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ABSTRACT

Trajectories of 10 Mev protons in an image dipole model magnetosphere have been numerically integrated for several values of the tilt of the geomagnetic dipole. In order to obtain a closed magnetic field model it is necessary to tilt the image dipole toward the earth for northern hemisphere summer conditions. Significant variations in cutoff latitude with changing tilt angle are found only near 0600 and 1600 local times with cutoff latitudes closest to the pole for the zero tilt condition.
INTRODUCTION

It has been known for some time that energetic particle cutoff latitudes are in fact much lower than predicted by Stoermer's dipole field calculations. Numerical integration of charged particle trajectories in the Taylor-Hones and Williams-Mead geomagnetic models by Taylor (1967), Gall et al (1968) and Smart et al (1969) have shown that much better approximations to true cutoff values can be made by using these more realistic magnetic field models. If accurate cutoffs for a given geographic location are to be obtained for the more energetic particles, a spherical harmonic expansion is needed to represent the earth's internal magnetic sources (see, e.g., Shea et al, 1965). For the lower energy particles (for example, solar protons with energies less than about 20 Mev) an accurate representation of the outer magnetosphere and polar regions are more important considerations.

The Taylor-Hones and Williams-Mead models have been handicapped by the difficulty in representing accurately the magnetic effects produced near the earthward boundary of the neutral sheet current and by the inability to allow for the changing tilt of the geomagnetic axis with respect to the ecliptic plane. Olson (1969) has recently adapted the self-consistent field model of Mead and Bland (1964) to oblique solar wind incidence, thereby providing a representation of the magnetopause for all solar wind incidence angles. By appropriate integration of the predicted boundary currents it is possible to calculate the magnetic field anywhere inside. It is generally conceded that the Olson and Williams-Mead models, which are based on a solar-wind pressure-balance formulation, are the most physically meaningful and offer greater potential as the basis of a
possible "real-time" magnetospheric model. However, once an appropriate image dipole has been found, the mathematical simplicity of the Taylor-Hones model makes it a valuable tool for calculations such as the integration of particle trajectories violating one or more adiabatic invariants. For such particles the determination of a single trajectory requires values for the magnetic induction vector at tens of thousands of locations within the magnetosphere.

The purpose of this study is to adapt the Taylor-Hones model to arbitrary tilt angles and to investigate the resulting seasonal and diurnal effects on calculated energetic particle trajectories. The basic Taylor-Hones parameters are retained; that is, an image dipole 28 times as strong as the earth's dipole is placed at a geocentric distance of 40 R_E. Magnetospheric electric fields have not been incorporated into this model, but their effects will be negligible for the 10 Mev protons investigated.

MAGNETIC FIELD MODEL

It is well-known (see, e.g., Maxwell, 1881; Chapman and Ferraro, 1931) that the effects of an infinite conducting plane moving toward a magnetic dipole can be reproduced by replacing the conductor with an image dipole of equal moment placed an equal distance behind the plane. The effect is to confine all the magnetic flux from each dipole to the half-space bounded by the conducting plane. The method works equally well for dipoles which are tilted with respect to the conducting plane as long as a true mirror image orientation is maintained by tilting the image dipole an equal amount in the opposite direction. Although it is known that the solar wind does not act like a moving, infinite conducting plane, it was found
by Hones (1963) that the addition to the earth's field of a much stronger image dipole placed a greater distance away gives a fairly accurate representation of the dayside magnetosphere. Actually the method would be more suitably named the immersed dipole model in which the weaker (earth's) dipole is confined to a bulged-out cavity in the stronger field.

As long as the two dipole fields share a common equatorial plane flux is conserved within each field. However, if the smaller dipole is tilted, interconnection of the two dipole fields occurs. This effect has been illustrated by Antonova and Shabansky (1968). It is not thought possible to eliminate the interconnection completely, but it can be minimized by finding an optimum relative orientation for the two dipoles. As mentioned above, for dipoles of equal magnitudes, the mirror image orientation is correct. Such a method was tried as a first approximation to the northern hemisphere summer configuration but resulted in a large loss of flux from a region several degrees wide near the southern neutral point. Other possible methods were suggested by the following features of the equal dipole orientation: (1) The magnetic torques experienced by the two dipoles are equal in magnitude and are in opposite directions, (2) The radial component of the total field vanishes at the subsolar point, (3) The neutral points lie on the same undisturbed dipole field line. The zero total torque approach was dismissed since it requires equal tilt angles and this method was found not to conserve flux as described above. A similar approach was used by Sauer (private communication) who chose an orientation which produced zero torque on the earth's dipole. This method also was not pursued since it does not conserve flux in the equal dipole case (see (1)
Requiring a total field perpendicular to the ecliptic plane at the subsolar point (assumed at 10.8 R_E geocentric distance) gives image dipole tilt angles of 7.00°, 10.50° and 14.00° for earth dipole tilts of 10°, 15° and 20° respectively and these values were used as first approximations. Recognizing that complete flux conservation is not possible, a field line tracing program was used to test neighboring orientations to minimize the region of flux loss at the noon meridian. Field line tracing was also performed for other local times until confidence was gained that within practical limits flux loss was very nearly minimized. This procedure resulted in regions of flux loss in the noon-meridian plane less than 0.60° wide in invariant latitude. Image dipole tilt angles obtained by this method are 6.84°, 10.28° and 13.83° for 10°, 15° and 20° earth dipole tilts. These optimum values were found to the nearest .01° using a program which follows each line of force in segments .01 R_E in length. The resulting neutral points were found to lie on undisturbed dipole field lines whose invariant latitudes differed by less than 0.5° (see (3) above), giving further assurance that a near-optimum configuration had been attained.

A neutral sheet field was added to the two dipole fields as follows: A semi-infinite neutral plane was taken parallel to the ecliptic plane with termination at a distance of 8 R_E in the geomagnetic equatorial plane. Edge effects were neglected and a zero field addition was assumed in the region within 0.25 R_E above and below the neutral sheet, allowing field line connection as produced by the two dipole fields. At larger distances above and below the neutral sheet a solar-antisolar field was added. This
field had a magnitude of 30γ at the earthward edge of the neutral sheet and decreased with distance down the tail in proportion to $X_{SE}^{-0.3}$, where $X_{SE}$ is the distance downstream of the earth (see Behannon, 1968). As shown by Antonova and Shabansky (1968) the inclusion of edge effects of the neutral sheet current in the zero-tilt model will move the neutral points to lower invariant latitudes in better agreement with experiment. These effects were not included in the present model, it being decided that, due to uncertainties in the near-earth configuration of the neutral sheet for tilted conditions, the large asymmetry of the additional field contributions would only complicate the selection of an optimum dipole configuration while adding questionable validity to the model.

Several noon-midnight field lines are shown in Figure 1 for a tilt of 15° and northern hemisphere summer conditions. Using solar-wind pressure-balance calculations, Spreiter and Brigs (1962) and Olson (1969) have found that the shape of the magnetopause depends very little upon the tilt angle except near the neutral points. Although the zero-tilt neutral points of the Taylor-Hones model lie several degrees closer to the solar ecliptic plane than those of Olson's model, their relative displacements as the tilt angle is changed are roughly the same. For example, during northern summer conditions with a 10° tilt, the present model predicts that the angle between the radius vector to the northern neutral point and the earth-sun line will be reduced by 9.3° while the corresponding angle in the southern hemisphere will be increased by 8.8°. The northern and southern values reported by Olson (1969) are 8.0° and 8.9° respectively. For a 15° tilt the northern and southern neutral points are shifted by
13.0° and 12.9° respectively, compared to displacements of 11.2° and 14.0° in Olson's model.

PARTICLE TRAJECTORY COMPUTATIONS

10 Mev protons were chosen for a study of the effects of the tilted field on energetic particle cutoff latitudes. In addition to their importance in solar flare effects, they are of high enough energy that the neutral sheet and electric field inadequacies of the model magnetosphere are obviated while still having high enough cutoff latitudes that some tilt effects should be evident. The trajectory tracing routine is similar to that described by Taylor (1967). The fourth-order Runge-Kutta program published in the IBM System/360 Scientific Subroutine Package (360A-CM-03X) Version II was used to trace backward the trajectory of a proton arriving along the field line at 1000 km altitude. Calculations were carried out on the U.S. Military Academy's General Electric 635 computer using double-precision arithmetic throughout to reduce roundoff errors. A maximum step size of one-tenth the local Larmor radius (R_L) was used and an accuracy check was made in every step requiring that halving of the step size produced a position difference less than (2 x 10^{-5})R_L1000 km. This assured a maximum error for 50,000 numerical steps on the order of the 1000 km Larmor radius. The program was successfully tested for particle motion in a uniform field and calculations for the zero-tilt field were found to be in agreement with independent results provided by Dr. H. E. Taylor.

With the above assurances of the program's reliability it was used to integrate equations of motion of 10 Mev "negative" protons directed upward along the local magnetic induction vector at 1000 km altitude.
In all, 440 trajectories were traced in determining cutoff latitudes for tilts of $0^\circ$, $\pm 10^\circ$, and $\pm 20^\circ$ at various local times. At a given local time an initial latitude was selected at which a particle directed up the field line would intersect the atmosphere (altitude < 100 km) before reaching its first mirror point. The latitude was then increased in intervals of $0.1^\circ$ until the proton either crossed the magnetopause or reached a path length of 50 RE without returning to 100 km altitude. Intersection with the atmosphere indicated that the starting latitude was inaccessible to zero-pitch-angle protons from infinity. Crossing of the magnetopause was taken to indicate an allowed trajectory. However, most trajectories analyzed had successive mirror points progressively higher above the atmosphere and reached a path length of 50 RE inside the magnetosphere. These latitudes were considered to be allowed to the extent that they are accessible to protons with certain mirror points in the outer magnetosphere. They are strictly accessible then only if some type of field line merging or diffusion process allows the protons free access through the tail to the outer magnetosphere since it has been found by Gall et al (1968) that 10 Mev protons cannot cross the compressed dayside boundary. Occasionally a penumbral type behavior was noted near the cutoff latitude. In all cases the cutoff latitude was taken as the lowest latitude at which an allowed orbit was found.

Table 1 lists cutoff latitudes for 0600 and 1500 local times. All calculations were made for northern hemisphere summer conditions with the negative tilt values indicating southern hemisphere calculations (winter conditions). As indicated by these values, when a significant tilt
dependence is found (greater than a few tenths of a degree), it is always such that the 0° cutoff lies closest to the pole. In Figure 2 are plotted the cutoff latitudes for 0° tilt and +20° tilt. The local-time dependence for 0° tilt is similar, although, as expected, not as pronounced as that found by Taylor (1967) for zero-pitch-angle 1.2 Mev protons. Cutoff latitudes for +20° tilt are shown to be displaced to lower latitudes at local times near 0600 and 1600 while at other local times no significant difference is noted.

DISCUSSION

There have been no published reports of attempts to measure the tilt dependence of low-energy cosmic ray cutoff latitudes. Such a study for solar cosmic rays would be difficult to perform since appreciable fluxes are typically found during disturbed periods when the earth's magnetic field is highly distorted and variable. However, some unexplained seasonal and longitudinal variations have been found in the position of the galactic cosmic ray knee which occurs at the approximate cutoff latitude of 600 Mev protons. George (1970) has noted some tendency for the cosmic ray knee to lie slightly closer to the pole during winter time. Such behavior is not predicted by this study. Seward and Kornblum (1965) have found a large longitudinal dependence in the position of the northern hemisphere knee at local times within three hours of noon and midnight for relatively quiet geomagnetic conditions (Kp ≤ 3+). The northern hemisphere knee was farthest from the pole near 120°E longitude and closest to the pole near 30°E longitude. All observations were made near equinox conditions,
September 18 to 22, 1961. Assuming the north magnetic pole to be at 69°W and 78.5°N (see, e.g., Chapman and Bartels, 1940) we find that when it is midnight at 170°E it is very nearly noon at 69°W, producing a condition of maximum daily tilt toward the sun. The maximum tilt away from the sun likewise occurs when it is noon at 120°E. Similarly noon and midnight at 30°E correspond approximately to dawn and dusk at 69°W, or the two minimum tilt configurations. In Figure 3 Seward and Kornblum's longitude plot has been converted to a tilt angle one. For the purposes of this plot it is assumed that all observations were made exactly at noon or midnight. Tilt angles shown are the complements of the angles between the centered dipole and the earth-sun line for equinox conditions. There is seen a definite tendency for the knee to lie nearer the pole at 0° tilt. Seward and Kornblum's southern hemisphere data were handicapped by a large gap due to the Atlantic Anomaly, preventing a determination of the longitudinal dependence for southern latitudes.

Although no significant tilt variations were found in the present study for protons arriving along the magnetic field vector at noon and midnight, the arrival of protons at larger pitch angles may show a larger tilt dependence. It is also possible that the Seward and Kornblum observations can be explained by internal magnetic effects. However, calculations using sixth-degree internal field simulations (see, e.g., Shea et al, 1965, and Smart et al, 1969) have not predicted such a behavior.

A comprehensive study of these effects should include accurate neutral sheet and ring current models for tilted conditions and calculations for different pitch angles. An accurate study for higher energy particles should also include a high-order simulation of the internal field enabling one to separate longitudinal effects from those due to the changing tilt angle.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Table 1: Geomagnetic cutoff latitudes for 10 Mev protons at several tilt angles. All calculations were made for northern hemisphere summer conditions. Negative tilt angles indicate southern hemisphere values (winter conditions).

Figure 1: Several magnetic field lines for a tilt angle of +15° (northern hemisphere summer conditions). The earth's dipole axis lies along the vertical. Indicated latitudes are geomagnetic latitudes at which field lines intersect the earth's surface.

Figure 2: Local time and tilt angle dependence of cutoff latitudes for 10 Mev protons arriving at 1000 km along the field line. Open circles indicate zero tilt angle. Solid circles indicate +20° tilt angle (summer conditions). Half-solid circles indicate a difference of less than a few tenths of a degree (the typical penumbral band width). Shaded regions show approximate latitudes which are forbidden for 0° tilt but allowed for +20° tilt.

Figure 3: Northern hemisphere cosmic ray knee positions taken from Seward and Kornblum (1965) and replotted in terms of tilt angle rather than longitude. Tilt angles are the complements of the angles between the centered dipole and the earth-sun line. Data points were taken within three hours of noon and midnight, but were assumed to be at exactly noon and midnight for purposes of tilt angle computations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCAL TIME</th>
<th>TILT ANGLE</th>
<th>CUTOFF LATITUDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0600</td>
<td>-20°</td>
<td>68.3°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10°</td>
<td>69.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>70.9°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+10°</td>
<td>70.5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+20°</td>
<td>68.4°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>-20°</td>
<td>68.3°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-10°</td>
<td>69.2°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>69.0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+10°</td>
<td>69.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+20°</td>
<td>68.6°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1**