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ABSTRACT

A detail design and fabrication of a solar heat receiver using lithium fluoride as the heat storage material has been completed. A gas flow analysis was performed to achieve uniform flow distribution within overall pressure drop limitations. Structural analyses and allowable design criteria were developed for anticipated environments such as launch, pressure containment and thermal cycling. A complete heat receiver assembly was fabricated almost entirely from the refractory alloy, columbium-1% zirconium.
FOREWORD

This report describes the design and fabrication of a Brayton Cycle Solar Heat Receiver performed under NASA Contract NAS 3-10944. The work was conducted by General Electric Nuclear Systems Programs for the Space Power Systems Division, NASA-Lewis Research Center, under the direction of Mr. Harry M. Cameron, Project Manager.
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1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
1.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The General Electric Company, under Contract NAS 3-10944 to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, has completed the design and fabrication of a solar heat receiver for use in a 10 Kw cycle power system. The heat receiver functions as an absorber of the solar radiation incident on the mirror-collector, and as a heat exchanger to transfer heat into the Brayton System working fluid. Physically it is interposed between the recuperator and the turbine, receiving its gas flow from the recuperator at a temperature of 1564°F and delivering it to the turbine at 1960°F.

1.1 SUMMARY

A gas flow analysis was performed to study the pressure drop and flow distribution characteristics of the receiver and determine the following:

1) Manifold sizes required.
2) Flow restrictions required, if any, to achieve uniform flow.
3) Size of inlet and outlet nozzles.

Results of the analysis showed that the actual pressure drop was within the specified allowable (2% of inlet pressure). Each of the gas tubes was also provided with an orifice to assure uniform flow distribution.

Thermostructural analyses performed on all major components, including the shell, aperture assembly and top closure, revealed that thermal stresses were well within the allowable limits. The most significant inertial load requirement was the 20 g "half-sine" shock pulse of 100 millisecond duration. The shock spectrum approach was used to evaluate the inertial response. Results of the analysis showed a large factor of safety with respect to the applied load.

Mechanical design of the heat receiver incorporated six heat-rejection doors to protect the structure from overtemperature damage. These elec-
trically-actuated doors are designed to open when the cavity temperature has reached a temperature of $2140^\circ R$.

A very extensive refractory metal procurement and quality assurance effort was conducted during the course of the program. All structural material of the heat receiver was originally specified to be Cb-1Zr. The corrosion resistance, weldability, strength, fabricability, and availability of Cb-1Zr alloy made it a sound selection as the primary structural material. All Cb-1Zr material was ordered to GE-NSP specifications and control over procurement and documentation was governed by NSP Instructions. All material was 100% visually and ultrasonically inspected, and where appropriate, selected samples of each type of material were evaluated for stress-rupture strength, chemistry, grain size, and metallurgical structure.

Qualification for welding personnel was carried out for each unique joint type used in the heat receiver. Weld process qualification also included welding equipment, fixtures, and tooling required for each weld. The primary purpose of the weld qualification task was to identify problems with weld joint designs, welding procedures, or fixtures, prior to commitment of hardware. Results of the weld qualification trials required a change in either the joint design or welding procedure for five of the weld joints used in the heat receiver.

The lithium fluoride filled heat storage tubes were furnished by NASA-LeRC for incorporation into the design as well as assembly fabrication. The heat storage tube units were fabricated at the Lewis Research Center. Filling of the bellows cavity with lithium fluoride was accomplished at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory as described in Reference 1. The heat storage tubes as assembled with the gas system can be seen in Figure 1.

In addition to extensive Cb-1Zr weldment type assemblies, relatively large Cb-1Zr sheet metal type fabrications were designed and successfully completed. Some of the shell support panels, representative of the Cb-1Zr sheet metal type fabrications can be seen in Figure 2. This is a photograph of the completed heat receiver which was delivered to NASA LeRC in December 1969. A weight summary of the heat receiver is tabulated below.
Figure 1. Gas System Weldment - Positioned for Assembly with Handling Fixture. (P69-11-12A)
Brayton Cycle Solar Heat Receiver - Installed in Shipping Fixture. (P69-12-11AQ)
WEIGHT SUMMARY - BRAYTON SOLAR HEAT RECEIVER

Gas System Assembly 1131
  Gas Tubes (includes 266 pounds of LiF) 576
  Inlet Manifold 260
  Outlet Manifold 230
  Ducts (elbows and bimetallcs) 35
  Foil Insulation and Thermocouples 30

Shell Assembly (with reflectors) 230
Top Closure (with flex plates and bkts) 70
Aperture Assembly (less actuators) 175
Actuators (total of six) 133
Miscellaneous Hardware 35
  Refractory Alloy 25
  Stainless Steel 10

Total Heat Receiver Weight 1174 Pounds

1.2 CONCLUSIONS

The most significant conclusion from the program is the practicality of fabricating large complex structures from the refractory alloy Ch-1Zr. Development of new welding and fabrication procedures played a major role in making the heat receiver a reality.

Weight reductions are achievable in a number of areas as noted below.

a) Since the weight of the manifolds represents a significant portion of the overall weight, system studies should be made to determine the validity of the 2% pressure drop limitation. If the pressure drop could be increased with relative impurity, the inlet and outlet manifold diameters could be decreased along with the wall thickness.

b) The outlet manifold diameter and wall thickness were matched with those of the inlet manifold for manufacturing economy. Weight reductions are therefore achievable independent of changes in pressure drop limitations.
c) The thermal stress allowable which was developed for Cb-lZr is considered to be conservative. Any increase in the stress allowable resulting from additional low cycle fatigue test data, not now available would decrease the Cb-lZr component weights, particularly the manifolds.

d) The top closure could be replaced by a thin flexible membrane.

e) Except for the torroidal transition section the wall thickness of the upper and lower shell support cones can be reduced. (Material procurement lag time imposed a relative inflexibility on design changes.)

f) The door actuators are not flight type components (because of limitations in development funding). Weight reductions in both the actuators and their support structure could be significant.

Insulation of the heat receiver structure was identified as a formidable problem. Evaluation of several candidate insulation systems resulted in an interim solution. It is apparent that no really good solution currently exists for insulating large or complex refractory alloy structures, particularly where flight considerations are involved. The need for considerable development in this area is indicated if the performance potential of space power systems is to be realized.

To obtain larger emissivity values than that obtained by grit blasting, a coating needs to be developed which is compatible with the Cb-lZr heat storage tubes for the anticipated operating range of 1700°F to 1800°F. Iron titanate, the emissivity coating originally contemplated was eliminated during the course of the program. Potential embrittlement of the Cb-lZr heat storage tubes resulting from degradation of the iron titanate coating was considered an undue risk.
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2.0 INTRODUCTION

Space missions beyond those of the Apollo Program which successfully put man on the moon will require increased electrical power. The NASA Lewis Research Center has been actively engaged in developing Brayton cycle power systems for meeting these increased needs for space electrical power. Nuclear or solar heat sources can be used in conjunction with the Brayton power-conversion system. To evaluate a unique solar heat receiver concept, NASA-Lewis Research Center authorized Nuclear Systems Programs of the General Electric Company to design and fabricate a prototype solar heat receiver. Test evaluation of the heat receiver under simulated conditions would be accomplished by NASA in the Plum Brook Space Power Facility. A thirty-foot diameter parabolic reflector, a solar simulator energy source as well as the Brayton cycle power conversion machinery would all be involved in simulating the total power system operating in its space environment.

The heat receiver absorbs solar radiation from a mirror-collector and functions as a heat exchanger to transfer heat into the Brayton System working fluid. The basic heat exchanger portion of the receiver consists of a flux cone made up of forty-eight gas tubes symmetrically arranged around a center axis to form the frustrum of a cone. Surrounding each gas tube is a second tube containing lithium fluoride which acts as a thermal storage material. During the sun time, excess energy is absorbed to melt the heat storage material. During shade times, the heat storage material freezes as thermal energy continues to be transferred to the working fluid. Thus, the receiver transfers heat continuously to the working fluid which comes from the Brayton system recuperator and delivers heated gas to the turbine for specified maximum shade times.

A recent program (Reference 2) was conducted to determine the compatibility of several promising columbium-base alloys with lithium fluoride under the cyclic thermal conditions which simulate the sun-shade
cycle of a heat receiver, and to evaluate a design concept for containment of lithium fluoride in a manner which accommodates the 29% expansion upon melting. The compatibility tests indicated the columbium-base alloys Cb-1Zr, FS-85, and SCb-291 were corrosion resistant to lithium fluoride. The results of the compatibility study are described in a separate report. (Reference 3)

Earlier effort on the Sunflower Program, sponsored by NASA, produced the initial design information for solar heat receivers. In that program, lithium hydride was used as the heat storage material. Unfortunately, a basic characteristic of lithium hydride is its dissociation into free hydrogen and elemental lithium in the liquid state. This led to a later effort of design analysis, small scale experiments, and material corrosion tests, using lithium fluoride as the heat storage material. That program resulted in the basic design concept for heat receivers, engineering properties of lithium fluoride, and corrosion information with LiF at temperatures up to 1850°F.

Based on the results of this previous work, a preliminary design of a heat receiver, using LiF heat storage, has been evolved by NASA. The purpose of the effort described in this report was to provide for the detail design, fabrication, and delivery of an assembled heat receiver to NASA for subsequent testing.
3.0 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS
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3.0 DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

The heat receiver is made up of four major assemblies: the gas system, shell support structure, aperture assembly, and the top closure as depicted in Figure 3. The gas system incorporates an inlet header, outlet header, and 48 tubes arranged in such a manner that the structure assumes the shape of a frustum of a cone. Each manifold is provided with a single gas flow duct. Surrounding each gas tube is a convoluted tube. The lithium fluoride is contained between the gas tubes and the convoluted tubes, but since by design the inner diameter of the convoluted tubes nearly touches the OD of the gas tube, almost all of the LiF is stored in the volume of the convolution.

The distribution of lithium fluoride along the length of the tube was patterned to fit the flux density at each locality. Since the flux decreases as the square of the distance from the mirror's focus, the lithium fluoride quantity per inch of tube length also decreases. Consequently, the diameter of the convolutions decreases along the length of the tube. The shell support provides an enclosure to reradiate incident energy which passes through the tube spacing and a structural support during the launch condition.

The conical cavity formed by the tubes is closed off at the base by the aperture assembly, and up above by the top closure. The aperture assembly contains the aperture, located in the focal plane of the mirror, which remains open during the entire sun-shade cycle. The aperture assembly also contains heat rejection doors, whose function is to open when the cavity temperature has reached 2140°R. This protects the structure from overtemperature damage. The door area is sufficiently large as to reradiate 95% of the incoming energy.

This section outlines the design bases, detail designs and analyses for these assemblies.
Figure 3. Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Assembly.
3.1 DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS

The detail design specifications for the receiver are given under Exhibit "A" of NASA Contract NAS 3-10944. Pertinent portions of the specifications are paraphrased and summarized below for completeness. Table I is a listing of receiver design conditions.

3.1.1 NASA Detail Specifications Summary

**Inlet Header** - The inlet header shall be designed to act as the structural base of all receiver components; supporting static and dynamic loads imposed on the receiver as per environmental Specifications P1224-1 and P1224-2. The inlet header shall be furnished with mounting lugs by means of which the receiver will be attached to the other components of the Brayton system. The gas inlet shall be welded to a coextruded columbium-1Zr and 300 series stainless steel transition element. The free extremity of the transition element shall be designed to mate with recuperator exit duct. The inlet header shall provide means for attachment of the aperture assembly in a manner which will allow separation by nondestructive methods.

**Gas Tubes** - NASA shall furnish the contractor with at least 55 tube assemblies, filled with lithium fluoride, and coated with iron titanate. The contractor shall be responsible for providing the specified thermocouple instrumentation.

**Exit Header** - The exit header shall accommodate the gas flow from heat transfer tubes. The gas outlet of the exit header shall be welded to a coextruded columbium-1Zr and 300 series transition element. The free extremity of the transition element shall be designed to mate with the turbine inlet duct.

**Top Closure** - The top closure shall be welded or bolted to the exit header. It shall be designed to minimize aperture heat losses; that is, the design shall provide an optimum view factor with respect to the tubes and minimize the view factor with the aperture.

**Gutters** - "Gutters" shall be designed to reflect back onto the tubes sunlight that passes between the tubes. The gutters may be attached either to the headers or to the conical shell surrounding the tubes. The gutters shall be plasma sprayed with Iron Titanate -200 to +325 Mesh, if detail thermal analysis shows such a requirement.
TABLE I

RECEIVER DESIGN CONDITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas inlet temperature</td>
<td>$1558^\circ R$ Nominal Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas exit temperature</td>
<td>$1960^\circ R$ Nominal Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas inlet pressure</td>
<td>53.92 psia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas flow rate</td>
<td>1.607 lb/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working fluid</td>
<td>Helium-xenon mixture with 83.8 moled, wt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas pressure drop</td>
<td>2% of inlet pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum sun time</td>
<td>60 minutes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum sun time</td>
<td>continuous*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum shade time</td>
<td>40 minutes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror input</td>
<td>72.5 KW*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum receiver wall temp.</td>
<td>2240$^\circ R$*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver output rate</td>
<td>40.4 KW*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Continuous)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum radiant heat loss from</td>
<td>2 KW*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>receiver (excluding aperture)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. radiative heat rejection</td>
<td>70 KW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(from movable doors or louvers)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. radiative heat rejection</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from movable doors or louvers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational design life</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture size</td>
<td>14 inch diameter (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reference only

(1) Aperture sized for test with Solar Simulator in which the light source produces a collimation half angle of 1°20' (sun ray half angle of 16' would result in 8 inch diameter aperture)
Aperture Assembly - The aperture consisting of a circular opening shall be cut into a detachable aperture plate, so that apertures of various sizes may be utilized. The maximum aperture diameter required is 14 inches.

The aperture assembly shall be capable of radiative heat rejection at variable rates from 0 to 70 KW by means of 6 doors whose position can vary from fully closed to fully open. The door area shall be sized so that if one of the doors should fail to open, the maximum required heat rejection should still occur without exceeding the temperature limitation stated below. The doors operating simultaneously must start to open when the receiver cavity's mean radiant temperature reaches $2130^\circ R$ and be fully open when that temperature reaches $2200^\circ R$. At least 3 sensors shall be installed in different locations to obtain verifications of the mean radiant temperature. The actuating mechanism to open and close the doors in response to a signal provided by the appropriate temperature sensors, shall have a "manual" override for remote actuation from outside the vacuum chamber in which the receiver will be tested.

Joining - All components shall be joined by welding or brazing (except for the insulation and as otherwise specified) in accordance with approved specifications. The design of the junctions shall be such as to allow for differential thermal expansion and contraction (resulting from differences in temperature and thermal inertia of the components) without excessive stress build-up. The components to be joined by welding shall be brought into assembly position without causing detrimental strain in the components prior to welding.

Material Requirements - The receiver assembly shall be made from Cb-1Zr alloy. The exceptions noted below shall apply:

a. The inlet-exit headers shall be provided with a Cb-1Zr to 300 series stainless steel transition joints to allow field welds to be made to the stainless steel recuperator and turbine.

b. All components associated with the heat rejection mechanism which have the operational requirement to move relative to each other shall have their junction surfaces faced with an alloy which resists self welding in a vacuum environment at the temperatures to which the surfaces are exposed.
c. Insulation if required to isolate receiver components from each other shall be furnished by the contractor.

**Allowable Stresses** - Design stresses shall be limited to the following values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Mode (Hot)</th>
<th>Launch Mode (Cold)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Components Except Inlet-Header</td>
<td>Inlet-Header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension (psi)</td>
<td>Compression (psi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Thermocouple Instrumentation** - All instrumentation shall be connected to one or at most two panels or bulkheads and be furnished with a terminal strip capable of connecting the thermocouple wire to another wire (probably copper) which will then lead to the chamber feedthrough. The contractor will furnish all instrumentation up to and including the terminal strip but will not be responsible for the instrumentation from the terminal strip to the readout equipment. The contractor shall supply a means for maintaining a constant temperature over the terminal strip so it can be used as the thermocouple junction temperature. All leads to the panel or bulkhead shall be attached in a manner to facilitate troubleshooting. Instrumentation shall be provided to meet the following requirements:

Six (6) equally spaced tubes shall be selected for temperature mapping. Twenty-four (24) thermocouples shall be installed on each tube in a manner to obtain the axial as well as circumferential temperature distribution for each tube. In addition, the following thermocouples shall be provided:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. of Thermocouples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doors</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Closure</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture Cone</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Duct</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Duct</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door Actuators</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Platinum-rhodium or tungsten 3% rhenium-tungsten 25% rhenium shall be used for all thermocouples attached to refractory surfaces. Chromel-alumel may be used on stainless steel components.
3.1.2 Structure Temperature Distributions

The temperature distributions throughout the structure were furnished by NASA for a variety of transient and steady-state operating conditions. These temperatures were used in the design and analysis of the receiver. Figure 4 is a typical temperature-time plot of calculation results provided by NASA.

3.2 DESIGN STRESS CRITERIA

Allowable stresses set forth in the specifications formed the basis of the design criteria. Additional criteria, patterned after those used in the ASME Nuclear Pressure Vessel Code (Reference 4), were formulated. Design stresses in the heat receiver were limited to prevent three different types of failure.

1. Bursting and gross distortion are prevented by the limits placed on primary stresses due to mechanical and pressure loadings. These stress limits were as set forth in the specifications.

2. Progressive distortion is prevented by the limits placed on primary plus secondary stresses. These limits assure shakedown to elastic action after a few repetitions of the loading.

3. Fatigue failure is prevented by the limits placed on peak stresses.

To clarify the stress categories mentioned above the following discussion is presented.

a. Primary stress is a stress developed by the imposed loading which is necessary to satisfy the laws of equilibrium. The basic characteristic of a primary stress is that it is not self-limiting. Thus, exceeding the limitations on primary stresses can be expected to lead to short-term failures (whose prevention is entirely limited by the strain hardening of the material) or by excessive distortion due to creep.

b. Secondary stress is a stress developed by self constraint of the structure. The basic characteristic of a secondary stress is that it is self-limiting since minor distortions of the structure can relieve the stress and prevent a further increase of the stress.
Figure 4. Typical Calculated Transient Structure Temperatures.
c. Peak stress is the highest stress in the region under consideration. The basic characteristic of a peak stress is that it causes no significant distortion and is objectionable mostly as a possible source of a fatigue failure. The peak stress includes the sum of all the previous stresses plus stress concentration effects.

In addition to primary stress limits as delineated in the NASA Specifications, allowable stresses were established basically on the extent to which the applied stresses would be self-relieving. Material properties used for Nb-1Zr are as shown in Figures 5 through 9.

The basis and numerical values for short time and non-cyclic stress allowables are summarized in Table II. The stress allowable for inertial loading was set at 19,500 psi as is shown in the table.

The stress limit for thermal fatigue was set by low cycle fatigue behavior and is derived in Appendix A. Based on a total of 100 start-up cycles each of which would be characterized by large temperature excursions, a thermal stress allowable of 12,000 psi was established. The thermal stress allowable as developed in Appendix A is considered to be conservative. It is based on semi-empirical relationships and an increase in allowable stress could probably be achieved upon correlation with sufficient test data not now available.

3.3 GAS SYSTEM DESIGN

The term "gas system" as used in this report refers to the gas containment subassembly, which includes the inlet manifold, the 48 heat storage tubes and the outlet manifold. Figure 10 shows the gas system design. In operation, the Brayton cycle working fluid enters the receiver through the nozzle on the inlet manifold. The gas then flows through the 48 gas tubes where it is heated by the LiF stored in the bellows cavities. The heated gas is discharged from the gas tubes into the outlet manifold where it is collected and discharged at the outlet nozzle.

3.3.1 Gas Flow Analysis

Performance calculations for the receiver are based on the assumption that the gas flow is distributed uniformly in the gas tubes. If this is not the case, poor performance and/or high thermal stresses could result. It is apparent that if the pressure drop across the tubes is sufficiently
Figure 5. Tensile Properties of Cb-1Zr.

Figure 6. Larson-Miller Parameter Plot of 1% Creep Strengths of Cb-1Zr.
Figure 7. Estimated Coefficients of Expansion for Cb-1Zr.

Figure 8. Modulus of Elasticity Versus Temperature for Cb.
Figure 9. Total Hemispherical Emittance of Cb-1Zr Alloy.
**TABLE II**

**SHORT TIME AND NON-CYCLIC STRESS CRITERIA FOR Cb-1Zr**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEMPERATURE</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>1800°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YIELD (Psi)</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULTIMATE (Psi)</td>
<td>39,000</td>
<td>26,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $S_m$ = Lower Value of:

| 2/3 Yield | 18,000 | 7,000 |
| 1/3 Ultimate | 13,000 | 8,700 |

**ALLOWABLE STRESS:**

| BASIS | $1.5 \cdot S_m$ | $3.0 \cdot S_m$ (No SCF) |
| VALUE | 19,500 | 21,000 |

**APPLICABILITY**

- INERTIAL LOADING (Primary Bending)
- THERMAL STRESS
  - Neglecting:
    - (1) Cyclic Loading
    - (2) Creep

* Per Section III ASME Nuclear Vessel Code.*
large relative to pressure variations in the manifolds, then the flow will be nearly uniform. However, the specification restricts the overall receiver pressure drop to less than 2% of the inlet pressure. Consequently, a gas flow analysis was performed to study the pressure drop and flow distribution characteristics of the receiver. This analysis was aimed at answering the following questions:

1. What manifold sizes are required?
2. How much flow restriction, if any, is required in the tubes to achieve uniform flow? Also, should the restrictions vary from tube-to-tube or should the same restriction be placed on all tubes?
3. What size should the inlet and outlet nozzles be?
4. How should the outlet nozzle be oriented with respect to the inlet manifold?

Before proceeding, the term "flow maldistribution" was defined and a limit placed on its magnitude. The flow maldistribution, $\lambda$, is defined as

$$\lambda = \frac{W_{\text{max}} - W_{\text{min}}}{W_{\text{ave}}}$$

where

- $W_{\text{max}}$ = Maximum flow rate in any tube
- $W_{\text{min}}$ = Minimum flow rate in any tube
- $W_{\text{ave}}$ = Flow rate per tube for uniform flow

Agreement was reached with NASA that the flow maldistribution should be limited to a maximum of 2%. The decision was also made at the outset, based on manufacturing considerations, that any flow restrictions (orifices) placed in the tubes should be of uniform size.

Flow Distribution - Pressure variations in the manifolds are a result of frictional losses and momentum effects. The problem of flow in manifolds is analyzed in some detail in Reference 5. In the inlet manifold, frictional effects contribute a negative pressure gradient while momentum effects (due to loss of fluid to the tubes) contribute a positive pressure gradient. Whether the pressure actually increases or decreases in the direction of flow depends upon the relative magnitudes of the two effects. For the inlet manifold size selected, the momentum
is the dominant term and the pressure rises in the flow direction. In the outlet manifold, the friction and momentum effects act in the same sense and the pressure decreases in the direction of flow. The resulting manifold-to-manifold pressure drop depends on the location of the outlet nozzle relative to the inlet nozzle as shown in Figure 11. In Version I, the pressure variations in the two manifolds are such that ΔP (and hence, the tube flow) is fairly uniform. In Version II, the pressure curves diverge, causing flow maldistributions. The qualitative trends illustrated in Figure 11 were confirmed in the gas flow analysis.

The flow distribution analysis consisted of writing the equations describing the flow in the manifolds and gas tubes. These equations were programmed and solved numerically on a digital computer. For given inlet and outlet manifold sizes, the flow calculations were made for various flow restrictions in the gas tubes. The results are plotted in Figure 12 and show flow maldistributions as a function of ΔP/P. As the tube flow resistance increases, ΔP/P increases and the flow maldistribution decreases. The information in Figure 12 was cross-plotted as follows. For a given inlet manifold diameter, the pressure drop for 2% flow maldistribution was plotted as a function of outlet manifold diameter as shown in Figure 13. For each inlet manifold diameter, the ΔP/P versus outlet manifold diameter curve has a minimum value. These curves do not include the manifold nozzle pressure losses, which are the major pressure drop. Consequently, the manifold and gas tube losses must be kept as small as possible in order that the 2% limit not be exceeded when the nozzle losses are added. The pressure drop for a "Version II" arrangement is shown in Figure 14. For the cases considered, the pressure drop for 2% flow maldistribution is higher than the corresponding Version I. Also, for outlet manifold diameters less than about 6 inches, the calculations indicated that flow reversals could occur. Fraas (Reference 6) discusses the circumstances under which flow reversals will occur.

Based on the results of the calculations, the Version I nozzle arrangement and a 6-inch inlet manifold were selected. Based primarily on manufacturing reasons, a 6-inch outlet manifold diameter was selected, even though the minimum ΔP occurs at about 7-inches. The difference in ΔP/P between the 6-inch and 7-inch outlet manifold diameters is only about 0.07% which is negligible.
Figure 11. Gas Tube Pressure Drop vs. Nozzle Orientation.
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Figure 12. Flow Maldistribution vs. \frac{\Delta P}{P}.
Figure 13. $\frac{AP}{P}$ Versus Outlet Manifold Diameter for In-Line Ducts With 2% Flow Maldistribution.
Figure 14. $\frac{\Delta P}{P}$ Versus Outlet Manifold Diameter for Opposed-Ducts With 2% Flow Maldistribution.
**Inlet and Exit Losses** - The gas entering the receiver undergoes losses due to a 90° bend and an abrupt expansion as it enters the inlet manifold. Similarly, the gas suffers an abrupt contraction loss and another 90° bend loss as it leaves the outlet manifold. These losses were calculated from

\[ \Delta P = K \frac{\rho V^2}{2g_c} \]  

(2)

The loss coefficient, \( K \), was taken to be 1.0 for the expansion loss and 0.5 for the contraction loss. Figure 15 is a plot of these losses as a function of the diameter of the pipe at the manifold. The pressure drops are expressed as a percentage of the inlet pressure of 53.92 psia. As will be discussed later (Section 3.3.2) 3-inch diameter bimetallic joints were selected based primarily on anticipated reliability improvement as compared with larger sizes. If the inlet and outlet pipes at the manifolds were 3-inches, the corresponding losses would be 0.8% and 0.5%, respectively, for a total of 1.3% out of the 2% allowed. In order to reduce the pressure drop, the decision was made to provide a 3-inch to 4-inch diffuser section at the inlet, thus reducing the inlet expansion loss from 0.8% to 0.25%.

For the 90° Bends, a loss coefficient of 0.3 (Reference 7) was used. The resulting inlet and outlet bend losses are 0.237% and 0.298%, respectively. Table III is a summary of the gas pressure losses in the receiver. Each of the gas tubes was provided with an orifice to further assure uniform flow distribution. The total loss for 100% flow and orifices in all the tubes is calculated to be 1.938% which is less than the 2% specification maximum.

3.3.2 Mechanical Design

**Bimetallic Joints** - Since the bimetallic joints were long lead-time items, they had to be sized and ordered early in the program. The specifications called for coextruded Cb-1%Zr-to-300 series stainless steel transition elements (bimetallic joints). Figure 16 shows the design of the coextruded joints. The selection of a 3-inch inside diameter was a compromise between joint reliability and gas pressure drop. Joint sizes ranging from 2-inches to 4.5-inches were considered.
Figure 15. Inlet Expansion and Outlet Contraction Losses.
### TABLE III

**TABULATION OF GAS PRESSURE LOSSES**

**TOTAL ALLOWABLE LOSS** = \((53.92) \times (0.02) = 1.078\) PSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loss Description</th>
<th>100% Flow</th>
<th>50% Flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Orifices</td>
<td>With Orifices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Bend Loss at Inlet</td>
<td>0.237%</td>
<td>0.237%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Diffuser Loss</td>
<td>0.022%</td>
<td>0.022%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion Loss from 4&quot; Inlet to 6&quot; Inlet Manifold</td>
<td>0.252%</td>
<td>0.252%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Across Tubes</td>
<td>0.430%</td>
<td>0.645%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraction Loss from 6&quot; Manifold to 3&quot; Outlet Pipe</td>
<td>0.482%</td>
<td>0.482%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90° Bend Loss at Outlet</td>
<td>0.299%</td>
<td>0.299%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Loss</td>
<td>1.723%</td>
<td>1.938%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Flow Maldistribution</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Pressure Variation Within a Manifold</td>
<td>0.023%</td>
<td>0.023%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifice Size</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.72 in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 16. Bimetallic Joint Assembly. (Dwg. No. 47D176104)
Although very little reliability data was available, it was felt that the smaller diameters would be more reliable. Further discussion relative to the basis for specifying Type 316 for the 300 series stainless portion is presented in Section 4.2.1.

The wall thickness for the joints was selected on the basis of creep for sustained high temperature operation. The primary stress on the joints is hoop stress due to the internal gas pressure. The maximum gas temperature is 1500°F at the outlet. The allowable hoop stress was taken to be 80% of the stress for 1% creep in 5 years at a temperature of 1500°F. This allowable stress was taken to be 900 psi. For an allowable stress of 900 psi and a gas pressure of 54 psia, the minimum wall thickness required is 0.090-inches. Based on the above stress criterion, the inlet joint could have been thinner, since it runs at a temperature of 1100°F. Practical considerations, however, indicated that both joints should be the same size.

**Manifolds** - The gas manifold sizes for the heat receiver were established at the preliminary design review as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inlet Manifold</th>
<th>Outlet Manifold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inside Diameter, Inches</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torus Diameter, Inches</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inside diameters were chosen on the basis of the gas flow analysis as discussed above. The torus diameters were specified by NASA. The manifold wall thickness was determined according to the ASME code for unfired pressure vessels (Reference 8). Openings in pressure vessels weaken the basic vessel. In order to provide adequate strength, the opening may be reinforced or, alternatively, the pressure vessel may be made thicker than that required for the same vessel without openings. Comparison of manufacturing costs for the two methods led to the selection of the latter method particularly since quantity production was not required. The following values were used:

1. Welded joint efficiency = 0.8
2. Allowable stress = 3000 psi
3. Internal pressure = 54 psia

Using these values, the calculated minimum wall thickness for a cylinder...
with no openings is 0.068-inches (the effect of the torus configuration adds about 6% for the dimensions of the receiver). The procedure for determining the wall thickness with openings is given in Reference 8. The resulting minimum wall thickness was calculated to be 0.120-inches.

Gas Tube Extensions - Without some sort of support structure, the gas system would collapse during a launch environment. During operation, however, the gas tubes and support structure will, in general, operate at different temperatures. This could lead to excessive stresses due to differential thermal expansion. During the preliminary design, several schemes for accommodating the differential expansion were considered. The final solution was to make the gas tubes flexible enough to accommodate the differential thermal expansion by incorporating reduced-diameter tube extensions at the tube outlets.

The mathematical model used in the preliminary design analysis considered the support structure as a rigid member. A deflection, \( \delta \), equal to the differential thermal expansion was then imposed on the gas tube as shown in Figure 17. The tube ends were assumed fixed. The maximum stress occurs at the upper end. Figure 17 is a plot of calculated maximum bending stress per unit temperature difference (\( \sigma_b/\Delta T \)) as a function of tube extension length with extension tube diameter as the parameter. Examination of the calculated temperature distributions indicated that a typical value of temperature difference was about 100°F. A 9-inch long tube extension with a 0.75 OD x 0.025 wall was selected. This results in a bending stress of about 4000 psi for a \( \Delta T \) of 100°F. Figure 18 is a plot of calculated maximum bending stress in the selected tube extension as a function of temperature difference.

Effect of Shell on Gas Tubes - The design and analysis of the shell is discussed in the following section. The final shell design is rigid relative to the tubes such that any thermal expansion of the shell will be transmitted to the tubes. Since the temperatures vary periodically during a sun-shade cycle, an analysis was made to determine the cyclic stress imposed on the tubes due to differential thermal expansion between the shell and tubes. The thermal expansion of the shell was first calculated as a function of time during a typical sun-shade cycle. These thermal deflections, along with tube temperature distributions, were used
Figure 17. Gas Tube Stress Versus Tube Extension Length.
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Figure 18. Gas Tube Stress vs. Shell-Tube Temperature Difference.
as input to the MASS computer program (Reference 9) which calculated the corresponding gas tube stresses. Figure 19 is a plot of temperature and corresponding stresses for the two most highly-stressed points in the gas tubes near the outlet. The average stress is on the order of 1400 psi and the alternating stress is less than 400 psi.

3.4 SHELL DESIGN

Figure 10 shows the shell design features and Figure 3 illustrates how it attaches to the gas system assembly. The functions of the shell are: (1) to provide structural support for the gas system assembly and (2) to form the envelope of the receiver cavity. Basically, the shell is assembled from six sheet metal panels (.04-inch thick) which are bolted together along longitudinal flanges to form a shell-of-revolution. Reflectors (or gutters), attached to the inner surface of the shell, direct the radiation passing between the tubes to the back of the tubes. The shell assembly is attached to the gas system assembly by bolting to the inlet and outlet manifolds. The longitudinal and circumferential flanges of each panel section are riveted to the 0.040 thick sheet metal. The lower portion of the shell is a truncated cone which encloses the contour formed by the outer extremities of the gas tube convolutions. The upper portion of the shell is another truncated cone which makes an angle of 45° with the receiver centerline. These two cones are connected by a toroidal transition section. The following discussion outlines the design analyses which were performed.

3.4.1 Launch Conditions

The most significant inertial load requirement is the 20 g "half-sine" acceleration pulse with a 100 millisecond duration. The shock spectrum approach was used to evaluate the inertial response. The shock spectrum corresponding to the 100 millisecond pulse is shown in Figure 20 where the spectrum corresponding to 10% of critical damping was used for purposes of design. From this it was estimated that about 30 g's is representative and this value was used in evaluating the inertial loading upon the design.

Buckling Strength - For this analysis, the shell was approximated as being made up of two truncated cones whose generators make angles of 21° and 45° with the receiver centerline. The buckling strength of each
Figure 19. Gas Tube Stress as a Function of Time.
Figure 20. Shock Spectrum for 100 Millisecond Half Sine Acceleration Pulse.
of these cones was calculated and the smaller value was assumed to be the shell buckling strength.

The buckling load of a truncated cone was estimated from (Reference 10)

\[ P = (P_{\text{cyl}}) \cos^2 \alpha \]  

where \( P_{\text{cyl}} \) is the buckling load of an infinite cylinder with the same thickness. From Reference 11,

\[ P_{\text{cyl}} = 2\pi [0.3E] t^2 \]  

Figure 21 is a plot of calculated buckling loads of the two cones as a function of shell thickness. The weight of the outlet manifold and top closure is about 300 pounds, which results in a 30 g shock load of 9000 pounds. For a thickness of 0.04-inches, the buckling load of the 45° cone is about 24,000 psi, which is a factor of safety of about 2.7 with respect to an applied load of 9000 pounds.

**Launch Stresses** - The stresses induced in the shell by a 30 g shock load were studied as a function of shell thickness. The calculations were performed with a computer program (Reference 12) which determines elastic stresses and displacements in assemblies of axi-symmetrically loaded shells of revolution. Figures 22 through 25 show the calculated shock-induced stresses in the shell as a function of position and shell thickness. Shell thicknesses of .02, .03, and .04 inches were considered. The largest stresses occur in the toroidal transition section which connects the two conical sections. On the basis of these calculations and on the buckling analysis, a shell thickness of 0.04 inches was selected. The final weight of the outlet manifold and the top closure was about 40% higher than that for which these calculations were made, resulting in a maximum hoop stress of about 15,000 psi. This is within the allowable stress of 19,500 psi established for the launch condition. The stiffening effect of the longitudinal flanges was neglected in the buckling and launch stress calculations, thereby indicating additional conservatism in the analyses.

3.4.2 **Thermal Stresses in Shell**

The thermal stresses in the shell were calculated with the shell-of-revolution computer program. The temperature distribution supplied by
Figure 21. Buckling Loads of Truncated Cones Due to Axial Compression.
Figure 22. Membrane Stress Along the Meridian for 30 g Shock Load.

Figure 23. Hoop Stress for 30 g Shock Load.
Figure 24. Bending Stress Along the Meridian for 30 g Shock Load.

Figure 25. Bending Stress in the Hoop Direction for 30 g Shock Load.
NASA was used as input for the thermal stress calculations. Figure 26 shows typical steady-state and transient temperature distributions in the shell. The corresponding stress distributions are shown in Figures 27 through 34. The highest stress occurs near the inlet manifold during the start-up transient. This stress is well within the allowable thermal stress of 12,000 psi.

3.5 TOP CLOSURE

Design of the top closure assembly can be seen in Figure 10. This assembly completes the cavity enclosure and is fabricated entirely from Cb-1Zr. Initially, the design incorporated six local pads on the outer periphery. These pads were to have been the mounting points for the assembly. As described later in Section 6.4, fabrication problems required a design revision which incorporated a reinforcing plate around the entire periphery.

An analysis was performed to determine an optimum contour of the top closure such that heat losses from the aperture are minimized. The analysis consisted of graphical ray tracing techniques and yielded a contour with two cone angles. While the top closure contour has only a small effect on total heat loss, its effect on temperature distribution is significant. Since all temperature distribution studies were made assuming the preliminary design contour, NASA directed that it be retained in the final design.

The top closure is attached to the outlet manifold through flexure plates similar to the aperture assembly attachment. The design procedure for the top closure flex plates and the aperture assembly flex plates was the same and will be outlined in Section 3.6.4. Examination of the calculated structure temperature distributions revealed that a maximum temperature difference between the top closure and the outlet manifold was about 1000°F during a start-up transient. This ΔT was used in the flex plate design. Although the flex plates are in tension during a ground test, the direction of loading during launch is unknown and, hence, the plates were designed for compression. Based on the selection of Cb-1Zr material, results of the flex plate analysis are shown in Figure 35. The final design incorporates six groups of two plates per group. Each of the plates has a flex length of 4 inches, a thickness of 0.036 inches and corresponding width of 5 inches.
Figure 26. Temperature Distributions for Typical Thermal Cases.
Figure 27. Membrane Stress Along the Meridian for Temperature Distribution A.

Figure 28. Hoop Stress for Temperature Distribution A.
Figure 29. Bending Stress Along the Meridan for Temperature Distribution A.

Figure 30. Bending Stress in the Hoop Direction for Temperature Distribution A.
Figure 31. Membrane Stress Along the Meridian for Temperature Distribution B.

Figure 32. Hoop Stress for Temperature Distribution B.
Figure 33. Bending Stress Along the Meridian for Temperature Distribution B.

Figure 34. Bending Stress in the Hoop Direction for Temperature Distribution B.
Figure 35. Top Closure Flex Plate Parameters.
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3.6 APERTURE ASSEMBLY

The aperture assembly is attached to the inlet manifold and consists of the door and frame assembly, the aperture plate and the door actuators. The aperture plate contains a 14-inch diameter hole through which incident radiation from the mirror passes. The door and frame assembly makes up the lower envelope of the receiver cavity. The temperature within the cavity is controlled by opening and closing six doors whose motion is controlled by six electric actuators.

The aperture assembly of the NASA preliminary design had the form of a cone. Based on manufacturing considerations, the decision was made early in the program to make the aperture assembly in the form of a six-sided pyramid. The flat sides would thereby permit the door fit-up to be accomplished more easily. Figure 10 shows the final design of the assembly.

3.6.1 Thermal Considerations

The NASA preliminary design specified a thermal insulation system contained between the Cb-1Zr inner and outer surfaces of the heat rejection cone. The same configuration was also shown for the heat rejection doors. In effect the insulation was contained within shallow box-like structures. The insulation system itself was indicated as reflective foil separated by what would appear to be conduction type insulation material. The inner and outer surfaces overlapped each other but were separated by layers of Refrasil tape in an attempt to provide thermal isolation between the hot inner and cold outer surfaces. Refrasil tape was also indicated between mating surfaces of the brackets mounting the heat rejection cone to the lower manifold.

Some of the early concerns for the NASA preliminary design concept were related to the possibility of outgassing and material compatibility problems associated with the Refrasil type insulation material particularly as it would affect the Cb-1Zr alloy used extensively throughout the receiver. The shallow box-like structures were also a concern for several reasons as noted below:

1. Large potential heat losses caused by conduction around the edges.
2. Possibility for structure warpage due to the large temperature gradient across the box.
3. Entrapment of outgassing products.

In an effort to eliminate the problems itemized above a different design approach was considered. The insulation would be attached to the outer surfaces of the aperture assembly structure thereby substantially reducing the potential for heat loss. Since the entire structure would be located within the hot side envelope of the insulation, much more uniform temperatures could be expected throughout the structure. This would also minimize the possibility of door warpage or other distortions which could compromise the intended functions. Installing the insulation on the outside surfaces of the aperture structure appeared to be consistent with the approach NASA intended for the remainder of the heat receiver: the top closure and shell assembly.

A plot of aperture assembly heat loss as a function of average surface temperature is shown in Figure 36. In order to stay within a reasonably low heat loss limit of about 2 KW, the surface temperature must be less than about 500°F. Considering the need for mounting actuators, door hinges and other attachment members from the structure, significant local heat leaks would result. Recognition of this potential penalty led to the concept of attaching high temperature insulation to the inside surfaces of the aperture assembly such that the structure and its many attachments would operate at low temperature.

Operating the aperture structure at low temperature eliminated the need for Cb-1Zr refractory metal. Conventional stainless steel could now be used for the aperture assembly and most of its structural attachments since even local hot spots due to edge and insulation attachments are expected to be only 200°F higher than the average temperature of about 500°F. While there are many advantages with this approach, the problem of differential thermal expansion between the inlet manifold and the aperture assembly was made more severe. This problem was solved however, with the use of flex plate mountings as described subsequently.

The use of refractory metal in the aperture assembly was eliminated with the exception of the aperture plate. Figure 37 is a plot of the incident heat flux distribution in the plane of the aperture. The aperture diameter was determined by minimizing the net heat losses.
Figure 36. Aperture Assembly Heat Loss.
Figure 37. Heat Flux Distribution in the Plane of the Aperture.

Figure 38. Aperture Plate Temperature Distribution.
As the diameter is increased, more of the incident radiation enters the cavity, but also more energy is reradiated out of the aperture. The result of the optimization is that some of the incident radiation impinges upon the aperture plate. Figure 38 is a plot of the calculated temperature distribution in the aperture plate. The maximum temperature is about 1600°F. Consequently, Cb-1%Zr was selected as the material for the aperture plate. Stresses and possible distortions due to the radial temperature gradient in the plate are reduced by providing a conical element in the plate.

3.6.2 Structural Design

The preliminary design of the aperture assembly utilized sheet metal panels rigidized with hat sections. Following the decision to fabricate the assembly from stainless steel, honeycomb sandwich panels came under consideration. An all-welded honeycomb panel design manufactured by Stressskin Products Company of Santa Ana, California was selected for the basic structure. The six flat door frames are assembled using flanges attached to the honeycomb by pin welding and bolting.

The actuator supports are A-frames made up of channel sections welded to a base plate, which in turn is pin-welded to the honeycomb panel. The A-frame design transmits the actuator loads to the aperture assembly near the six support points, such that the deflections are minimized.

The door actuators as will be discussed later in Section 3.8 are intended to be ground test type equipment rather than flight type. Consequently the actuator structural mounting details would most likely need to be redesigned for the flight application. Lighter and more compact flight actuators would result in a lighter mounting structure.

3.6.3 Door Hinge and Bearings

The door hinges were originally specified as a pin-bushing design fabricated of cobalt-moly alloy material. With the change in aperture insulation concept, all outside structural surfaces would operate at much lower temperatures, thus permitting consideration of alternate approaches for the hinges. To improve the reliability against seizure, rolling element bearings were suggested to replace the sliding contact.
inherent in the pin-bushing design. Approval was provided to replace the co-moly pin bushing design with an all carbide rolling element bearing in the hinge and door-to-actuator linkage pivots. The particular rolling element bearing selected is an all carbide self-aligning ball bearing which had been developed on a previous NASA program. Additional discussion of materials considerations is presented in Section 4.2.6. The same carbide ball bearing is used in the door-to-actuator linkage and its design is discussed in Section 3.8.3. An alumina coated stainless steel door stop is bolted to each heat rejection door. The alumina coating is intended to prevent bonding of the mating door stop surfaces in the vacuum environment. Additional discussion is presented in Section 4.2.6.

3.6.4 Flex Plate Support

The aperture assembly is attached to the inlet manifold which operates at about 1100°F. Consequently, some means had to be provided to accommodate differential thermal expansion between the manifold and the aperture assembly. One scheme which received consideration involved a sliding bearing arrangement. Due to the uncertainties of self-welding of parts at high temperature in a vacuum, the concept was rejected. The final design uses flex plates at six locations around the assembly to accommodate the differential expansion while maintaining stiff support in the axial and all lateral directions. Figure 39 illustrates the model used in the analysis. Since the plates are in compression, they must also be designed to withstand buckling under launch load conditions. The flexure requirements would indicate a plate with a large l/t making it flexible. Resistance to buckling requires a plate with a short l/t. Figure 40 is a plot of plate parameters which satisfy both of these requirements. The final design incorporates six groups of two plates per group. Each of the plates is 0.036 inches thick, 5.3 inches long, 7 inches wide and is fabricated of Type 321 stainless steel.

3.7 INSULATION

The only insulation installed on the as-shipped receiver assembly consisted of layers of dimpled, .002-inch thick Cb-1%Zr foil. The advantage of this insulation is that it is compatible with the Cb-1%Zr structural material and does not present an outgassing problem. The
Figure 39. Flex Plate Support Concept and Model.
Figure 40. Aperture Assembly Flexure Plate Parameters.
disadvantage is that it has a relatively high effective thermal conductivity for the very stringent heat loss requirements of the receiver. Figure 41 is a plot of effective thermal conductivity of dimpled Cb-1Zr foil based on a limited amount of testing. Extrapolation of this data beyond the range of the tests is not recommended. Possibly a better way of presenting the data is that shown in Figure 42. Various predictions for parallel planes are shown for comparison.

During the course of the design, thermal calculations were performed at NASA to determine the effect of heat input (due to direct incident energy and reradiation) to the gas through the sections of tube between the inlet manifold and the first convolution, and between the last convolution and the exit manifold. The results showed that the heat input would cause an unstable gas outlet temperature. During the sun portion of the cycle, excess energy would heat the gas to temperatures above those of the turbine inlet requirement, depriving heat storage requirements. Consequently, in the shade, the gas outlet temperature would drop below that required because of insufficient energy storage. To avoid this variation of gas outlet temperature, the gas tube sections above and below the convolutions were insulated with dimpled Cb-1Zr foil. Ten layers of foil 1/2-inch wide x 0.002-inch thick were applied by wrapping the foil ribbon around the gas tubes with about 50% overlap.

The terminal junctions for the receiver thermocouples are located in four aluminum blocks encased in stainless steel enclosures. These enclosures are supported by brackets attached to the aperture assembly mounting pads located on the inlet manifold. The temperature of the terminal junctions is limited to 400°F. Since the inlet manifold operates at 1100°F, spacers and five layers of dimpled Cb-1Zr foil were placed between the brackets and hot mounting pads. Similarly, in order to reduce heat losses from the mounting pads to the aperture assembly, spacers and five layers of foil were placed between the mounting pads and the flex plate brackets.

Insulation of the aperture assembly presents a formidable problem. Refrasil insulation type material was eliminated due to its outgassing behavior (Reference: Section 4.2.6). Multilayers of dimpled Cb-1Zr foil, although an ideal candidate from a metallurgical standpoint has much
Figure 41. Effective Thermal Conductivity of Cb-1%Zr Knurled Foil.
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Figure 42. Heat Flux Versus Number of Foil Layers.
poorer thermal performance than Refrasil. In order to keep the heat losses below the 2 KW level which is itemized for reference in the NASA Specification, it is necessary for the heat flux to be less than about 0.1 KW/ft². This is based on the aperture assembly surface area of about 17.5 ft² and allowing only 0.25 KW for the total of all losses through edges, joints and attachments. Based on the extrapolation of dimpled foil data shown in Figure 42, it might require something on the order of 1000 layers if the 0.1 KW/ft² heat flux level is not to be exceeded. This is probably more than would actually be required, but test data would be needed for confirmation. Consequently NASA directed that the dimpled Cb-1Zr foil not be used for aperture assembly insulation.

Various alternate insulation systems offered by specialty vendors were under consideration throughout the program. Evaluation of two of the candidate insulation systems, namely Multi-Foil developed by Thermo-Electron Company (TECO) and Super Insulation as developed by Linde Division of Union Carbide Corporation involved certain test efforts as discussed in Section 4.2.6.

While the TECO Multi-Foil is clearly superior to the Linde Super Insulation for material compatibility and outgassing, the feasibility of applying it to large flat plate type structures such as those on the aperture assembly has not been established. The Linde Super Insulation as originally proposed was entirely unsatisfactory due to excessive outgassing. Subsequent developments and improvement by Linde almost at the completion of the program prompted NASA to direct that additional test evaluations be performed. Results of the additional evaluations indicated that the improvements developed by Linde made it appear worthy of serious consideration for the intended application (Reference: Section 4.2.6).

Design development of the aperture assembly was carried on simultaneous with the evaluation of the various candidate insulation systems. The intent was to have the final aperture assembly design configuration be such that any of the insulation systems could be installed without requiring changes to the aperture structure. As part of the test evaluation effort on improved Super Insulation systems, criteria for specifying tolerable outgassing levels were also developed.
These considerations and others as discussed above would enable suitable insulation systems to be specified and procured in fulfillment of NASA's advised intent to install insulation on the aperture assembly along with the remainder of the receiver after delivery of the completed solar heat receiver.

3.8 DOOR ACTUATORS

3.8.1 Specification Development

Specifications for the door actuators which are delineated in the contract work statement are very broad and outline only the fundamental requirements as summarized below:

A.1 All doors must start to open when cavity sensor temperature reaches 2130°R.
A.2 All doors must be fully open when cavity sensor temperature reaches 2200°R.
A.3 "Manual" override is required for remote actuation from outside the vacuum test facility.
A.4 Controller output required to operate heat rejection doors to be specified by contractor.

More detailed specifications were evolved as response requirements to satisfy thermal characteristics were determined by NASA simultaneous with design development of the heat receiver. Layout studies investigating several actuator design concepts were also accomplished. Evaluation of concept trade-offs and program developments resulted in the following more specific door actuator requirements and/or clarifications:

B.1 Actuators are required to operate at the vacuum test facility and not intended to be flight-type.
B.2 Provision for "manual" override is intended to be by means of backup push button type of control in the event the automatic control system loop fails.
B.3 Proportional operation with all doors operating together is required for proper temperature control.
B.4 Maximum response time allowed is 30 seconds from fully closed to fully open.
B.5 A commercial electromechanical actuator packaged within an environmental enclosure is the specific configuration selected
for implementation. Special attention is directed to the bellows rod seal to insure high reliability. Cooling gas will be provided to the actuator enclosures to maintain the commercial unit within its environmental capabilities. Infinite resolution feedback potentiometers are required to indicate door position and are to be incorporated within environmental enclosures.

In reviewing the detail design implementation for the selected actuator concept the following detail design features were essentially added to the specifications:

C.1 Bellows for rod seal to be hydroform type.
C.2 Double bellows configuration to be incorporated at rod seal for redundancy.
C.3 Actuator assembled length to be adjustable externally.
C.4 Spring loaded overtravel to maintain doors in closed position relatively independent of small dimensional changes in door and related structures.

The summation of requirements A.1-4, B.1-5 and C.1-4 above constitute the total specification developed for the door actuators.

3.8.2 Actuator Design

While the actuators are not required to be designed for flight application, the need for high operational reliability and leak tight integrity is essentially not diminished. Inherently reliable design features such as the double bellows seal and conservative design practices were followed throughout. The assembly and operational schematic are shown in Figures 43 and 44 respectively.

Performance parameters and design data for the door actuators are shown in Table IV. Results of a thermal analysis are shown in Figure 45 providing additional information on cooling gas flow requirements.

To achieve maximum reliability against the possibility of contaminating the test facility chamber with cooling gas, a pressure sensing line should be connected to the Swagelok fitting at the bellows header. By monitoring pressure between bellows, a leak in either of the two bellows can be detected. The initial cavity pressure should be maintained at some value between external facility vacuum level and internal actuator pressure.
Figure 44. Door Actuator Schematic and Wiring. (Dwg. No. 47E193580 Sheet 2)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TABLE IV</strong> DOOR ACTUATOR PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output shaft speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door operating angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to open or close the door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolant gas requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*To limit linear actuator temp. to 200°F as indicated by T/C on motor hsg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assy and wiring schematic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(from coolant gas). By assuming steady-state conditions in the cavity, that is, constant temperature and volume, an increase in cavity pressure would indicate a leak in the outer bellows while a decrease in cavity pressure would indicate a leak in the internal bellows.

Additional information relative to major actuator components including their temperature limitations is presented in Appendix B.

3.8.3 Linkage and Ball Bearings

**Linkage**

The linkage between the actuator and the door consists of a turnbuckle, bearing housing, bearings and fasteners. Adjustment of the turnbuckle enables attainment of the required clearances between door stops and the frame when the actuator is fully extended.

**Ball Bearings**

Each actuator linkage has two self-aligning, double row, spherical-type ball bearings. As used in the linkage, each bearing angular misalignment capability is three degrees from center. The ball bearing design is per AFBMA No. 08BS10J with the race material being General Electric Company Carboloy 883 and the ball material General Electric Company Carboloy 44A. These carbide materials were selected to prevent cold welding when the bearing is used in a hard vacuum. (S.K.F. Bearing No. 108-13303 is a commercially available version of this design with conventional materials which was used as a slave bearing.) Additional basis for selection of the bearing which is identical to the bearings used in the door hinge pivots are presented in Section 4.2.6.
4.0 MATERIALS
4.0 MATERIALS

4.1 REFRACTORY RAW MATERIAL

All structural material of the heat receiver was originally specified to be Cb-1Zr except for 300 series stainless steel which was to be part of the bimetallic joints. The corrosion resistance, weldability, strength, fabricability, and availability of Cb-1Zr alloy made it a sound selection as the primary structural material. The minimum design data obtained from thin-walled tubes, sheet and rod of Cb-1Zr, showed that the selected alloy had adequate strength for the containment of LiF and for use as structural components of the heat receiver within the allowable design stresses and operational temperature for a period of five years.

GE-NSP procured all the required raw materials for the heat receiver except for the following items which were supplied by NASA-LeRC:

a. All Cb-1Zr alloy storage tubes filled with LiF;

b. Cb-1Zr 0.75-inch-OD tubing for the gas tube extension;

c. Cb-1Zr, in various forms, obtained from Oak Ridge National Laboratory. However, the lack of processing history and available property data on the material generally restricted the use to only noncritical components or welding fixtures.

Later in the program, with the approval of NASA, a design change resulted in the insulation for the aperture assembly being moved from the outside to the inside surface. This greatly reduced the anticipated service temperature of the aperture assembly structure and permitted the use of PH15-7Mo stainless steel for the honeycomb stiffening panels, 304 SS for attachments and brackets, and 321 SS for the lower flexplates. In addition to materials changes for the aperture assembly, some of the fasteners, other than rivets, were ultimately made from unalloyed tantalum.
4.1.1 Specifications

All Cb-1Zr material was ordered to GE-NSP specifications which had been developed by GE-NSP for utilization in NASA programs. A list of the materials and nondestructive specifications applicable to this program are shown in Table V. The major supplier of Cb-1Zr for this program, Wah Chang Corporation, took exception to the required minimum values for tensile properties as stated in the NSP specification and would guarantee only a 20 Ksi 0.2% yield strength (vs. 30 Ksi per specification) and a 36 Ksi ultimate yield strength (vs. 40 Ksi per specification). The exceptions were considered acceptable for the Heat Receiver application and permission to order with these stipulations was given by NASA.

4.1.2 Procurement Control

Control over the procurement and documentation of the materials purchased for this program was governed by SPPS Instruction 09.102, "Control of Reactive Metals/Alloys, Refractory Metals/Alloys and Superalloys." This instruction establishes procurement policy and assigns specific personnel responsibilities and outlines detailed procedures for the materials procurement, handling and documentation of incoming products and inventory control.

The majority of the Cb-1Zr alloy material was procured from Wah Chang Corporation with small quantities of Cb-1Zr alloy (primarily wire and foil) and tantalum (rod and sheet for post-weld annealing furnace and threaded fasteners) being procured from four other refractory alloy vendors.

During the procurement, raw materials vendor's facilities (particularly test facilities and vacuum annealing furnaces) were reviewed and qualified. Details are given in the quality assurance section. Visits, phone and written contacts were made by metallurgical personnel to provide technical assistance to vendors, to monitor vendor processing and its program, and to assist in evaluating field discrepancies. Considerable effort was also expended in monitoring the production of bimetallic joints and the spinning of the top closure cone which are discussed later.
4.1.3 Quality Assurance

Because of the critical application to which the Cb-1Zr was applied, quality assurance testing was performed on each lot of material. The extent of quality assurance testing depended on the particular application; however, all Cb-1Zr products were 100% inspected visually and ultrasonically either at NSP or in the presence of NSP personnel. In addition, where appropriate, selected samples of each type of material were evaluated for one or more of the following properties: stress-rupture strength, chemistry, grain size, and metallurgical structure.

A list of the quality assurance data performed and documented for each lot of Cb-1Zr thru a combination of vendor and NSP testing is given in Table VI. In general, the materials met the applicable specification, and where deviations from specifications occurred, Material Review Board action was taken to assure proper use of the material.

During the procurement, vendor's test facilities were reviewed to assure that tests were performed in accordance with specification requirements. A major effort was made to assure ultrasonic and penetrant inspections were performed as required. Also, to minimize the possibility of contamination during annealing, the vacuum heat treating facilities of vendors processing Cb-1Zr mill forms for this Program were qualified according to NSP Instruction #2 for Qualification of Vacuum Annealing Forms. In addition, all post-weld annealing facilities were qualified in accordance with the GE-NSP Specification 03-0037-00-A.

4.1.4 Material Control

The control and documentation of the refractory alloy materials was performed in accordance with the SPPS Instruction 09.102. Documented control of materials was handled with a basic assignment of a Material Control Number for each item received. The Material Control Number designated the program for which the material was intended, the task of that program, a sequential file number, and the number of the pieces within a lot. For each Material Control Number a corresponding numbered metallurgical processing and test history and inventory file was established.
### TABLE V

**NSF MATERIAL AND NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING SPECIFICATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Specification Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheet, Strip, and Plate: Cb-1Zr Alloy</td>
<td>01-0053-00-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar and Rod: Cb-1Zr Alloy</td>
<td>01-0052-00-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seamless Tubing and Pipe: Cb-1Zr Alloy</td>
<td>01-0004-00-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foil: Cb-1Zr Alloy</td>
<td>01-0054-00-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire: Cb-1Zr Alloy</td>
<td>01-0055-00-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method for Ultrasonic Inspection</td>
<td>03-0001-00-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescent Penetrant Inspection</td>
<td>03-0027-00-B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE VI

**DOCUMENTATION OF QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA FOR Cb-1Zr ALLOY**

1. Item, Nominal Size
2. Heat Number
3. Lot Number
4. Ingot Vendor
5. Processing Vendor
6. Material Control Number
7. Weight (100%)
8. Dimensions (100%)
9. Surface Condition, Visual (100%)
10. Penetrant Inspection (100%)
11. Ultrasonic Inspection (100%)
12. Grain Size/Microstructure (2/Lot)
13. Microhardness Traverse (2/Lot)
14. Room Temperature Tensile Properties (2/Lot)
15. Bend Ductility (For sheet only)
16. Stress-Rupture Properties (2/Lot)
17. COHN Analysis, Final Product (2/Lot)
4.2 SPECIAL PROCESSES AND EVALUATIONS

4.2.1 Bimetallic Joint

Transition joints were required between the Cb-1Zr manifolds of the heat receiver and the Type 304 stainless steel inlet duct (from the recuperator) and Hastelloy X exit duct (to the turbine). Because of the large differences in melting point between the Cb-1Zr and the duct materials, transitions fabricated by some process other than welding were required. Coextrusion seemed to be a promising route for fabricating the inlet transition because Cb-1Zr/SS joints as large as 3.5-inch OD had been satisfactorily produced by Nuclear Metals Division of Whittaker Corporation prior to this program. A sketch of a longitudinal full section view of a coextruded joint is shown in Figure 46. However, Cb-1Zr/Hastelloy X coextruded joints had never been developed. A review of the risk, cost and time involved in developing such a coextruded joint made its selection unattractive. To circumvent the need for the costly and lengthy development of a coextruded Cb-1Zr/Hastelloy X bimetallic joint, a Cb-1Zr/stainless steel-to-Hastelloy X transition joint such as shown in Figure 47 was used on the outlet header. This transition employed a coextruded Cb-1Zr/stainless steel joint to which a Hastelloy X extension could be gas-tungsten-arc welded. By designing the stainless steel portion heavier than the Hastelloy, the reduced strength of the stainless steel at the operating temperature of the system was compensated for.

Coextrusion Process

The coextrusion process utilizes only the two metals to be joined, forming a metallurgical bond by working (extrusion) of the two metals at elevated temperatures. The resulting joint is a tapered section as shown in Figure 46. This tapered section provides a large joint area to accommodate the shear stress imposed by differential thermal expansions of two dissimilar metals.

Type 316 stainless steel was selected for the steel joint component because of Nuclear Metals past successful experience with this combination and because of its adequate elevated temperature strength. The metallurgical interactions which occur at elevated temperatures between Cb-1Zr
Temperature Conditions:
Actuator Housing 700°F
Coolant Inlet 90°F
Coolant Outlet 160°F

Figure 45. Cooling Gas Flow Requirements.

Figure 46. Sketch of a Longitudinal Full Section View of a Coextruded Joint.
Figure 47. Schematic Cb-1Zr/Stainless Steel/Hastelloy X Transition Joint for Receiver Exit Header.

Figure 48. Typical Coextruded Joint.
and Type 316 stainless steel have been well documented in the classified literature. Similar work by Ferry and Page (Reference 13) on duplex columbium/Type 316 stainless steel tubing reports the expected diffusion zone thickness between Cb and Type 316 stainless steel as a function of thermal exposure. At 1500°F, diffusion zone thicknesses were .0003 inch after 1000 hours and increased to approximately .001 inch after 10,000 hours.

The diffusion zone is primarily iron-columbium intermetallic compounds, containing varying amounts of nickel and chromium. The intermetallic compound is extremely brittle and should be considered in any bimetallic transition element intended for long-time service. The effect of intermetallic compounds on joint integrity could be determined only by experimental evaluation under simulated operating conditions. It is apparent that with increasing service temperature and time, the thermal shock behavior of joints can be impaired by formation of a brittle diffusion zone. Whether such an interaction would cause joint failure in the particular application was highly speculative. As described later, tests were performed by NASA-LeRC on the first coextruded joint produced on this program to assess joint performance.

Joint Materials and Fabrication

Relatively large tube hollows, approximately 5-inch OD x 2.76-inch ID, were required for extrusion in order to produce the 3.0-inch ID by 0.090-inch-wall joints. The required raw materials were procured by GE-NSP and supplied to Nuclear Metals Inc. after passing quality assurance testing. Type 316 SS hot rolled 5.125-inch-diameter rod was purchased to ASTM Specification A-276 in the annealed condition. Cb-1Zr rod, 4.91-inch-diameter, was procured to NSP Specification 01-0052-00-C in the annealed condition. The 316 SS rod was machined to 5.0 ± 0.063-inch OD x 2.75 ± 0.063-inch ID and supplied to the coextrusion vendor as extrusion blank material. The Cb-1Zr rod was supplied to the coextrusion vendor in the as-received condition. The coextrusion vendor processed the solid rod into an extrusion blank by back-extruding the rod into a cup shape and removing the closed end of the cup. Sufficient Cb-1Zr and 316 SS material was supplied to the coextrusion vendor to allow production of transition pieces having a tapered joint interface 2.5 inches long plus a minimum of six inches of 316 SS on one side of the
tapered joint and six inches of Cb-1Zr on the other side. These lengths were required to allow welding of the extremities of the transition pieces without overheating the tapered joint.

Tapered sections as short as 1.5 inches were produced because of the large grain size (ASTM No. 0 to 6) in sections of the Cb-1Zr rod. The coarse grain size necessitated a smaller extrusion reduction ratio over that originally anticipated. This lowering of the reduction ratio was necessary to allow for more metal removal during final machining to insure complete removal of surface irregularities usually associated with the extrusion of coarse grained materials. A tapered joint section 1.5 inches long was equivalent to an overlap of the two materials of approximately 16 times the wall thickness. Joints between Cb-1Zr and stainless steel, as well as other material combinations, had been made by Nuclear Metals with overlaps as low as five times the wall thickness without any degradation of joint integrity.

Nuclear Metals was to produce one joint for GE-NSP approval prior to completion of the order for three more coextruded joints. The first joint passed GE-NSP quality assurance testing (i.e., ultrasonic, fluorescent penetrant and dimensional inspection, metallography and chemical analyses). The fabrication procedure used in making the first joint was followed in processing the three remaining joints. The procedure is summarized in Table VII. A photograph of a typical finished coextruded transition piece is shown in Figure 48. Photomicrographs of typical sections of the stainless steel and Cb-1Zr portions of the finished transition pieces are shown in Figures 49 and 50. No photomicrographs of the tapered section are available because such evaluation would cause destruction of a joint.
Figure 49. Typical Microstructure of Stainless Steel Portion of Coextruded Joints.
Figure 50. Typical Microstructure of Cb-1Zr Portion of Coextruded Joints.
TABLE VII
SEQUENCE OF OPERATIONS IN FABRICATING COEXTRUDED JOINTS

1. Enclose Cb-1Zr blank in a mild steel vacuum jacket.
2. Heat and cup blanks.
3. Remove mild steel jacket and machine Cb-1Zr blank.
5. Enclose both machined blanks in mild steel vacuum jacket.
6. Heat Cb-1Zr and stainless parts, assemble on tooling, extrude joint.
7. Pickle to remove jacket.
8. Rough machine joint area and inspect.
10. Non destructive testing.

Evaluation of Coextruded Joints

Because of uncertainty of the coextruded joint performance capabilities, the first joint was supplied to NASA-LeRC for testing (Reference 20). Three tests were conducted in a Brew vacuum furnace. Except for the first heat-up, vacuum was at 2 x 10^{-7} torr range, and at no time greater than 1 x 10^{-5}.

The following tests were conducted:

Test No. 1

Twenty thermal cycles - 450°F - 1500°F
Average rate of temperature rise - 25°F/min
Maximum rate of temperature rise - 50°F/min
The test included several periods at 1500°F totaling 164.9 hours.

Test No. 2

500 thermal cycles - 1450°F to 1600°F
A typical cycle was of one-hour duration with about 45 minutes at 1550°F, five minutes at 1600°F, and five minutes at 1450°F. The remaining five minutes was transition time.
Total test time: 502 hours
Test No. 3

58 cycles - 400°F - 1540°F
Each cycle was three hours in duration with one-hour heatup, two-hour cooldown.
Maximum rate of temperature rise - 30°F/min
Total test time - 191 hours, including 17 hours at 1550°F
Summary: 79 "deep" cycles
500 close cycles
Approximately 600 hours at 1500°F or above

The coextruded joint showed no leaks but dimensionally deformed in the tapered joint area. An evaluation of these changes and their significance was made at NASA-LeRC. On the basis of no leaks and no visible delamination, the joint was judged by NASA-LeRC to be acceptable for use on the receiver.

4.2.2 Iron Titanate Coating

Based on the test results with iron titanate (Fe₂TiO₅) described below (primarily those obtained at NSP), which indicated coating degradation and oxygen contamination as high as 2300 ppm which might lead to embrittlement of the Cb-1Zr bellows, NSP recommended that grit blasting instead of iron titanate coating be used to improve the emissivity of heat storage tube convolutions. NASA concurred with this recommendation, which was then implemented.

To improve emissivity an iron titanate (Fe₂TiO₅) coating was originally specified for application to the surface of the heat receiver tubes and other selected heat receiver components. The maximum operating temperature of the iron titanate coating on the heat storage tubes is expected to be between 1700°F and 1800°F. NSP has had the opportunity to evaluate this coating on Cb-1Zr in two long-term, high-temperature, high-vacuum tests. The first experiment involved the evaluation of 2-inch x 2.5-inch thick Cb-1Zr specimens coated with four mils of iron titanate. The purpose of the test (Reference 14), which consisted of thermally cycling the specimen between 1500°F and 150°F during a 1000 hour test, was to determine the stability of the coating on Cb-1Zr prior to using it to coat the condenser fin of the Prototype Corrosion Loop which will be discussed later. A steady-state temperature gradient (1495°F to 1075°F) was maintained across the coated region of the specimen. The visual and
metallographic appearance of the specimen following test is illustrated in Figure 51. A slight increase in porosity occurred in the region of the coating which operated at the highest temperature, 1495°F, although no significant change in the heat rejection performance of the specimen could be detected.

The iron titanate coating was subsequently applied to the condenser-subcooler fin of the Prototype Corrosion Loop shown in Figure 52. During the course of the 5000 hour test on this loop, which was completed on March 9, 1966, no degradation in the performance of the coating was detected. The temperature of the coating varied from 1400°F in the hottest regions to 800°F in the coolest regions. The visual appearance of the coating following the 5000 hour test is illustrated in Figure 53. No evidence of degradation or change in general appearance was observed.

The iron titanate coatings described above were applied by an outside supplier who for several years has been evaluating high emittance coatings under NASA sponsorship (Contract NAS 3-4174). A 10,000 hour - 1700°F thermal cycling test of the iron titanate coating on a Cb-1Zr tube was recently completed as part of the referenced NASA program (Reference 15). During this test the specimen was thermal cycled between 1700°F and room temperature 51 times. No visual degradation of the coating was noted, although the emittance of the specimen at 1700°F did decrease from 0.88 to 0.84 during the test period. Post-test evaluation of the iron titanate coated Cb-1Zr specimen revealed a considerable oxygen concentration in the substrate as well as evidence of diffusion of titanium and iron.

A recently completed 5000 hour test (Reference 16) of the iron titanate coating was conducted using time-temperature conditions closely simulating the conditions proposed for the lithium fluoride heat receiver. The coated Cb-1Zr tube was cycled between 1500°F and 1800°F. The emittance of the iron titanate coating was quite stable at a value of 0.85 throughout the experiment. Preliminary X-ray diffraction evaluation of the coating indicated the formation of a small amount of TiO₂ in the coating and oxygen contamination of the Cb-1Zr tube wall.

Even more pertinent to the Heat Receiver Program were the results of the GENSP post-test evaluation of the Fe₂TiO₅ coating on lithium
Figure 51. Ch-1Zr Alloy Fin Specimen Coated with Iron Titanate. The Appearance of the Surface of the Coating at Low Magnification After the 1000-Hour Evaluation Test is Shown in (a) and (b). Metallographic Cross Sections of the Coating Before Test (c) and After Test (d) are also Included.
Figure 52. Prototype Corrosion Loop Following Installation in the Test Chamber Spool Piece. The Iron Titanate Coating was Applied to Both Sides of the 8-Inch Wide x 60-Inch Long Condenser Fin.
Figure 53. Close Up View of Corrosion Loop After Test. (C66031426)
fluoride bellows capsule II (NASA-LeRC Contract NAS 3-8523). In this test a Cb-1Zr bellows tube (similar in design to heat storage tubes) coated with Fe₂TiO₅ was thermally cycled 1500°F to 1700°F in contact with LiF to simulate the sun-shade cycle of a heat receiver in a 300-nautical-mile orbit. The results indicated extreme oxygen contamination in the Cb-1Zr resulting from the decomposition of the Fe₂TiO₅ coating during a 3000 hour test period. Oxygen concentration as high as 2300 ppm were formed in the Cb-1Zr after the iron titanate coating was removed. Microprobe analysis indicated additional reactions within the coating and between the substrates and coating. This test and evaluation effort is described in Reference 17.

4.2.3 Cb-1Zr Reducers

In the initial design of the Heat Receiver, 1.250-inch OD Cb-1Zr alloy tubes with 90° formed bends were designated for the gas tube extensions to connect each of the heat storage tubes to the outlet manifold. To provide flexibility for differential thermal expansions (as discussed previously in Section 3.3.2) the tube extensions were reduced to 0.75-inch OD. This required transitions at the exit end of each heat storage tube which has a 1.250-inch OD. The transitions, or reducers, were to be supplied by NASA. NASA’s approach was to room temperature spin-form the required reducers from excess 1.250-inch OD Cb-1Zr alloy tubing used to fabricate the heat storage tubes.

The high ductility of the Cb-1Zr alloy readily lends itself to this type of forming technique. However, a highly stressed surface condition resulted from the localized work hardening incurred during spinning, which produced narrow crack defects. The defects were in the axial direction on the OD and ID. Mechanical removal of the defects would have resulted in a wall thickness below acceptable tolerance.

NASA and GE-NSP concurred that schedule commitments dictated another approach to the fabrication of the reducers, i.e. by machining reducers from solid bar stock. To obtain qualified Cb-1Zr bar stock in minimum time the following steps were taken:

a) Reviewed two lots of in-house round bar sufficient for 17 reducers and initiated additional Q.A. tests. Based on avail-
able Q.A. data, conditionally released material. Results of the Q.A. tests confirmed the material was suitable for the intended application.

b) Attempted, unsuccessfully, to obtain remaining material from suppliers in shorter than normal delivery.

c) Internally processed several short length, but large rectangular cross section bars cut off from the manifold shell support rings. Six such bars were press forged to improve grain size. The bars were then machined to 1.5" diameter, vacuum annealed and ultrasonically inspected. All necessary QA was initiated and after evaluation of initial results (final results were acceptable) this bar stock was conditionally released to be machined into additional reducers. The remaining 2-4 reducers needed for the program were made from a small excess quantity of available ferrule stock.

4.2.4 Rivets

A length of 0.125-inch diameter Cb-lZr alloy weld wire was supplied to a speciality vendor for cold heading on a trial basis. 360 trial rivets were produced and returned to GE-NSP. All of these rivets were radiographed, approximately 10 percent were fluorescent penetrant inspected and one was metallographically examined. The test results indicated the rivets were of acceptable quality and an order was placed for a supply of the various sizes required for fabrication assembly of the shell and top closure.

4.2.5 Top Closure

Fabrication of the top closure required a large diameter cone shaped part which distorted during several spinning attempts. Evaluations involving material integrity aspects were made in consultation with the manufacturing effort. This is described in greater detail in Section 6.4.

One of the materials areas which required specific attention concerned the vacuum stress relief anneal which was performed prior to final spinning operations. After an extensive survey of vacuum furnace facilities large enough to accept the top closure a Wall Colmonoy furnace in Dayton, Ohio (100-inch diameter x 86-inch high cold wall furnace with
carbon elements and shielding) was qualified for the thermal treatment provided the part would be doubly wrapped in sacrificial foil. The stress relief temperature was set at 1900°F to avoid grain growth which could lower the reliability of the part during subsequent spinning.

To minimize contamination during vacuum annealing of columbium and tantalum alloys, standard GE-NSP practice is to characterize the vacuum furnace environment as part of the qualification before committing these alloys to heat treatment. Controlled Cb-1Zr coupons are used in the bare and wrapped conditions, to determine the amount of interstitial element (O,N,H,C) pickup during an assimilated annealing run. If the pickup is less than 85 ppm, the furnace is considered qualified.

4.2.6 Aperature Assembly

Honeycomb Panel

The criteria for material selection for the aperture assembly door and frame structure were chemical compatibility, thermal expansion, dimensional stability, surface stability, and mechanical strength. As discussed previously (Section 3.6.1), a design change resulting in locating the insulation of the aperture assembly on the internal surfaces and the NASA specification for heat loss requirements resulted in an estimated uniform structure temperature of 400°F - 600°F. This range of anticipated service temperature and the fact that a temperature differential across the panels is essentially nonexistent, permitted the consideration of more conventional materials for the aperture structure.

A honeycomb sandwich structure was considered for this application because of its excellent strength-to-weight ratio and excellent stiffness-to-weight ratio. An all-welded (resistance) honeycomb sandwich was chosen because of its high reliability and because it minimizes the chemical compatibility and temperature limitations that are inherent in other honeycomb sandwich fabrications. At the present "state-of-the-science" PH15-7Mo and Inconel 718 have been used more than other materials in welded honeycomb sandwiches for aerospace applications.

*Nominal Compositions

Ph15-7Mo: 0.09C + 15Cr + 7Ni + 1Al - 2Mo + Bal Fe.
Inconel 718: 0.10C max + 19Cr + 5 (Cb+Ta) + 3Mo + 1 Ti + 0.50Al + 20Fe + Bal. Ni.
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PH15-7Mo is a precipitation hardenable stainless steel. The chemistry of the alloy is balanced so that the alloy is austenitic in the annealed condition, martensitic in the hardened condition, and precipitates nickel-aluminum compounds during aging. The high alloy content provides excellent corrosion resistance. This is especially true in the annealed condition where the material displays chemical, physical, formability, fabricability, and mechanical properties similar to austenitic stainless steel. The material also has 2 percent molybdenum to provide better elevated temperature strength.

Inconel 718 is a nickel-base age hardenable superalloy. This alloy relies on the precipitation of Ni\textsubscript{3} (Al,Ti) and solid solution strengthening with refractory metal elements for its excellent elevated strength up to 1200°F.

The properties of Inconel 718 in the solution treated condition are not significantly different from its properties in the aged condition. Hence, the solution treated condition was considered. The material is formable and weldable when the proper techniques (methods and material condition) are used. Also, the high percentage of chromium and aluminum provides excellent oxidation resistance.

The chemical compatibility of either PH15-7Mo or Inconel 718 is adequate for the aperture structure application. Both of these materials are extremely resistant to oxidation and other corrosive media and would not pose a compatibility problem with any likely insulation system to be used on the aperture assembly.

The linear expansion match between the material selected for the door and frame application and the 304 SS used for brackets and attachments was extremely important if interface problems were to be avoided. Figure 54 shows a plot of the total thermal expansion of 304 SS, 15PH-7Mo, and Inconel 718 as a function of temperature. It can be seen from this curve that 15PH-7Mo in the annealed condition closely matches the expansion characteristics of the 304 SS. In the hardened and aged condition, the expansion of PH15-7Mo is similar to the lower expansion martensitic stainless steels.

Dimensional stability of the PH15-7Mo in the annealed condition was considered because of the possibility of this material experiencing micro-
Figure 54. Thermal Expansion of Aperture Cone Candidate Materials.
structural instabilities during service in which carbon precipitation could result in the conversion of the austenite phase to martensite or ferrite. This conversion is accompanied by an expansion of 0.0045 in/in which could cause considerable distortion. Republic Steel research metallurgists were contacted regarding this possibility. Assurance was provided that at the low operating temperatures of the aperture assembly, this phenomenon is virtually impossible.

The surface stability of both of these high-chromium content alloys, PH15-7Mo and Inconel 718, is poor at high temperatures in hard vacuums; however, at the low operating temperatures (400° - 600°F) the evaporation rate is relatively low and loss of material due to evaporation was not considered a problem. The mechanical strength of either alloy was considered adequate for this application.

The PH15-7Mo in the annealed condition was selected for the honeycomb sandwich because of the similar expansion characteristics of this material with 304 SS, the interfacing material, and because of more reliable welding characteristics of this material in the annealed condition with the mating austenitic stainless steel members. Almost any of the austenitic stainless steels would have been suitable for this application; however, as mentioned previously, PH15-7Mo has been used extensively for all welded honeycomb sandwich for aerospace applications and was more readily available.

Hinge and Linkage Bearings

The selection of a bearing geometry and material for the heat rejection door hinges changed markedly as the design progressed. The original hinge concept was a pin and sleeve type bearing in which all the hinge assembly components were either Co-25Mo or Co-25Mo-10Cr alloy. These alloys developed at NASA (Reference 18) possess adequate high temperature strength and have a very desirable coefficient of sliding friction (μ = 0.4 at 1300°F). Two significant developments led to important changes in the hinge design and material. First, the design temperature of the hinge dropped to a maximum temperature of about 700°F, when approval was obtained to move the aperture cone insulation inside the heat receiver. This change permitted the consideration of better developed bearing materials. The Co-base alloys mentioned earlier,
had never been fabricated into bearing shapes of interest and, of course, there was no performance data on similar parts made from these alloys. On the other hand, hard materials, such as refractory carbides and Vasco Hypercut, were well developed and had been made into bearing shapes.

The second development was the acceptance by NASA of GE-NSP's recommendation to select a ball bearing concept instead of a pin and bushing on the NASA-sponsored High-Temperature Valve Program (NAS 3-8514). Past experience at GE-NSP had indicated that the pin-bushing design was a higher-risk design compared to a ball bearing.

Two ball bearings having Vasco Hypercut* (high-speed steel) races and carbide balls had operated successfully as part of a valve actuation system on the High-Temperature Valve Program conducted at NSP. The bearings operated in a vacuum of $10^{-8}$ to $10^{-9}$ torr at estimated temperatures up to 800°F for 1500 hours and were still on test when the time for a decision was needed on the bearing for the Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Program. Based on this experience, the only remaining problem concerned the selection of the specific materials of the ball bearing. An all carbide bearing, Carboloy 883 (WC-6Co), was recommended and ultimately selected. The all carbide bearing was selected over a carbide ball - Vasco Hypercut race bearing or an all Vasco Hypercut ball bearing because of its greater elevated temperature hardness stability and its superior oxidation resistance as compared to Vasco Hypercut. The carbide maintains a high hardness at elevated temperatures ($\sim$ 85 Rockwell A at 1200°F) well beyond the temperatures expected for the heat receiver application. The superior oxidation resistance of the carbide was important during nonvacuum exposure times such as assembly, installation, and checkout of the solar heat receiver.

The size and geometry of the ball bearings selected were the same as those used on the High-Temperature Valve Program.

The balls and races were obtained from General Electric Company Metallurgical Products Division in Detroit, Michigan.

---

*Vasco Hypercut Nominal Composition (wt %):  
Fe, 8.0Co, 9.5Mo, 1.15V, 3.75Cr, 1.5N, .22Mn, .22Si, 1.07C.
The reduction in design temperature of the aperture assembly not only modified bearing material requirements but also eliminated the need for refractory metal hinge and linkage components; common commercially available materials were used for these applications.

**Door Stops**

The original estimated temperature of the contact surfaces between the doors and the aperture panels was expected to be in the range of 1600°F to 1800°F. In this temperature range diffusion bonding of mating surfaces was a potential problem. Refractory metal carbides were being recommended as the material for this application because of their resistance to bonding and the fact that fabrication techniques are more well known for the carbides than for the cobalt-moly alloy specified in the original NASA design. Mechanical joining of carbide pads to the Cb-1Zr door/cone mating surface was also recommended.

As a result of a subsequent design change which moved the location of the insulation from the outside to the inside of the aperture cone, the maximum anticipated operating temperature of the pad region decreased to approximately 700°F. This change permitted the use of 300 series stainless steel for the aperture structure and the consideration of detonation sprayed Al₂O₃ (on a 304SS substrate) as the agent to resist bonding. There was concern that spalling or cracking of the Al₂O₃ coating might occur because of the difference in thermal expansion between it and 304SS. To evaluate the mechanical stability of the coating, 0.040-inch thick rectangular sheet coupons were prepared for thermal shock testing. Al₂O₃ coatings of 0.001 and 0.002-inch thickness were detonation sprayed on one side of each coupon by the Coating Service Department of Union Carbide Corporation. The Al₂O₃, designated LA-2 (AMS2436), was 99+% Al₂O₃ and typically has a density of 0.1246 lb/in³ and an average coefficient of expansion (20-1800°F) 3.8X10⁻⁶ in/in/°F.

The thermal shock test which was planned was far more severe than any thermal transient anticipated during the operation of the heat receiver. The coupons were heated in an air furnace to 1200°F at a rate of 40°F/min., held at temperature for 30 minutes and quenched into room temperature water. Minor edge cracking of the coating was observed.
Also, a minor flaking, which did not expose bare metal, was noted where the coating was abnormally thick.

The test results indicated that pads having either 0.001 or 0.002-inch thick coatings would perform well under expected service conditions. Based on these results, one coated stop (0.065 x 1.44 x 11.0) or flange bolted to each door was incorporated as the door stop configuration. To further alleviate the concern for differential thermal expansion, the design of the aperture door stop or flange was altered to permit slotting of each flange resulting in a discontinuous coated area. This "comb" configuration also permitted a degree of mechanical flexibility to insure positive closure of the doors thereby reducing thermal losses.

Insulation

Refrasil (Composition given in Table VIII) tape or cloth was initially specified by NASA as an insulation material for the aperture assembly. Refrasil has low thermal conductivity over the temperature range of interest. It has good flexibility, is readily available, and the tape or cloth can be used continuously at temperatures as high as 2100°F without losing its structural integrity. However, preliminary tests at NSP indicated that the excessive out-gassing behavior of Refrasil would probably lead to contamination of Cb-1Zr components.

**TABLE VIII**

**TYPICAL COMPOSITION OF REFRASIL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration, W/O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>99.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B₂O₃</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Personal Communication, Barrage, C,H,I, Thompson Company, Gardina, California September 11, 1967*
Three alternate insulation concepts were considered: dimpled multilayered foil, and two proprietary insulation systems. Dimpled or knurled, multilayered, 0.002-inch thick Cb-1Zr foil has the advantage that no filler insulation is required and there is no problem of chemical compatibility between the Cb-1Zr alloy structure and the insulating material. The large numbers of layers needed to maintain the very small heat loss was discussed in Section 3.7. Since the heat loss was very small a decision was made not to utilize it for the aperture assembly.

Both proprietary concepts, (one developed by Thermo-Electron Company (TECO) and the other by the Linde Division of Union Carbide Corporation) involved insulation packets consisting of alternating layers of metal foil and insulating material. For both concepts, the foil material was either Mo or Ni depending on the anticipated temperature.

In TECO's composite layered structure, called Multi-Foil, the metal foil layers were separated by a discontinuous film of oxide, such as ZrO2. The film was applied by spraying oxide particles dispersed in a binder on the foil. Subsequent heating removed the binder and promoted some diffusion bonding of the oxide to the metal. Vibration tests conducted by NSP of samples of TECO's Multi-Foil confirmed that there was adequate bonding.

Linde submitted a composite called Super-Insulation which was composed of alternate layers of metal foil and Astroquartz cloth or Refrasil fiber with a proprietary ceramic type reinforcing agent applied as a slurry. The composite was baked to remove the binding agents.

To evaluate the outgassing behavior of the candidate Linde materials, tests were conducted at the General Electric Tube Department, Owensboro, Kentucky. The tests were conducted on Astroquartz cloth, Refrasil quartz fiber and a composite of molybdenum foil/Astroquartz containing two types of proprietary reinforcing agents. The details relating to these tests, test results, conclusion and recommendations are contained in Reference 19.

Only the Astroquartz cloth was found to be suitable for the heat receiver application. Both the refrasil and rigidized composite exhibited excessive out-gassing characteristics.
Subsequent discussions with Linde concerning the excessive out-gassing of the composite revealed that the type and quantity of the ceramic binders could be altered to greatly reduce the out-gassing. Because of NASA's advised intent to apply insulation to the entire Heat Receiver upon its delivery to LeRC and the potential use of the Linde Super-Insulation for this application, NASA assumed the predominant role in following further development efforts at Linde. Ultimately, tests conducted by Linde on another generation of compositers, confirmed that an insulating package having an acceptable out-gassing level could be produced.
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5.0 WELDING DEVELOPMENT AND QUALIFICATION

5.1 QUALIFICATION PLAN

Weld qualification for the Brayton Cycle Solar Heat Receiver consisted of personnel qualification for the manual welding requirements and weld process qualification for each unique joint type used in the fabrication of the heat receiver. Weld process qualification also included welding equipment, fixtures and tooling required for each weld. All welding by the inert gas tungsten-arc process was in accordance with NSP Specification 03-0025-00-A.

Welders were qualified for the manual welding requirements by making full fusion butt joints in sheet and plate. The test piece illustrated in Figure 55 was fabricated to qualify the Cb-1Zr alloy weldments required for gas system assembly. As the design progressed, additional joint types such as the support brackets-to-manifold and shell support ring-to-manifold were added. Three joints of each type were made and evaluated after preliminary welding trials had established welding parameters. Each type weld was made using the welding equipment, process and weld position intended for application to the heat receiver welding. Weld evaluation consisted of radiographic inspection as applicable, followed by sectioning and metallographic examination. The sheet and plate welds were also bend tested per NSP Specification 03-0025-00-A. This not only provided qualification of each weld type but furnished additional verification of the personnel qualification.

The automatic weld required for the manifold circumferential joints was qualified by making two weld specimens from 0.125-inch Cb-1Zr sheet using the welding equipment and process intended for application to this weld. The evaluation of these specimens was the same as for the sheet and plate manual weld samples. A full sized manifold mock-up, made from Type 304 stainless steel, was fabricated to qualify the tooling and fixtures required
Figure 55. Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Weld Qualification Tests.
for this weld as well as an additional qualification of the welding equipment and process.

5.2 PROCEDURES

Two men were qualified for the manual welding requirements by each welder making full fusion butt joints in 0.040-inch-thick sheet and 0.025-inch-thick plate. These weld specimens were evaluated by radiographing for soundness and bend testing in accordance with NSP Specification 03-0025-00-A.

A weld qualification test piece was fabricated per Drawing 47D173046 to qualify each type of weld joint and procedure used in the construction of the heat receiver. These welds are listed in Table IX, Items 4 through 19. This test piece was a 6-inch diameter cylinder made from eight pieces of 0.125-inch-thick Cb-1Zr alloy sheet using the weld procedures for the manifold girth welds and the longitudinal welds required for the nozzle diffuser and elbow sections. After holes were drilled, four inlet bosses and four outlet bosses were welded to the test piece. The bosses were then machined in accordance with established procedures.

The automatic tube-to-tube weld samples consisting of the (1) inlet ferrule to 1.25-inch diameter tube; (2) 1.25-inch diameter tube to reducer; (3) outlet ferrule to 0.75-inch diameter tube; and (4) 0.75-inch diameter tube to reducer welds were fabricated using the welding equipment, fixtures and procedures intended for application to the heat receiver welding. These weld samples were then used for the boss-to-ferrule weld qualification.

Additional parts were welded to the test piece to qualify the remainder of the welds. These were the (1) elbow-to-manifold weld; (2) support tube-to-manifold weld; (3) support pad-to-tube weld and; (4) shell support ring-to-manifold weld.

Another test piece was fabricated to make additional boss-to-manifold and elbow-to-manifold welds after preliminary welding trials showed that a change in the weld joint design or process was required. This is discussed in the Weld Development section (5.3).

All weld joints of the test pieces were evaluated by radiographing for soundness and then sectioning three of each type of weld joint for metallographic examination. Results of this evaluation are listed under Qualification Results and Documentation (Appendix C).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Weld</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Material Requirement</th>
<th>Weld Position</th>
<th>Drawing No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Personnel Qualification</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.040 thick x 4 x 10</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>Weld prep per Spec. 03-0015-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Personnel Qualification</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.250 thick x 4 x 10</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>Weld prep per Spec. 03-0015-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Manifold-Circumferential</td>
<td>Automatic TIG</td>
<td>2 pcs. - .125 thick x 4.5 x 36 lg</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D173030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3&quot; dia. Bimetallic Joint to Elbow</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.125 thick x 11 x 34 lg</td>
<td>Pipe Axis Horizontal Fixed Position</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6&quot; dia. Manifold - Girth</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.125 thick x 11 x 34 lg</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3&quot; dia. Diffuser to Elbow</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.125 thick x 11 x 34 lg</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diffuser - Longitudinal</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.125 thick x 11 x 34 lg</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Elbow - Longitudinal</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>1.5 dia. x 8 lg</td>
<td>Bosses to be welded in two positions equivalent to plane of manifold in horizontal and vertical positions</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Manifold - Inlet Boss</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>1.125 dia. x 8 lg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Manifold - Outlet Boss</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>1.125 dia. x 8 lg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Inlet Ferrule to 1.25 dia. x .025 wall Tube</td>
<td>Automatic TIG No Filler Wire</td>
<td>1.25 OD x .025 wall tube x 9 lg</td>
<td>Tube rotation on horizontal axis</td>
<td>Ferrule Dwg. 47B116899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Outlet Ferrule to .75 dia. x .025 wall Tube</td>
<td>Automatic TIG No Filler Wire</td>
<td>.75 OD x .025 wall tube x 9 lg</td>
<td>Tube rotation on horizontal axis</td>
<td>Ferrule Dwg. 47B116900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.25 OD Tube to Reducer</td>
<td>Automatic TIG No Filler Wire</td>
<td>1.25 OD x .025 wall tube x 9 lg</td>
<td>Tube rotation on horizontal axis</td>
<td>Reducer Dwg. 47C146031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>.75 OD Tube to Reducer</td>
<td>Automatic TIG No Filler Wire</td>
<td>.75 OD x .025 wall tube x 9 lg</td>
<td>Tube rotation on horizontal axis</td>
<td>Reducer Dwg. 47C146031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Boss to Inlet Ferrule</td>
<td>Manual TIG No Filler Wire</td>
<td>Items 9, 10, 11 and 12 will be used</td>
<td>Tube in two positions equivalent to plane of manifold in horizontal and vertical positions</td>
<td>Welds per Dwg. 47D173031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Boss to Outlet Ferrule</td>
<td>Manual TIG No Filler Wire</td>
<td>Items 9, 10, 11 and 12 will be used</td>
<td>Tube in two positions equivalent to plane of manifold in horizontal and vertical positions</td>
<td>Welds per Dwg. 47D173031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3&quot; dia. Elbow to Manifold</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>.125 thick x 11 x 34 lg</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>A. Support Tube to Manifold B. Support Pad to Tube</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>3 pcs. - .250 thick x 4&quot; x 4&quot;</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Shell Support Ring to Manifold</td>
<td>Manual TIG</td>
<td>Section of P2 Ring</td>
<td>Weld Horizontal</td>
<td>47D174671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3 WELD DEVELOPMENT

One of the primary purposes of the weld qualification task was to identify problems with weld joint designs, welding procedures or fixtures prior to commitment of hardware. Five of the weld joints used in the heat receiver required a change in either the joint design or welding procedure which resulted from the welding qualification trials.

1. Boss-to-Manifold Welds

The original boss-to-manifold weld joint design is illustrated schematically in Figure 56. It was anticipated that full penetration would be achieved during the fusion pass applied to the OD. A second weld pass with filler metal addition would complete the weld. Initial welding trials indicated that full penetration could be achieved, however the weld heat input caused erratic melting of the ID edge of the manifold. Although the joint was structurally sound, the uneven and rough appearance and possible adverse effects due to potentially excessive geometric distortions were causes for rejection of the original welding procedure.

The new welding procedure devised for this joint incorporated both automatic and manual welding techniques. Initially bosses were GTA tack welded to the manifold as shown in Figure 57. The root pass was then made using the internal rotary welding torch illustrated in Figure 58. This procedure resulted in very uniform fusion between the boss and manifold. weld inspection was simplified because the extent of penetration could be determined visually at the joint OD. A manual filler pass was then applied to the OD of the joint.

2. Inlet and Exit Tube-to-Manifold Weld

A problem similar to the boss-to-manifold weld was encountered, that is, uneven and rough melting at the manifold ID. In this case, however, the automatic internal welding technique could not be applied because a 3.0-inch diameter elbow was welded directly to the outlet manifold. This problem was resolved by enlargement of the manifold hole as illustrated in Figure 59. By maintaining the joint overlap at a maximum of 0.020-inch, it was possible to fully penetrate
Figure 56. Original Design Typical Boss-to-Manifold Joint.
Figure 57. Weld Qualification Test Specimen Illustrating GTA Tack Welds Used for Boss Positioning. (P69-2-42A)
Figure 58. Internal Rotary GTA Welding Torch.
Figure 59. Design of Tube-to-Manifold Weld Joints.
This resulted in complete fusion at the joint ID. A manual filler pass was then applied to form a reinforcing fillet.

3. **Boss-to-Ferrule Weld**

   This joint was originally planned to be made using the Orbit arc welding equipment. This equipment, illustrated in Figure 60, consisted of a portable welding head with a motor driven split-ring electrode holder. Thus, tube-to-tube joints can be welded automatically without rotation of the tubular members. This process was abandoned for the heat receiver application when, after repeated attempts and redesigns, the equipment failed due to breakdown of internal insulation.

   During the weld fixture planning stages, design provisions were made to allow for positioning the heat receiver for manual welding of boss-to-ferrule joints. This approach was adopted and qualification joints were prepared. During welding, the joint position was equivalent to that required during final assembly of the heat receiver. That is, each joint was welded in 180° increments to simulate the two positions required on the assembly.

4. **Tube-to-Ferrule Weld**

   These joints were produced by rotation of the tubular joint under a stationary GTA torch. Preliminary trials indicated lack of weld penetration. Variation in weld parameters to obtain full penetration, such as increased welding current or decreased welding speed, resulted in severe undercutting of the tube wall.

   The ferrule was redesigned to reduce the wall thickness at the socket joint by 0.010-inch. Full penetration welds without undercutting were then made without difficulty.

5. **Support Pad-to-Tube Weld**

   Metallographic examination of initial joints of this type indicated that weld fusion area was less in cross section than the base metal. This condition was corrected by adding a filler pass to the weld procedure for this joint.
5.4 QUALIFICATION RESULTS AND DOCUMENTATION

The qualification results are given in Appendix C for each weld item number listed in Table IX. Each unique joint configuration, welding parameters and test results are listed on the qualification form. In addition, weld process control records and applicable photomicrographs are presented.
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6.1 OVERALL SEQUENCE

The complete fabrication sequence for the Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver is depicted in Figure 61. For the gas system assembly, close integration was required of the four basic fabrication processes: machining or forming, cleaning, welding, and postweld annealing. Each component fabrication and assembly was controlled by manufacturing instructions which defined the detailed sequences and inspection points.

6.2 GAS SYSTEM

The major fabrication effort involved the gas system assembly sequences as shown schematically in Figures 62 to 67 and Table X. Consideration of refractory alloy welding and related sequences were of particular significance and are therefore discussed in detail prior to discussing the gas system fabrication effort itself.

6.2.1 Welding

Welding Specifications

All gas tungsten arc (GTA) welding of the gas system was done using the weld chamber shown in Figure 68. This welding system consisted of the basic 3.0-foot diameter by 6-foot long chamber shown on the left to which two 8-foot diameter x 4-foot long chamber extensions were attached. Not shown is the universal welding positioner incorporated in the two 8-foot diameter chamber extensions which was especially developed for welding the refractory metal gas system. Welding per Specification NSP 03-0025-00-A was conducted after purging the system by evacuation to a pressure in the $10^{-5}$ torr range and backfilling with high purity helium gas. During the welding cycle helium purity was monitored using a gas chromatograph for oxygen and nitrogen content and an electrolytic hygrometer for moisture content. All welding was conducted within the specification limits of 5 ppm oxygen, 15 ppm nitrogen and 20 ppm moisture content.
Figure 61. Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Fabrication Sequence.
STEP 1 FORM INNER AND OUTER SEGMENTS

STEP 2 TRIM ENDS TO SIZE

STEP 3 TACK WELD ENDS TO FORM HALF-TORUS AND MACHINE CIRCUMFERENTIAL WELD JOINT

Figure 62. Manifold Forming and Machining.
STEP 1 WELD INNER AND OUTER HALVES TOGETHER

Figure 63. Manifold Welding.

STEP 2 MACHINE HOLES FOR BOSSES AND NOZZLE

STEP 3 WELD BOSSES TO TORUS

STEP 4 WELD INLET OR OUTLET TO MANIFOLD

STEP 5 WELD BRACKETS AND SHELL SUPPORT RINGS
STEP 1  SPLIT MANIFEOLD AT TACK WELDS
AFTER ESTABLISHING UNSPRUNG
TORUS DIMENSION

STEP 2  WELD TIE BARS
ACROSS 180° SEGMENT

STEP 3  POST-WELD ANNEAL AT 2200°F

STEP 4  REMOVE TIE BARS, WELD PREP
FIXTURE FOR GIRTH WELDS

STEP 5  LOCAL ANNEAL GIRTH WELD

STEP 6  FINAL MACHINING OF BOSSES,
BRACKETS, AND SHELL SUPPORT
RING

Figure 64. Manifold Annealing, Final Welding and Machining.
1 TRIM TUBES TO LENGTH

2 WELD INLET FERRULE AND REDUCER

3 TRIM OUTLET TUBE TO LENGTH

4 WELD OUTLET FERRULE

5 TRIM SHORT LEG OF OUTLET TUBE TO MATCH HEAT STORAGE TUBE LENGTH

6 WELD HEAT RECEIVER TUBE TO OUTLET TUBE

7 LOCAL ANNEAL REDUCER WELDS

Figure 65. Heat Storage Tube Weld Sequence.
Figure 66. Welding Chamber Installation, Side View.
Figure 67. Welding Chamber Installation, End View.
**TABLE X**

**FABRICATION SEQUENCE**

**BRAYTON CYCLE HEAT RECEIVER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manifolds (Inlet and Outlet)</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Items 1 and 2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Radial Draw Form Two (2) each item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Items 3 and 4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Radial Draw Form Two (2) each item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Trim ends of segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Clean segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Handle with clean white gloves and cover with polyethylene during shipment and storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Tack weld two (2) each items 1, 2, 3, and 4 at ends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Machine diameters A per drawing and weld prep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>All machining to be done without coolant or cutting oil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Clean manifold halves with freon wipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Position in weld fixture and tack weld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Automatic TIG weld of circumferential weld joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Drill 48 holes for bosses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Machine openings for inlets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Clean manifolds to remove chips and degrease with freon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Manually weld 48 bosses to torus (plug through bosses and holes in manifold for alignment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Weld top closure brackets to outlet manifold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Each ten series represents one chamber weld set-up - Ex. 140, 145, and 148
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>A Tube Aperture cone brackets to inlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>B Pad Shell support ring to outlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>A Tube Shell support ring to inlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>A Tube Main support brackets to inlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>B Pad Weld nozzle to inlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Weld elbow to outlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Wire brush weld areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Split into $180^\circ$ halves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Freon clean and inspect inside of manifolds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Weld tie bar across $180^\circ$ segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>Heat treat anneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Remove tie bars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Weld prep ends of manifold segment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Clean ends - freon wipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Manually weld two halves together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Local anneal two girth welds each manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>Finish machine bosses, brackets and shell support ring - plug all openings during machining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>Clean-degrease with freon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Trim heat storage tube to length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Clean ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Weld inlet ferrule to heat storage tube inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Weld reducer to heat storage tube outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Trim tube extension to length on outlet end and clean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Weld outlet ferrule to tube extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Measure length of heat storage tube and trim short leg of extension tube to length-clean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Install in fixture and weld tube to reducer on heat storage tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Local anneal two reducer welds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Align and secure manifolds in welding fixture - install gas tubes (48), secure and rotate set-up to check alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Tack weld 48 inlet manifold/gas tube joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Weld OD side, 48 outlet manifold/gas tube joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Weld ID side, 48 outlet manifold/gas tube joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Weld ID side, 48 inlet manifold/gas tube joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Weld OD side, 48 outlet manifold/gas tube joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Reposition and weld bimetallic joint on inlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Reposition and weld bimetallic joint on outlet manifold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Post weld anneal locally-all welds per 03-0037-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 68. Weld Chamber. (P69-2-3E)
The welding sequences employed were dictated somewhat by the require-
ment for postweld anneal of all weldments at 2200°F for 1 hour in vacuum. 
Thus, during manifold welding sequences as shown in Figures 62 to 64, 
the girth joints were only tacked to provide for later splitting of the 
manifolds for annealing. This operation was necessary because the complete 
manifolds could not be accommodated in vacuum furnaces which could be 
qualified per Specification NSP 03-0037-00. The remainder of the manifold 
welding followed the natural fabrication sequence. Two circumferential 
welds produced the basic manifold sections. After machining of boss and 
nozzle holes, bosses were welded in place by a combination of internal GTA 
welding of the root pass and a second manual filler pass on the boss OD. 
The support brackets, shell support ring, and inlet or outlet were then 
welded to the manifolds. After splitting of the manifold and postweld 
annealing, the halves were rejoined by a manual girth weld. These two welds 
were annealed locally using a portable furnace specially constructed for this 
purpose. The vacuum environment during annealing was provided by the welding 
chamber.

The heat storage tubes were supplied by NASA with the lithium fluoride 
fill of the convolutions complete. As shown in Figure 65, each tube was 
trimmed to the proper length prior to automatic GTA welding of the inlet 
ferrule and reducer to the 1.25-inch OD gas tube. The 0.75-inch OD outlet 
tube was then trimmed to length (long leg) and the outlet ferrule welded. 
At this point, the short leg of the outlet tube was trimmed to compensate 
for length variations in the as received gas tubes. This operation produced 
a matched pair which was maintained by serial number until the final weld 
between the extension tube and reducer on the gas tube. The two welds at the 
reducer were then vacuum postweld annealed in the welding chamber with the 
use of a specially designed furnace. Tube-to-ferrule welds were annealed 
later in conjunction with the final assembly boss-to-ferrule welds.

The welding of 48 gas tubes to the two manifolds was accomplished with 
the assembly positioned in the large rotatable welding fixture. As men-
tioned in Section 5, these 96 welds were originally planned to be made by 
the orbit arc technique. As shown in Figures 66 and 67, the rotating 
fixture would be used to position each weld in front of the welding stations.
Because it was necessary to use manual welding techniques, access to the ID and OD of each weld was required. This was accomplished by rotating the entire fixture 90 degrees on its trunnions, such that the planes of the manifolds were in the vertical position. One half of each weld joint was made in each of the four welding positions. To equalize weld distortions as much as possible, welds to each manifold were made in six groups of eight, alternating back and forth across the manifold diameter. These welds were postweld vacuum annealed using two specially designed furnaces, each capable of accepting eight weld joints.

The gas system assembly was completed with the welding of the bimetallic joint assemblies to the manifolds. These welds were last in the fabrication sequence because with the bimetals in position the gas system assembly could not be rotated within the welding chamber. To change welding position, it was necessary to move the assembly onto the transfer dolly, rotate, then reposition in the welding chamber. Postweld anneals were done with the same furnace previously used for the manifold girth weld anneals.

6.2.2 Fabrication

As illustrated in Figure 61, the inlet and outlet manifolds and the 48 gas tubes were the major components of the gas system. The fabrication sequence is outlined in Table X. These hardware fabrications will be discussed in this section.

Manifolds

The basic manifold sections were formed by radial draw forming. Because of the high cost of tooling this method is generally considered only for parts which cannot be formed by more conventional methods or for production quantities. However, since draw forming required significantly less raw material than would have been required for other methods being considered, the savings in material costs more than offset the higher cost of tooling.

As depicted in Figures 62 and 63, four 180° segments were required for each manifold. Tool try-outs were done with stainless steel and these parts were later used to fabricate an inlet manifold for tooling, welding and other checkouts.

Forming the Cb-1Zr segments was highly successful in that only nine close trimmed pieces of material were required to produce the eight manifold
segments. A chip, edge burr or other contaminant caught by the die was the apparent cause of the one scrap piece. Radial draw forming was done at the Cyril Bath Co., Solon, Ohio. The various operations involved are shown in Figure 69.

After being accurately machine-trimmed at the $180^\circ$ center plane, the basic manifold sections shown in Figure 70 were GTA tack welded at the girth joints to form $360^\circ$ half shells. The weld fixturing for a typical inner manifold section is shown in Figure 71. The inside-the-chamber view of the tack welding operation for a typical outer section is shown in Figures 72 and 73.

Each of the four $360^\circ$ half sections was machined and weld prepped for the circumferential weld joint. An inner section is shown being machined in Figure 74.

The inner and outer manifold sections were cleaned and both manifolds were set up for welding of the circumferential joints as shown in Figure 75. The joints were aligned and GTA tack welded during the first welding operation. The manifolds were then removed from the welding fixture, reversed, and tack welded on the opposite side. The automatic GTA weld of one joint in each manifold was then made, and the manifolds again reversed to provide access to the first tack welded side. The automatic GTA welding of these joints was done in a single pass with filler wire addition.

After completion of the circumferential welds, the boss location holes were drilled and reamed on a horizontal boring mill equipped with a P&W rotary table. A large Bridgeport head was mounted to the machine which enabled the machining of the holes at the proper angle with the manifolds in the horizontal position. The inlet nozzle and outlet elbow openings were also machined at this time. Figure 76 shows the inlet manifold set up on the HBM for machining of the boss holes. The inlet manifold after completion of all hole drilling is shown in Figure 77.

The boss-to-manifold welds required three operations; tack weld, automatic internal root weld, and manual filler pass. Alignment of the bosses for tack welding was accomplished by a molybdenum plug which extended through each boss and the machined hole in the manifold. The alignment fixture is shown in Figure 78. The manifold mounting arrangement during tack welding and subsequent operations is shown in Figure 79.
Figure 69. Manifold Sections - Forming and Inspection.
Figure 70. Inner and Outer Manifold Sections – Cleaned in Preparation for Girth Tacking. (P69-1-42G)
Figure 71. Inner Manifold Section - Being Loaded into Weld Chamber for Girth Tacking. (P69-2-3F)
Figure 72. Outer Manifold Section Set-up in Weld Chamber for Tack Welding of Girth Joints. (P69-2-3B)

Figure 73. Tack Welding Girth Joint of Outer Manifold Section. (P69-2-3A)
Figure 74. Machining for Circumferential Weld Joint on Inner Manifold Section. (P69-2-36D)
Figure 75. Inlet and Outlet Manifold Being Set-Up for Automatic TIG Welding. (P69-3-23B)
Figure 76. Inlet Manifold Set-up on Horizontal Boring Mill for Machining Boss Holes. (69-3-49)
Figure 77. **Inlet Manifold After Machining of 48 Boss Holes and Nozzle Opening.**
(69-4-1)
Figure 78. Boss Alignment Fixture Locating a Boss on Inlet Manifold. Other Bosses Shown Have Been Tack Welded.
Figure 79. Inlet and Outlet Manifolds Mounted on Rotating Weld Fixture. Fixture Shown With Outlet Manifold Rotated to Lower Position After Tacking All 48 Bosses.
While performing the root pass internal boss-to-manifold welds on the outlet manifold, a failure of the internal welding head occurred. Welding of 27 bosses had been completed before the failure. The cause of failure was traced to the automatic welding equipment. Although set for 160 amps, a current in excess of 400 amps was recorded, which resulted in failure of the torch end of the internal welding head. Primarily copper, some stainless steel, and Teflon melted off the end of the head, and the torch cooling water was released by the failure.

The boss-to-manifold joint which was being welded was severely embrittled due to contamination, as shown in Figure 80. Coating-type deposits of copper and other materials probably carried by the steam were more widespread. Larger spatter or dropping-type deposits were located at the bottom of the manifold directly below the boss which was being welded.

The rework procedure outlined in Table XI was implemented. In addition, it was determined that loss of the feedback signal had driven the output of the welding machine above the 400 amp rating and caused the welding head failure. To prevent a recurrence, a meter relay circuit was incorporated to provide failsafe shutdown in the event that output current exceeded a preset value for any reason. A new internal welding head was also fabricated and checked on trial welds prior to resuming manifold welding. Automatic internal welding of the remaining bosses on the outlet manifold and the 48 inlet manifold bosses was then completed without difficulty. Addition of the manual filler pass on each boss OD completed the boss-to-manifold welding.

The attachment of support brackets, shell support rings, inlet nozzle and outlet elbow (operations 130 through 153, Table X) was accomplished with both manifolds in the welding chamber, such that at least two welding operations could be performed during each chamber cycle. Typical attachment components: the shell support rings and bracket support tubes are shown in Figures 81 and 82. Alignment fixtures were used to position each component as illustrated in Figures 83 and 84 for the outlet manifold support tube welds and for the outlet manifold-shell support ring. Typical weldments for the inlet manifold are shown in Figure 85.
Figure 80. Outlet Manifold Illustrating Boss Location Where Welding Torch Failure Occurred. (P69-4-53G)
TABLE XI

REWORK PROCEDURE FOR OUTLET MANIFOLD - BOSS WELD FAILURE

1. Remove all tack welded bosses (not the 27 welded bosses).
2. Trepan machine 3" dia. hole at contaminated boss on existing g.
3. Perform interstitial analysis on disk removed to verify that all contaminated Cb-lZr material has been removed.
4. Perform cleaning trials on internal surface of slug to verify adequacy of cleaning techniques. Also check out pickling method using foil to insure hydrogen embrittlement will not occur in manifold.
5. Mechanically remove local deposits from manifold.
6. Nitric acid pickle and rinse (primarily to remove copper).
7. Nitric HF pickle and rinse.
8. Machine and weld prep insert piece to fit 3" dia. hole with predrilled boss hole. Use piece removed from manifold section girth trim so as to match contour.
9. Clean, weld and x-ray.
10. Reclean bosses and retack in preparation for internal root pass welding.
Figure 81. Shell Support Rings for Inlet and Outlet Manifolds. Temporary Bridge Shown Tack Welded at Nozzle Cutout Location on Inlet Ring. (P69-4-30)
Figure 82. Typical Manifold Support Tubes. (P69-5-17)

Figure 83. Welding Alignment Fixture for Outlet Manifold Support Tube. (P69-5-29A)
Figure 84. Weld Alignment Fixture for Outlet Manifold Shell Ring. (P69-6-10B)

Figure 85. Inlet Manifold - Typical Weldments Required During Fabrication. (P69-9-10D)
Upon completion of welding, each manifold diameter was measured at the girth tack weld area prior to splitting the manifolds. The restraining tie bars required for postweld anneal were then machined to dimensions which maintained the actual manifold diameters. The tie bars, fabricated from Cb-1Zr alloy tube and discs, were then welded to each half manifold as shown in Figure 86. Postweld annealing per Specification NSP 03-0074-00-A was performed in two 2200°F/1-hour cycles of the Brew Model 922 vacuum furnace, located at Stellite Division, Cabot Corporation, Kokomo, Indiana. The stress relieving achieved during the postweld anneal was evident by the complete lack of manifold spring-back when the tie bars were removed.

The manifolds were then set up in the welding fixture for the manual welds of the girth joints. Upon completion of this operation, the girth joints were vacuum annealed using the local furnace setup in the welding chamber shown in Figure 87. During the anneal of the outlet manifold, girth weld No. 1, a pressure surge aborted the run after 55 minutes of the 60-minute anneal cycle. An air leak occurred in plastic tubing used to connect the sealed motor housing on the weld fixture to a vacuum feed-through. The results of various tests and analyses performed to determine the condition of the manifold indicated that contamination was superficial (Appendix D). Polishing with abrasive paper was used to remove surface contamination. The outside of the manifold was then acid cleaned and rinsed.

The final machining of manifolds required extensive set up and dimensional checks to insure machining features and dimensions would result. As anticipated during the design phase, distortions were present in features and feature locations due to general welding distortions and the need to fabricate the manifolds in 180° half-sections (because of annealing furnace limitations). Planning, tooling and stock allowances proved to be both necessary and sufficient.

All openings were plugged or otherwise sealed to maintain internal cleanliness. Exterior surfaces were protected when not involved in the specific work area. The bosses were rough and finish "turned" with special hollow mills again using the Bridgeport head mounted on the Lucas HBM.

The horizontal pads on the manifolds were milled with a right angle attachment and drilled with the Bridgeport head. The facility mounting pads (for attachment of the receiver to the Brayton System) were milled and drilled with the spindle of the machine. The shell support rings
Figure 86. Inlet Manifold - Restraining Tie Bars Tack Welded to Halves for Post Weld Anneal. (P69-7-1B)
Figure 87. Typical Manifold Girth Joint Postweld Anneal Using Local Furnace. (P69-7-45)
were rotary milled with a right angle attachment and drilled with the machine spindle.

After final machining, cleaning, and inspection the inlet and outlet manifolds, shown in Figures 88 and 89 were ready for gas system assembly.

**Gas Tube Assembly**

The fabrication sequence depicted in Figure 65 was followed exactly during gas tube assembly welding. The heat storage tubes were fabricated at LeRC and shipped to ORNL to be filled with lithium fluoride and sealed (Reference 1). Upon return to LeRC the heat storage tubes underwent straightening, zyglo inspection and grit blast surface treatment. Inspection of tubes at NSP for contour conformance is shown in Figure 90. A typical welding operation on the heat storage tubes is shown in Figure 91. Typical weld joints for outlet ferrule-to-tube and inlet ferrule-to-tube are shown in Figures 91 and 92. A plastic covered wire rack was constructed to provide handling of eight tube assemblies during each welding chamber cycle. The typical gas tube assemblies are shown in Figure 93.

**Gas System Assembly**

The assembly fixture consisted basically of stainless steel angle brackets which bolted to the manifolds and rotating ring of the welding fixture. The manifolds were mounted as shown in Figure 94, aligned, and secured to correct dimensions. The welding fixture was then rotated with the manifolds in the horizontal and vertical planes and dimensions re-checked to insure that no significant shifting would occur during final assembly welding.

The 48 gas tubes were then installed as shown in Figure 95. Originally, it was planned to install the support spider after all gas tubes were in position. However, in an attempt to slowly raise this support, five gas tube extensions were dented. Although leak tight, these damaged areas were reworked by the addition of a small amount of filler metal followed by postweld anneals. X-ray and helium leak check inspections were also performed successfully.

The spider and its support brackets were then modified to eliminate the potential for damaging other tube assemblies. A heavy center disc was removed and the heavy spider support brackets were replaced with the smaller
Figure 88. Outlet Manifold Prepared for Gas System Assembly. (P69-9-10B)
Figure 89. Inlet Manifold Ready for Gas System Assembly. (P69-9-17B)
Figure 90. LiF Heat Storage Tube From Third Batch Being Inspected for Envelope Conformance Using Contour Template Fixture. (P69-3-19B) (P69-3-19A)
Figure 91. Heat Storage Tubes Loaded for Assembly Welding of Inlet Ferrules andReducers. (As Seen Through Vacuum Chamber Viewport) (P69-5-36C)
Figure 92. Close-Up of Typical Automatic Weld Inlet Ferrule-to-Tube. (As Seen Through Vacuum Chamber Viewport) (P69-7-21B)
Figure 93. Typical Heat Storage Tube Assemblies. (P69-9-17F)

Figure 94. Manifold Mounted on Rotating Weld Fixture. (P69-9-35C)
Figure 95. Gas System Set-Up for Assembly Welding. (P69-10-2B)
and lighter spoke-like support shown in Figure 95. It was now possible
to install all the tubes after the spider was positioned. All the tubes
were then reinstalled and shimmed with foil at the spider cutouts.

The 96 tube ferrule-to-manifold boss welds were initiated by first
tack welding the 48 inlet manifold welds. The welding proceeded as each
joint weld was made in two half-circumference passes. The welding fixture
was rotated in four positions to obtain access for the following welding
sequence: (1) outlet manifold, OD side; (2) outlet manifold, ID side,
(3) inlet manifold, ID side, (4) inlet manifold, OD side. At each position
welds were made in groups of eight, alternating back and forth across the
manifold diameter. After careful visual examination a few joints were
rewelded to insure that a sufficient overlap was in evidence at adjacent
weld passes. A helium leak test indicated that all joints were leak tight.

Postweld annealing of these tube welds was accomplished using the same
local furnaces that had been used previously for gas tube anneals. At each
joint location, there were two welds actually annealed: tube-to-ferrule and
ferrule-to-boss. Each of the two furnaces covered eight tube joints such
that 16 anneals were accomplished during each vacuum cycle of the welding
chamber. Furnace locations were alternated back and forth across the mani-
fold diameters for each annealing cycle.

The final gas system assembly welds were those which attached the
coeextruded joints to the inlet and outlet manifolds. These were manual
welds in the 3-inch diameter duct tubing as shown in Figure 96. The
furnace which had been used for manifold girth weld anneals also accom-
plished the postweld anneals of these joints.

Intermediate leak checks had been performed on each component of the
gas system assembly as well as all individual assembly welds. The acceptance
mass spectrometer leak test of the entire gas system was performed by inde-
pendent evacuation of the gas system while it was positioned inside the
welding chamber. Helium was then introduced into the welding chamber and
thus completely surrounded the gas system.
Figure 96. Completed Gas System.
6.3 SHELL ASSEMBLY

The shell support assembly was designed as a riveted and bolted structure. For final assembly reasons the structure was made in six segments so that it could be bolted together at its longitudinal flanges. The shell circumferential flanges would be attached to the inlet and outlet manifolds by bolting at the machined support ring flanges.

Cost considerations dictated that the sheet metal segment panels be fabricated in three sections: an upper cone section, a transition section and a lower cone section. The three sections were riveted together to complete the panel before riveting the flanges to it. The two cone sections were cone rolled with conventional methods and the transition section was die formed on kirksite dies with a lead punch using a standard drop hammer. During this forming operation it was necessary to anneal the parts before final setting because the material "twisted" during the forming operation, probably as a result of the material "stretching".

The reflectors were made with simple press-break operations. Three mounting clips were riveted to each reflector. To facilitate blind assembly each clip had a tapped hole to hold the fastener firmly while being inserted in the mounting holes of the panels.

Typical panel segments of the all-refractory shell assembly are shown in Figure 97. Reflectors were assembled to each individual segment before the shell support would be assembled to the manifold flanges.

6.4 TOP CLOSURE

The top closure design calls for a 52-inch diameter spinning of .040-inch Cb-lZr as shown in Figure 10 which includes a conical section for heat reflection purposes, a flat flange for joining the top enclosure to the flex plates, and a short outer skirt (later eliminated) for stiffening purposes. To incorporate the three features within dimensional tolerances, made this a most difficult spinning effort.

To check out the mandrel and process before committing the Cb-lZr 0.040-inch sheet, a mild steel trial spinning was made first. The spinning was dimensionally acceptable and did not indicate any problems that were later encountered in the Cb-lZr spinning.
Figure 97. Shell Assembly Panels - Before and After Installation of Reflectors.
The initial spinning attempts by the vendor of the Cb-1Zr were quite discouraging, the flat flange area had distorted, similar to a sinusoidal wave and was about 1\(\frac{1}{4}\)-inch out of flat. At this time, a field evaluation was made to determine the reliability of the sheet for additional spinning passes. Visual examination, bend test, and hardness tests indicated that the component could undergo additional spinning passes without adverse effects. The results of the second spinning pass were encouraging, however, it caused an increase of 1-inch in the skirt length. When this excess material was cut off, the top closure again distorted in the flange area. The thickness of the material removed from the skirt was checked and no thinning was indicated. It was apparent that material movement was limited to the work surface layers (surface in contact with forming wheel) and the difference between the worked surface and bottom surface appeared to be causing the distortion. An additional spinning pass was made with a preformed mild steel overlay to avoid additional working of the surface. However, when excess material was removed from the skirt area the part distorted again. It was at this point that spinning efforts were suspended pending additional evaluation. It was decided that the part would require a vacuum stress relief anneal, after which either respinning could be attempted or a design change made to incorporate a heavier flange which would mechanically hold the part to the desired shape. The part was successfully vacuum stress relief annealed (as discussed previously in Section 4.2.5) and it was decided to respin. A rework sequence was established which called for respinning with a mild steel preformed overlay and modifying the wood mandrel to provide a sharper corner at the cone-to-flat transition.

Respinning improved the part; however, it was still not to print. A new wood mandrel was made which would allow the top closure to be formed on the reverse surface in an attempt to cancel out the differences in the top and bottom surface stresses. Although this technique improved the part, a design change was incorporated which added a flange to mechanically restrain the part. The 0.250-inch thick restraining flange was riveted to the flat section. The flange was made up of six segments and bridged at each joint by a 0.140-inch thick doubler.

It is thought that the top closure spinning suffered from the low tensile modulus of Cb-1Zr (16 x 10^6 psi at room temperature) which resulted in excessive springback. In some ways the Cb-1Zr did display desirable spinning
qualities. Its excellent room temperature elongation and low work hardening characteristics allowed several spinning passes before stress relieving without any detrimental surface effects.

The addition of a flange ring to the flat section of the spinning resulted in achieving the desired top closure part as is shown in Figure 98.

### 6.5 APERTURE ASSEMBLY

With the exception of the aperture plate itself, the aperture structure was fabricated entirely of stainless steel, including the honeycomb panels. The panels were easily sawed, machined and drilled. All attachments were finish machined as details and assembled to the panels.

The doors were cut out of the frame panels and maintained as matched sets. This not only minimized material requirements but also provided good mating of door to frame. All machining, line reaming and line boring was performed prior to assembly. Match drilling was done to assure proper fit up for pin-weld attachments.

The 0.060-inch thick Cb-1Zr aperture plate was a shallow spinning and was accomplished without incident.

A dummy door and frame assembly (one-sixth segment) with a mating panel was also required to provide NASA with a mockup for subsequent installation of insulation (Reference: Section 3.7). This mockup provided an excellent opportunity to check out almost every operation prior to performing it on the product hardware.

The aperture door and frame sectors were assembled and checked for proper door operation as well as dimensional requirements. The aperture plate, flex plate and mounting brackets were then assembled in preparation for final heat receiver assembly operations. Instrumentation (described subsequently in Section 7.0) was also added while the unit was more accessible as is shown in Figure 99.

Assembly of the door actuators started with the welding of each double bellows to its clevis and housing (Reference: Figure 43). Radiographic examination showed good bellows alignment as is shown in Figure 100 for a typical unit. Upon completion of all assembly and operational checks, housing
Figure 98. Cb-1Zr Top Closure Fabrication. (P69-12-11AL)
Figure 99. Aperture Assembly and Instrumentation. (69-12-11P)(69-12-11AK)
Bellows at Free Height
(Actuator Retracted)

Bellows Compressed
(Actuator Extended)

Figure 100. X-Ray Examination of Actuator Bellows Alignment.
covers were installed and pressure integrity of all door actuators was verified by a final helium leak check. Typical parts and assemblies are shown in Figure 101. Installation of the actuators and linkage was accomplished subsequently as part of the final assembly.

6.6 FINAL ASSEMBLY

To facilitate the instrumentation and assembly of the Heat Receiver, a large frame was designed to support the gas system assembly and in such a manner that it would accommodate the subsequent assembly of all appendages and brackets. It also served as a shipping and handling fixture.

The frame was made in two halves such that one half could be removed to allow for installation of the aperture assembly without lifting the gas system assembly. Because of the limited widths of available transportation equipment, the frame could not be made large enough to surround the inlet manifold bimetallic joint which therefore extended beyond the structure. A heavy formed plate was bolted to the frame to protect the joint against damage during handling and shipment.

The gas system assembly was transferred from the weld laboratory to the machine shop high bay area for removal of the assembly from the dolly and dismantling of the center spider and special weld fixtures. Arrangements for disassembly were planned so that the "free state" gas system was left on a large inspection type surface plate after removal of all weld fixture restraints. Equal height blocks had been set under the lower manifold pads so that the special handling and shipping fixture could be installed around the gas system and attached to the lower manifold receiver pads. In this way, the "free state" gas system assembly would be lifted and handled only one time. This one time was from the surface plate to the precision assembly area and by means of the special handling and shipping fixture. The frame was bolted to the inlet manifold facility pads after checking bolt hole and pad alignments to insure against the possibility of introducing distortions. Protective Cb-1Zr foil was also installed at all contacting surfaces. The completed gas system is shown in Figure 1 in position for assembly with the special handling and shipping fixture.
Figure 101. Door Actuator and Typical Subassemblies.
Figure 102. Gas System Instrumentation Typical Application Sequences.
All instrumentation of the gas system assembly was accomplished (after installation in the special handling fixture) as described in Section 7.0 before starting further mechanical assembly. The application of Cb-lZr foil insulation on the gas tubes and other local areas as required by the drawings was also accomplished before proceeding with mechanical assemblies. The process of instrumenting the gas system is shown in Figure 102.

Application of Cb-lZr foil insulation on all gas tubes and other local pad areas as required by the drawings was also completed as shown in Figure 103.

The first component to be assembled to the gas system was the shell support. The reflectors had been pre-assembled to the panel segments and each panel was then installed after carefully aligning mating bolt holes as well as the reflector-to-tube spacing. Indicators were mounted on the outlet manifold to monitor any movements during assembly to assure proper fit-up relationship between the relatively flexible gas system members and the more rigid shell support. Monitoring of the indicators was continued until all bolts were properly torqued. Careful visual examination indicated that the resulting geometric relationships between all heat storage tubes and reflectors was excellent. Clearances between the closely spaced top convolutions are uniform and symmetrical all over, as can be seen in Figure 104.

The aperture assembly as previously discussed was pre-assembled including the flex plates and mounting brackets. The assembly was placed on a special plywood pallet fitted with a center lifting eyebolt. In contact with the pallet were the A-frame actuator mounting brackets which are the lowest surfaces (prior to installing actuators). With a portion of the handling and shipping fixture removed, the aperture assembly was properly located beneath the inlet manifold and carefully lifted into position for bolting the aperture support brackets to the manifold pads. Cb-lZr shims had been preplaced on the manifold ID to prevent the aperture assembly from hitting the inlet manifold and damaging the instrumentation leads.

To complete installation of the aperture assembly, all actuators and linkage were installed. After each turnbuckle was properly adjusted, the doors were operated through several cycles for checkout. Some of the
Figure 103. Gas Tube Instrumentation and Insulation Solar Heat Receiver.
(P69-12-11Y)
Figure 104. Assembly of Shell, Reflectors and Gas System.
Aperture assembly installation and checkout sequences are shown in Figure 105.

As the final component for assembly, the top closure preassembled with flex plates and support brackets was hoisted over the outlet manifold, properly oriented and carefully lowered into final position. All brackets were bolted to manifold pads after insuring that the flex plates would not be distorted. Both external and internal views of the top closure as installed are shown in Figure 106. The instrumentation leads for the top closure surface can also be seen in the overhead view.

Thermocouple installation, routing of leads to junction boxes for termination, and checkout was completed for all required instrumentation locations as further described in Section 7.0. The completed solar heat receiver, ready shipping preparations is shown in Figure 107.

Components were weighed at appropriate times during the fabrication and assembly sequences. Total weight of the as-shipped receiver assembly was recorded at 1874 pounds which includes 100 pounds for the instrumentation junction boxes and mounting brackets. A breakdown by significant elements is provided in Table XII.

In preparation for shipment, the gas system was purged and sealed off with argon gas thereby inerting it internally. The receiver and handling fixture assembly was placed on a special shipping skid. Heat sealed plastic sheeting was installed to permit inerting the entire receiver assembly internally with argon gas.

Prior to preparing for shipment, the NASA Project Manager released the heat receiver by signing the DD250 form as the authorized NASA representative. During his visit on 12/22/69 H. Cameron witnessed the operation of all doors, spot checked several thermocouples and performed a detailed visual examination.

Special shipping arrangements were made and coordinated with the NASA Project Manager. An NSP representative rode with the exclusive use air ride van. Both the NSP representative and the NASA Project Manager witnessed the unloading at LeRC on December 24, 1969.
Figure 105. Aperture Structure, Doors and Linkage - Installation and Checkout.
Figure 106. Top Closure.
Figure 107. Brayton Cycle Solar Heat Receiver - Installed in Shipping Fixture. (P69-12-11AQ)
**TABLE XII**

**WEIGHT BREAKDOWN - SOLAR HEAT RECEIVER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Weight (Pounds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas System Assembly</td>
<td>1131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Tubes (includes 266 pounds LiF)</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Manifold</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet Manifold</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducts (elbows and bimetallics)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foil Insulation and Thermocouples</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell Assembly (with reflectors)</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Closure (with flex plates and bkts)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture Assembly (less actuators)</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuators (total of six)</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Hardware</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory Alloy</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Heat Receiver Weight</strong></td>
<td>1774 Pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumentation Junction Boxes and Mounting Brackets</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping Frame and Plate</td>
<td>976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Shipping Weight</strong></td>
<td>2850 Pounds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.0 INSTRUMENTATION
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Instrumentation required to be installed by General Electric consisted primarily of thermocouples located on the surfaces of the heat storage tube assemblies and at various locations such as doors, actuators, and the top closure. The number and location of all thermocouples was originally specified and subsequently modified as shown in Table XIII to provide a better basis for correlation with temperature distribution predictions throughout the heat receiver as part of the intended system test evaluations by NASA. The basic requirements for thermocouples to be (1) compatible with the surface to which they are attached and (2) capable of continuous operation in a high vacuum environment dominated the selection of the thermocouple configuration discussed hereafter. Related instrumentation requirements included termination reference junctions for connection of leads from thermocouples to facility readout instrumentation and installation of pressure taps in the inlet and outlet manifolds.

7.1 THERMOCOUPLE ALLOY SELECTION

The NASA specifications delineated platinum-rhodium—platinum or tungsten 3% rhenium/tungsten 25% rhenium for all thermocouples attached to refractory surfaces. Chromel-alumel could be used on stainless steel components.

Considerations for the choice between platinum-rhodium—platinum and a tungsten-rhenium combination were based on several interrelated factors. Platinum-rhodium—platinum has an advantage relative to accuracy because it is used to define a portion of the International Practical Temperature Scale and the manufacturing process has been refined to the point where precise thermoelectric tolerances can be predicted for specific grades of wire. Verification of accuracy is
### TABLE XIII

**THERMOCOUPLE LOCATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type &amp; Location</th>
<th>Quantity Installed As Shown On Drawing</th>
<th>Quantity Specified On Original Contract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47R199377 Sh 2 Unit Total</td>
<td>Unit Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Temp. on Each of 6 Equally Spaced Gas Tubes</td>
<td>22 132</td>
<td>12 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube to Exit Manifold Surface on Each of Same 6 Equally Spaced Gas Tubes</td>
<td>2 12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Shell Surface Directly Opposite Gas Tube Thermocouples on Convolutions 6, 19 &amp; 32 of Tubes 12, 28, 44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Surface of Top Closure</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Surface of Aperture Assembly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Temp. on Each of 6 (Other) Equally Spaced Gas Tubes</td>
<td>0 8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total W3%Re -- W25%Re**

| Well Temp. in Inlet & Outlet Manifold Ducts                                      | 3 6                                    | 3 6                                    |
| Actuator Temp. (2 Per Actuator)                                                   | 2 12                                   | 0                                      |
| Door Temp.                                                                        | 1 3                                    | 0                                      |
| Aperture Frame & Surface                                                          | 6                                      | 0                                      |
| Door Hinge                                                                       | 1                                      | 0                                      |
| Pad                                                                              | 1                                      | 0                                      |

**Total Chromel-Alumel**

|                                                                   | 29                                      | 6                                      |
easily accomplished by calibrating at fixed freezing points in air.
However, the use of platinum-rhodium—platinum in a vacuum environment
can lead to contamination of the platinum by impurities particularly
from the insulators, thereby changing the thermoelectric characteristics
of the wire and causing temperature measurement errors. Also, a reaction
between platinum and Cb-lZr has been reported at temperature levels
above 2000°F. Although temperatures of the heat receiver elements
should be considerably less, there is no precise knowledge of the level
at which the reaction becomes significant or of the rate at which it
proceeds. Another disadvantage of the platinum is its relatively low
strength which makes the use of wire smaller than 0.010 inch diameter
impractical. Since it is practical to use some of the tungsten-rhenium
combinations in 0.005 inch diameter and since only one leg of this type
is expensive (the high rhenium leg) the overall cost for the bare wire
is approximately three times more for the platinum-rhodium—platinum.

The alloy selected for attachment to refractory surfaces was
tungsten 3% rhenium—tungsten 25% rhenium. It is compatible with Cb-lZr
and can be resistance welded directly to the surface of the heat
storage tubes without fear of reaction. It is reasonably stable over
long periods of time. It has an output of approximately 10 microvolts
per degree F at 1700°F (which is significantly greater than the 6
microvolts per degree F of platinum 10% rhodium—platinum). The only
disadvantages are (1) the embrittlement in the W3%Re leg after welding
of the junction and (2) the fact that this alloy is not recognized as
a standard by NBS. As a result, there is no standard calibration curve.
The embrittlement (at the junction) problem can be minimized by installation
procedures and techniques which have been established and used within
GE-NSP. The calibration problem was solved by obtaining matched wire
specified to be accurate within ±1% of a calibration curve furnished
with the wire. Verification within NSP of the accuracy of such
calibrations has been established on previously purchased quantities
of matched wire from Englehard Industries.

For reasons of reliability, material compatibility as well as
in order to maintain material compatibility and economy, chromel-alumel
thermocouples were used on stainless steel components. The welded
junction of the chromel-alumel combination is considerably more ductile than that of the W3%Re--W25% rhenium.

7.2 REFERENCE JUNCTIONS

Selection of the reference cold junction configuration was based on (1) the fact that the heat receiver would be tested near the center of a large vacuum chamber and (2) that it was necessary to make provision for connecting the thermocouple leads to facility instrumentation transmission lines. The technique which was selected permits use of copper leads and provides for connection of these leads at the heat receiver, thereby avoiding the necessity of using W3%Re--W25%Re leads (and connector components) all the way to the readout instruments or of accepting the error associated with using a matching lead wire of inexpensive alloy. The reference junctions are located in one of four isothermal junction blocks mounted on the heat receiver. This is the point at which the W3%Re and W25%Re wires are terminated. Each of the blocks has provision for connecting 52 thermocouple alloy pairs to copper leads. Each of the blocks are made from an aluminum plate through which 104 holes of 0.50 inch diameter have been drilled. An anodized aluminum cylinder (slightly less than 0.50 inch diameter) with a tapered hole in one end and an 8-32 tapped hole in the other is cemented into each hole in the plate with materials and techniques certified for 400°F and 10⁻⁶ torr environment. The cylinder is thereby thermally connected to but electrically isolated from the aluminum plate. The thermocouple alloy wires are held into the tapered hole by means of a tapered filled-teflon plug so that electrical contact is made between the wire and the inside of the tapered hole. Copper lead wire is connected by means of an 8-32 screw at the other end of the plug. The fact that the thermoelectric circuit includes part of the aluminum cylinder (between the alloy wire and copper lead) does not cause an error if the aluminum cylinder is isothermal. The entire assembly is designed to achieve this condition. Specifications on the commercially available reference junction system for which this unit was designed indicate a temperature uniformity across all 104 holes of within 0.25°F.
It is necessary to measure the absolute temperature of each of the 4 reference junction blocks by forming a thermocouple (preferably under one of the spare plugs) and running the leads (of the same material) to a controlled temperature reference junction (ice bath for example) at any convenient location. Care should be taken to insure that these thermocouples are installed and used correctly since they determine the absolute level of the reference junction blocks and therefore affect the reading of each thermocouple associated with that block.

The plugs used to hold the thermocouple alloy wires into the tapered holes in the aluminum cylinders presented a problem. Sample plugs were machined from teflon and found to lose most of their retention capability when cycled between room temperature and 400°F. The thermal expansion coefficient of pure teflon is about four times that of aluminum. Therefore, as the temperature increased, the teflon expanded faster than the aluminum and as the stresses built up the teflon took a set in diameter. As temperature decreased, the teflon shrank more than the aluminum, thereby, not returning completely to its original interference condition. To overcome this potential problem the plugs were actually made of a filled teflon material (FLOUROSINT R by POLYPENCO) which has a thermal expansion coefficient which is considerably less than teflon and much closer to that of aluminum. As compared with pure teflon the filled teflon also has significantly better physical properties, particularly in its resistance to creep.

Each reference junction block was located in an enclosure as shown in Figure 108 to enhance its capability for maintaining isothermal conditions. Each assembly was then mounted around the perimeter of the heat receiver at locations specified by NASA so that the thermocouples could be routed by the shortest path and permanently connected to a reference channel. Field connections can be made at the rear face of the reference block without disturbing the thermocouple connections.

7.3 THERMOCOUPLE CONFIGURATION AND INSTALLATION

The specific configuration of the 161 W3%Re--W25%Re thermocouples
Figure 108. Thermocouple Junction Box Solar Heat Receiver. (P69-12-11D)
used on refractory surfaces consisted of 0.005 inch diameter alloy wires strung through double bore alumina insulators nominally 0.062 inch O.D. At termination or connection points, single hole insulators were used. The insulators were a high purity (99.7% min.) impervious type. Routing around corners was accomplished by breaking the insulators into a series of short lengths at the vicinity of the bend so that the angular deflection between two adjacent insulator lengths was relatively small. This type of thermocouple has been successfully used within GE-NSP for systems located in ion-pumped vacuum chambers operating at pressure levels down to 10^-11 torr. Details and installation procedures are covered in Specifications 03-0019-00-A and 03-0075-00-A. Junctions for surface temperature thermocouples were formed by resistance welding the individual alloy wires to the surface at the point to be measured after firmly supporting the thermocouple insulator assembly to minimize the stresses induced at the junction by the leads. The surface thus becomes a part of the junction. It can be shown that there is no error introduced by the use of the surface material in series with the thermocouple circuit if the surface area between the alloy wires is isothermal.

The 29 chromel-alumel thermocouples for surface temperatures on stainless steel components were made, installed, routed, and terminated using the same techniques described previously for tungsten-rhenium except that the bare alloy wire diameter was 0.010 inch (instead of 0.005 inch). The junction box configuration permitted use of any type of thermocouple alloy in a wide range of wire sizes.

The instrumentation application, routing and related requirements for the heat receiver were accomplished as delineated in Figure 10. It should be noted that the bulk of the thermocouples were located on six equally spaced gas tubes. Since it was necessary to bring all thermocouple leads out along the inlet manifold (where the terminal junction boxes were mounted) a significant amount of tube surface blockage could have been present at the inlet end of the tube. In order to avoid this and the possible change in the performance of the instrumented tube resulting from it, only eight of the total thermocouples located on a given tube were routed along the outward facing section of that
particular tube. Other thermocouples (from areas farther removed from the inlet end) were routed along the outward facing sections of adjacent tubes. Figures 102 and 109 show details of gas system thermocouple routing and terminations. The upper right view in Figure 102 shows the typical expansion loops provided in the longer leads to minimize the effects of differential thermal expansion between the thermocouple assemblies, the gas tubes and other members. Typical routing of leads at the inlet manifold can be seen in the lower left view of Figure 105.

Well thermocouples for the inlet and outlet ducts were made from bare chromel and alumel wires strung through a single six hole alumina insulator. All six wires were welded together to form a common hot junction for three thermocouples. This assembly was installed in a spring loaded adapter and fixed at the cold end of the insulator tube by means of a compression fitting (similar to flareless type tube fitting). This enables the fitting to spring load the common hot junction against the bottom of the well. This assembly was designed to provide relatively simple replacement with little or no compromise in accuracy in comparison with a welded-in configuration.

7.4 PRESSURE TAPS

A static pressure tap was provided in each manifold duct to permit instrumentation of heat receiver inlet and exit pressures. Specifically the taps are located in the stainless steel portion of each bimetallic joint. In each case the pressure tap is located upstream of the thermocouple well. Both wells and taps can be seen in Figure 110. A similar view of this detail can be seen in Figure 107 after installation of the thermocouple.
Figure 109. Gas System Instrumentation and Insulation.
Figure 110. Gas System Showing Duct Instrumentation Features Solar Heat Receiver. (P69-12-11W)
8.0 Quality Control
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8.0 QUALITY CONTROL

The Quality Control activities on the Brayton Cycle Solar Heat Receiver began with preliminary design and continued in all phases of procurement, manufacturing and assembly. At the outset of the program a Quality Control Manual, GESP-23, was prepared by NSP and approved by NASA. The manual described the manner in which NSP would operate to assure quality and reliability throughout the program. Its contents provided detailed procedures in the following areas:

1. Design Review

A design review team was established consisting of representatives from:

   NSP - Program Manager
   NSP - Quality & Reliability Engineering
   NSP - Systems Material Technology
   NSP - Manufacturing Engineering
   NSP - Design Engineering
   NSP - Drafting
   NASA - (Two formal design reviews for end product drawings of the receiver)

2. Drawing and Specification Control

   After approval by the design review team, drawings and specifications were issued and controlled through existing NSP procedures. Drawings were prepared in accordance with MIL-D-1000, Category E, Form 3; all characteristics were classified in one of three categories (1) critical, (2) major, or (3) minor. Parts lists were provided on the drawings.

3. Planning

   Fabrication, assembly, special processing and inspection plans were written in advance of performing various operations. Planning sheets were detailed commensurate with the complexity of the parts being manufactured and inspected.
4. **Purchasing and NSP Manufacturing**

All items were reviewed for "Make or Buy" decision in accordance with NSP procedures prior to placement of orders in the NSP shop or outside vendors. All orders were processed through Quality Control for quality requirements and reviewed and approved by the Program Manager.

5. **Vendor and Process Control**

Vendors were required by Purchase Order to report dimensional and material characteristics. Special processes, such as zyglo and heat treating, were approved by NSP prior to their use. Field inspection was performed to assure compliance.

6. **Inspection**

All components manufactured by outside vendors were processed through receiving inspection or inspected by GE at the source. Components manufactured by NSP underwent in-process and final inspection and records were maintained throughout the program.

7. **Material Traceability and Control**

Chemical and physical properties and/or certifications were required on all materials. Material control numbers were assigned to all refractory alloys to permit traceability of material processed.

8. **Discrepancy Review Board (D.R.B.)**

A Discrepancy Review Board was established consisting of representatives from:

- NSP - Quality and Reliability
- NSP - Engineering
- NASA - (critical and major characteristics)

Copies of D.R.B. actions forwarded to NASA following disposition. NASA's approval was obtained for discrepancies on critical or major characteristics and for discrepancies requiring repairs beyond the drawing limits.

9. **Calibration**

Outside vendors as well as the NSP shop were required to maintain a suitable system for calibrating measuring instruments used for determining product acceptability.
10. **Failure Reporting and Analysis**

Discrepancies and process and equipment malfunctions were documented and analyzed to determine disposition and possibility of failure recurrence. Significant defects and non-conformities were summarized and corrective actions were submitted to NASA on a monthly basis.

11. **Functional Inspection Testing**

Electrical Components and moving parts were functionally tested to assure operational design features were achieved.

12. **Equipment Log**

At the conclusion of the program, an Equipment Log was compiled from records maintained throughout the program and submitted to NASA. The Log contents included:

1. Drawing List
2. Flow Chart
3. Serial Number Identification
4. Certification
5. Manufacturing Instructions
6. Quality Control Instructions, Inspection Results and Material Review Reports
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APPENDIX A

DESIGN CRITERIA FOR LOW CYCLE FATIGUE OF Cb-1Zr
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INTRODUCTION

The rationale upon which the following fatigue criteria are based, is outlined by Coffin and Langer in a number of papers. The work of Manson, though resulting in a somewhat different formulation, is nevertheless based on essentially the same rationale. An excellent discussion of many aspects of low cycle fatigue is presented in the book by Manson.* Criteria are first developed here for low temperatures, at which creep or rate effects are not significant. The extension of the low temperature results into the elevated temperature regime, in which deformations are time dependent, is then discussed. It must be kept in mind throughout this development, that all formulas are empirical in nature, and can only be truly verified by comparison with sufficient fatigue test data in the form of $\sigma_a - N_f$ curves.

LOW TEMPERATURE CRITERIA

At low temperatures, the imposition of cyclic straining results, after a finite number of cycles, in a hysteresis loop as given in Figure A1. The total strain range per cycle is split up into an elastic part and a plastic part, as shown. A relation is postulated between the plastic strain range $\Delta \varepsilon_p$, and the number of cycles to failure $N_f$, such that

$$N_f^{1/2} \Delta \varepsilon_p = C \quad (1)$$

where $C$ is constant. Equating the plastic strain at one quarter cycle to the monotonic tensile fracture ductility $\varepsilon_f$, it is found that $C = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_f$, and

$$N_f^{1/2} \Delta \varepsilon_p = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_f \quad (2)$$

The fracture ductility is defined in terms of the natural strain at fracture as

\[ \varepsilon_f = \ln \frac{100}{100 - RA} \quad (3) \]

where RA is the percent reduction in area; i.e., \( RA = 100 \frac{A - A_0}{A_0} \), where \( A_0 \) is the original cross-sectional area of the tensile specimen, and \( A \) is the area at fracture.

For design purposes, it is not practical to state criteria in terms of quantities such as plastic strain. Normally, designs are based on elastic methods of analysis. The results of elastic analyses usually provide fairly reliable estimates of deformations, but result in stresses which may be much too conservative. It is therefore desirable to use strain, rather than stress, as the significant variable.

Referring again to Figure A1, the stress amplitude \( \sigma_a \) is defined as the elastically computed amplitude of stress evaluated from one-half the total strain range; i.e.,

\[ \sigma_a = \frac{1}{2} E \Delta \varepsilon \quad (4) \]

where \( E \) is the modulus of elasticity. In other words, \( \sigma_a \) is the amplitude (one-half the range) of the cyclic stress computed elastically. Dividing the total strain range

\[ \Delta \varepsilon = \Delta \varepsilon_e + \Delta \varepsilon_p \quad (5) \]

where

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_e = \frac{2 \Delta S}{E} \quad (6) \]

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_p = \frac{C}{N_f^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{2 N_f^{1/2}} \ln \frac{100}{100 - RA} \quad (7) \]

Substituting Equations (5), (6), and (7) into Equation (4),

\[ \sigma_a = \frac{E}{4 N_f^{1/2}} \ln \frac{100}{100 - RA} + \Delta S \quad (8) \]
Figure Al. Hysteresis Loop of a Strain Cycle.
Though experimental data indicate that $\Delta S$ depends on the number of cycles, an approximation may be made by replacing $\Delta S$ by the endurance limit $\sigma_e$, which is the fatigue strength for a very large number ($10^7$) of cycles. We then have the following:

$$\sigma_a = \frac{E}{4N_f^{1/2}} \ln \frac{100}{100-RA} + \sigma_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

This approximation introduces a small error in the low cycle region ($10^2$-$10^3$ cycles). Manson has avoided use of this approximation by proposing a more general equation for the total strain range:

$$\Delta \varepsilon = MN_f^z + \frac{G}{E} N_f^v$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

It is felt at this time, however, that the present lack of fatigue data does not warrant a formulation more complex than that of Equation (9).

Equation (9) relates the elastically computed stress amplitude $\sigma_a$, to the number of cycles to failure $N_f$. $E$ is a known material property, while RA and $\sigma_e$ are to be evaluated by constructing a "best fit" curve from the available experimental data by using, for example, a least squares method. These curves are usually plotted on the log-log scale.

If no fatigue data are available, RA and $\sigma_e$ must be estimated in some manner. For Cb-1Zr, data are available for percent elongation, rather than reduction of area, as a function of temperature. These data require, therefore, some adjustment to obtain the reduction in area. With $l$ the length of the tensile specimen at fracture, and $l_0$ the original length, the percent elongation, denoted by EL, is given by:

$$EL = 100 \frac{l-l_0}{l_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

For our purposes, the material is idealized to be incompressible. Thus,

$$A\varepsilon = A\varepsilon_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

It can then easily be shown that

$$RA = \frac{100\ EL}{100 + EL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
Substituting Equation (13) into Equation (3), the fracture ductility in terms of elongation at fracture is found to be:

\[ \varepsilon_f = \ln \left( 1 + \frac{EL}{100} \right) \]  

The endurance limit \( \sigma_e \) is estimated as one-half the ultimate tensile strength \( \sigma_u \), i.e., \( \sigma_e = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_u \).

Based on properties data at 1800°F (\( E = 14 \times 10^6 \) psi; \( \varepsilon_f = .1133; \sigma_e = 13 \) ksi), the fatigue curve is plotted in Figure A2. In conjunction with this curve, the curves based on safety factors of \( \frac{1}{20} N_f \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_a \) are also shown. The lower of these curves in any particular region is used as the design curve. It must be kept in mind that, at this point, no account has been taken of the effects of (1), stress oscillating about a non-zero mean value, and (2), creep deformations at elevated temperature.

**EFFECT OF MEAN STRESS**

The reduction in allowable stress amplitude at a given number of cycles to failure, due to mean stress, is computed by constructing a modified Goodman diagram alongside the \( \sigma_a - N_f \) plot, as shown in Figure A3. The coordinates of such a diagram are stress amplitude and mean stress. The reduced allowable stress amplitude \( \sigma'_a \) is found by determining, from the \( \sigma_a - N_f \) curve, the stress amplitude \( \sigma_a \), for zero mean stress at a given life \( N_f \). A straight line is then drawn connecting \( \sigma_a \) on the vertical axis to a stress level on the horizontal axis corresponding to the allowable stress level in the absence of an alternating stress. For our purposes, this is taken as the ultimate tensile strength \( \sigma_u \), which is 26,000 psi. The straight line shown in Figure A3 is then defined as the focus of combinations of mean stress \( \sigma_{M'} \) and stress amplitude \( \sigma'_a \), which will yield a life \( N_f \). \( \sigma'_a \) is then found to be given by:

\[ \sigma'_a = \sigma_a \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_{M'}}{\sigma_u} \right) \]  

For the case presently being considered, the stress oscillates between zero and a maximum given by twice the stress amplitude. The mean stress,
Figure A2. Fatigue Curves for Cb-12r Without Creep Effects.

T = 1800°F
E = 14 x 10^6 psi
σ_u = 26,000 psi
EL = 12
σ_e = 13,000 psi
c_f = 0.1133
Figure A3. Modified Goodman Diagram.
therefore, is half the maximum, or equal to the allowable stress amplitude.* Hence,

\[ \sigma_M = \sigma_a \]  

(16)

The reduction in lower bound stress amplitude due to mean stress, is plotted in Figure A2.

**DESIGN AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES**

Attention is now directed to a consideration of the effect on stress amplitude of creep deformations occurring at elevated temperatures and low frequencies. Creep deformations affect only the plastic part of the total strain range. For low temperature fatigue, the plastic strain is given by

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_p = \frac{\varepsilon_f}{2 N_f^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]  

(17)

For elevated temperatures this expression is generalized by either

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_p = \frac{\varepsilon_f}{2 N_f^k} \]  

(18)

where \( k \) is a temperature and rate dependent exponent greater than \( \frac{1}{2} \), or

\[ \Delta \varepsilon_p = \frac{\varepsilon_f^*}{2 N_f^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]  

(19)

where \( \varepsilon_f^* \) is a reduced fracture ductility, also dependent on both temperature and time. A determination of \( k \) in Equation (18) can only be made by plotting fatigue test data. The reduced fracture ductility \( \varepsilon_f^* \), on the other hand, may be estimated from the reduction in area at fracture of monotonic tensile test specimens at various temperatures and strain rates. Coffin has shown that there is good correlation between ductility determined from monotonic tensile tests and ductility determined from cycle behavior, for molybdenum steel. It is therefore proposed that a series of monotonic tensile tests at various temperature and especially at various strain rates be carried out, and the results implemented in the

*This is conservative, since no yielding is assumed. As the stress amplitude increases and yielding occurs, the mean stress is decreased below this level. When the stress amplitude is equal to the yield stress, the mean stress is zero.
fatigue analysis by use of the reduced ductility. This appears to be a convenient means of avoiding a large series of cyclic tests at various frequencies and temperatures.

The reduced tensile fracture ductility is plotted against a parameter $P_1 = T (\beta_1 - \log \dot{\varepsilon})$. This parameter, proposed by Coffin, implies an equivalency between temperature and strain rate; i.e., they are combined into a single parameter. The fracture ductility curve may then be applied to the cyclic loading case by interpreting $\dot{\varepsilon}$ as the plastic strain rate determined by dividing the plastic strain range by one-half the average period. As an alternative, Coffin also plotted fracture ductility against the parameter $P_2 = T (\beta_2 + \log t)$, which is commonly used for plotting creep strength data. For Cb-1Zr, $\beta_2 = 15$ when $t$ is measured in hours.

Unfortunately, no test data presently exists for Cb-1Zr which could be used to estimate the strain rate dependent stress amplitude. However, from the data that does exist for elongation vs. temperature, it appears that the variation of ductility with $P_1$ may not be significant. To provide some quantitative assessment of rate effects at elevated temperatures, let us consider, as an example, the case in which low cyclic frequency results in fracture ductility of one-half that obtained from the existing monotonic tensile elongation data. This is equivalent to saying that the stress amplitude in excess of the endurance limit (i.e., that part of the stress amplitude due to plastic strain) is reduced by one-half. This results in the set of theoretical fatigue curves plotted in Figure A4.

Use of this arbitrarily assumed reduction in fracture ductility at elevated temperatures yields reductions in stress amplitude (including the effect of a non-zero mean stress) in the neighborhood of 10-20%.

In conclusion, it cannot be overemphasized that the present formulation of design criteria for low cycle fatigue of Cb-1Zr, can be satisfactorily verified only upon correlation with sufficient fatigue test data.
Figure A4. Fatigue Curves for Cb-1Zr Including Creep Effects.
APPENDIX B

DOOR ACTUATOR MAJOR COMPONENTS
DOOR ACTUATOR MAJOR COMPONENTS

General Description

The door actuator is designed to operate the heat receiver doors. The major components are described below and a summary of their temperature limitations are shown in Table B1.

Linear Actuator

The linear actuator is manufactured by Plessey Airborne Corp., Model designation: L 10-27-10. It is designed to meet requirements of MIL-A-8064-A. The power source is a permanent magnet reversible dc motor which meets the requirements of MIL-M-8609B.

Output shaft speed with the normal operating load of 150 lbs is 4.6 in/min. The maximum operating load is 300 lbs at 26 volts. The limiting static load is 500 lbs in tension or compression. Lubrication is per MIL-L-6880 for an estimated life of 10,000 cycles at the rated load and continuous duty cycle. The operating voltage range is 18 to 30 volts dc.

The linear actuator has two internal limit switches which may be adjusted to set the length of the stroke. The stroke was set within the limits of 1.490 to 1.560 inches. The extension or retraction of the actuator shaft can be controlled by a double pole, 3 position switch.
### TABLE B1

ACTUATOR COMPONENT TEMPERATURE LIMITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Temperature Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear actuator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. casing temperature</td>
<td>200°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated ambient temperatures</td>
<td>-65 to 160°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentiometer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated ambient temperature</td>
<td>-67 to 302°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon wire</td>
<td>-85 to 392°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymide bearings</td>
<td>600°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors, external</td>
<td>800°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolant temperature (exiting)</td>
<td>160°F Maximum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potentiometer

The position feedback potentiometer is a linear motion, infinite resolution, linear output device with the following specifications:

- **Source**: Computer Instruments Corp., Model 116
- **Terminal resistance**: 5000 ohms ± 10%
- **Electrical stroke**: 2.000 ± .005 inch
- **Independent linearity**: ± 0.5% with 100 kohm load
- **Temperature range**: -55°C to 150°C
- **Dielectric strength**: 500 VRMS
- **Maximum wiper current**: 10 ma
- **Life**: 1 million cycles

The purpose of the potentiometer is to sense the actuator shaft position and thus the door position. In addition to the indication function, the potentiometer signal can be used to control the linear actuator.

Double Bellows

The double bellows assembly seals the actuator output shaft and is arranged so that internal pressure of the door actuator is applied externally to the bellows. This arrangement achieves the largest possible differential pressure rating.

The bellows have a design life of 100,000 cycles in a 2.00-inch total stroke with a 30 psi pressure differential (external > internal) at 700°F. The nominal combined spring rate of the two bellows is 42 lb/in.

The hydroformed bellows capsule material is Inconel 718 which was selected to meet design life requirements. The end terminals are
Inconel 600, selected because of welding compatibility. All double bellows assemblies were X-rayed to assure clearance between moving parts.

**Overtravel Device**

The output shaft of the door actuator is in series with the three Belleville springs which are preloaded so that they will not deflect until the compressive load in the shaft is 92 lbs. In the normal working condition, i.e., when the actuator internal pressure is 21.6 psia, vacuum is external to the actuator and the door is in the closed position, the linkage and the shaft will have 140 lbs compressive load. The Belleville springs will be compressed .033 inches from free length and will be capable of compressing an additional .033 inches. Figure B1 is a force-deflection plot showing these relationships. The additional compression capability allows the door and frame to have considerable thermal motion before the actuator door system solidly bottoms out.

**Thermocouples**

The thermocouples are chromel/alumel type, 16 gauge standard wire with fiberglass insulation rated for a maximum ambient temperature of 950°F. Thermocouples are attached to the linear actuator motor housing. As stated earlier, the maximum motor casing temperature allowed is 200°F.

**Feedthroughs**

The feedthrough connectors are manufactured by Physical Sciences Corp. of Arcadia, California. These hermetic connectors are weld mounted and rated vacuum tight to $2 \times 10^{-8}$ cc/sec of helium, and operational for continuous service to 800°F. Internal to the door actuator, the six-pin connector has three wires connected to a
Shaft Deflection Available
Before Door Solidly
Bottoms on the Frame
33 Mils

Shaft Normal Working
Range - 13 Mils

92 lb
Preload

Belleville
Springs are Fully
Compressed
at This Load

Greatest Working
Load

National Disc Spring - Al-753831
Load vs. Deflection, Three Springs in Series.

Figure Bl. Door Actuator Overtravel Capability.
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potentiometer and three wires to an actuator. External to the door actuator, the six-pin connector mates with a Physical Science Corp. T106-10-6S-F1 straight plug.
APPENDIX C

WELD QUALIFICATION RESULTS AND DOCUMENTATION
Page intentionally left blank
The qualification results are given in this Appendix for each weld item number listed in Table IX (See Page 112). Each unique joint configuration, welding parameters and test results are listed on the qualification form. In addition, weld process control records and applicable photomicrographs are presented.
WELDER PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION TESTS ON GROOVE WELDS
ITEM 1

Welder Name Homer Mann                                Badge No. 5874
Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver             Contract No. NAS 3-10944
Welding Process Manual TIG
Position (flat, horizontal, vertical, overhead) Horizontal
Welding Specification No. 03-0025-00-A
Material - Specification or MCN (1) Cb-lZr Alloy Material Supplied by ORNL
Filler Material - Specification or MCN Cb-lZr alloy - Spec. No. 01-0055-00-A
Diameter and Wall Thickness (if tube) or Joint Thickness 0.040-inch sheet
Filler Material - Diameter 0.062-inch
Fixture Description or Drawing No. Butt Weld Clamping Fixture
Weld Process Control Record No. (Exhibit I) Attach: 129
Radiographic Test Report (Form SP 1164) Attach: Acceptable

Bend Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bend No.</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Conducted by D. R. Caldwell
per Spec. No. 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date April 21, 1969 Signed William P. Young

(1) MCN Material Control Number
WELDER PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION TESTS ON GROOVE WELDS
ITEM 1

Welder Name: Carl Woodruff
Badge No.: 5873
Program Name: Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver
Contract No.: NAS 3-10944
Welding Process: Manual TIG
Position (flat, horizontal, vertical, overhead): Horizontal
Welding Specification No.: 03-0025-00-A
Material - Specification or MCN: (1) Ch-I Zr Alloy Material Supplied by ORNL
Filler Material - Specification or MCN: Ch-I Zr Alloy - Spec. No. 01-0055-00-A
Diameter and Wall Thickness (or tube) or Joint Thickness: 0.040-inch sheet
Filler Material - Diameter: 0.062-inch
Fixture Description or Drawing No.: Butt Weld Clamping Fixture
Weld Process Control Record No. (Exhibit I) Attach: 129
Radiographic Test Report (Form SP 1164) Attach: Acceptable

Bend Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bend No.</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Conducted by: D. R. Caldwell
per Spec. No.: 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date: April 27, 1967
Signed: [Signature]

(1) MCN Material Control Number
WELDER PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION TESTS ON GROOVE WELDS

ITEM 2

Welder Name: Homer Mann  
Badge No.: 5874

Program Name: Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver  
Contract No.: NAS 3-10944

Welding Process: Manual TIG

Position (flat, horizontal, vertical, overhead): Horizontal

Welding Specification No.: 03-0025-00-A

Material - Specification or MCN (1): Ch-1Zr Alloy Material Supplied by ORNL

Filler Material - Specification or MCN: Ch-1Zr Alloy - Spec. No. 01-0055-00-A

Diameter and Wall Thickness (if tube) or Joint Thickness: 0.250-inch plate

Filler Material - Diameter: 0.093-inch

Fixture Description or Drawing No.: Butt Weld Clamping Fixture

Weld Process Control Record No. (Exhibit I) Attach: 129

Radiographic Test Report (Form SP 1164) Attach: Acceptable

Bend Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bend No.</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Conducted by: D. R. Caldwell

per Spec. No. 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date: April 24, 1965  
Signed: W. C. Young

(1) MCN Material Control Number
WELDER PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION TESTS ON GROOVE WELDS
ITEM 2

Welder Name  Carl Woodruff  Badge No.  5873
Program Name  Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver  Contract No.  NAS 3-10944
Welding Process  Manual TIG
Position (flat, horizontal, vertical, overhead)  Horizontal
Welding Specification No.  03-0025-00-A
Material - Specification or MCN  (1) Cb-1Zr Alloy Material Supplied by ORNL.
Filler Material - Specification or MCN  Cb-1Zr Alloy - Spec. No. 01-0055-00-A
Diameter and Wall Thickness (if tube) or Joint Thickness  0.250-inch plate
Filler Material - Diameter  0.093-inch
Fixture Description or Drawing No.  Butt Weld Clamping Fixture
Weld Process Control Record No. (Exhibit I) Attach:  129
Radiographic Test Report (Form SP 1164) Attach:  Acceptable

Bend Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bend No.</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>105° bend - no cracks observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Conducted by  D. R. Caldwell
per  Spec. No. 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification  03-0025-00-A

Date  April 29, 1967  Signed  W. P. Young

(1) MCN Material Control Number
### PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

**DATE**
11-25-68

**CONTRACT NO**
NAS 3-10944

**PART NAME**
Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification

**DRAWING NUMBER**
47D173046 Items 9-10
Items 1 and 2

**SUBJECT**
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process-Specificat SPPS 03-0025-00-A

**DRAWING NUMBER**
47D173046 Items 9-10 Items 1 and 2

---

### A. WELDING CHAMBER

**Mfr.** VASCO  
**Model No.** 4-608

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Vacuum Gage</th>
<th>Mfr.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mfr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (2) Readout Instrument | Mfr. |    |    |    | Calibration Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) Chamber Vacuum</th>
<th>Torr Before Bakeout</th>
<th>Torr After Bakeout (Hot)</th>
<th>Torr After Bakeout (Cold) 6 x 10^-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(4) Leak Rate</th>
<th>Minutes:</th>
<th>Vacuum (10^-3 Torr)</th>
<th>Overall Microns/Hr. 1.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. INERT GAS:

**Type** Helium  
**Supplier** AEC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Inlet Analysis</th>
<th>H₂O 0.1 PPM</th>
<th>O₂ 0.9 PPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2) Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment</th>
<th>Mfr. Beckman O₂ Trace Analyzer</th>
<th>Model 80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer</td>
<td>Model 27901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| (3) Weld Chamber Analysis: Equipment: Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂O  H₂  O₂+Ar  N₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>10:48</td>
<td>0.4   -   2.7</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>0.6   -   3.1</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>12:35</td>
<td>1.5   -   4.2</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>2:05</td>
<td>5.8   -   8.2</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>3:08</td>
<td>9.5   -   4.0</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Stopped for Night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>4:14</td>
<td>10.8  -   3.5</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>9:40</td>
<td>0.3   -   3.3</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>11-26-68 Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>3.5   -   2.7</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>12:34</td>
<td>5.8   -   5.0</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Resumed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Welding Equipment</td>
<td>Mfr. Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model No. ESR-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serial No. N318048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Tungsten Electrodes</td>
<td>AWS-ASTM Class EWTi, B297-55T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size 0.093 - 0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Fixtures</td>
<td>Material in Contact with Parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Arc-Welding Torch</td>
<td>Type TEC Model 520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DC Straight-Polarity Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Welding Power</td>
<td>JOINT TYPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ARC VOLTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INPUT AMPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a Butt</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Butt</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Filler Wire</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a 0.062</td>
<td>01-0055-00-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b 0.093</td>
<td>01-0055-00-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Cleaning &amp; Handling</td>
<td>Verification of Cleaning &amp; Handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Removal of Parts from Chamber</td>
<td>Temperature Below 400°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Verification that “before &amp; after” weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.</td>
<td>BADGE NO. 5885  ( O.R.C. ) 4-10-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Visual inspection per SPPS 03–0025–00–A</td>
<td>BADGE NO. 5871  ( P.A.B. ) 11-25-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Radiographic Inspection:</td>
<td>BADGE NO. 5885  ( O.R.C. ) 12-13-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Equipment &amp; Process Qualification:</td>
<td>VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Qualification Date</td>
<td>BADGE NO. 5871  ( P.A.B. ) 11-24-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Last Weld to this Specification</td>
<td>BADGE NO. 5871  ( P.A.B. ) 11-24-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per Spec. No. 03-0025-00-A

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per except as noted in the remarks column.

### RADIOGRAPHIC TEST REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>(B) ASSEMBLY NAME &amp; DRAWING NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
<td>Personnel Qualification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) WELDING PROCESS CONTROL NO.</td>
<td>(D) LAB PERFORMING INSPECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>NSP Nondestructive Testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) PROGRAM NAME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(F) PERFORMING LAB NO.</th>
<th>(G) WELD NO.</th>
<th>(H) VIEW</th>
<th>(I) ORIG. REPAIR</th>
<th>(J) REMARKS (DISCREPANCY REPORT, ETC.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 040&quot;</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Welded by H. Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 040&quot;</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Welded by C. Woodruff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 0.250&quot;</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Welded by H. Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 0.250&quot;</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Welded by C. Woodruff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spec. No. 03-0025-00-A

W 12-1-68

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE  DATE

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE  DATE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding Procedure Qualification Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ITEM 3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Specification No.** 03-0025-00-A  
**Date** 1-14-69  
**Program Name** Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver  
**Contract No.** NAS 3-10944  
**Welding Process** Automatic TIG  
**Manual or Machine** Machine  
**Weld Joint** Butt  
**Material:** Spec or MCN  
Cb-1Zr Alloy Material  
**Supplied by ORNL**  
**Drawing No.** None  
**Thickness** 0.125-inch  
**Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter** Cb-1Zr Alloy MCN 16A-008, 0.45-inch diameter  
**Weld Procedure:**  
- Single or Multiple Pass: Single  
- Fixturing: Laboratory Butt Weld Clamping Fixture  
- Position of Groove: Horizontal  
- Joint Dimensions per: 03-0015-00-A  
- Welding Parameters:  
  - Amps: 270-275  
  - Volts: 20  
  - IPM: 9.63  
- Filler Metal Feed: 44 IPM  
**Welding Atmosphere**  
**Weld Process Control Record No.** 153  
(Exhibit I)  
**Inspection:**  
- Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164): Acceptable  
- Metallographic Examination: Result Acceptable  
  - Section Weld 1: MB 559, MB 560, MB 561  
  - Section Weld 2: MB 562, MB 563, MB 564  
- Welder's Name: J. North  
  - Badge No.: 2412  
- Test Conducted by: D. R. Caldwell  
  - Lab No.: 5885  
  - per 03-0025-00-A  
We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A  
**Date** April 24, 1969  
**Signed** W. F. Young  
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**PROCESS CONTROL RECORD**

**DATE**
1-14-69

**CONTRACT NO.**
NAS 3-10944

**SUBJECT**
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process-Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART NAME</th>
<th>DRAWING NUMBER</th>
<th>WELD NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification</td>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A. WELDING CHAMBER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Gage</td>
<td>NPC</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readout Instrument</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>0710G425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Vacuum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. INERT GAS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Analysis</td>
<td>He</td>
<td>AEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beckman O2 Trace Analyzer Model 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beckman Elect. Hygrometer Model 27901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANALYSIS (PPM)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>H2O</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>O2</th>
<th>N2</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>10:50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>2:45</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>4:00</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Welding Equipment</th>
<th>Mfr. Miller Model No. ESR-400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial No.</td>
<td>N318048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Tungsten Electrodes</td>
<td>AWS-ASTM Class EWTh-2, B297-55T Size 0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Fixtures</td>
<td>Material in Contact with Parts Molybdenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness Verification</td>
<td>p.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment Verification</td>
<td>p.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Arc-Welding Torch</td>
<td>Type TEC Model 520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation Verification</td>
<td>p.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Welding Power</td>
<td>DC Straight–Polarity Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOINT TYPE</td>
<td>ARC VOLTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Butt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>MCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.045&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Filler Wire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable Specification</td>
<td>03-0010-00-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification of Cleaning &amp; Handling</td>
<td>p.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s).</td>
<td>p-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Cleaning &amp; Handling</td>
<td>Temperature Below 400°F by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Removal of Parts from Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

<p>| (1) Verification that “before &amp; after” weld specimens are attached and this record is complete. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BADGE NO.</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5885</td>
<td>D.R.C.</td>
<td>4-10-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Visual inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
<td>INITIALS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>D.A.B.</td>
<td>1-14-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Radiographic Inspection:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
<td>INITIALS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5885</td>
<td>D.R.C.</td>
<td>2-20-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
<td>INITIALS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
<td>INITIALS</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>D.A.B.</td>
<td>1-13-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>D.A.B.</td>
<td>1-13-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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# Radiographic Test Report

**Project Name:** Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performing Lab No.</th>
<th>Weld No.</th>
<th>View</th>
<th>Orig. Repair</th>
<th>Remarks (Discrepancy Report, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per 03-0025-00-A.

[Signature] 1-27-69

Authorized Signature  Date

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per except as noted in the remarks column.

Authorized Signature  Date
Typical Microstructure of Automatic TIG Weld with Filler Metal of 0.125-Inch Thick Nb-1Zr Alloy Plate.

Figure C-1. Transverse Sections Showing Part of Weld and HAZ.

Etchant: $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4-\text{HF-H}_2\text{O}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Mag. 25x
WEIDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS
Item 5 (4 & 6)

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A Date 2-13-69

Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Contract No. NAS 3-10944


Weld Joint Butt

Material: Cb-lZr alloy material Cb-lZr alloy material
Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL. to Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL.

Drawing No. 47D173046 Gl to Drawing No. 47D173046 Gl

Thickness 0.125-inch to Thickness 0.125-inch

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter Cb-lZr alloy, MCN 16A-007-01, 0.062-inch

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass Multiple

Fixturing No fixture used - tacked.

Position of Groove Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters Amps Manual Volts Manual IPM ----

Filler Metal Feed Manual

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 167 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Acceptable
Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 771
Section Weld 2 MB 772
Section Weld 3 MB 772

Welder's Name H. Mann Badge No. 5874

Test Conducted by D. Caldwell Lab No. 5885 per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 6-13-69 Signed W. R. Perry
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**PROCESS CONTROL RECORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-13-69</td>
<td>Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBJECT**

**PART NAME**

- Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification

**DRAWING NUMBER**

- 47D173046

**WELD NUMBER**

- Items 2, 5 & 9

**A WELDING CHAMBER**

- Mfr. VASCO Vertical
  - Model No. 4-608
- Vacuum Gage
  - Mfr. NRC
  - Model No. 507
- He4 Pressure Instrument
  - Mfr. NRC
  - Model No. 0710C425
- Chamber Vacuum
  - Torr Before Bakeout
  - Torr After Bakeout (Hot)
  - Torr After Bakeout (Cold) 2.3 x 10^-4
- Leak Rate
  - Minutes: 1 2 3 4 5 6
  - Torr (10^-3 Torr): 12 .23 .40 .57 .73 .89
  - Overall Microns/Hr: 8.9

**B. INERT GAS:**

- Type Helium
- Supplier AEC
  - H2O: 5.5 PPM
  - O2: 1.4 PPM

**B1. Inlet Analysis**

- Mfr. Beckman O2 Trace Analyzer
  - Model 80

**B2. Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment**

- Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer
  - Model 27901

**B3. Weld Chamber Analysis:**

- Equipment: Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H2O H2 O2 N2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>0.23 10 0.68 5.2</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554-1</td>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>4.5 9.5 1.5 8.0</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS**
C EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

1. Welding Equipment
   Mfr. Miller
   Model No. ESR-400
   Serial No. N318048

2. Tungsten Electrodes
   AWS-ASTM Class EWTh, B297-55T
   Size 0.093-inch
   Material in Contact with Parts Molybdenum
   Cleanliness Verification P.A.B.
   Alignment Verification P.A.B.

3. Fixtures
   Type TEC Model 520
   Installation Verification P.A.B.

4. Arc-Welding Torch
   DC Straight-Polarity Verification P.A.B.

JOINT TYPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JOINT TYPE</th>
<th>ARC VOLTS</th>
<th>INPUT AMPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Welding Power

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>MCN</th>
<th>CLEANING DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>16A-007-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td>12-10-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Filler Wire
   Applicable Specification 03-0010-00-C
   Verification of Cleaning & Handling P.A.B.
   Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s). P-180

7. Cleaning & Handling
   Temperature Below 400°F P.A.B.
   by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded)

D QUALITY ASSURANCE:

1. Verification that "before & after" weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.
   BADGE NO. INITIALS DATE
   5871 P.A.B. 2-14-69

2. Visual Inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A
   BADGE NO. INITIALS DATE
   5871 P.A.B. 2-13-69

3. Radiographic Inspection:
   (a) A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.
   BADGE NO. INITIALS DATE
   5885 D.R.C. 5-15-69

4. Equipment & Process Qualification:
   Last Qualification Date
   Date of Last Weld to this Specification
   BADGE NO. INITIALS DATE
   5871 P.A.B. 2-12-69
   5871 P.A.B. 2-12-69
### Radiographic Test Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>(A) Contract No.</strong></th>
<th><strong>(B) Assembly Name &amp; Drawing No.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
<td>Weld Qualification Test Piece 47D173046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>(C) Welding Process Control No.</strong></th>
<th><strong>(D) Lab Performing Inspection</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>NSP Nondestructive Testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Program Name:** Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>(F) Performing Lab No.</strong></th>
<th><strong>(G) Weld No.</strong></th>
<th><strong>(H) View</strong></th>
<th><strong>(I) Orig. Repair</strong></th>
<th><strong>(J) Remarks (Discrepancy Report, etc.)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>6-inch diameter girth weld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>6-inch diameter girth weld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>6-inch diameter girth weld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>6-inch diameter girth weld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>6-inch diameter girth weld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig</td>
<td>6-inch diameter girth weld</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per 03-0025-00-A.

**Authorized Signature:** W. D. Leavitt  5-20-69  
**Date:** 5-20-69

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per except as noted in the remarks column.

**Authorized Signature:**  
**Date:**
Typical Microstructure of a Manual TIG Girth Weld on a 6-Inch Dia. x 0.125-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Manifold Section.

Figure C-2. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Part of Weld. (MB 771)
Etchant: H₂SO₄-HF-H₂O₂-H₂O
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS
Items 7 & 8

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A  Date 11-12-68

Program Name  Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver  Contract No. NAS 3-10944


Weld Joint  Butt  Cb-1Zr alloy material  Cb-1Zr alloy material

Material:  Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL.  to Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL.

Drawing No. 47D173046 P8  to Drawing No. 47D173046 P8

Thickness 0.125-inch  to Thickness 0.125-inch

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter  Cb-1Zr alloy, MCN 16A-007-01, 0.062-inch

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass  Multiple

Fixturing  No fixture used - tacked.

Position of Groove  Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per  03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters  Amps Manual  Volts Manual  IPM ----

Filler Metal Feed  Manual

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 124  (Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164)  Acceptable

Metallographic Examination  Result  Acceptable

Section Weld 1  MB 774
Section Weld 2  MB 775
Section Weld 3  MB 776

Welder's Name  H. Mann  Badge No. 5874

Test Conducted by  D. Caldwell  Lab No. 5885

per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 6-13-69  Signed  W.C. [Signature]
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### PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

**DATE**
11-12-68

**SUBJECT**
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

**CONTRACT NO.**
NAS 3-10944

**PART NAME**
Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRAWING NUMBER</th>
<th>WELD NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47D173046</td>
<td>Items 7 &amp; 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A. WELDING CHAMBER
- Mfr. VASCO Model No. 4-608
- Mfr. NRC Model No. 507
- Mfr. NRC Model No. 0710G425
- Casual No.
- Calibration Date

#### (3) Chamber Vacuum
- Torr Before Bakeout
- Torr After Bakeout (Hot)
- Torr After Bakeout (Cold) $2.6 \times 10^{-6}$

#### (4) Leak Rate
- Minutes: 1 2 3 4 5 6
- Vacuum $(10^{-3}) Torr$: 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07
- Overall Microns/Hr.: 0.7

#### B. INERT GAS:
- Type: Helium
- Supplier: ABC

#### (1) Inlet Analysis
- H$_2$O: 0.15 PPM
- O$_2$: 0.85 PPM

#### (2) Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment
- Mfr. Beckman O$_2$ Trace Analyzer Model 80
- Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer Model 27901

#### (3) Weld Chamber Analysis:
- Equipment: Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>H$_2$O 0.2, H$_2$ 1.2, N$_2$ 2.9</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Prior to Welding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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**C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Welding Equipment | Mfr. Miller  
Model No. ESR-400  
Serial No. N318048 |
| 2. Tungsten Electrodes | AWS-ASTM Class EWT-2, B297-55T  
Size 0.125-inch  
Material in Contact with Parts Molybdenum |
| 3. Fixtures | Cleanliness Verification P.A.B.  
Alignment Verification P.A.B. |
| 4. Arc-Welding Torch | Type TEC Model 520  
Installation Verification P.A.B. |
| 5. Welding Power | DC Straight-Polarity Verification P.A.B. |

**JOINT TYPE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joint Type</th>
<th>ARC VOLTS</th>
<th>INPUT AMPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a But</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b But</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SIZE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>MCN</th>
<th>CLEANING DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>16A-007-01</td>
<td>10-17-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wire</th>
<th>Applicable Specification</th>
<th>Verification of Cleaning &amp; Handling</th>
<th>P.A.B.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03-0010-00-C</td>
<td>Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s).</td>
<td>P-170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:**

1. Verification that "before & after" weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.
2. Visual Inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A
3. Radiographic Inspection:
   a. A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.
   b. If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here.

**VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BADGE NO.</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
<td>11-13-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
<td>11-12-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5885</td>
<td>D.R.C.</td>
<td>5-15-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Equipment & Process Qualification:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Qualification Date</th>
<th>Date of Last Weld to this Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**GENERAL ELECTRIC**  
**SPACE POWER & PROPULSION SECTION**  
**CINCINNATI, OHIO 45215**  

**RADIOGRAPHIC TEST REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>(B) ASSEMBLY NAME &amp; DRAWING NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
<td>Weld Qualification Test Piece 47D173046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(C) WELDING PROCESS CONTROL NO.</th>
<th>(D) LAB PERFORMING INSPECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>NSP Nondestructive Testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(E) PROGRAM NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(F) PERFORMING LAB NO.</th>
<th>(G) WELD NO.</th>
<th>(H) VIEW</th>
<th>(I) ORIG. REPAIR</th>
<th>(J) REMARKS (DISCREPANCY REPORT, ETC.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>47D173046 P8 Longitudinal Weld</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per 03-0025-00-A  

**Authorized Signature**  
**DATE**  

5-20-69

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per except as noted in the remarks column.
Typical Microstructure of a Manual TIG Seam Weld on a 3-Inch Dia. x 0.125-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Diffuser Tube.

Figure C-3. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Part of Weld. (MB 776)

Etchant: \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4-\text{HF-} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O} \)
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS

Item 9

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A Date 2-19-69

Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Contract No. NAS 3-10944


Weld Joint Fillet

Material: Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN Supplied by ORNL

Drawing No. 47B116898 Pl to Drawing No. 47D173046 Gl

Thickness 0.22" to Thickness 0.125"

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter 16A-035-01 0.093" Diameter

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass Multiple

Fixturing Tacked with Centering Fixture

Position of Groove Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters Amps 200 Volts 20 IPM Manual

Filler Metal Feed Manual

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 172 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable To These Welds

Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 1113
Section Weld 2 MB 1114
Section Weld 3 MB 1115

Welder's Name H. Mann Badge No. 5874
Test Conducted by D. Caldwell Lab No. 5885

per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 2-5-69 Signed
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Figure C-4. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Weld.
Etchant: $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4-\text{HF}-\text{H}_2\text{O}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A
Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver
Welding Process Manual TIG
Weld Joint Fillet
Material: Cb-12zr Alloy Material
Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN
Drawing No. 47B116898 P2 to Drawing No. 47D173046 Gl
Thickness 0.22" to Thickness 0.125"
Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter 16A-035-01 0.093" Diameter

Welding Procedure:
Single or Multiple Pass Multiple
Fixturing Tacked With Centering Fixture
Position of Groove Horizontal
Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A
Welding Parameters Amps 200 Volts 20 IPM Manual
Filler Metal Feed Manual

Welding Atmosphere
Weld Process Control Record No. 172 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:
Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable To These Welds.
Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable
Section Weld 1 MB 1116
Section Weld 2 MB 1117
Section Weld 3 MB 1118

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 11-26-69 Signed
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## PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART NAME</th>
<th>DRAWING NUMBER</th>
<th>WELD NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
<td>Items 9 and 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A WELDING CHAMBER
- **Mfr.** VASCO
  - **Type:** Vertical
  - **Model No.:** 4-608

#### (1) Vacuum Cage
- **Mfr.** NRC
  - **Model No.:** 507

#### (2) Readout Instrument
- **Mfr.** NRC
  - **Serial No.:**
  - **Calibration Date:**

#### (3) Chamber Vacuum
- **Torr Before Bakeout:**
- **Torr After Bakeout (Hot):**
- **Torr After Bakeout (Cold):** $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$

#### (4) Leak Rate
- **Minutes:** 1 2 3 4 5 6
- **Vacuum (10^-3 Torr):** 08 16 25 33 41 49
- **Overall Microns/Hr.:** 4.9

### B. INERT GAS:
- **Type:** Helium
- **Supplier:** AEC

#### (1) Inert Analysis
- **H₂O** 1.3 PPM

#### (2) Inert Gas Analysis Equipment
- **Mfr.** Beckman O₂ Trace Analyzer
  - **Model:** 80
- **Mfr.** Beckman Elect. Hygrometer
  - **Model:** 27901

### (3) Weld Chamber Analysis:
- **Equipment:** Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂O H₂ O₂ N₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>.32 9.7 .87 2.4</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>12.0 7.6 1.9 6.5</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>12:55</td>
<td>14.0  - 2.9 3.8</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>18.0  - 17 4.4</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serial No. M283288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12) Tungsten Electrodes</td>
<td>AWS-ASTM Class EWTH-2 Size 3/32&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13) Fixtures</td>
<td>Material in Contact with Parts Mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cleanliness Verification xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alignment Verification xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14) Arc-Welding Torch</td>
<td>Type TEC (Modified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Installation Verification xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DC Straight-Polarity Verification xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15) Welding Power</td>
<td>JOINT TYPE ARC VOLTS INPUT AMPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a Fillet 20 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIZE 0.093&quot; 16A-035-01 2-12-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16) Filler Wire</td>
<td>Application Specification 03-0010-00-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verification of Cleaning &amp; Handling xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s). P-175, P-180, P-188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17) Cleaning &amp; Handling</td>
<td>Temperature Below 400°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded) xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18) Removal of Parts from Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Verification that &quot;before &amp; after&quot; weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.</td>
<td>5871 PAB 2-20-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Visual Inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A</td>
<td>5871 PAB 2-19-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Radiographic Inspection:</td>
<td>Radiographic inspection not applicable to these welds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here....................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Equipment &amp; Process Qualification:</td>
<td>VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Qualification Date</td>
<td>BADGE NO. INITIALS DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Last Weld to this Specification</td>
<td>5871 PAB 2-13-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5871 PAB 2-18-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TYPICAL MICROSTRUCTURE OF A Cb-1Zr ALLOY BOSS TO OUTLET MANIFOLD MANUAL TIG FILLET WELD

Figure C-5. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Weld.
Etchant: \( \frac{H_2SO_4}{HF} - \frac{H_2O}{H_2O} \)
**WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS**

**Item 11**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification No.</th>
<th>03-0025-00-A</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>2-18-69</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
<td>Contract No.</td>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Process</td>
<td>Automatic TIG</td>
<td>Manual or Machine</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Joint</td>
<td>Socket</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Material:**
- Spec or MCN: NASA C-356336
to Spec or MCN: NASA C-356336
- Drawing No.: 47B116899 P1
to Drawing No.: 47D173046 P7
- Thickness: 0.025" wall
to Thickness: 0.025" wall

**Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter:** None Used

**Welding Procedure:**
- Single or Multiple Pass: Single
- Fixturing: Tacked - Manual. Rotated with Brad Thompson
- Ind. Rotary Fixture
- Position of Groove: Tube Rotation on Horizontal Axis
- Joint Dimensions per: 03-0015-00-A
- Welding Parameters:
  - Amps: 70
  - Volts: 17
  - RPM: 3
- Filler Metal Feed: None Used

**Welding Atmosphere**

**Weld Process Control Record No.:** 171
(Exhibit I)

**Inspection:**
- Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164): Acceptable
- Metallographic Examination:
  - Section Weld 1: MB 1119
  - Section Weld 2: MB 1120
  - Section Weld 3: MB 1121

**Welder's Name:** J. North
**Badge No.:** 2412
**Test Conducted by:** D. Caldwell
**Lab No.:** 5885

**We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A**
TYPICAL MICROSTRUCTURE OF A Cb-1Zr ALLOY INLET FERRULE TO 0.025-INCH WALL GAS TUBE AUTOMATIC TIG SOCKET WELD

Figure C-6. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Weld.

Etchant: $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4-\text{HF-}H_2O_2-H_2O$
**WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS**

**Item 12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification No.</th>
<th>03-0025-00-A</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>2-18-69</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
<td>Contract No.</td>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Process</td>
<td>Automatic TIG</td>
<td>Manual or Machine</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Joint</td>
<td>Socket</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material:</td>
<td>Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN NASA C-356336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing No.</td>
<td>47B116899 P2 to Drawing No. 47D173046 P6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>0.025&quot; Wall to Thickness 0.025&quot; Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal</td>
<td>Spec or MCN and Diameter None Used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Welding Procedure:**

- Single or Multiple Pass: Single
- Fixturing: Tacked - Manual, Rotated With Brad Thompson, Ind. Rotary Fixture
- Position of Groove: Tube Rotation on Horizontal Axis
- Joint Dimensions: per 03-0015-00-A
- Welding Parameters: Amps 70, Volts 17, RPM 5
- Filler Metal Feed: None Used

**Welding Atmosphere**

- Weld Process Control Record No.: 171 (Exhibit 1)

**Inspection:**

- Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164): Acceptable
- Metallographic Examination Result: Acceptable
  - Section Weld 1: MB 1122
  - Section Weld 2: MB 1123
  - Section Weld 3: MB 1124

**Welder's Name:** J. North
**Badge No.:** 2412

**Test Conducted by:** D. Caldwell
**Lab No.:** 5885
per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A.
### PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

**DATE**
2-18-69

**CONTRACT NO.**
NAS 3-10944

**SUBJECT**
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART NAME</th>
<th>DRAWING NUMBER</th>
<th>WELD NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Weld</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
<td>Item 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>47D173046</td>
<td>Item 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A. WELDING CHAMBER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Gauge</td>
<td>Mfr. NRC</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>4-608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readout Instrument</td>
<td>Mfr. NRC</td>
<td></td>
<td>0710G425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Vacuum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Torr Before Bakeout
- Vacuum (10⁻³ Torr): 0.06, 0.14, 0.21, 0.28, 0.36, 0.43
- Overall Microns/Hr. 4.3

#### Torr After Bakeout (Hot)

#### Torr After Bakeout (Cold)
9.5 x 10⁻⁶

### B. INERT GAS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>PPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Analysis</td>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment</td>
<td>Mfr. Beckman</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (3) Weld Chamber Analysis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂O H₂ O₂ N₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>9:45</td>
<td>0.1 13.5 .49 9.7</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>577</td>
<td>11:26</td>
<td>0.4 -- 2.3 4.9</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>578</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>1.8 7.8 3.5 6.7</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>1:45</td>
<td>2.3 8.5 3.7 4.6</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>2.6 8.0 5.5 9.9</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581</td>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>3.4 -- 5.4 10.1</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>582</td>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>4.3 -- 8.8 13</td>
<td>Welding Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

1. **Welding Equipment**
   - Manufacturer: Miller Electric Company
   - Model No.: ESR-400
   - Serial No.: N318048

2. **Tungsten Electrodes**
   - AWS-ASTM Class: EWTH-2
   - Size: 1/16"

3. **Fixtures**
   - Material in Contact with Parts: Mo
   - Cleanliness Verification: xx
   - Alignment Verification: xx

4. **Arc-Welding Torch**
   - Installation Verification: xx
   - Joint Type: DC Straight-Polarity Verification

5. **Welding Power**
   - Joint Type: Socket
   - ARC VOLTS: 17
   - INPUT AMPS: 70

6. **Filler Wire**
   - None Used

7. **Cleaning & Handling**
   - Verification of Cleaning & Handling: xx
   - Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s): P-175, P-180

8. **Removal of Parts from Chamber**
   - Temperature Below 400°F
   - by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded) xx

D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

1. **Verification that “before & after” weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.**
   - BADGE NO.: 5871
   - INITIALS: PAB
   - DATE: 2-21-69

2. **Visual inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A**
   - BADGE NO.: 5871
   - INITIALS: PAB
   - DATE: 2-18-69

3. **Radiographic Inspection:**
   - A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.
   - BADGE NO.: 5871
   - INITIALS: PAB
   - DATE: 2-21-69

   (b) If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here............

4. **Equipment & Process Qualification:**
   - Last Qualification Date
   - Date of Last Weld to this Specification
## Radiographic Test Report

**Contract No.:** NAS 3-10944  
**Assembly Name & Drawing No.:** Weld Qualification Test Piece 47D173046  
**Welding Process Control No.:** 171  
**Lab Performing Inspection:** NSP Nondestructive Testing  
**Program Name:** Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver

### Radio-Graphs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performing Lab No.</th>
<th>Weld No.</th>
<th>View</th>
<th>Orig./Repair</th>
<th>Remarks (Discrepancy Report, Etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 11 - Inlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 11 - Inlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 11 - Inlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 11 - Inlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 11 - Inlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 11 - Inlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 12 - Outlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 12 - Outlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 12 - Outlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 12 - Outlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 12 - Outlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 12 - Outlet ferrule to tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted except as noted in the remarks column.

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per 03-0025-00-A on 2/21/69.

---
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TYPICAL MICROSTRUCTURE OF A Cb-1Zr ALLOY OUTLET FERRULE TO 0.025-INCH WALL
GAS TUBE AUTOMATIC TIG SOCKET WELD

Figure C-7. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Weld.
Etchant: $H_2SO_4$-HF-$H_2O_2$-$H_2O$
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS

ITEM 13

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A

Date 12-21-68

Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver

Contract No. NAS 3-10944

Welding Process Automatic TIG

Manual or Machine Machine

Weld Joint Butt

Material: Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN NASA C-356336

Drawing No. 47B174876P1 to Drawing No. 47B116901P1

Thickness 0.025-inch wall to Thickness 0.025-inch wall

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter None Used.

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass Single

Fixturing Tacked - Manual, Rotated with Brad Thompson Ind. Rotary Fixture

Position of Groove Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters Amps 30 Volts 16-17 RPM 3 rpm

Filler Metal Feed None

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 141

(Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Acceptable

Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 571, MB 573

Section Weld 2 MB 575, MB 577

Section Weld 3 MB 579, MB 581

Weider's Name J. North Badge No. 2412

Test Conducted by D. R. Caldwell Lab No. 5885

per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date April 24, 1968 Signed
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PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

DATE
12-21-68

CONTRACT NO
NAS 3-10944

SUBJECT
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

PART NAME
Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification Items 11, 12, 13 and 14

DRAWING NUMBER

WELD NUMBER

A. WELDING CHAMBER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>MANUFACTURER</th>
<th>MODEL NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Vacuum Gage</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Readout Instrument</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>0710G425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Chamber Vacuum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Leak Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. INERT GAS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>CONCENTRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Analysis</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>0.1 PPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>0.9 PPM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) Weld Chamber Analysis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>8:53</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Prior to Weld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>10:03</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>12:04</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>1:18</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Weld Chamber</td>
<td>Analysis Stopped for Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Welding Equipment</th>
<th>Mfr. Miller</th>
<th>Model No. ESR-400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial No. N318048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2) Tungsten Electrodes</th>
<th>AWS-ASTM Class EWTh-2, B297-55T</th>
<th>Size 0.062</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) Fixtures</th>
<th>Cleanliness Verification</th>
<th>Alignment Verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material in Contact with Parts</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(4) Arc-Welding Torch</th>
<th>Type TEC Model 520</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(5) Welding Power</th>
<th>Joint Type</th>
<th>Arc Volts</th>
<th>Input Amps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>16-17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>16-17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(6) Filler Wire</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>McN</th>
<th>Cleaning Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a None Used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b None Used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(7) Cleaning &amp; Handling</th>
<th>Verification of Cleaning &amp; Handling</th>
<th>P.A.B.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(8) Removal of Parts from Chamber</th>
<th>Temperature Below 400°F by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded)</th>
<th>P.A.B.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Verification that &quot;before &amp; after&quot; weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2) Visual inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) Radiographic Inspection:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(4) Equipment &amp; Process Qualification:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last Qualification Date Date of Last Weld to this Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BADGE NO.</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
<td>12-20-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
<td>P.A.B.</td>
<td>12-20-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Radiographic Test Report

**General Electric**

**Space Power & Propulsion Section**

**Cincinnati, Ohio 45215**

- **(A) Contract No.:** NAS 3-10944
- **(B) Assembly Name & Drawing No.:** Weld Qualification Test Piece 47D173046
- **(C) Welding Process Control No.:** 141
- **(D) Lab Performing Inspection:** NSP Nondestructive Testing
- **(E) Program Name:** Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver

![Radiographic Test Report Table]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(F) Performing Lab No.</th>
<th>(G) Weld No.</th>
<th>(H) View</th>
<th>(I) Orig. Repair</th>
<th>(J) Remarks (Discrepancy Report, ETC.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>1.25-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>1.25-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>1.25-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>1.25-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>1.25-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>1.25-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>0.75-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>0.75-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>0.75-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>0.75-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>0.75-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>0.75-inch OD tube</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per NAS-0025-00-A

**Authorized Signature:**

**Date:** 1-3-69

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per except as noted in the remarks column.

**Authorized Signature:**

**Date:**

---
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Typical Microstructure of Automatic TIG Weld of 1.25-Inch OD x 0.025-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Tube to Reducer.

Figure C-8. Transverse Section Showing Part of Weld and HAZ of the Reducer.

Etchant: $H_2SO_4 - HF - H_2O - H_2O$  Mag. 100x
Typical Microstructure of Automatic TIG Weld of 0.75-Inch OD x 0.025-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Tube to Reducer.

Figure C-9. Transverse Section Showing HAZ and Parent Metal of Tube.
Etchant: $H_2SO_4$-HF-$H_2O_2$-$H_2O$  Mag. 100x
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS
ITEM 14

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A
Date 12-21-68

Program Name  Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver
Contract No. NAS 3-10944

Welding Process  Automatic TIG
Manual or Machine  Machine

Weld Joint  Butt

Material:  Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN NASA C-356336

Drawing No. 47R199365 P6 to Drawing No. 47B116901P1

Thickness 0.025-inch wall to Thickness 0.025-inch wall

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter  None Used

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass  Single

Fixturing  Tacked - Manual, Rotated with Brad Thompson Ind. Rotary Fixture

Position of Groove  Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters  Amps 30 Volts 16-17 IRM 5 rpm

Filler Metal Feed  None

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 141
(Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164)  Acceptable

Metallographic Examination  Result  Acceptable

Section Weld 1  MB 572, MB 574
Section Weld 2  MB 576, MB 578
Section Weld 3  MB 580, MB 582

Welder's Name  J. North  Badge No. 2412

Test Conducted by  D. R. Caldwell  Lab No. 5885

per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were
prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date  April 24, 1969  Signed
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WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS

Item 15

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A Date 6-3-69
Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Contract No. NAS 3-10944
Weld Joint Socket

Material: Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN NASA C-356336
Drawing No. 47B116899 Pl to Drawing No. 47B116898 Pl
Thickness 0.065" Wall to Thickness 0.065" Wall

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter None Used

Welding Procedure:
Single or Multiple Pass Single
Fixturing Self Aligning Joint

Position of Groove Horizontal
Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A
Welding Parameters Amps 90 Volts 18 IPM Manual
Filler Metal Feed None Used

Welding Atmosphere
Weld Process Control Record No. 252 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:
Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Acceptable
Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 1125
Section Weld 2 MB 1126
Section Weld 3 MB 1127

Welder's Name J. North Badge No. 2412
Test Conducted by D. Caldwell Lab No. 5885
per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 11-2-69 Signed W. A. Young
TYPICAL MICROSTRUCTURE OF A Cs-1Zr ALLOY GAS TUBE FERRULE TO MANIFOLD BOSS MANUAL TIG SOCKET WELD

Figure C-10. Transverse Section Showing HAZ and Weld.
Etchant: H₂SO₄-HF-H₂O₂-H₂O
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS
Item 16

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A Date 6-3-69

Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Contract No. NAS 3-10944


Weld Joint Socket

Material: Spec or MCN NASA C-356336 to Spec or MCN NASA C-356336

Drawing No. 47B116900 P1 to Drawing No. 47B116898 P2

Thickness 0.062" Wall to Thickness 0.062" Wall

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter None Used

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass Single

Fixturing Self Aligning Joint

Position of Groove Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters Amps 90 Volts 18 IPM Manual

Filler Metal Feed None Used

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 252 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:
Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Acceptable

Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 1128
Section Weld 2 MB 1129
Section Weld 3 MB 1130

Welder's Name J. North Badge No. 2412
Test Conducted by D. Caldwell Lab No. 5885

per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 6-3-69 Signed [Signature]
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### PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

**DATE**  
6-3-69

**CONTRACT NO.**  
NAS 3-10944

**SUBJECT**  
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

#### DRAWING NUMBER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART NAME</th>
<th>47D173046</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification</td>
<td>Items 15 and 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A. WELDING CHAMBER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Mfr.</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Gage</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redour Instrument</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>0710G425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Vacuum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torr Before Bakeout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torr After Bakeout (Hot)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torr After Bakeout (Cold)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum (10^-3 Torr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Microns/Hr.</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### B. INERT GAS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>NCG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>Airco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet Analysis</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>0.02</th>
<th>PPM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>PPM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (2) Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment

- Mfr. Beckman O₂ Trace Analyzer  
  Model 80
- Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer  
  Model 27901

#### (3) Weld Chamber Analysis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂O H₂ O₂ N₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1193</td>
<td>9:10</td>
<td>0.1 3.0 2.0 4.8</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Prior to Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1194</td>
<td>9:25</td>
<td>1.2 3.4 1.1 2.3</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197</td>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>1.2 9.7 1.1 2.7</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**NS 126**
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C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

(1) Welding Equipment
   Model No. 330 A/BP
   Serial No. M283288

(2) Tungsten Electrodes
   AWS-ASTM Class EWTH-2
   Size 1/16"
   Material in Contact with Parts Mo
   Cleanliness Verification xx
   Alignment Verification xx

(3) Fixtures
   Type TEC (Modified)
   Installation Verification xx

(4) Arc-Welding Torch
   DC Straight-Polarity Verification xx

(5) Welding Power
   Table: JOINT TYPE  ARC VOLTS  INPUT AMPS
   Socket  18  90
   b
   c
   d

(6) Filler Wire
   a None Used
   b
   Applicable Specification 03-0010-00-C

(7) Cleaning & Handling
   Verification of Cleaning & Handling xx
   Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s). P-175, P-180, P-188, P-192

(8) Removal of Parts from Chamber
   Temperature Below 400°F
   by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded) xx

D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

(1) Verification that "before & after" weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.
   BADGE NO.  INITIALS  DATE
   5871   PAB  6-5-69

(2) Visual inspection per
   SPPS 03-0025-00-A
   5871   PAB  6-3-69

(3) Radiographic Inspection:
   A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be
   prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray
   films and this Welding Process Control Record.
   5871   PAB  6-5-69

   (b) If repair was required, record new Weld Process Control Number here
   VERIFICATION OF QUALIFICATION
   BADGE NO.  INITIALS  DATE
   5871   PAB  5-27-69
   5871   PAB  5-29-69

(4) Equipment & Process Qualification:
   Last Qualification Date
   Date of Last Weld to this Specification
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### Radiographic Test Report

**General Electric**

**Space Power & Propulsion Section**

**Cincinnati, Ohio 45215**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) Contract No.</th>
<th>(B) Assembly Name &amp; Drawing No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
<td>Weld Qualification Test Piece 47D173046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(C) Welding Process Control No.</th>
<th>(D) Lab Performing Inspection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>NSP Nondestructive Testing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(E) Program Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(F) Performing Lab No.</th>
<th>(G) Weld No.</th>
<th>(H) View</th>
<th>(I) Orig. Repair</th>
<th>(J) Remarks (Discrepancy Report, Etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 15 - Inlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 15 - Inlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 15 - Inlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 15 - Inlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 15 - Inlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 15 - Inlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 16 - Outlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 16 - Outlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 16 - Outlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 16 - Outlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 16 - Outlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Orig.</td>
<td>Item 16 - Outlet ferrule to boss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per 03-0025-00-A

6-5-69

The above radiographs have been reviewed and accepted per except as noted in the remarks column.
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS
Item 17

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A Date 2-12-69

Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Contract No. NAS 3-10944


Weld Joint Fillet

Material: Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL. Cb-1Zr alloy material Cb-1Zr alloy material

Drawing No. 47D173046 Gl to Drawing No. 47D173046 P8

Thickness 0.125-inch to Thickness 0.125-inch

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter Cb-1Zr alloy, MCN 16A-007-01, 0.062-inch

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass Multiple

Fixturing No fixture used tacked.

Position of Groove Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters Amps Manual Volts Manual IPM ----

Filler Metal Feed Manual

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 165 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Not Applicable

Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 777
Section Weld 2 MB 778
Section Weld 3 MB 779

J. North H. Mann Badge No. 2412 5874

Welder's Name

Test Conducted by D. Caldwell Lab No. 5885 per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 6-13-69 Signed W. R. Young
### PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

**DATE**: 2-12-69

**SUBJECT**: Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

**CONTRACT NO.**: NAS 3-10944

**PART NAME**: Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification

**DRAWING NUMBER**: 47D173046

**WELD NUMBER**: Items 17 & 18

### A. WELDING CHAMBER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum Gage</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readout Instrument</td>
<td>NRC</td>
<td>0710G425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Vacuum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### (1) Vacuum Gage

- Torr Before Bakeout
- Torr After Bakeout (Hot)
- Torr After Bakeout (Cold)

#### (2) Readout Instrument

- Serial No.
- Calibration Date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torr After Bakeout (Hot)</th>
<th>Cold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torr</td>
<td>Torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. INERT GAS:

- **Type**: Helium
- **Supplier**: AEC

#### (1) Inlet Analysis

- **H₂O**: 5.5 PPM
- **O₂**: 2.2 PPM

#### (2) Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment

- Mfr. Beckman O₂ Trace Analyzer Model 80
- Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer Model 27901

### (3) Weld Chamber Analysis:

- **Equipment**: Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>10:50</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>2:25</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>4:35</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>5:40</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

1. Welding Equipment
   Mfg. Miller
   Model No. ESR-400
   Serial No. N318048

2. Tungsten Electrodes
   AWS-ASTM Class EWTb, B297-55T
   Size 0.093-inch
   Material in Contact with Parts Molybdenum
   Cleaning & Handling P.A.B.
   Alignment Verification P.A.B.

3. Arc-Welding Torch
   Type TEC Model 520
   Installation Verification P.A.B.
   DC Straight-Polarity Verification P.A.B.

5. Welding Power
   JOINT TYPE
   ARC VOLTS INPUT AMPS
   a Fillet Manual Manual
   b Fillet Manual Manual
   c d

5.1 Welding Power
   SIZE | MCN | CLEANING DATE
   a 0.062 16A-007-01 12-10-68
   b
   Applicable Specification 03-0010-00-C
   Verification of Cleaning & Handling P.A.B.
   Record Cleaning Process Control Record No(s). P-180
   Temperature Below 400°F
   by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded) P.A.B.

D QUALITY ASSURANCE:

1. Verification that "before & after" weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.
   BADGE NO. Initials Date
   5871 P.A.B. 2-13-69

2. Visual Inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A
   5871 P.A.B. 2-12-69

3. Radiographic Inspection
   Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable to These Welds.
   (a) A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be
       prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray
       films and this Welding Process Control Record.

4. Equipment & Process Qualification:
   Last Qualification Date
   Date of Last Weld to this Specification
   BADGE NO. Initials Date
   5871 P.A.B. 2-11-69
   5871 P.A.B. 2-11-69
Typical Microstructure of a Manual TIG Fillet Weld of a 3-Inch Dia. x 0.125-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Tube to a 6-Inch Dia. x 0.125-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Manifold.

Figure C-11. Transverse Section of Weld. (MB 779)
Etchant: \( H_2SO_4 - HF - H_2O_2 - H_2O \)
WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS
Item 18 (Flange to Tube)

Specification No. 03-0025-00-A Date 2-12-69

Program Name Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver Contract No. NAS 3-10944


Material: Spec or MCN Supplied by ORNL to Spec or MCN Supplied by ORNL

Cb-1Zr Alloy Material Cb-1Zr Alloy Material

Drawing No. 47D173046 P9 to Drawing No. 47B116945 P1

Thickness 0.250" to Thickness 0.125" Wall

Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter 16A-007-01 0.062" Diameter

Welding Procedure:

Single or Multiple Pass Multiple

Fixturing Self Aligning Joint

Position of Groove Horizontal

Joint Dimensions per 03-0015-00-A

Welding Parameters Amps 240 Volts 20 IPM Manual Filler Metal Feed Manual

Welding Atmosphere

Weld Process Control Record No. 165 (Exhibit I)

Inspection:

Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable to These Welds

Metallographic Examination Result Acceptable

Section Weld 1 MB 1131
Section Weld 2 MB 1132
Section Weld 3 MB 1133

Welder's Name H. Mann Badge No. 5874

Test Conducted by D. Caldwell Lab No. 5885

per 03-0025-00-A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 11-24-69 Signed W. F. Young
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PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

DATE: 2-12-69

SUBJECT: Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process—Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

PART NAME: Brayton Cycle Weld
DRAWING NUMBER: 47D 173046
WELD NUMBER: Items 17 & 18

A. WELDING CHAMBER
Mfr. VASCO Vertical Model No. 4-608

(1) Vacuum Gage Mfr. NRC Model No. 507
(2) Readout Instrument Mfr. NRC Model No. 0710G425
      Serial No.

(3) Chamber Vacuum Torr Before Bakeout
   Torr After Bakeout (Hot)
   Torr After Bakeout (Cold) \(7 \times 10^{-6}\)

(4) Leak Rate Minutes:
   Vacuum \((10^{-3}\text{ Torr})\)
   Overall Microns/Hr. \(1.5\)

B. INERT GAS:
Type Helium Supplier AEC

(1) Inlet Analysis \(H_2O\) 5.5 PPM \(O_2\) 2.2 PPM
(2) Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment Mfr. Beckman \(O_2\) Trace Analyzer Model 80
    Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer Model 27901

(3) Weld Chamber Analysis:
Equipment: Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>10:50</td>
<td>(H_2O) 0.11 (H_2) 11 (O_2) 0.62 (N_2) 4.4</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Gloves Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>(H_2O) 0.86 (H_2) 8.8 (O_2) 1.1 (N_2) 5.7</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>(H_2O) 2.95 (H_2) 8.7 (O_2) 0.73 (N_2) 9.6</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>2:25</td>
<td>(H_2O) 5.30 (H_2) 8.1 (O_2) 0.97 (N_2) 9.6</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>3:30</td>
<td>(H_2O) 7.00 (H_2) 7.5 (O_2) 1.3 (N_2) 9.2</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>4:35</td>
<td>(H_2O) 8.30 (H_2) 7.8 (O_2) 2.5 (N_2) 14</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>5:40</td>
<td>(H_2O) 9.20 (H_2) 7.3 (O_2) 2.4 (N_2) 17</td>
<td>Vertical Chamber</td>
<td>Welding Completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Welding Equipment</td>
<td>Mfr. Miller, Model No. ESR-400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tungsten Electrodes</td>
<td>AWS-ASTM Class E6TH-2, Size 3/32&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Fixtures</td>
<td>Material in Contact with Parts Mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Arc-Welding Torch</td>
<td>Type TEC (Modified)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- DC Straight-Polarity Verification |
- Joint Type |
- Joint Type |
- Joint Type |
- Joint Type |

5. Welding Power |
- Arc Volts Input Amps |
- Size MCN |
- Cleaning Date |
- Applicable Specification 03-0010-00-C |
- Verification of Cleaning & Handling xx |
- Temperature Below 400°F by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded) xx |

D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

1. Verification that "before & after" weld specimens are attached and this record is complete. |
   - BADGE NO. 5871, INITIALS PAB, DATE 2-13-69 |
2. Visual inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A |
   - BADGE NO. 5871, INITIALS PAB, DATE 2-12-69 |
3. Radiographic Inspection: |
   - A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record. Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable To These Welds |
4. Equipment & Process Qualification: |
   - Last Qualification Date |
   - Date of Last Weld to this Specification
TYPICAL MICROSTRUCTURE OF A Cb-1Zr ALLOY FLANGE TO SUPPORT
TUBE MANUAL TIG FILLET WELD

Figure C-12. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Weld.
Etchant: $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 - \text{HF - H}_2\text{O}_2 - \text{H}_2\text{O}$
**WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS**

**Item 18A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification No.</th>
<th>03-0025-00-A</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>2-12-69</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
<td>Contract No.</td>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Joint</td>
<td>Fillet</td>
<td>Cb-1Zr alloy material Cb-1Zr alloy material</td>
<td>Cb-1Zr alloy material Cb-1Zr alloy material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material:</td>
<td>Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL, to Spec or MCN supplied by ORNL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing No.</td>
<td>47D173046 Gl to 47B16945 Pl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>0.125-inch to 0.125-inch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal</td>
<td>Spec or MCN and Diameter Cb-1Zr alloy, MCN 16A-007-01, 0.062-inch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Procedure:</td>
<td>Single or Multiple Pass Multiple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixturing</td>
<td>No fixture used - tack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of Groove</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Dimensions</td>
<td>03-0015-00-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Parameters</td>
<td>Amps Manual Volts Manual IPM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal Feed</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Atmosphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Process Control Record No.</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exhibit I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection:</td>
<td>Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164) Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallographic Examination</td>
<td>Result Acceptable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Weld 1</td>
<td>MB 780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Weld 2</td>
<td>MB 781</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Weld 3</td>
<td>MB 782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. North</td>
<td>H. Mann</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder's Name</td>
<td>2412</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Conducted by</td>
<td>D. Caldwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per</td>
<td>D. Caldwell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab No.</td>
<td>5874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>6-13-69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signed</td>
<td>W.R. Young</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A.

Date 6-13-69 Signed W.R. Young
Typical Microstructure of a Manual TIG Fillet Weld of a 3-Inch Dia. x 0.125-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Support Tube to a 6-Inch Dia. x 0.125-Inch Wall Cb-1Zr Alloy Manifold

Figure C-13. Transverse Section of Weld. (MB 781)
Etchant: $H_2SO_4-HF-H_2O_2-H_2O$
**WELDING PROCEDURE QUALIFICATION TESTS**

**Item 19**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification No.</th>
<th>03-0025-00-A</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>5-27-69</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Brayton Cycle Heat Receiver</td>
<td>Contract No.</td>
<td>NAS 3-10944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Joint</td>
<td>Lap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material: Spec or MCN</td>
<td>Spec. 01-0052-00-C to Spec or MCN Supplied by ORNL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing No.</td>
<td>P47D174871</td>
<td>47D173046 G1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>0.125&quot;</td>
<td>0.125&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal - Spec or MCN and Diameter</td>
<td>None Used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Procedure:</td>
<td>Single or Multiple Pass</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixturing</td>
<td>Tacked - Manual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of Groove</td>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Dimensions per</td>
<td>03-0015-00-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal Feed</td>
<td>None Used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Atmosphere</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Process Control Record No.</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>(Exhibit I)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inspection:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiographic Test Report (SP 1164)</th>
<th>Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable To These Welds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metallographic Examination</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Weld 1</td>
<td>MB 1134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Weld 2</td>
<td>MB 1135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section Weld 3</td>
<td>MB 1136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welder's Name</th>
<th>H. Mann</th>
<th>Badge No.</th>
<th>5874</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Conducted by</td>
<td>D. Caldwell</td>
<td>Lab No.</td>
<td>5885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per</td>
<td>03-0025-00-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that all test welds were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with Specification 03-0025-00-A

Date 1/25/69

Signed
PROCESS CONTROL RECORD

DATE
5-27-69

SUBJECT
Welding of Columbium, Tantalum, and Their Alloys by the Inert Gas Tungsten Arc Process-Specification SPPS 03-0025-00-A

CONTRACT NO
NAS 3-10944

PART NAME
Brayton Cycle Weld Qualification

DRAWING NUMBER
47D 173046

WELD NUMBER
Item 19 - Support Ring

A. WELDING CHAMBER

(1) Vacuum Gage
Mfr. VASCO Vertical Model No. 4-608
Mfr. NRC
Model No. 507

(2) Readout Instrument
Mfr. NRC
Model No. 0710G425
Serial No.
Calibration Date

(3) Chamber Vacuum
Torr Before Bakeout
Torr After Bakeout (Hot)

(4) Leak Rate
Vacuum (10^-3 Torr) 03 06 08 10 13 15

B. INERT GAS:

(1) Inlet Analysis
H2O 0.2 PPM
O2 0.75 PPM

(2) Inlet Gas Analysis Equipment
Mfr. Beckman O2 Trace Analyzer Model 80
Mfr. Beckman Elect. Hygrometer Model 27901

(3) Weld Chamber Analysis:
Equipment: Gas Chromatograph Mark III - MS 5A Column

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCAN NO.</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ANALYSIS (PPM)</th>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>O2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167</td>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1168</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1169</td>
<td>3:00</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1170</td>
<td>3:45</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. EQUIPMENT & PROCEDURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1) Welding Equipment</th>
<th>Mfr. Miller Electric Company</th>
<th>Model No. ESR-400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial No. N 318048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(2) Tungsten Electrodes</th>
<th>AWS-ASTM Class EWTH-2</th>
<th>Size 1/8&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(3) Fixtures</th>
<th>Material in Contact with Parts Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cleanliness Verification xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alignment Verification xx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(4) Arc-Welding Torch</th>
<th>Type TEC (Modified)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(5) Welding Power</th>
<th>Installation Verification xx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DC Straight-Polarity Verification xx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(5) Filler Wire</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>MCN</th>
<th>CLEANING DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(6) Filler Wire</th>
<th>None Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(7) Cleaning &amp; Handling</th>
<th>Applicable Specification 03-0010-00-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Verification of Cleaning &amp; Handling xx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(8) Removal of Parts from Chamber</th>
<th>Temperature Below 400°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by Surface Pyrometer (Temp. Recorded) xx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. QUALITY ASSURANCE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Verification that &quot;before &amp; after&quot; weld specimens are attached and this record is complete.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Visual Inspection per SPPS 03-0025-00-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Radiographic Inspection:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) A report similar to the attached Radiographic Test Report (NS 1271) shall be prepared and submitted to NSP Quality Assurance along with the actual X-ray films and this Welding Process Control Record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Radiographic Inspection Not Applicable To This Weld</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Equipment &amp; Process Qualification:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last Qualification Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Last Weld to this Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BADGE NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TYPICAL MICROSTRUCTURE OF A Cb-1Zr ALLOY SHELL SUPPORT RING TO MANIFOLD MANUAL TIG LAP WELD

Figure C-14. Transverse Section Showing Parent Metal, HAZ and Weld.

Etchant: \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 - \text{HF} - \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 - \text{H}_2\text{O} \)
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APPENDIX D

DISCREPANCY REPORT - POSTWELD ANNEAL OF
BRAYTON CYCLE OUTLET MANIFOLD, GIRTH WELD NO. 1
Page intentionally left blank
August 11, 1969

At approximately 11:25 am on 7-29-69, the subject vacuum anneal was aborted when a pressure surge was noted. At that time, the weld area of the manifold had been at 2200°F for 55 minutes of the 60-minute anneal cycle.

The operator immediately shut off all electrical power to the heater. One to two minutes later the leak had been detected and sealed. After this temporary seal pressure, readout was $2 \times 10^{-4}$ torr, and the part temperature had dropped to approximately 1600°F. Two minutes later, pressure had increased to 0.1 torr and temperature decreased to 1250°F. Six minutes later at a temperature of 900°F, helium was introduced into the chamber cooling the part to below 400°F in 20 minutes.

Cause of Failure

The air leak occurred in plastic tubing which is used to connect the sealed motor housing on the weld fixture to a vacuum feedthrough. Apparently this tubing, although over four feet away from the annealing furnace, was softened and failed as a result of the radiant heat from the annealing furnace.
Corrective Action

The entire motor housing and associated tubing were removed from the vacuum chamber, a practice to be followed on all future anneals.

Postanneal Evaluation

The results of the various tests and analyses performed to determine the condition of the manifold after Anneal No. 1 indicated that the amount of contamination was at most superficial. Apparently the protective tantalum foil wrapped over the manifold had intercepted much of the atmospheric contamination.

Visual inspection of the manifold after exposure revealed that the area in the optical path of the gas (girth weld inner) had a brown-black continuous scale. The ID of the manifold as indicated by borescope inspection did not contain the brown-black scale as noted on the OD except on the ID surfaces of the bosses which will be subsequently machined. Also, the 30-mil (0.030") thick control specimen which was in the furnace at the same temperature of the manifold at the time of exposure contained the brown-black scale. This specimen was available for chemical analysis, microhardness, and metallographic evaluation. The depth of penetration of contaminants in the control specimen should be the same as the manifold.

The results of the comparative impurity analyses of the exposed Cb-1Zr control 30-mil (0.030") sheet specimen and the impurity analysis of the control specimen in the as-received condition are summarized in Table D1. The significant fact is that upon removal of one mil (0.001") of material from both surfaces of the exposed specimen the oxygen and nitrogen content dropped almost to their original "as-received" values.
To completely document the hardness of the control specimen near the surface a very light microhardness load (10 gm) was used, and the specimen was mounted with a 30° tilt. A hardness of DPH 125 was found one-half mil (0.0005") below the surface. The hardness numbers between one and ten mils (0.001"-0.010") ranged between 52 and 90 DPH which was representative of the bulk hardness. The scatter in the data is attributed to the light microhardness load used which is quite sensitive to surface condition of the specimen, the difficulty in reading the small penetrations accurately, and the microstructure, i.e., the interception of grain boundaries.

Examination of the microstructure of the exposed control specimen at magnification up to 500x revealed no continuous surface oxide formation and evidence of grain boundary penetration only one grain deep (0.0004"). Figure D1 shows the microstructure at 100x; the quality of higher magnification photomicrographs was questionable due to the slightly rounded edge of the specimen.

The results of the comparative hardness evaluation of the actual manifold after Anneal No. 1 and Anneal No. 2 are summarized in Table D2. Anneal No. 2 was successfully performed without incident. The hardness data represents impressions made in the discolored areas in the region of the girth weld annealed in Anneal No. 1 (inner surface of the manifold and shell ring) and the outer surface of the manifold which was not in the optical path of the gas leak. These results are compared to data obtained from similar areas in the region of the girth weld in Anneal No. 2. There is essentially no difference in the hardness levels in these two areas; probably, the minimal differences seen can be attributed
to scatter. The hardness tests were performed with a portable Wilson hardness test machine with a 60 Kg load (Rockwell A scale), the lightest load available. Apparently, even this light load makes an impression that is too deep in the soft Cb-1Zr to be sensitive to the minimal amount of contamination of the surface.

As can be seen from Table D2, the data indicated uniform hardness of the shell ring in the discolored area; 3 mils (0.003") of material was removed with hardness impressions made at mil (0.001") intervals.

Review of the various results given above indicated that the contamination of the manifold is confined less than 1/2 mil (0.0005") below the surface. The discolored areas are probably associated with deposits from the tungsten elements since the microstructure shows no oxide film and no significant depth of penetration beneath these areas.

Manifold Rework

Based on the above results, it was recommended that mechanical removal of the surface contamination, followed by acid cleaning be employed. With NASA concurrence, belt sanders were used to remove most of the contaminated surface area. Hand polishing with abrasive paper was used to complete the mechanical cleaning. The outside of the manifold was then acid cleaned and rinsed. Careful visual inspection indicated complete removal of the contaminated surface.

W. R. Young, Manager
Advanced Joining
cak

Postanneal Evaluation Performed by Hervey A. Williams
TABLE D1

IMPURITY ANALYSES OF Cb-1Zr SHEET SPECIMENS*
FROM MANIFOLD ANNEAL NO. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Analysis, ppm</th>
<th>As Received</th>
<th>Annealed, Foil Wrapped</th>
<th>Annealed, Foil Wrapped, 1 Mil Removed from Each Surface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>160, 164</td>
<td>237, 274</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>27, 28</td>
<td>192, 219</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>80, 81</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specimen thickness, 0.030"
TABLE D2
HARDNESS EVALUATION OF Cb-1Zr MANIFOLD
AND CONTROL SHEET SPECIMEN AFTER ANNEALS NOS. 1 & 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Girth Weld Anneal No. 1</th>
<th>Manifold (ID)</th>
<th>Manifold (OD)</th>
<th>Shell Ring (ID)</th>
<th>Girth Weld Anneal No. 2</th>
<th>Manifold (ID)</th>
<th>Shell Ring (ID)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R_A</td>
<td>R_A</td>
<td>R_A</td>
<td></td>
<td>R_A</td>
<td>R_A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shell Ring (Anneal No. 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Material Removed from Surface</th>
<th>Hardness R_A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cb-1Zr Control Sheet Specimen (0.030"")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As Received</th>
<th>Annealed Manifold (Anneal No. 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 R_A</td>
<td>#2 R_A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>89 DPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>79 DPH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* R_A 29 = 79 DPH
* R_A 34 = 89 DPH
Figure D1. Microstructure of Cb-1Zr Exposed Control Sheet (0.030") Specimen. Note No Significant Oxide Film or Penetration.

Etched: 1 part H$_2$O + 1 part H$_2$SO$_4$

1 part 30% H$_2$O$_2$ + 1 part Hf

Mag.: 100x
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