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PERIODICITY IN FOSSILS

The above observations constitute the basic knowledge for interpretation of growth patterns in fossil bivalves. In dealing with fossils, however, several major difficulties are encountered. 1) Preservation of growth patterns generally decreases with increasing geological age: the older the fossils the less likely they will show well-preserved, continuous growth records. 2) Bivalves with shell structures which enhance the resolution of growth increments are rare in pre-Mesozoic assemblages. 3) The less clear the growth record, the more subjective is the interpretation of daily increments. 4) The variation since the Pennsylvanian in the number of days per lunar month predictable on theoretic geophysical calculations is so small that subjective bias in counting can adversely affect the resolving power of this method. The figures given in table 3 should be considered with these pitfalls in mind. They are the result of a preliminary survey of bivalves from the Miocene, Eocene, Cretaceous and Pennsylvanian of North America (Pl. 8). For control and comparison, counts of Recent bivalves are shown in the table. The number of days per lunar month ranges between relatively narrow limits: 26 and 35. Only the values from well-developed growth patterns are included in the table; counts of growth patterns clearly incomplete or difficult to interpret have been omitted. Only the averages from lunar-month patterns have been computed.
because fortnightly patterns appear to have a wider range of variation. The average number of days per lunar month have been computed for the Recent from 104 counts (3030 days) in 4 specimens, for the Miocene from 16 counts (470 days) in 1 specimen, for the Eocene from 88 counts (2624 days) in 2 specimens, for the Cretaceous from 55 counts (1648 days) in 3 specimens, and for the Pennsylvanian from 119 counts (3578 days) in 4 specimens. Standard deviation and standard error have been computed. It is tempting to interpret the figures as proof of the trend, predicted by the geophysicists, of a decrease in the number of days per lunar month toward the Recent and to emphasize the agreement between the value for the Pennsylvanian (30.07 ± 0.08) and Scrutton's (1964) value for the Devonian (30.59). A look at the means of each specimen, however, invites more prudent speculations. The means range from 28.98 to 29.58 for the Recent, 29.63 to 29.96 for the Eocene, 29.67 to 30.21 for the Cretaceous, and 29.87 to 30.65 for the Pennsylvanian. These ranges overlap and must be supplemented by more data before they will allow an unambiguous interpretation. The data may be supplied not only by bivalves, which doubtfully will yield well-preserved growth records before the Pennsylvanian, but also by other mollusks. In addition to counting increments in cross section, another promising method for studying periodicity in fossils is the analysis of surface topography as has been done with Devonian corals. For example, a cephalopod from the lower Pennsylvanian Kendrick Shale of Kentucky has on its external surface thin growth bands which are arranged in recurrent topographic highs and lows (Pl. 9). Two periodical patterns of 15 and 30 increments are detectable (Table 3). A modern example of the same patterns with a periodicity of 14 or less units, has been found in the cephalopod _Nautilus_.

Other periodical patterns are recognizable in fossil bivalves. The periodicity of seasonal patterns, which requires a certain number of yearly counts, is still uncertain because not enough continuous growth records in Mesozoic and Paleozoic
fossilshave been gathered. According to Lamar and Merifield (1966), however, it is possible to use tidal and lunar-month patterns, independently from yearly counts, for geochronological calculations. Because these patterns are more likely to be found complete than seasonal ones, fossil mollusks can yield a great deal of data relevant to Earth and life history.
| Upper          | Limopsis striatopunctatus | A-1006 26322 18 534 $\bar{x}$29.67 $±1.03$ $±0.25$ |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Cucullaea nebrascensis (Owen) | A-343, Fox Hills Fm. S. Dakota 26361 19 574 $\bar{x}$30.21 $±1.03$ $±0.27$ $29.96 ±0.98 ±0.13$ |
| Tancredia americana (Heck and Hayden) | A-724, Fox Hills Fm. S. Dakota 26382 18 540 $\bar{x}$30.00 $±0.84$ $±0.22$ |
| Upper Penns. | Conocardium sp. A-6505 Oklahoma 26383 17 521 $\bar{x}$30.65 $±0.70$ $±0.17$ |
|               | " 26378 78 2337 $\bar{x}$29.96 $±0.83$ $±0.09$ $30.07 ±0.04 ±0.08$ |
|               | " 26384 15 448 $\bar{x}$29.87 $±0.52$ $±0.13$ |
| Lower Penns. | Cephalopod sp. 3449/1 Kendrick Sh. Kentucky 26323 9 272 $\bar{x}$30.22 $±1.20$ $±0.40$ |
| Middle Devonian | Tetracorals of Scrutton (1964) 112 30.59 |

*This mean is based on both Upper and Lower Pennsylvanian specimens.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>YPM-IP loc. no. and/or description</th>
<th>YPM-IP Num. of specim. lunar-month patterns</th>
<th>Tot. num. days</th>
<th>Mean num. increments/month</th>
<th>Stand. dev.</th>
<th>Stand. error</th>
<th>Mean Stand. dev.</th>
<th>Stand. error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recent</td>
<td><em>Mercenaria mercenaria</em></td>
<td>A-7267 Cape Cod</td>
<td>26310 25</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>X29.08</td>
<td>±1.22</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>26307 19</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>X29.58</td>
<td>±1.35</td>
<td>±0.37</td>
<td>29.13 ±1.07</td>
<td>±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>26304 18</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>X29.11</td>
<td>±0.90</td>
<td>±0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>26305 42</td>
<td>1217</td>
<td>X28.98</td>
<td>±0.87</td>
<td>±0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Miocene</td>
<td>M. campechizensis Schloekonensis</td>
<td>A-4633 Florida</td>
<td>26376 16</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>X29.38</td>
<td>±1.93</td>
<td>±0.48</td>
<td>29.38 ±1.93</td>
<td>±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Eocene</td>
<td>Crassatella mississippiensis</td>
<td>Vicksburg, Mississippi</td>
<td>26377 38</td>
<td>1126</td>
<td>X29.63</td>
<td>±0.97</td>
<td>±0.16</td>
<td>29.82 ±0.93</td>
<td>±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eocene</td>
<td>Cardita planicosta (Lamarck)</td>
<td>Claiborne, Bells Landing, Ala.</td>
<td>26380 50</td>
<td>1498</td>
<td>X29.96</td>
<td>±0.08</td>
<td>±0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fortnightly cycles of deposition in fossil bivalves expressed by clusters (c) of thin daily increments. Increments are seen more clearly when viewed at a low angle to page surface.

Fig. 1 - *Mercenaria campechiensis ochlockoneensis* (Mansfield). Outer prismatic shell layer; increments at high angle to surface of maximum growth; Upper Miocene, Choctawhatchee formation, 20 mi. west of Tallahassee, Florida; YPM-IP loc. no. A-4633; plexiglass peel; X125; hypotype, YPM-IP no. 26376-p.

Figs. 2, 3 - *Crassatella mississippiensis* Conrad. Inner part of outer crossed-lamellar shell layer; increments at low angle to surface of maximum growth; Upper Eocene, Vicksburg, Mississippi; plexiglass peels. 2, X125; hypotype YPM-IP no. 26377-i. 3, X200; hypotype, YPM-IP no. 26377-h.

Fig. 4 - *Limopsis striatopunctatus* Evans and Schumard. Outer prismatic shell layer; Upper Cretaceous, Fox Hills formation, Dewey Co., South Dakota; YPM-IP loc. no. A-1006; thin section; X100; hypotype, YPM-IP no. 26322-b.

Figs. 5, 6 - *Conocardium* sp. Outer complex-prismatic shell layer; Upper Pennsylvanian, Vilas? Shale, Wann, Oklahoma; YPM-IP loc. no. A-6506; acetate peels. 5, Outer part of outer shell layer showing relationship between concentric, roofed-over pits and growth increments; X200; hypotype, YPM-IP no. 26378-h. 6, Increments in area where no concentric, roofed-over pits are present; X200; hypotype, YPM-IP no. 26379-d.