

NEWS LETTER

Detraining: What Happens When Training Backs Off

Preserving Fitness During Planned Recovery Windows, Like The Holidays

By Corey Twine, KBR



The Importance of Understanding Detraining Preserving Performance During Time Off

In high-tempo environments—competition seasons, mission timelines, travel blocks, or the holiday period—full training sessions often give way to operational demands. Workloads stay high, but physical training drops, and being “busy” is not the same as being prepared. Without planned exposure to key movements and intensities, capacities begin to drift (Mujika & Padilla, 2000).

Mujika and Padilla define detraining as the loss of training-induced anatomical, physiological, and performance adaptations following training reduction or cessation. This decline can occur even when training continues but falls below the threshold needed to sustain a quality. Reductions often appear first as early changes in VO_2max , movement economy, or strength expression during busy seasons or holiday schedules.

Short-term detraining (under four weeks) primarily affects cardiorespiratory and metabolic systems—lower plasma volume, stroke volume, cardiac output, and VO_2max —especially when higher lactate use and carbohydrate efficiency are replaced by submaximal work. Longer or complete breaks affect neuromuscular qualities such as strength, power, and eccentric control (Mujika & Padilla, 2000, 2001). Understanding these timelines allows practitioners to plan reductions—like holidays—within structured recovery windows rather than leaving performance losses to chance.

Residual Training Effects How Long Qualities Actually Last

Issurin’s concept of residual effects explains how long adaptations persist once direct training pauses. Each system follows its own decay timeline: high-rate qualities such as speed and explosive power diminish within a week; strength and anaerobic capacity can hold for several weeks; and well-developed aerobic qualities may last even longer with minimal maintenance (Issurin, 2008; 2010).

These predictable timelines help practitioners align holiday training to the qualities most vulnerable to decay. When time is limited, focusing on short, strategically placed exposures prevents meaningful losses while still allowing recovery. This approach turns the holiday slowdown into a purposeful recovery block rather than an unintended detraining period.



All Physical Qualities Don’t Decay Equally

Aligning Holiday Training Priorities With Decay Timelines

When training time is limited—due to holidays, travel, or other constraints—it’s important to prioritize based on the rate at which different qualities decay. Here’s a breakdown of how physical qualities generally decay and the recommended approach to maintaining them:

- **Fast-decay qualities:** These include speed, explosive power, and high-rate force development. These qualities require frequent, short exposures (e.g., sprints, jumps, or fast lifts) to stay sharp.
- **Moderate-decay qualities:** These include anaerobic glycolytic endurance and strength endurance. They benefit from occasional, well-timed exposures, such as moderate-volume strength sessions or tempo intervals.
- **Slow-decay qualities:** These include maximal strength and general aerobic endurance. Once established, these qualities can remain with low-volume, high-intensity efforts for several weeks without significant degradation (Issurin, 2008)

From Definition to Design **Detraining as a Case Study**

When viewed together, detraining and residual training effects offer a simple planning framework. Mujika and Padilla describe what is lost when training falls too low, and Issurin outlines how quickly each quality decays. Two athletes may perform the same number of holiday workouts yet experience very different outcomes depending on whether those sessions matched the decay priorities of their key qualities.

Simple markers—such as jumps, submaximal runs, or strength checks—clearly show whether an athlete is maintaining or slipping. When these indicators are interpreted alongside known decay patterns (e.g., early VO_2max changes or small drops in strength and power), practitioners can confidently scale training down without risking meaningful regression.

Controlled Reduction **The Precision Advantage**

Holiday, deployment, and travel-heavy periods rarely allow full training schedules, but they can still support long-term performance if treated as structured recovery rather than unplanned downtime. Concentrated work on selected qualities, combined with wise use of residual effects, maintains performance even when overall training volume decreases (Issurin, 2008; 2010).

A practical holiday training framework might look like this:

- One brief strength session per week—moderate to heavy sets on key patterns to preserve force production.
- One short speed or power exposure—jumps, throws, or sprints to maintain high-rate qualities.
- One aerobic “touch” session—20–30 minutes of steady or tempo work to keep cardiorespiratory systems active.

These sessions should be sharp, focused, and aligned with the most vulnerable systems. The remainder of the week can prioritize sleep, recovery nutrition, low-intensity movement, and psychological detachment from heavy training. The goal is not merely “time off,” but readiness maintained on reduced load (Mujika & Padilla, 2001).

Sustaining Readiness Under Constraint **Using the Holiday Window Wisely**

Holidays, deployments, and travel-heavy stretches rarely allow for full training schedules, but they can still support long-term performance if they are treated as recovery with a plan.

A practical holiday framework might include:

- One brief strength exposure per week – Moderate to heavy sets on key patterns to uphold force production.
- One short speed or power exposure – Jumps, throws, or sprints to preserve high-rate qualities.
- One aerobic “touch” – A 20–30 minute steady or tempo effort to keep cardiorespiratory adaptations active.

Each session is short, focused, and aligned with the systems most at risk. The rest of the week can emphasize sleep, nutrition, low-intensity activity, and psychological detachment from hard training—allowing accumulated fatigue to unwind without letting key adaptations evaporate. The outcome is not just “time off,” but readiness preserved on less training.

Actionable Insight **Plan the Break, Protect the Base**

When viewed through Mujika’s definition of detraining and Issurin’s residual training effects, the message is straightforward:

- Adaptations are lost when the stimulus becomes insufficient—**not the moment training volume drops**.
- Each physical quality has a different decay curve; some need frequent touchpoints, others can coast for weeks.
- Short, precise exposures during constrained periods protect fragile systems while allowing meaningful recovery.
- For the priority during holidays and other low-load windows is simple:
 - preserve first, then progress when full training returns.

References:

1. Mujika, I., & Padilla, S. (2000). **Detraining: Loss of training-induced physiological and performance adaptations**. Sports Medicine, 30(2), 79–87. Europe PMC
2. Mujika, I., & Padilla, S. (2001). **Cardiorespiratory and metabolic characteristics of detraining in humans**. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., 33(3), 413–421
3. Issurin, V. (2008). **Block periodization versus traditional training theory: a review**. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness, 48(1), 65–75.
4. Issurin, V. (2008). **Residual training effects in strength and endurance sports: facts and perspectives**. Sports Medicine, 38(9), 647–657. Rudy's Training Blog+1