

# CENTRAL CALIFORNIA DISASTERS

Incorporating Satellite-Derived Precipitation  
and Soil Moisture Products into Flood  
Preparedness and Emergency  
Management in California

Chanice Brown

Abhinav Banthiya

Shagun Sengupta

Jan Hery



# TEAM INTRODUCTION

**Shagun  
Sengupta**

M.S. Geospatial  
Data Science



**Jan  
Hery**

M.S. Data  
Science



**Abhinav  
Banthiya**

M.A. Climate  
and Society



**Chanice  
Brown**

B.A.  
Geography

# PARTNER



Image Credit: CA DWR, Sand boil 1997

## California Department of Water Resources

- Manages California's water resources
- Different duties like **Flood Preparedness** combined with **Emergency Management**
- Point of contact:
  - **Dr. Mike Anderson**, California State Climatologist
  - **Dr. MD Haque**, Senior Engineer & Supervising Engineer/Manager Risk Assessment



"The CA DWR is interested in improving their risk assessments for water on the ground." – **CA DWR, Project Proposal**



# COMMUNITY CONCERNS




**Image Credit:** Steve Payer, Flooded American River Parkway in 2006



**Economic Impacts**



**Property Damage  
and Loss**



**Public Safety  
and Health Risks**



**Infrastructure  
Damage**

# COMMUNITY CONCERNS

- **California** December 2022 to March 2023
  - Dozens of atmospheric rivers
  - 6 flooding events
  - Integrated water vapor transport (IVT) > 300% to 500% than normal
  - Highest percentages in the Central Valley
  - Over 200,000 buildings lost power
  - 6,000 evacuations



**Image Credit:** Kenneth James, repair work of levee breach on Deer Creek

# PROJECT OVERVIEW

- **Study Area**

- Central California
- **Focus:** Salinas Valley Watershed

- **Study Period**


- November 2022 – April 2023
- At least 12 Atmospheric Rivers

- **Analysis Focus**

- Precipitation
- Soil Moisture

- **Case Study Focus**

- Bradley, California
- January 18<sup>th</sup> & March 14<sup>th</sup>



# EARTH OBSERVATIONS (EO's)




Image Credits: NASA, ESA



Global Precipitation  
Measurement Core  
Observatory (**GPM IMERG**)



**Sentinel-1** C-  
Synthetic Aperture  
Radar (C-SAR)



Soil Moisture Active  
Passive (**SMAP**)


# PROJECT FOCUS

What is the **goal** of this project?

- Incorporating NASA EO's of:
  - Precipitation
  - Soil Moisture
- Combined with:
  - Land Use
  - Flood Inundations
  - Urban Flood Risk Model (Blue-Spot)
  - Social Vulnerability Index



“Can NASA EOs help better assess **pluvial flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?”



**Image Credit:** Andrew Innerarity, Hydrant in standing water on Gate 5 Road in Sausalito

# PROJECT OBJECTIVES



## Precipitation Analysis

Use GPM IMERG late run data to assess the feasibility of using satellite precipitation measurements in areas with sparse ground observations.

# PROJECT OBJECTIVES



## Precipitation Analysis



## Soil Moisture Analysis

Review spatial trends of soil moisture to identify flood prone areas.



# PROJECT OBJECTIVES



## Precipitation Analysis



## Soil Moisture Analysis



## Flood Inundation Maps

Identify flooding extent in Salinas Watershed across the study period to identify locations within the valley that are susceptible to flooding.



# PROJECT OBJECTIVES



**Precipitation Analysis**



**Soil Moisture Analysis**





**Flood Inundation Maps**



**Urban Flood Map Model**

Input factors related to flooding and calculate risk at the neighborhood level

# METHODOLOGY: Flood Contributors




“Can NASA EOs help better assess **pluvial flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?”

# GPM IMERG


## GPM IMERG Final Run V07

Precipitation (Final Run) - 12/24/22




## GPM IMERG Late Run V06

Precipitation (Late Run) - 12/24/22




# GPM Final Run v. Late Run

Precipitation measurement - **Final Run**




Precipitation measurement - **Late Run**



Both observations taken on 2/25/2023

# GPM IMERG – Visual Comparison

Precipitation measurement comparison - BLM



Precipitation estimates from BLM rain gauge and closest identified GPM pixels at 35.35° N latitude and 120.34999 °W longitude



## Insights

GPM IMERG Final Run **underestimated precipitation on an average of 33%** when compared with rain gauge data.


# SMAP



Can we identify **flood-prone** areas with SMAP?


- 9km daily SMAP data
- A lot of data gaps – see example to the right
- Working with at least 3-day averages

Soil Moisture on 2022-12-28



# SMAP

Seven-day Rolling Average Soil Moisture  
2022-11-01 to 2022-11-07




## Soil Moisture Summary

- Ratio of the volume of water present in the soil to the total volume of soil (**VWC**)
- Saturation means that soil pores are filled with water, and no more can be absorbed
- Around **50-60%**

# SMAP


Soil Moisture Over Time (Bradley, CA)  
Closest Pixel: Latitude: 35.833, Longitude: -120.833




Actual flooding events are **represented** in the soil moisture line graph.

## Event of Flooding Number 1, 2022-12-27

Three-day Rolling Average Soil Moisture  
2022-12-24 to 2022-12-26 (Before Event)




Three-day Rolling Average Soil Moisture  
2022-12-27 to 2022-12-29 (After Event)




How can we identify **flood-prone areas** based on the soil moisture?

# SMAP




# SMAP

Highly Saturated Soil, Event Number 5, 2023-03-10




Export coordinates of identified data points and hand them over to create **the bivariate plot**

# Rainfall and Soil Saturation






# METHODOLOGY: Land Cover





“Can NASA EOs help better assess **pluvial flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?”

# LAND USE/LAND COVER




# METHODOLOGY: Flood Risk



“Can NASA EOs help better assess **pluvial flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?”

# Sentinel-1 – Possible Flood Inundations

Adapted from UN  
Spider: Flood Mapping  
and Damage  
Assessment Using  
Sentinel-1 SAR Data in  
Google Earth Engine



## What is it?

- Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery
- Not affected by cloud contamination
- Passes over every 6-12 days



## Why is it relevant?


- Can detect standing water
- In cases of pluvial flooding by ARs, there is near constant cloud cover




## How did we do it?

- Compared images from before and passover closest to AR events for 10<sup>th</sup> January and 14<sup>th</sup> March
- Set a threshold of -22 dB


# Possible Flood Inundations




# POTENTIAL FLOODING: Blue Spot Model



# POTENTIAL FLOODING: Blue Spot Comparison



# SOCIAL VULNERABILITY



Upper quantile of vulnerable communities are found to be situated in the flood prone areas



Possible Flood Inundations from 18<sup>th</sup> Jan and 14<sup>th</sup> March (Sentinel 1)

### Levels of Vulnerability

- No Data
- 0 - 25%
- 25% - 50%
- 50% - 75%
- 75% - 100%



# CONCLUSIONS



## Flooding Contributors

**GPM** underestimates precipitation rates by an average of 33% as compared with in situ measurements due to beam-filling errors.

**SMAP** shows soil moisture played a role in the flooding of the 2022-2023 atmospheric events.



## Flood Risk

**Sentinel 1 C-SAR** imagery is good for detecting standing water and creating flood maps.

**Blue Spot** model is useful for identifying potential for pluvial flooding sites based on elevation.



## Social Vulnerability

Study results suggest a positive relationship between flooding and **vulnerability**.

Topography and **land cover** play an important role in flood risk vulnerability.



“Can NASA EOs help better **assess** pluvial **flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?”

# FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

## Flooding Contributors

- ▶ Use a model to track changes in water budget between atmospheric river events
- ▶ Use imagery of a finer resolution for soil moisture plots

## Flooding Flood Risk

- ▶ Explore the feasibility of using of Near Real Time imagery
- ▶ Consider geospatial record-keeping for past floods



**Image Credit:** Ken James, floodwaters inundating River Road

# Acknowledgments

## **DEVELOP Lead**

- Isabel Lubitz, Maryland – Goddard

## **California Department of Water Resources**

- Dr. MD Haque
- Dr. Michael Anderson
- Weihua (Wayne) Li

## **Science Advisor**

- Dr. Venkataraman Lakshmi, University of Virginia

## **Others**

- Dr. Kenton Ross, NASA Langley Research Center
- Dr. Xia Cai, NASA Langley Research Center
- Marisa Smedsrud, DEVELOP Project Coordination Fellow
- Benjamin Goffin, University of Virginia
- Dr. Bin Fang, University of Virginia

This material contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data (2022 - 2023), processed by ESA.

This material is based upon work supported by NASA through contract 80LARC23FA024. Any mention of a commercial product, service, or activity in this material does not constitute NASA endorsement. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and partner organizations.




# GPM IMERG – Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis to measure accuracy and performance of satellite data


Sl No.	Rain Gauge	Satellite Product	CC	Relative Bias (%)	ME (mm)	RMSE (mm)	POD	FAR
1	ARY	Final Run V07	0.843	-48	9.9	17.6	0.931	0.069
		Late Run V06	0.872	-52.5	9.7	18.4	0.965	0.152
2	PKF	Final Run V07	0.834	-8.5	4.5	8.7	0.857	0.2
		Late Run V06	0.813	-44.2	5.3	9.8	0.929	0.212
3	PSB	Final Run V07	0.915	-5.9	2.7	5.1	0.913	0.25
		Late Run V06	0.822	-29.3	3.7	7.1	0.869	0.31
4	PAS	Final Run V07	0.834	-20.6	5.5	10.4	0.852	0.179
		Late Run V06	0.741	-46.9	6.3	13.2	0.889	0.172
5	BLM	Final Run V07	0.789	-54.4	14	31.5	0.833	0.2
		Late Run V06	0.851	-72	15.5	34.8	0.917	0.29
6	SMB	Final Run V07	0.751	-60.9	19.6	40.1	0.957	0.214
		Late Run V06	0.728	-69.2	20.2	42.8	0.957	0.29

# GPM IMERG – Visual Comparison

## Precipitation measurement comparison - PKF




## Precipitation measurement comparison - PSB




# GPM IMERG – Visual Comparison

## Precipitation measurement comparison - PAS




## Precipitation measurement comparison - ARY




# GPM IMERG – Visual Comparison

Precipitation measurement comparison - SMB



# SMAP

SMAP Spatial Resolution: 1km, 2023-01-19



SMAP Spatial Resolution: 9km, 2023-01-19

