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SUMMARY

Under National Aeronautics and Space Administration Contract No. NAS1-5347, Task 13 completed in 1968 and subsequent in house efforts, a cold cathode emitter of hot electrons for use as a source of electrons in vacuum gauges and mass spectrometers was developed using standard Norton electroluminescent silicon carbide p-n diodes operated under reverse bias conditions. Continued development including variations in the geometry of these emitters was carried out here such that emitters with an emission efficiency (emitted current/junction current) as high as $3 \times 10^{-5}$ were obtained. Pulse measurements of the diode characteristics were made and showed that higher efficiency can be attained under pulse conditions probably due to the resulting lower temperatures resulting from such operation. Deterioration of the emitters was observed due to ion bombardment resulting from low vacuum conditions. Improvement of the emission efficiency could be obtained by operation in high vacuum ($p < 10^{-5}$ Torr) and no deterioration in emission was noted under months of operation in ultrahigh vacuum. Ion implantation of nitrogen ions into low impurity p-type SiC was carried out in order to obtain large area emitters. Problems in obtaining ohmic contact to the p material prevented complete evaluation of the resulting diodes. A hypothesis is developed that ion implantation into diamond is a fruitful approach for obtaining higher hot electron emission currents. A SiC emitter was used to initiate a dis-
charge in a Redhead magnetron gauge under pressure conditions for which it was otherwise non-starting. Experimental and theoretical plausibility proofs are given to show that the present SiC diode emitters are not capable of linearizing a Redhead Magnetron discharge gauge due to the prohibitive diode power requirements. It is suggested that the present diodes would have great utility in replacing the hot cathode of a Lafferty magnetron gauge.
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INTRODUCTION

Under Task 13 of Contract NAS1-5347, a research and
development program was carried out to produce a silicon
carbide cold cathode electron emitter, for use as a
source in ionization vacuum gauges and mass spectrometers.
Because of the method used to yield the emission, namely
the acceleration of electrons to higher energy states by
applying a reverse bias to a SiC p-n junction, the electrons
so emitted are termed hot electrons. Some investigations
were made into the mechanism responsible for the hot electron
emission and the electron emission efficiency of many SiC p-n junctions were determined. The maximum
emission efficiency determined during the program was
4 x 10^{-6} for an applied current of .06 A and an applied
voltage of 23 volts.

Subsequent to that work, in house efforts were carried
on for a year to further increase the efficiency of the
standard Norton SiC electroluminescent diode as a reversed
biased electron emitter. As a result, one Norton diode was
prepared and tested that had an electron emission efficiency of 1.5 x 10^{-5} for an applied current of 0.04 A and applied
voltage of 18.5 volts. Additionally because of improvements
in fabrication and treatment of diodes, the fraction of
them that yielded greater than 10^{-9} Amperes emission current
was increased during this in house work to about 50%.
The goal of task 3 of Contract NAS1-8223 was to continue the research and development program on reverse biased p-n junction electron emitters to attain silicon carbide emitters with emission currents as high as $10^{-3}$ amperes. A secondary goal of the program is the adaptation of such emitters to magnetron discharge gauges to improve the striking and linearity characteristics of the gauges.

**NATURE OF ELECTRON EMISSION FROM SiC**

In the final report(1) of Task 13 of Contract No. NAS1-5347, Research and Development Program to Produce a Silicon Carbide Cold Cathode(Hot Electron) Emitter, two possible mechanisms were described that would lead to hot electron emission from semi-conductor p-n junctions, namely:

1. Zener tunnelling
2. Avalanche multiplications.

The former process is equivalent to internal field emission of the electrons and requires rather heavy doping levels in order the desirable potential conditions be established under reverse bias. The energy bands in such a case are indicated schematically in Figure 1. If the doping is sufficient for such extreme band bending to occur then (Zener) tunnelling from valence to conduction will occur.

The latter process of avalanche multiplication occurs when under increased reverse bias of the junction, electrons are accelerated within the crystal to high energies. If the energy is sufficient, the impact of these electrons with neutral
Figure 1. - Band Diagram for a Zener Diode with (a) No Voltage Applied and (b) Reverse Bias.
atoms results in ionization and the conditions are favorable for an avalanche process with an electron-hole microplasma resulting. Since the temperature dependence of these two mechanisms is quite different it would be necessary to perform experiments to determine which mechanism is predominantly responsible for the emission in a manner such that temperature may be independently controlled.

Electron emission from reverse biased SiC p-n junctions has been experimentally investigated by numerous groups for at least 2 decades. Patrick and Choyke suggested in 1958\(^2\) that the electron emission was probably from the same area that the blue light radiates from under reverse bias ("blue spots"). Since that time several groups (e.g., Stepanov\(^3\), Brander\(^4\)), have shown by electromagnetically focusing the electron emission onto a Willemite screen that, in fact, the electrons do originate from sites of "blue spots" and further that these are sites at which breakdown occurs and they are associated with defects in the SiC structure. Such sites can be generated by mechanically damaging the crystal by grinding (Brander\(^5\)) or by picking (Greebe\(^6\)). Suggestions made by Patrick or others that the sites are ones where free carbon particles or \(\beta\)-SiC exist in the \(\alpha\)-SiC matrix have not been proved. As a proof that these "blue spots" are breakdown sites it is noted that in order to attain "harder"
reverse characteristics with higher breakdown voltages in SiC and SiC p-n junction devices the etching away of "blue spots" is carried out. It is generally assumed that these breakdown sites are hot electron-hole microplasmas. Brander's analysis shows the microplasma temperature to be about 6800°K. The radiation from these areas is shown by Patrick to have a 4.6 eV maximum to its spectrum. This is $\frac{3}{2}$ the SiC energy gap and suggests that the origin of the light is from impact ionization. This energy is greater than the electron affinity of about 4 eV and thus could make possible emission of hot electrons. Stepanov, et al also present evident that impact ionization of impurity centers by fast electrons is the concept behind reverse currents and the blue spot radiation.

In the present investigation an extreme example of such a breakdown region was observed. The inside surface of a tubelike void included within the crystal was noted at a particular reverse bias to light up with blue light in a similar manner to the starting of a fluorescent lamp discharge. At that precise voltage a change in slope of the reverse characteristic was noted and is shown in Figure 2. The void, which appeared to have some excess black material (carbon?) on its inner surface was sufficiently far inside the crystal that any hot electrons that may have been generated could not reach the outside and be collected. Under large enough reverse bias the increased current flow at this breakdown site was sufficient to yield incipient white light generation and finally enough power was dissipated at the junction that catastrophic, irreversible breakdown of the junction resulted.
Figure 2. - Reverse Current Characteristic for SiC Crystal with Void Included in Bulk.
The fact that a specific site may have such a large effect on the reverse current suggests the demise of a scheme which hopes to take advantage of uniform emission over large areas since it implies that the presence of one such breakdown site will lead to the effect that nearly all the reverse current will "short circuit" through such a point and the remaining junction area will minimally be involved in the reverse current flow and resulting hot electron emission in opposition to the suggestions of Hodgkinson(9).

EXPERIMENTAL

Electron Emission

It was earlier mentioned that independent control of the temperature is required in the experimental investigation to determine the mechanism for electron emission. As an example of the problems encountered heretofore in this respect, the power input to and output from a crystal during a typical electron emission experiment can be compared. For a 2 mm x 2 mm x 0.1 mm SiC crystal mounted in 20% Be-Cu electrodes, in vacuum, having 20 V applied across its faces, the current flow would be approximately 0.05 A to obtain reasonable electron emission. If one assumes that the 1 watt of power generated is entirely dissipated by means of radiation with an emissivity $E$, of unity then the temperature, $T$, attained by the device may be obtained by equating power input, $P$, to the power radiation balance at the surface of the crystal in the steady state:
\[ P = 1 \text{ wt} = \sigma \varepsilon A (T^4 - T_0^4) \]  

(1)

where \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( T_0 \) is the environmental temperature, and \( A \) is the total area of the device. For the crystal described above the temperature thus calculated would be \( \sim 900^\circ\text{C} \).

Such a temperature would lead to violation of the assumption of radiative cooling alone, conduction to nearby crystal holder parts becoming a predominant heat loss mechanism. However, even with the largest standard Norton SiC crystal heat sink, an example of which is shown in Figure 3, the crystal temperature increase under the dc conditions required for obtaining useful electron emission (\( \sim 1 \text{ watt} \)) can be calculated to be as much as \( 300^\circ\text{C} \). Thus, dc measurement of electron emission under isothermal conditions is not possible.

In order to limit the crystal temperature so that it could be independently controlled while not simultaneously limiting the instantaneous power level required for emission, pulsed operated was resorted to. Short duty cycles were used to limit the average power so that the crystal temperature rise was small.

The circuit shown in Figure 4 was constructed to provide the required pulses. Duty cycles as short as \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \) were provided for with pulse durations ranging from 350 \( \mu \text{ sec} \) to 9 m sec. Independent variation of the applied junction voltage and the extraction voltage was provided for in order that the saturation characteristics of the emission could be studied. This latter provision allows one indirectly to de-
Figure 3. - SiC p-n Junction Mounted on Norton Heat Sink.
Figure 4. - Schematic of Junction Current Pulse Circuit
rive information about the geometry of the emitting region. The emitted electrons find themselves under the action of both the field of the junction which acts to draw them back into the n side of the crystal and the field of the extraction potential.

All diodes investigated were mounted in an oil diffusion pumped vacuum bell jar system. The diode was biased 650 volts negative with respect to the collector, the tip of a wire which was connected either to a Keithley 610 BR electrometer operated in the "fast" mode for dc measurements or to a Tektronix Model 545B Oscilloscope using a type w amplifier for pulsed mode measurements. The smallest signal that could be reliably measured in the pulsed mode of operation is limited by pick-up of random outside interferring noise and junction current pick-up capacitively coupled to the collector signal in a coherent manner. In the case of the system of Figure 4 the minimum measureable signal voltage level is 1 mV and the Type w amplifier input impedance is 1 megohm so that the minimum measureable collected emission current is \(10^{-9}\) amperes. In order to obtain reasonable accuracy p-n junctions emitting currents two orders of magnitude greater than this minimum (\(10^{-7}\) amperes) must be collected. Since the average junction emission current is much less than this, only exceptional crystals may be used in emission measurements carried out under isothermal conditions. Figure 5 is a tracing of the oscilloscope display of the emission current from diode
Figure 5. - Junction Voltage and Current and Emission Current Under Pulse Conditions (0.7 pps).
2759-9-30-1 pulsed with a nearly rectangular pulse of 18 volts amplitude, 8.5 milliseconds long with a repetition rate of 0.7 pps. Due to the relatively low thermal conductivity of the SiC crystal there was an accompanying increase of junction current from 0.1 A to 0.175 A during the application of the pulse as is also shown in the figure. It may be noted that this requires an accompanying decrease in quantum efficiency or ratio of emission current to junction current with increasing temperature. This is in agreement with the observations of others (3).

It is noted in all investigations that a gradual decay of electron emission occurs with time of operation. This has been particularly so in our investigation whereby almost all emitters measured have ultimately decayed to emission current levels yielding them no longer useful (< 10^{-9} A). Associated with this decay is, invariably, a decrease in junction forward and reverse I-V characteristics. As an example, initially a measurement may indicate a reverse resistance for small applied voltages of 200,000 Ω and a forward resistance of 10,000 Ω. After an hour of operation of the diode at 30 V and .03 A yielding a collected emission current of 2 x 10^{-3} A; both the forward and reverse resistance of the junction are drastically reduced to 1000 Ω or less.

An exception to the above behavior was diode 3059-63-A3. This device, after being culled from a group of similar diodes in a preliminary measurement of emission current in the normal oil diffusion pumped system, was mounted in an ion pumped system and after outgassing at 140°C overnight pressures in the 10^{-8} Torr range were attained. This device after operating
at 50 mA junction current for several hours yielded emission currents as high as $8 \times 10^{-7}$ A, even though such emission had not been obtained after the same treatment in the lower vacuum system. With that junction current the crystal heated up considerably above room temperature, possibly as high as 200°C although no temperature measurements were made. Brander and others have noted the necessity for such pretreatment at increased temperatures required in order to initiate substantial emission current levels. After such treatment the emission current remained constant during continual use of the junction. The diode was operated with no degradation for more than a month. However, when the ambient gas density was increased to greater than $10^{-4}$ Torr a gradual deterioration of emission current was observed although high emission was again restored in UHV.

The deterioration of emitters has been noted by Brander and Todkill\(^{(12)}\) and by Windsor\(^{(10)}\) to be a strong function of the ambient pressure, decay of the emission current being negligible at pressures of $10^{-9}$ Torr and less. Brander and Todkill ascribe the majority of the deterioration to the bombardment of the cathode by positive ions, the intensity of which will vary in proportion to the ambient gas density. The observations on diode 3059-63-A3 in this investigation supports this hypothesis and probably such cathode bombardment accounts for deterioration of all other emitters tested in the bell jar system in which the local pressures in the vicinity of the diode junction could have been greater than the nominal value of $\approx 10^{-5}$ Torr at the ion gauge position near the throat of the diffusion pump.
Table I is a list of the SiC p-n junctions that were investigated for electron emission during the contract period.

I-V Characteristics

In addition to investigation of the relation of emission current to junction current, some understanding of the mechanism for emission can be derived from the relation of applied reverse junction voltage bias and resulting junction current and its variation with temperature. The normal electronic curve tracers which display such characteristics use a duty cycle on the order of 50% which is shown above to be inconsistent with the requirement of constant device temperature. An example of the measured characteristic of a reverse-biased SiC p-n junction mounted on a relatively massive heat sink is displayed in Figure 6. The dotted curve is the characteristic for pulse operation indicating breakdown via avalanche multiplication. The solid dc characteristic, shows the distortion that results from heating of the junction. The proposed solution to the problem of heating was, again, to provide for a greatly decreased duty cycle for the curve tracer. The circuit of Figure 7 was constructed in order to provide 100 V pulses with duty cycles as small as $10^{-6}$ if required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVICE NUMBER</th>
<th>CONFIGURATION</th>
<th>DC OPERATION</th>
<th>PULSE OPERATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAXIMUM</td>
<td>MAXIMUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRON EMISSION (A)</td>
<td>ELECTRON EMISION (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-A</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>3x10⁻⁸</td>
<td>4x10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-C</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-I</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>1.6x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>8x10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-P</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>4x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>4x10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-Q</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>1.3x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.2x10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-1</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-2</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-3</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>3.5x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.2x10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-4</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>1.7x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>4.7x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-5</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>1.6x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>2.2x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-6</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-7</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>6x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>4x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-8</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>2.4x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>6x10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-9</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-10</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>2.6x10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>7.4x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-11</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>1.1x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>7.3x10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-12</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>1.8x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>9x10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-13</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO-56-14</td>
<td>90° cleaved</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-1</td>
<td>90° unmounted</td>
<td>6.9x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>6.9x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTON-G</td>
<td>90° unmounted</td>
<td>1x10⁻¹²</td>
<td>1.6x10⁻¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td></td>
<td>8x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>8x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1263-B4A</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.85x10⁻⁸</td>
<td>8x10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2NR-A2</td>
<td>45° unmounted</td>
<td>1.2x10⁻⁹</td>
<td>4x10⁻⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2NR-A4</td>
<td>45° unmounted</td>
<td>1.6x10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>1.6x10⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVICE NUMBER</td>
<td>CONFIGURATION</td>
<td>DC OPERATION</td>
<td>PULSE OPERATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MAXIMUM ELECTRON EMISSION (A)</td>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C207 2-13-1</td>
<td>15° unmounted</td>
<td>4.5 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>1.5 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C207 2-13-2</td>
<td>15° unmounted</td>
<td>6.5 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>6 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C207 2-13-3</td>
<td>15° unmounted</td>
<td>2.2 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>2 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C207 2-17-70</td>
<td>Multifaceted</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-21-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-21-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-21-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-21-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-301</td>
<td>15° on heat sink</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-30-2</td>
<td>15° on heat sink</td>
<td>7 x 10^{-11}</td>
<td>3.1 x 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-30-3</td>
<td>15° on heat sink</td>
<td>9 x 10^{-11}</td>
<td>1.6 x 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2579 9-304</td>
<td>15° on heat sink</td>
<td>2 x 10^{-11}</td>
<td>4 x 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2892 - 3C</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-ER-A1-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2951-55-A3</td>
<td>45° on heat sink</td>
<td>3 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>8 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2951-55-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2966-70A2</td>
<td>45° unmounted</td>
<td>3 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>8 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2966-70-A9</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 x 10^{-10}</td>
<td>3 x 10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3059-63-A1</td>
<td>90° on heat sink</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3059-63-A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>2.3 x 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3059-63-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>1 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3059-63-A5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>4 x 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3094-87-A22</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5 x 10^{-9}</td>
<td>2.2 x 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-ER-A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 x 10^{-11}</td>
<td>7 x 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-ER-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4006-4010-A1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4011-15-A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVICE NUMBER</td>
<td>CONFIGURATION</td>
<td>DC OPERATION MAXIMUM ELECTRON EMISSION (A)</td>
<td>MAXIMUM ELECTRON EMISSION (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-4971</td>
<td></td>
<td>4x10^{-10}</td>
<td>8x10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-ER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57-ER</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-ER</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7514</td>
<td>PNP-no contacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-96-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-96-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-6}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-96-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82169-A28</td>
<td>90° unmounted</td>
<td>3.8x10^{-12}</td>
<td>7.6x10^{-9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8-70-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8-70-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8-70-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>10^{-6}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-13-70-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5x10^{-9}</td>
<td>4x10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-13-70-A5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5x10^{-6}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-13-70-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. - Pulse and Direct Current IV Characteristics of Diode 1263-B4 Illustrating the Influence of Diode Temperature Rise.
Figure 7. - Schematic of Diode Curve Tracer.
Cesium Deposition. - An additional benefit from maintaining the crystal temperature relatively low may be gained in the investigation of increased electron emission resulting from cesium metal deposition which lowers the SiC work function. The serious nature of the experimental problem of cesium deposition becomes evident if one refers to Figure 8 which is a plot of the number of monolayers per second of cesium which will evaporate from a cesium surface as a function of surface temperature where a good sink for the evaporant is provided. It is seen that the lifetime of a cesiated surface maintained at room temperature is about 2 seconds which is too short for meaningful emission measurement to be carried out. This partially explains the reason for generally negative results obtained in the cesium deposition experiments performed on contract NAS1-5347, Task 13. In order that sufficient time be available for the measurement, Figure 8 indicates that the junction surface temperature must be maintained below 0°C. This is only possible under pulse conditions.

The alternative for obtaining a cesium surface is to introduce sufficient cesium into a sealed off system that an equilibrium vapor pressure equivalent to monolayer coverage (\( \sim 5 \times 10^{-4} \) Torr) be maintained. Because of the possibility of shorting of the p-n junction due to excess cesium and because of the detrimental reactive effect of an equilibrium vapor of cesium within the vacuum system this alternative was not pursued. Figure 9 is a schematic of the cesium vapor deposition system that was constructed. Provisions were made to measure and to separate out electron photoemission current from hot electron p-n junction electron emission.
Figure 8. - Rate of Evaporation of Cesium Metal From a Cesium Surface.
Figure 9. - Schematic of Cesium Deposition Experiment.
After installation of the cesium generation and detection apparatus shown in Figure 8 a search was made for a diode with greater than $10^{-8}$ A emission since that represents a current level 10 times the minimum level that may be detected with the pulsed diode scheme which must necessarily be used in order to limit the diode temperature as discussed above. Simultaneously with this the supply of diodes for testing was severely limited due to uncontrollable problems that had arisen in the operation of the SiC crystal pilot line. No diodes were found that could be used in testing the cesium deposition scheme with the exception of diode 3059-63-A3 and that device was required in the more necessary experiment to determine the effect of electron emission upon magnetron discharge gauge operation as will be discussed below.

ION IMPLANTATION

In recent years a group at Hughes Research Laboratories has successfully formed p-n junctions in SiC by means of ion implantation \(^{13,14,15}\). Since a junction formed in such a manner may be very close to the surface the possibility exists to obtain by this technique a large area for electron emission as opposed to the narrow junction width exposed at the crystal surface in the case of junction formation by epitaxial growth. In the latter case, those hot electrons generated within the bulk of the crystal lose their energy interacting with photons and other electrons. The mean free path for the hot electrons was shown in reference 1 to be between 100 Å and 1000 Å depending on crystal perfection and hot electron energy.
It was assumed that if by ion implantation a p-n junction could be formed within a few hundred Angstrom units of the surface, enhanced electron emission would be observed because the accelerated electron would experience few, if any, collisions in their escape from the junction. Under the reasonable assumption that avalanche multiplications is the mechanism for the observed electron emission as opposed to Zener tunnelling, therefore lightly doped p type SiC crystals were desired. The transition of the breakdown mechanism from Zener tunnelling to avalanche breakdown as one proceeds from heavily doped Si to lightly doped has been shown by Chynoweth, et al. The p crystals used in formation of the standard Norton junctions are heavily compensated, the starting material being relatively heavily nitrogen doped n material. Thus ion implantation into Norton material to form a shallow n layer would have to take place into p material with nearly .03% of acceptor impurities. No commercial source of lightly p-doped SiC was found. However, Westinghouse Corporation's Astronuclear Division agreed to supply some light doped p crystals upon NASA certification of the need for them in the electron emitter development program.

Arrangements were made with Sprague Electric Corporation to carry out nitrogen ion implantation into several of the p crystals, the doping levels of which were assumed to be between $10^{15}/\text{cm}^3$ and $10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$. The results of the ion implantation are shown in Table II and III which are the
TABLE II

SPRAGUE ELECTRIC COMPANY

Nitrogen in SiC
(Room Temp)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14 $N^+$</th>
<th></th>
<th>14 $N_2^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E (keV)</td>
<td>D (Ions/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>E (keV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>37-1</td>
<td>700 Å</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>37-2</td>
<td>1000 Å</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>37-3</td>
<td>1500 Å</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>40-1</td>
<td>1900 Å</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>40-2</td>
<td>2300 Å</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>40-3</td>
<td>2300 Å</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C peak ~ (1 - 3) x 10$^{20}$ atoms/cm$^3$
C average ~ 1 x 10$^{20}$ for all implants
### TABLE III

**SPRAGUE ELECTRIC COMPANY**

**SiC Substrate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta R_p$ (µM)</th>
<th>$\frac{14}{7} N^+$ at $1 \times 10^{15}$/cm$^2$ N peak</th>
<th>$\AA$ $\sim x_j$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 keV</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
<td>1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 keV</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 keV</td>
<td>0.0227</td>
<td>739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 keV</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 keV</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 keV</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 keV</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>1313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 keV</td>
<td>0.0370</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 keV</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
<td>1674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Want $\sim 10^{20}$/cm$^3$ $x_j = 3000, 2000, 1500, 1100, 800, 400 \AA$

$$N \text{ peak} = \frac{10^4 C}{\sqrt{2\pi} (\Delta R_p) \mu M} \text{ atoms/cm}^3$$

$$= 4.0 \times 10^3 \frac{C}{(\Delta R_p) \mu M}$$

**Ion Distribution:**

$$\frac{N}{C} = \frac{10^4}{(\Delta R_p) \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \frac{(x - R_p)^2}{2(\Delta R_p)^2}$$

$$+ x_{\text{junction}} = R_p + 4\Delta R_p$$
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reports received from Sprague, and the analysis leading to the determination of implanted range and profile following the work of Johnson and Gibbons "Projected Range Statistics in Semiconductors". The page of interest from the latter is reproduced as Table IV.

At the same time preparations were made for measurement of the carrier concentration and the impurity type. An apparatus for carrying out 4-point probe resistivity and Hall effect measurements was constructed following the method of Van der Pauw. Fortran IV computer programs were written to yield the carrier concentration, specific resistivity, carrier mobility, and Hall coefficient from the 4 point probe resistances, and the magnetic flux density. A listing of the computer programs is given in Appendix I. A cold probe was used to determine the major impurity type in classification of the crystals after implantation and subsequent annealing.

Numerous attempts were made to apply ohmic contacts to the Westinghouse material without success. Pure silver and tantalum-gold contacts which had been successfully used in measurements on Norton crystals did not provide ohmic contacts although the latter in general yielded reasonably low resistance contacts to the Westinghouse p material. A second problem in contacting the implanted junctions arises from the fact that the implanted layer which forms the junction lies extremely close to the surface. Any of the ohmic contacts that have been successfully made to SiC
### TABLE IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENERGY (KEV)</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
<th>PROJECTED</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
<th>RANGE (MICRONS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
<td>STAN. DEVIATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
<td>MICRONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.1113</td>
<td>0.1090</td>
<td>0.1049</td>
<td>0.1319</td>
<td>0.1514</td>
<td>0.1669</td>
<td>0.1842</td>
<td>0.1936</td>
<td>0.1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.1419</td>
<td>0.1370</td>
<td>0.1337</td>
<td>0.1637</td>
<td>0.1832</td>
<td>0.1994</td>
<td>0.2182</td>
<td>0.2295</td>
<td>0.2352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.2174</td>
<td>0.2126</td>
<td>0.2094</td>
<td>0.2474</td>
<td>0.2669</td>
<td>0.2842</td>
<td>0.3025</td>
<td>0.3154</td>
<td>0.3217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.3230</td>
<td>0.3181</td>
<td>0.3149</td>
<td>0.3530</td>
<td>0.3725</td>
<td>0.3904</td>
<td>0.4082</td>
<td>0.4217</td>
<td>0.4302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.4425</td>
<td>0.4376</td>
<td>0.4344</td>
<td>0.4734</td>
<td>0.4929</td>
<td>0.5114</td>
<td>0.5299</td>
<td>0.5404</td>
<td>0.5502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduced from: Projected Range Statistics in Semiconductors
have required diffusing-in or alloying the contact material with the SiC. The diffusion takes place over macroscopic distances of the material (e.g., .1 mil thickness), so that the volume that is disturbed by making the contact is much thicker than an ion implanted junction would be (e.g., < 0.01 mil) and, thus, such a junction would be short-circuited.

Since the implanted (n) material is relatively highly doped, \( \approx 10^{20}/\text{cm}^3 \) it may be that no difficulty would be had in obtaining a satisfactory ohmic contact without resorting to high temperature diffusion contact to it. The significant problem to be solved is that of obtaining ohmic contact to the lightly doped p material and thus knowledge of successful contact to the n material must come subsequent to the development of ohmic contacts to the p side material.

Following ion implantation, annealing of the junction is required to remove the damage done to the lattice by the action of the high energy ions implanted. The work done by Marsh et al.\(^{(13)}\) at Hughes on the formation of pn junctions by ion implantation into SiC crystals showed that annealing at 1400°C for 2 minutes was required to obtain a reasonably large carrier concentration and electron mobility in such crystals. Annealing, in vacuum, of Westinghouse crystals ion-implanted at the Sprague Electric Corporation was carried out in the equipment shown schematically in Figure 10. The crystals were enclosed in capsules of platinum
Figure 10. - Schematic of System for Annealing Ion Implanted SiC Crystals.
foil contained in the molybdenum sample bucket. Measurement of the Hall constant and resistivity of the resulting diode properties was attempted without success due to the lack of ohmic contact with the (lightly doped) p side of the diode.

Diamond Emitters

The generation of shallow p-n junctions to encourage large area emission of electrons need not be restricted to SiC crystals. If the reasonable assumption is made that the predominant mechanism for energy loss of hot carriers exiting the n region into vacuum is that of impact ionization then it may be shown that the material with the largest energy gap, $E_g$, would have the highest probability of yielding high electron emission. It has been shown theoretically (18) that impact ionization requires an energy of about $3/2 E_g$ and as long as this is greater than the electron affinity $E_F$, then hot carriers could exit the crystal. In the case of SiC, $3/2 E_g \approx 4.6$ eV and $E_F \approx 4.0$ eV so that conditions are favorable for electron emission. However, if one considered diamond with an energy gap of almost twice that of SiC it is immediately seen that excellent conditions for electron emission would exist in a p-n junction formed in such a material. Diamond crystals are now available commercially in large enough sizes by the General Electric process. If the problem of ohmic contact to diamond p crystal can be solved the formation of diamond electron emitters using the technique of ion implantation should be investigated.
A secondary goal of the program was to use the p-n junction emitter as a cold cathode to supplement the discharge in a magnetron ion source. There are three important areas in which the p-n junction emitter could be useful in such gauges. First is in aiding the initiation of a magnetron discharge. The second area would be in the enhancement of linear discharge characteristics of the present Redhead magnetron. The third area for investigation would be in the development of a linear magnetron ion source with a sensitivity proportional to the p-n junction emitter current in the manner of the hot cathode magnetron gauge of Lafferty (18).

In the case of the initiation of a discharge in a normal magnetron gauge, depending upon the dimensions of the gauge and its electric and magnetic field strengths, there may be insufficient generation of secondary electrons at the optimum location for the discharge to build up to a stable one even though a discharge, once established, would maintain itself. The p-n junction emitter is used to introduce the required electron component. The location of introduction of these electrons is of great importance to their efficiency in aiding the initiation of the discharge and the smaller the number of such electrons needed for this initiation, the more desirable the use of such a source becomes. Once sufficient electrons
are added that the discharge becomes stable, generating sufficient secondaries as a result of ionization of neutrals and positive ion bombardment, then the initiating electron emission may be ceased. In addition to its attraction of requiring low power dissipation the use of a cold electron emitter is desired in order to avoid the simultaneous generation of gas which would mask the ambient gas concentration to be measured.

A p-n junction emitter was placed nearly in the plane of of one (grounded) cathode plate of an experimental magnetron gauge as shown in Figure 11. The other cathode with its associated axial rod was connected to an electrometer for purposes of monitoring the presence of ions. The cylindrical anode was operated at various voltages between 200 and 1000 volts dc. The axial magnetic field was approximately 740 gauss. Figure 12 is a schematic of the experimental configuration. The meter in the anode circuit is used in determining the emitted electron current. The electric and magnetic field characteristics of the particular magnetron gauge used were such that the gauge was not self starting at pressures below about $6 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr.

Figure 13 shows the effect upon the collected ion current of increasing the emission current from the SiC p-n junction. The behavior shown is independent of the presence of the magnetic field. The dependence of $I_e$ on impressed voltage is also shown. Since the plotted voltage includes the temperature dependent IR drop in the crystal in addition to the junction voltage thus then there is anomalous behavior at higher voltage where the crystal temperature is increasing. However, the threshold for emission at 12 volts is clearly visible.
Figure 11. - Schematic of Diode Mounting at Cathode of an Experimental Magnetron Ion Gauge.
Figure 12. - Schematic of Experiment to Study Magnetron Discharge Enhancement Using a SiC Electron Emitter.
Figure 13. - Electron Emission Current vs. Junction Current and Voltage for Diode 3059-63-A3.
Prior to the experiment it had been found that the electrons were coming from a region of the diode junction that was made visible by the usual electron-hole microplasma light emission. In mounting the diode, care was taken to place the emission site close (\(\sim 1\) mm) to the anode in order that the maximum field be available to draw out the electrons. It is therefore unlikely that the ion current collected is due to primary ionization resulting from the directly emitted electron component since those ions would no doubt be collected at the grounded cathode where the emitter was situated. The ion current collected at the opposite cathode which was monitored is more probably predominantly that resulting from ionization by electrons resulting from secondary emission and is distributed throughout the volume of the anode-cathode space under the action of the crossed electric and magnetic fields. This resulting plasma represents the incipient conditions that subsequently results in a stable discharge once a threshold is reached such that the ion-electron pair generation rate is sufficient to compensate for the inherent loss rate for the device. Until enough electron current is emitted to encourage this sufficiency of pair generations, the removal of the emitted current component leads to complete decay of the plasma. Once the threshold is reached a stable self sustaining distribution of electrons is reached and decrease of the emitted current to zero does not lead to decay of the plasma below some level dependent on the device geometry.
Figure 14. - Anode Current vs. Cathode Current for the Experimental Ion Gauge with SiC Emitter.
and the electric and magnetic field distributions. This may be seen in Figure 14 which shows the total cathode current which is the sum of the collected ion current and the resulting secondary emission versus the total anode current due to the electrons collected there.

If the magnet is removed from the gauge the current collected at the opposite cathode from that at which emission takes place is more than 4 orders of magnitude smaller. The electric field configuration in that case is apparently such as to cause the collection of all ions at the emitting cathode. The pressure in this case was approximately $6 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. The discharge was not self sustaining without emission from the diode since the ion current decayed to zero when the diode junction current was ceased.

It is not altogether surprising that Figure 14 shows the anode and cathode current are nearly linearly related since the measurements made represent stable discharge conditions. In such a case the total cathode current must be strictly equal to the anode current and with the split cathode configuration that exists in the experiment described the current collected at one cathode will be some nearly constant proportion of the total cathode current.

Since the discharge effectively masks the contributing effect of the emitted electron current a series of experiments were carried out to extract information on the relation of emitter current to collected ion (plus secondary electron) current. The measurement of emitter current collected at
the anode was made with the magnet removed from the gauge. The magnet was then replaced and the ion current collected at the cathode opposite the emitter was measured. An example of such data is shown in Figure 15. It may be seen as expected that since the discharge is nearly self starting at this pressure then it requires but little emitted current to initiate the discharge. Once the discharge occurs the emitter current may be removed without effect. That condition is shown by the solid circle in the Figure.

On the other hand if the pressure is reduced to about $10^{-7}$ Torr such that the stable discharge current corresponds to less than the attainable emitter current an interesting situation is found, an example of which is shown in Figure 16. As before, there is a rapid increase of ion current with emitted electron current until apparently a stable discharge condition is attained at about $4.5 \times 10^{-10}$ A. That is when the emitter current has just exceeded the stable discharge current with no emitter current (circled point). It appears that at that point the introduction of electrons is sufficient to overcome the loss mechanism for electrons, the discharge being non self starting without this supply of electrons. However, if the emission current is increased far above that value corresponding to the stable conditions, the collected ion current does not increase, but rather remains limited at the value corresponding to the stable discharge conditions with no cold emitted electrons. As the attempt is made to inject more electrons into the discharge no increase in ion current is observed. The solid circle indicates the anode-cathode current conditions when the emitter current is decreased to zero.
Figure 15. - Cathode Current vs. Electron Current for the Experimental Ion Gauge with SiC Emitter at \( \sim 10^{-6} \) Torr.
Figure 16. - Cathode Current vs. Emitted Electron Current for the Experimental Ion Gauge with SiC Emitter at \( \sim 10^{-7} \) Torr.
There are two possible explanations of this behavior, the least likely being that an attempt to increase the density of electrons in the rotating electron cloud leads in some manner to increased ion-electron recombination to somehow balance the increased ionization due to the additional electrons. More likely is the fact that the effective ionizing current in the rotating electron cloud resulting from the discharge is very much larger than the emitted electron current. That this is so may be understood if one assumes because of the increased electron path in the magnetron gauge over that in the Bayard-Alpert gauge structure that the gauge sensitivity, $S$, is increased by as much as $10^3$ to $\sim 10^4$ Torr$^{-1}$. (The magnetron structure of Lafferty$^{(18)}$ attained a sensitivity greater than that). With this assumption the effective ionizing current for the gauge would be approximately

$$I_- = \frac{I_+}{pS}.$$ 

Thus for $I_+ = 3 \times 10^{-9}$ A as observed and $p = 10^{-7}$ Torr then

$$I_- = 3 \times 10^{-6}$$ A.

The maximum emitted current was $8.5 \times 10^{-9}$ A and is thus negligible compared with the probable effective ionizing electron current in the gauge and as observed, should have had no measurable effect on the collected ion current.
It follows from these considerations that the effect of an emitter in a Redhead gauge will have little effect on the ion current unless the emitted current is much greater than the ion current and in fact the case investigated the required emitter current is on the order of the maximum current that has been observed from SiC diodes. For the normal Redhead gauge which has a current sensitivity more than an order of magnitude larger than the experimental gauge used (4A/Torr vs. 0.1A/Torr) the emitted current required would be at least \(10^{-5}\) A. Since the maximum observed diode efficiency is on the order of \(10^{-5}\) thus the junction current required would be at least 1 ampere and for such currents the device may not be run under dc conditions because of excessive power dissipated (> 10 wt) at such a current. Thus, the one benefit to be gained from the use of a SiC electron emitter in a Redhead magnetron is its ability to initiate a discharge which would not otherwise commence. The current required for that initiation appears to be about equal to the gauge ion current for the pressure of interest.

On the other hand the third area in which the SiC p-n junction emitter could be useful, namely its use in place of the hot cathode of a Lafferty magnetron gauge has great possibilities because of its low temperature which would lead to little interference by generating or dissociating gases in the gauge. In addition, the emission current required for linear operation (< \(10^{-7}\) A) is well within the normal range of output of many SiC diodes.
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APPENDIX I

FORTRAN PROGRAMS FOR ANALYSIS
OF 4 POINT PROBE HALL EFFECT MEASUREMENTS

MAIN PROGRAM HALL 4

TYPE 500
ACCEPT 100, THE

11 TYPE 200
ACCEPT 100, R1
TYPE 300
ACCEPT 100, R2
TYPE 400
ACCEPT 100, RDFL
TYPE 930
ACCEPT 100, RZ
RZ=(R1-R2)/(B1+B2)
R=R1/R2
T=TH*. 00254
X=1.
   DX=1.

10 Y2=R2-F(X)
   IF(Y2)3,2,5

5 IF(Y2-.001)2,2,1
   DX=DX/2
   X=X-DX
   GO TO 10

1 IF(Y2+.001)4,2,2
   DX=DX/2
   X=X+DX
   GO TO 10

2 TYPE 100,X
   TYPE 100,R

100 FORMAT(E)
200 FORMAT( ' R1LG ')
300 FORMAT( ' R2SM ')

51
XRES = 2.27 * T * (R1 + R2) * X
TYPE 900
TYPE 600, XRES
RH = RDEL * T^2 * 10000.
TYPE 700
TYPE 600, RH
XMOB = RH / XRES
TYPE 910
TYPE 600, XMOB
XCC = 10. ** 19 / (1.6 * RH)
TYPE 800
TYPE 100, XCC
XN2 = XCC / 10. ** 16
TYPE 92N
TYPE 600, XN2
XK = 1. / (273. + XC)
TYPE 940
TYPE 600, XK
400 FORMAT ( ' RDEL ')
500 FORMAT ( ' TH ')
600 FORMAT ( F )
700 FORMAT ( ' RH ')
800 FORMAT ( ' XCC ')
900 FORMAT ( ' XRES ')
910 FORMAT ( ' XMOR ')
920 FORMAT ( ' XN2 ')
930 FORMAT ( ' TEM.F ')
940 FORMAT ( ' I/K ')

52
FUNCTION SUBROUTINE FUNCT

FUNCTION F(Y)
  D=EXP((ALOG(2.))/Y)/2.
  F=Y/ALOG(2.)*ALOG(D+SQRT(D*D-1.))
RETURN
END