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SUMMARY

The performance of a full-scale translating-spike inlet was obtained at Mach numbers of 1.8 and 2.0 and at angles of attack from 0° to 6°. Comparisons were made between the full-scale production inlet configuration and a geometrically similar quarter-scale model.

The inlet pressure-recovery, cowl pressure-distribution, and compressor-face distortion characteristics of the full-scale inlet agreed fairly well with the quarter-scale results. In addition, the results indicated that bleeding around the periphery ahead of the compressor-face station improved pressure recovery and compressor-face distortion, especially at angle of attack.

INTRODUCTION

Full-scale-inlet performance estimates are usually determined from data derived from small-scale tests. To fully evaluate inlet configurations, it is desirable to investigate the full-scale production version at the simulated flight conditions in order to determine any inlet performance differences between the full-scale and quarter-scale versions.

Included herein are the results of an investigation conducted in the 10- by 10-foot supersonic wind tunnel at the NACA Lewis laboratory on a full-scale production nacelle. The axial-symmetric inlet employed a translating spike and a blunt lip. Two versions of the inlet have been studied in quarter scale, and the results are reported in references 1 and 2. This report discusses the inlet performance results and compares them with the results of the geometrically similar quarter-scale model (ref. 2). The inlet stability characteristics of the full-scale and quarter-scale configurations are included in reference 3. Inlet performance and compressor-face distortions for another full-scale configuration are reported in references 4 and 5.
Data were obtained at angles of attack of 0°, 3°, and 6° and at freestream Mach numbers of 1.8 and 2.0. Testing at free-stream Mach numbers below the facility limit of 2.0 was achieved by the use of compression plates located upstream of the nacelle.

**SYMBOLS**

The following symbols are used in this report:

- $A$: flow area, sq ft
- $A_c$: capture area, 5.0741 sq ft
- $C_d$: drag coefficient, $D/q_0A_c$
- $C_p$: pressure coefficient, $\frac{P - P_0}{q_0}$
- $D$: drag, lb
- $M$: Mach number
- $m$: mass flow, slugs/sec
- $m_1$: total inlet mass flow, $m_2 + m_s$, slugs/sec
- $P$: total pressure, lb/sq ft
- $p$: static pressure, lb/sq ft
- $q$: dynamic pressure, $\frac{1}{2} \rho M^2$, lb/sq ft
- $R$: radius
- $\frac{w \sqrt{\gamma}}{\delta}$: corrected airflow parameter, lb/sec
- $w$: weight flow, lb/sec
- $\alpha$: angle of attack, deg
- $\gamma$: ratio of specific heats for air, 1.4
- $\delta$: ratio of total pressure to NACA standard sea-level static pressure of 2116 lb/sq ft
- $\theta$: ratio of free-stream total temperature to NACA standard sea-level static temperature of 518.7° R
\( \theta_l \)  
spike-position parameter (angle between diffuser axis and line 
joining apex of cone to vertical tangent of cowl lip), deg

Subscripts:

a  additive  
av  average  
c  cowl pressure  
max  maximum  
min  minimum  
s  subinlet  
0  free stream  
l  cowl lip station  
2  compressor-face station

**APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE**

The inlet nacelle configuration was installed in the 10- by 10-foot 
supersonic wind tunnel as shown in figure 1(a). Data were obtained at 
free-stream Mach numbers of 2.0 and 1.8 and at angles of attack of 0°, 
3°, and 6°. Testing the model at Mach numbers below the facility limit 
of 2.0 was achieved by means of compression plates mounted as shown in 
figure 1(b). The principle of operation of these compression plates is 
the same as that discussed in reference 6.

The inlet consisted of a 25° half-angle spike, which could be 
translated for airflow regulation, and a blunt-lip cowl. Details of the 
inlet and compressor-face instrumentation are shown in figure 2. The 
flow-area variation (fig. 3) and the internal geometry of the inlet 
(with the exception of the subinlets) were the same as those of the 
quarter-scale-inlet model reported in reference 2. Although the outside 
contour of the quarter-scale model was not the same as the bottom of the 
production nacelle, it was identical to the top of the nacelle (fig. 
2(a)). The bulge on the bottom of the full-scale nacelle provided space 
for engine accessories. Static-pressure instrumentation was installed 
on the spike and cowl lip to provide additive and cowl pressure drag.

The full-scale inlet had three subinlets mounted around the peripher-
ery of the main inlet (fig. 4). These inlets provided air for engine
oil coolers and the ejector. For the cold-flow configuration, however, the subinlet airflow was bled out of the base around the exit plug. The subinlet throats were choked at all times, thus simulating the condition with engine operation. Pressure instrumentation was installed in all three subinlets. The pressure measurements indicated that each subinlet handled about 3 percent of the total main-inlet flow.

Airflow was varied with a choked exit plug and was measured by the static-pressure survey at the plug entrance shown in figure 1. A flow coefficient of 0.978 was used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of the subinlets during critical operation of the main inlet is presented in figure 5. When the spike was retracted at zero angle of attack, the pressure recovery decreased rapidly because of the lower energy air around the main-inlet periphery. As the angle of attack was increased, the bottom subinlet handled extremely low-energy air as anticipated. Most of the data was taken with the subinlets open; however, a spot check was made with the subinlets closed at the downstream end. A comparison of the profiles in figure 6 indicates that the subinlets removed low-energy air around the periphery, thereby improving both distortion and the mean total pressure. At a 6° angle of attack, flow separation from the bottom of the cowl was removed by the bottom subinlet.

Figures 7 and 8 present the inlet performance data for free-stream Mach numbers of 1.8 and 2.0 at angles of attack of 0°, 3°, and 6°. The results are presented in terms of total inlet (engine plus subinlets) mass flow and are compared with the quarter-scale results (ref. 2). If the experimental accuracy and the effect of the subinlets are considered, the agreement is good. The effect of spike extension on peak pressure recovery (equal to the critical value for this inlet configuration) and on critical flow distortion are shown in figure 9. As noted previously, the performance of the full-scale configuration agreed closely with the quarter-scale results. However, the full-scale-inlet pressure recovery did not increase as rapidly with spike extension as did that of the quarter-scale model. The effectiveness of the subinlets in reducing distortion and in increasing pressure recovery, especially at angle of attack, is apparent in figures 7 and 9(a).

The inlet performance data presented in figures 5 to 9 were obtained at a free-stream Reynolds number of 6.8x10^6 (based on cowl-lip diameter). Varying the Reynolds number from 1.7x10^6 to 9.2x10^6 did not affect the inlet performance when the inlet was not pulsing. The effect of Reynolds number on inlet stability is reported in reference 3.
Because of the blunt cowl lip of the inlet, the cowl pressure drag assumes added importance. A comparison of representative cowl pressure distribution on top of the nacelle for the full-scale inlet and the quarter-scale model is given in figure 10. Reasonably good agreement was obtained, and therefore the integrated cowl pressure drags might be expected to agree. However, as shown in figure 11, the pressure drags obtained in this test were lower than those reported in reference 2. This difference is probably due to more accurate fairing of the pressure distribution curves near the stagnation point for the full-scale inlet. Because of differences in the sizes of the two configurations, more instrumentation for the full-scale configuration was installed in this important region. The measured variation of the stagnation point on the full-scale inlet is presented in figure 12.

Additive drag was computed by means of the method outlined in reference 7. Pressures on the spike and cowl were measured and integrated, while pressure recoveries at the lip were assumed. Allowance for the effect of normal- and oblique-shock locations on the assumed pressure recovery was made. In addition, an average flow direction at the cowl was used. The results are presented in figure 13 and are compared with the theoretical normal-shock and oblique-shock values (ref. 7). For a given spike position, the drag increased with spillage at the same rate as the theoretical normal-shock drag. However, for critical operation, the additive drag decreased as the spike was extended to a spike-position parameter of 38.3°, even though the amount of spillage increased. This can be seen qualitatively in figure 14, which shows that, as the spike was extended, the blunt-lip detachment shock moved closer to the cowl lip, thus reducing the amount of normal-shock spillage. The associated normal-shock additive-drag decrease apparently was large enough to more than compensate for the increase in oblique-shock additive drag.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

The following results were observed in an investigation of a full-scale translating-spike inlet at free-stream Mach numbers of 1.8 and 2.0.

1. Bypassing air from around the periphery ahead of the engine-face station resulted in an increase in inlet pressure recovery and a decrease in distortion, especially at angle of attack.

2. Inlet pressure recovery and compressor-face distortion agreed fairly well between the full-scale production inlet and a geometrically similar quarter-scale model.

3. Although cowl pressure distribution for the quarter-scale and full-scale inlet configurations agreed reasonably well, the integrated
pressure drags were somewhat different. This resulted from more accurate fairing of the pressure distribution curves in the region of the stagnation point for the full-scale configuration.

4. Measured critical additive drag decreased with spike extension even though the total spillage was increased. This effect was due to a decrease in normal-shock spillage around the blunt lip as the spike was extended.
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(c) Compression plates.

Figure 1. Concluded. Nacelle installed in 10- by 10-foot supersonic wind tunnel.
Figure 2. - Inlet details. (All dimensions in inches.)
Lip coordinates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>y₁</th>
<th>y₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.047</td>
<td>.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.100</td>
<td>.092</td>
<td>.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.150</td>
<td>.114</td>
<td>.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.131</td>
<td>.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.250</td>
<td>.146</td>
<td>.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.300</td>
<td>.158</td>
<td>.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.400</td>
<td>.176</td>
<td>.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.500</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td>.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.600</td>
<td>.196</td>
<td>.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.720</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.800</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.900</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.160</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Blunt lip.

Figure 2. - Concluded. Inlet details. (All dimensions in inches.)
Figure 3. - Internal flow-area distribution. (Station 0 located at vertical tangent to cowl lip.)
Figure 4. - Main inlet showing circumferential location of subinlets.
Figure 5. - Performance of subinlets during critical operation of main inlet. Free-stream Mach number, 2.0.
Angle of attack, 0°; spike-position parameter, 37.4°; distortion, 12.0 percent
Angle of attack, 0°; spike-position parameter, 37.4°; distortion, 9.4 percent

Angle of attack, 0°; spike-position parameter, 41.6°; distortion, 11.1 percent
Angle of attack, 0°; spike-position parameter, 41.6°; distortion, 10.9 percent

Angle of attack, 6°; spike-position parameter, 41.6°; distortion, 18.2 percent
Angle of attack, 6°; spike-position parameter, 41.6°; distortion, 12.0 percent

(a) Subinlets closed.
(b) Subinlets open.

Figure 6. - Effect of subinlets on local total-pressure recovery profiles at compressor face. Inlet operating near critical condition.
Figure 7. - Full-scale inlet performance compared with quarter-scale results at free-stream Mach number of 2.0.
Figure 7. - Continued. Full-scale inlet performance compared with quarter-scale results at free-stream Mach number of 2.0.
Figure 7. - Concluded. Full-scale inlet performance compared with quarter-scale results at free-stream Mach number of 2.0.
Figure 8. - Full-scale inlet performance compared with quarter-scale results at free-stream Mach number of 1.8.
Figure 8. - Continued. Full-scale inlet performance compared with quarter-scale results at free-stream Mach number of 1.8.
Figure 8. - Concluded. Full-scale inlet performance compared with quarter-scale results at free-stream Mach number of 1.8.
Figure 9. - Effect of spike position on inlet performance.
Figure 9. Concluded. Effect of spike position on inlet performance.
Figure 10. - Comparison of full-scale and quarter-scale pressure distributions on cowl lip at supercritical inlet operation. Free-stream Mach number, 2.0; angle of attack, 0°.
Figure 11. - Comparison of full-scale and quarter-scale cowl pressure drags. Free-stream Mach number, 2.0; angle of attack, 0°.
Figure 12. Effect of mass-flow ratio on location of stagnation point on blunt lip. Free-stream Mach number, 2.0; angle of attack, 0°.
Figure 13. - Additive-drag characteristics. Free-stream Mach number, 2.0; angle of attack, 0°.
Spike-position parameter, 37.4°; inlet mass-flow ratio, 0.810

Spike-position parameter, 39.9°; inlet mass-flow ratio, 0.870

Spike-position parameter, 41.6°; inlet mass-flow ratio, 0.880

(a) Free-stream Mach number, 2.0.

Spike-position parameter, 35.2°; inlet mass-flow ratio, 0.762

Spike-position parameter, 40.7°; inlet mass-flow ratio, 0.800

Spike-position parameter, 42.2°; inlet mass-flow ratio, 0.800

(b) Free-stream Mach number, 1.8.

Figure 14. - Schlieren photos for critical operation. Angle of attack, 0°.
NOTES: (1) Reynolds number is based on the diameter of a circle with the same area as that of the capture area of the inlet.

(2) The symbol * denotes the occurrence of buzz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report and facility</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of oblique shocks</th>
<th>Type of boundary-layer control</th>
<th>Free-stream Mach number</th>
<th>Reynolds number ( \times 10^6 )</th>
<th>Angle of attack, deg</th>
<th>Angle of yaw, deg</th>
<th>Drag</th>
<th>Inlet-flow profile</th>
<th>Discharge-flow profile</th>
<th>Flow picture</th>
<th>Maximum total-pressure recovery</th>
<th>Mass-flow ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONFID. RM E5716</td>
<td>Blunt lip</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0°, 30°, 60°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.7-0.83</td>
<td>Full-scale production nacelle tested cold flow Effect of inlet scale on model performance presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis 10-by 10-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7 to 9.2</td>
<td>0°, 30°, 60°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>.7-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Unitary Wind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONFID. RM E5716</td>
<td>Blunt lip</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0°, 30°, 60°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.7-0.83</td>
<td>Full-scale production nacelle tested cold flow Effect of inlet scale on model performance presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis 10-by 10-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7 to 9.2</td>
<td>0°, 30°, 60°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>.7-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Unitary Wind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONFID. RM E5716</td>
<td>Blunt lip</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0°, 30°, 60°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.7-0.83</td>
<td>Full-scale production nacelle tested cold flow Effect of inlet scale on model performance presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis 10-by 10-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7 to 9.2</td>
<td>0°, 30°, 60°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>.7-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot Unitary Wind</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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