

Interpretable machine learning models for autonomous characterization of analogue ocean world seawater chemistry and biosignature potential using isotope ratio data

Lily A. Clough^{1,2}, Brett A. McKinney¹, Bethany P. Theiling³, Victoria Da Poian^{3,6}, Jonathan D. Major⁴, Lauren M. Seyler⁵ ¹University of Tulsa, ²Aurora Engineering, ³NASA-Goddard Space Flight Center, ⁴University of South Florida, ⁵Stockton University, ⁶Microtel LLC

Introduction

Isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) can be used to detect biosignatures¹ and seawater chemistry, but there can be overlap between fractionations caused by biotic and abiotic processes -there is potential for abiotic mimicry of biosignatures.

Machine learning (ML) can use complex effects (multiple main effects and interactions) to disentangle abiotic mimicry and make accurate predictions.

ML predictions of extraterrestrial biosignatures require strong evidence and interpretable models with physically and mathematically meaningful feature spaces and false prediction diagnostics.

Isotope ratio measurements of volatile CO₂ can be used for ML detections of ocean world (OW) biosignatures and seawater chemistry



Automated Quality Control (QC), Data Processing, and Feature Construction

Features (variables) from IRMS measurements² are augmented with extracted time-series (TS) features³.

Automated QC, data processing, and ML predictions prioritize data for transmission in support of science autonomy goals for ocean worlds missions^{4,5}.

Automated prioritization of data and ML detections of biosignatures and chemistry for future OW missions will enhance science return



Feature selection = reduced feature space

biosignatures and salt detection?



Random Forest classification and regression are used to predict the presence of biosignatures, MgSO₄, NaHCO₃, pH and ionic strength using NPDR-LURF selected features.



Feasible pH + ionic strength prediction using NPDR selected features





discordant in false prediction samples.

Local (single-sample) feature importance



References

1. Chou, L., et al. 2021. Planetary Mass Spectrometry for Agnostic Life Detection in the Solar System. Front. Astron. Space Sci. 8. 2. Kopf, S., Davidheiser-Kroll, B., Kocken, I., 2021. Isoreader: An R package to read stable isotope data files for reproducible research. J. Open Source Softw. 6, 2878

3. Da Poian, V., et al. 2023. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) Machine Learning Approaches for Ocean World Analog Mass Spectrometry. Front. Astron. Space Sci. – Plan. Sci. Vol. 10.

4. Theiling, B.P., et al. 2022. Science Autonomy for Ocean Worlds Astrobiology: A Perspective. Astrobiology 22, 901-913.

5. Kang, Y., et al. 2017. Visualising forecasting algorithm performance using time series instance spaces. Int. J. Forecast. 33, 345–358.

6. Tibshirani, R. 1996. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. J.R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Methodol. 58, 267-288. 7. Le, T.T., et al. 2020. Nearest-neighbor Projected Distance Regression (NPDR) for detecting network interactions with adjustments for

multiple tests and confounding. Bioinformatics 36, 2770-2777.

8. Breiman, L. 2021. Random Forests. Mach. Learn. 45, 5-32.

Acknowledgements and Contact

Support for this research was provided by NASA's Planetary Science Division Research Program, through the internal scientist funding model (ISFM) work package Fundamental Laboratory Research (FLaRe) at Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) and the Internal Research and Development (IRAD) Program at GSFC. Please contact lily-clough@utulsa.edu for further details.