PYRONETICS, INC.
A CORDON INTERNATIONAL COMPANY

REPORT NO. QC & R 6-046
EVALUATION TEST PROGRAM

FINAL REPORT
VALVE, EXPLOSIVE ACTUATED, NORMALLY CLOSED
PYRONETICS MODEL 1400

JET PROPULSION LABORATORY
PURCHASE ORDER NO. ES-536829

PREPARED BY
EDWARD AVALOS
RELIABILITY ENGINEER

APPROVED BY
CROSBY NAGENSTADT
SENIOR PROJECT ENGINEER

BRIAN H. JUNQUIST
RELIABILITY MANAGER

No. of Pages 60
BOOK COPY NO. 429
ISSUED TO JPL
5 Oct 1971
CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY  1

DESCRIPTION OF EXPLOSIVE ACTUATED, NORMALLY
CLOSED, VALVE  2

1.0 TEST PROGRAM  3

2.0 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS  4

3.0 TEST DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS  5

  3.1 Proof Pressure and Internal Leakage Test
      (Body Subassembly)  5
  3.2 Proof Pressure and External Leakage Test
      (Body Subassembly)  6
  3.3 Proof Pressure and Leakage Test
      (Actuator Assembly)  7
  3.4 Gross Leak Test (Actuator Assembly)  8
  3.5 Examination of Product  9
  3.6 Cleanliness Verification  10
  3.7 Actuation Test  11
  3.8 Post Actuation Proof Pressure  12
  3.9 Flow vs Pressure Drop Test  13
  3.10 Disassembly Inspection  14
  3.11 Post Actuation Leakage (Actuator Assembly)  15
  3.12 Post Actuation Leakage Gross
      (Actuator Assembly)  16
  3.13 External Leakage After Actuation (Valve
      Assembly Less Actuator Assembly)  17
  3.14 Burst Pressure Test  18

4.0 TEST PROGRAM CONCLUSION  19

5.0 TEST EQUIPMENT AND SETUPS  20
CONTENTS (continued)

Appendix 1  Proof Pressure, Internal & External Test Data Sheet 31
Appendix 2  Proof Pressure & Leakage (Act. Assy) Test Data Sheet 33
Appendix 3  Gross Leak Test Data Sheet 35
Appendix 4  Examination of Product Test Data Sheet 37
Appendix 5  Cleanliness Verification Test Data Sheet 40
Appendix 6  Actuation Test Data Sheet 42
Appendix 7  Post Actuation Proof Pressure 44
Appendix 8  Flow vs Pressure Drop Test Data Sheet 46
Appendix 9  Disassembly Inspection Test Data Sheet 49
Appendix 10 Post Actuation Leakage (Act. Assy.) Test Data Sheet 50
Appendix 11 Post Actuation Gross Leakage (Act. Assy.) Test Data Sheet 52
Appendix 12 External Leakage Test Data Sheet 54
Appendix 13 Burst Pressure Test Data Sheet 56
Appendix 14 Trouble & Failure Report 58
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure 1</td>
<td>Proof Pressure &amp; Internal Leakage Test Setup</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 2</td>
<td>Proof Pressure &amp; External Leakage Test Setup</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 3</td>
<td>Proof Pressure &amp; Leak Test Setup (Actuator Assembly)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 4</td>
<td>Leak Test (Gross Leak) Setup</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 5</td>
<td>Actuation Test Setup</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 6</td>
<td>Proof Pressure Test Setup</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 7</td>
<td>Flow vs Pressure Drop Test Setup</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure 8</td>
<td>Post Actuation Leakage Test Setup (Less Actuator Assembly)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The Evaluation Test Program for the Explosive Actuated, Normally Closed Valve, Model 1400, was conducted in compliance with JPL Purchase Order Number ES-536829.

The objective of this Evaluation Test Program was to demonstrate compliance of this valve with the requirements of JPL P.O. ES-536829 by a series of operating tests applied to three (3) valves.

There was one test malfunction during actuation testing. One of the valves under actuated and caused approximately 25% obstruction of the flow passage. The ram/body bore clearance was increased and a requirement for blending of radius into the ram taper was added to the drawing.

Additionally the ram slot was elongated .020 to prevent any obstruction in flow passage (Ref. TFR 0069). Valve S/N 003 was modified and successfully tested with a 75% (under load) cartridge. All subsequent testing was successfully completed with no further anomalies encountered.

Compliance of the Explosive Actuated, Normally Closed Valve with all specification requirements was successfully demonstrated by this Test Program.

Additionally, within the testing parameters specified, the JPL cartridge P/N 10028949, demonstrated successfully its capability to properly actuate the Model 1400 valves which underwent this testing program.
DESCRIPTION OF CARTRIDGE ACTUATED, NORMALLY CLOSED
VALVE MODEL 1400

The Model 1400 is a normally closed cartridge actuated valve which will be utilized in the trajectory correction propulsion subsystem of the Thermoelectric Outer Planets - Spacecraft (TOPS).

The normally closed valve will be used to control and isolate the flow of hydrazine to a 25 pound thrust monopropellant thruster.

The Model 1400 valves will be utilized in conjunction with the Pyrotechnics Model 1399, Cartridge Actuated, Normally open valve in a manifold assembly to provide a multiple start-stop capability. Each assembly is normally closed in the TOPS vehicle at launch. The projected usage of the propulsion subsystem is for trajectory correction during mission duration as long as ten years in the interplanetary and outer space environments within the solar system.

Upon actuation, the normally closed valves of each assembly will supply the fuel to the rocket engine assembly. Prior to actuation, the propellant and pressurization fluids are isolated by the valves until engine ignition is desired. Valve actuation is accomplished by explosive energy generated when the JPL cartridges, P/N 10028049, are ignited by the application of electrical power.

The valves are mounted in the propulsion subsystem by welding the inlet and outlet ports into a manifold tubular system. In the normally closed position internal leakage is prevented by two integral nipples. Upon actuation, the nipples are sheared and retained within the valve body by a ram propelled by the pressure cartridge. A flow passage is opened through the valve by the shearing of the nipples.
EVALUATION TEST PROGRAM

EXPLOSIVE ACTUATED VALVE

MODEL 1400 NORMALLY CLOSED

1.0 TEST PROGRAM

Three (3) normally closed valves were submitted for evaluation testing in accordance with Pyronetics Test Procedure TS 1400. The objective of the test program was to verify design concept compatibility with the test requirements of JPL PO. ES-536829 for a normally closed explosive valve that will control the flow of hydrazine to a 25-pound thrust monopropellant thruster. The cartridge utilized for actuation testing (JPL P/N 10028049) was supplied by Jet Propulsion Laboratory and underwent only the actuation test.

The three valves were subjected to the following test sequences:

1. Proof Pressure and Internal Leakage Test (Body Subassembly)
2. Proof Pressure and External Leakage Test (Body Subassembly)
3. Proof Pressure and Leakage Test (Actuator Assembly)
4. Gross Leak Test (Actuator Assembly)
5. Examination of Product
6. Cleanliness Verification
7. Actuation Test
8. Post Actuation Proof Pressure
9. Flow vs Pressure Drop Test
10. Post Actuation Disassembly Inspection
11. Post Actuation Leakage (Actuator Assembly)
12. Post Actuation Leakage-Gross (Actuator Assembly)
13. External Leakage After Actuation (Valve Assembly less Actuator Assembly)
14. Burst Pressure Test
2.0 REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The following documents comprise the criteria for this test program.

2.1 Military

MIL-C-45662A  Calibration System Requirements
               9 February 1962
MIL-P-27401 B  Propellant, Pressurizing Agent Nitrogen,
               19 September, 1962
MIL-P-27407  Propellant, Pressurizing Agent
               Helium, 8 June 1965

2.2 JPL

P. O. No. ES-536829  Requirements for Fabrication, Assembly
                     and Test of Normally Open and Normally
                     Closed Explosive Actuated Valve
FS 504574  General Cleanliness Required for
            Spacecraft Systems and Support
            Equipment, Detail Specification for
Drawing 10028049  Squib

2.3 PyroMetics, Inc.

Drawing 1400  Valve, Normally Closed, Explosive
               Actuated
TS 1400  Acceptance and Evaluation Test
          Procedure
3.0 TEST DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS

The evaluation test requirements, descriptions, and test results of the Normally Closed Valves, Pyronetics Model 1400, employed in the evaluation test program (in accordance with Pyronetics Procedure TS 1400), are described in the following paragraphs.

3.1 Proof Pressure and Internal Leakage (Body Subassembly) 3 units

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

Each body subassembly shall be internally pressurized simultaneously through both nipple tubes to 1000 ± 30/-0 psig with gaseous helium for thirty (30) minutes minimum. There shall be no evidence of leakage in excess of 1 x 10^-6 scc/sec of helium. The leakage shall be measured through the cartridge port. There shall be no evidence of deformation or failure as a result of this test.

Test Description

The proof and internal leakage test was performed on the valve body subassembly during inprocess testing per TS 1400, prior to submitting the assembled valves to the functional evaluation tests. The normally closed valves were subjected to a combined proof pressure and internally leakage test. (See Figure 1).

Each valve was simultaneously pressurized through both nipple tubes to 1,000 ± 30/-0 psig with gaseous helium. A test adaptor was connected to the cartridge port which in turn was connected to a helium mass spectrometer and subsequently evacuated to 10^-4 torr. The internal leakage was then monitored on the mass spectrometer for 30 minutes minimum for evidence of leakage in excess of 1 x 10^-6 sccs of helium. Upon completion of test, the valves were removed from the test system and the nipple tubes were blown off with gaseous nitrogen to prevent any residual helium potentially entrapped in the tubes from yielding erroneous leakage rates during subsequent tests.

Test Results

None of the units tested sustained any evidence of yielding, permanent deformation or other visible damage. Additionally, the valves did not exhibit any detectable leakage in excess of 1 x 10^-6 sccs. Maximum internal leakage recorded was 3.0 x 10^-8 sccs. Reference test results in Appendix I.
3.0 TEST DESCRIPTION AND RESULTS (Contd.)

3.2 Proof Pressure and External Leakage (Body Subassembly) 3 units

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

Subject the body subassembly to an external leakage test by applying a pressure of 1000 ±30/-0 psig helium gas to the actuator cavity for a period of at least thirty (30) minutes. Measure the external leakage. The leakage shall not exceed $1 \times 10^{-6}$ scc/sec.

Test Description

The proof and external leakage test was performed on the valve body subassembly during inprocess testing per TS 1400 prior to submitting the assembled valves to the functional evaluation tests. The normally closed valves were subjected to a combined proof pressure and external test (see Figure 2). Each valve was individually pressurized through the cartridge port, while installed in a bell jar, to 1000 ±30 psig with gaseous helium. The bell jar was connected to a helium mass spectrometer and subsequently evacuated to $10^{-4}$ torr. The external leakage was then monitored on the mass spectrometer for 30 minutes minimum for evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs of helium. Upon completion of test, the valves were removed from the test system and the cartridge port was blown off with gaseous nitrogen.

Test Results

None of the units tested sustained any evidence of yielding, permanent deformation or other visible damage. Additionally, the valves did not exhibit any detectable leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs. Maximum external leakage recorded was $2.0 \times 10^{-8}$ sccs. Reference test results in Appendix 1.
3.3 Proof Pressure and Leakage Test (Actuator Assembly) 3 Units

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

Each actuator assembly shall be installed in a suitable holding fixture and subjected to an external pressure of 500 +10/-0 psig with gaseous helium for thirty (30) minutes minimum. Following pressurization period, actuator assembly shall be removed from the helium pressurization and subjected to a helium mass spectrometer leak test. There shall be no evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ scc/sec helium. There shall be no evidence of deformation or damage as a result of these tests.

Test Description

The combined proof pressure and leakage test was performed on each actuator assembly prior to assembling into valve body as an in process test per TS 1400. Each actuator sub-assembly was installed in a holding fixture as shown in Figure 3 and subjected to an external pressure of 500 +10/-0 psig with gaseous helium for thirty minutes. The actuator assembly was then removed from the pressure fixture and blown off with gaseous nitrogen and within sixty seconds after removal from fixture was installed in the leakage test fixture. The test fixture was evacuated to $10^{-4}$ torr and the leakage rate from the actuator assembly was checked with a mass spectrometer for evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs, the initial value indicated by the mass spectrometer was recorded. The bellows assembly was then visually examined for evidence of damage or deformation as a result of the proof pressure test.

Test Results

None of the units tested exhibited any evidence of yielding, permanent deformation or other visible damage. There was no evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs. Maximum leak rate recorded was $5.5 \times 10^{-9}$ sccs, reference test results in Appendix 2.
3.4 Gross Leak Test (Actuator Assembly) 3 Units

Requirement

(Reference JPL P. O. ES-536829)

The actuator assembly shall be tested for gross leakage by submerging in hot deionized water at +180 ±15°F and checked for evidence of bubbles.

Test Description

The gross leak test on the actuator assembly was performed prior to assembling into valve body as an in process test per TS 1400. Each actuator assembly was immersed in hot water (+180 ±15°F) with axis in a horizontal position and the entrapped air in the bellows was removed by slightly agitating the assembly, reference Figure 4. Actuator assembly was maintained immersed in the hot water for one minute minimum while visually observing for bubble emission from the bellows of the actuator assembly as an indication of gross leakage.

Test Results

No evidence of bubble emission was detected from any of the actuator assemblies during the test. Hence, no gross leaks were found in the bellows area of the actuator assembly as recorded on the data sheet of Appendix 3.
3.5 Examination of Product (3 Units).

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

Each valve body and components shall be visually examined for freedom from blemishes, tool marks, burrs, legibility and correctness of markings and any other characteristics which reflect the general quality of workmanship. The size, configuration and mounting dimension shall be in accordance with the dimensions noted on the appropriate drawings. These units shall be inspected with suitable gauges and/or instruments for conformance to the dimensions noted on the appropriate drawings, reference drawing 1400. The specimens shall be deemed acceptable for testing if they conform to the drawing requirements and are free from damage.

Test Description

The examination of product was performed upon completion of all the in process tests and prior to assembling the valve. Each valve body and components were visually examined for freedom from blemishes, tool marks, burrs, legibility and correctness of markings and other characteristics which reflected the general quality of workmanship. The size, configuration and mounting dimensions were inspected for conformance to the appropriate drawing.

Test Results

All of the major dimensions and characteristics were one hundred percent inspected and were per print. The requirements, results and verification of special processes are on file at Pyronetics. Appendix 4 includes the Configuration Identification Index indicating the as built configuration of the valves, and inspection buy-off records. The index indicates all documents, by revision letter, necessary for the manufacture of the parts.
3.6 Cleanliness Verification (3 Units)

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

Units shall be cleaned and assembled in accordance with applicable drawing requirements. (Reference level D.2 of JPL Spec. FS 504574.) Units selected for Evaluation Tests shall have JPL 10028049 squib installed in the actuator assembly prior to cleaning. Installation torque shall be 300 ± 20 in-lbs.

Verify cleanliness level of completed assembly and sign off on data sheet.

Test Description

The cleaning operation was performed by Garwood Laboratories in accordance with JPL Specification FS 504574 (Level D.2). The JPL 10028049 cartridge was installed in the actuator assembly prior to cleaning with 300 ± 20 in-lbs. Upon completion of cleaning operation Pyro Netics personnel assembled the valves in the clean room. After the units were assembled, a final particle count was performed and the cleanliness level of FS 504574D.2 was verified. The units were again vacuum oven dried and the tube ports were sealed with nylon film and tape cleaned to the same level as the valves. Each valve was placed in a polyethylene bag; purged with gaseous nitrogen, vacuum evacuated and heat sealed.

Test Results

All applicable surfaces of the components were cleaned as specified in FS 504574 and certified to a cleaning level of D.2. Reference test results in Appendix 5.
3.7 Actuation Test (3 Units)

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

The actuation test shall be performed on a sample selected at random from the production lot. The valve shall be actuated while a water pressure of 500 psig is applied to one of the normally closed nipples. The response time shall be measured from bridgewire burnout to first indication of pressure rise on the downstream side of the valve. Response time shall not exceed 10 milliseconds.

Test Description

The valves were mounted in a holding fixture as indicated in Figure 5. A pressure transducer was connected on the downstream side of the valves to detect response time. The bridgewire resistance of each bridgewire on the 10028049 JPL cartridge was measured and recorded with an Alinco Ohmmeter. The normally closed nipple tubes were pressurized to $500 \pm 10$ psig with water. The valves were actuated individually upon application of 5.0 amperes from a constant current power supply to one bridgewire of the cartridge while one nipple tube was pressurized to 500 psig. The response time was measured by the transducer installed on the downstream side of the valve with an oscilloscope and camera. Upon actuation of the valve, transfer of the valve to the open mode caused the pressure to rise on the downstream side as the water flowed through the valve. Hence, response time was measured from bridgewire burnout to first indication of pressure rise on the downstream side of the valve.

Test Results

The first valve tested actuated to the closed mode satisfactorily without any detectable evidence of damage to the structural integrity of the valves. However on the second firing, valve S/N 1068-002 underactuated and obstructed the flow passage by approximately 25% (Reference TFR 0069 in Appendix 14). Failure analysis conducted on the test unit (after subjecting the valve to the remaining test in TS 1400, excluding Flow vs Pressure Drop Test) revealed that leading edge of ram tap had minimum allowable radius and was not smoothly blended.
3.7 Actuation Test (3 Units) (Contd.)

Test Results (Contd.)

Also the ram/body bore clearance was approximately .0010/-.0015 tighter than on other units fired successfully during DVT. Examination of the body bore indicated evidence of ram leading edge digging into mating body taper. Hence, to preclude a recurrence, the ram/body bore clearance was increased in addition to increasing the radius on leading edge of ram taper. A requirement was also added to the drawing for blending radius on ram into taper. An additional safety margin, (proper alignment of ram crosshole) was incorporated by elongating the ram slot by 0.020. The remaining test unit was then modified to incorporate the design change. At JPL's request the reworked valve was actuated with a 75% (underload) cartridge. Valve actuated successfully with no obstructions in flow passage. Response time ranged from 1.0 to 1.1 milliseconds for all valves. Hence all valves complied with the 10 milliseconds maximum response time requirement.

Since all the units passed the subsequent post actuation leakage and burst test, the test was deemed successful. See test data sheets in Appendix 6.

3.8 Post Actuation Proof Pressure (3 Units)

Requirement

(Reference JPL P. O. ES-536829).

Each valve assembly shall be subjected to a hydrostatic pressure of 1000 +30/-0 psig. One (1) port shall be capped and the other pressurized from 0 to 1000 psig for two (2) minutes minimum. There shall be no evidence of deformation or failure as a result of this test, nor shall the ram retract.

Test Description

The actuated valves were firmly secured in a proof test holding fixture (reference Figure 6) and one tube port was connected to a hydrostatic pressure source. The valves were placed behind a safety barricade and the tube port was slowly pressurized to 1,000 psig with water for two minutes.
3.8 Post Actuation Proof Pressure (3 Units) (Contd.)

Tests Results

None of the actuated valves proofed exhibited any visual evidence of external leakage. The test was considered successful since the valves did not reveal evidence of structural damage, nor did the ram retract. Reference test results in Appendix 7.

3.9 Flow vs Pressure Drop Test (2 units)

Requirements

(Reference JPL ES-536829)

The flow rate versus the pressure drop shall be determined across the open flowpath of each normally closed valve. The pressure drop across the unit shall not exceed 5.0 psid when a water pressure of 500 psig is applied at the inlet port and a flow of 0.15 lbs/sec of H₂O is established.

Test Description

Each valve was installed in a holding fixture (Ref. Figure 7) and the tube ports were pressurized to 500 psig with water while a regulated flow rate through the open flow path was maintained at 0.15 lbs/sec. The pressure drop through the entire system was determined with a differential pressure gauge and recorded. The net pressure drop across the valve was determined by subtracting the tare pressure drop from the gross pressure drop. The tare pressure drop was determined by removing the valve from the system and replacing it with a tare tube and repeating the flow test. The tare tube is a straight section one half inch long and has the same I.D. and O.D. as the valve under test.

Test Results

The flow was performed on only the two valves that actuated satisfactorily. Neither of the two units exceeded the maximum pressure drop requirements of 5.0 psid. The maximum gross pressure drop of the two units was 4.45 psid with a tare value of 3.65 psid. The test was considered successfully passed since the net pressure drop was 0.80 psi with a variation in pressure drop between valves of 0.03. Actual test results are found in Appendix 8.
3.10 Disassembly Inspection (3 Units)

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

The JPL 10028049 squib shall be removed from the actuator assembly and the actuator assembly shall be removed from the valve body. Valve and actuator assembly shall be examined for proper actuation and there shall be no evidence of abnormal deformations, cracks, etc. on the actuator assembly bellows.

Test Description

The fired JPL 10028049 cartridge was removed from the actuator assembly first, then the actuator assembly was removed from the valve body. Examination of the actuator assembly was then performed under a 40X microscope for evidence of abnormal deformations, cracks, etc. on the bellows and all observations were recorded, the valve body was also visually examined for proper actuation.

Test Results

No cracks or other anomalies were detected on the actuator assembly bellows exterior. There was no evidence of cartridge gas blowby. No visual evidence of abnormal deformation was found in the valve bodies. The structural integrity of the actuated valves appeared sound. Reference test results in Appendix 9.
3.11 Post Actuation Leakage (Actuator Assembly) 3 Units

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

Each actuator assembly shall be installed in a suitable holding fixture and subjected to an external pressure of 500 ±10/-0 psig with gaseous helium for thirty (30) minutes minimum. Following pressurization period, actuator assembly shall be removed from the helium pressurization and subjected to a helium mass spectrometer leak test. There shall be no evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ scc/sec helium. There shall be no evidence of deformation or damage as a result of these tests.

Test Description

The actuator assembly from each actuated valve was installed in a holding fixture as shown in Figure 3 and externally pressurized with helium to $500 +10/-0$ psig for 30 minutes. Upon completion of the pressurization period the actuator assembly was removed from the test fixture and within 60 seconds was blown off externally with gaseous nitrogen and subjected to a leak check. A mass spectrometer was utilized to check for evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs.

Test Results

No evidence of leakage in excess of $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs of helium was detected on any of the actuator assemblies. Maximum leakage rate detected was $4.3 \times 10^{-8}$ sccs. Reference actual test results in Appendix 10.
3.12 Post Actuation Gross Leakage (Actuator Assembly) 3 Units

Requirement

(Reference JPL P.O. ES-536829)

The actuator assemblies from the actuated valves shall be tested for gross leakage by submerging in hot deionized water at +180 ±15°F and checking for evidence of bubbles.

Test Description

Each actuator assembly that had been removed from the actuated valve was immersed in hot water (+180 ±15°F) with axis in a horizontal position and the entrapped air in the bellows was removed by slightly agitating the assembly, reference Figure 4, and checked for gross leakage. Actuator assembly was maintained immersed in hot water for one minute minimum while visually observing for bubble emission from the bellows in the actuator assembly as an indication of gross leakage.

Test Results

No evidence of bubble emission was detected in the bellows area during the time the actuator assembly was immersed in the hot water. Reference test results in Appendix II.
3.13 **External Leakage After Actuation (Valve Assembly Less Actuator Assembly (3 Units))**

**Requirement**

(Reference JPL ES-536829)

The external leakage after actuation of each valve shall be determined. The tube ports shall be pressurized to 1000 +30/-0 psig with helium gas for a minimum duration of thirty (30) minutes. The external leakage shall not exceed 1 x 10^-6 scc/sec of helium. The actuator assembly shall be removed for this test.

**Test Description**

Following the actuation test, the actuator assembly was removed from the valve body. The actuated valves were then secured in a pressure fixture, reference Figure 8, placed in a bell jar and both tube ports were simultaneously pressurized to 1000 +10/-0 psig with helium gas. The bell jar was connected to a helium mass spectrometer and then evacuated to 10^-4 torr. The valves were leak checked for evidence of external leakage by monitoring a mass spectrometer for leakage in excess of 1 x 10^-6 sccs of helium for 30 minutes.

**Test Results**

None of the valves tested exhibited any detectable external leakage in excess of 1 x 10^-6 sccs during the 30 minute test period. The post actuation leakage rate recorded ranged from 7.6 x 10^-8 to 9.0 x 10^-8 sccs. Therefore, test was considered acceptable since no leakage in excess of 1 x 10^-6 sccs was detected. Reference test results in Appendix 12.
3.14 Post Actuation Burst Pressure Test (3 Units)

Requirement

(Reference JPL ES-536829)

The valve assembly (actuator assembly removed) shall be subjected to a burst pressure test and burst pressure determined. One nipple tube shall be capped and the other pressurized with hydrostatic pressure from 0 to 10,000 psig in increments of 1000 psig. Minimum burst pressure shall be 2000 psig. If unit fails to burst at 10,000 psig pressure, discontinue test.

Test Description

The actuated valves were firmly secured in a burst test holding fixture (reference Figure 6) and one nipple tube was capped and the other was connected to a hydrostatic pressure source. The valves were placed behind a safety barricade and the nipple tube was slowly pressurized in increments of 1000 psig and maintained for 15 seconds at each level up to 10,000 psig with water. During the test valves were visually examined for evidence of leakage with the aid of a mirror.

Test Results

None of the actuated valves burst tested exhibited any visual evidence of external leakage. The test was considered successful since the valves did not burst and no evidence of structural damage was observed as a result of the burst pressure. In order to further ascertain the structural integrity of the normally closed valves, upon completion of the burst test, the nipple tube of each valve was pressurized until the ram retracted from its taper lock. The pressure required to retract the rams ranged from 12,600 to 13,500 psig for S/N 002 (under actuated unit). Reference test results in Appendix 13.
4.0 TEST PROGRAM CONCLUSIONS

Accomplishment of the evaluation tests in accordance with Pyronetics Test Procedure TS 1400 Rev A, dated 22 March 1971 signify the acceptance of the Normally Closed Explosive Actuated Valve, Pyronetics Model 1400, as having fulfilled the test requirements of JPL Purchase Order No ES-536829. The evaluation test program was conducted by Pyronetics, Inc. and witnessed and acknowledged by JPL Engineering.

Examination of the data included herein indicates excellent repeatability of all functional characteristics, i.e., ignition time, response time and flow vs pressure drop. Additionally, post actuation proof and leakage test of the actuator assembly and valve body revealed adequacy of the taper lock (metal-to-metal seal) between the ram and valve body after actuation. It should also be noted that the internal proof pressure had no effect on the integrity of the shear section of the normally closed nipple tubes.

The structural integrity of the valve assemblies was successfully demonstrated by the post actuation 10,000 psig burst test and in the fact that the pre-actuation and post-actuation leak rates detected far surpassed the $1 \times 10^{-6}$ sccs requirements. The fact that no anomalies or degradation in performance was experienced with an 75% cartridge load; in addition to the fact that a minimum pressure of 12,600 psi is required to retract the ram further testifies to the soundness of the design.

Finally, it should be noted, that the Bellows which is incorporated into the valve design to positively prevent any cartridge products of combustion from entering the flow stream, performed exactly as required. Positive retention of all contamination was achieved.

Therefore, based on the data described herein, and the modification made in the ram/body bore no other changes to the valve design are recommended.
5.0 TEST EQUIPMENT AND SETUPS

The test equipment and environmental apparatus employed in the performance of the various tests described herein are listed below. All equipment was checked for reliable performance prior to initiation of specific tests. Accuracy and capability is as specified and all calibrations are traceable to the National Bureau of Standards.

5.1 Test Equipment—Proof Pressure and Leakage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Mass Spectrometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Consolidated Electrodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>24-120B S/N 9593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-11}$ scc/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Helium Detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Frequency</td>
<td>Prior to use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Sensitivity Calibrator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Consolidated Electrodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>25643 S/N 122G6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Helium Leak Rate $8.8 \times 10^{-7}$ scc/sec $\pm 10%$ of indicated leak rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Pressure Gauge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Marsh Instrument Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>100 S/N 535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$0-1500$ psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>$\pm 0.5%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Frequency</td>
<td>90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Due</td>
<td>10-28-71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Stop Watch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Minerva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>136-L S/N 1355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>$0-15$ Min x .01 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>$\pm 0.05$ min/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Frequency</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Due</td>
<td>2-5-72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.2 Test Equipment - Gross Leakage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Hot Plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Thermolyne Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>HP-A1915B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>100-500°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Frequency</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Thermometer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Van Waters Rodgers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0-230°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>±1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.3 Test Equipment - Examination of Product

As examination of products consists of visual inspections, dimensional measurements, surface finish inspection measurements, all of the equipment necessary to perform these inspections will not be listed below. However, all inspection tools utilized in the accomplishment of this task were verified to be within calibration prior to use.

### 5.4 Test Equipment - Actuation Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Ignition Circuit Tester</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Alinco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>1015 AF S/N 501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0-10, 0-20 ohms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>±0.02 ohms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Frequency</td>
<td>90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Due</td>
<td>12-18-71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Constant Current Pulse Generator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>E&amp;R Development Co.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No.</td>
<td>PS-4A S/N 653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0-to 10 amp; 0 to 100m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>±0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Frequency</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calib. Due</td>
<td>9-29-71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.4 Test Equipment - Actuation Test (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Calib. Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge Amplifier</td>
<td>Kistler Corp.</td>
<td>503 S/N 746</td>
<td>Dial Calibration</td>
<td>0-10 volts</td>
<td>Prior to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscilloscope Camera</td>
<td>Tektronix</td>
<td>C12 S/N 003939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscilloscope</td>
<td>Tektronix</td>
<td>502 S/N 002367</td>
<td>Dual Beam</td>
<td>100 μ to 20 v/centimeter</td>
<td>90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Transducer</td>
<td>Kistler Corp.</td>
<td>603H S/N 2773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prior to use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.5 Test Equipment - Burst Pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Model No.</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Last Calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Gauge</td>
<td>U.S. Gauge</td>
<td>19035, Serial No. 669</td>
<td>0-20,000 psig</td>
<td>±0.5%</td>
<td>11-2-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrostatic Test Console</td>
<td>Pyronetics, Inc.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0-20,000 psig</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROOF PRESSURE & INTERNAL LEAKAGE TEST SETUP

FIGURE 1.
PROOF PRESSURE & EXTERNAL LEAKAGE TEST SETUP

FIGURE 2
PROOF PRESSURE & LEAK TEST SETUP
(Actuator Assembly)

FIGURE 3
LEAK TEST (HOT WATER) SETUP

FIGURE 4
FIGURE 5

ACTUATION TEST SETUP

- Bleed Valve
- Transducer
- Oscilloscope
- Amplifier
- Valve
- Shutoff Valve
- Pressure Gauge (0-1500 psi)
- H₂O Supply
- Gaseous Nitrogen
- Firing Switch
- Current Monitor
- Constant Current Pulse Generator
- Power Charge Arm Fired
POST ACTUATION PROOF PRESSURE TEST SETUP

FIGURE 6
FLOW VS PRESSURE DROP SETUP

FIGURE 7
POST ACTUATION LEAKAGE TEST SETUP
(LESS ACTUATOR ASSEMBLY)

FIGURE 8.
APPENDIX 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Helium Pressure</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Leak Rate</th>
<th>Helium Pressure</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Leak Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tubes PSI</td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>SCCS</td>
<td>Cart. Port PSI</td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>SCCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.0x10^{-8}</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.0x10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.5x10^{-8}</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.0x10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.1x10^{-8}</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.3x10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Helium Pressure</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Leak Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1068-004</td>
<td>500        psig</td>
<td>37   minutes</td>
<td>$9.5 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-005</td>
<td>500        psig</td>
<td>31   minutes</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-006</td>
<td>500        psig</td>
<td>33   minutes</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial No.</td>
<td>Deionized H₂O Temp. °F</td>
<td>Leakage w/bellows Submerged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>004</td>
<td>+180</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>+180</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>006</td>
<td>+180</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHG.</td>
<td>PART NO.</td>
<td>QTY</td>
<td>PART DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Valve, Normally Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>140000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Valve, Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>140020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Body Subassembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>140021</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>140022</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nipple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>140024</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Actuator Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>140025</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>140026</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Body Actuator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>140027</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bellows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-010 E515-8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O-Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS 28774-010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Retainer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AN 814-10J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Plug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-910 E515-8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O-Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Krytox 240 AC A/R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lubricant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>140023</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-909 E515-8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O-Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS 02995C32 A/R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lockwire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-283</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cleaning of Details and Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G-270</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Standard Machining Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>G-252</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Electro-Chemical Etch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-W-8604</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Heliarc Welding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TS 1400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Acceptance Test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pyronetics Inc.
10025 Shoemaker Ave.
Santa Fe Springs, Calif. 90670

Attention:

CERTIFICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUAN</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pyronetics P/N 1400-B, Valve Normally Closed S/N 1063-001 thru 1068-003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pyronetics P/N 1399-B, Valve Normally Open S/N 1068-001 thru 1068-003 JPL P.O. ES-536829 Cont. NAS 7-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This will certify that the above items were processed according to the following methods and that the specification requirements were complied with:

- Assy by Pyronetics per Applicable Dwg.
- Cleaned Per JPL Spec. FS504574, Level DZ
- Packaged Per JPL Spec. FS 504574

Quality Assurance Supervisor
**TEST DATA SHEET**

Part Name: **VALVE NORMALLY CLOSED**
Pyronetics P/N: 1400
Pyronetics Spec: TS 1400
Test Para. No.: 4.7
Test Sequence No.: 2
Date Started: 15 JULY 1971
Date Completed: 30 JULY 1971

**Test Title: LOT ACCEPTANCE TESTS**

**Customer:** JPL

**TEST DESCRIPTION: ACTIVATION TEST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>BRIDGE RESISTANCE AB T</th>
<th>WIRE RESISTANCE CD T</th>
<th>PRESSURE 500 (PSIG)</th>
<th>CURRENT 5.0 (AMP)</th>
<th>BRIDGE WIRE BURNOUT 1.0 (MS)</th>
<th>RESPONSE TIME 10MS MAX 1.0 (MS)</th>
<th>FLOW PASSAGE DIAM 0.172 (IN)</th>
<th>Pass/Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-001</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-002</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>≈ 25% obstruction</td>
<td>Fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-003</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS:** Cartridge P/N 10033514-1 75% Load Set 76765

**APPROVALS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested by</th>
<th>Test Engineer</th>
<th>Customer Witness</th>
<th>Customer Witness</th>
<th>DCAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E A B H L</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>P. Hagler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TEST DATA SHEET

Part Name: Valve Normally Closed  Test Title: Burst Acceptance Test
Pyronetics P/N: 1400  Customer: JPL
Pyronetics Spec: 7S 1400  Customer P/N:
Test Para. No.: 4.6  Cust. Spec. No.:
Test Sequence No.: 8  Cust. Spec. Para. No.:
Date Started: 15 July 1971  Customer P.O.: ES-538829
Date Completed: 15 July 1971  Prime Contr. No.:

TEST DESCRIPTION: Proof Pressure Test (after Actuation of Valve)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Pressure 1000 + 30 psig</th>
<th>Time 2 minutes</th>
<th>Pass/Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1000 ( )</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>No Evidence of Deformation Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>1000 ( )</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>No Evidence of Deformation Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>1000 ( )</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>No Evidence of Deformation Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REMARKS:

APPROVALS:

Tested by  Test Engineer  Customer Witness  Customer Witness  DCAS
## TEST DATA SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Name:</th>
<th>Valves Normally Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyronetics P/N:</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronetics Spec:</td>
<td>TS 1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Para. No.:</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Sequence No.:</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Started:</td>
<td>15 JULY '71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Completed:</td>
<td>30 JULY '71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TEST DESCRIPTION: Flow vs Pressure Drop Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>0.500 psi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Port</td>
<td>Gross ΔP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#001</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#003</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REMARKS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVALS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tested by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX 9
**TEST DATA SHEET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Name:</th>
<th>Valve N.C.</th>
<th>Test Title:</th>
<th>Lot Acceptance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyronetics P/N:</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>Customer:</td>
<td>JPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronetics Spec:</td>
<td>TS 1400</td>
<td>Customer P/N:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Para. No.:</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>Cust. Spec. No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Sequence No.:</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cust. Spec. Para. No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Started:</td>
<td>7-19-71</td>
<td>Customer P.O.:</td>
<td>ES-536221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Completed:</td>
<td>7-19-71</td>
<td>Prime Contr. No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Completed:</td>
<td>8-3-71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEST DESCRIPTION:** Disassembly Inspection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>1068-</th>
<th></th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>No Visible Defects</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>No Visible Defects</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>No Visible Defects</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS:**

**APPROVALS:**

Tested by: DeFrisco
Test Engineer: Avalos
Customer Witness:  
Customer Witness:  
DCAS:  
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**TEST DATA SHEET**

**Part Name:** Valve N.C.  
**Pyronetics P/N:** 1400  
**Pyronetics Spec:** TS 1400  
**Test Para. No.:** 4.11  
**Test Sequence No.:** 11  
**Date Started:** 7-19-71  
**Date Completed:** 8-3-71  

**Test Title:** Lot Acceptance  
**Customer:** JPL  
**Customer P/N:**  
**Customer P.O.:** ES-536249  
**Prime Contr. No.:**  

**TEST DESCRIPTION:** Post Actuation Leakage (Actuator Assy.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Helium Pressure</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Leak Rate</th>
<th>Pass/Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.3 x 10^-8</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.4 x 10^-8</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.6 x 10^-8</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**

**Approvals:**

Tested by [Signature]  
Test Engineer  
Customer Witness  
Customer Witness  
DCAS
**TEST DATA SHEET**

**Part Name:** VALVE N.C.  
**Test Title:** Lot Acceptance  
**Pyronetics P/N:** 1400  
**Pyronetics Spec.:** 78-1400  
**Test Para. No.:** 4.12  
**Test Sequence No.:** 13  
**Date Started:** 7-19-71  
**Date Completed:** 8-3-71

**TEST DESCRIPTION:** Post Actuation Leakage - Gross (Actuator Assy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>Deionized H2O Temp. °F</th>
<th>Leakage When Bellows Submerged &amp; 1 Min.</th>
<th>Pass/Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>+180</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>+180</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>+180</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS:**

**APPROVALS:**

R. DeFranco  
Test Engineer  
E. Avalos  
Customer Witness  
Customer Witness  
DCAS
TEST DATA SHEET

Part Name: **Valve N.C.**
Pyronetics P/N: **1400**
Pyronetics Spec: **TS-1400**
Test Para. No.: **4.13**
Test Sequence No.: **13**
Date Started: **7-20-71**
Date Completed: **7-20-71**

Test Title: **Lot Acceptance**
Customer: **JPL**
Customer P/N: 
Cust. Spec. No.: 
Cust. Spec. Para. No.: 
Customer P.O.: **E5-536829**
Prime Contr. No.: 

TEST DESCRIPTION: **External Leakage After Actuation**
(VALUE ASSEMBLY LESS ACTUATOR ASSY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No. 1067-</th>
<th>Helium Pressure Both Ports PSIG</th>
<th>Time Min.</th>
<th>Leak Rate SCCS</th>
<th>Pass/ Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$9.0 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$8.6 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REMARKS:

APPROVALS:

[Signature] [Signature]
Tested by **DeFrisco** Test Engineer **Avila** Customer Witness **Customer** Witness **DCAS**
# TEST DATA SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part Name:</th>
<th>Value N.C.</th>
<th>Test Title:</th>
<th>LOT ACCEPTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyronetics P/N:</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>Customer:</td>
<td>JPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyronetics Spec:</td>
<td>TS 1400</td>
<td>Customer P/N:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Para. No.:</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>Cust. Spec. No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Sequence No.:</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cust. Spec. Para. No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Started:</td>
<td>7-20-71</td>
<td>Customer P.O.:</td>
<td>E9-576129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Completed:</td>
<td>7-20-71</td>
<td>Prime Contr. No.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEST DESCRIPTION:** Burst Pressure Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial No.</th>
<th>HYDRO. PRESSURE (PSIG)</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>Pass/ Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1068-001</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>O.K. No Burst</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-002</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>O.K. No Burst</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-003</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>O.K. No Burst</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-001</td>
<td>12,900</td>
<td>RAM BACkED OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-002</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>RAM BACkED OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068-003</td>
<td>12,600</td>
<td>RAM BACkED OUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS:**

**APPROVALS:**

- Tested by: R. DeFrisco
- Test Engineer: E. Aviles
- Customer Witness: 
- Customer Witness: 
- DCAS: 
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**TROUBLE & FAILURE REPORT**

**S/N** 0069

**PROJECT NAME**

**THIS REPORT COVERS A**

**SYSTEM/SUBSYSTEM NAME**

**VALUE, N.C. EXPLOSIVE ACTD**

**P/N** 1400

**S/N** 002

**DATE**

**REPORTING FACILITY**

**REPAIRED BY**

**E. AVALOS**

**TRouble/FaIlure Observed Was:** (Test Conditions, Symptoms, Severity, Etc., Including "IS" and "SHOULD BE" Data)

VALUE UNDERACTUATED ON FIRING CAUSING APPROX 25% OBSTRUCTION OF THE FLOW PASSAGE.

**TRouble/Failure Occurred During**

**EVALUATION TESTING (LAT)**

**ITEM REPLACED NAME**

**P/N**

**S/N**

**ITEM INSTALLED NAME**

**P/N**

**S/N**

**DISPOSITION OF REPLACED ITEM**

**OTHER TROUBLES/FAILURES, NOT RELATED TO ORIGINAL NOTED?**

**REWORK REQUIRED?**

**USE FOR ANALYSIS**

**AUTHORIZATION BY**

**DATE**

**REWORKED BY**

**RETESTED BY**

**RETEST RESULTS APPROVAL**

**DATE**

**RETEST RESULTS:** REPAIRED ITEM IS ACCEPTABLE FOR UNRESTRICTED USE [ ] RESTRICTED USE [ ] NOT ACCEPTABLE

**DISPOSITION OF ITEM AFTER REATEST**

**CAUSE OF FAILURE - COMMENTS (IF ANALYSIS NOT PERFORMED, EXPLAIN)**

RAM/BODY BORE CLEARANCE APPROX .0010/.0015 TIGHTER THAN OTHER UNITS FIRED SUCCESSFULLY. LEADING EDGE OF RAM TAPER HAD MINIMUM ALLOWABLE RADIUS AND WAS NOT SMOOTHLY BLENDED AS ON SUCCESSFUL UNIT FIRINGS. RAM LEADING EDGE SHOWED EVIDENCE OF DIGGING INTO WINTERING PORT TAPER.

**CORRECTIVE ENGINEERING ACTION TAKEN (IF NONE, JUSTIFY) - CONCLUSIONS**

RAM/BODY BORE CLEARANCE INCREASED. RADIUS INCREASED ON LEADING EDGE OF RAM TAPER AND REQUIREMENT FOR BLENDING OF RADII INTO TAPER ADDED. RAM SLOT ELONGATED .070 FOR ADD. INSURANCE.
GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. The TFR is used to document the trouble failure of only one item per report. If more than one item is involved in a single failure event, or if two or more failures are discovered in a single item, a separate report shall be completed for each item or failure and identified by cross-referencing.

2. Unless instructed otherwise, all required blocks must be completed.

3. Use a TFR continuation sheet whenever additional space for entries is required.

DETAIL INSTRUCTIONS

Entries on the TFR are self-explanatory and do not require detail instructions. Special instructions for entries are covered in implementing instructions issued by the using organization.

1. CAUSE OF DIFFICULTY

- DESIGN
- MFG. PROCESS
- TEST EQUIPMENT
- TEST PROCEDURE
- HUMAN ERROR
- WORKMANSHIP

2. EFFECT ON

- VALUE, V.C.
- SPECIFY

3. CORRECTIVE ACTION STATUS

- IN EFFECT
- UNDER INVESTIGATION
- SCHEDULED
- INTERIM FIX
- NOT REQUIRED
- ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN

A. RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

A CASE OF DIFFICULTY

Third L.A.T. unit, S/N 003, had modification of unit 1233 Rev. B performed and was then tested per TS 1490. A 75% (underload) SQHIB was utilized at 14K request. Results were satisfactory.

ANALYSIS

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS BY C. NAGENDRA

APPROVALS:

- VENDOR CUSTOMER (IF APPLICABLE)
- ENGINEERING (IF APPLICABLE)
- RELIABILITY