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SUMMARY

Alpha particles of energy 42 MeV (6.7 pJ) have been elastically and inelastically scattered from isotopes of silver, indium, and antimony in an attempt to excite states which may be describable in terms of an excited core model. Angular distributions (30° ≤ θ_cm ≤ 80°) were measured for excited states of all nuclei. In each isotope, a number of states have been excited with cross sections of the right order of magnitude to indicate that they are collective in nature. The data obtained are compared with that for the appropriate neighboring even-even cores (palladium, cadmium, tin, or tellurium) with respect to the strength of the excitation, the excitation energy, and the multiplicity of states observed. It appears that fairly good agreement with the excited core model is obtained for indium and somewhat less good agreement for the isotopes of silver and antimony. The energy resolution of the present experiment prohibits any strong statements concerning the multiplicity of excited states.

INTRODUCTION

In reference 1, de-Shalit proposed that, in odd A nuclei, there may exist excited states which may be described as arising from the coupling of the odd particle to a collective excitation of the even-even core. He described the low-lying excited states of silver, thallium, and gold as being of this type. The model has, since its proposal, been applied with varying degrees of success to copper 63, lead 207, and yttrium 89 (ref. 1). Most commonly, the states observed correspond to the coupling of an odd nucleon (or hole) to the one phonon 2^+ first excited state of the core. In lead 207, the two states have been seen (ref. 2) which appear to arise from the coupling of a 3p_{1/2} neutron hole to a single phonon 3^- state of lead 208.

In its simplest form, the weak coupling or core excitation model predicts that
(1) In the odd nucleus, there will be a multiplet of excited states which are collective in nature. The number of members of the multiplet will be $2J_c + 1$ or $2J_i + 1$ whichever is smaller. ($J_c$ is the spin of the collective excitation in the even core and $J_i$ is the ground state spin of the odd nucleus.) The spins of these states will be $J_f$ where $|J_c - J_i| \leq J_f \leq |J_c + J_i|$. 

(2) The center of gravity of the excitation energy of the multiplet should be approximately equal to the excitation energy of the collective state in the core.

(3) The electromagnetic transition probability to the ground state should be approximately equal to that for the collective state of the core. This implies that the cross section for inelastic scattering to any member of the multiplet should be proportional to $2J_f + 1$ and that the total cross section for excitation of the multiplet should be equal to that for excitation of the collective state in the core. In addition, the angular distribution for each member of the multiplet should resemble that for excitation of the collective state of the core, that is, characterized by angular momentum transfer $J_c$.

In the present experiment, 42-MeV (6.7-pJ) alpha particles were scattered from silver $^{107}$ and $^{109}$, indium $^{113}$ and $^{115}$, and antimony $^{121}$ and $^{123}$ in an attempt to excite such collective core states, in particular, states corresponding to quadrupole, octupole, and two phonon core vibrations. Alpha particles seemed an ideal tool for this purpose since it is known that their inelastic scattering preferentially excites collective states. In addition, inelastic alpha scattering experiments have been performed on even tin (ref. 3) and tellurium (ref. 4) isotopes whose nuclei form the even-even cores for some of those presently under investigation. Such scattering data is useful for the analysis of the core excitations reported herein.

SYMBOL LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>diffuseness parameter in Woods-Saxon potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E^*$</td>
<td>excitation energy of nuclear level with respect to ground state of nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2d_{5/2}$</td>
<td>classification of nuclear state according to nuclear shell model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fwhm</td>
<td>full width at half maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1g_{9/2}$</td>
<td>classification of nuclear state according to nuclear shell model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1g_{7/2}$</td>
<td>classification of nuclear state according to nuclear shell model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_c$</td>
<td>spin of collective excitation of even core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_f$</td>
<td>excited state spin of odd nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_i$</td>
<td>ground state spin of odd nucleus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The external 42-MeV (6.7-pJ) alpha particle beam of the NASA, Lewis cyclotron was used in conjunction with a 64-inch (1.63-m) diameter scattering chamber for the present experiment. A schematic diagram of the scattering system is shown in figure 1. Details of the experimental arrangement are given elsewhere (refs. 3 and 4). As described in reference 4, a four detector mount was used which permitted the simultaneous measurement of cross sections at four different angles. The angular separation between detectors was 4°, and the angular resolution was approximately 0.75°. The detectors were lithium-drifted silicon produced at Lewis (ref. 5). The electronics were exactly as employed in reference 4. A block diagram is shown in figure 2. The overall energy resolution of the experiment was approximately 80 to 100 keV (13 to 16 fJ) for angles forward
of about 55° for all targets except ¹⁰⁹Ag, whose thickness limited resolution. At back angles, the resolution was 100 to 120 keV (16 to 19 fJ). The incident beam energy was determined to be 42.2±0.20 MeV (6.76±0.03 pJ) by the methods previously described (ref. 3). For all of the isotopes studied here, differential cross sections were measured for scattering angles between approximately 30° and 80°. This angular range was sufficient to establish the angular momentum transfer involved in the transition.

**ABSOLUTE CROSS SECTIONS**

Absolute thickness measurements were made for the silver 107 and 109 (¹⁰⁷Ag and ¹⁰⁹Ag) and indium 115 (¹¹⁵In) targets by means of a transmission experiment with a naturally radioactive alpha emitting source, as outlined in reference 3. The thicknesses and isotopic abundances of these targets are listed in table I. Total error in the absolute cross sections for each of these three targets is estimated to be 10 percent. For the other three targets, indium 113 (¹¹³In) and antimony 121 and 123 (¹²¹Sb and ¹²³Sb), such a determination of target thickness was impossible because of the presence of an impurity (mass number of approximately 30) in considerable abundance. Consequently, the cross sections quoted for these isotopes have been obtained by normalizing the relative values to some known cross section. For ¹¹³In, the elastic cross section was normalized to that for ¹¹⁵In, which was known absolutely. It is not expected that this will introduce an error of more than 10 percent, since, for a given element, the elastic cross sections of various isotopes have been observed to vary by less than this amount (refs. 3 and 4).

For the antimony isotopes, absolute cross sections were obtained by normalizing the elastic scattering to the average elastic cross section of four tin and five tellurium isotopes. The maximum deviation of individual tin and tellurium isotopes from this average is less than 10 percent so that the error introduced into the antimony cross sections by this normalizing should be less than 20 percent. Consequently, the total error in the absolute cross sections for ¹¹³In and the antimony isotopes is estimated to be 15 and 25 percent, respectively. The cross sections obtained for all the isotopes, whether directly or indirectly (by normalizing to other data), are tabulated in tables II to VII. The errors quoted there are only the statistical uncertainty. In cases where cross sections are quoted for individual members of a closely spaced multiplet, there is an uncertainty as to exactly how the multiplet should be analyzed. This results in an additional error which is probably at least as large as the statistical error.

**EXCITATION ENERGIES**

As in previous reports, excitation energies were obtained using the scattering from
the ground state and the well known first excited state \( Q = -4.433 \text{ MeV (710 fJ)} \) of carbon 12 as a calibration. Because four of the targets \((^{113}\text{In},^{115}\text{In},^{121}\text{Sb}, \text{and }^{123}\text{Sb})\) were backed on 25 micrograms per centimeter squared of carbon, this calibration and the experimental run could be done simultaneously. For the silver targets, a thin film of oil gradually accumulated on the target which permitted the same procedure to be followed. In general, the excitation energies determined in this manner are accurate to ±15 keV (2.4 fJ) for strongly excited single peaks. For very weak excitations, the method is limited by the inability to locate the peak accurately, and the error in the excitation energy may be as large as 50 keV (8.0 fJ).

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

**Silver Isotopes**

The silver isotopes should be the simplest to interpret. Silver may be considered to be either a palladium core plus a proton or as a cadmium core plus a proton hole. In either case, since the ground state spin of the silver nuclei is \( \frac{1}{2} \), one expects a series of doublets corresponding to the weak coupling of this ground state spin to the collective excitations of the core. In particular, one expects a doublet of spins \((\frac{3}{2})^-\) and \((\frac{5}{2})^-\) near 500 keV (80 fJ) resulting from the one quadrupole phonon excitation of the core. These states are known in both silver isotopes and were among those used as examples by de-Shalit (ref. 1). The present work was intended to corroborate this knowledge and to look for other excitations of a similar type: in particular, those arising from the coupling of the odd proton (hole) to two-phonon excitations and octupole vibrations of the core. States of this type have been reported by Robinson, Ford, and Stelson in the inelastic scattering of 13-MeV (2.1-pJ) protons from \(^{107}\text{Ag}\) (ref. 6). Typical energy spectra from the present experiment are shown in figure 3 for \(^{107}\text{Ag}\) and \(^{109}\text{Ag}\). The angular distributions for all states which could be analyzed are shown in figure 4. It was impossible to analyze those states in \(^{109}\text{Ag}\), which correspond to the 780 keV (125 fJ) and 1140 keV (183 fJ) levels of \(^{107}\text{Ag}\), because a thicker \(^{109}\text{Ag}\) target resulted in poorer energy resolution.

By far the strongest inelastic peak in both silver spectra is that near 400 keV (64 fJ). The angular distribution of this group is shown in figure 4 to be out of phase with the elastic angular distribution, as is typical for excitation of a quadrupole vibrational state. Inspection of figure 5 shows this peak to be a doublet, and, since the energies of the two states are known, it is possible to determine fairly accurately their relative strengths. The doublet was fit with the sum of two Gaussians, each of which has the same width as the elastic peak. The resultant decomposition is shown in figure 5 for both \(^{107}\text{Ag}\) and
$^{109}\text{Ag}$. The dashed lines in figure 5 represent the individual members of the doublet; the solid line is the total. The experimental data are also shown. The strengths of the two levels involved were consistently in the ratio of 6 to 4, exactly as one would expect on the basis of a $2J_f + 1$ proportionality. (The spins of these two states are $5/2$ and $3/2$.)

There are unfortunately no data available on the scattering of alpha particles from palladium isotopes. There are available (ref. 7), however, values of the deformation parameter $\beta_2$ for the first excited states of all the stable cadmium and palladium isotopes. For each state of the doublet in each isotope, a distorted wave Born approximation (DWBA) analysis (ref. 8) was done to extract a partial deformation parameter $\beta_2^{J_f}$ defined by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{J_f} = \left(\beta_2^{J_f}\right)^2 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{DWBA}$$

(1)

where

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{DWBA} = \frac{2J_f + 1}{2J_f + 1} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{DRC}$$

(2)

Then, if the core excitation model is valid, it is true that (ref. 9)

$$\beta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2J_f + 1}{(2J_1 + 1)(2J_c + 1)}} \beta_2^{(core)}$$

(3)

and it follows that

$$[\beta_2^{(core)}]^2 = \sum_{J_f} \left(\beta_2^{J_f}\right)^2$$

(4)

Determination of the optical model parameters used in the DWBA calculation is discussed in the appendix. The results of the DWBA calculation are shown with the data in figure 4. The partial deformation parameters $\beta_2^{J_f}$ as well as the total deformation parameter $\beta_2^{(core)}$ defined in equations (1) to (4) are listed in table VIII. Also listed are the deformation parameters measured by Stelson and McGowan (ref. 7) for cadmium.
and palladium, and partial deformation parameters for both states of the silver 107 doublet (unpublished data by Ford, Wong, Tamura, Robinson, and Stelson of Oak Ridge National Laboratories). It is clear that excellent agreement exists. However, the errors in the total $\beta_2$ obtained from the DWBA calculations are 10 to 15 percent and the errors in those of Stelson and McGowan are listed as 5 percent (ref. 7). Thus, it is not possible to say definitely whether the silver core resembles the palladium or the cadmium nucleus more strongly. The center of gravity energy of the doublet is 380±15 keV (61 fJ) for both $^{107}\text{Ag}$ and $^{109}\text{Ag}$. These are also compared with the energies of the first excited states in the corresponding cadmium and palladium nuclei in table VIII. It is clear that the excitations are at a somewhat lower energy in both silver isotopes than the corresponding excitations in either palladium or cadmium. However, for both of the silver isotopes, they lie closer to the palladium than to the cadmium.

In both silver nuclei a number of somewhat weaker excitations were observed at energies between 0.500 and 2.0 MeV (80.1 and 320 fJ). The intensities of these peaks and the energy resolution of the experiment made it impossible to analyze all these states. In $^{107}\text{Ag}$, differential cross sections were obtained for states at excitation energies of 0.780, 0.953, and 1.14 MeV (125, 152, and 183 fJ). These are shown with the other $^{107}\text{Ag}$ data in figure 4(a). The angular distributions for all three of these states are similar, and they appear to exhibit a slight shift such that they are neither exactly in nor out of phase with the elastic scattering. Unpublished results of 42-MeV (6.7-pJ) alpha scattering from even cadmium isotopes indicate a similar shift of the angular distribution for scattering to two phonon states. The magnitudes of these $^{107}\text{Ag}$ cross sections are smaller by a factor of about 5 than for either member of the single-phonon doublet. This relative magnitude is typical of a two-phonon excitation. All this indicates that these states arise from the coupling of the odd particle (or hole) to a two-phonon excitation of the core. This is consistent with the findings of Robinson, Ford, and Stelson (ref. 6), who report that the cross sections for inelastic proton scattering to the 0.953- and 1.14-MeV (152 and 183 fJ) levels are similar to those for scattering to the two-phonon state of $^{106}\text{Pd}$. Only one state in this energy range at 0.860 MeV (138 fJ) could be analyzed for $^{109}\text{Ag}$, because of the poorer energy resolution and slightly closer level spacing. The differential cross section for this one state was similar to that for the 0.953 MeV (152 fJ) state in $^{107}\text{Ag}$ both in shape and magnitude, so that, presumably, it is a similar type of excitation.

In addition, states were seen in both silver nuclei at an energy of 2.17 MeV (348 fJ), which possessed an angular distribution characteristic of an angular momentum transfer of 3. These states can be associated with the coupling of the odd proton (or hole) to an octupole vibration of the core. This observed excitation energy is fairly close to reported excitation energies for spin three states in both palladium (ref. 9) and cadmium (ref. 10). These energies are listed in table IX. In neither of the octupole states in
silver can any positive indication be seen of a splitting, such as one would expect on the basis of the weak coupling model. If the states in question are doublets, then the separation between the members of the doublet must be less than 60 keV (9.6 fJ), otherwise it would manifest itself at least as a broadening of the peak in the present experiment. The partial deformation parameters obtained for these states are listed in table IX. These values are approximately what is expected for one member of the 3\(^{-}\) doublet. For example, \(J_f^\beta = 0.10\) for \(^{107}\)Ag. The value of \(\beta_3\) for \(^{106}\)Pd is reported (ref. 9) to be 0.15 and that for \(^{108}\)Cd should be approximately the same (ref. 10). Therefore, on the basis of the weak coupling model, partial values of \(\beta_3^{5/2} = 0.10\) and \(\beta_3^{7/2} = 0.11\) are expected. Nowhere in the silver spectra, however, were there states with sufficient strength to account for the remaining octupole strength. A summary of all the states excited in both silver isotopes is shown in figure 6.

**Indium Isotopes**

Indium is considerably more complicated than silver since the ground state spins of both stable indium nuclei are \(9/2^+\). The last proton of the indium nuclei is situated in the \(1g_{9/2}\) shell. Consequently, indium may be considered as either a tin core plus a proton hole or a cadmium core plus a proton. Because of the large spin of the ground state, the one quadrupole-phonon core excitation is expected to split into five components with spins \(5/2\), \(7/2\), \(9/2\), \(11/2\), and \(13/2\). The octupole vibrational state will be split into seven components with spins \(3/2\), \(5/2\), \(7/2\), \(9/2\), \(11/2\), \(13/2\), and \(15/2\). It was expected that the energy resolution possible in the present experiment would not be capable of completely resolving these multiplets. Typical energy spectra for \(^{113}\)In and \(^{115}\)In are shown in figure 7. Two rather strong groups are immediately obvious, one near 1.2 MeV (192 fJ) and one near 2.4 MeV (384 fJ). These two multiplets were analyzed to determine whether they could be interpreted as vibrational core excitations.

It was first determined that all the states excited near 1.2 MeV (192 fJ) were similar in nature and that all those near 2.4 MeV (384 fJ) were similar. This is shown in figure 8, where the angular distributions for the five most prominent components of the \(^{113}\)In spectrum (1.17, 1.36, 1.56, 2.17, and 2.48 MeV or 187, 218, 250, 348, and 397 fJ) are shown. It is clear that the 1.17-, 1.36-, and 1.56-MeV (187-, 218-, and 250-fJ) peaks are out-of-phase with the elastic angular distribution, and that the relative strengths of the three are approximately independent of angle. Consequently, the entire group will be treated together. Similarly, the 2.17- and 2.48-MeV (348- and 397-fJ) states are in phase with the elastic cross section and will be treated as a unit. The angular distributions of the corresponding groups of \(^{115}\)In exhibit the same behavior.

The sum of the strengths of the 1.17-, 1.36-, and 1.56-MeV (187-, 218-, and 250-fJ) peaks in the \(^{113}\)In spectrum is plotted in figure 9(a), and compared with that for the first
excited (the one quadrupole-phonon) state of the tin core. (The tin cross sections shown here are for $^{116}$Sn. The most appropriate for comparison with $^{113}$In would be $^{114}$Sn. These data are not available; however, it is known that neither quadrupole nor octupole cross sections vary very rapidly with neutron number for the tin isotopes, see ref. 3.) It is clear that the total strength is, within experimental error, equal to the tin cross section, as required by the weak coupling model. Also shown in figure 9(a) is the total strength of the multiplet near 2.4 MeV (385 fJ). It is compared with the cross section for excitation of the one octupole-phonon (3−) state in $^{116}$Sn. Again it is clear that, within experimental error, the tin and indium cross sections are equal. A similar comparison of $^{115}$In and $^{116}$Sn is shown in figure 9(b). The same conclusions may be drawn.

It is also interesting to determine whether the number of peaks in each multiplet is that expected on the basis of the core excitation model. It is clear that the resolution obtained in the present experiment does not permit an absolute answer to this question; however, some limits may be set by close examination of the energy spectra. From figure 7(a), the $^{113}$In group at 1.17 MeV (187 fJ) is at least a doublet. In fact, there have been four states reported at excitation energies of 1.120, 1.176, 1.374, and 1.590 MeV (179.3, 188.2, 220.1, and 254.5 fJ) (ref. 11). On the basis of the data presented herein, one could not eliminate the possible existence of a fifth member of the 2+ multiplet. However, the study of reference 11 had a resolution of several keV and did not indicate the existence of any other state except for one at 1.026 MeV (164.2 fJ). This state was definitely not excited in this investigation, and hence, is probably not the result of a core excitation. High resolution studies of the 3− multiplet have not been reported; therefore, it is more difficult to identify individual peaks.

For $^{115}$In, a more detailed analysis has been made for both the 2+ and the 3− multiplets based on the following assumptions:

1. The individual lines of the multiplet have Gaussian shapes with a width equal to that displayed by the elastically scattered alpha particles.

2. The individual components have intensities proportional to $2J_{f} + 1$, as required by the weak-coupling model.

3. Previous reports of excitation energies are correct (refs. 11 to 14). In particular, it was assumed that states existed at energies of 1.080 (5/2+), 1.125 (13/2+), 1.285 (11/2+), and 1.420 (9/2+) MeV (173.1, 180.2, 206.0, and 227.6 fJ). A previously reported state at 0.935 MeV (149.7 fJ) was not included because it was not excited with any significant cross section.

Such analysis is shown in figure 10(a) where the dashed lines are members of the multiplet and the solid line is the total, which includes a flat background of 50 counts. It is possible to add another state of 1.10 MeV (176 fJ) with a spin of 7/2 and improve the fit to the experimental spectrum as shown in figure 10(b). Hence, it is clear that all five members of the multiplet may be present. However, it is true that gamma ray spectra
with much higher energy resolution (ref. 13) than the present experiment have failed to indicate the presence of the fifth level (7/2^+), so that the evidence presented here should be considered as rather weak.

A similar construction is shown in figure 11 for the 3^- multiplet of $^{115}$In with states at 1.94 (5/2^-), 2.05 (9/2^-), 2.11 (13/2^-), 2.29 (7/2^-), 2.34 (3/2^-), 2.41 (11/2^-), and 2.46 (15/2^-) MeV (311, 328, 338, 367, 375, 386, and 394 fJ). Again, it indicates only the possible existence of a multiplet of seven states, and should not be taken to indicate the existence or excitation energy of any one particular state.

Also, the center of gravity of each multiplet was computed. The energy calibration was done in the same manner as for the silver (the indium targets were mounted on carbon backings). The results of these calculations are shown in table X. There is excellent agreement with the energy levels of the tin isotope, poorer agreement with those of the cadmium isotope. A summary of all the indium levels is shown in figure 12.

**ANTIMONY ISOTOPES**

Antimony is the most complicated of the isotopes reported herein. The ground state spin of $^{121}$Sb is (5/2)^+ so that the core quadrupole excitation will be split into five components and the octupole into six. For $^{123}$Sb the ground state spin is (7/2)^+ so that the quadrupole excitation results in five states, the octupole in seven. Typical energy spectra for $^{121}$Sb and $^{123}$Sb are shown in figure 13. In $^{121}$Sb, groups were observed at 0.545, 1.04, and 1.15 MeV (87.4, 166.8, and 184.1 fJ). In $^{123}$Sb a similar spectrum was observed with states at 0.549 MeV (87.9 fJ) and an unresolved doublet at 1.08 MeV (173.2 fJ). All these groups displayed a differential cross section typical of a quadrupole excitation (fig. 14). States of $^{121}$Sb were reported previously by Barnes, et al. (ref. 15) at 0.506, 0.573, 1.024, and 1.143 MeV (81.2, 91.7, 164.2, and 183.2 fJ). They also reported states in $^{123}$Sb at 0.541, 1.029, 1.087, and 1.181 MeV (86.7, 164.8, 174.1, and 189.2 fJ). Of these, the states near 0.550 MeV (88.1 fJ) in both isotopes are not perfect weak-coupling excitations since Barnes, et al. reported rather large spectroscopic factors as measured in ($^3$He, d) reactions. However, the cross sections for inelastic alpha scattering indicate that, in this respect, they are weak-coupling states. The total quadrupole strength of each antimony isotope is compared in figure 15 with the strengths of the first 2^+ states in the corresponding tin and tellurium isotopes. The antimony 2^+ multiplet agrees better with the tin cross sections than with the tellurium.

Two other groups were excited in $^{121}$Sb. The first of these was centered about an energy of 2.26 MeV (362 fJ). The width of this group (0.450 MeV; 72.3 fJ) would require the presence of at least five states. The angular distribution of this group (fig. 14) is in phase with that of the elastic scattering, indicating that these states may be mem-
bers of an octupole excitation. In figure 15, this cross section is compared with those of the octupole states in $^{120}\text{Sn}$ and $^{122}\text{Te}$ and is found to agree well with both. The $3^-$ group of $^{123}\text{Sb}$ was not observed because of the presence of an impurity.

The other state in $^{121}\text{Sb}$ was observed at an excitation energy of 1.42 MeV (227 fJ). Its angular distribution (fig. 14) could not be classified as either in or out of phase with the elastic scattering. Consequently, it is not included in the total strengths of either the $2^+$ or the $3^-$ multiplets shown in figure 15.

The centers of gravity of the two multiplets are listed in table XI. The quadrupole multiplets are closer to the $2^+$ level of tin. However, the octupole groups of $^{121}\text{Sb}$ agree equally well with either tin or tellurium. A summary of all the antimony levels is shown in figure 16.

**DISCUSSION**

All the isotopes studied here exhibit states classifiable as core excitations. In the silver nuclei, two states were seen which have the proper angular distribution, strength, and excitation energy to be the result of the coupling of a proton (or hole) to the one quadrupole phonon excitation of the core. Also a state was seen at the proper excitation energy with the right differential cross section to be classified as one member of a doublet resulting from an octupole excitation of the core. However, the remainder of the octupole strength was not seen in either silver isotope. In addition, a number of weakly excited states were seen whose energies and angular distributions indicate that they may result from excitation of two phonon states of the even core. Nothing observed in the present experiment indicates whether the silver cores resemble more strongly a palladium or a cadmium nucleus. The information is summarized in tables VIII and IX, and figures 4 and 6.

The indium isotopes can be described quite well in terms of a core excitation model. Two multiplets were seen whose total strengths, angular distributions, and centers of gravity are in excellent agreement with the corresponding quantities in the even tin neighbor. The only respect in which the weak coupling model might appear to be deficient in its description of the indium nuclei is in the number of members of the multiplet. The work reported herein, however, cannot make any definite statement in this regard. It does seem clear on the basis of the present work that the cores of the odd indium isotopes resemble the tin isotopes much more strongly than they do the cadmium. This is most noticeable in the comparison of the excitation energies. This information is summarized in table X and figures 9 and 12.

The antimony isotopes clearly bear some resemblance to a core excited nucleus. A number of states (probably 3 or 4) are seen which have the angular distribution and almost the strength expected for a core quadrupole excitation. A group of states is seen
which resembles an octupole multiplet. The quadrupole multiplet, however, is split with an appreciable part of it appearing at a lower energy (0.550 MeV; 88.1 fJ). This information is summarized in table XI and figures 15 and 16. In addition, these states have a rather large amount of single-particle character as indicated by the \(^3\text{He, d}\) studies (ref. 15). Very likely these deviations from the model are due to the existence of a very low-lying single-particle state in each of the antimony isotopes. This is the \(\text{1g}_{7/2}\) state (0.035 MeV; 5.61 fJ) in \(^{121}\text{Sb}\) and the \(\text{2d}_{5/2}\) state (0.160 MeV; 25.6 fJ) in \(^{123}\text{Sb}\). The existence of such a low-lying single particle level could destroy the weak coupling between the odd particle (hole) and core thus causing considerable deviations from the predictions of the simple model. Several authors (refs. 16 to 18) have treated this problem and have attempted to calculate the expected energy levels including the effects of possible admixtures of other low-lying single particle states. A higher resolution study of these isotopes would be necessary to study individual members of the multiplets excited herein.

Lewis Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration,
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129-02-04-06-22.
The optical model parameters used in the DWBA calculation (ref. 8) were obtained from the analysis of the elastic scattering using the optical model program of Melkanoff, et al. (ref. 19). The nuclear part of the optical potential was assumed to have a Woods-Saxon radial form factor using the same radius and diffuseness for both the real and imaginary part of the potential

\[
U(r) = -(V + iW) \left[ 1 + \exp \left( \frac{r - R_o A^{1/3}}{a} \right) \right]^{-1}
\]

It is known from previously reported works (refs. 3 and 4) that a continuous set of equivalently good optical model parameters could be found for scattering of 42-MeV (6.7-pJ) alpha particles from tin and tellurium isotopes. Thus, for these calculations, the value of the nuclear radius parameter \( R_o \) was fixed at 1.45 fm and the computer program optimized the three parameters \( V, W, \) and \( a \) by minimizing the quantity \( \chi^2 \). A tabulation of these parameters resulting from these searches is given as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Strength of real part of nuclear optical potential, ( V ) MeV</th>
<th>Strength of imaginary part of nuclear optical potential, ( W ) pJ</th>
<th>Diffuseness parameter in Woods-Saxon potential, ( a ), fm</th>
<th>Nuclear radius constant, ( R_o ), fm</th>
<th>Total reaction cross section, ( \sigma_R ), fm(^2)</th>
<th>Goodness of fit per data point, ( \chi^2/N )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(^{107}\text{Ag})</td>
<td>59.99</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>25.04</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.6605</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{109}\text{Ag})</td>
<td>55.57</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>0.7047</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{113}\text{In})</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>0.6645</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{115}\text{In})</td>
<td>54.67</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.6744</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{121}\text{Sb})</td>
<td>56.23</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>28.88</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.6594</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{123}\text{Sb})</td>
<td>54.56</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.6442</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Table I. - Description of Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Enrichment, percent</th>
<th>Thickness, keV</th>
<th>Thickness, mg/cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silver 107</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>20.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver 109</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>515.7</td>
<td>82.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indium 115</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>108.3</td>
<td>173.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table II. - Differential Cross Sections for Scattering of 42-MeV Alpha Particles by Silver 107

### (a) Elastic Scattering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.32</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.0</td>
<td>47.79</td>
<td>0.466 ± 0.009</td>
<td>64.14</td>
<td>0.0884 ± 0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.38</td>
<td>16.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>49.84</td>
<td>0.593 ± 0.003</td>
<td>66.17</td>
<td>0.155 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.45</td>
<td>8.20 ± 0.2</td>
<td>51.89</td>
<td>0.582 ± 0.010</td>
<td>68.20</td>
<td>0.0906 ± 0.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.2</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>0.333 ± 0.003</td>
<td>70.23</td>
<td>0.0258 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.57</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.1</td>
<td>55.98</td>
<td>0.109 ± 0.005</td>
<td>72.26</td>
<td>0.0321 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.63</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.1</td>
<td>58.02</td>
<td>0.0710 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>74.28</td>
<td>0.0197 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.68</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.1</td>
<td>60.06</td>
<td>0.128 ± 0.005</td>
<td>76.30</td>
<td>0.00613 ± 0.00033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.74</td>
<td>0.927 ± 0.007</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>0.138 ± 0.002</td>
<td>78.32</td>
<td>0.0087 ± 0.00013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (b) Inelastic Scattering to 0.380-MeV (60.9-fj) \( 2^+ \) Doublet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.32</td>
<td>0.333 ± 0.004</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td>0.0515 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>68.18</td>
<td>0.0387 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.39</td>
<td>0.137 ± 0.003</td>
<td>51.90</td>
<td>0.0122 ± 0.0016</td>
<td>68.21</td>
<td>0.0318 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.45</td>
<td>0.499 ± 0.005</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>0.0513 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>70.24</td>
<td>0.0668 ± 0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.57</td>
<td>0.329 ± 0.004</td>
<td>55.90</td>
<td>0.112 ± 0.005</td>
<td>72.27</td>
<td>0.0092 ± 0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.63</td>
<td>0.0530 ± 0.0018</td>
<td>58.03</td>
<td>0.0640 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>74.29</td>
<td>0.00593 ± 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.69</td>
<td>0.124 ± 0.002</td>
<td>60.07</td>
<td>0.0211 ± 0.0020</td>
<td>76.31</td>
<td>0.0130 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.74</td>
<td>0.268 ± 0.004</td>
<td>62.11</td>
<td>0.00350 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>78.33</td>
<td>0.0123 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>0.236 ± 0.007</td>
<td>64.15</td>
<td>0.0221 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>78.33</td>
<td>0.0123 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (c) Inelastic Scattering to 0.780-MeV (125-fj) Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, ( \theta_{cm}, \text{deg} )</th>
<th>Differential cross section, ( \sigma/d\Omega, \text{fm}^2/\text{sr} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.08</td>
<td>0.0514 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>49.61</td>
<td>0.00391 ± 0.00028</td>
<td>63.91</td>
<td>0.00041 ± 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.15</td>
<td>0.0414 ± 0.0016</td>
<td>51.66</td>
<td>0.00312 ± 0.00058</td>
<td>65.95</td>
<td>0.00043 ± 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>0.0146 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>53.71</td>
<td>0.00217 ± 0.00021</td>
<td>67.98</td>
<td>0.00050 ± 0.00010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.33</td>
<td>0.0184 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td>0.00066 ± 0.00025</td>
<td>70.01</td>
<td>0.00059 ± 0.00010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.39</td>
<td>0.0121 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>57.80</td>
<td>0.00162 ± 0.00018</td>
<td>74.06</td>
<td>0.00025 ± 0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.51</td>
<td>0.00245 ± 0.00039</td>
<td>59.84</td>
<td>0.00125 ± 0.00039</td>
<td>76.08</td>
<td>0.00004 ± 0.00003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.56</td>
<td>0.00319 ± 0.00056</td>
<td>61.88</td>
<td>0.00097 ± 0.00014</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>0.00011 ± 0.00004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE II. - Concluded. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF

42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY SILVER 107

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.33</td>
<td>0.0532 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>49.86</td>
<td>0.0195 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>66.20</td>
<td>0.00191±0.00019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.40</td>
<td>0.0202 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>51.91</td>
<td>0.0111 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>68.23</td>
<td>0.00301±0.00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>0.0225 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>53.96</td>
<td>0.0026 ± 0.0002</td>
<td>70.26</td>
<td>0.00358±0.00026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.58</td>
<td>0.0399 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>0.0041 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>72.28</td>
<td>0.00313±0.00024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.64</td>
<td>0.0313 ± 0.0014</td>
<td>58.04</td>
<td>0.0092 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>74.31</td>
<td>0.00112±0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.70</td>
<td>0.0102 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>60.09</td>
<td>0.0089 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>76.33</td>
<td>0.00063±0.00011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>0.0159 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>62.12</td>
<td>0.00465±0.00031</td>
<td>78.35</td>
<td>0.00124±0.00015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.81</td>
<td>0.0249 ± 0.0021</td>
<td>64.16</td>
<td>0.00127±0.00015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) Inelastic scattering to 0.953-MeV (152-$\pi^0$) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.09</td>
<td>0.0675 ± 0.0017</td>
<td>49.62</td>
<td>0.00925±0.00043</td>
<td>63.92</td>
<td>0.00109±0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.15</td>
<td>0.0349 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>51.67</td>
<td>0.00685±0.00087</td>
<td>65.96</td>
<td>0.00102±0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.28</td>
<td>0.0275 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>53.72</td>
<td>0.00242±0.00021</td>
<td>67.99</td>
<td>0.00142±0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>0.0282 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>55.76</td>
<td>0.00175±0.00044</td>
<td>70.02</td>
<td>0.00254±0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.40</td>
<td>0.0244 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>57.80</td>
<td>0.00370±0.00027</td>
<td>74.04</td>
<td>0.00098±0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.51</td>
<td>0.00673±0.00064</td>
<td>59.85</td>
<td>0.00428±0.00068</td>
<td>76.09</td>
<td>0.00035±0.00008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.57</td>
<td>0.00959±0.00108</td>
<td>61.88</td>
<td>0.00350±0.00026</td>
<td>78.11</td>
<td>0.00046±0.00009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Inelastic scattering to 1.14-MeV (183-$\pi^0$) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.35</td>
<td>0.243 ± 0.003</td>
<td>49.88</td>
<td>0.0289 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>66.23</td>
<td>0.00378±0.00026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>0.190 ± 0.003</td>
<td>51.93</td>
<td>0.0338 ± 0.0020</td>
<td>68.26</td>
<td>0.00453±0.00029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>0.0555 ± 0.0016</td>
<td>53.98</td>
<td>0.0201 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>70.29</td>
<td>0.00491±0.00030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.58</td>
<td>0.0554 ± 0.0016</td>
<td>56.03</td>
<td>0.0116 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>72.32</td>
<td>0.00355±0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.66</td>
<td>0.0828 ± 0.0023</td>
<td>58.07</td>
<td>0.0058 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>74.34</td>
<td>0.00332±0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.72</td>
<td>0.0506 ± 0.0015</td>
<td>60.11</td>
<td>0.0122 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>76.36</td>
<td>0.00202±0.00019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.78</td>
<td>0.0237 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>62.15</td>
<td>0.00366±0.00041</td>
<td>78.38</td>
<td>0.00074±0.00012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.83</td>
<td>0.0221 ± 0.0017</td>
<td>64.19</td>
<td>0.00780±0.00038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(f) Inelastic scattering to 2.17-MeV (348-$\pi^0$) level
### TABLE III. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF 42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY SILVER 109

#### (a) Elastic scattering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.0</td>
<td>47.76</td>
<td>0.507 ± 0.003</td>
<td>64.10</td>
<td>0.0562 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.36</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>49.81</td>
<td>0.597 ± 0.003</td>
<td>66.14</td>
<td>0.0146 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>8.67 ± 0.02</td>
<td>51.86</td>
<td>0.550 ± 0.003</td>
<td>68.17</td>
<td>0.0116 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.48</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.1</td>
<td>53.91</td>
<td>0.291 ± 0.002</td>
<td>70.20</td>
<td>0.0294 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.54</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.1</td>
<td>55.95</td>
<td>0.0966 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>72.22</td>
<td>0.0270 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.60</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.1</td>
<td>57.99</td>
<td>0.0835 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>74.25</td>
<td>0.0162 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.66</td>
<td>2.02 ± 0.1</td>
<td>60.03</td>
<td>0.130 ± 0.002</td>
<td>76.27</td>
<td>0.00401 ± 0.00016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.71</td>
<td>0.875 ± 0.004</td>
<td>62.07</td>
<td>0.118 ± 0.001</td>
<td>78.29</td>
<td>0.00151 ± 0.00010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (b) Inelastic scattering to 0.380-MeV (60.9-$f_J$) $2^+$ doublet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.30</td>
<td>0.378 ± 0.004</td>
<td>47.77</td>
<td>0.211 ± 0.002</td>
<td>64.11</td>
<td>0.0241 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>0.101 ± 0.002</td>
<td>49.82</td>
<td>0.0533 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>66.14</td>
<td>0.0318 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.43</td>
<td>0.498 ± 0.004</td>
<td>51.87</td>
<td>0.00381 ± 00025</td>
<td>68.18</td>
<td>0.0175 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.49</td>
<td>0.581 ± 0.004</td>
<td>53.91</td>
<td>0.0469 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>72.23</td>
<td>0.00070 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.55</td>
<td>0.302 ± 0.003</td>
<td>55.96</td>
<td>0.0967 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>74.25</td>
<td>0.00433 ± 0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.61</td>
<td>0.0354 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>0.0626 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>76.27</td>
<td>0.0119 ± 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.66</td>
<td>0.108 ± 0.002</td>
<td>60.04</td>
<td>0.0136 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>78.29</td>
<td>0.0104 ± 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.71</td>
<td>0.250 ± 0.002</td>
<td>62.08</td>
<td>0.00360 ± 00025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (c) Inelastic scattering to 0.860-MeV (138-$f_J$) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.31</td>
<td>0.0567 ± 0.0014</td>
<td>45.73</td>
<td>0.00895 ± 0.00043</td>
<td>60.05</td>
<td>0.00501 ± 0.00029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.38</td>
<td>0.0149 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>47.78</td>
<td>0.01454 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>62.09</td>
<td>0.00167 ± 0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.44</td>
<td>0.0126 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>49.83</td>
<td>0.0104 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>64.12</td>
<td>0.00082 ± 0.00007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>0.0278 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>51.88</td>
<td>0.00119 ± 00014</td>
<td>66.16</td>
<td>0.00093 ± 0.00008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.56</td>
<td>0.0337 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>53.92</td>
<td>0.00195 ± 00018</td>
<td>72.24</td>
<td>0.00019 ± 0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.62</td>
<td>0.0148 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>55.97</td>
<td>0.00391 ± 00025</td>
<td>74.27</td>
<td>0.00057 ± 0.00006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.67</td>
<td>0.0064 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>58.01</td>
<td>0.00387 ± 00025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (d) Inelastic scattering to 2.17-MeV (348-$f_J$) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>$\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>$\frac{du}{dS^2}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.33</td>
<td>0.129 ± 0.002</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>0.0109 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>60.08</td>
<td>0.00697 ± 0.00034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.39</td>
<td>0.107 ± 0.002</td>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>0.0051 ± 0.0003</td>
<td>62.12</td>
<td>0.00651 ± 0.00033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>0.0501 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td>0.0152 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>64.16</td>
<td>0.00350 ± 0.00015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>0.0169 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>51.90</td>
<td>0.0188 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>66.19</td>
<td>0.00164 ± 0.000111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.58</td>
<td>0.0386 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>53.95</td>
<td>0.0115 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>72.28</td>
<td>0.00188 ± 0.00011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.64</td>
<td>0.0552 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>0.00288 ± 00022</td>
<td>74.30</td>
<td>0.00174 ± 0.00011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.69</td>
<td>0.0390 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>58.04</td>
<td>0.00348 ± 00024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. - DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF 42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY INDIUM 113\textsuperscript{a}

(a) Elastic scattering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41.08</td>
<td>3.11 $\pm$ 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.13</td>
<td>2.57 $\pm$ 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.19</td>
<td>1.41 $\pm$ 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>0.643 $\pm$ 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>0.669 $\pm$ 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.33</td>
<td>0.640 $\pm$ 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.38</td>
<td>0.468 $\pm$ 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.42</td>
<td>0.214 $\pm$ 0.0030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57.46</td>
<td>0.0987 $\pm$ 0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.50</td>
<td>0.124 $\pm$ 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.54</td>
<td>0.145 $\pm$ 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.57</td>
<td>0.0867 $\pm$ 0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.60</td>
<td>0.0334 $\pm$ 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.63</td>
<td>0.0174 $\pm$ 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.66</td>
<td>0.0308 $\pm$ 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.69</td>
<td>0.0364 $\pm$ 0.0010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73.71</td>
<td>0.0255 $\pm$ 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.73</td>
<td>0.0111 $\pm$ 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.75</td>
<td>0.00319 $\pm$ 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.77</td>
<td>0.00597 $\pm$ 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.78</td>
<td>0.00828 $\pm$ 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.79</td>
<td>0.00788 $\pm$ 0.0006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Inelastic scattering to 1.17-MeV (187-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.74</td>
<td>0.477 $\pm$ 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>0.158 $\pm$ 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>0.0512 $\pm$ 0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>0.0169 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>0.0443 $\pm$ 0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.26</td>
<td>0.0549 $\pm$ 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.31</td>
<td>0.0358 $\pm$ 0.0015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.35</td>
<td>0.00866 $\pm$ 0.00060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.40</td>
<td>0.00811 $\pm$ 0.00070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.44</td>
<td>0.0167 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.68</td>
<td>0.0204 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.52</td>
<td>0.0099 $\pm$ 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.56</td>
<td>0.0020 $\pm$ 0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.60</td>
<td>0.00297 $\pm$ 0.00030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65.63</td>
<td>0.00746 $\pm$ 0.00050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.66</td>
<td>0.00669 $\pm$ 0.00040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.69</td>
<td>0.00450 $\pm$ 0.00040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.71</td>
<td>0.00097 $\pm$ 0.00020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.74</td>
<td>0.00115 $\pm$ 0.00020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.76</td>
<td>0.00166 $\pm$ 0.00020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Inelastic scattering to 1.36-MeV (218-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.74</td>
<td>0.185 $\pm$ 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>0.0712 $\pm$ 0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>0.0272 $\pm$ 0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>0.0130 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>0.0199 $\pm$ 0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.26</td>
<td>0.0266 $\pm$ 0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.31</td>
<td>0.0206 $\pm$ 0.0011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>0.00862 $\pm$ 0.00061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.40</td>
<td>0.00461 $\pm$ 0.00054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.45</td>
<td>0.00948 $\pm$ 0.00064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.49</td>
<td>0.0128 $\pm$ 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.53</td>
<td>0.00618 $\pm$ 0.00043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.57</td>
<td>0.00207 $\pm$ 0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.60</td>
<td>0.00180 $\pm$ 0.00023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, fm\textsuperscript{2}/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65.63</td>
<td>0.00277 $\pm$ 0.00029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.66</td>
<td>0.00542 $\pm$ 0.00040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.69</td>
<td>0.00278 $\pm$ 0.00029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.72</td>
<td>0.00061 $\pm$ 0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.74</td>
<td>0.00070 $\pm$ 0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.76</td>
<td>0.00109 $\pm$ 0.00017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Absolute cross sections obtained by normalizing $^{113}$In elastic to $^{115}$In elastic cross sections.
TABLE IV. - Concluded. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF

42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY INDIUM $^{113}$\(^\text{a}\)

(d) Inelastic scattering to 1.56-MeV (250 fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.75</td>
<td>0.182 $\pm$ 0.002</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>0.00667 $\pm$ 0.00054</td>
<td>65.64</td>
<td>0.00358 $\pm$ 0.00033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>0.0672 $\pm$ 0.0014</td>
<td>53.41</td>
<td>0.00549 $\pm$ 0.00058</td>
<td>67.67</td>
<td>0.00259 $\pm$ 0.00028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.10</td>
<td>0.0244 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
<td>55.45</td>
<td>0.00852 $\pm$ 0.00061</td>
<td>69.70</td>
<td>0.00190 $\pm$ 0.00024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.16</td>
<td>0.0149 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
<td>57.49</td>
<td>0.00817 $\pm$ 0.00049</td>
<td>71.72</td>
<td>0.000938 $\pm$ 0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>0.0171 $\pm$ 0.0010</td>
<td>59.53</td>
<td>0.00472 $\pm$ 0.0038</td>
<td>73.75</td>
<td>0.00111 $\pm$ 0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.26</td>
<td>0.0193 $\pm$ 0.0009</td>
<td>61.57</td>
<td>0.00201 $\pm$ 0.00019</td>
<td>75.77</td>
<td>0.00109 $\pm$ 0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.31</td>
<td>0.0151 $\pm$ 0.0010</td>
<td>63.61</td>
<td>0.00246 $\pm$ 0.00027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Inelastic scattering to 2.17-MeV (348-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>0.0700 $\pm$ 0.0014</td>
<td>51.37</td>
<td>0.0337 $\pm$ 0.0012</td>
<td>63.62</td>
<td>0.0106 $\pm$ 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.12</td>
<td>0.0966 $\pm$ 0.0017</td>
<td>53.42</td>
<td>0.0316 $\pm$ 0.0014</td>
<td>65.65</td>
<td>0.00674 $\pm$ 0.00045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.17</td>
<td>0.0976 $\pm$ 0.0020</td>
<td>55.46</td>
<td>0.0189 $\pm$ 0.0009</td>
<td>69.71</td>
<td>0.00414 $\pm$ 0.00035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.22</td>
<td>0.0597 $\pm$ 0.0019</td>
<td>59.55</td>
<td>0.0115 $\pm$ 0.0006</td>
<td>71.74</td>
<td>0.00393 $\pm$ 0.00034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.28</td>
<td>0.0298 $\pm$ 0.0011</td>
<td>61.58</td>
<td>0.0138 $\pm$ 0.0006</td>
<td>73.76</td>
<td>0.00448 $\pm$ 0.00043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.33</td>
<td>0.0244 $\pm$ 0.0012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(f) Inelastic scattering to 2.48-MeV (397-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm'}}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm(^2)/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.01</td>
<td>0.0744 $\pm$ 0.0014</td>
<td>51.38</td>
<td>0.0268 $\pm$ 0.0011</td>
<td>63.63</td>
<td>0.00830 $\pm$ 0.00050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.12</td>
<td>0.114 $\pm$ 0.001</td>
<td>53.43</td>
<td>0.0218 $\pm$ 0.0012</td>
<td>65.66</td>
<td>0.00634 $\pm$ 0.00044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.18</td>
<td>0.0599 $\pm$ 0.0016</td>
<td>55.47</td>
<td>0.0146 $\pm$ 0.0008</td>
<td>69.72</td>
<td>0.00396 $\pm$ 0.00035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.23</td>
<td>0.0439 $\pm$ 0.0016</td>
<td>59.55</td>
<td>0.00952 $\pm$ 0.0053</td>
<td>71.75</td>
<td>0.00342 $\pm$ 0.00032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.28</td>
<td>0.0336 $\pm$ 0.0012</td>
<td>61.59</td>
<td>0.0111 $\pm$ 0.0006</td>
<td>73.77</td>
<td>0.00312 $\pm$ 0.00036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.33</td>
<td>0.0233 $\pm$ 0.0012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Absolute cross sections obtained by normalizing $^{113}$In elastic to $^{115}$In elastic cross sections.
# Differential Cross Sections for Scattering of 42-MeV Alpha Particles by Indium 115

## (a) Elastic Scattering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>21.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>47.68</td>
<td>0.600 ± 0.007</td>
<td>61.97</td>
<td>0.124 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.30</td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>49.73</td>
<td>0.695 ± 0.006</td>
<td>64.01</td>
<td>0.0561 ± 0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.36</td>
<td>8.57 ± 0.02</td>
<td>51.77</td>
<td>0.563 ± 0.007</td>
<td>66.04</td>
<td>0.0216 ± 0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>4.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>53.82</td>
<td>0.301 ± 0.004</td>
<td>68.07</td>
<td>0.0261 ± 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.48</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.02</td>
<td>55.86</td>
<td>0.126 ± 0.002</td>
<td>70.09</td>
<td>0.0388 ± 0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.53</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.01</td>
<td>57.90</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.002</td>
<td>74.14</td>
<td>0.0146 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.58</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>59.94</td>
<td>0.153 ± 0.002</td>
<td>78.18</td>
<td>0.0053 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.63</td>
<td>0.909 ± 0.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## (b) Inelastic Scattering to 1.227-MeV (196.6-\(I\)) 2\(^+\) multiplet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.26</td>
<td>0.369 ± 0.005</td>
<td>47.70</td>
<td>0.0878 ± 0.0027</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>0.0052 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>0.185 ± 0.003</td>
<td>49.75</td>
<td>0.0330 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>64.03</td>
<td>0.0120 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.38</td>
<td>0.289 ± 0.004</td>
<td>51.80</td>
<td>0.0170 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>66.06</td>
<td>0.0155 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.44</td>
<td>0.286 ± 0.004</td>
<td>53.84</td>
<td>0.0316 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>68.09</td>
<td>0.0111 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.49</td>
<td>0.175 ± 0.004</td>
<td>55.88</td>
<td>0.0416 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>70.12</td>
<td>0.0049 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.55</td>
<td>0.0689 ± 0.0021</td>
<td>57.92</td>
<td>0.0304 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>74.17</td>
<td>0.0040 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.60</td>
<td>0.0746 ± 0.0024</td>
<td>59.96</td>
<td>0.0099 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>78.21</td>
<td>0.0039 ± 0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.65</td>
<td>0.110 ± 0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## (c) Inelastic Scattering to 2.259-MeV (361.9-\(I\)) 3\(^-\) multiplet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{\text{cm}}$, deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $d\sigma/d\Omega$, $\text{fm}^2/\text{sr}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>0.371 ± 0.005</td>
<td>47.72</td>
<td>0.0536 ± 0.0021</td>
<td>62.02</td>
<td>0.0210 ± 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.39</td>
<td>0.233 ± 0.004</td>
<td>49.77</td>
<td>0.0598 ± 0.0016</td>
<td>64.05</td>
<td>0.0120 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.45</td>
<td>0.140 ± 0.003</td>
<td>51.81</td>
<td>0.0529 ± 0.0021</td>
<td>66.09</td>
<td>0.0083 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.51</td>
<td>0.154 ± 0.003</td>
<td>53.86</td>
<td>0.0372 ± 0.0013</td>
<td>68.12</td>
<td>0.0060 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.56</td>
<td>0.152 ± 0.003</td>
<td>55.90</td>
<td>0.0171 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>70.15</td>
<td>0.0089 ± 0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.62</td>
<td>0.116 ± 0.003</td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>0.0222 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>74.20</td>
<td>0.0059 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.67</td>
<td>0.0597 ± 0.0020</td>
<td>59.98</td>
<td>0.0214 ± 0.0009</td>
<td>78.24</td>
<td>0.0010 ± 0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI. - DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF 42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY ANTIMONY 121

(a) Elastic scattering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>32.8 ±0.1</td>
<td>47.61</td>
<td>0.961 ±0.008</td>
<td>63.92</td>
<td>0.0602 ±0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.25</td>
<td>23.0 ±0.0</td>
<td>49.66</td>
<td>1.01 ±0.01</td>
<td>65.95</td>
<td>0.0296 ±0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>12.2 ±0.0</td>
<td>51.70</td>
<td>0.749 ±0.008</td>
<td>67.98</td>
<td>0.0433 ±0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.36</td>
<td>6.40 ±0.02</td>
<td>53.74</td>
<td>0.351 ±0.004</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>0.0504 ±0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>5.35 ±0.02</td>
<td>55.78</td>
<td>0.180 ±0.003</td>
<td>72.03</td>
<td>0.0362 ±0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.47</td>
<td>4.59 ±0.02</td>
<td>57.82</td>
<td>0.196 ±0.003</td>
<td>74.05</td>
<td>0.0138 ±0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.52</td>
<td>2.72 ±0.02</td>
<td>59.85</td>
<td>0.221 ±0.004</td>
<td>76.07</td>
<td>0.0057 ±0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.56</td>
<td>1.31 ±0.01</td>
<td>61.89</td>
<td>0.151 ±0.003</td>
<td>78.08</td>
<td>0.0108 ±0.0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Inelastic scattering to 0.55-MeV (88.1-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>0.0257 ±0.0017</td>
<td>47.62</td>
<td>0.0069 ±0.0008</td>
<td>63.93</td>
<td>0.0023 ±0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.26</td>
<td>0.0305 ±0.0018</td>
<td>49.66</td>
<td>0.0035 ±0.0004</td>
<td>65.96</td>
<td>0.0014 ±0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>0.0429 ±0.0021</td>
<td>51.71</td>
<td>0.0041 ±0.0004</td>
<td>67.99</td>
<td>0.00065 ±0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.37</td>
<td>0.0370 ±0.0019</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>0.0074 ±0.0006</td>
<td>70.01</td>
<td>0.00074 ±0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>0.0090 ±0.0010</td>
<td>55.79</td>
<td>0.0039 ±0.0004</td>
<td>72.04</td>
<td>0.00056 ±0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.47</td>
<td>0.0099 ±0.0010</td>
<td>57.83</td>
<td>0.0036 ±0.0003</td>
<td>74.06</td>
<td>0.00090 ±0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.52</td>
<td>0.0117 ±0.0010</td>
<td>59.86</td>
<td>0.0013 ±0.0003</td>
<td>76.08</td>
<td>0.00061 ±0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.57</td>
<td>0.0200 ±0.0014</td>
<td>61.90</td>
<td>0.0022 ±0.0003</td>
<td>78.10</td>
<td>0.00031 ±0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Inelastic scattering to 1.1-MeV (176-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm'}$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>0.143 ±0.009</td>
<td>51.72</td>
<td>0.0158 ±0.0010</td>
<td>65.97</td>
<td>0.0139 ±0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.38</td>
<td>0.282 ±0.006</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>0.0305 ±0.0012</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>0.0088 ±0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.43</td>
<td>0.163 ±0.004</td>
<td>55.80</td>
<td>0.0416 ±0.0001</td>
<td>70.03</td>
<td>0.00211 ±0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.48</td>
<td>0.0701 ±0.0026</td>
<td>57.84</td>
<td>0.0234 ±0.0010</td>
<td>72.05</td>
<td>0.00182 ±0.00028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.53</td>
<td>0.0710 ±0.0026</td>
<td>59.87</td>
<td>0.0067 ±0.0008</td>
<td>74.07</td>
<td>0.00385 ±0.00041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.58</td>
<td>0.104 ±0.003</td>
<td>61.91</td>
<td>0.0063 ±0.0004</td>
<td>76.09</td>
<td>0.00593 ±0.00052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>0.0749 ±0.0022</td>
<td>63.94</td>
<td>0.0117 ±0.0008</td>
<td>78.11</td>
<td>0.00329 ±0.00039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.67</td>
<td>0.0309 ±0.0012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normalized to average elastic tin and tellurium cross sections.
TABLE VI. - Concluded. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF
42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY ANTIMONY 121

(d) Inelastic scattering to 1.4-MeV (224-fJ) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, θ cm', deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, dσ/dΩ, fm²/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, θ cm', deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, dσ/dΩ, fm²/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, θ cm', deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, dσ/dΩ, fm²/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>0.202 ± 0.004</td>
<td>51.72</td>
<td>0.0180 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>65.98</td>
<td>0.00342 ± 0.00039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>0.121 ± 0.003</td>
<td>53.77</td>
<td>0.0098 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>68.01</td>
<td>0.00399 ± 0.00041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.49</td>
<td>0.0626 ± 0.0025</td>
<td>55.81</td>
<td>0.0089 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>70.03</td>
<td>0.00306 ± 0.00036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>0.0351 ± 0.0019</td>
<td>57.85</td>
<td>0.0089 ± 0.0007</td>
<td>72.06</td>
<td>0.00155 ± 0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.59</td>
<td>0.0241 ± 0.0017</td>
<td>59.88</td>
<td>0.0057 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>74.08</td>
<td>0.00056 ± 0.00015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>0.0243 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>61.92</td>
<td>0.0044 ± 0.0004</td>
<td>76.10</td>
<td>0.00112 ± 0.00022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.68</td>
<td>0.0237 ± 0.0010</td>
<td>63.95</td>
<td>0.00227 ± 0.00031</td>
<td>78.12</td>
<td>0.00117 ± 0.00022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Inelastic scattering to 2.2-MeV (352-fJ) level

| 51.74                                     | 0.0508 ± 0.0018                          | 61.93                                    | 0.0222 ± 0.0010                          | 72.08                                    | 0.00844 ± 0.00061                        |
| 53.78                                     | 0.0342 ± 0.0012                          | 63.97                                    | 0.0142 ± 0.0008                          | 74.10                                    | 0.00578 ± 0.00050                        |
| 55.82                                     | 0.0228 ± 0.0012                          | 66.00                                    | 0.0105 ± 0.00067                        | 76.12                                    | 0.00263 ± 0.00034                        |
| 57.86                                     | 0.0232 ± 0.0010                          | 68.03                                    | 0.00789 ± 0.00058                      | 78.14                                    | 0.00256 ± 0.00034                        |
| 59.90                                     | 0.0252 ± 0.0013                          | 70.05                                    | 0.00749 ± 0.00057                      |                                          |                                          |

*aNormalized to average elastic tin and tellurium cross sections.
TABLE VII. - DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS FOR SCATTERING OF 42-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES BY ANTIMONY 123a

(a) Elastic scattering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26.59</td>
<td>105 ± 0.2</td>
<td>47.12</td>
<td>0.985 ± 0.017</td>
<td>67.48</td>
<td>0.0423 ± 0.0019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>53.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>49.26</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.019</td>
<td>69.55</td>
<td>0.0434 ± 0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>36.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>51.20</td>
<td>0.915 ± 0.017</td>
<td>71.53</td>
<td>0.0458 ± 0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.76</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>53.35</td>
<td>0.506 ± 0.014</td>
<td>73.55</td>
<td>0.0208 ± 0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.82</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>55.29</td>
<td>0.235 ± 0.009</td>
<td>75.57</td>
<td>0.00906 ± 0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.87</td>
<td>8.14 ± 0.4</td>
<td>57.32</td>
<td>0.190 ± 0.005</td>
<td>77.59</td>
<td>0.00873 ± 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.92</td>
<td>5.85 ± 0.04</td>
<td>59.36</td>
<td>0.240 ± 0.004</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>0.0127 ± 0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.97</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.03</td>
<td>61.39</td>
<td>0.175 ± 0.005</td>
<td>81.62</td>
<td>0.0113 ± 0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.07</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.04</td>
<td>63.42</td>
<td>0.101 ± 0.0031</td>
<td>83.63</td>
<td>0.00748 ± 0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.02</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>0.0335 ± 0.0019</td>
<td>85.64</td>
<td>0.00323 ± 0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Inelastic scattering to 0.540-MeV (86.5-$f_J$) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34.82</td>
<td>0.0487±0.0036</td>
<td>53.26</td>
<td>0.0079 ± 0.0017</td>
<td>69.52</td>
<td>0.00014±0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.93</td>
<td>0.0470±0.0036</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>0.0071 ± 0.0014</td>
<td>71.54</td>
<td>0.00017±0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.98</td>
<td>0.0180±0.0026</td>
<td>57.33</td>
<td>0.0067 ± 0.0016</td>
<td>73.56</td>
<td>0.00050±0.00031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.03</td>
<td>0.0149±0.0023</td>
<td>59.37</td>
<td>0.00138±0.00038</td>
<td>75.58</td>
<td>0.00165±0.00056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.08</td>
<td>0.0245±0.0028</td>
<td>61.40</td>
<td>0.00205±0.00053</td>
<td>77.60</td>
<td>0.00050±0.00031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.13</td>
<td>0.0118±0.0019</td>
<td>63.44</td>
<td>0.00330±0.00057</td>
<td>79.62</td>
<td>0.00033±0.00023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.17</td>
<td>0.0081±0.0017</td>
<td>65.47</td>
<td>0.00250±0.00061</td>
<td>81.65</td>
<td>0.00050±0.00031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.21</td>
<td>0.0050±0.0014</td>
<td>67.49</td>
<td>0.00138±0.00036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Inelastic scattering to 1.08-MeV (173-$f_J$) level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
<th>Center-of-mass scattering angle, $\theta_{cm}'$ deg</th>
<th>Differential cross section, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$, fm$^2$/sr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>0.196 ± 0.076</td>
<td>55.31</td>
<td>0.0357 ± 0.0034</td>
<td>71.55</td>
<td>0.00119±0.00045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.94</td>
<td>0.204 ± 0.076</td>
<td>57.34</td>
<td>0.0299 ± 0.0019</td>
<td>73.58</td>
<td>0.00223±0.00056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.99</td>
<td>0.0678±0.0048</td>
<td>59.38</td>
<td>0.0141 ± 0.0012</td>
<td>75.60</td>
<td>0.00321±0.00069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.04</td>
<td>0.0461±0.0039</td>
<td>61.41</td>
<td>0.0031 ± 0.0006</td>
<td>77.61</td>
<td>0.00447±0.00087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.09</td>
<td>0.0791±0.0050</td>
<td>63.45</td>
<td>0.0118 ± 0.00104</td>
<td>79.63</td>
<td>0.00135±0.00048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.14</td>
<td>0.0756±0.0050</td>
<td>65.47</td>
<td>0.0129 ± 0.00138</td>
<td>81.64</td>
<td>0.00071±0.00034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.18</td>
<td>0.0340±0.0033</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td>0.0131 ± 0.0011</td>
<td>83.65</td>
<td>0.00050±0.00031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.22</td>
<td>0.0112±0.0019</td>
<td>69.53</td>
<td>0.00295±0.00067</td>
<td>85.66</td>
<td>0.00104±0.00042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.27</td>
<td>0.0256±0.0031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aNormalized to average elastic tin and tellurium cross sections.
### TABLE VIII. - SUMMARY OF DATA ON QUADRUPOLE EXCITATIONS IN SILVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Spin and parity, $J^\pi$</th>
<th>Energy, $E^*$</th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>Previous works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MeV</td>
<td>$fJ$</td>
<td>Partial deformation, $\beta_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium 106</td>
<td>$2^+$</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 107</td>
<td>$3/2^-$</td>
<td>.318</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 107</td>
<td>$5/2^-$</td>
<td>.423</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 107</td>
<td>Doublet</td>
<td>.380</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium 108</td>
<td>$2^+$</td>
<td>.633</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium 108</td>
<td>$2^+$</td>
<td>.433</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 109</td>
<td>$3/2^-$</td>
<td>.300</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 109</td>
<td>$5/2^-$</td>
<td>.409</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 109</td>
<td>Doublet</td>
<td>.380</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium 110</td>
<td>$2^+$</td>
<td>.656</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aAll silver energies are accurate to ±0.015 MeV (±2.4 fJ).

### TABLE IX. - SUMMARY OF DATA ON OCTUPOLE EXCITATIONS IN SILVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Spin and parity, $J^\pi$</th>
<th>Energy, $E^*$</th>
<th>This work; partial deformation, $J_f$</th>
<th>Previous works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MeV</td>
<td>$fJ$</td>
<td>$\beta_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium 106</td>
<td>$3^-$</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 107</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium 108</td>
<td>$3^-$</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palladium 108</td>
<td>$3^-$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aSilver 109</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium 110</td>
<td>$3^-$</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aAll silver energies are accurate to ±0.015 MeV (±2.4 fJ).
TABLE X. - ENERGY CENTERS OF GRAVITY OF COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS IN INDIUM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Excitation energy, $E^*$ (2$^+$) keV</th>
<th>fJ</th>
<th>Excitation energy, $E^*$ (3$^-$) keV</th>
<th>fJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium 112</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>315.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^a$Indium 113</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td>372.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin 114</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>208.0</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>366.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium 114</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>311.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^a$Indium 115</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>196.6</td>
<td>2259</td>
<td>361.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin 116</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>206.2</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td>372.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All indium energies are accurate to ±0.015 MeV (±2.4 fJ).

TABLE XI. - ENERGY CENTERS OF GRAVITY OF COLLECTIVE EXCITATIONS IN ANTIMONY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Excitation energy, $E^*$ (2$^+$) keV</th>
<th>fJ</th>
<th>Excitation energy, $E^*$ (3$^-$) keV</th>
<th>fJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin 120</td>
<td>1176</td>
<td>188.4</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>386.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^a$Antimony 121</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>362.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium 122</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>347.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin 122</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>2454</td>
<td>393.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^a$Antimony 123</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium 124</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All antimony indium energies are accurate to ±0.015 MeV (±2.4 fJ).
Figure 1. - Schematic diagram of experimental arrangement. (All dimensions are in centimeters.)

Figure 2. - Block diagram of electronics. (Channel 1 is shown in detail and is representative of channels 2, 3, and 4.)
Figure 3. Typical silver energy spectra. Laboratory scattering angle, 36°. (All energies are in MeV (TJ)).
Figure 4. - Differential cross sections for scattering 42-MeV (6.7 pJ) alpha particles.
Figure 5. Analysis of quadrupole doublet.
Figure 6. - Energy level diagram summarizing silver data.

(a) Silver 107.

(b) Silver 109.
Figure 7. - Typical Indium (α, α') energy spectra. (All energies are in MeV (fJ)).
Figure 8. - Cross sections for individual indium 113 peaks.

Figure 9. - Comparison of total $2^+$ and $3^+$ indium multiplet strengths with corresponding tin cross sections.
Figure 10. - Analysis of quadrupole multiplet in indium 115.
Figure 11. - Analysis of octupole multiplet of indium 115.

Figure 12. - Level diagram summarizing indium data. (Asterisks indicate unresolved levels.)
Figure 13. Typical antimony energy spectra. Laboratory scattering angle, 52°, incident charge, 1000 microcoulombs. (All energies are in MeV [13]).
Figure 14. - Differential cross sections for scattering 42-MeV (6.7 pJ) alpha particles from antimony (normalized to average elastic tin and tellurium cross sections).

(a) Antimony 121.

(b) Antimony 123.
Figure 15. - Comparison of total $2^+$ and $3^-$ antimony multiplet strengths with corresponding tin and tellurium cross sections.

(a) Antimony 121, tin 120, and tellurium 122.

(b) Antimony 123, tin 122, and tellurium 124.

Figure 15. - Concluded.
Figure 16. - Energy level diagrams summarizing antimony data.
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