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1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This report covers the work accomplished under Tasks 3 and 4 of the Statement of Work in contract NAS 12-2237 for the preparation of a Design Criteria Monograph on Space Vehicle Solar Cells. The first of these tasks involved a meeting of the ad hoc Advisory Panel to review, critique, and add development to, the ERC SVDCO-Approved Content Development Boards (CDB's) which constitute an outline for the monograph. Task 4 involved revising and expanding the CDBs to reflect the recommendations of the Advisory Panel and the scope and content of the First Draft Monograph.

All of the requirements of Tasks 3 and 4 have been met and, upon approval of the results, the First Draft Monograph will be completed for distribution to the Advisory Panel before the next meeting in August.

2. MEETING OF THE ADVISORY PANEL

The ad hoc Advisory Panel for this monograph effort had its first meeting on Monday and Tuesday, May 25 - 26, 1970 at Washington, D. C. The purpose of the meeting was to review and critique the scope and content of a proposed outline for a monograph developed by Exotech, and develop recommendations concerning literature references and other information sources which should be used in the preparation of the monograph.

The panel members agreed that the central theme of the monograph should be the arrays of solar cells for spacecraft applications. Emphasis should be directed to the capabilities and limitations of silicon solar cell arrays, with detailed coverage of the following:

- Energy conversion mechanism and efficiency
- Methods for optimizing electrical characteristics
Alternatives of mechanical and electrical configuration design and their effects on overall performance of a solar cell power system.

Environmental factors which affect performance and reliability of solar cells, and the concepts and techniques of design, fabrication, evaluation and testing which deal with environmental effects.

A summary of the minutes of the panel meeting is presented in Appendix A to this report.

3. REVISION OF CDBs

The presentation made to the Advisory Panel by Exotech staff was based upon the Content Development Boards (CDBs) submitted with the first Interim Scientific Report (May 1970) and approved by ERC SVDCO. The recommendations of the panel did not require extensive revision of format, scope or content reflected in the CDBs but rather provided significant added detail and identification of information sources which should be pursued.

An updated set of CDBs based on the results of the panel meeting is presented in Appendix B of this report.

4. SCHEDULE FOR TASKS 5 THROUGH 8

In the absence of any major changes of format, scope and content required as a result of the first panel meeting, the First Draft Monograph is now in preparation. The approach in this work is to keep the developing draft in a flexible form so that responses to this report can be accommodated readily and, at the same time, progress will be appropriate for
meeting the proposed schedule of August 7, 1970 for the next Advisory Panel Session. The proposed schedule for the meeting requires that the First Draft Monograph be distributed to the panel members by July 17. If this schedule is held, the second draft will be prepared by September 15, 1970.
APPENDIX A

Summary of First Panel Meeting
On May 25 and 26, 1970 the ad hoc advisory panel on Solar Cell Array Design Criteria met to review and critique the scope and content of a proposed outline for a monograph developed by Exotech. The objectives of the meeting also included the development of recommendations by the panel concerning pertinent references and other information sources which should be used in the development of the monograph.

The panel members in attendance were as follows:

M.J. Barrett Exotech Incorporated
R.F. Bohling NASA Headquarters
D.J. Curtin Comsat Laboratories
R.G. Downing TRW Systems
M.B. Hornstein Exotech Incorporated
R.F. Julius S.J. Industries
R.G. Lyle Exotech Incorporated
H. Oman The Boeing Company
P. Rappaport RCA
S. Schalkowsky Exotech Incorporated
P.D. Stabekis Exotech Incorporated
E.J. Stofel Aerospace Corporation
E.F. Zimmerman Helioktek

Representatives of the Space Power Technology Branch, Goddard Space Flight Center and photovoltaic specialists from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory were invited but did not attend this meeting.

Monday, May 25, 1970

The meeting was called to order at 9:10 a.m. By Robert Lyle who introduced and welcomed the participants and spoke concerning the objectives of the meeting, mentioned above. Sam Schalkowsky then briefly told the participants about Exotech's background and activities in the NASA Design Criteria Program and emphasized the important role of the panel in
assuring relevance and validity of the technical content of the monographs.

Ray Bohling followed with a summary of the objectives, organization, accomplishments and on-going and planned activities of the NASA Program for Developing Design Criteria Monographs. Examples from completed monographs were cited to demonstrate effective techniques for communicating concise information on design criteria and recommended practices. In response to questions from panel members, Ray indicated that the monograph will probably be issued as a NASA SP-document in about mid-1971 and that the objective is to develop a monograph that will be timely in its technical content and remain relevant for as long as possible. The program recognizes and provides for updating of a monograph when the advancing state-of-the-art causes it to become obsolescent.

The next order of business was the introduction of the proposed scope and outline for the monograph prepared prior to the meeting. The panel members agreed that the central theme of the monograph should be the arrays of solar cells with emphasis on the capabilities and limitations of silicon cells and detailed coverage given to the following aspects of design problems:

- Energy conversion mechanism and efficiency
- Alternatives and methods for optimizing electrical characteristics
- Alternatives of mechanical and electrical configuration design and their effects on the overall performance of the solar cell system
- The environmental factors which affect the performance and reliability of solar cells, and the concepts and techniques of design, fabrication, evaluation and testing which deal with environmental effects.

A general outline for the monograph (Attachment #1) was introduced as an aid to the development of recommendations by the panel members concerning the content of the monograph in support of the designated scope.

Following a break for lunch, the panel reconvened and began a detailed consideration of the sections and subsections comprising the
state-of-the-art information pertinent to design criteria for solar cell arrays. Consideration of historical background began with a suggestion that a table might be developed which would show the reader what varieties of solar cell arrays had been flown and give an indication of the time sequence of development of the various types of arrays. Speaking of some of the earliest spacecraft applications of solar cells, mention was made of the Explorer II and Vanguard I. RCA made the cells and covers which were then assembled by the Signal Corps. Efforts should be made to document the development of the array for Vanguard I, as well as for Explorer II, a model of which is on exhibit at the Smithsonian Institution.

It was pointed out that the problem of radiation damage to solar cells was considered rather early in the history of spacecraft applications and the subject had also been extensively studied because of the use of solar cells in the atomic battery application for conversion of energy from a radiation source. It was noted that Van Allen actually used observed degradation of solar cells to confirm his identification of the earth's radiation belt. The Starfish event which was cited as important in the experience and background concerning radiation damage was covered rather extensively in the second photovoltaic conference held in Washington. The existence of large fluxes of low energy protons was also demonstrated by the effects observed in the uncovered solar cells flown on Relay I and II.

Two sources were suggested for summary information concerning the historical development of solar cell applications in spacecraft: the TRW space log, and the documentation of programs developed by Heliotek, which may include descriptions of the types and quantities of cells flown in various missions.

Another point of interest cited in the development of solar cell design is the fact that the improvement of cell performance as observed under artificial lighting does not necessarily correlate with improved performance in a space environment. The basis for this was found to be that the junction depth should be selected in consonance with the solar illumination spectrum in space, not with the spectrum of a laboratory simulator. This comment opened the discussion to the methods used to measure junction depth. The methods mentioned were lapping of the cell at
an angle, analysis of the spectral response, and measurement of the cell's
sheet resistance.

The discussion then returned to the topic of radiation damage and
the methods developed to enhance cell performance in the radiation en­
vironment. It was observed that the conversion from p/n to n/p cells to
enhance radiation resistance was a U.S. achievement. The Russians were
committed to the use of n/p cells prior to that time but the reason was
that they had not developed a suitable process for making p/n cells as
easily. It was noted that the next innovation for enhancing radiation
resistance was the increase in base resistivity. It was noted that the
base resistance of present day solar cells is about 10 ohm-centimeters
because higher values introduce resistance effects in the cell and the
voltage drops. References related to the work in base resistivity are to­
be found in the proceedings of the Photovoltaic Specialists Conferences,
e.g., reports prepared by Eugene Ralph.

It was suggested that the section on historical background should
note the change in design approach from shingles, used until about 1962,
to flat-, or flush-mounted cells used subsequently. The result was an
improvement in thermal response. It was suggested that Nimbus I may have
had the first flat mounted cells and that documentation of this may be
found in the proceedings of the Cocoa Beach conference. It was noted that
the Pegasus satellites, built at about the same time, had flat mounted cells
and it was suggested that contact be made with Ken Hanson for additional
details about this.

Next topic discussed was the history of the problems and developments
of solutions concerning the accommodation of thermal expansion and contrac­
tion in solar cell modules and arrays. A brief review was made of the
history of the selection of adhesives and the methods for using them. The
experience with the satellites Intelsat II F 4, ATS I and GGTS were cited
as pertinent to the developments in this problem area. A suggestion
reference on the topic is the paper by George Wolf given at the AIAA
meeting in Los Angeles. On this topic of thermal problems it was suggested
that mention be made of the need for identification of the thermal range
for each type of interconnect. The paper given by Lubarsky at the AIAA
meeting in Los Angeles was suggested as a reference. Documentation of problems in this area with the lunar orbiters has been promised by Ken Hanson.

It was suggested that the paper by Abbott which summarizes experience with large solar arrays be obtained for useful information in this section on historical background. The paper was presented at the AIAA meeting in Washington in September 1969.

A brief discussion concerning the bonding of contacts to solar cells indicated that soldering of silver-titanium contacts continues as the state-of-the-art technique. Ultrasonic bonding has been demonstrated successfully (Heliotek) and there is continuing interest in the development of welded (ultrasonic) aluminum contacts.

The next topics considered were related to the size and weight characteristics of solar cells, modules and arrays. It was noted that the weight of an array is related to stiffness requirements as a result of spacecraft resonant frequency and, in some cases, the dynamic environment during launch. About 75 percent of the weight of lightweight arrays is accounted for by solar cells and coverslides. The development of integral covers is a current area of work directed to the reduction of weight in an array. The integral covers may also provide relief from the difficulties of very close dimensional tolerances required so that coverslides completely cover but do not overhang the solar cell.

Mention was made of on-going work in Great Britain to develop covers of cerium-doped microscope glass. A testing program is currently underway. Denis Curtin offered to provide a copy of a report by Fred Treble on this work.

The most common size of solar cells has been 1x2, and it was pointed out that most tooling in the industry can readily accommodate sizes that are integer multiples of two centimeters. Dendritic cells have been alleged to be less costly than conventional cells but actually are not. The 2 x 4 cm cell is a common size which can be obtained by diagonal slicing of an ingot. A possible indication of change in cell size is seen in the trend of the semiconductor industry to 3 inch wafers which may eventually
bring about 3x3 inch solar cells. Work done at Texas Instruments indicates the most economic size for solar cells will be 3x3 inches. It was noted that for body-mounted arrays the spacecraft geometry may be very important to the selection of cell size.

Following a break for lunch the panel reconvened and entered into discussion concerning the environmental factors which must be accounted for in solar cell array design. The factors of the flight environment suggested for treatment in the monograph included: corpuscular radiation, micrometeoroids (considered negligible by the panel), temperature, solar illumination, ultraviolet, vibration, and engine gases. It was suggested that consideration should also be afforded the pre-flight environment, particularly humidity, temperature, and contamination.

It was noted that recent results of studies of thermal effects will be presented at the next meeting of photovoltaic specialists at Seattle in August. A suggestion was made that the treatment of thermal environment and effects in the monograph should include emphasis on the importance of absorptivity and emissivity, and typical ranges of $\alpha$ and $e$ values should be given. The effects of rapid temperature changes, such as occur in solar occultation, require special consideration in the design of power systems with output at a fixed voltage; the effects and compensating design techniques should be covered in the monograph.

The discussion turned to the information on adhesives that should be presented in the monograph. It was emphasized that the choice of adhesive for a solar cell assembly should be made on the basis of estimated environmental exposures associated with the particular mission for which an array is being designed. The established types of adhesives for solar cell applications include Dow Corning types 182 and 184 and RTV-602. A new adhesive based on a formulation similar to types 182 and 184 is reportedly being developed at the Goddard Space Flight Center. It was noted that the Dow Corning and RTV types of adhesives are not compatible during application and cure. When the adhesive bond between solar cell and substrate is curing it gives off vapor that inhibits cure of coverslide adhesives. These two bonding processes generally should be separated by several days in time to avoid chemical interference.
Background information and data on the use of adhesives for bonding cells to substrates and covers to cells were offered by Richard Julius. He cited as major factors in the problems of adhesive bonding the temperature, humidity and contaminants (dust) during application and cure. There are no industry or other specifications for environmental control during adhesive bonding operations; however, proper application of adhesives requires such control.

Additional information on adhesives should be obtained from the Stanford Research Institute Report prepared for JPL and titled "Polymers for Spacecraft Use". Contact should be made with J. Hanos of Comsat and R. Yasui of JPL for further inputs on this topic.

Testing of cells, modules and arrays was the next topic taken up by the panel. The distinction between qualification and acceptance testing was cited and should be made clear in the monograph. For purposes of qualifying an array for a particular spacecraft or mission, severe test conditions are imposed (in some cases test-to-failure is required). The tested items that survive are not subsequently installed on a spacecraft but have served their purpose by demonstrating the strengths (and weaknesses) of a particular design. Acceptance testing involves flight-qualified components being subjected to conditions which will demonstrate flight readiness and acceptability of actual mission hardware.

Qualification tests should be performed as early as possible in the program. The objective is to assure that a qualified design is achieved before the production of panels for use on the spacecraft. It was noted that, of the required mechanical tests, vibration is commonly the most severe. A case was cited in which the IDSCP's built to the specifications for Atlas Centaur launch vehicles were reassigned for an application using a Titan 3 launch vehicle. During acoustic testing, 15 of the 16 panels tested failed. The remainder of the previously accepted panels had to be reinforced for this application.

It was suggested that the monograph should contain discussion of the use of primary standard cells, balloon-flight calibration techniques and other methods presently used to measure cell and array efficiencies.
The final topic touched upon in this session of the panel meeting was the impact on solar cell array design, and methods for accommodating, of those mission-oriented design requirements on a spacecraft which affect practically all systems, e.g., magnetic cleanliness requirements. This particular area of requirements has been treated in another design criteria monograph prepared by Exotech. It will be handled in this monograph by appropriate references. No other systems interface problems were suggested for coverage other than the power conditioning and storage, and structural configuration considerations identified at the outset of the meeting.

Tuesday, May 26, 1970

The remainder of the meeting of the ad hoc advisory panel on solar cell array design criteria took place during the morning of 26 May 1970 at NASA Headquarters.

The session was opened by the chairman with a request for suggestions concerning the essential elements of solar cell array performance requirements which would serve to keynote the criteria section of the monograph. The suggestion which all panel members subscribed to is that the design objective can be defined in terms of an end-of-mission power requirement which can be translated into a practicable design by working back through the hierarchy of mission and spacecraft requirements and constraints.

It was recognized that the requirements and constraints commonly imposed between the ultimate objective of the array design (e.g., end-of-life power, or watts per pound or per dollar) and the details of the operating characteristics and physical configuration, comprise the design criteria which should be reflected in the monograph. Several of the panel members offered to submit lists of factors which have been identified in their organizations as essential considerations for effective design.

The pertinent factors include the broad range of considerations from the design of interconnects of series and parallel strings of cells to provide the desired electrical power and characteristics throughout the mission, to the selections of cells, substrate, coverslides, adhesives, etc., to ensure reliable performance in the mission environment.
Design considerations specifically recommended for inclusion in the Criteria section included:

**voltage**

Design the operating voltage at the point of maximum power of the degraded cell, under the worst temperature conditions; voltage should be high enough to charge batteries, especially in low orbits where much recharging is necessary; in the later stages of long missions, about 35% of the energy required for battery charging is lost due to inefficiency, thermal losses, etc; most spacecraft are designed for power at 28 volts dc.

**load**

Sizing of solar cell arrays should permit a 10% increase in power needs as the design matures; load growth of this magnitude is not uncommon particularly when the spacecraft is the first of a new series.

**angle of illumination**

Particularly important when operating on the knee of the solar cell curve where loss can be steep.

**temperature**

Body-mounted arrays have to operate warm, because of the temperature requirements for batteries and other equipment within the spacecraft. When paddles are used the cells can be kept cool by use of white paint on the back of the paddles, and any exposed front area. Temperature can generally be controlled by placing a red-reflecting coating or coverslide on the cell.

**cell and circuit design**

Importance of dimensional tolerances; tolerances on contacts; \( \alpha \) and \( \epsilon \) values; cell matching for parallel circuits; parallel-series circuits for long-term reliability; use of parallel connected diode to prevent significant reverse voltage due to an 'open' in a cell or contact.

**adhesive**

Thermal properties, glass-transition temperature; effects of aging before application; use of primers; tests for adhesion.
Matching of thermal expansion characteristics to solar cell; spectral filter effects; dimensional fit to solar cell.

Minimization of thermal coupling with the spacecraft, rigidity, and weight are primary considerations. Vibration and acoustic noise at liftoff are among the most serious problems. Preferred substrates are: honeycomb with aluminum, epoxy fiberglass, and a carbon filament face sheet.

Another problem area discussed by the panel and recommended for treatment in the monograph has to do with calibration and performance testing of cells. All solar simulators tend to degrade through accumulation of contaminants, filament burnout, etc., and require maintenance and frequent calibration with a standard cell (e.g., balloon-flight calibrated) and cutoff filters. The common sources of errors in testing are the illumination source, test instrumentation, and the calibration standard. Performance testing is often done with "coupons," e.g., 8 by 3 series-parallel connected array of cells mounted on a panel. For mechanical, vibration and thermal tests, the specimen panel is often comprised of "dummy" cells of aluminum.

Performance of an array may be adversely affected by shadowing. This problem may arise rather late in the design process because of a revision to the spacecraft or experiment package configuration adding a boom, antenna or other extended structure which may in some spacecraft orientations interfere with illumination of the array. Close attention to the potential "growth" of such interference sources is warranted.

Repairability of the array is another important consideration of design. Several panel members commented on the need to keep simple the processes of partial disassembly of the array for inspection and repair work.

The last few minutes of the meeting were devoted to another discussion period on the history of operational failures in solar cell arrays to identify any known events which could be profitably cited to emphasize the need
for using preferred design practices. The inclusion of such accounts in a monograph also serves to emphasize the validity of recommended precautions in design, development, testing, and the mating to the spacecraft of solar cell arrays. Incidents with Nimbus and Mariner II were cited and the panel members were requested to search for leads to other documented flight experience.

The meeting of the panel was adjourned at noon on Tuesday May 26, 1970 with a tentative schedule for the next meeting to be on August 7, immediately following the Photovoltaic Specialists Conference in Seattle, Washington.
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Content Development Board's for First Draft Monograph
THESIS (what point are we making):

The present-day silicon solar cell grew out of studies of the photovoltaic effect.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Discovery of photovoltaic effect by Becquerel
2. Development of theory
3. Development of pure semiconductors
4. Space applications of solar cells

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Wolf's RCA review
Paul Rappaport's collection of historical papers
Solar cells have been used since early days for power supplies on unmanned satellites.

**OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):**

1. First use (on Vanguard and Explorer 2)
2. Effects of the Starfish Explosion
3. Proton effects (ATS-1, IDSCP, etc.), coverslide gaps
4. Extensions to high power applications (positionable paddles)
5. Mariner II

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION:**

Transcript of the Photovoltaic Specialists Conference, Washington, D.C., Apr. 11-13, 1953
An analytical review of the ATS-1 solar cell experiment (NAS-5-11663)
Photons of light of wavelengths 0.4 - 1.1 microns provide energy to a solar cell for conversion to electricity.

1. Solar cell configuration (physical appearance)
2. Photovoltaic effect
3. Function of each element and interfaces with adjacent cells

Sources of Information:
- Solar Cell Handbook
- Sze
- Grove
CONTENT DEVELOPMENT BOARD

APPLICABLE TO:  ☐ INTRODUCTION  ☑ STATE OF THE ART  ☐ CRITERIA  ☐ RECOMMENDED PRACTICE  ☐ APPENDIX

SECTION: Solar Cell Characteristics

SUBSECTION:

TOPIC: Electrical Output of Solar Cells

THESIS (what point are we making):
Light causes cell to act as a current source

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Conversion Efficiency
   1. present
   2. trend
   3. optimum

2. Diode effect

3. Effect of load in determining voltage

SKETCH REQUIRED FIGURES OR TABLES:

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

TO BE PREPARED BY:
CRITERIA MONOGRAPH: Spacecraft Solar Cell Arrays

CONTENT DEVELOPMENT BOARD

APPLICABLE TO: [ ] INTRODUCTION [ ] STATE OF THE ART [ ] CRITERIA [ ] RECOMMENDED PRACTICE [ ] APPENDIX

SECTION: Solar Cell Characteristics

SUBSECTION:

TOPIC: Size (area) of Available Solar Cells

THESIS (what point are we making):

Typical solar cells are manufactured with 1, 2, and 3 cm sides as squares or rectangles. Also available are dendritic cells with lengths up to 5 times their width.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Electrical parameters output current is proportional to area. Resistance essentially constant per cm²

2. Cost vs. cell area

3. Grid design vs. cell area

4.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: TO BE PREPARED BY:
Cell thickness affects the efficiency of a cell.

The average collection efficiency, absorption ratio and short circuit current increase with cell thickness, but approach an asymptote at approximately 14-18 mils.

2. Practical limitations on the minimum cell thickness:

3. Thickness cells yield more power per unit area, while thinner cells retain more of their output under exposure to corpuscular radiation.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

MOBILITY $\mu = 1300 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$

DIFFUSED LAYER CONTRIBUTION:
4.2 mA cm$^{-2}$ AIR MASS ZERO SUNLIGHT
1.35 mA cm$^{-2}$ TUNGSTEN LIGHT

$\tau_n = 6 \mu \text{sec}$
$\tau_n = 12 \mu \text{sec}$
$\tau_n = 24 \mu \text{sec}$

THEORETICAL CURVES
- AIR MASS ZERO SUNLIGHT
- TUNGSTEN LIGHT 2800°K

EXPERIMENTAL POINTS
- 2 cm x 2 cm SIMULATOR
- 2 cm x 2 cm M=1 SUNLIGHT
- 1 cm x 2 cm M=1 SUNLIGHT
- 1 cm x 2 cm
- 2 cm x 2 cm TUNGSTEN LIGHT
- 2 cm x 2 cm CALCULATED

FIG. 3
ALL CURVES (EXCEPT ELLIOTT'S) ARE BASED ON INPUT SPECTRA RESTRICTED TO THE WAVELENGTH BAND FROM 0.375 µ TO 1.175 µ. MOBILITY IN BASE REGION $\mu_n = 1300 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ EXCEPT AS STATED.

ABSORPTION OF AVAILABLE ENERGY
SUNLIGHT ($M=0$)

ABSORPTION OF AVAILABLE PHOTONS
SUNLIGHT ($M=0$)

T.F. ELLIOTT et al 1961 (SUN)

$\tau_n = \frac{800 \text{ cm}^2}{\text{V}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-1}}$

$\tau_n = 3 \mu \text{sec}$

$\tau_n = 24 \mu \text{sec}$

$\tau_n = 6 \mu \text{sec}$

LEGEND:

- SUNLIGHT $M=1$
- SUNLIGHT $M=0$
- TUNGSTEN LIGHT 2300°K

FIG. 1
A weight-versus-efficiency tradeoff exists to permit choice of solar cells of differing thickness to obtain a given power output for a minimum weight.

1. The output of solar cells does not increase linearly with thickness, but varies according to the accompanying figure.

2. This permits a selection of cell thickness to conform with panel area or weight requirements.
TYPICAL N/P SOLAR CELL EFFICIENCY VS SILICON THICKNESS
FOR NOMINAL 2 AND 10 Ohm cm BASE RESISTIVITIES
SUNLIGHT SIMULATOR 140 mW/cm² BALLOON CALIBRATION
ACTIVE AREA 3.9 cm², CELL TEMPERATURE 26°C

MARCH 1967
Effect of junction depth on electrical parameters

Increasing junction depth decreases $J_{sc}$ and $P_{max}$, but increases radiation resistance.

1. Variations in $J_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$, and $P_{max}$ with junction depth (Table I)

2. Surface region less susceptible to radiation damage

3. Optimum junction depth (trade-off between 1 and 2)

Sources of information:

2nd Quarterly Report to JPL by Exotech
### Table 1. Dependence of solar cell parameters on cell thickness $t$ and junction depth $d$, as computed from the mathematical model for an n/p solar cell in AMO sunlight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (mils)</th>
<th>$d$ (mils)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ in mA/cm²</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ in Volts</th>
<th>$P_{m}$ in mW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>40.222</td>
<td>0.44963</td>
<td>12.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>37.171</td>
<td>0.44569</td>
<td>11.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>32.279</td>
<td>0.43863</td>
<td>9.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>40.855</td>
<td>0.45047</td>
<td>12.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>37.790</td>
<td>0.44651</td>
<td>11.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>33.908</td>
<td>0.44110</td>
<td>10.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>41.168</td>
<td>0.45079</td>
<td>12.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>38.129</td>
<td>0.44696</td>
<td>11.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>34.235</td>
<td>0.44158</td>
<td>10.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TOPIC: General Effects of Illumination Intensity on Solar Cells

THESIS (what point are we making):

All important electrical parameters increase with increasing light intensity

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. For a given cell temperature, within the range of observations, short circuit current and maximum available power increase linearly with increasing light intensity See attach Figs

2. Open circuit voltage decreases approximately 0.2 mV/mW/cm² with decreasing light intensity, down to about 60mW/cm², below that, the voltage drop accelerates.

3.

4.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

FIG. 1. A COMPARISON OF N/P SILICON CELL CHARACTERISTICS AS A FUNCTION OF INTENSITY AND CELL TEMPERATURE
Figure 3. Variation of diode current with illumination intensity.
**CONTENT DEVELOPMENT BOARD**

**APPLICABLE TO:**  
- [ ] INTRODUCTION  
- [X] STATE OF THE ART  
- [ ] CRITERIA  
- [ ] RECOMMENDED PRACTICE  
- [ ] APPENDIX

**SECTION:** Solar Cell Characteristics  
**SUBSECTION:** Environmental Effects

**TOPIC:** General Effect of Temperature on the Electrical Parameters

**THESIS (what point are we making):**

Electrical performance is generally poorer at elevated temperature.

**OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):**

1. The short circuit current increases slowly with increasing temperature. See attached figures.
2. The open circuit voltage decreases with increasing temperature, because of the exponential increase of the diode current.
3. The maximum power decreases almost linearly with increasing temperature.
4. 

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION:**

Figure 1: Current-Voltage Characteristic for Hoffman 10 ohm-cm N/P Cells at Various Temperatures, Unirradiated
Figure 2. Temperature dependence of maximum output power.
STATED OF THE ART CRITERIA

INTRODUCTION

1.7k STATE OF THE ART CRITERIA

RECOMMENDED PRACTICE

APPENDIX

SECTION: Solar Cell Characteristics

SUBSECTION: Environmental Effects

TOPIC: Corpuscular Radiation in Space

THESIS (what point are we making):

The existence of corpuscular radiation in space, particularly near Earth, affects long term performance of solar cells

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Geomagnetically trapped particles

2. Solar flare protons

3. Cosmic rays

4. 

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Solar Cell Handbook (Exotech)

Procedures of Photovoltaic Specialist Conferences
The major electrical parameters decrease with proton irradiation. The rate of decrease is a function of the energy of the proton, the flux, and the construction of the cell assembly.

1. The maximum available power, the short circuit current, and the open circuit voltage all decrease with proton irradiation. See attached Figures.

2. The rate of decrease is greater for low energy particles than for particles with energy sufficient to completely penetrate the cell.

3. Low flux rates allow annealing of the cell.

4. Some constructions are more radiation resistant than others (e.g. lithium-doped, N/P vs. P/N coverslides, etc.)
Figure 1. - Silicon Solar Cell Short-Circuit Current Degradation Under Low Energy Proton Irradiation
Figure 2. Cell 20 of ATS-1 solar cell experiment photovoltaic current $I_p$ corrected for illumination intensity, aspect angle, and temperature vs. time after launch.
Figure 5 Normalized diode current $I$ for three $10 \Omega$ n/p silicon solar cells with various shield thicknesses vs. time after launch.
Figure 4 - Degradation of Maximum Power Output for Silicon Solar Cells Under Low Energy Proton Irradiation
Figure 5 - Silicon Solar Cell Open Circuit Voltage Degradation Under Low Energy Proton Irradiation.
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Figure 6: Cell 20 computed series resistance $R$ vs. time after launch
Electron radiation in space degrades the electrical output of solar cells a few percent per year in typical cases.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Short-circuit current is affected most noticeably.

2. N/P solar cells are less affected than P/N solar cells.

3. Increasing base resistivity decreases the sensitivity to radiation.

4. 

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Solar Cell Handbook (Exotech)
Procedures of Photovoltaic Specialist Conferences (6, 7 & 8)
B. Anspaugh, JPL
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SECTION: Solar Cell Characteristics  SUBSECTION: Environmental Effects

TOPIC: Coverslides

THESIS (what point are we making):

Coverslides provide optical and thermal matching (they also provide shielding).

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Optical matching
   1. reflection phenomena
   2. use of filters (U.V., I.R.)

2. Thermal matching
   α/ε ratio

3. Radiation shielding

4. Methods of attaching coverslides (adhesives, corner darts)

SKETCH REQUIRED FIGURES OR TABLES:

SOURCES OF INFORMATION:

Corliss: Scientific Satellites
Reynard: Handbook Ref. 239
ATS-1 Report
Arrays are mounted either on the spacecraft body or on a positionable paddle.

1. Body mounting (Figure 1).

2. Positionable paddles for 100-500 W (Figures 2 & 3).

3. "Fold-out" or "roll-out" paddles for higher power (Figures 4-6).

4. Panel construction trade-off of breakage potential vs. case of construction.

Sources of Information:

1. Barrett and Stroud: ATS-1 Report
2. Corliss: Scientific Satellites
3. Ritchie and Sandstrom: Multikilowatt Solar Arrays
Figure 1. The ATS-1
Figure 2.
FIGURE 2. Construction of the IMP-D solar paddles (ref. 11, copyright Aviation Week and Space Technology).
FIG. 4 DEPLOYABLE SOLAR ARRAY UTILIZING COLLAPSIBLE BEAMS
FIG. 5: ROLL-UP SOLAR ARRAY UTILIZING NEUTATOR SPRINGS AS DEPLOYING MECHANISM
FIG. 4: ROLL-UP SOLAR ARRAY UTILIZING DeHAVILLAND STEM TUBES AS THE DEPLOYABLE MECHANISM
The type and methods of interconnections is important to the reliability of the panels.

1. Types of interconnections (Figure 1)
2. Effects of temperature.
3. Effects of humidity
4. Methods of connection (series-parallel) (Figure 2)

Sources of Information:
Luft and Maiden - 4IECEC
Corliss: Scientific Satellites
Figure 1. Interconnector with Stress Relief Loops

Figure 2. Generalized schematic of a solar-cell power supply. Several satellite faces (or facets) are shown, but only a few are utilized. Regulator protects battery from overcharging. (Adapted from ref. 7.)
Solar cell arrays are qualified for a particular spacecraft or mission through a series of tests.

1. Qualification testing includes imposing on the array severe test conditions. In some cases test-to-failure is required.
Array components are subjected to acceptance testing.

1. Acceptance testing involves flight qualified components being subjected to conditions which will demonstrate flight readiness and acceptability of actual mission hardware.

2.

3.

4.
THESIS (what point are we making): A conservative estimate of the electrical power needs of the spacecraft is a prerequisite to the initial efforts of the design group responsible for the solar cell array.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Mission duration and rms power requirements.

2. Peak power demand

3. Requirements for output voltage and load impedances

4.
THESIS (what point are we making): The level of vibration and acoustic noise that the solar cell array must sustain depends on the type of launch vehicle.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Solar cell arrays should be properly tested to meet the launch environment.

2. 

3. 

4. 

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: ________________________________

TO BE PREPARED BY: ________________________________
Final design of solar cell arrays should provide for satisfactory performance in this mission environment.

1. Important considerations in solar cell design are:
   - orbital parameters
   - spacecraft orientation
2. eclipse duration & frequency
   - mission life
3.
4.

Sources of Information: To be prepared by:
A number of factors affecting solar cell array performance should be accounted for in the early stages of design.

1. angle of illumination
   temperature
   load
   circuit
2. design
   adhesives
   coverslides
   substrates
3. 
4. 

Sources of Information: ____________________________
To Be Prepared By: ____________________________
Spacecraft Design Constraints

THESIS (what point are we making): Spacecraft design constraints affecting solar cell array design should be afforded special consideration.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Spacecraft constraints include:
   - Total Mass
   - Configuration
   - Attitude Control
   - Systems interface problems such as magnetic cleanliness and EMI

2.

3.

4.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: ________________________ TO BE PREPARED BY: ________________________
THESIS (what point are we making): Spacecraft design constraints should be included from the start in the design of solar cell arrays.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. These constraints often determine the basic configuration of the array: for spin stabilized spacecraft lateral surfaces may not be sufficient for the power requirements; paddle arrays are recommended for this particular case.

2.

3.

4.
Thesis (what point are we making): Design should be based on end-of-life power.

Outline of required text (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Solar cell arrays should be sized to permit a 10% increase in power needs since load growth of this magnitude is not uncommon.

2. Operating voltage should be designed at the point of maximum power of the degraded cell under the worst temperature conditions.

3. 

4. 

Sources of information: 

To be prepared by:
THESES (what point are we making): The trajectory of a deep space probe and the orbit of a satellite provide information on the illumination intensity and the exposure to radiation.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Duration and frequency of eclipses should be ascertained from orbit parameters - In low orbits the spacecraft may be in eclipse 50% of the time, and the solar cell array will have to send over half its output during the rest of the time into batteries to supply power during eclipse.

2. 

3. 

4. 

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: ______________________ TO BE PREPARED BY: __________________________
THESIS (what point are we making): Temperature, cell and circuit design, adhesives, coverslide, and substrate are amongst the important considerations in solar cell array design.

OUTLINE OF REQUIRED TEXT (to develop and substantiate thesis):

1. Temperature should be controlled by placing a red-reflecting coating on the cell.

2. Parallel-series circuits are recommended for long-term reliability. The use of parallel connected diodes to prevent significant reverse voltage due to an "open" in a cell or contact is recommended.

3. Adhesives should be tested for adhesion—use of primers is advisable.

4. Coverslides should be just slightly larger than the cell—thermal expansion characteristics should be matched to those of the cell.

Substrate honeycomb with aluminum, epoxy fiberglass, and a carbon filament face sheet are recommended.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION: TO BE PREPARED BY: