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A concise and convenient way of expressing ion beam spreading characteristics is presented. The two-parameter equation, \( j(z, \theta) / j(z, 0) = e^{-\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)^n} \), is shown to be capable of representing the ion beam current density profiles from several bombardment ion thrusters. Use of such an expression permits analytical treatment of beam interaction phenomena such as thrust loss due to beam spreading and sputtering erosion.
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SUMMARY

A concise and convenient way of expressing ion beam spreading characteristics is presented. The two-parameter equation, \( \frac{j(z, \theta)}{[j(z, 0)]} = e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)]^n} \), is shown to be capable of representing experimental beam current density profiles from several bombardment ion thrusters. This is a far-field expression. A calculation of the development of the profiles downstream of an extended source indicates that ion beam profiles should be taken at least eight thruster radii away to be representative of the far-field behavior of the beam.

Parametric plots (involving various combinations of \( n \) and \( \lambda \)) of thrust factor, ion beam envelopes, and back-sputtering due to ion beam erosion illustrate the use of the ion beam equation in beam-interaction calculations. Experimental ion beams illustrated had thrust factors varying from 0.95 to near 1.0.

INTRODUCTION

A description of the ion beam density profile of electron bombardment thrusters is needed for both mission analysis and spacecraft design. The angular spread of the ion beam as it leaves the thruster affects placement of possible spacecraft components, such as solar cells and thermal control surfaces, to avoid the problems of the ion beam striking sensitive surfaces and causing sputtering erosion. A loss in thrust is also involved when a significant fraction of the beam ions have trajectories away from the axis.

This report presents a two-parameter equation which has been found useful in expressing analytically the ion beam profile of a number of bombardment ion thrusters for which experimental profile data were available. The procedure for determining the
values of the parameters for fitting the equation to experimental data is described. Fi-
nally, several calculations involving interactions of the beam profile are presented to il-
lustrate its utility.

DENSITY PROFILE EQUATION

Form of Equation

Ion beam density profiles from electron bombardment thrusters have been described
as having a relatively flat central core and an exponential dropoff with increasing distance
from the beam centerline (ref. 1). An equation which exhibits these characteristics is

\[
\frac{j(z, \theta)}{j(z, 0)} = e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)]^n}
\]

(1)

where as shown in sketch (a),

- \( j(z, \theta) \) current density in radial plane at axial distance \( z \) and radial angle \( \theta \) (The cur-
  rent density is taken in the plane normal to the \( z \)-direction (radial plane) though
  the particle trajectories are at angles to this plane.)
- \( j(z, 0) \) current density in radial plane at axial distance \( z \) on centerline
- \( \theta \) half-angle from centerline to point of measurement
- \( n, \lambda \) parameters to be determined

(All symbols are defined in appendix A.)

This equation is deduced, then, simply from observation that experimentally deter-
mined profiles can be reasonably well described with the appropriate choice of the param-
eters \( n \) and \( \lambda \). Equation (1) is analogous to a similarly derived expression for density
profiles of supersonic jets expanding into a vacuum (ref. 2).
Figure 1 shows the typical shapes of profiles obtained for $n = 1$ and $2$ and for several values of $\lambda$. A higher $\lambda$ for any given $n$ indicates a more collimated beam. Similarly, a higher $n$ for any given $\lambda$ indicates a more collimated beam.

Equation (1) is a far-field expression. It describes the expanding flow as if the ion trajectories were straight lines which appear to originate from a point source. The current density thus would vary along any trajectory inversely as the square of the distance from the source. The flow is completely defined as a function of the angle $\theta$. Equation (1) must necessarily become inapplicable close to the thruster. The distance from the thruster within which the equation is not useful is discussed in appendix B.

The total current at any axial location $z$ must be constant and equal to the emitted current. Thus,

$$
\int_0^\infty 2\pi r j(z, \theta) \, dr = \frac{j}{R_0^2}
$$

Substitution of equation (1) into equation (2) and rearranging yield

$$
j(z, 0) = \frac{R_0^2}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)]^n} r \, dr
$$

Letting

$$y = \frac{r}{z}
$$

equation (3) becomes

$$
j(z, 0) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -\left[ \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + y^2}} \right) \right]^n \right\} y \, dy \right) (R_0^2)^2
$$

Designating the bracketed term in equation (5) as $c(n, \lambda)$,
\[ c(n, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -\left[ \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \right) \right]^n \right\} y \, dy \]  

(6)

Equation (5) can be expressed

\[ \frac{j(z, \theta)}{\bar{j}} = c(n, \lambda) \frac{R_0^2}{z^2} \]  

(7)

Finally, equations (7) and (1) combine to give the complete current density relation at any location,

\[ \frac{j(z, \theta)}{\bar{j}} = c(n, \lambda) \frac{R_0^2}{z^2} e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)]^n} \]  

(8)

The part I of equation (8) gives the variation along the centerline; part II gives the radial variation. Values of \( c(n, \lambda) \) are plotted in figure 2.

**Comparison of Equation with Experimental Data**

The applicability of equation (1) to describing experimental ion beam profile data is shown in figures 3(a) to (g). In these figures the experimental profile data cited (refs. 1 and 3 to 6) are shown by the data symbols. The solid lines are the plots of equation (1) for the particular values of \( n \) and \( \lambda \) cited on each curve. The value of \( z/R_0 \), the ratio of the distance downstream that the experimental profile was taken to the thruster radius, is also shown.

Two sets of experimental data for a given thruster have ion beam density profiles at two or more axial distances (figs. 3(d) and (e)). In figure 3(d), the data at axial distance ratios \( z/R_0 \) of 14.8 and 25.2 fall on the same curve, showing that the assumption of linear trajectories between the two distances was applicable to this case. In reference 1, from which the data of figure 3(e) were obtained, the thruster size is not given. The actual linear distance at which the several profiles were taken is therefore shown in figure 3(e), rather than the distance ratios \( z/R_0 \) which are cited in the other plots. The profiles at axial distances of 16.5, 21.5, 28.4, and 41.4 centimeters are closely approximated by the single profile equation with \( n = 1.19 \) and \( \lambda = 85 \). The data of the closest
profile \((z = 11.5 \text{ cm})\) may be too close to the thruster to expect far-field behavior (see appendix B). The furthest downstream profile \((z = 53.4 \text{ cm})\) shows considerable asymmetry and is plotted separately in figure 3(e) along with the same equation plot as in the other part of this figure. The profiles of figure 3(e) also support the assumption of linear ion trajectories in the far field. Reasons for the asymmetry of the one profile were not discussed in reference 1.

The other profiles of figure 3 were taken at distance ratios \(z/R_0\) varying from 4 to 23.3.

It can be seen that equation (1) is capable of representing the experimental, ion beam, current density profile data reasonably well. The greatest differences between experiment and equation values occur at the low-density values where the accuracy of determination is least.

Some of the information from figure 3 is summarized in table I.

### Determination of Parameter Values

An experimental profile can be readily checked against equation (1) to determine the appropriate values of \(n\) and \(\lambda\). The value of \(\lambda\) is determined, independently of \(n\), from the angle \(\theta_e\) at which \(j(z, \theta_e)/[j(z, 0)] = e^{-1}\) (i.e., 0.368),

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta_e}
\]  

(9)

Then, from any other experimental point, the value of \(n\) is determined. For instance, at the angle \(\theta_{0.1}\), at which \(j(z, \theta_{0.1})/[j(z, 0)] = 0.1\),

\[
n = \frac{0.834}{\ln[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta_{0.1})]}
\]  

(10)

The radial profile will thus fit at the three values of angle \(\theta, 0, \theta_e,\) and \(\theta_{0.1}\).

### APPLICATION TO CERTAIN PROBLEMS

#### Thrust Factor

The ratio of the axial component of thrust to the thrust that would be obtained if all trajectories were directed along the axis is referred to herein as the thrust factor \(F_T\).
Thus,

\[ F_T = \frac{\int_0^\infty m(r)v \cos \theta 2\pi r \, dr}{\int_0^\infty m(r)v2\pi r \, dr} \]  

(11)

The velocity \( v \) is assumed to be constant for any trajectory and to be directed in the \( \theta \)-direction. Equation (11) can be expressed, then, as

\[ F_T = \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{j(z,r)}{j} \cos \theta r \, dr}{\int_0^\infty \frac{j(z,r)}{j} r \, dr} \]  

(12)

Substituting equations (1) and (4) into equation (12) yields

\[ F_T = \frac{\int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -\left[ \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \right) \right]^n \right\} \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \, dy}{\int_0^\infty \exp \left\{ -\left[ \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \right) \right]^n \right\} y \, dy} \]  

(13)

Numerical integration of equation (13) resulted in the values of thrust factor shown plotted in figure 4.

**Ion Beam Envelope**

The fraction of the total ion beam enclosed within a given half-angle \( \theta_L \) is expressed as
Substituting equations (4) and (6) into equation (14) yields

\[
\frac{I(\theta_L)}{I(\text{total})} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)]^{n}} 2\pi r \, dr}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \theta)]^{n}} 2\pi r \, dr}
\]  

(14)

Numerical integration of equation (15) for values of \( n = 1 \) and 2 and for several \( \lambda \)-values yielded the curves shown in figures 5(a) and (b), for the fraction of the total beam contained within the angle \( \theta_L \).

Return of Sputtered Target Flux to Thruster

The testing of ion thrusters in vacuum tanks causes sputtering of the facility walls where the ion beam impinges. The return of this sputtered material to the thruster face and condensation thereon was shown to affect the operation of glass-coated accelerator grids (ref. 7). In order to get some estimate of the amount of back-sputtered material to expect, the following model was used (sketch (b)), which made use of the proposed beam profile equation (1):
The returning (back sputtered) flux rate \( \mu_2 \) depends on the local emission rate of sputtered material \( \nu_1 \) and the view factor \( dF_{1,2} \) from the point of sputtering to the thruster center. (The variation across the thruster face will not be more than a few percent as long as the sputtered surface is about five or more thruster radii away.)

\[
\mu_2 = \int_{\text{Area}} \nu_1 dF_{1,2} \tag{16}
\]

Assuming cosine-law distribution of the sputtered material and cylindrical symmetry, the back flux from the target and the wall can be written separately and summed. (View factors defined in appendix A are derivable from relations in reference 8.)

\[
\mu_2 = 2Z^2_T \int_0^R \frac{\nu_1(r)r \, dr}{(z_T^2 + r^2)^2} + 2R^2 \int_0^{z_T} \frac{\nu_1(z)z \, dz}{(R^2 + z^2)^2} \tag{17}
\]

The sputtered emission rate \( \nu_1 \) depends on the incident ion rate \( \nu_1 \) and the sputtering ratio \( S \),

\[
\nu_1 = \mu_1 S \tag{18}
\]

In turn, \( S \) depends on incident ion energy, target material, and angle of incidence. The angular variation was assumed to follow the relation (ref. 9)

\[
S = S(0) \sec \alpha \tag{19}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the angle between the surface normal and the incident ion direction.

For the variation of \( \mu_1 \) with the position variables \( z \) and \( r \), use was made of equation (8).

It can be seen in sketch (a) that for the circular target \( \alpha = \theta \), and that for the cylindrical tank wall \( \alpha = (\pi/2) - \theta \). Also the arrival rate on the cylindrical wall is related to the arrival rate on the target at the same location by

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1(z, R) \end{bmatrix}_{\text{Tank wall}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1(z, R) \end{bmatrix}_{\text{Target}} \tan \theta \tag{20}
\]
By converting to the dimensionless variable \( x \), where

\[
x = \frac{z}{R}
\]

and using relations (4), (8), and (18) to (21), equation (17) can be transformed into

\[
\frac{\mu_2}{\nu} = 2S(0) \frac{R_0^2}{z_T^2} \int_0^{R/z_T} \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{1}{1 + y^2} \right] \right\} \frac{y}{\left[ \frac{1}{c(n, \lambda)} \right] (1 + y^2)^{3/2}} dy
\]

\[
+ 2S(0) \frac{R_0^2}{R^2} \int_0^{z/R} \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{x}{1 + x^2} \right] \right\} \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{1}{c(n, \lambda)} \right] x^2 (1 + x^2)^{3/2}} dx
\]

Term I of equation (22) is the back flux from the circular target. Term II is the back flux from the cylindrical wall. The two parts of equation (22) are plotted separately in figures 6 and 7 for values of \( n = 1 \) and 2 and for several values of \( \lambda \).

In figures 6(a) and (b), for example, the ordinate parameter

\[
\frac{\mu_2}{\nu} \left( \frac{z_T}{R_0} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2S(0)}
\]

is plotted. This parameter accounts for the flux ratio \( \mu_2/\nu \), from a circular target of radius \( r \) to the thruster exit center.

The return flux from a target is obtained, then, as

\[
\left( \frac{\mu_2}{\nu} \right)_{\text{target}} = \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\nu} \right)_{\text{target}} \left( \frac{z_T^2}{R_0^2} \right) \frac{1}{2S(0)} \left( \frac{R_0^2}{z_T^2} \right)
\]
It can be seen, for instance, that for \( n = 1 \) and \( \lambda = 50 \), no significant fraction of the total return flux from a circular target is obtained beyond about a 20° half-angle. For \( n = 1 \) and \( \lambda = 15 \), most of the return flux is confined to the target that is within a \( \theta \)-value of about 45°. For \( n = 2 \) and these same \( \lambda \)-values, the cutoff angles are nearer 15° and 30°, respectively.

Figures 7(a) and (b) show the return flux from the tank walls to the thruster exit center. Here the ordinate gives the return flux from \( x = 0 \) out to any axial distance \( x = z/R \). (The reference radius \( R \) in these plots is the tank radius, not the thruster radius.) The return flux from the cylindrical wall is then

\[
\left( \frac{\mu_2}{\nu} \right)_{\text{wall}} = \left[ \frac{\mu_2 R^2}{\nu R_0^2} \frac{1}{2S(0)} \right] \frac{R_0^2}{R^2} \frac{2S(0)}{\text{wall}}
\]

In this case it can be seen that for \( n = 1 \) and \( \lambda = 50 \) there is essentially no return flux from the wall between \( x = 0 \) and \( x = 1.5 \). The major portion of the return flux from the wall comes from the wall area between \( x = 1.5 \) and \( x = 10 \). For \( n = 1 \) and \( \lambda = 15 \), return flux from the wall close to \( x = 0 \) is noted, but essentially no wall-return flux comes from the wall beyond about \( x = 8 \). For \( n = 2 \), the same \( \lambda \)-values represent more collimated beams; hence, there is less wall-return flux from similar facility dimensions.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A two-parameter equation is shown to be capable of representing experimental ion beam density profiles of bombardment ion thruster exhausts. The equation is a far-field relation (eq. (1)) and therefore will not be applicable too close to the thruster exit. A calculation of the development of the profiles downstream of an extended source indicates that ion beam density profiles should be taken at least eight thruster radii away to be representative of the far-field behavior of the ion beam. The equation was shown to represent profiles taken as close as four thruster radii downstream. However, these may not be representative of the far-field patterns.

The particular advantage of being able to express the ion beam profile in a concise analytical relation has been illustrated by parametric plots of thrust factor and ion beam envelope curves. Experimental beam profiles illustrated had thrust factors varying from about 0.95 to nearly 1.0.
Use of the beam profile equation to calculate back-sputtering from vacuum chamber walls during ion thruster testing was illustrated.

Lewis Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration,
Cleveland, Ohio, January 18, 1971,
129-02.
APPENDIX A

SYMBOLS

c(n, λ) parameter defined in eq. (6)
dA₀ differential area of thruster, cm²
dF₁, ₂ differential view factor, fraction of emitted flux from surface 1 that intercepts surface 2
I current, A
I(θ) current enclosed within cone of half-angle θ, A
I(tot) total current, A
j(z, θ) current density in radial plane at axial distance z and radial angle θ, A/cm²
j(z, r) current density in radial plane at axial distance z and radial distance r, A/cm²
j(z, 0) current density in radial plane at axial distance z on centerline, A/cm²
j(0, r₀) current density in the radial plane at thruster exit (z = 0) and at radial distance r₀, A/cm²
j(0, 0) current density in radial plane at thruster exit (z = 0) at centerline, A/cm²
j̄ average current density of thruster (eq. (B3)), A/cm²
m(r) mass flux in radial plane at radius r, kg/(cm²)(sec)
n parameter of eq. (1)
R radius of facility vacuum tank (sketch (a)), cm
R₀ radius of thruster, cm
r radius, cm
r₀ radius in thruster exit plane, cm
S sputtering yield, atoms/incident ion
S(0) sputtering yield at normal incidence, atoms/incident ion
v velocity
x nondimensional axial distance, z/R
y nondimensional radial distance, r/z (≡ tan θ)
$z$  axial distance variable, cm

$z_T$  distance of target from thruster exit plane, cm

$\alpha$  angle between surface normal and incident ion direction

$\beta$  angle in thruster exit plane (sketch (c))

$\theta$  angle from centerline of ion beam

$\theta_e$  angle at which $j(z, \theta_e)/j(z, 0)$ equals 0.368

$\theta_{0.1}$  angle at which $j(z, \theta_{0.1})/j(z, 0)$ equals 0.1

$\theta_L$  cone half-angle enclosing given fraction of total ion beam

(ths. (14) and (15))

$\lambda$  parameter of eq. (1)

$\mu_1$  particle arrival rate at surface in target plane, atoms/(cm$^2$)(sec)

$\mu_2$  particle arrival rate at center of thruster exit plane, atoms/(cm$^2$)(sec)

$\bar{\nu}$  average emitted ion rate from thruster, ions/(cm$^2$)(sec)

$\nu_1$  particle emission rate from target surface, atoms/(cm$^2$)(sec)
APPENDIX B

DEVELOPMENT OF FAR-FIELD PROFILE FROM EXTENDED SOURCE

In order to get some indication of the closest approach to an extended source (the thruster) that a far-field expression such as equation (1) could be useful, a calculation of the profiles as a function of distance from such a source was carried out.

If it is assumed that nothing influences the ion trajectories once they are beyond the neutralization plane of the thruster, the development of a particular far-field profile, expressible in the form of equation (1), would only come about because the local emission sources (for instance, the individual grid holes) exhibit this same type of profile. The amount of emission current from the individual sources may vary. In this particular example a distribution of current density across the radius of the thruster exit \((z = 0)\) is assumed to be

\[
\frac{j(0, r_0)}{j(0, 0)} = \cos \left( \frac{\pi r_0}{2 R_0} \right)
\]

That is, individual emitting holes are not assumed, but rather a continuous emission source over the thruster face is assumed. The magnitude of the emission current varies as equation (B1) and the distribution locally varies as equation (1).

For the profile of equation (B1) the current density at the centerline \(j(0, 0)\) is related to the average current density \(\bar{j}\) by

\[
j(0, 0) = 2.16 \bar{j}
\]

where

\[
\bar{j} = \frac{1}{\pi R_0^2}
\]

A schematic showing the geometric relations is shown in sketch (c).
The flux to point $z, r$ from the differential area of the thruster is

$$\frac{dj(z, r)}{j(0, r_0)} = c(n, \lambda) \frac{dA_0}{\pi z^2} e^{-[\lambda(1 - \cos \alpha)]^n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (B4)

By using

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{z}{\sqrt{r_0^2 + r^2 - 2r_0r \cos \beta + z^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (B5)

and

$$j(0, r_0) = 2.16 \int \cos \left(\frac{\pi r_0}{2 R_0}\right) dr_0 d\beta$$  \hspace{1cm} (B6)

equation (B4) can be written

$$\frac{j(z, r)}{j} = 2.16 \frac{c(n, \lambda)}{\pi z^2} \int_{A_0} \cos \left(\frac{\pi r_0}{2 R_0}\right) \exp \left[-\lambda \left(1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{r_0^2 + r^2 - 2r_0r \cos \beta + z^2}}\right)^n\right] r_0 dr_0 d\beta$$  \hspace{1cm} (B6)

Equation (B7) was integrated numerically. Results for $n = 1$ and $\lambda = 20$ are shown plotted in figures 8 and 9. Figure 8 shows the variation of $\frac{j(z, r)}{j}$ with distance for several $\theta$-values. Values of $\frac{j(z, r)}{j}$ approach closely those given by the far-field expression within about six to eight thruster radii downstream. The same calculations are presented in a different manner in figure 9. This figure shows constant current density lines. The near-field data (dashed lines) blend into the far-field values (solid lines) by about six to eight thruster radii downstream.
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### TABLE I. COMPARISON OF ION BEAM PROFILES WITH EQUATION (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter, cm</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Figure Reference</th>
<th>Axial distance of profile, $z/R_0$</th>
<th>Constants</th>
<th>Thrust factor, $F_T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Glass grid</td>
<td>3(a)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Glass grid</td>
<td>3(b)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Glass grid</td>
<td>3(c)</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Two grid</td>
<td>3(d)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>3(e)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Two-grid</td>
<td>3(f)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Glass grid</td>
<td>3(g)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Unpublished data by J. H. Molitor and H. J. King of Hughes Research Laboratory, Malibu, Calif.

*b* Not given in reference.

*c* Case III of ref. 6.

*d* Case I of ref. 6.
Figure 1. - Beam density profiles. \( \text{(Eq. (1).)} \)

Figure 2. - Value of parameter \( c(n, \lambda) \). \( \text{(Eq. (6).)} \)
Figure 3. - Comparison of profile equation (1) with data. Solid line is equation for $n, \lambda$ values cited.
(e-1) For various axial distance \( z \).

(e-2) For axial distance of 23.4 centimeters.

(e) Data of reference 1. Thruster type and diameter not specified; 
\( n = 1.19; \lambda = 85 \).

(f) Data of reference 6. Two-grid, 13-
centimeter-diameter thruster; \( \Omega z = 3.4 \).

(g) Unpublished data by R. Bechtel
of Lewis. Glass-grid, 30-
centimeter-diameter thruster;
\( n = 0.8; \lambda = 42.9; \Omega z = 4.8; \)
thrust factor, \( F_T = 0.96 \).

Figure 3. - Concluded.
Figure 4. - Thrust loss due to beam divergence.

Figure 5. - Fraction of total beam enclosed within given half-angle $\theta_L$. 
Figure 6. Return flux from circular target.
Figure 7. - Return flux from tank wall.
Figure 8. - Variation of current density with distance at several angles. Straight lines are far-field values; data points are calculated values from extended source relation (eq. (87)); $n = 1$, $\lambda = 20$.

Figure 9. - Constant current density contours. Solid lines from equation (1); dashed lines from equation (87); $n = 1$, $\lambda = 20$. 

Current density ratio, $j(z, r)/j_0$
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