>, which may vary with leaf and canopy structure), and the excitation irradiance I (µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> leaf area s<sup>-1</sup> nm<sup>-1</sup>), which is in turn integrated over the spectra of excitation 228 irradiance wavelength  $\lambda_I$  (nm) from  $\lambda_{Imin}$  (the minimum wavelength of excitation irradiance) up 229

- to  $\lambda_F$ . The excitation photons at  $\lambda_I$  greater than  $\lambda_F$  cannot contribute to  $F_e$  at  $\lambda_F$ , as they do not have sufficient energy for ChlaF emission at shorter wavelengths (phonon emission due to elementary excitation is ignored as it is non-significant to ChlaF emission). Note that *I* includes all sources - incoming solar photons (i.e., the first-order interaction), scattered solar photons, and
- emitted fluorescence photons, although contribution from the latter two sources to  $F_e$  is
- considerably smaller (Yang & van der Tol, 2018). The  $F_e$  component arising from PSI can be similarly modeled, except that the relative contribution of pigments associated with PSI to the
- 237 overall effective absorption cross section is denoted as  $1 \beta$  (assuming there are no free
- energetically disconnected light harvesting complexes). The product of p and  $\sigma$  gives the more
- commonly used absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  at the leaf level (unitless, ~0.85 of PAR). Here  $\Phi_{FII}$
- and  $\Phi_{FI}$  are broadband quantities assumed to be independent of  $\lambda_F$  and  $\lambda_I$ .  $s_{II}$  and  $s_I$  depend on
- the electronic properties of the chlorophyll *a* forms involved in the Chl*a*F emissions of PSII and PSI respectively, and their interactions with macromolecular complexes; they lead to unity once
- PSI respectively, and their interactions with macromolecular complexes; they lead to unity integrated over the full range of  $\lambda_F$ , and for simplicity, are assumed to vary only with  $\lambda_F$ .

The leaf-level  $F_{e}$ , once summed up with contributions from PSII and PSI, can be integrated over the full canopy, from the canopy top (i.e., canopy depth L = 0) to the bottom ( L = LAI), to obtain the true canopy-level total ChlaF emission  $F_{eT}$  (i.e., prior to reabsorption

- 247 or scattering within a canopy). Here the leaf to canopy integration  $J_0$  is a highly
- 248 conceptualized notation, and can take different forms with varying complexity in actual
- 249 implementations, i.e., 1D homogeneous (Van der Tol et al., 2009), or 3D heterogeneous canopies
- 250 (Zhao et al., 2016), or separated sunlit and shaded canopies (e.g., He et al., 2017).

In practice, however,  $F_{eT}$  cannot be measured directly. Instead, the canopy-leaving SIF irradiance that travels towards the sensor direction is only a portion of  $F_{eT}$  that escapes from the canopy (after reabsorption and scattering). At the nadir view,  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  and  $F_{\downarrow}(\lambda_F)$  (µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> ground area s<sup>-1</sup> nm<sup>-1</sup>), denoting the upward and downward canopy-leaving SIF irradiance at  $\lambda_F$ within a hemispherical 180° field of view (FOV) at the top and the bottom of a canopy respectively, can be given as:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F) & Canopy \\ = \int_{0}^{LAI} p(L)\varepsilon_{\uparrow}(L,\lambda_F) \int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_F} \{ \Phi_{FII}(L)s_{II}(\lambda_F)\beta(L,\lambda_I) + \Phi_{FI}(L)s_{I}(\lambda_F)[1-\beta(L,\lambda_I)] \}\sigma(L,\lambda_I)I(L,\lambda_I)d\lambda_IdL \\ + \underbrace{Soil}_{PSII} + \varepsilon_{\uparrow}(LAI,\lambda_F)r_s(\lambda_F)F_{\downarrow}(\lambda_F) & (a) \\ = \int_{0}^{LAI} p(L)\varepsilon_{\downarrow}(L,\lambda_F) \int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_F} \{ \Phi_{FII}(L)s_{II}(\lambda_F)\beta(L,\lambda_I) + \Phi_{FI}(L)s_{I}(\lambda_F)[1-\beta(L,\lambda_I)] \}\sigma(L,\lambda_I)I(L,\lambda_I)d\lambda_IdL & (b) \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

257 258

 $F_{\uparrow}$  consists of a dominant component directly from vegetation (i.e.,  $F_{eT}$  escaped from the canopy 259 in the upward direction) and a minor component due to reflection of  $F_{\downarrow}$  by soil with a reflectance 260 of  $r_s$  at  $\lambda_F$ . The major differences of  $F_{\uparrow}$  and  $F_{\downarrow}$  from  $F_{eT}$  are the introduction of the upward and 261 downward escape probabilities, denoted by  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$  (unitless), respectively, both of which vary 262 with L and  $\lambda_F$ . Any SIF photon emitted by an infinitely thin layer at canopy depth L can be 263 264 either absorbed 1) by this thin layer, 2) by the part of the canopy above this thin layer, 3) by the 265 part of the canopy below this thin layer, or escape to the 4) very top or 5) very bottom of the 266 canopy. The upward canopy escape probability  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$  is the probability of a SIF photon emitted at a 267 canopy depth L escaping to the very top of the canopy whereas the downward canopy escape 268 probability  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$  is the probability of this SIF photon escaping to the very bottom of the canopy. These two probabilities change in reverse directions with L; for example, as L increases,  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$ 269 270 decreases while  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$  increases. Note they are not the same as the probabilities of a SIF photon 271 escaping from the interior to the surface of the same leaf at L.  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$ , and the self-absorption probability by the whole canopy  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  sum to unity. As the SIF signal is usually acquired from 272 instruments above the canopy, we further remove the explicit appearance of  $F_{\downarrow}$  in Eq 2a, by 273 274 inserting Eq 2b and obtain:

$$F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F) = \int_0^{LAI} p(L)[\varepsilon_{\uparrow}(L,\lambda_F) + \varepsilon_{\uparrow}(LAI,\lambda_F)\varepsilon_{\downarrow}(L,\lambda_F)r_s(\lambda_F)]$$

275 
$$\int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_{F}} \{ \underbrace{\Phi_{FII}(L)s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\beta(L,\lambda_{I})}_{\text{PSII}} + \underbrace{\Phi_{FI}(L)s_{I}(\lambda_{F})[1-\beta(L,\lambda_{I})]}_{\text{PSI}} \} \sigma(L,\lambda_{I})I(L,\lambda_{I})d\lambda_{I}dL$$
(2c)

276 Eq 2 is also a conceptualized framework and includes necessary simplifications. For example, it omits multiple scattering of SIF within the canopy and by soil (as  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$  only represent the 277 278 first-interaction), as well as the backward scattering of SIF from the sky; it also assumes that all photons (in the PAR region) are equally efficient in exciting chlorophylls regardless of 279 wavelength (i.e.,  $\Phi_{FII}$  and  $\Phi_{FI}$  are broadband quantities). For more technical treatments of 280 excitation and radiative transfer of SIF, readers are referred to Pedrós et al. (2010) and Vilfan et 281 282 al. (2016) for leaf-level radiative transfer model (RTM), and Van der Tol et al. (2009), Verhoef 283 (1984), van der Tol et al. (2019) for canopy-level 1D RTM, as well as references synthesized in Table 1. Towards achieving objectives of this review, Eq 2c is sufficiently detailed and serves as 284 the base equation for describing SIF dynamics at the canopy scale (and beyond) throughout the 285 rest of the paper. Note the commonly used terminology "SIF remotely sensed above the canopy" 286 corresponds to  $F_{\uparrow}$  (if the sensor has an approximately hemispherical 180° FOV) or directional 287  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  (if the sensor has a narrow FOV; here the sun-canopy-sensor geometry is denoted as  $\Omega\uparrow$  in 288 the upward direction, e.g., for spaceborne instruments). The complete formulation of  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  is 289 provided in SI-2. For simplicity, the following equations and derivations, are all based on  $F_{\uparrow}$ 290 unless otherwise specified, but  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  and  $F_{\uparrow}$  are mutually convertible (3.1); plant structural and 291 functional variations as well as environmental forcings that impact  $F_{\uparrow}$  (2.2 and 2.3) also apply to 292  $F_{\mathbf{\Omega}\uparrow}$ 293

We further expand  $\Phi_{FII}$  and  $\Phi_{FI}$  in Eq 2c as functions of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and redox states of PSII and PSI (full derivation in <u>SI-</u>3):

$$F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_{F}) = \int_{0}^{LAI} \frac{p(L)[\varepsilon_{\uparrow}(L,\lambda_{F}) + \varepsilon_{\uparrow}(LAI,\lambda_{F})\varepsilon_{\downarrow}(L,\lambda_{F})r_{s}(\lambda_{F})]}{1 + k_{DF}}$$

$$\int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_{F}} \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{(1 - \Phi_{PSIIm})s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\beta(L,\lambda_{I})}{[1 + NPQ(L)](1 - \Phi_{PSIIm}) + q_{LII}(L)\Phi_{PSIIm}}_{PSII} + \underbrace{\frac{(1 - \Phi_{PSIm})s_{I}(\lambda_{F})[1 - \beta(L,\lambda_{I})]}{[1 + q_{7}(L)NPQ_{7}](1 - \Phi_{PSIm}) + q_{LI}(L)\Phi_{PSIm}}_{PSI} \right\} \sigma(L,\lambda_{I})I(L,\lambda_{I})d\lambda_{I}dL$$

$$(3)$$

296 297

298

299 Here *QLII* and *QLI* (unitless) denote the fraction of open PSII and PSI reaction centers 300 (characterizing their redox states respectively) under the lake model of photosynthetic unit connectivity, respectively. 97 is the oxidized fraction of PSI electron donor P700<sup>+</sup>, an efficient 301 non-photochemical quencher whose intrinsic thermal dissipation capacity is denoted as  $NPQ_7$ 302 (unitless).  $\Phi_{PSIIm}$  and  $\Phi_{PSIm}$  (unitless) are the maximal photochemical quantum yields for 303 PSII and PSI, respectively, and assumed to be conserved across non-stressed plants (Björkman & 304 Demmig, 1987; G. N. Johnson et al., 1993).  $k_{DF}$  (unitless) is the ratio of  $k_D$  (the rate constant of 305 the constitutive or unregulated heat dissipation) to  $k_F$  (the rate constant of the ChlaF emission). 306 307 A complete list of variable definitions and units is provided in Table S1.

Eq 3 maps the complex dynamics of the emission and radiative transfer of SIF into a 308 quantitative framework to infer ecosystem structure and functions (Fig. 2). Here  $\Phi_{PSIIm}$ ,  $\Phi_{PSIm}$ 309 ,  $k_{DF}$ ,  $NPQ_7$ ,  $s_{II}$  and  $s_I$  can be treated as parameter constants (i.e., invariants in time and 310 possibly across species, detailed discussion in SI-4). The remaining quantities are dynamic 311 312 variables (i.e., changing over time and across species) and are affected by a myriad of interactive processes encompassing leaf and canopy structure and functions, all of which are driven by 313 ambient environmental forcings (Fig. 2). Although Eq 3 and Fig. 2 show the complexity and 314 315 challenges of interpreting remotely-sensed SIF, they reveal *why* SIF is useful and *how* to conduct 316 ecologically meaningful applications of SIF across scales in time and space.



317

318 Fig. 2. Diagram mapping key leaf/canopy structure/functions to the full SIF equation (Eq 3). For

319 visualization clarity, only direct effects, which act via first-order processes, are displayed (as

320 linkages between processes and mathematical terms). Boxes marked with \* or # highlight

- 321 processes that can potentially be inferred from hyperspectral or Lidar measurements,
- 322 respectively.

#### 323 2.2 How do leaf and canopy functions influence SIF?

Fig. 2 reveals that NPQ,  $q_{LII}$ ,  $q_{II}$ ,  $q_7$ , and  $\beta$  are the direct linkages between plant functions and 324 SIF (the right column), and known to be closely regulated by physiology in response to ambient 325 environmental conditions. Note when italicized, NPQ denotes the variable in equations; when 326 non-italicized, NPQ denotes the regulated heat dissipation processes, following Porcar-Castell et 327 328 al. (2014). NPQ consists of multiple complex mechanisms (e.g., energy-dependent and energy329 independent/sustained NPQ) that operate at different time scales, ranging from seconds to weeks 330 or even longer durations (Ruban, 2016; Verhoeven, 2014). The energy-dependent NPQ is 331 controlled by changes in lumen acidity, which in turn is determined by protons from water 332 splitting by the oxygen evolving complex and translocation from stroma to lumen as a result of photosynthetic electron transport. The energy-independent/sustained NPQ is caused by 333 334 photoinhibition or photodamage of PSII and/or composition changes in photosynthetic and non-335 photosynthetic pigment contents for photoprotection (Malnoë, 2018). These mechanisms play 336 key roles in protecting the photosynthetic machinery by dissipating excess energy into harmless 337 heat when the carbon reactions cannot consume all the energy supplied by the light reactions. 338 The consequence of NPQ is to reduce (quench) ChlaF emission. Note throughout the paper, NPQ refers to only PSII unless otherwise specified as in the example of  $NPQ_7$  (detailed 339 340 discussion in **SI**-3).

341  $q_{LII}$  and  $q_{LI}$  indicate the redox status of PSII and PSI acceptors, respectively.  $q_7$  indicates 342 the redox state of the donor of PSI, and is relevant because the oxidized donor of PSI is an 343 efficient quencher. These variables affect and also are affected by the electron transport rates 344 (ETR) via these two photosystems (Han, Chang, et al., 2022; Laisk et al., 2014). Changes in  $q_{LII}$ 345 ,  $q_{LI}$ , and  $q_7$  are considered instantaneous (i.e., faster than the energy-dependent NPQ). However, 346 photoinhibition may also affect  $q_{LII}$ , leading to long-term (weekly to seasonal) changes (Porcar-347 Castell, 2011).

 $\beta$  is controlled by PSII/PSI stoichiometry and varies with state transition (which may 348 349 vary across plant species), which refers to the adjustment of PSII and PSI relative absorption 350 cross sections in response to excitation imbalance between PSII and PSI (Stirbet et al., 2020). 351 Photosystem excitation imbalance can occur when environmental conditions such as light intensity, temperature, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration vary, causing a need to adjust the relative 352 353 proportion of cyclic to linear electron transport and the ratio of ATP to NADPH to satisfy 354 different stromal metabolisms and deliver electrons to alternative sinks (Kramer & Evans, 2011). 355 Linear electron transport results in the production of NADPH and accumulation of protons in the 356 lumen and therefore ATP synthesis. In contrast, cyclic electron transport contributes to proton accumulation in the lumen and ATP synthesis but not NADPH. Thus adjusting the ratio of cyclic 357 358 to linear electron transport results in a different ratio of ATP to NADPH. The photosystem 359 excitation imbalance can also occur when the two photosystems encounter different levels of photodamage or photoinhibition (Caffarri et al., 2014). Note that the excitation balance between 360 361 PSII and PSI is related to, but different from, the energy supply and demand balance between the 362 light and carbon reactions. The former is concerned about the coordination between PSII and PSI 363 for the production of NADPH and ATP, while the latter is concerned about whether the 364 production of NADPH and ATP is at rates that meet their demand by metabolic processes. Both 365 balances can affect ChlaF emission. A detailed discussion on these issues is beyond the scope of 366 this review but can be found in the literature of plant physiology (e.g., Kramer & Evans, 2011).

Here it suffices to state that any environmental factors that affect photosynthesis and photorespiration are expected to affect NPQ,  $q_{LII}$ ,  $q_{LI}$ ,  $q_7$ , and  $\beta$  and therefore SIF dynamics as Eq. 3 and Fig. 2 show. For example, the ratio of  $q_{LII}$  to 1 + NPQ is directly related to carbon reactions (Eqs S12, S15, S19, mathematical derivation in <u>SI</u>-5). This indicates that any

are environmental factor that affects carboxylation, oxygenation, stomatal conductance, mesophyll

372 conductance, and leaf energy balance has a potential to affect NPQ and  $q_{LII}$ , and thus  $F_{\uparrow}$  (Han,

**373** Gu, et al., 2022).

374 While the above description shows that a wide range of plant functional factors can affect  $F_{\uparrow}$  at the leaf level, all is not lost in complexities. Photochemical and non-photochemical 375 quenching have a compensating effect on ChlaF emission and may facilitate the interpretation of 376 377 SIF dynamics (but may complicate the interpretation of SIF-GPP relationships, detailed discussion Sun et al., 2023b). Under steady state in natural conditions, NPQ and  $q_{LII}$  tend to 378 vary in opposite directions because more reduced PSII acceptors tend to be associated with 379 higher proton gradients across the thylakoid membrane and therefore higher NPQ. This means 380 that  $\Phi_{FII}$  is more stable than either NPQ or  $q_{LII}$  alone (Gu et al., 2019). Similarly,  $q_{LI}$  and  $q_7$ 381 should also tend to change in opposite directions (i.e., more open PSI reaction centers mean less 382 383 oxidized PSI donors), which may have implications for quantifying ChlaF emissions from PSI 384 (detailed discussion in SI-1).

The aforementioned leaf-level plant functions can vary considerably across the canopy, 385 driven by gradients in micro-environmental conditions (e.g., light, temperature, etc) within a 386 387 canopy and canopy structure (i.e., heterogeneity of foliar traits such as vertical distributions of 388 nutrients, pigments, morphology, age, etc., details in 2.3) within a canopy. For example, it is well 389 known that foliar nutrient contents and morphological characteristics (e.g., specific leaf area) 390 vary systematically across the depth of the canopy. These vertical gradients in foliar traits are 391 long-term adaptations to the background gradients in environmental conditions such as light 392 intensity, spectral composition, and temperature that exist inside the canopy (Coble et al., 2017). 393 The vertical gradients in the light intensity and its spectral composition can impact relative 394 contributions of PSII and PSI to ChlaF emission. Plant canopies not only attenuate light intensity 395 but also alter light spectrum because leaves absorb strongly in blue and red wavelengths but 396 scatter strongly in the green and far-red regions. As a result, the within-canopy light environment 397 is depleted in blue and red photons but enriched in green and far-red lights as compared to that in 398 open environments (Hertel et al., 2011). PSI is more sensitive to far-red light than PSII is. 399 Therefore as the canopy gets deeper, the light environment increasingly favors PSI (Anderson et al., 2008), which may lead to increasing contribution of PSI to  $F_{\uparrow}$ . Collectively, canopy structure 400 and spatial gradients in environmental conditions together determine the vertical variations in 401 leaf photosynthetic rates, NPQ,  $q_{LII}$ ,  $q_{LI}$ ,  $q_7$ ,  $\beta$  and hence  $F_{\uparrow}$ . 402

403 A particularly interesting but often overlooked issue is how sunflecks affect ChlaF 404 emission. Sunflecks are bursts of light intensity inside canopies where the light environment is normally shaded. These bursts are caused by canopy gaps and swinging upper canopies by winds 405 406 and can affect canopy photosynthesis significantly (Way & Pearcy, 2012). Because sunflecks are 407 short-lived and NPQ is not instantaneous (Kromdijk et al., 2016), NPQ might not be able to rise 408 fast enough to quench fluorescence when a sunfleck hits a leaf. As a result, sunflecks may contribute disproportionately to  $F_{\uparrow}$  via a short term (a few seconds) increase (i.e. the Kautsky 409 410 effect), an issue particularly important for plant breeding towards enhancing crop productivity 411 (Kromdijk et al., 2016).

#### 412 2.3 How do leaf and canopy structure influence SIF?

413 The internal structure and morphology of a leaf is as complex as that of a plant canopy.

Although leaves typically consist of three main tissues (epidermis, mesophyll, and vascular),
how these tissues are internally arranged and by what amount are determined by plant

415 how these dissues are internary arranged and by what amount are determined by plant 416 phylogenesis, locations in the canopy, foliar age before full development, and environmental

410 phylogenesis, locations in the callopy, tonar age before full development, and environment 417 conditions, with consequences on the scattering and absorption of both excitation light and

418 emitted SIF (the left column in Fig. 2).

419 At the sub-daily time scale, the variation in p amount is likely minor (Wickliff & 420 Aronoff, 1962), and dominated by changes in leaf carotenoid composition, which is involved 421 not only in light harvesting and excitation to chlorophylls but also in the xanthophyll cycle that 422 protects plants against photodamage under high light (Adams & Demmig-Adams, 1992). 423 Although leaf chlorophyll content *p* is not expected to vary diurnally, chloroplast movement 424 occurs at this time scale, leading to changes in excitation irradiance. At seasonal time scales, leaf chlorophyll a and b and carotenoid contents (bulk xanthophylls and zeaxanthin retention) can be 425 426 highly dynamic in response to the environment or plant phenology, especially for non-evergreen 427 species. For example, chlorophyll a and b are lower in young leaves, peaks in mature leaves, and 428 then decreases again as leaves senesce. This leaf age-related pattern closely matches that of leaf 429 nitrogen content and coordinates with photosynthetic capacity (Croft et al., 2017), ensuring that 430 light harvesting and carboxylation are in balance throughout the lifetime of a leaf. Leaf chlorophyll content also varies markedly across species (e.g., evergreen vs non-evergreen), even 431 432 at the same geographical/climatic regimes (Li, He, et al., 2018).

433 The effective absorption cross sections of photosynthetic pigment  $\sigma$  is influenced by 434 multiple leaf/canopy structural factors. For example, photosynthetic pigments are not distributed 435 uniformly on a plane that parallels the leaf surface, because pigments in chloroplast thylakoid 436 membranes form concentrated interconnected complexes (i.e., pigment packaging, which refers 437 to the spatial arrangement of pigment molecules, much like leaf clumping in a canopy) and 438 chloroplasts themselves are not uniformly distributed laterally (i.e., chloroplast positioning), 439 leading to the so-called sieve effect. The sieve effect reduces  $\sigma$ , which is in contrast to the detour 440 effect, which increases photon absorption due to multiple scattering inside leaf tissues 441 (Vogelmann, 1993). Furthermore, leaf anatomy can greatly affect the sieve and detour effects. 442 For example, leaves of most species are dorsiventral with chloroplast-rich palisade parenchyma 443 cells densely packed near the upper surface (the adaxial side) and the spongy mesophyll loosely 444 placed near the lower surface (the abaxial side). The dorsiventral leaves tend to orient more or less randomly around horizontal directions. Leaves that orient more vertically tend to have more 445 446 symmetrical tissue distributions (e.g., grasses, eucalyptus). Ustin & Jacquemoud (2020) provided 447 an excellent discussion on leaf anatomy in the context of leaf-level radiative transfer. Moreover, 448  $\sigma$  can vary vertically along the canopy due to changes in leaf inclination, pigment distribution, 449 and leaf age.

450 The escape probabilities  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$  for a single leaf depend not only on leaf pigment 451 content and composition, but also on leaf anatomy, incident light direction relative to the leaf 452 surface, and fraction of diffuse light, and is best estimated by a leaf/canopy RTM that treats a 453 leaf as a 1D or 3D structure. It is important to note that, although the morphological architecture 454 of leaves tends to remain stable once the leaf is fully developed, the arrangement and disposition 455 of photosynthetic elements within a canopy therein can be highly dynamic, even at sub-daily 456 scale. Chloroplast positions in mesophyll cells are controlled by chloroplast actin filaments, 457 which are extremely sensitive to the intensity of light. At low light, these filaments can guide chloroplasts to periclinal walls to maximize exposure to light while at high light they can 458 459 relocate the chloroplasts to anticlinal walls to reduce light exposure to avoid photodamage 460 (Wada, 2013). Similarly, the arrangement of thylakoids within the chloroplast, with dynamic grana stacking/unstacking will also influence  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$ , and also  $\sigma$ . 461

462 Overall, the presence of these factors means the leaf internal light intensity and spectral 463 composition is heterogeneous and dynamic. Also, leaves with the same chlorophyll content may 464 have different  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow}$ , and  $\sigma$  if their anatomy and chlorophyll packaging patterns (both at the scale 465 of chloroplasts and thylakoids) differ.

466 The effects of canopy structure on SIF are twofold. On the one hand, the internal 467 distribution of PAR over branches, needles, and leaves, which controls the excitation of ChlaF 468 emission, is determined by the penetration and scattering of light in the stand. On the other hand, 469 the probability that the ChlaF emission, which is produced in the stand and exits the canopy in 470 the viewing direction, is also determined by the vegetation structure and incident light direction 471 (Van der Tol et al., 2009). Thus, the optical properties of soil, wood, and leaves in both the 472 excitation and the emission spectral ranges affect canopy-leaving SIF. Fortunately, there is no 473 new physics involved in the theory of SIF radiative transfer. Our understanding regarding how 474 canopy structure affects radiative transfer of incoming solar radiation (Ross, 1981) can be 475 equally applied to radiative transfer of SIF, although the objectives of applying this theory differ 476 greatly between them. For solar radiative transfer, the source comes down from the top and we 477 are typically interested in how much solar radiation is absorbed and how much is reflected. For 478 fluorescence radiative transfer, the source is every leaf inside the canopy and much weaker, and 479 we are typically interested in how much  $Chl_aF$  emission escapes to the top of the canopy (TOC) 480 and what it can tell us about photochemical and biochemical processes inside the canopy. 481 Because of these differences, it is likely that fluorescence radiative transfer issues will require 482 more accurate considerations of canopy structural factors (leaf inclination/heliotropism, spatial 483 variations in pigment and nutrient contents, phenological stages/leaf age, leaf clumping, crown 484 shape, crop row orientation, canopy rugosity, porosity, roughness, etc., Fig. 2) than modeling solar radiative transfer inside plant canopies. The spatial arrangement of fluorescing and non-485 fluorescing foliage elements within a canopy may have a large influence on  $F_{\uparrow}$ . For example, 486 forests may appear 'darker' in terms of  $F_{\uparrow}$  than croplands (Colombo et al., 2018), not necessarily 487 because they emit less fluorescence, but because a portion of the ChlaF emission remains 488 489 'trapped' in the vegetation and is reabsorbed, and thus cannot be observed by the sensor.

490 Progress in SIF RTM of different complexity is summarized in 2.4.

# 491 2.4 Forward model parameterization of SIF and the associated processes in leaf/canopy 492 function/structure

493 Existing models that have SIF-simulating capability and progress made so far are

- 494 summarized in Table 1. Future theoretical innovations needed are discussed in <u>Section 4</u>.
- 495 Considering the complexity of interacting processes (i.e., the left and right columns in Fig. 2),

- 496 model parameterization can be distilled into a few key variables (i.e., the middle column in Fig.
- 497 2). Among these variables, p and LAI are either input or state variables of a dynamic vegetation
- 498 growth model;  $\sigma$  of a leaf and  $r_s$  can be simulated by leaf/canopy and soil RTM, respectively, or
- 499 prescribed as input spectra;  $\beta$  is often treated as a constant, i.e., ~0.5. The remaining quantities
- have to be explicitly formulated, which can be categorized into two groups: variables related to leaf-level physiological functions including NPQ,  $q_{LII}$ ,  $q_{LI}$ , and  $q_7$ , and variables determined
- by leaf/canopy radiative transfer, including  $I, \mathcal{E}_{\downarrow}, \mathcal{E}_{\downarrow}$ . All models with SIF-simulating capability
- 503 have to incorporate both leaf-level physiology of ChlaF emission and leaf/canopy RTM of solar
- radiation and SIF, but to varying degrees of parameterization complexity, computational
- 505 efficiency, and applicable scales (Table 1).
- **Leaf-level modeling of ChlaF emission:** Forward estimation of  $F_{\uparrow}$  requires the dynamic 506 responses of  $NPQ, q_{LII}, q_{LI}, q_7$ , and  $\beta$  to be known at each canopy depth, according to Eq 3. To 507 the best of our knowledge, no models have been developed for  $q_{LI}$ ,  $q_7$ , and  $\beta$ , therefore we here 508 focus on NPQ and  $q_{LII}$ , NPQ and  $q_{LII}$  are routinely measured with PAM fluorometry and 509 can be easily parameterized as an empirical function of environmental conditions (e.g., Han, 510 511 Chang, et al., 2022; Raczka et al., 2019; Serôdio & Lavaud, 2011; van der Tol et al., 2014). An 512 advantage of such simple models is that they can be coupled directly with Eq 3 to forwardcalculate  $F_{\uparrow}$ . Kinetic models of NPQ based on its regulation by lumen pH have also been 513 514 developed (e.g., Zaks et al., 2012). However, the latter models are probably too complex for 515 large-scale applications of SIF as they involve many parameters that cannot be estimated directly 516 at the leaf level. Recently there have been efforts in developing mechanistic closure solutions for NPQ and  $q_{LII}$  by modeling redox reactions along the electron transport chain (Gu et al. 2022). 517 These closure solutions will allow NPQ and  $q_{LII}$  to be resolved in a coupled system of 518
- 519 photophysics, photochemistry, and biochemistry of photosynthesis, as defined above.
- 520 Leaf/canopy-level RTM of SIF: The widely employed leaf-level RTM includes FluoMODleaf 521 and Fluspect (Pedrós et al., 2010; Vilfan et al., 2016, 2018). Dorsiventral (Stuckens et al., 2009) 522 or 3D leaf RTM (Govaerts et al., 1996) exist, but these do not include physiological 523 parameterization of ChlaF emission. At the canopy scale, FluorSAIL (Miller et al., 2005) and 524 Soil-Canopy Observation of Photochemistry and Energy (SCOPE) (Van der Tol et al., 2009) 525 were the first models to parameterize the absorption of PAR, as well as the ChlaF emission, 526 reabsorption, and scattering. These models employ the concept of the Scattering of Arbitrarily 527 Inclined Leaves (SAIL) model (Verhoef, 1984, 1985), a relatively simple stochastic model for 528 inclined leaves in stacked layers, which further extended to SIF radiative transfer. This type of 529 model treats the vegetation canopy as 1D horizontally homogeneous canopy, which is unable to 530 realistically characterize heterogeneous canopies that have complex architecture and species 531 composition. To address this issue, ray-tracing based models were developed to simulate 532 radiative transfer of SIF within 3D canopies. Such types of models, including DART, FluorWPS, 533 FluorFLIGHT, and FLiES (Table 1), are computationally more expensive; however, with Monte-534 Carlo approaches, their applicability for satellite measurements is foreseeable in the near future 535 (Wang et al. 2022). The recently developed FluorRTER model (Zeng et al., 2020), based on 536 spectral invariant theory, could be suitable for 3D heterogeneous canopies and is
- 537 computationally less demanding.
- 538 Among all these models, the 1D SCOPE model is the most widely used model in the SIF 539 research community, since it also includes full modules for calculating photosynthesis and

540 energy budget. It couples the leaf-level physiological module of ChlaF emission (Van der Tol et 541 al., 2014), the leaf-level RTM Fluspect (Vilfan et al., 2016, 2018), and the canopy-level RTM 542 SAIL (Verhoef, 1984, 1985), with subsequent updates to incorporate canopy vertical 543 heterogeneity and to improve computation efficiency (Yang, Prikaziuk, et al., 2021). SCOPE has 544 emerged as a standard tool (or a synthetic "virtual truth") for process interpretation (e.g., Verrelst 545 et al., 2015; Yang, Prikaziuk, et al., 2021) and for benchmarking other models, including both 546 large-scale Terrestrial Biosphere Models (TBMs)/ Land Surface Models (LSMs) (e.g., Li et al., 547 2022) and small-scale complex 3D models (e.g., Zeng et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2016). 548 Furthermore, SCOPE has been taken as the standard paradigm for parameterizing leaf-level 549 ChlaF emission and predominantly adopted (with varying actual implementations) by researchers into TBMs/LSMs (Parazoo et al., 2019). The basic strategy of SCOPE's leaf-level 550 ChlaF emission parameterization (Fig. S1) is to 1) compute  $k_N$  (the rate constant of NPQ) as an 551 empirical function of the degree of light saturation (derived from the actual and potential ETR), 552 553 which in turn 2) closes the system of equations (i.e., having the number of equations equal the number of unknowns) for calculating photochemistry, non-photochemical heat dissipation, and 554 PSII ChlaF emission according to the principle of energy conservation (i.e.,  $\Phi_{FII}$ ,  $q_{LII}$ , NPQ555 556 form a closed equation for PSII, and knowing any two of them is sufficient to resolving the third, assuming  $\Phi_{PSIIm}$  and  $k_{DF}$  are constants). This strategy, denoted as FvCB+  $k_N$ , has to compute 557 photosynthesis and actual ETR first, from FvCB, prior to derivation of  $k_N, NPQ$ , and SIF. It is 558 subject to uncertainties propagated from parameter uncertainties present in FvCB (Rogers et al., 559 2017; Walker et al., 2021) and the empirical NPQ model for computing  $k_N$ . Indeed, the wide 560 561 discrepancy of simulated SIF across TBMs/LSMs and deviations from observed SIF may result at least partly from these uncertainties (Parazoo et al., 2020; Yang, van der Tol, et al., 2021), as 562 563 each individual model has different actual implementation of FvCB and  $k_N$  formulations. 564 Moreover, this approach essentially conflicts with the original intention of using SIF in a forward 565 mode to curb uncertainties in current photosynthesis estimates from FvCB.

566 The level of detail of the canopy radiative transfer representation in RTM essentially 567 determines the computational demand and applicable scales (Table 1). For regional to global 568 applications, the 1D SCOPE model with multi-layer treatment is practically unmanageable due 569 to computational demand. Currently, global TBMs/LSMs usually employ the "big-leaf" strategy 570 to simplify the canopy RTM. In these models, the SIF anisotropy cannot be explicitly modeled 571 (Li et al., 2022), but most often treated as an empirical scaling factor derived from SCOPE ensemble simulations. Both SCOPE and the 3D family of models are capable of simulating the 572 anisotropy impact on  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  by explicitly specifying the sun-canopy-sensor geometry. The major 573 limitations of 3D models are the significant computational demands that prevent them from 574 575 global simulations, as well as required input of leaf/canopy structure/functional information that 576 are often challenging to obtain. Detailed description of the strengths and weaknesses of each 577 model is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of existing process-based models that have SIF-simulating capability.

Model	Leaf-level parameterization of Chl <i>a</i> F emission		Canopy RTM of SIF	Sun-canopy -sensor geometry	$\lambda_F$	Application	Pros	Cons	С Е^	Ref
	Leaf RTM	Biochemic al	I							
			3D (horizon	tally) heterog	geneous can	opy - small sca	le scenes			
DART <sup>#</sup>	Fluspect	None	Explicit modeling ba tracing	ased on 3D ray-	Full spectra	<ul> <li>Natural landscapes</li> <li>DART only: including urban landscapes</li> </ul>	• Suitable for small scale scenes with fine complex composition and structure	<ul> <li>Computationally still too demanding to be applied at large scale (&gt;100m), but more efficient approaches may emerge.</li> <li>Requiring accurate</li> </ul>		(Gastell u- Etchego rry et al., 2017)
FluorWPS	Fluspect	As a function of PAR <sup>&amp;</sup>	-				• DART only: Integration with Lidar	leaf/canopy structural/functional info as priori input, which are often challenging to obtain		(Zhao et al., 2016)
FluorFLIGHT#	Fluspect	None	-					<ul> <li>No leaf-level ChlaF</li> <li>emission formulation</li> <li>included (except FLiES)</li> <li>No vertical heterogeneity</li> <li>in vegetation structure</li> <li>Not vet thoroughly</li> </ul>		(Hernán dez- Clement e et al. 2017)
FLIES	FluoMODLeaf	f FVCB + $k_N$	-					validated with in-situ data		(Sakai et al., 2020)

FluorRTER	Fluspect	None	Explicit modeling	based on SRTE			Computationally more efficient than the ray- tracing approach • Potential for large-scale applications		( 2 2	(Zeng et al., 2020)
			1D (horizonta	ully) homogen	eous canopy	- point to land	scape scale			
SCOPE	Fluspect	FvCB + $k_N$	• Explicit modelin SAIL 4-stream ap • Multi-layer cano 10LAI) <sup>\$</sup>	ng based on oproach opy (nlayer =	Full spectra	<ul> <li>Process interpretation</li> <li>Benchmarking for both 3D and global TBMs/LSMs</li> </ul>	• Computationall y more efficient than 3D models • Vertical heterogeneity in biochemical and/or biophysical properties	• Not suitable for horizontally heterogeneous canopy, e.g., crops with row structure, forests with complex architecture • Requiring accurate site- specific leaf/canopy structural/functional info as priori input, which are often challenging to obtain • $k_N$ formulation empirical and susceptible to uncertainties in FvCB • Impact of biotic stress not represented		(Van der Tol et al., 2009, 2014; van der Tol et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2017; Yang, Prikaziu k, et al., 2021)
			1D (horizontally	y) homogeneo	us canopy -	global scale TE	BMs or LSMs			
BETHY + SCOPE	Fluspect	FvCB +*	• Multi-layer canopy (nlayer = 60)	<ul> <li>Not explicitly represented</li> <li>Only output</li> </ul>	• Single wavelength • A	• Global (forward) simulations of	• Computationally most efficient	• Uncertainties in model structure (formulations) and	( 6	(Koffi et al., 2015)

JSBACH	None	FvCB + <i>q</i> <sub>L11</sub>	<ul> <li>Multi-layer canopy (nlayer = 3)</li> <li>Assuming a constant exponential attenuation factor of Chl<i>a</i>F emission, calibrated to SCOPE simulations</li> </ul>	nadir and/or hemispherically -integrated TOC SIF (calibrated to SCOPE ensemble simulations) • <i>BETHY only</i> : No info provided	conversion factor calibrated to SCOPE ensemble simulations • <i>BETHY</i> only: No info provided on wavelength adjustment	SIF for comparison with in-situ and/or satellite SIF retrievals • Data assimilation by ingesting SIF measurements to constrain parameters and/or variables related to GPP simulations	for large-scale simulations • Vertical heterogeneity in biochemical/bio physical properties (for some models)	<ul> <li>parameters of FvCB, k<sub>N</sub>,</li> <li>SIF parameterizations for global PFTs</li> <li>Simplified SIF leaf-to-canopy RTM formulations</li> <li>Depend on external simulations of SCOPE for deriving simple conversion factors or parameterizations to account for escape probability at certain</li> </ul>		(Thum et al., 2017)
SiB*	None	FvCB +*	<ul> <li>One "big-leaf" model NOT separating sunlit and shaded portions</li> <li>Assuming a factor accounting for leaf to canopy scaling calibrated to SCOPE simulations</li> </ul>	• JSBACH only: No SIF magnitude, as no wavelength separation				viewing angle(s) and specific wavelength		(Haynes et al., 2020)
ORCHIDEE	None	1	• A simplified empirical model calibrated to SCOPE ensemble simulations	1					[	(Bacour et al., 2019)
BEPS	None		• Two "big-leaf" model accounting for sunlit and shaded portions • Exponential	7						(Cui et al., 2020; Qiu et al.,

	attenuation factor of Chl <i>a</i> F emission as a function of LAI and clumping index • Scattering factor of Chl <i>a</i> F emission as a function of LAI	2019)
CLM* None	<ul> <li>Two "big-leaf"</li> <li>Empirically</li> <li>model accounting</li> <li>represented</li> <li>Only output</li> <li>shaded portions</li> <li>OLM4:</li> <li>hemispherically</li> <li>Assuming a factor</li> <li>-integrated</li> <li>accounting for leaf</li> <li>TOC SIF</li> <li>to canopy scaling</li> <li>calibrated to</li> <li>SCOPE</li> <li>simulations</li> <li>CLM5: Separate</li> <li>calculation of</li> <li>canopy-level</li> <li>escape probability</li> <li>for sunlit and</li> <li>shaded portions</li> <li>according to Zeng</li> <li>et al. (2019)</li> <li>Empirically</li> </ul>	(Lee et al., 2015; Raczka et al., 2019; Li et al., 2022)

<sup>&</sup>Based on Rosema et al. (1998)

581 <sup>#</sup>RAdiation transfer Model Intercomparison (RAMI) participating model

582 \*Subjective to version differences and/or formulation variants

583 <sup>^</sup>CE denotes computational efficiency; models are broadly sorted in increasing order of CE, color-coded in a warm (low CE) to cold

584 (high CE) spectrum.

### 585 <sup>\$</sup>nlayer denotes number of canopy layers

#### 586 3. The inference question: What aspects of terrestrial ecosystem structure, function, and 587 service can be reliably inferred from remotely sensed SIF and how?

588 The relevance of SIF for inferring photosynthesis and the related ecosystem structural and

- functional information rests on the fact that ChlaF emission is directly coupled to the actual 589 linear ETR from PSII to PSI (Gu et al., 2019). However, the canopy-leaving  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  (or more 590
- broadly  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  needs to be converted to  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$ , prior to any meaningful inference of 591
- ecosystem structure or function. In the following, we first summarize current approaches that 592
- infer  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$  from  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  or  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  (3.1), and then present the full equations to estimate the 593
- actual ETR and GPP utilizing ChlaF emission as input (3.2). Finally, we develop a "toy" model 594
- as an analytical framework (3.3), which not only offers direct mechanistic insights on 595
- interpreting the relationship between  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  and GPP at varying spatiotemporal scales or under 596
- different environmental conditions, but also enables a practical solution to compute 597
- regional/global GPP by taking remotely-sensed  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  as input. Note in this paper,  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  and 598

 $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  denote canopy-leaving SIF at TOC, which are assumed to be identical to the at-sensor SIF 599

600 signal, i.e., negligible atmospheric absorption/scattering from the atmospheric column between

601 TOC and the observing instrument, which is a reasonable assumption for solar Fraunhofer-line

- 602 based SIF retrievals (Chang et al., 2020; Frankenberg et al., 2012).
- **3.1 Inferring**  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$  from  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  or  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$ 603
- There are two common approaches to infer  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$ . The **first** attempts to estimate the fluorescence escape probability  $f^{esc}(\lambda_F) = \frac{F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)}{F_{eT}(\lambda_F)}$  escaping out of TOC (viewed from nadir), 604 605 from the measured TOC reflectance  $R(\lambda_F)$ . More commonly for spaceborne measurements, the 606 directional TOC SIF radiance (and also the directional TOC reflectance) at sun-canopy-sensor 607 geometry  $\Omega \uparrow$  is acquired, i.e.,  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$ ; therefore the fluorescence escape probability is  $\Omega \uparrow_{-}$   $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(\lambda_F) = \frac{F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)}{F_{eT}(\lambda_F)}$ . The term 'escape probability' originated from 608
- 609
- recollision theory (Stenberg 2007; Knyazikhin et al., 2011), and appears to exhibit a red edge 610

pattern very similar to reflectance (Fig. 3). Therefore, this approach takes advantage of the 611

- 612 similarity of photon interception and scattering behaviors between ChlaF emission and excitation
- 613 irradiance (i.e., for paths after first interaction with leaves and inducing  $Chl_aF$  emission) within a
- canopy (Fig. 3; Yang and van der Tol 2018). As directional TOC reflectance is widely available, 614
- facilitating this type of approach is a practical way to approximate  $f^{esc}$  or  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$ . 615



#### 616

**Fig. 3**. Similarity between TOC fluorescence escape probability  $f^{esc}$  and reflectance. (a) A 617 618 diagram illustrating the radiative transfer paths of incident solar radiation and SIF within a canopy, adopted from Yang & van der Tol (2018). Definition of symbols is in Table S1. Orange, 619 620 black, and red arrows represent incoming solar radiation, reflected/transmitted solar radiation, 621 reflected/transmitted fluorescence, respectively.  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  denote leaf reflectance and transmittance 622 respectively;  $\rho_f$  and  $\tau_f$  denote the relative partitioning of ChlaF emission in the backward and forward direction respectively;  $i_0$  is the canopy interceptance. (b)  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$  and reflectance  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}$  as a 623 function of wavelength simulated with SCOPE2.1 for a homogeneous C3 crop canopy viewed 624 625 from nadir (detailed model parameter setup in Table S2).

626 Yang & van der Tol (2018) demonstrated that irrespective of the complexity of radiative 627 transfer, the relationship between  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(\lambda_F)$  and  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  of a canopy over a black soil (i.e., 628  $r_s = 0$ ) can be expressed as:

$$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(\lambda_F) = \frac{F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda)}{F_{eT}(\lambda_F)} = \frac{R_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)}{i_0 \cdot \omega(\lambda_F)}$$
(4)

here  $i_0$  is the canopy interceptance (depending on canopy gap fraction, unitless), and  $\omega$  is leaf 630 scattering coefficients (i.e., the sum of leaf reflectance  $\rho$  and transmittance  $\tau$ , unitless). Eq 4 631 indicates that canopy reflectance  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  can serve as a practical solution to 'correct'  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$ 632 for structure related effects that may otherwise overshadow those of quenching mechanisms of 633 ChlaF emission. Eq 4 is the theoretical foundation for following derivations and implementations 634 635 of varying forms, i.e., Eqs 5a-h summarized in Table 2. However, there are two caveats in Eq 4. First,  $i_0$  and  $\omega$  may not be accurately known as *a priori*; second,  $r_s$  is assumed as zero, which in 636 reality may not be the case and can contribute to  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  but not to ChlaF emission. 637

To address the first caveat, Yang et al. (2020) developed the Fluorescence Correction Vegetation Index (FCVI) (Eq 5b), the product of the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation fPAR and  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(\lambda_F)$ , based on the radiative transfer theory. Here  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(vis)$  is the broadband visible directional reflectance over the PAR spectral range, and  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR)$  is directional reflectance over the range of the NIR plateau (~750-900nm). FVCI quantifies the 643 combined effect of PAR absorption and SIF scattering, therefore accounting for the aggregated644 effect of leaf/canopy structure on SIF.

645 To address the second caveat, Zeng et al. (2019) proposed to use NDVI to differentiate 646  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR)$  of pure vegetation from soil, which does not contribute to Chl*a*F emission but 647 impacts  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR)$ , i.e., Eq 5f.

Note Eqs 4-5 are only valid when the sun-canopy-sensor geometries  $\Omega$  fare identical 648 between far-red SIF and reflectance (i.e., measured at the same time from the same platform in 649 650 practice). Furthermore, Eq 4 (and therefore Eqs 5a-d, f-g) is valid only for far-red SIF but not for 651 red SIF, likely due to the asymmetry in the relative partitioning of scattering over two sides of a leaf (i.e.,  $\rho$  vs  $\tau$ ) between incident solar radiation and ChlaF emission in the red region (Yang & 652 653 van der Tol, 2018) and the significantly more re-absorption of ChlaF emission at red within a canopy. To remedy this issue, Liu et al. (2020) extends the  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$  formulation to red SIF (Eq. 5e) 654 using empirical approximation of  $NDVI^2$  to mitigate soil contamination. Strictly speaking,  $R_{\Omega\uparrow}$ 655 and  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  should be at the same wavelength  $\lambda_F$ , which in practice, are unfortunately not available 656 if they are from different spaceborne instruments. Therefore, there is often a spectral mismatch 657 between the far-red SIF and reflectance at NIR (e.g., Zeng et al., 2019). Other variants of 658  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(\lambda_F)$  formulations and their corresponding caveats are summarized in Table 2. 659

### 660 Table 2. Summary of approaches developed to estimate $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$ and concurrently to correct the BRDF (Bidirectional Reflectance 661 Distribution Function) effect of $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$ .

Approach	$\lambda_F$	Pros	Cons	Ref	SIF data	Reflectance data						
Simple index based on reflectance and spectral invariant theory (analytical solution)												
$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(\lambda_F) = \frac{R_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)}{i_0 \cdot \omega(\lambda_F)} \tag{4}$	far-red	C1; D1	T1, T2, T3; P1, P2, P3	Yang and van der Tol, 2018	Synthetic	Synthetic						
$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(fr) = \frac{R_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR)}{i_0 \cdot \omega(NIR)} $ (5a)	far-red	C1; D3, D4, D5; Mitigating T1, P1, P2	T2, T3; P3; S8	Zhang et al., 2019	TROPOMI	TROPOMI						
$FCVI_{\Omega\uparrow}(fr) = fPAR \cdot f^{esc}_{\Omega\uparrow}(fr)$ = $R_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR) - R_{\Omega\uparrow}(v\bar{i}s)$ (5b)	far-red	C1; D1, D2, D4	T1, T2, T3; P3; S1, S3	Yang et al. 2020	In-situ	In-situ						
$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(fr) = \frac{BRF_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR) \cdot NDVI}{i_0 \cdot \omega(NIR)} $ (5c)	far-red	D1, D2, D3, D4, D5; Mitigating T1, P1, P2	T1, T2, T3; P3; S2	Zhang et al., 2020	In-situ; OCO-2	In-situ; OCO- 2						
$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(fr) = \frac{BRF_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR) \cdot NDVI}{fPAR} $ (56)	) far-red	D1, D2, D4, D5; Mitigating T1, P1, P2	T1, T2, T3; P3; S1, S2	Liu et al.2020	In-situ	In-situ						
$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(r) = \frac{BRF_{\Omega\uparrow}(r) \cdot NDVI^2}{fPAR} $ (5e)	red	D1, D2, D4, D5; Mitigating T1, P1, P2	T2, T3; P3; S1, S2, S6	Liu et al., 2020	In-situ	In-situ						

$f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}(fr) = \frac{R_{\Omega\uparrow}(NIR) \cdot NDVI}{fPAR}$ $= \frac{NIRv_{\Omega\uparrow}}{fPAR} $ (5f)	far-red	D1, D2, D4, D5; Mitigating T1	T2, T3; P1, P2, P3; S1, S2	Zeng et al., 2019	Synthetic; TROPOMI	Synthetic; MODIS			
$F_{\Omega 1}(fr) = F_{\Omega 2}(fr) \cdot \frac{NIRv_{\Omega 2}}{NIRv_{\Omega 1}} $ (5g)	far-red	D2, D4, D5; Mitigating P1	T2, T3; P1, P2, P3; S2, S3, S4, S5	Hao, Asrar, et al.2021; Hao, Zeng, et al., 2021; Hao et al., 2022	In-situ; OCO-2; TROPOMI	In-situ; MODIS			
$F_{\Omega 1}(r) = F_{\Omega 2}(r) \cdot \frac{R_{\Omega 2}(r)}{R_{\Omega 1}(r)} $ (5h)	red	D2, D4, D5; Mitigating P1	T2, T3; P1, P2, P3; S2, S3, S4, S5, S6	Hao, Zeng, et al., 2021; Hao et al., 2022	In-situ	In-situ			
Kernel-driven approach	red, far- red	D4, D5	S3, S7	Hao, Zeng, et al., 2021; Hao et al., 2022	In-situ; TROPOMI	In-situ; MODIS			
Explicit RTM model (numerical solution)									
A geometric-optical bidirectional model (simplified) accounting for separation of sunlit and shaded portions	far-red	<ul> <li>Theoretically rigorous derivation based on the geometric-optical bidirectional reflectance approach</li> <li>Considering clumping index</li> <li>Computationally affordable for global applications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assumption of constant sunlit vs shaded fractions</li> <li>Theoretically valid for far- red only</li> </ul>	He et al., 2017	GOME-2	NA			
		Data-driven approach							

Random forest with directional reflectances from red, red-edge, and far-red as input		red, far- red	<ul> <li>Computationally efficient</li> <li>Training data from synthetic data generated from model simulations, relaxing the dependance on extensive observational data for training</li> <li>Not requiring wavelength consistency between reflectance and SIF</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The global scalability is limited, as the machine learning type approach is known for weak capability for extrapolation</li> <li>Uncertainties in training data propagated from uncertainties in structural/parameter models that are used for generating synthetic data</li> </ul>	Liu et al., 2018	<i>In-situ</i> ; HyPlant	<i>In-situ</i> ; HyPlant	
662 Note: $fr$ and $RPF$ denotes the formula of th	d $r$ denote far-red and red flucture hidirectional reflector of	orescen	ce wavelengths respectively; $v\bar{i}s$	means integrated over the	PAR spec	tral range;		
664       • C1:         665       • T1:         666       • T2:         667       refle         668       • T3:         669       • D1:         670       • D2:         671       • D3:         672       • D4:         673       • D5:         674       • P1:         675       mea         676       • P2:         677       diffe         678       • P3:         679       • S1:         680       • S2:         681       "pun         682       • S3:	<ul> <li><i>BRP</i> denotes bidirectional reflectance factor.</li> <li>C1: Theoretically rigorous derivation based on spectral invariant RTM theory</li> <li>T1: Theoretically valid for black soil background only</li> <li>T2: Theoretically valid for far-red only, as the required assumption of the same partitioning between transmittance (forward) vs reflectance (backward) of PAR and forward vs backward ChlaF emission only valid at far-red</li> <li>T3: ChlaF emission excited by scattered PAR omitted in the theoretical derivation</li> <li>D1: Computational simplicity and efficiency</li> <li>D2: Required input widely available from existing spaceborne measurements</li> <li>D3: Considering impact of clumping index on canopy interceptance</li> <li>D4: Applicable to ecosystems with moderate to dense vegetation coverage</li> <li>D5: Possibly applicable to ecosystems with sparse vegetation coverage</li> <li>P1: Requiring identical sun-canopy-sensor geometry between far-red SIF and reflectance, currently challenging to obtain from spaceborne measurements from different platform/instruments</li> <li>P2: Requiring identical wavelength between far-red SIF and reflectance, which can be challenging for spaceborne measurements from different platform/instruments</li> <li>P3: No direct measurements of interceptance, which requires approximation</li> <li>S1: Approximation of <i>f P AR</i> chul (<i>f P AR</i> from chlorophyll only) as <i>f P AR</i>.</li> <li>S2: NDVI taken as a proxy of pure vegetation signal, excluding the soil effect on NIR reflectance, while NDVI not a perfect measure for "pure" vegetation</li> </ul>							

- S4: Only view angle, not solar angle
- 684 S5: Requiring kernel-based BRDF model
- 685 S6: Theoretical derivation involving many empirical approximation
- 686 S7: Requiring multi-angle SIF measurements
- S8: Spaceborne reflected radiance not atmospherically corrected, affecting BRF calculation

688 The **second** type of approach relies on RTMs (Table 1) to numerically solve  $F_{eT}$  (e.g., Celesti et 689 al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019), often with reflectance spectra as input to anchor the leaf/canopy

structural parameters/variables that are required to invert RTMs. This approach may be feasible

691 at the field or landscape scale but can be computationally formidable at regional and global

692 scales. The FluorRTER RTM, with promising computational efficiency, offers potential to

693 correct  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$  of 3D canopies for airborne and satellite retrievals.

694 Other approaches to estimate  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$  include data-driven (Liu, Liu et al., 2018) and kernel-695 driven approaches, which can effectively normalize  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}$  into hotspot or nadir viewing directions 696 if multi-angular SIF measurements are available (Hao, Asrar, et al., 2021; Hao et al., 2022; Hao, 697 Zeng et al. 2021)

697 Zeng, et al., 2021).

#### 698 **3.2** The full equation: Deriving the canopy-level ETR and GPP

699 The total ChlaF emission consists of contributions from both PSII and PSI. Since the PSII

roo emission dominates, and it can be easily probed with PAM fluorometry, Gu et al. (2019) related

701 linear ETR and GPP to the PSII component of the total ChlaF emission. Further, as

photochemistry, non-photochemical heat dissipation, and PSII ChlaF emission form a closed

system according to the principle of energy conservation, the relationship between the actual

104 linear ETR ( $J_a$ , µmol m<sup>-2</sup> leaf area s<sup>-1</sup>) and the PSII Chl*a*F emission can be expressed in terms of

either redox states of PSII ( $q_{LII}$ ) or NPQ. Note  $J_a$  refers to the actual ETR instead of the

potential ETR  $(J_p)$  commonly used in the FvCB photosynthesis model (Farquhar et al., 1980).

707 We derive the canopy-level total actual ETR (denoted as  $J_{aT}$ , µmol m<sup>-2</sup> ground area s<sup>-1</sup>) based on

708 *QLII* (Gu et al., 2019; Eq 21 therein).

709

$$J_{aT} = \int_{0}^{LAI} J_{a}(L)dL$$
  
=  $\frac{\Phi_{PSIIm}(1+k_{DF})}{1-\Phi_{PSIIm}} \int_{0}^{LAI} p(L)q_{LII}(L) \int_{\lambda_{Fmin}}^{\lambda_{Fmax}} \int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_{F}} \Phi_{FII}(L)s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\beta(L,\lambda_{I})\sigma(L,\lambda_{I})I(L,\lambda_{I})d\lambda_{I}d\lambda_{F}dL$   
(6)

711

710

712 Here  $\lambda_{Fmin}$  and  $\lambda_{Fmax}$  denote the minimum and maximum wavelengths of Chl*a*F emission.

713 Further, GPP can be calculated by assuming: (1) all electrons from PSII are consumed 714 either in carboxylation (CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation) or oxygenation (photorespiration), and alternative 715 electron sinks such as nitrate reduction and Mehler reaction are negligibly small (Alric & 716 Johnson, 2017); and (2) the light-carbon reactions are in perfect balance (Gu et al., 2019; Han, 717 Chang, et al., 2022). These two assumptions are fairly accurate under normal conditions but may 718 be violated when plants are under stress (Tcherkez & Limami, 2019). For example, if drought 719 and heat stresses force stomatal closure when sunlight intensity is still high, a proportion of the 720 liner electrons may flow to oxygen to form reactive oxygen species, rather than to NADP+ for 721 carbon assimilation, which may break these two assumptions. To calculate GPP, one must 722 further decide whether the carboxylation is limited by the supply of reduced power NADPH or 723 energy currency ATP. In typical applications of FvCB, NADPH is assumed to be limiting, which

is adopted here to calculate the GPP of a canopy (denoted as  $GPP_T$ , µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> ground area 724 725 s<sup>-1</sup>):

726

$$GPP_{T} \begin{cases} = \int_{0}^{LAI} \frac{C_{c}(L) - \Gamma^{*}(L)}{4C_{c}(L) + 8\Gamma^{*}(L)} J_{a}(L) dL \\ = \frac{\Phi_{PSIIm}(1+k_{DF})}{1 - \Phi_{PSIIm}} \int_{0}^{LAI} \frac{C_{c}(L) - \Gamma^{*}(L)}{4C_{c}(L) + 8\Gamma^{*}(L)} q_{LII}(L) \int_{\lambda_{Fmin}}^{\lambda_{Fmax}} \int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_{F}} \Phi_{FII}(L) s_{II}(\lambda_{F}) \beta(L, \lambda_{I}) \sigma(L, \lambda_{I}) I(L, \lambda_{I}) d\lambda_{I} d\lambda_{F} dL \quad (C3) \text{ (a)} \\ = \int_{0}^{LAI} \frac{1 - x}{3} J_{a}(L) dL \\ = \frac{\Phi_{PSIIm}(1+k_{DF})}{1 - \Phi_{PSIIm}} \frac{1 - x}{3} \int_{0}^{LAI} q_{LII}(L) \int_{\lambda_{Fmin}}^{\lambda_{Fmax}} \int_{\lambda_{Imin}}^{\lambda_{F}} \Phi_{FII}(L) s_{II}(\lambda_{F}) \beta(L, \lambda_{I}) \sigma(L, \lambda_{I}) I(L, \lambda_{I}) d\lambda_{I} d\lambda_{F} dL \quad (C4) \text{ (b)} \end{cases}$$

729 (7)

Here  $C_c$  (Pa) is the CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure in the stroma of chloroplast,  $\Gamma^*$  (Pa) is the CO<sub>2</sub> 730

731 compensation point in the absence of day respiration, and x (unitless) is the fraction of total

732 electron transport of mesophyll and bundle sheath allocated to mesophyll (for C4 plants only).

Eqs 6-7 are the full equations to derive canopy-level ETR and GPP from ChlaF emission. Here 733

734 *QLII* (or NPQ) must be modeled independently in order to close the system, which remains as a

735 major theoretical gap in current literature (2.4 and 4.1).

#### 3.3 A toy model: Analytical solutions of canopy-level ETR and GPP from $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$ 736

Comparison of Eqs 6-7 with 1-3 reveals that it is not straightforward to directly apply either 737  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  or  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  or even  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$  to estimate  $J_{aT}$  or  $GPP_T$ , as Eqs 6-7 require information 738 739 on vertical distribution of ChlaF emission that are determined by variations in canopy structure/functions (Fig. 2). Therefore it is not conducive to directly employ Eqs 6-7 to compute 740  $J_{aT}$  or  $GPP_T$  analytically. To enable an analytical solution, we develop a toy model by 741 simplifying Eq 3. Note here we utilize  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  for demonstration; a corresponding formulation 742 based on  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  can be similarly derived (or converting  $F_{\Omega\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  to  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  as a prior step). 743 The major assumption to facilitate this simplification is that attenuation of emitted SIF and 744 745 incoming PAR inside a canopy can be characterized with Beer's law (a commonly used strategy 746 in global TBMs/LSMs). The toy model reads below (detailed derivation and other assumptions 747 involved are provided in SI-6-8):

$$F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_{F}) = \underbrace{\varepsilon_{\uparrow 0}(\lambda_{F}) \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI}}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \frac{\varepsilon_{\downarrow 0}(\lambda_{F})r_{s}(\lambda_{F})\left[e^{-2k_{\lambda_{F}}LAI} - e^{-(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI}\right]}{(k_{PAR} - k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} \right\}}_{\text{Mean ChiaF yield}} \times \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})(1 - \bar{\beta})\right]}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})(1 - \bar{\beta})\right]}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})(1 - \bar{\beta})\right]}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})(1 - \bar{\beta})\right]}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})(1 - \bar{\beta})\right]}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})(1 - \bar{\beta})\right]}{(k_{PAR} + k_{\lambda_{F}})LAI} + \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\bar{\Phi}_{FII}s_{II}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{FI})\bar{\beta} + \bar{\Phi}_{FI}s_{I}(\lambda_{F}$$

$$J_{aT} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{k_{\lambda_F}}{k_{PAR}} + 1\right)\left[1 - e^{-(b+1)k_{PAR}LAI}\right]}_{\text{Structure}} \times \underbrace{\frac{\Phi_{PSIIm}(1+k_{DF})}{1 - \Phi_{PSIIm}}}_{\text{Constant}} \times \underbrace{\frac{\frac{aPAR_0^b}{b+1}}{s_{II}(\lambda_F) + \zeta s_I(\lambda_F)\frac{1-\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\beta}}}}_{\text{ChlaF weighting factor}} \times F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$$

$$750 \qquad 751 \quad (9)$$

752

 $GPP_{T} = \frac{\left(\frac{k_{\lambda_{F}}}{k_{PAR}} + 1\right)\left[1 - e^{-(b+1)k_{PAR}LAI}\right]}{\varepsilon_{\uparrow 0}(\lambda_{F})\left[1 - e^{-(k_{\lambda_{F}} + k_{PAR})LAI}\right]} \times \underbrace{\frac{\Phi_{PSIIm}(1 + k_{DF})}{1 - \Phi_{PSIIm}}}_{\text{Constant}} \times \underbrace{\frac{\frac{aPAR_{0}^{b}}{b+1}}{\varepsilon_{II}(\lambda_{F}) + \zeta s_{I}(\lambda_{F})}}_{\text{ChlaF weighting facto}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{C_{c} - \Gamma^{*}}{4C_{c} + 8\Gamma^{*}} & (C3) (a) \\ \frac{1 - x}{3} & (C4) (b) \end{cases}$ 753 (10)

Here  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow 0}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\downarrow 0}$  denote the upward/downward escape probability of ChlaF emission for an 755 756 infinitesimally thin leaf layer at TOC/BOC respectively; and b are empirical parameters for calculating  $q_{LII}$  as a function of PAR;  $\bar{\Phi}_{FII}$  and  $\bar{\Phi}_{FI}$  denote the canopy-level fluorescence 757 quantum yield of PSII and PSI respectively under steady state;  $\bar{p}$  denotes the mean 758 photosynthetic pigment content of the canopy;  $\beta$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  are the canopy-mean broadband  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$ 759 (i.e., integrated over the PAR spectral range 400 to 700nm) respectively. 760

Eq 8 represents a minimalistic model at the canopy level, which reveals that  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  is 761 affected by three groups of factors: leaf/canopy structure, the quantum yield of ChlaF emission 762 (averaged between PSII and PSI), and light harvesting. The light harvested is the product of  $\bar{p}, \bar{\sigma}$ , 763 and incident light intensity at TOC, i.e.,  $PAR_0$ . The impact of leaf/canopy functions on ChlaF 764 emission is represented by their impact on the mean quantum yield of ChlaF emission of a 765 766 canopy. The canopy structure factor accounts for variations in the spatial display of photosynthetic pigments (e.g., leaf orientation, vertical layering, pigment packaging, canopy 767 rugosity, or porosity, etc, Fig. 2) that affects the light extinction coefficients of both ChlaF 768 emission (denoted as  $k_{\lambda F}$ ) and intercepted irradiance for excitation (denoted as  $k_{PAR}$ ). This toy 769 model illustrates the joint control of leaf/canopy structure and functions as well as light 770 harvesting on  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$ . For example, two canopies with the same  $\bar{p}$  can differ in  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  if they 771 differ in canopy/leaf structure or the mean quantum yield of ChlaF emission. This toy model is 772 applicable for guiding process diagnosis and interpretation or knowledge inference on what 773 structural and functional information can be inferred from  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  (Sun et al., 2023b). We note 774 that Eq 8 can be applied to a leaf by setting LAI = 1 and  $r_s = 0$  (derivation in SI-6). Eqs 8 and 775 S25 show that, even with considerable simplifications, additional inputs or constraints are always 776 777 needed to reduce the degree of freedom to infer any structural or functional information from the

observed  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  at the canopy or even the leaf level. What additional inputs are available determine how  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  should be used and the level of complexity of such usage.

780 Eqs 9-10 present the analytical solution of canopy-level ETR and GPP utilizing at-sensor  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  as input, facilitating a forward calculation of these quantities that are not subject to 781 existing uncertainties in the full FvCB model and/or  $k_N$  formulations (i.e., the NPO-based 782 strategy). Parameters in these equations can be estimated from vertically distributed 783 784 measurements of light attenuation, leaf PAM fluorometry and gas exchange. Moreover, Eqs 9-10 breaks  $J_{aT}$  and  $GPP_T$  into components of structure, a ChlaF weighting factor, and CO<sub>2</sub> 785 diffusion (e<sup>-</sup> use efficiency, for C3 only). Note that the toy model explicitly models  $\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$  assuming 786 it complies with Beer's law, and therefore does not have to separately correct  $f^{esc}$  before-hand. 787 788 such as in 3.1. The system of Eqs 8-10 directly reveals what variables/parameters impact SIF and 789 its relationship with GPP, in a more explicit fashion than the conventional light use efficiency 790 (LUE) model. These analytical equations (along with those in SI) can be used to guide 791 interpretation of SIF-GPP relationships, applications of SIF to different sectors under climate 792 change, and innovations in observational instrumentation/setup (details in the companion paper, 793 Sun et al., 2023b).

794 On the other hand, Eq 10 also suggests modeling GPP from at-sensor SIF is complex. Although the community shares the hope of utilizing remotely-sensed SIF to radically reduce the 795 796 long-standing uncertainty in GPP estimates, we must acknowledge (from Eq 10): 1) SIF is not GPP, and 2) SIF is not a panacea to fix all issues (e.g., LAI,  $V_{cmax}$ , etc) that remain major 797 798 contributors to the uncertainty in GPP estimation. First, the whole SIF dynamics is nonlinear 799 (Eqs 3, 6, 7) which includes convoluted multiplications, integration, etc; hence integrated 800 information in SIF (the direct observable) does not equal the integrated information in GPP (our 801 target variable). Second, SIF is influenced by many factors that are shared with GPP (i.e., LAI, leaf angle,  $V_{cmax}$ , environmental forcings), so it can to some extent integrate over the dynamic 802 physiological complexities of photosynthesis, and may offer a shortcut to model GPP bypassing 803 some of the uncertainties in individual factors (e.g.,  $V_{cmax}$  disappears in Eq. 10, Han, Chang, et 804 al., 2022). However, LAI and clumping effect are still required in modeling GPP even though 805 their impact is already (partly) incorporated by  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$ . 806

# 4. Innovations: What innovations are needed to realize the full potential of SIF remote sensing for real-world applications under climate change?

809 Moving forward, to jigsaw individual "puzzle" pieces (i.e., the six blind men and the elephant)

810 into holistic and insightful mosaics (via synthesis and synergy) towards the ultimate goal of

811 depicting a full picture of the elephant, innovations are required in both theory development and

- 812 observing technology (Sun et al., 2023b). Innovations in these aspects should fill existing
- theoretical and data gaps that currently challenge applications (summarized in Fig. 4). Below we
- summarize existing theoretical gaps (4.1, Fig 4), followed with our insights on potential
- 815 innovative solutions to address them  $(\underline{4.2}-\underline{4.3})$  guided by the analytical framework developed

- 816 above. Data gaps and corresponding innovative solutions are discussed in the companion data-
- 817 perspective paper (Sun et al., 2023b).
- 818



819

- Fig. 4. Existing theoretical and data gaps through the lens of applications (Sun et al., 2023b), and
  potential solutions moving forward. This paper focuses on the theoretical side (the right columns
  bighlighted in dark color) of this diagram. NEE: not account and acc
- highlighted in dark color) of this diagram. NEE: net ecosystem exchange.

### 823 4.1 Theoretical gaps

- 824 Our derivations of the equations governing SIF dynamics (Eq 3) and relationships with key
- ecophysiological variables (Eqs 6-10) (e.g., photosynthetic pigment, ETR, and GPP) point to
  where theoretical gaps exist and provide guidance on connecting individual dots into a complete
  picture across scales (Fig. 4). These gaps are not independent and filling them requires advances
  in broader areas of photosynthesis and ecological research.

The redox states of photosystems (i.e., *QLII*, *QLI*, *QT*), as well regulated and unregulated 829 heat dissipations (i.e., NPQ and NPQ7), play central roles in the dynamics of SIF and its 830 relationships with pigment content, ETR, and GPP. It is difficult to utilize the full potential of 831 832 SIF for ecophysiological applications without thoroughly understanding and modeling how 833 redox state and NPQ processes affect the ChlaF emission (Eq 3). Either the redox states or NPQ must be known in order to utilize SIF to predict electron transport or GPP (Gu et al., 2019). The 834 redox states and magnitudes of varoius heat dissipation pathways are an outcome of complex 835 feedforward and feedback processes of photophysics, photochemistry, and biochemistry of 836 photosynthesis. NPQ,  $q_{LII}$ ,  $q_{LI}$ , and  $q_7$  are sensitive to environmental stress and affected by 837

- 838 photodamage and photoinhibition, and change with phenology. The variations of NPQ and  $q_{LII}$
- have often been studied by decomposing them into a sustainable (photo-inhibited) component
- and a reversible component (Porcar-Castell, 2011; Raczka et al., 2019; Tietz et al., 2017). The
- 841 presence of photo-inhibited components increases NPQ, and decreases  $q_{LII}$  and  $\Phi_{PSIIm}$ .
- 842 Although the redox state and NPQ of PSII are routinely measured by PAM fluorometry and
- 843 studied extensively, we currently still lack broadly applicable and mechanistically sound models
- to represent their dynamics in natural envionments. In particular, compared with our knowledgeabout the control of PSII redox states and NPQ, we currently know little about the control of PSI
- redox states and heat dissipation processes due to lack of measurements.

847 **Nutrient content:** Typically, the impact of nutrient contents on photosynthesis is 848 investigated in terms of their relationship with photosynthetic capacity parameters such as the maximal carboxylation rate  $V_{cmax}$  and maximal potential electron transport rate  $J_{max}$ . For the 849 850 applications of SIF, it is important to understand the mechanistic basis of the impact of nutrient availability on these photosynthetic capacity parameters. This is particularly important for  $J_{max}$ 851 852 because electron transport (photochemistry) directly competes with SIF emission for energy partitioning. While the mechanism for the dependence of  $V_{cmax}$  on nutrient content is fairly well 853 854 understood (e.g., Rubisco abundance depends on leaf nitrogen content LNC), how nutrient content mechanistically affects  $J_{max}$  is not clear, even though  $J_{max}$  and  $V_{cmax}$  exhibits empirical 855 linear relationships (Wullschleger, S. D. 1993; Kattge and Knorr 2007). The "coordination 856 857 theory" hypothesizes that plants can optimize LNC to balance Rubisco- and RuBP regeneration-858 limited carboxylation rates (Chen et al., 1993; Wang, Prentice, Keenan, et al., 2017), alluding the linkage between LNC and  $J_{max}$ . From the light reaction side, It has been reported that under the 859 same environmental conditions, leaves with different nutrient contents may have different NPQ860 (Cheng, 2003) and *QLII*. Also, foliar chlorophyll content depends on nutrient contents (Croft et 861 862 al., 2017). It is likely that the foliar abundances of PSII and PSI and the stoichiometry between 863 them also depend on nutrient availability; however, studies addressing this are rare.

864 State transition refers to the migration of mobile light-harvesting complexes II (LHCIIs) and thus the redistribution/rebalancing of energy absorption and excitation between PSII and PSI 865 (for a review, see Minagawa (2011)). This process results in a dynamic adjustment of  $\beta$ . The 866 energy balance between PSII and PSI is essential for the photosynthetic machinery to operate 867 868 safely in fluctuating environments because these two types of photosystems are connected in 869 series and the energy level of electrons transferred from PSII to PSI needs to be elevated by 870 photons absorbed by the light harvesting complex of PSI. Thus, any imbalance between them can 871 disrupt electron flow from PSII to PSI and to the eventual electron acceptor NADP+. When light 872 regimes favor PSI, mobile LHCIIs in their de-phosphorylated form are attached to PSII, thus 873 boosting its light harvesting and excitation. This condition is known as State 1. When light 874 regimes change such that PSII is favored, mobile LHCIIs are phosphorylated and move to PSI to 875 increase its absorption cross section, leading to State 2 of the photosystems. The energy 876 imbalance between PSII and PSI and thus the need for state transition are sensed by the redox 877 state of the pool of free plastoquinone (PQ) molecules which transport electrons within the

878 thylakoid membranes from PSII to Cyt. Currently we lack a quantitative model to predict state transition, and  $\beta$  is often assumed to be 0.5. But a change in the value of  $\beta$  will lead to a 879 proportional change in ChlaF emission from PSII (Eqs 3 and 8), other conditions being equal. As 880 a result, a dynamic  $\beta$  significantly impacts the response of ChlaF emission to variations in 881 environmental conditions because of the change in energy allocation between PSII and PSI. 882 883 ChlaF emission is believed to be dominated by PSII because PSI is photochemically more 884 efficient than PSII (Hogewoning et al., 2012; Lazár, 2013). Thus, a change in PSII ChlaF emission cannot be compensated for by change in PSI ChlaF emission when  $\beta$  varies. Although 885 state transition is often studied at short time scales (seconds to hours, Minagawa 2011), 886 conceivably  $\beta$  could vary with canopy depth, phenology, species, and prevailing climate 887 conditions (e.g. Porcar-Castell et al. 2014) which could affect the ratio of cyclic to linear electron 888 889 transport required to support the Calvin-Benson Cycle, resulting in the need to rebalance the 890 energy harvesting by the two photosystems. However, this remains uncharted and would deserve 891 future attention.

892 Although it is a reasonable assumption that **PSI** plays a minor role in ChlaF emission 893 when the overall energy level is considered, it is not clear whether this assumption is also valid 894 over wavelengths at which SIF is retrieved from existing instruments. This issue is equivalent to 895 asking whether any difference in the PSII and PSI spectral shape functions (S11 and S1) is 896 sufficiently small such that PSII ChlaF emission dominates at every wavelength. SIF cannot be 897 observed in broadbands and has to be observed at Fraunhofer lines, O<sub>2</sub>-A or -B bands. There is 898 no *a priori* knowledge or observations to indicate how similar or different *S11* and *S1* are. Further 899 studies on this issue either with theoretical analyses or observations are needed. If it turns out 900 that PSI contribution cannot be ignored, then measurements and better understanding in the 901 dynamics of  $q_{LI}$  and  $q_7$  will be needed.

902 The ultrastructure of thylakoids is not static and has been observed to swell in the light 903 and shrink in the dark (Li et al., 2020). The ultrastructural dynamics of thylakoids can regulate a 904 number of processes that control photosynthetic ETR, including macromolecular 905 blocking/collision probability, direct diffusional pathlength, Cyt duty division (Johnson and 906 Berry 2021), luminal pH via osmotic water fluxes, and separation of pH dynamics between 907 granal and lamellar lumens in response to environmental variations. Gu et al. (2022) discussed 908 these impacts in detail. As photosynthetic ETR is directly coupled to ChlaF emission, the 909 thylakoid ultrastructural dynamics induced by changes in environmental conditions can feedback 910 to SIF dynamics (Eqs 6 and 9). Furthermore, pigments are located in the thylakoid membranes. 911 As the thylakoid swells and shrinks, the pigment packing on the membranes will shift, affecting 912  $\sigma$  and thus photon interception and absorption and excitation energy transfer. Currently there is 913 little knowledge regarding potential impacts of thylakoid ultrastructural dynamics on ChlaF 914 emission.

915 Alternative electron sinks: ETR from PSII to PSI, which can be inferred from the Chl*a*F
916 emission, supports not only photosynthesis but also other stromal metabolisms such as nitrate
917 reduction, photoreduction of oxygen, and emission of volatile organic compounds (VOC). As a

- 918 result, ETR that supports photosynthesis is smaller than the rate that can be inferred from ChlaF
- emission and SIF measurements (Von Caemmerer, 2000). Alternative electron sinks serve as
- 920 photoprotective mechanisms when plants are under stress and the energy harvested by
- 921 photosystems exceeds the need of carboxylation and oxygenation. Thus alternative electron sinks
- 922 can be strong under stressful environmental conditions (Alric & Johnson, 2017). The presence of
- alternative electron sinks is likely a key physiological mechanism affecting the SIF dynamics
- and the decoupling of SIF and GPP (Fig. 2 and Eqs3, 6-10), which remains uncharted andwarrants future research.
- 925 926
- 927 Mechanisms and model parameterization of water and heat stress. One major 928 knowledge gap is to pin down the exact mechanisms (e.g., leaf expansion/fall, heat dissipation, 929 stomatal closure, hydraulic failure, carbon starvation) that plants use to respond and/or adapt to 930 stress at different timescales, and how these stresses influence ChlaF emission and the observed SIF signal  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$ . Filling this knowledge gap is crucial to enable SIF applications for inferring 931 plant traits, selecting stress-tolerant crop genotypes/phenotypes, precision agriculture 932 933 management, as well as regional-scale monitoring and early warning capacity for stress and food 934 insecurity, etc (Sun et al., 2023b). A barrier is that SIF itself and its coupling with GPP is affected by a myriad of interactive processes and environmental variations (the forward issue, Eq 935 3), and thus the observed SIF  $F_{\uparrow}(\lambda_F)$  reflects their collective and interactive effects (the 936 inference issue, Eqs 9-10). Additional complexity would arise if multiple stresses co-occur, e.g., 937 938 heatwave and drought, insect outbreak accompanied with water/heat stress, or flooding followed 939 with nitrogen leaching, etc. Under such scenarios, SIF may reveal their amplified or 940 compensating effect, but SIF alone is insufficient to tease out individual contributions. 941 Observational and modeling innovations are needed to tackle these challenges (Sun et al., 942 2023b).
- 943

944 **Connection of SIF to stomatal conductance and transpiration**. The apparent 945 correlation between SIF and transpiration obtained so far, although promising, is sensitive to 946 three assumptions: a) the ratio of transpiration (T) to total evapotranspiration (ET) approaches to 947 unity (during the peak growing season without rain events) (Lu et al., 2018; Shan et al., 2019), b) 948 stomata optimize their openness to balance carbon uptake and water loss (Shan et al., 2019; Zhou 949 et al., 2022), and c) SIF is linearly related to GPP. However, the first assumption holds only for certain ecosystems with high LAI (e.g., crops, deciduous forests) but not others (e.g., 950 951 Mediterranean ecosystems); the second could be a reasonable assumption but the exact 952 conditions under which it holds require future investigations (Stoy et al., 2019). The third 953 assumption can be violated at shorter timescales and/or under stress (thorough discussion in 3.3 954 and Sun et al., 2023b).

955

956 Estimation of SIF escape probability: The majority of SIF applications across all sectors so far
957 (Sun et al., 2023b) do not effectively correct the escape probability SIF although a variety of

- 958 practical approaches have recently emerged (Table 2), confounding the validity of their findings
- and mechanistic understanding. Strictly speaking,  $f^{esc}$  or  $f^{esc}_{\Omega\uparrow}$  can only be explicitly estimated
- 960 with RTMs of SIF, ideally with the ray tracing approach that specifies the 3D structure of plant
- 961 canopy. From RTM theory, we can explain the magnitude and directionality of the variations in
- 962 SIF and  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$  induced by vegetation structure (Joiner et al., 2020). However, the computational
- 963 demand prevents its practical applications especially at the ecosystem scale and beyond. The
- 964 recent theoretical development of reflectance based approaches appears promising to
- 965 approximate  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$ ; however, attempts to correct it across biomes and different scales are often
- 966 inconclusive due to both noisy SIF data (Sun et al., 2023b) and various assumptions/limitations 967 in the  $f_{\Omega\uparrow}^{esc}$  formulations (P1-S8 in Table 2).
- 968

# 4.2 Theoretical innovations at the leaf level: Coupling photophysics, photochemistry, andbiochemistry

971 The key theoretical gaps identified above call for corresponding theoretical innovations in 972 solutions (Fig. 5). These gaps are not independent, and filling them requires system thinking at 973 the level of molecular mechanisms. To better understand how innovative solutions may be 974 developed, we adopt the three stages of reactions of photosynthesis: photophysical reactions, 975 photochemical reactions, and biochemical reactions. The necessity of dividing the light reactions 976 into the photophysical and photochemical reactions is due to the fact that these two groups of 977 reactions occur at different places with vastly different time scales and follow different laws.

978Because the three stages are coupled, any equations that describe only one or two of the979three reactions cannot be closed. For example, Eqs 1-3 and 6 are photophysical equations and980can be applied only when additional information on variables such as NPQ and  $q_{LII}$  is981supplied. Eq 7 attempts to couple photophysics and photochemistry to model GPP, which also982requires additional modeling of NPQ and  $q_{LII}$ . The widely used FvCB model mechanistically983describes the biochemical reactions, and depends on an empirical equation relating potential984electron transport rate  $J_p$  to light intensity to provide a closure for modeling photosynthesis.

985 The weakest link in our efforts to relate SIF to GPP is photochemical reactions along the 986 electron transport chain. The photochemical reactions are the bridge between the photophysical 987 and biochemical reactions. While the models of photophysical and biochemical reactions have 988 been sufficiently developed for SIF applications (Farquhar et al., 1980; Gu et al., 2019, Eqs 1-3, 989 and 6), the same cannot be said for the photochemical reactions. Gu et al. (2023) derived 990 analytical steady-state equations governing the states and redox reactions of complexes and 991 electron carriers along the photosynthetic electron transport chain between PSII and Cyt. The 992 impact of thylakoid ultrastructural dynamics on electron transport is represented by a light-993 induced thylakoid swelling/shrinking function that is applied to the fraction of Cyt available for 994 linear electron transport. These equations are universal to oxygenic photosynthetic pathways, and

allow the redox conditions of the mobile plastoquinone pool and Cyt to be inferred with typical

996 fluorometry. There are three critical next steps that need to be taken. One is to apply a similar 997 approach and derive governing equations for electron transport from Cyt to PSI to NADP+ 998 (linear transport) or to the PQ pool (cyclic transport around PSI) (J. E. Johnson & Berry, 2021). 999 The second is to develop a model that links the redox state of mobile plastoquinone (PQ) with 1000 state transition. The redox state of PQ, which is already modeled in Gu et al. (2023), triggers 1001 state transition (Minagawa, 2011), and therefore could serve as a reliable predictor of state transition. The third is to develop a mechanistic model that could predict the alternative electron 1002 sinks, particularly VOC emissions, based on environmental conditions. Once these critical steps 1003 1004 have been accomplished, a complete photochemical model will be established, allowing a full 1005 coupling of photophysical, photochemical, and biochemical reactions to mechanistically study 1006 SIF-GPP relationships.

1007 Nevertheless, these steps are not easy and completing them will require substantial research efforts at time scales ranging from seconds to seasonal. In particular, the coupling of 1008 1009 photophysics, photochemistry, and biochemistry will need to be tested for a wide range of 1010 environmental conditions including water and heat stresses. Both redox reactions and diffusion 1011 of electron carriers in photochemistry and enzymatic reactions in biochemistry are sensitive to temperature. Although temperature response functions are available, these functions have been 1012 1013 rarely tested under extreme conditions. Water stress affects  $g_{s}$  and CO<sub>2</sub> supply to Rubisco, which 1014 will lead to feedback effects on the photophysical and photochemical reactions. At the present, 1015 these feedbacks have not been understood. Furthermore, stresses may damage organs and tissues 1016 such as photosystems and thylakoid membranes which would cause state change in the photosynthetic machinery, which is hard to model. 1017

1018 In the interim, empirical models of key photophysical and photochemical variables based 1019 on intensive and extensive PAM fluorometry measurements can be applied as temporary solutions to satisfy the need for process-based guidance for analyzing the rapidly increasing 1020 amount of SIF data. For example, simple light response functions of NPQ (Serôdio & Lavaud, 1021 2011) and  $q_{LII}$  (Han, Chang, et al., 2022) can be used to satisfy modeling needs at diurnal time 1022 1023 scales. The empirical relationship between the photochemical yield of PSII and NPO as 1024 developed in Van der Tol et al. (2014) may also serve as a partial closure solution at conditions 1025 when variations in *qLII* are small. Alternatively, one could potentially use estimated NPQ as 1026 inputs. NPQ can be estimated by monitoring the photochemical reflectance index over short time 1027 scales (Garbulsky et al., 2011). Nevertheless it must be emphasized these temporary solutions do 1028 not have general applicability and their validity must be evaluated on a case by case basis. 1029



Fig. 5. Outlook for future SIF research efforts and priority. Research priority in mechanistic understanding, measurements, and model development respectively for each leaf/canopy

structure/function in Fig. 2 is mapped out. The letter D and S<sup>+</sup> denote diurnal scale and seasonal
 scale/beyond respectively, highlighting time scales each research effort should focus on.

#### 1035 **4.3 Theoretical innovations at the canopy scale**

Future research innovations at the canopy scale should focus on the following aspects. 1036 Benchmarking RTM: Numerous leaf/canopy-level RTM with SIF capability have been 1037 developed at different levels of complexity, but their performance and applicability across 1038 biomes (with different leaf/canopy structures), landscape heterogeneities (with different 1039 1040 composition/abundance of land covers), and biotic/abiotic stresses (with different symptomatic 1041 and asymptomatic spectral signatures) remains to be comprehensively evaluated. The RAdiation transfer Model Intercomparison (RAMI) protocol (Widlowski et al., 2015) well-established for 1042 surface reflectance can be adopted to benchmark SIF simulations. In particular, model validation 1043 1044 with *in-situ* measurements of SIF (Parazoo et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020), along with surface reflectance, e.g., SpecNet (Gamon et al., 2006), across diverse biomes and climate regimes is 1045 critical to ensure the realism of RTMs, despite the difficulty in concurrently obtaining latent 1046 quantities such as  $F_{eT}(\lambda_F)$ , and the actual leaf/soil optical properties. Moreover, the leaf/canopy 1047 RTM can be further integrated with atmospheric RTM to facilitate direct integration of at-sensor 1048 reflectance spectra (acquired by diverse platforms) (e.g., Yang et al., 2020). This can help 1049 address how the varying O<sub>2</sub>-A depth between the direct and diffuse solar radiation impacts SIF 1050 1051 retrieval from reflectance spectra, which remains one major challenge to disentangle solely from 1052 measurements.

1053

1054 **Improving computational efficiency of RTM**: The formidable computational demand of 1055 current RTMs (especially 3D) may be overcome with parsimonious surrogate models. For example, the FluorRTER RTM (Zeng et al., 2020) has similar performance to the full 3D ray-1056 tracing FluorWPS, but is computationally much more affordable. Machine learning represents a 1057 promising pathway to effectively emulate complex physical processes with computational 1058 1059 efficiency. Both approaches have the potential to make RTM inversions more accessible to users 1060 and more applicable at large spatial scales. For applications at global scales and/or spanning decades (e.g., constraining carbon budgets), a two-stream treatment of SIF RTM would be 1061 computationally more tangible (Li et al., 2022; Thum et al., 2017). In this case, an integrated 1062 1063 solar radiation and SIF RTM should be developed based on the first principles of radiative 1064 transfer. From a physical point of view, the only difference between solar and SIF radiative 1065 transfer is that the source of solar radiation comes from the sun above the canopy top while the source of SIF is distributed within the canopy. Other than that, they follow the same physics. 1066 Furthermore, SIF radiative transfer is analogous to the longwave radiative transfer in plant 1067 1068 canopies without the need to consider thermal emissions from sky; just like SIF, longwave radiation also has sources in plant canopies. Therefore, the highly efficient matrix approach for 1069 1070 modeling longwave radiative transfer (Gu et al., 1999) can be modified to model SIF radiative

transfer in plant canopies. Either a two-stream or matrix-based SIF radiative transfer modelingapproach, built upon basic physical principles, can be applied at regional to global scales.

1073

1074 **Refinement of the toy model**: The analytical framework developed here can be employed as an 1075 exploratory tool to facilitate process interpretation and diagnosis (Sun et al., 2023b), as it explicitly reveals the core and complex interacting mechanisms that are hidden in the light use 1076 1077 efficiency models (Eqs 3, 6-8). Moreover, built upon theoretical understanding, the analytical solution has the potential to be applied universally across spatial and temporal scales towards 1078 1079 various applications (Sun et al., 2023b). Nevertheless, in developing the toy model here, we have 1080 deliberately removed many details so that we can focus on core mechanisms; therefore it should 1081 be subject to rigorous test and refinement in the future due to various assumptions (detailed in rLAI

SI). For example, the current form of leaf to canopy integration  $J_0$ is a highly conceptualized 1082 1083 notation, and can take different forms with varying complexity in actual implementations. In the 1084 future, Eqs 8 and 10 can be expanded to separately model the sunlit and shaded components by 1085 explicitly accounting for the direct and diffuse solar radiation. This will inevitably introduce 1086 more complexities to model formulations. Moreover, Eqs 8-10 require additional information 1087 (beyond the integrated canopy functional/structural information carried in SIF), i.e., variables/parameters that are impacted by canopy structure (e.g., affecting solar and fluorescence 1088 1089 attenuation), vertical distribution/variation of leaf functions (i.e., the redox states and/or NPQ) 1090 and pigment content/nutrient content (Fig. 5). Observational innovations are concurrently needed 1091 to facilitate model improvement in these aspects. On the other hand, Eq 10 can be used to diagnose the degree of linearity of SIF and GPP and contributing processes/parameters from the 1092 1093 physiological and structural perspectives.

1094

#### 1095 **5.** Conclusions

1096This review synthesizes theoretical understandings of photon harvesting, energy1097dissipation pathways and SIF radiative transfer in leaves and canopy to develop an analytical1098framework that 1) highlights the complex impacts of key leaf/canopy structure/function and their1099interactions on ChlaF emission and 2) guides the transformation of at-sensor SIF into meaningful1100information regarding photosynthetic electron transport and GPP. This framework enables1101identifying actionable solutions to tackle existing theoretical challenges and research priorities1102over the next 5-10 years. Key points this review aims to deliver are:

 1103
 • Harness

 1104
 in order

• **Harnessing theory and data**: Theories and data advancements should go hand-in-hand, in order to shift from correlational analyses to causal quantification and reasoning.

Appreciating the process complexity: SIF is a single signal regulated by a myriad of
 complex biophysical, biochemical, and physiological processes in response to

- environmental variations and anthropogenic perturbations. Inferring specific processes
   requires careful control of remaining interacting processes, with the aid of observation
   technology that can offer complementary information.
- Versatile application potential of the toy model. The toy model developed should be treated as an exploratory tool subject to rigorous test and refinement in the future due to various assumptions. Nevertheless, it conceptually represents a substantial improvement over light use efficiency models and can be employed at different spatial and temporal scales for process interpretation/diagnosis towards various applications (Sun et al., 2023b).
- 1116

### 1117 6. Acknowledgements

- 1118 YS, JW, JL, ZL acknowledge support from NSF Macrosystem Biology (Award 1926488),
- 1119 NASA-CMS (80NSSC21K1058), NASA-FINESST (80NSSC20K1646), NASA MEaSures
- 1120 project, USDA-NIFA Hatch Fund (1014740), and the Cornell Initiative for Digital Agriculture
- 1121 Research Innovation Fund. CYC acknowledges support from USDA, Agricultural Research
- 1122 Service. JL acknowledges the Saltonstall Fellowship from the Soil and Crop Science Section at
- 1123 Cornell University. LH acknowledges support from NASA-IDS (80NSSC20K1263) and NASA-
- 1124 HAQAST (80NSSC21K0430). JJ is supported by NASA through the Arctic-Boreal Vulnerability
- 1125 Experiment (ABoVE) science team. LW acknowledges partial support from NSF Division of
- 1126 Earth Sciences (EAR-1554894). YS, JW, LH, and CBB also acknowledge support from USAID
- 1127 Feed the Future program (7200AA18CA00014). TSM acknowledges the Macrosystems Biology
- and NEON-Enabled Science program at NSF (award 1926090). ORNL is managed by UT-
- 1129 Battelle, LLC, for DOE under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725. We acknowledge Kathleen
- 1130 Kanaley for proofreading.

### 1131 **7. Reference**

- 1132 Aasen, Wittenberghe, V., Medina, Damm, Goulas, Wieneke, Hueni, Malenovský, Alonso,
- 1133 Pacheco-Labrador, Cendrero-Mateo, Tomelleri, Burkart, Cogliati, Rascher, & Arthur.
- 1134 (2019). Sun-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence II: Review of Passive Measurement Setups,
- 1135 Protocols, and Their Application at the Leaf to Canopy Level. Remote Sensing, 11(8), 927.
- 1136 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11080927
- Adams, W. W., & Demmig-Adams, B. (1992). Operation of the xanthophyll cycle in higher
  plants in response to diurnal changes in incident sunlight. Planta, 186(3), 390–398.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00195320
- Alric, J., & Johnson, X. (2017). Alternative electron transport pathways in photosynthesis: A
  confluence of regulation. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 37, 78–86.
- 1142 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.03.014

Anderson, J. M., Chow, W. S., & De Las Rivas, J. (2008). Dynamic flexibility in the structure
and function of photosystem II in higher plant thylakoid membranes: The grana enigma.
Photosynthesis Research, 98(1–3), 575–587. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-008-9381-3

1146 Bacour, C., Maignan, F., MacBean, N., Porcar-Castell, A., Flexas, J., Frankenberg, C., Peylin, P.,

1147 Chevallier, F., Vuichard, N., & Bastrikov, V. (2019). Improving Estimates of Gross

Primary Productivity by Assimilating Solar-Induced Fluorescence Satellite Retrievals in a
 Terrestrial Biosphere Model Using a Process-Based SIF Model. Journal of Geophysical

- 1150 Research: Biogeosciences, 124(11), 3281–3306. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005040
- Barber, J., Alkin<sup>^</sup>, S. M., & Elfer, A. T. (1989). The origin of chlorophyll fluorescence In vivo
  and its quenching by the photosystem II reaction centre. Philosophical Transactions of the
  Royal Society of London. B, Biological Sciences, 323(1216), 227–239.
  https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1989.0006
- Bittner, T., Irrgang, K. D., Renger, G., & Wasielewski, M. R. (1994). Ultrafast excitation energy transfer and exciton. Exciton annihilation processes in isolated light harvesting complexes of photosystem II (LHC II) from spinach. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 98(46), 11821–11826. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100097a004
- Björkman, O., & Demmig, B. (1987). Photon yield of O2 evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence
  characteristics at 77 K among vascular plants of diverse origins. Planta.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00402983
- Browne, C., Matteson, D. S., McBride, L., Hu, L., Liu, Y., Sun, Y., Wen, J., & Barrett, C. B.
  (2021). Multivariate random forest prediction of poverty and malnutrition prevalence.

1164 PLOS ONE, 16(9), e0255519. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0255519

- Caemmerer, S. von. (2000). Biochemical Models of Leaf Photosynthesis. In Techniques in Plant
   Sciences No 2.
- Caffarri, S., Tibiletti, T., Jennings, R., & Santabarbara, S. (2014). A comparison between plant
   photosystem I and photosystem II architecture and functioning. Current Protein & Peptide
   Science, 15(4), 296–331. https://doi.org/10.2174/1389203715666140327102218
- Cai, Y., Guan, K., Lobell, D., Potgieter, A. B., Wang, S., Peng, J., Xu, T., Asseng, S., Zhang, Y.,
  You, L., & Peng, B. (2019). Integrating satellite and climate data to predict wheat yield in
  Australia using machine learning approaches. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 274,
- 1173 Australia using machine learning approaches. Agricultural and Porest We 1173 144–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.03.010
- 1174 Celesti, M., Tol, C. van der, Cogliati, S., Panigada, C., Yang, P., Pinto, F., Rascher, U.,
- Miglietta, F., Colombo, R., & Rossini, M. (2018). Exploring the physiological information
  of Sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence through radiative transfer model inversion.
- 1177 Remote Sensing of Environment, 215(May), 97–108.
- 1178 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.05.013
- 1179 Cendrero-Mateo, Wieneke, Damm, Alonso, Pinto, Moreno, Guanter, Celesti, Rossini, Sabater,
- 1180 Cogliati, Julitta, Rascher, Goulas, Aasen, Pacheco-Labrador, & Arthur. (2019). Sun-
- 1181 Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence III: Benchmarking Retrieval Methods and Sensor

- 1182 Characteristics for Proximal Sensing. Remote Sensing, 11(8), 962.
- 1183 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11080962
- 1184 Chang, C. Y., Guanter, L., Frankenberg, C., Köhler, P., Gu, L., Magney, T. S., Grossmann, K., &
- 1185 Sun, Y. (2020). Systematic Assessment of Retrieval Methods for Canopy Far-Red Solar-
- 1186Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence Using High-Frequency Automated Field Spectroscopy.
- 1187Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 125(7), e2019JG005533.
- 1188 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005533
- 1189 Chang, C. Y., Wen, J., Han, J., Kira, O., LeVonne, J., Melkonian, J., Riha, S. J., Skovira, J., Ng,
- S., Gu, L., Wood, J. D., Näthe, P., & Sun, Y. (2021). Unpacking the drivers of diurnal dynamics of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF): Canopy structure, plant physiology, instrument configuration and retrieval methods. Remote Sensing of
- 1193 Environment, 265, 112672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112672
- Chen, J.-L., Reynolds, J. F., Harley, P. C., & Tenhunen, J. D. (1993). Coordination theory of leaf
  nitrogen distribution in a canopy. Oecologia, 93(1), 63–69.
- 1196 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00321192
- Cheng, L. (2003). Xanthophyll cycle pool size and composition in relation to the nitrogen
  content of apple leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany, 54(381), 385–393.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/JXB/ERG011
- 1200 Chlorophyll a Fluorescence in Aquatic Sciences: Methods and Applications. (2010). In D. J.
  1201 Suggett, O. Prášil, & M. A. Borowitzka (Eds.), Chlorophyll a Fluorescence in Aquatic
  1202 Sciences: Methods and Applications. Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-901203 481-9268-7
- Coble, A. P., Fogel, M. L., & Parker, G. G. (2017). Canopy gradients in leaf functional traits for
   species that differ in growth strategies and shade tolerance. Tree Physiology, 37, 1415–
   1425. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx048
- 1207 Colombo, R., Celesti, M., Bianchi, R., Campbell, P. K. E., Cogliati, S., Cook, B. D., Corp, L. A.,
  1208 Damm, A., Domec, J. C., Guanter, L., Julitta, T., Middleton, E. M., Noormets, A.,
- 1209 Panigada, C., Pinto, F., Rascher, U., Rossini, M., & Schickling, A. (2018). Variability of
- 1210 sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence according to stand age-related processes in a
- 1211 managed loblolly pine forest. Global Change Biology, 24(7), 2980–2996.
- 1212 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14097
- 1213 Croft, H., Chen, J. M., Luo, X., Bartlett, P., Chen, B., & Staebler, R. M. (2017). Leaf chlorophyll
  1214 content as a proxy for leaf photosynthetic capacity. Global Change Biology, 23(9), 3513–
  1215 3524. https://doi.org/10.1111/GCB.13599
- 1216 Cui, T., Sun, R., Xiao, Z., Liang, Z., & Wang, J. (2020). Simulating spatially distributed solar1217 induced chlorophyll fluorescence using a BEPS-SCOPE coupling framework. Agricultural
  1218 and Forest Meteorology, 295, 108169.
- 1219 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2020.108169
- Damm, A., Guanter, L., Verhoef, W., Schläpfer, D., Garbari, S., & Schaepman, M. E. (2015).
  Impact of varying irradiance on vegetation indices and chlorophyll fluorescence derived

- 1222 from spectroscopy data. Remote Sensing of Environment, 156, 202–215.
- 1223 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.09.031
- Farquhar, G. D., Caemmerer, S., & Berry, J. A. (1980). A biochemical model of photosynthetic
  CO2 assimilation in leaves of C3 species. Planta, 149(1), 78-90–90.
- Fisher, J. B., Huntzinger, D. N., Schwalm, C. R., & Sitch, S. (2014). Modeling the Terrestrial
  Biosphere. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 39(1), 91–123.
- 1228 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-012913-093456
- Frankenberg, C., O'Dell, C., Guanter, L., & McDuffie, J. (2012). Remote sensing of nearinfrared chlorophyll fluorescence from space in scattering atmospheres: Implications for its
  retrieval and interferences with atmospheric CO 2 retrievals. Atmospheric Measurement
  Techniques, 5(8), 2081–2094. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-5-2081-2012
- Gamon, J. A., Rahman, A. F., Dungan, J. L., Schildhauer, M., & Huemmrich, K. F. (2006).
  Spectral Network (SpecNet)-What is it and why do we need it?
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.me.2006.04.002
- 1235 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.04.003
- Garbulsky, M. F., Peñuelas, J., Gamon, J., Inoue, Y., & Filella, I. (2011). The photochemical
  reflectance index (PRI) and the remote sensing of leaf, canopy and ecosystem radiation use
  efficiencies: A review and meta-analysis. Remote Sensing of Environment, 115(2), 281–
  297. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2010.08.023
- Gastellu-Etchegorry, J. P., Lauret, N., Yin, T., Landier, L., Kallel, A., Malenovský, Z., Bitar, A.
  A., Aval, J., Benhmida, S., Qi, J., Medjdoub, G., Guilleux, J., Chavanon, E., Cook, B.,
  Morton, D., Chrysoulakis, N., & Mitraka, Z. (2017). DART: Recent advances in remote
  sensing data modeling with atmosphere, polarization, and chlorophyll fluorescence. IEEE
  Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 10(6),
- 1245 2640–2649. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2017.2685528
- Gentine, P., Green, J. K., Guérin, M., Humphrey, V., Seneviratne, S. I., Zhang, Y., & Zhou, S.
  (2019). Coupling between the terrestrial carbon and water cycles—A review.
- 1248 Environmental Research Letters, 14(8), 083003. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab22d6
- Govaerts, Y. M., Jacquemoud, S., Verstraete, M. M., & Ustin, S. L. (1996). Three-dimensional
  radiation transfer modeling in a dicotyledon leaf. Applied Optics, 35(33), 6585.
  https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.35.006585
- Gu, L., Bernard, G., Han, J., Telesphore, M., Zhang, Y., Song, Y. C., & Sun, Y. (2023). An
  exploratory steady-state redox model of photosynthetic linear electron transport for use in
  complete modeling of photosynthesis for broad applications. Plant Cell and Environment.
  (accepted)
- Gu, L., Grodzinski, B., Han, J., Marie, T., Zhang, Y.-J., Song, Y. C., & Sun, Y. (2022). Granal
  thylakoid structure and function: Explaining an enduring mystery of higher plants. New
  Phytologist, 236(2), 319–329. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18371

# Gu, L., Han, J., Wood, J. D., Chang, C. Y. Y., & Sun, Y. (2019). Sun-induced Chl fluorescence and its importance for biophysical modeling of photosynthesis based on light reactions. New Phytologist, 223(3), 1179–1191. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15796

1262 Gu, L., Shugart, H. H., Fuentes, J. D., Black, T. A., & Shewchuk, S. R. (1999). 1263 Micrometeorology, biophysical exchanges and NEE decomposition in a two-story boreal forest—Development and test of an integrated model. Agricultural and Forest 1264 Meteorology, 94(2), 123-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(99)00006-4 1265 1266 Guan, K., Berry, J. A., Zhang, Y., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Badgley, G., & Lobell, D. B. (2016). 1267 Improving the monitoring of crop productivity using spaceborne solar-induced fluorescence. Global Change Biology, 22(2), 716–726. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13136 1268 Guanter, L., Zhang, Y., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Voigt, M., Berry, J. a, Frankenberg, C., Huete, A. R., 1269 Zarco-Tejada, P., Lee, J.-E., Moran, M. S., Ponce-Campos, G., Beer, C., Camps-Valls, G., 1270 Buchmann, N., Gianelle, D., Klumpp, K., Cescatti, A., Baker, J. M., & Griffis, T. J. (2014). 1271 1272 Global and time-resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis with chlorophyll fluorescence. 1273 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 1274 111(14), E1327-33. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320008111 1275 Han, J., Chang, C. Y. Y., Gu, L., Zhang, Y., Meeker, E. W., Magney, T. S., Walker, A. P., Wen, J., Kira, O., McNaull, S., & Sun, Y. (2022). The physiological basis for estimating 1276 1277 photosynthesis from Chla fluorescence. New Phytologist, 234(4), 1206–1219. 1278 https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH.18045 1279 Han, J., Gu, L., Wen, J., & Sun, Y. (2022). Inference of photosynthetic capacity parameters from chlorophyll a fluorescence is affected by redox state of PSII reaction centers. Plant, Cell & 1280 1281 Environment. https://doi.org/10.1111/PCE.14271 1282 Hao, D., Asrar, G. R., Zeng, Y., Yang, X., Li, X., Xiao, J., Guan, K., Wen, J., Xiao, Q., Berry, J. 1283 A., & Chen, M. (2021). Potential of hotspot solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence for 1284 better tracking terrestrial photosynthesis. Global Change Biology, 27(10), 2144–2158. 1285 https://doi.org/10.1111/GCB.15554 1286 Hao, D., Zeng, Y., Qiu, H., Biriukova, K., Celesti, M., Migliavacca, M., Rossini, M., Asrar, G. R., & Chen, M. (2021). Practical approaches for normalizing directional solar-induced 1287 1288 fluorescence to a standard viewing geometry. Remote Sensing of Environment, 1289 255(December 2020), 112171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112171 1290 Hao, D., Zeng, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., Qiu, H., Biriukova, K., Celesti, M., Rossini, M., Zhu, 1291 P., Asrar, G. R., & Chen, M. (2022). Adjusting solar-induced fluorescence to nadir-viewing 1292 provides a better proxy for GPP. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 1293 186, 157–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ISPRSJPRS.2022.01.016 1294 Hashimoto, H., Uragami, C., Yukihira, N., Gardiner, A. T., & Cogdell, R. J. (2018). 1295 Understanding/unravelling carotenoid excited singlet states. Journal of the Royal Society 1296 Interface, 15(141). https://doi.org/10.1098/RSIF.2018.0026 1297 Haynes, K. D., Baker, I., & Denning, A. S. (n.d.). Simple Biosphere Model version 4.2 (SiB4) 1298 technical description. https://mountainscholar.org/handle/10217/200691 1299 He, L., Chen, J. M., Liu, J., Mo, G., & Joiner, J. (2017). Angular normalization of GOME-2 Sun-1300 induced chlorophyll fluorescence observation as a better proxy of vegetation productivity. 1301 Geophysical Research Letters, 44(11), 5691–5699. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073708

- Hernández-Clemente, R., North, P. R. J., Hornero, A., & Zarco-Tejada, P. J. (2017). Assessing
  the effects of forest health on sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence using the
- FluorFLIGHT 3-D radiative transfer model to account for forest structure. Remote Sensing
  of Environment, 193, 165–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.02.012
- Hertel, C., Leuchner, M., & Menzel, A. (2011). Vertical variability of spectral ratios in a mature
  mixed forest stand. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151, 1096–1105.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.03.013
- Hogewoning, S. W., Wientjes, E., Douwstra, P., Trouwborst, G., Ieperen, W. van, Croce, R., &
  Harbinson, J. (2012). Photosynthetic Quantum Yield Dynamics: From Photosystems to
  Leaves. The Plant Cell, 24(5), 1921–1935. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.097972
- JOHNSON, G. N., YOUNG, A. J., SCHOLES, J. D., & HORTON, P. (1993). The dissipation of
  excess excitation energy in British plant species. Plant, Cell and Environment, 16(6), 673–
  679. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1993.tb00485.x
- Johnson, J. E., & Berry, J. A. (2021). The role of Cytochrome b 6f in the control of steady-state
  photosynthesis: A conceptual and quantitative model. In Photosynthesis Research (Vol.
  148, Issue 3). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-021-00840-4
- Joiner, J., & Yoshida, Y. (2020). Satellite-based reflectances capture large fraction of variability
  in global gross primary production (GPP) at weekly time scales. Agricultural and Forest
  Meteorology, 291, 108092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108092
- Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Köehler, P., Campbell, P., Frankenberg, C., van der Tol, C., Yang, P.,
  Parazoo, N., Guanter, L., & Sun, Y. (2020). Systematic Orbital Geometry-Dependent
  Variations in Satellite Solar-Induced Fluorescence (SIF) Retrievals. Remote Sensing,
  12(15), 2346. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12152346
- 1325 Kamen, M. D. (1963). Primary Processes in Photosynthesis (1st ed.).
- 1326 Kattge, J., & Knorr, W. (2007). Temperature acclimation in a biochemical model of
  1327 photosynthesis: A reanalysis of data from 36 species. Plant, Cell & Environment, 30(9),
  1328 1176–1190. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01690.x
- Kautsky, H., & Hirsch, A. (1931). Neue Versuche zur Kohlens ureassimilation. Die
  Naturwissenschaften, 19(48), 964–964. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01516164
- Knyazikhin, Y., Schull, M. A., Xu, L., Myneni, R. B., & Samanta, A. (2011). Canopy spectral
  invariants. Part 1: A new concept in remote sensing of vegetation. Journal of Quantitative
  Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 112(4), 727–735.
- 1334 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010.06.014
- Koffi, E. N., Rayner, P. J., Norton, A. J., Frankenberg, C., & Scholze, M. (2015). Investigating
  the usefulness of satellite-derived fluorescence data in inferring gross primary productivity
  within the carbon cycle data assimilation system. Biogeosciences, 12(13), 4067–4084.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-12-4067-2015
- Kramer, D. M., & Evans, J. R. (2011). The importance of energy balance in improving
  photosynthetic productivity. Plant Physiology, 155(1), 70–78.
- 1341 https://doi.org/10.1104/PP.110.166652

- 1342 Kromdijk, J., Głowacka, K., Leonelli, L., Gabilly, S. T., Iwai, M., Niyogi, K. K., & Long, S. P.
  1343 (2016). Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from
  1344 photoprotection. Science, 354(6314), 857–861.
- 1345 https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAI8878/SUPPL\_FILE/KROMDIJK-SM.PDF
- Laisk, A., Oja, V., Eichelmann, H., & Dall'Osto, L. (2014). Action spectra of photosystems II
  and i and quantum yield of photosynthesis in leaves in State 1. Biochimica et Biophysica
  Acta Bioenergetics, 1837(2), 315–325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.12.001
- Latimer, P., Bannister, T. T., & Rabinowitch, E. (1956). Quantum Yields of Fluorescence of
  Plant Pigments. Science, 124(3222), 585–586.
- 1351 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.124.3222.585
- Lazár, D. (2013). Simulations show that a small part of variable chlorophyll a fluorescence
  originates in photosystem I and contributes to overall fluorescence rise. Journal of
  Theoretical Biology, 335, 249–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.06.028
- Lee, J.-E., Berry, J. A., Tol, C. van der, Yang, X., Guanter, L., Damm, A., Baker, I., &
  Frankenberg, C. (2015). Simulations of chlorophyll fluorescence incorporated into the
  Community Land Model version 4. Global Change Biology, 21(9), 3469–3477.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12948
- Li, M., Mukhopadhyay, R., Svoboda, V., Oung, H. M. O., Mullendore, D. L., & Kirchhoff, H.
  (2020). Measuring the dynamic response of the thylakoid architecture in plant leaves by
  electron microscopy. Plant Direct, 4(11). https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.280
- Li, R., Lombardozzi, D., Shi, M., Frankenberg, C., Parazoo, N. C., Köhler, P., Yi, K., Guan, K.,
  & Yang, X. (2022). Representation of Leaf-to-Canopy Radiative Transfer Processes
  Improves Simulation of Far-Red Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence in the
  Community Land Model Version 5. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems,
  14(3), e2021MS002747. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002747
- Li, X., Xiao, J., He, B., Arain, M. A., Beringer, J., Desai, A. R., Emmel, C., Hollinger, D. Y.,
  Krasnova, A., Mammarella, I., Noe, S. M., Ortiz, P. S., Rey-Sanchez, A. C., Rocha, A. V.,
  & Varlagin, A. (2018). Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence is strongly correlated with
  terrestrial photosynthesis for a wide variety of biomes: First global analysis based on OCO-
- 1371 2 and flux tower observations. Global Change Biology, 24(9), 3990–4008.
- 1372 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14297
- Li, Y., He, N., Hou, J., Xu, L., Liu, C., Zhang, J., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., & Wu, X. (2018).
  Factors influencing leaf chlorophyll content in natural forests at the biome scale. Frontiers
- in Ecology and Evolution, 6(JUN), 64. https://doi.org/10.3389/FEVO.2018.00064/BIBTEX
- Liu, X., & Liu, L. (2018). Influence of the canopy BRDF characteristics and illumination
  conditions on the retrieval of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. International Journal
  of Remote Sensing, 39(6), 1782–1799. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1404165
- Liu, X., Liu, L., Hu, J., Guo, J., & Du, S. (2020). Improving the potential of red SIF for
  estimating GPP by downscaling from the canopy level to the photosystem level.

1381 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 281, 107846. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2019.107846 1382 1383 Liu, Z., Ballantyne, A. P., Poulter, B., Anderegg, W. R. L., Li, W., Bastos, A., & Ciais, P. (2018). Precipitation thresholds regulate net carbon exchange at the continental scale. 1384 1385 Nature Communications, 9(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05948-1 Lu, X., Liu, Z., An, S., Miralles, D. G., Maes, W., Liu, Y., & Tang, J. (2018). Potential of solar-1386 1387 induced chlorophyll fluorescence to estimate transpiration in a temperate forest. 1388 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 252(January), 75–87. 1389 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.01.017 1390 Magney, T. S., Barnes, M. L., & Yang, X. (2020). On the Covariation of Chlorophyll Fluorescence and Photosynthesis Across Scales. Geophysical Research Letters, 47(23), 1391 1392 e2020GL091098. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091098 Magney, T. S., Bowling, D. R., Logan, B. A., Grossmann, K., Stutz, J., Blanken, P. D., Burns, S. 1393 1394 P., Cheng, R., Garcia, M. A., Köhler, P., Lopez, S., Parazoo, N. C., Raczka, B., Schimel, D., & Frankenberg, C. (2019). Mechanistic evidence for tracking the seasonality of 1395 photosynthesis with solar-induced fluorescence. Proceedings of the National Academy of 1396 Sciences, 116(24), 11640–11645. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900278116 1397 1398 Malnoë, A. (2018). Photoinhibition or photoprotection of photosynthesis? Update on the (newly termed) sustained quenching component qH. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 1399 1400 154, 123-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2018.05.005 1401 Martini, D., Sakowska, K., Wohlfahrt, G., Pacheco-Labrador, J., Tol, C. van der, Porcar-Castell, 1402 A., Magney, T. S., Carrara, A., Colombo, R., El-Madany, T. S., Gonzalez-Cascon, R., 1403 Martín, M. P., Julitta, T., Moreno, G., Rascher, U., Reichstein, M., Rossini, M., & 1404 Migliavacca, M. (2022). Heatwave breaks down the linearity between sun-induced 1405 fluorescence and gross primary production. New Phytologist, 233(6), 2415–2428. 1406 https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH.17920 1407 Miao, G., Guan, K., Yang, X., Bernacchi, C. J., Berry, J. A., DeLucia, E. H., Wu, J., Moore, C. E., Meacham, K., & Cai, Y. (2018). Sun-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence, 1408 1409 Photosynthesis, and Light Use Efficiency of a Soybean Field from Seasonally Continuous 1410 Measurements. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 123(2), 610-623. 1411 Minagawa, J. (2011). State transitions—The molecular remodeling of photosynthetic 1412 supercomplexes that controls energy flow in the chloroplast. Biochimica et Biophysica 1413 Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics, 1807(8), 897–905. 1414 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2010.11.005 1415 Mohammed, G. H., Colombo, R., Middleton, E. M., Rascher, U., Tol, C. van der, Nedbal, L., Goulas, Y., Pérez-Priego, O., Damm, A., Meroni, M., Joiner, J., Cogliati, S., Verhoef, W., 1416 1417 Malenovský, Z., Gastellu-Etchegorry, J. P., Miller, J. R., Guanter, L., Moreno, J., Moya, I., ... Zarco-Tejada, P. J. (2019). Remote sensing of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence 1418 1419 (SIF) in vegetation: 50 years of progress. Remote Sensing of Environment, 231(February), 1420 111177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.04.030

1421 Mueller, N. D., Butler, E. E., Mckinnon, K. A., Rhines, A., Tingley, M., Holbrook, N. M., & 1422 Huybers, P. (2016). Cooling of US Midwest summer temperature extremes from cropland 1423 intensification. Nature Climate Change, 6(3), 317–322. 1424 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2825 1425 Pacheco-Labrador, Hueni, Mihai, Sakowska, Julitta, Kuusk, Sporea, Alonso, Burkart, Cendrero-1426 Mateo, Aasen, Goulas, & Arthur, M. (2019). Sun-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence I: 1427 Instrumental Considerations for Proximal Spectroradiometers. Remote Sensing, 11(8), 960. 1428 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11080960 1429 Papageorgiou, G. C. & Govindjee. (2004). Chlorophyll a Fluorescence (G. C. Papageorgiou & 1430 Govindjee, Eds.; Vol. 19). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-3218-9 1431 1432 Parazoo, N. C., Frankenberg, C., Köhler, P., Joiner, J., Yoshida, Y., Magney, T., Sun, Y., & 1433 Yadav, V. (2019). Towards a Harmonized Long-Term Spaceborne Record of Far-Red 1434 Solar-Induced Fluorescence. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 124(8), 1435 2518-2539. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005289 Parazoo, N. C., Magney, T., Norton, A., Raczka, B., Bacour, C., Maignan, F., Baker, I., Zhang, 1436 Y., Qiu, B., Shi, M., MacBean, N., Bowling, D. R., Burns, S. P., Blanken, P. D., Stutz, J., 1437 1438 Grossmann, K., & Frankenberg, C. (2020). Wide discrepancies in the magnitude and direction of modeled solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence in response to light conditions. 1439 1440 Biogeosciences, 17(13), 3733-3755. https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-17-3733-2020 1441 Pedrós, R., Goulas, Y., Jacquemoud, S., Louis, J., & Moya, I. (2010). FluorMODleaf: A new leaf 1442 fluorescence emission model based on the PROSPECT model. Remote Sensing of 1443 Environment, 114(1), 155–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.08.019 Peng, B., Guan, K., Zhou, W., Jiang, C., Frankenberg, C., Sun, Y., He, L., & Köhler, P. (2020). 1444 Assessing the benefit of satellite-based Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence in crop 1445 1446 yield prediction. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 1447 90, 102126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2020.102126 Pierrat, Z., Magney, T., Parazoo, N. C., Grossmann, K., Bowling, D. R., Seibt, U., Johnson, B., 1448 1449 Helgason, W., Barr, A., Bortnik, J., Norton, A., Maguire, A., Frankenberg, C., & Stutz, J. 1450 (2022). Diurnal and Seasonal Dynamics of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence, 1451 Vegetation Indices, and Gross Primary Productivity in the Boreal Forest. Journal of 1452 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 127(2), e2021JG006588. 1453 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006588 1454 Porcar-Castell, A. (2011). A high-resolution portrait of the annual dynamics of photochemical 1455 and non-photochemical quenching in needles of Pinus sylvestris. Physiologia Plantarum. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2011.01488.x 1456 1457 Porcar-Castell, A., Malenovský, Z., Magney, T., Van Wittenberghe, S., Fernández-Marín, B., 1458 Maignan, F., Zhang, Y., Masevk, K., Atherton, J., Albert, L. P., Robson, T. M., Zhao, F., 1459 Garcia-Plazaola, J.-I., Ensminger, I., Rajewicz, P. A., Grebe, S., Tikkanen, M., Kellner, J. 1460 R., Ihalainen, J. A., ... Logan, B. (2021). Chlorophyll a fluorescence illuminates a path

- 1461 connecting plant molecular biology to Earth-system science. Nature Plants, 7(8), 998–
  1462 1009. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-00980-4
- Porcar-Castell, A., Tyystjärvi, E., Atherton, J., Tol, C. V. D., Flexas, J., Pfündel, E. E., Moreno,
  J., Frankenberg, C., & Berry, J. A. (2014). Linking chlorophyll a fluorescence to
- photosynthesis for remote sensing applications: Mechanisms and challenges. Journal of
  Experimental Botany, 65(15), 4065–4095. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru191
- Qiu, B., Chen, J. M., Ju, W., Zhang, Q., & Zhang, Y. (2019). Simulating emission and scattering
  of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence at far-red band in global vegetation with different
  canopy structures. Remote Sensing of Environment, 233, 111373.
- 1470 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2019.111373
- 1471 Raczka, B., Porcar-Castell, A., Magney, T., Lee, J. E., Köhler, P., Frankenberg, C., Grossmann,
  1472 K., Logan, B. A., Stutz, J., Blanken, P. D., Burns, S. P., Duarte, H., Yang, X., Lin, J. C., &
  1473 Bowling, D. R. (2019). Sustained Nonphotochemical Quenching Shapes the Seasonal
- 1474 Pattern of Solar-Induced Fluorescence at a High-Elevation Evergreen Forest. Journal of
- 1475 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 124(7), 2005–2020.
- 1476 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004883
- 1477 Rogers, A., Serbin, S. P., Ely, K. S., Sloan, V. L., & Wullschleger, S. D. (2017). Terrestrial
  1478 biosphere models underestimate photosynthetic capacity and CO 2 assimilation in the
  1479 Arctic. New Phytologist, 216(4), 1090–1103. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14740
- Rosema, A., Snel, J. F. H., Zahn, H., Buurmeijer, W. F., & Hove, L. W. A. V. (1998). The
  relation between laser-induced chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis. Remote
  Sensing of Environment (5(2)) 142, 154, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024.4257(08)00020
- Sensing of Environment, 65(2), 143–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00020-0
  Ross, J. (1981). The radiation regime and architecture of plant stands.
- 1484 https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=w6SogqDOa54C&oi=fnd&pg=PP9&dq=
   1485 Ross,+J.,+1981.+The+Radiation+Regime+and+Architecture+of+Plant+Stands.+Junk+Publ
- 1486 ishers,+The&ots=Z1b8CQ98AN&sig=5WZXz5JGyPtrYMqiSYKskVaDNWo
- Ruban, A. V. (2016). Nonphotochemical Chlorophyll Fluorescence Quenching: Mechanism and
   Effectiveness in Protecting Plants from Photodamage. Plant Physiology, 170(4), 1903–
   1916. https://doi.org/10.1104/PP.15.01935
- Sakai, Y., Kobayashi, H., & Kato, T. (2020). FLiES-SIF version 1.0: Three-dimensional
  radiative transfer model for estimating solar induced fluorescence. Geoscientific Model
  Development, 13(9), 4041–4066. https://doi.org/10.5194/GMD-13-4041-2020
- Serôdio, J., & Lavaud, J. (2011). A model for describing the light response of the
  nonphotochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Photosynthesis Research,
  108(1), 61–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-011-9654-0
- Shan, N., Ju, W., Migliavacca, M., Martini, D., Guanter, L., Chen, J., Goulas, Y., & Zhang, Y.
   (2019). Modeling canopy conductance and transpiration from solar-induced chlorophyll
- 1498 fluorescence. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 268, 189–201.
- 1499 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.031

Sloat, L. L., Lin, M., Butler, E. E., Johnson, D., Holbrook, N. M., Huybers, P. J., Lee, J. E., &
Mueller, N. D. (2021). Evaluating the benefits of chlorophyll fluorescence for in-season
crop productivity forecasting. Remote Sensing of Environment, 260, 112478.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112478

- 1504 Smith, W. K., Biederman, J. A., Scott, R. L., Moore, D. J. P., He, M., Kimball, J. S., Yan, D.,
- Hudson, A., Barnes, M. L., MacBean, N., Fox, A. M., & Litvak, M. E. (2018). Chlorophyll
  Fluorescence Better Captures Seasonal and Interannual Gross Primary Productivity
- Dynamics Across Dryland Ecosystems of Southwestern North America. Geophysical
   Research Letters, 45(2), 748–757. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075922
- Stenberg, P. (2007). Simple analytical formula for calculating average photon recollision
  probability in vegetation canopies. Remote Sensing of Environment, 109(2), 221–224.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.12.014
- Stirbet, A. & Govindjee. (2011). On the relation between the Kautsky effect (chlorophyll a fluorescence induction) and Photosystem II: Basics and applications of the OJIP
  fluorescence transient. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, 104(1–2), 236–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2010.12.010
- Stirbet, A., Lazár, D., Guo, Y., & Govindjee, G. (2020). Photosynthesis: Basics, history and
  modelling. Annals of Botany, 126(4), 511–537. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz171
- Stoy, P. C., El-Madany, T. S., Fisher, J. B., Gentine, P., Gerken, T., Good, S. P., Klosterhalfen,
  A., Liu, S., Miralles, D. G., Perez-Priego, O., Rigden, A. J., Skaggs, T. H., Wohlfahrt, G.,
  Anderson, R. G., Coenders-Gerrits, A. M. J., Jung, M., Maes, W. H., Mammarella, I.,
  Mauder, M., ... Wolf, S. (2019). Reviews and syntheses: Turning the challenges of
  partitioning ecosystem evaporation and transpiration into opportunities. Biogeosciences,
- 1523 16(19), 3747–3775. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3747-2019
- Stuckens, J., Verstraeten, W. W., Delalieux, S., Swennen, R., & Coppin, P. (2009). A
  dorsiventral leaf radiative transfer model: Development, validation and improved model
  inversion techniques. Remote Sensing of Environment, 113, 2560–2573.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.07.014
- 1528 Sun, Y., Frankenberg, C., Wood, J. D., Schimel, D. S., Jung, M., Guanter, L., Drewry, D. T.,
- 1529 Verma, M., Porcar-Castell, A., Griffis, T. J., Gu, L., Magney, T. S., Köhler, P., Evans, B.,
- 4 Yuen, K. (2017). OCO-2 advances photosynthesis observation from space via solarinduced chlorophyll fluorescence. Science, 358(6360).
- 1532 https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAM5747/FORMAT/PDF/OEBPS/PAGES/2.PAGE.X
   1533 HTML
- Sun, Y., Wen, J., Gu, L., Joiner, J., Chang, C. Y., Tol, C. V. D., Porcar-Castell, A., Magney, T.,
  Wang, L., Hu, L., Rascher, U., Zarco-Tejada, P., Barrett, C. B., Lai, J., Han, J., & Luo, Z.
- 1536 (2023b). From Remotely-Sensed SIF to Ecosystem Structure, Function, and Service: Part II
- 1537 Harnessing Data. Global Change Biology. (companion review)

- Tcherkez, G., & Limami, A. M. (2019). Net photosynthetic CO2 assimilation: More than just
  CO2 and O2 reduction cycles. New Phytologist, 223(2), 520–529.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH.15828
- 1541 Thum, T., Zaehle, S., Köhler, P., Aalto, T., Aurela, M., Guanter, L., Kolari, P., Laurila, T.,
- Lohila, A., Magnani, F., Tol, C. V. D., & Markkanen, T. (2017). Modelling sun-induced
  fluorescence and photosynthesis with a land surface model at local and regional scales in
  northern Europe. Biogeosciences. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-1969-2017
- Tietz, S., Hall, C. C., Cruz, J. A., & Kramer, D. M. (2017). NPQ (T): A chlorophyll fluorescence
  parameter for rapid estimation and imaging of non-photochemical quenching of excitons in
  photosystem-II-associated antenna complexes. Plant, Cell & Environment, 40(8), 1243–
  1255. https://doi.org/10.1111/PCE.12924
- Tol, C. V. D., Berry, J. A., Campbell, P. K. E., & Rascher, U. (2014). Models of fluorescence
  and photosynthesis for interpreting measurements of solar-induced chlorophyll
  fluorescence. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences.
- 1552 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JG002713
- Tol, C. van der, Verhoef, W., Timmermans, J., Verhoef, A., & Su, Z. (2009). An integrated
  model of soil-canopy spectral radiances, photosynthesis, fluorescence, temperature and
  energy balance. Biogeosciences, 6(12), 3109–3129. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-31092009
- Tol, C. van der, Vilfan, N., Dauwe, D., Cendrero-Mateo, M. P., & Yang, P. (2019). The
  scattering and re-absorption of red and near-infrared chlorophyll fluorescence in the models
  Fluspect and SCOPE. Remote Sensing of Environment, 232, 111292.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111292
- Ustin, S. L., & Jacquemoud, S. (2020). How the optical properties of leaves modify the
  absorption and scattering of energy and enhance leaf functionality. Remote Sensing of
- 1563
   Plant Biodiversity, 349–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33157-3\_14/FIGURES/16
- 1564 Verhoef, W. (1984). Light scattering by leaf layers with application to canopy reflectance
  1565 modeling: The SAIL model. Remote Sensing of Environment.
- 1566 https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(84)90057-9
- Verhoef, W. (1985). Earth observation modeling based on layer scattering matrices. Remote
  Sensing of Environment, 17(2), 165–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(85)90072-0
- 1569 Verhoeven, A. (2014). Sustained energy dissipation in winter evergreens. New Phytologist,

```
1570 201(1), 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12466
```

- 1571 Verrelst, J., Camps-Valls, G., Muñoz-Marí, J., Rivera, J. P., Veroustraete, F., Clevers, J. G. P.
  1572 W., & Moreno, J. (2015). Optical remote sensing and the retrieval of terrestrial vegetation
  1573 bio-geophysical properties—A review. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote
  1574 Sensing, 108, 273–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2015.05.005
- 1575 Vilfan, N., Tol, C. V. der, Yang, P., Wyber, R., Malenovský, Z., Robinson, S. A., & Verhoef, W.
  1576 (2018). Extending Fluspect to simulate xanthophyll driven leaf reflectance dynamics.

- 1577 Remote Sensing of Environment, 211(April), 345–356.
- 1578 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.04.012
- 1581 Environment, 186, 596–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.09.017
- Vogelmann, T. C. (1993). Plant Tissue Optics. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant
   Molecular Biology, 44(1), 231–251. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.44.060193.001311
- Wada, M. (2013). Chloroplast movement. Plant Science : An International Journal of
  Experimental Plant Biology, 210, 177–182.
- 1586 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PLANTSCI.2013.05.016
- Walker, A. P., Johnson, A. L., Rogers, A., Anderson, J., Bridges, R. A., Fisher, R. A., Lu, D.,
  Ricciuto, D. M., Serbin, S. P., & Ye, M. (2021). Multi-hypothesis comparison of Farquhar
  and Collatz photosynthesis models reveals the unexpected influence of empirical
  assumptions at leaf and global scales. Global Change Biology, 27(4), 804–822.
- 1591 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15366
- Wang, C., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Cleverly, J., Li, J., Liu, Q., & Sun, Y. (2019). Phenology
  Dynamics of Dryland Ecosystems Along the North Australian Tropical Transect Revealed
  by Satellite Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence. Geophysical Research Letters,
  46(10), 5294–5302. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082716
- Wang, H., Prentice, I. C., Keenan, T. F., Davis, T. W., Wright, I. J., Cornwell, W. K., Evans, B.
  J., & Peng, C. (2017). Towards a universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants.
  Nature Plants, 3(9), 734–741. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0006-8
- Wang, Z., Townsend, P. A., & Kruger, E. L. (2022). Leaf spectroscopy reveals divergent interand intra-species foliar trait covariation and trait–environment relationships across NEON
  domains. New Phytologist. https://doi.org/10.1111/NPH.18204
- Way, D. A., & Pearcy, R. W. (2012). Sunflecks in trees and forests: From photosynthetic
  physiology to global change biology. Tree Physiology, 32(9), 1066–1081.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/TREEPHYS/TPS064
- Wickliff, J. L., & Aronoff, S. (1962). Evidence for Absence of Diurnal Variation of Chlorophyll
  Content in Mature Leaves of Soybean. Plant Physiology, 37(5), 590.
  https://doi.org/10.1104/PP.37.5.590
- Widlowski, J. L., Mio, C., Disney, M., Adams, J., Andredakis, I., Atzberger, C., Brennan, J.,
- Busetto, L., Chelle, M., Ceccherini, G., Colombo, R., Côté, J. F., Eenmäe, A., Essery, R.,
- 1610 Gastellu-Etchegorry, J. P., Gobron, N., Grau, E., Haverd, V., Homolová, L., ... Zenone, T.
- 1611 (2015). The fourth phase of the radiative transfer model intercomparison (RAMI) exercise:
  1612 Actual canopy scenarios and conformity testing. Remote Sensing of Environment, 169,
- 1613 418–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2015.08.016
- Wittenberghe, S. V., Alonso, L., Verrelst, J., Moreno, J., & Samson, R. (2015). Bidirectional
  sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence emission is influenced by leaf structure and light

- scattering properties—A bottom-up approach. Remote Sensing of Environment, 158(2015),
  1617 169–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.11.012
- Wullschleger, S. D. (1993). Biochemical Limitations to Carbon Assimilation in C 3 Plants—A
  Retrospective Analysis of the A/C i Curves from 109 Species. Journal of Experimental
  Botany, 44(5), 907–920. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/44.5.907
- Yang, P., Prikaziuk, E., Verhoef, W., & Tol, C. van der. (2021). SCOPE 2.0: A model to
  simulate vegetated land surface fluxes and satellite signals. Geoscientific Model
  Development, 14(7), 4697–4712. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-4697-2021
- Yang, P., Tol, C. V. D., Campbell, P. K. E., & Middleton, E. M. (2021). Unraveling the physical and physiological basis for the solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis relationship using continuous leaf and canopy measurements of a corn crop.
  Biogeosciences, 18(2), 441–465. https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-18-441-2021
- Yang, P., & Tol, C. van der. (2018). Linking canopy scattering of far-red sun-induced
  chlorophyll fluorescence with reflectance. Remote Sensing of Environment, 209(February),
  456–467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.02.029
- Yang, P., Tol, C. van der, Campbell, P. K. E., & Middleton, E. M. (2020). Fluorescence
  Correction Vegetation Index (FCVI): A physically based reflectance index to separate
  physiological and non-physiological information in far-red sun-induced chlorophyll
  fluorescence. Remote Sensing of Environment, 240, 111676.
- 1635 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2020.111676
- Yang, P., Tol, C. van der, Verhoef, W., Damm, A., Schickling, A., Kraska, T., Muller, O., &
  Rascher, U. (2019). Using reflectance to explain vegetation biochemical and structural
  effects on sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence. Remote Sensing of Environment,
  231(May 2018), 110996. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.11.039
- Yang, P., Verhoef, W., & Tol, C. van der. (2017). The mSCOPE model: A simple adaptation to
  the SCOPE model to describe reflectance, fluorescence and photosynthesis of vertically
  heterogeneous canopies. Remote Sensing of Environment, 201(March), 1–11.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.08.029
- Zaks, J., Amarnath, K., Kramer, D. M., Niyogi, K. K., & Fleming, G. R. (2012). A kinetic model
  of rapidly reversible nonphotochemical quenching. Proceedings of the National Academy
  of Sciences of the United States of America, 109(39), 15757–15762.
- 1647 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211017109
- 1648 Zarco-Tejada, P. (2005). Development of a Vegetation Fluorescence Canopy Model. ESA
  1649 Scientific and Technical Publications Branch, April 2005, 1–138.
- Zeng, Y., Badgley, G., Chen, M., Li, J., Anderegg, L. D. L., Kornfeld, A., Liu, Q., Xu, B., Yang,
  B., Yan, K., & Berry, J. A. (2020). A radiative transfer model for solar induced
  fluorescence using spectral invariants theory. Remote Sensing of Environment,
- 1653 240(March), 111678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111678
- Zeng, Y., Badgley, G., Dechant, B., Ryu, Y., Chen, M., & Berry, J. A. (2019). A practical
  approach for estimating the escape ratio of near-infrared solar-induced chlorophyll

- 1656 fluorescence. Remote Sensing of Environment, 232(July), 111209.
  1657 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.05.028
- 1658 Zhan, W., Yang, X., Ryu, Y., Dechant, B., Huang, Y., Goulas, Y., Kang, M., & Gentine, P.
- (2022). Two for one: Partitioning CO2 fluxes and understanding the relationship between
   solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence and gross primary productivity using machine
- 1661 learning. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 321, 108980.
- 1662 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGRFORMET.2022.108980
- Zhang, Z., Chen, J. M., Guanter, L., He, L., & Zhang, Y. (2019). From Canopy-Leaving to Total
  Canopy Far-Red Fluorescence Emission for Remote Sensing of Photosynthesis: First
  Results From TROPOMI. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(21), 12030–12040.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084832
- 1667 Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., Porcar-Castell, A., Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Yang, X., Migliavacca, M., Ju,
- W., Sun, Z., Chen, S., Martini, D., Zhang, Q., Li, Z., Cleverly, J., Wang, H., & Goulas, Y.
  (2020). Reduction of structural impacts and distinction of photosynthetic pathways in a
- 1670 global estimation of GPP from space-borne solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence.
- 1671 Remote Sensing of Environment, 240, 111722. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111722
- 1672 Zhao, F., Dai, X., Verhoef, W., Guo, Y., Tol, C. van der, Li, Y., & Huang, Y. (2016). FluorWPS:
  1673 A Monte Carlo ray-tracing model to compute sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence of
  1674 three-dimensional canopy. Remote Sensing of Environment, 187, 385–399.
  1675 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.10.036
- 1676 Zhou, K., Zhang, Q., Xiong, L., & Gentine, P. (2022). Estimating evapotranspiration using
   1677 remotely sensed solar-induced fluorescence measurements. Agricultural and Forest
- 1678 Meteorology, 314, 108800. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108800
- 1679
- 1680 1681