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ABSTRACT

An investigation was conducted to explore the use of infrared and ultraviolet emission-absorption spectroscopy for determination of temperature profiles in flames. Spectral radiances and absorptances were measured in the 2.7-μm H₂O band and the 3064-Å OH band in H₂/O₂ flames for several temperature profiles which were directly measured by a sodium line-reversal technique. The temperature profiles, determined by inversion of the infrared and ultraviolet spectra, showed an average disagreement with line-reversal measurements of 50°K for the infrared and 200°K for the ultraviolet at a temperature of 2600°K. The reasons for these discrepancies are discussed in some detail.
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MEASUREMENT OF TEMPERATURE PROFILES IN FLAMES
BY EMISSION-ABSORPTION SPECTROSCOPY

SUMMARY

An experimental study was conducted to explore the use of infrared and ultraviolet emission-absorption spectroscopy in the 2.7-μm and 3064-Å bands of H2O and OH respectively, for the determination of temperature profiles in nonuniform flames.

A rectangular multiple-diffusion burner with a number of unique features was designed and constructed for this study. A single manifold supplied oxidizer gas to the entire flame; the fuel manifold was divided into seven, isolated compartments. This permitted the establishment of temperature profiles along the length of the burner by throttling the fuel flow to each burner segment; thus, the local fuel/oxidizer ratio was varied. To color only the central portion of flame, a method was developed to introduce sodium into the fuel gas supplying the central portion of flame, thus permitting a direct determination of the temperature profile by line-reversal measurements.

A variation of the traditional line-reversal method was introduced for the temperature-profile measurement. The brightness temperature of a carbon arc was varied by an optical attenuator (designed and constructed for this purpose) consisting of a pair of counter-rotating, circular-disc, neutral density filters. With the attenuator set for the desired temperature, the line reversal was obtained by adjustment of the fuel-flow control valve, always keeping the mixture-ratio on the fuel-rich side of stoichiometric.

The burner was successfully operated with H2/O2, CH4/O2, and CO/O2 as reactants. It was also operated in an isothermal mode, in which a central H2/O2 flame was shielded by CO/O2 flames at either end to obviate the cooling and dilution of the combustion product, H2O, mixing with ambient air. A 1.8-m Rowland circle spectrograph was converted into a scanning spectrometer by incorporation of an exit-slit assembly, which was designed and constructed for this study. A calibration procedure, which incorporated an NBS-certified tungsten lamp and a xenon arc, was developed for the reduction of the ultraviolet emission spectra into absolute spectral radiances.

Spectral radiances and absorptances were measured in the infrared and ultraviolet for four temperature profiles in H2/O2 flames: linear (viewed from either
direction); triangular, with a hot center; and triangular, with a cool center. The data are presented in complete detail, along with the sodium line-reversal temperatures.

The molecular band model used for the inversion of the infrared spectra was modified to handle more accurately nonisothermal radiation calculations for hot, gaseous sources exhibiting large gradients in temperature. The improved band model was checked by a comparison of predicted and measured values for a small, methane-oxygen flame viewed through 10 m of ambient air.

A computer code was written for use in inverting the OH spectra. It incorporated a parametric approach similar to that used for the infrared. However, instead of using a band model, the spectral radiances were calculated for isolated spectral lines by integrating the exact equation of transfer over both the optical path and the contour of the spectral line. An analysis was made to determine the relative importance of pressure and Doppler broadening; the results indicated that the former dominates for the optical depths encountered in this study.

The parametric method of inverting infrared emission and absorption spectra has been demonstrated in application to flames. Although the temperature profiles so determined showed disagreement with the profiles determined from the line-reversal observations, the disagreement is only nominal and the approach appears to be basically valid. In particular, the results indicate that a wide range of temperatures can be accommodated in such measurements. On the other hand, the parametric method of inverting ultraviolet emission and absorption spectra appeared, at least in this experiment, to be limited to providing information only over a narrow range of temperatures because of the steep variation of OH concentration with temperatures, especially in the region close to stoichiometric. Furthermore, the OH temperatures obtained were somewhat higher than the infrared and sodium line temperatures. This is tentatively attributed to OH chemiluminescence in and near the reaction zone. Further studies are required to delineate the regions and conditions in which OH chemiluminescence might be expected to affect emission absorption temperatures.
INTRODUCTION

In a previous investigation at this laboratory [1], infrared emission-absorption spectroscopy was studied as a means for determining temperature profiles in inhomogeneous hot gases, such as the exhaust jets of advanced air-breathing engines. A computer code, based on a generalized form of the statistical band model, was written for the calculation of molecular radiative transfer in diatomic and polyatomic gases. This code was applied to the determination of temperature profiles in hot gases by a procedure based on prior knowledge of the profile shape. This procedure involved the fitting of band-model predictions to observed spectra. For that previous study, spectral radiances and absorptances were measured in the 2- to 3-μm region for various pressures and temperature profiles along a 60-cm path in furnace-heated samples of H₂O, CO₂, HF, and H₂O, CO₂, N₂ mixtures. In all cases, the temperature profiles so obtained differed by an average value of 25°K from direct measurements by thermocouples on the sample cell.

In the present study, a continuation of this research, emission-absorption spectroscopy is applied to the determination of a higher temperature profile in an inhomogeneous flame to provide a more realistic simulation of actual applications. For this purpose, both infrared measurements in the 2.7-μm H₂O band and ultraviolet measurements in the 3064-Å OH band were investigated.
2.1. BAND-MODEL

The band-model formulation used in this study is based on Eq. (5) of Ref. [2]; the rationale in the development of this model is reviewed in Ref. [1]. Expressed in terms of the average transmittance ($\tau$) in a spectral bandpass encompassing a number of lines, the average spectral radiance at the observer from a given optical path ($\mathcal{L}_\nu$) is given in approximate form by

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} L^*_\nu(T_i)(\Delta \tau)_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which $L^*_\nu$ is the Planck function of the temperature, $T_i$. For this approximation, the optical path has been divided into $n$ layers. The average spectral absorptance ($\alpha$) of the optical path is given by

$$\alpha(\nu) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta \tau)_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\nu$ is the spectral frequency. The apparent (the indicated emission-absorption) temperature ($T^*$) is given by

$$L^*_\nu(T^*) = \frac{\mathcal{L}_\nu}{\alpha(\nu)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The spectral transmittance difference, $(\Delta \tau)_i = \tau_{i-1} - \tau_i$, for a single gas is obtained from $\tau_1 = \exp \left[ -\beta e f(\bar{x}_1) \right]$, where $\beta$ is the line-overlap parameter, and for a mixture of $m$ absorbing gases from the relation

$$\tau = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \tau_{i,k}$$

The function $f(x)$ is the Ladenburg-Reiche expression or a simple algebraic approximation of it [3]. The argument, $\bar{x}$, is the dimensionless optical depth, defined by

$$\bar{x}_i = \beta e^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} k(T_j) \left[ \frac{\beta(T_j)}{\beta e} \right] (\Delta X)_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where \( \bar{K}(T_j) \) = the local value of the first band-model parameter, the average absorption coefficient (which can be identified with the ratio of average line strength to spacing)

\( \beta(T_j) \) = the local value of the second parameter (identifiable as the ratio of average line width to spacing and proportional to the effective broadening pressure)

\( \beta_e = \) the absorption-coefficient weighted average over the entire path

\( (\Delta X)_j = \) the optical depth increment

The band-model parameters were extracted from the General Dynamics tabulations [4] and dehormalized as indicated in [1]. The optical depth increment is given by \((\Delta X)_j = P_j(\Delta L)\), where \( P_j \) is the local value of the partial pressure of the radiating species and \((\Delta L)_j \) is the geometrical path increment. The exponent \( \eta \) provides for the interpolation between the nearly-weak and nearly-strong line approximations. For calculations which involve only hot gases and in which the temperature gradient is not too severe, the empirically derived specifications \( \bar{x}_L < 1, \eta = 0; 1 \leq \bar{x}_L \leq 10, \eta = (\bar{x}_L - 1)/9; \bar{x}_L > 10, \eta = 1 \), where \( \bar{x}_L \) is the optical depth for the entire path, are satisfactory. However, for calculations involving a large variation in temperature, a more complex interpolation procedure is necessary. Such a procedure has been developed and is described in Appendix I. In Appendix II, some comparisons of the band-model predictions with hot gas spectra are shown. A particular application, the calculation of net radiation from hot combustion products viewed through an absorbing atmospheric path, is described in Appendix III. These changes have improved the general performance of the band model [1]. Appendix IV includes a discussion of some adjustments of the band-model parameters for low temperatures.

2.2. ISOLATED LINES

Because of the simpler band structure of diatomic molecules, the rotational lines of OH were well separated and easily resolved by the instrumentation available. Therefore, like the infrared data for HF [1], the ultraviolet emission-absorption data could be handled on a line-by-line basis. However, approximate expressions for integrated line radiances and equivalent widths were avoided. Instead, these quantities were calculated using the exact equations of radiative transfer for a nonscattering source in local thermodynamic equilibrium:

\[
L_\nu = \frac{X_L}{X} \int_0^X L^*(X) k(\nu, X) \exp \left[ -\int_0^X k(\nu, X') \, dX' \right] \, dX
\]

*In Appendix C, p. 92 of [1], the denormalization factor should read \([273/T]\), not \(\sqrt{273/T}\).
and

$$\alpha(\nu) = 1 - \exp \left[ - \int_0^{X_L} k(\nu, X) \, dX \right] \quad (5a)$$

where $k$ = the absorption coefficient

$X$ = the optical depth coordinate

The integrated radiance and equivalent width are defined by

$$L = \int_0^\infty L_\nu \, d\nu \quad (6)$$

and

$$W = \int_0^\infty \alpha(\nu) \, d\nu \quad (7)$$

respectively, and the apparent temperature by

$$L_\nu^*(T^*) = \frac{L}{W} \quad (8)$$

where $W$ = the equivalent width. Equations (5) through (8) were programmed for numerical computations on The University of Michigan's IBM 360 system. These equations require that transition probabilities or oscillator strengths be transformed into the form of the line strengths used in the infrared.

The 3064Å OH band results from transitions between the $2\Sigma^-$ (lower) and the $2\Sigma^+$ (upper) electronic states. The transitions in this band near 3064 Å correspond to the $v' = v'' = 0$ band, where single and double primes indicate upper and lower levels specified by the vibrational quantum number, $v$. The rotational structure of this band has been described by Dieke and Crosswhite [6]. The line strength ($S$) for a particular line within the 3064Å band is given by

$$S_{j''j'} = \int_0^\infty k(\nu) \, d\nu \quad (9)$$

where $k$ is the spectral absorption coefficient of Eq. (5) in cm$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$, and $\nu$ is the wave number in cm$^{-1}$. The dependence upon the path has been suppressed. $j'$ and $j''$ indicate the total angular momentum quantum numbers of the upper and lower rota-
tional states. The line strength is related to the oscillator strength $f_{J''J'}$ by [7]:

$$S_{J''J'} = f_{J''J'} N_{J''} \frac{\pi e^2}{mc^2}$$

(10)

where $N_{J''}$ is the population of the lower level in cm$^{-3}$; $m$ and $e$ are the electronic mass and charge; and $c$ is the velocity of light. Because of the small population of the upper state, contributions to the line strength from emission by molecules in the upper state are neglected in this definition. The oscillator strength and rotational matrix element are related by

$$f_{J''J'} = \frac{F' T_{J''J'} R_{J''J'}}{(2J'' + 1)}$$

(11)

where $R_{J''J'}$ is the relative magnitude of the matrix element, $F'$ is an empirically determined constant, and $T_{J''J'}$ is a vibration-rotation interaction factor. For the tabulations of $R_{J''J'}$ of Dieke and Crosswhite [6], a value of $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for $F'$ was determined by Golden et al. [7], and values of $T_{J''J'}$ were computed by Learner [8]. A combination of Eqs. (10) and (11) yields

$$S_{J''J'} = \frac{T_{J''J'} R_{J''J'} N_{J''}}{(2J'' + 1)N} \left( \frac{F'}{mc^2} \pi e^2 N \right)$$

(12)

where $N$ is the total number density of OH molecules. With the prime notation omitted for convenience, the population factor $N_J/N$ is expressed by the product

$$N_J/N = \left( \frac{g_e}{Q_e} e^{-E_e/\kappa T} \right) \left( \frac{g_v}{Q_v} e^{-E_v/\kappa T} \right) \left( \frac{g_r}{Q_r} e^{-E_r/\kappa T} \right)$$

(13)

where $E_e$, $E_v$, $E_r$ are the energies for the electronic, vibrational, and rotational states respectively; $g_e$, $g_v$, $g_r$ are the corresponding statistical weights; and $Q_e$, $Q_v$, $Q_r$ are the electronic, vibrational, and rotational partition functions. The term in Eq. (13) corresponding to the electronic state is essentially unity for all temperatures of interest here.

The vibrational energy levels were computed from the relation

$$E_v(v) = \omega(v + 1/2) - \chi(v + 1/2)^2$$

(14)
where \( \omega = 3735.21 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( x = 82.21 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) [6]. The partition function, \( Q_\nu \), was computed by a summing of the exponential factors, \( \exp \left[ -E_\nu(v)/kT \right] \) over \( v \) until convergence was obtained. Less than 10 terms were needed for convergence at 3000°K.

The rotational state of an OH molecule is not specified until both \( K \), essentially the rotational quantum number when electron spin is neglected, and \( J \), the total angular momentum \( (J = K \pm 1/2) \), are specified. Since in this study, only the \( R_2 \) branch of the 0-0 band of the \( ^2\pi \to ^2\Sigma \) transition is considered, by the Dieke and Crosswhite convention, the relative population of molecules in the "2" state must be computed; that is, those molecules having not only a given value of \( K \) but a value of \( J = K - 1/2 \) as well. (In Dieke and Crosswhite, the line is designated by its \( K \) value; hence the \( R_21 \) line has \( J = 1/2, K = 1 \).) Reference [6] gives expressions for the rotational energies of the "1" and "2" state of the lower \( ^2\pi \) electronic state as follows:

\[
E_{r1}(K) = B \left\{ (K + 1)^2 - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4(K + 1)^2 + A(A - 4)} \right\} - DK^2(K + 1)^2
\]

"1" state \( (J = K + 1/2) \) \hspace{1cm} (15)

\[
E_{r2}(K) = B \left\{ K^2 - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4K^2 + A(A - 4)} \right\} - DK^2(K + 1)^2
\]

"2" state \( (J = K - 1/2) \)

These expressions were used to compute the rotational energies with the following values for the rotational constants \( (B, D, A) \) appearing in Equation (15) [6]:

\[
\begin{align*}
B &= 18.515 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\
D &= 0.00187 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\
A &= -7.547
\end{align*}
\]

The statistical weight is \( 2J + 1 \) for either of the two rotational states which corresponds to a given value of \( J \). The rotational partition function was computed by evaluation of the following sum:

\[
Q_r = \sum_{K=0}^{K_{\text{max}}} \left\{ (2K + 2) \exp \left[ \frac{-E_{r1}(K)}{kT} \right] + 2K \exp \left[ \frac{-E_{r2}(K)}{kT} \right] \right\}
\]

(16)

where \( k \) is Boltzmann's constant. The sum was continued until convergence occurred.
(i.e., to about $K_{\text{max}} = 40$). For $K > 100$, the expressions for the rotational energy Equation (15) began to diverge; however, convergence of the sum in Equation (16) was obtained much before this occurred. Line strengths computed from Equations (12-16) for several lines at various temperatures are shown in Table 1.

Line widths ($\gamma$) for OH were taken from the recent work of Nadler and Kaskan [9]. Their results are presented in terms of the ratio of Lorentz to Doppler half-width, $a = \sqrt{8n^2Y_L/Y_D}$; typical values are shown in Table 2 for a pressure of one atmosphere and a temperature of 1485°K. In all cases, $a > 0.2$ so that, in accordance with the analysis by Yamada [10], the curve of growth of a Voigt line is indistinguishable from that of a Lorentz line over the entire range of optical depths. Hence, Lorentz line half-widths can be computed from values of $a$ in Table 1 and adjusted to the local temperature and pressure, in accordance with the billiard-ball approximation for collision broadening:

$$\gamma_L(P, T) = \gamma_L, o \frac{P}{P_o} \sqrt{\frac{T_o}{T}}$$

where $P_o = 1$ atm, and $T_o = 1485^\circ$K. Table 1 lists values of $\gamma_L$ for a number of lines at various temperatures. The values of a determined by Nadler and Kaskan are questionable. Their work shows a sharp increase in $a$ for large $J$ values which is difficult to justify physically. On another contract, Anderson's theory was used to make calculations of the line width of another diatomic molecule, HF, which has a similar rotational constant, $B$. The results indicate that, if anything, the line widths would be expected to decrease with increasing $J$ value and, at high temperatures, would tend to uniformity over $J$. Moreover, there is a large amount of scatter in the results of Nadler and Kaskan, and their broadening gas ($N_2 + H_2O$) was not the same as that of the present experiment ($H_2O$). These factors indicate that a uniform value of $a$ between 0.3 and 0.5 corresponding to 1485°K for all lines might be more realistic for these experiments than the values given in Table 2.

It is possible to determine a rotational temperature of the lower state from the rotational structure of the absorption spectrum from an isothermal flame. In this procedure, the equivalent width of a single isolated line is given by

$$W = 2\pi \gamma f \left( \frac{S_\nu J' J'' X}{2\pi \gamma} \right)$$

where $W$ is the equivalent width of the line having strength $S_\nu J' J''$, and half-width $\gamma$, and $f$ is again the Ladenburg-Reiche function. $X$ is the physical optical depth, $P(OH) \times 1$
TABLE 1. STRENGTHS AND LORENTZ HALF-WIDTHS* OF SEVERAL OH LINES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>( \nu_0 ) cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>Strength (cm(^{-2}) atm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Half Width [10] (cm(^{-1}) atm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1600 2000 2400 2800K</td>
<td>1600 2000 2400 2800K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32415.51</td>
<td>90.9 71.2 57.3 47.0</td>
<td>0.060 0.054 0.049 0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32489.49</td>
<td>257.0 207.0 170.0 141.0</td>
<td>0.045 0.040 0.037 0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32517.58</td>
<td>324.0 268.0 223.0 183.0</td>
<td>0.040 0.036 0.033 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32572.59</td>
<td>324.0 317.0 286.0 254.0</td>
<td>0.040 0.036 0.033 0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32551.72</td>
<td>55.0 84.0 105.3 118.7</td>
<td>0.057 0.051 0.047 0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32531.76</td>
<td>36.0 60.4 80.8 95.3</td>
<td>0.057 0.051 0.047 0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>32363.49</td>
<td>2.63 7.72 15.0 23.0</td>
<td>0.095 0.085 0.078 0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* OH broadened by \( H_2O + N_2 \)

TABLE 2. RATIO OF LORENTZ AND DOPPLER HALF-WIDTHS FOR SEVERAL OH LINES AT ONE ATMOSPHERE AND 1485°K [10]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2 )</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $P(OH)$ is the partial pressure of OH, and $l$ is the path length in cm reduced to standard temperature and pressure. The line strength $S_{j''',j''}$ is a function of the rotational quantum number of the lower state, $j''$, as given by Equations (12-16). $S_{j''',j''}$ can be expressed in the following form, in which the $J$ dependence of $S_{j''',j''}$ appears explicitly, but the temperature variation of $S$ is combined into one common factor, $K(T)$:

$$S_{j''',j''} = K(T) \cdot T_{j'''} \cdot R_{j'''} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{E(J'')}{\kappa T} \right)$$

(19)

After substitution of this expression into Eq. (18) and after the inverse Ladenburg-Reiche function and the natural logarithm of both sides are taken, the following expression is obtained:

$$\ln \left\{ \frac{f^{-1} \left( \frac{W}{2\pi \gamma} \right) \cdot 2\pi \gamma}{T_{j'''} \cdot R_{j'''} \cdot J} \right\} - \ln [K(T) \cdot X] = \frac{-E(J'')}{\kappa T}$$

(20)

In a given measurement, $\ln [K(T) \cdot X]$ is a constant for all the rotational lines in a band. Thus, if the first term on the left is plotted versus $-E(J'')/\kappa$ for a number of rotational lines, the result should be a straight line the slope of which is $1/T$ where $T$ is a rotational temperature characterizing the distribution of rotational states in the lower electronic state. In general, if this technique is to be applied, values for the line half-widths are required, and these are not accurately known. If the lines, as observed, are optically thin (in the region of linear growth), then the function $f^{-1}(W/2\pi \gamma)2\pi \gamma$ reduces simply to $W$ and the line half-width no longer appears. Unfortunately, in these experiments, the lines were never in the linear growth region.
3

EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENTS

3.1. BURNER CONFIGURATION

The nonisothermal flames studied in this program were created with a seven-section multiple diffusion burner schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. The operation of this burner was similar in principle to one used in previous studies of HF flames [11]. The fuel gas passed through a set of copper tubes from seven compartments in the manifold; the flow into each was controlled by a separate valve. The oxidizer gas flowed between the tubes from a single manifold. Combustion occurred above the tube-end surface in a multiplicity of small diffusion flames; the combustion products rapidly mixed to approximate conditions of a premixed flame. The temperature profiles were created by a throttling of the fuel gas entering each compartment. This caused a variation in the fuel-oxidizer ratio of the combustion above that segment. The controls were set to produce a desired temperature profile, as indicated by the sodium line-reversal temperature measurement described below. The top and side surfaces of the burner were cooled by water flowing through tubes of rectangular cross-section, which were soldered in place.

The burner was constructed so that sodium could be introduced into the fuel gas flowing through the central tube in each segment (see Fig. 2). A line from the burner compartment passed fuel gas through the sodium vaporizer, then back to the compartment into the central tube of that segment of the burner. The vaporizer consisted of an electrically heated graphite boat containing a charge of sodium iodide. When the current through the boat stabilized, sodium-iodide smoke could be produced at a constant rate and would be picked up by the flowing fuel gas. Thus, each segment of the burner could be individually colored by sodium for the line-reversal measurements which were used to set the temperature profiles.

3.2. INFRARED INSTRUMENTATION

The seven-segment burner was installed in the sample beam of a double-beam, infrared spectrometer shown schematically in Fig. 3. The configuration and operation of this spectrometer were described in detail previously [1]. However, a change in the intensity calibration procedure was introduced in the present study. A separate blackbody was placed in the sample beam so that the effective infrared radiance temperature of the blackbody built into the spectrometer could be directly determined. Thus, the differences in mirror reflectances between 0.65 μm, the effective wavelength of the optical pyrometer previously used for calibration, and 2.7 μm, the wavelength of the infrared measurements, were completely accounted for. In a series of
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FIGURE 3. EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT
measurements, the optical pyrometer was used to measure the temperature of the external blackbody and to monitor the internal blackbody.

3.3. ULTRAVIOLET INSTRUMENTATION

The ultraviolet measurements were made with a 1.5-m Rowland circle spectrograph constructed some years ago at The University of Michigan's Physics Department. The grating (an original, ruled by Rowland about 40 years ago) had a ruled area 90 \times 55 mm in size with 400 grooves/mm. The photographic plate holder was replaced by a movable exit-slit assembly constructed for this study, and a 1P28A photomultiplier tube was used as the detector. The grating was blazed for wavelengths in the visible; accordingly, the measurements of the 3064-Å OH band were made in the second order.

For the emission measurements, a 90 Hz chopper was positioned in front of the entrance slit; a Princeton Applied Research Model HR-8 Lock-in amplifier was used to amplify and rectify the photomultiplier signal for display on the strip-chart recorder. The intensity calibration was made with a National Bureau of Standards radiance standard lamp positioned on the far side of the flame. The tungsten ribbon filament was imaged in the center of the flame and reimaged onto the spectrometer entrance slit by a pair of 250-mm focal-length fused quartz lenses. A Corning #9863 filter was used to eliminate the visible radiation from the standard lamp; the elimination was complete to within the noise level of the resultant measurements, as verified by temporary placement of an ultraviolet absorbing filter in the beam. Thus, the calibration procedure required only one correction factor to account for the reflection losses of the quartz lens behind the flame.

The measurements of spectral absorptance were made with a 150-W xenon-arc source, chopped at a frequency of 2040 Hz and positioned behind the flame. The higher chopping frequency was necessary to discriminate against fluctuations in the flame which generally exhibit an amplitude varying inversely with frequency [12].

3.4. SODIUM LINE-REVERSAL INSTRUMENTATION

Sodium line-reversal temperatures were measured transverse to the longer dimension of the burner by the apparatus shown in Fig. 3. A Mole-Richardson Type 2371 carbon arc was used for the reference source. The arc crater was imaged in the center of the flame and reimaged onto the slit of a divided-circle spectroscope by a pair of lenses. The apparent brightness temperature of the arc source could be continuously varied by an attenuator consisting of a pair of counter rotating, circular disc, neutral density filters calibrated by means of an optical pyrometer.
The temperature profile along the length of the burner was established by the segment-by-segment sodium line-reversal measurements made in the transverse direction. First, the attenuator was set to the desired brightness temperature; then the fuel-flow rate was adjusted (on the fuel-rich side of stoichiometric) until the line reversal was observed.

Unfortunately, the rectangular cross-section cooling tubes on the top of the burner required the transverse line-reversal measurements to be made with the mean height of the optical beam approximately 1 cm above the burner-tube ends. Consequently, the radial diffusion of the sodium from the central tube reached the mixing region of the flame gases with the ambient air at about the height of the optical beam (see Fig. 4). To correct the resultant error caused by absorption in the cooler mixing region, a set of line-reversal measurements were made at various fuel-air ratios, viewing the sodium-colored segment of the burner in both the transverse and longitudinal directions. In the latter case, of course, the uncolored adjacent segments, set at the same temperature, shielded the central segment. Thus, a correction was obtained for the transverse line-reversal measurements with which the temperature profiles along the burner were set; the magnitude of this correction is shown in Fig. 5.

The experiments proceeded in the following sequence. A temperature profile was created and measured by the line-reversal observations. A complete set of infrared and ultraviolet emission, absorption, and calibration spectra were then obtained with the individual fuel-line valves remaining at their set positions. Between scans, the burner was shut off by the two main flow valves, which were always set in the full-open position during burning. Thus, there was no problem in reproducing identical profiles for the emission and absorption scans within a set.
FIGURE 4. DIFFUSION OF SODIUM IN FLAME
FIGURE 5. CORRECTION FOR LINE-REVERSAL MEASUREMENTS
EXPERIMENTAL DATA

4.1. INFRARED SPECTRA

As in the previous study, the infrared spectra were recorded both on a chart and on cards for automatic reduction; for the latter, the sampling rate during scanning was sufficient to provide excellent fidelity of the reduced spectra to the chart recording. Data were obtained in this way for five settings of the burner for hydrogen-oxygen combustion. Linear profiles were created with the high- (profile A) and low- (profile B) temperature ends toward the monochromator. (Actually, a single profile was created, and the burner rotated 180°.) Symmetrical triangular profiles were set with high- (profile C) and low- (profile D) temperatures at the center segment. Finally, for a system operation check, an isothermal flame was produced (profile E) by adjusting the interior segments of the burner to a constant temperature, using H₂ and O₂, while the segments on each end were set to the same indicated temperature using CO and O₂. Thus, the nonisothermal mixing region was eliminated for observations in the 2.7-μm region outside the CO₂ band, but within the H₂O bands. The reduced spectra for the nonisothermal runs (radiance, absorptance, and apparent temperature) are presented in Figs. 6 through 9; the reduced spectra for the isothermal run with the shielded flame are presented in Fig. 10. The corresponding line-reversal temperatures are shown in Figs. 11 through 14; the burner segment designated 1 is closest to the monochromator.

In the isothermal case, the apparent temperature, T*, should correspond to an actual flame temperature and be independent of wavelength. Figure 10 shows this to be the case except in the region of the 2.7-μm CO₂ band where mixing-region effects are noted, and at the extreme wavelengths, where measurement errors become large. Ignoring these regions, the resultant average apparent temperature of 2630°K agrees with the corrected sodium line-reversal temperature, 2600°K, at which the flame was set (Fig. 15) to within the overall experimental uncertainty. The source of the spectral noise in the trace of apparent temperature for the isothermal case (Fig. 10) was not determined; presumably, it is the consequence of imperfect register between the emission and absorption spectra in the data reduction.

The burner was also operated with methane and carbon monoxide as fuels. For CH₄/O₂ operation, data were recorded and reduced; however, the quality of the results was lessened by a subsequent discovery of a procedural error in the setting of the flow rates, and circumstances did not permit repetition of these runs. For CO/O₂ operation, the much lower flame speed caused the flames to lift off the burner at comparable flow rates. For the flame to seat on the burner, a very low flow rate was required, and the small flame height did not adequately accommodate the optical beam. No data were recorded for this case.
FIGURE 6. REDUCED DATA, PROFILE A: LINEAR (HOT THROUGH COLD)
FIGURE 7. REDUCED DATA, PROFILE B: LINEAR (COLD THROUGH HOT)
FIGURE 8. REDUCED DATA, PROFILE C: TRIANGULAR (HOT CENTER)
FIGURE 9. REDUCED DATA, PROFILE D: TRIANGULAR (COLD CENTER)
FIGURE 10. REDUCED DATA, PROFILE E: ISOTHERMAL
FIGURE 11. TEMPERATURES, PROFILE A, LINEAR (HOT THROUGH COLD) IN H₂/O₂ FLAME

FIGURE 12. TEMPERATURES, PROFILE B, LINEAR (COLD THROUGH HOT) IN H₂/O₂ FLAME

FIGURE 13. TEMPERATURES, PROFILE C, TRIANGULAR (HOT CENTER) IN H₂/O₂ FLAME
FIGURE 14. TEMPERATURES, PROFILE D, TRIANGULAR (COLD CENTER) IN H₂/O₂ FLAME

FIGURE 15. TEMPERATURES, PROFILE E, ISOTHERMAL (SHEILDED) IN H₂/O₂ FLAME
4.2. ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRA

The instrumentation for the OH measurements could not easily record on punched cards. Therefore, the data were recorded only on a strip chart and the reduction performed by hand. However, since the lines were isolated and the slit function of the spectrograph approximately triangular, the integrated radiances and equivalent widths could be taken as proportional to the heights of the lines on the charts. Errors caused by this procedure would mostly cancel in the determination of apparent temperature (Eq. 8), provided that the apparent widths of the emission and absorption lines are the same; the remaining errors were of the order of the experimental uncertainty. A more detailed reduction of the absorption spectrum of the isothermal flame was performed for the rotational temperature analysis discussed later. In this case, equivalent widths were obtained with a planimeter.

Figure 16 shows a portion of the absorption spectrum of an isothermal $H_2/O_2$ flame obtained under the best resolution possible with this instrument. The lines were identified by comparison with the spectra obtained by Kostkowski and Broida [13]. Unfortunately, the energy in emission was not sufficient to be measured with an acceptable signal-to-noise at this 10-μm slit opening. Accordingly, the sets of emission and absorption spectra were obtained with a 25-μm slit opening.

Emission and absorption in the $R_2$ branch of the $v = 0 \rightarrow 0$ band of OH were measured for the five profiles in $H_2/O_2$ flames described by Figs. 11 through 15, as they were for the infrared. The unreduced emission and absorption spectra, with the calibration traces superimposed, are presented in Appendix V.

Reduced data, the total radiances, equivalent widths, and apparent temperatures obtained for seven well-isolated lines ($R_21, 3, 4, 7, 14, 15,$ and 20) are presented in Table 3.

4.3. ISOTHERMAL TEMPERATURES

If the infrared and ultraviolet portions of the spectrum are to be useful together for the prediction of temperature profiles, the processes involved must be shown to yield identical temperatures in an isothermal flame. Such a requirement generally means that the processes must be in thermodynamic equilibrium. The emission-absorption temperatures measured for the isothermal flame in the infrared region agreed with the sodium line-reversal temperatures within the overall experimental accuracy. However, as indicated in Table 3 and in Fig. 15, the emission-absorption temperatures measured for this profile in the ultraviolet are approximately 200°K higher, a discrepancy which cannot be explained by radiometric errors in the experimental procedure.
### TABLE 3. REDUCED DATA FOR OH LINES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>( \nu_0 )</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T*</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T*</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T*</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>T*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^1 )</td>
<td>32416</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>2847</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>2921</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>2938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^3 )</td>
<td>32490</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>2769</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>2914</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td>2927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^4 )</td>
<td>32518</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>2763</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>2797</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>2881</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>2917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^7 )</td>
<td>32573</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>2767</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>2827</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>2898</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>2939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^{14} )</td>
<td>32552</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>2796</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>2828</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>2911</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>2945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^{15} )</td>
<td>32532</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>2826</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>2832</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>2917</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>2949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_2^{20} )</td>
<td>32363</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>2753</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>2956</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>2974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( \nu_0 \) = line-center frequency, \( \text{cm}^{-1} \)

\( L \) = integrated line radiance, \( \mu\text{W/cm}^2\text{-sr} \)

\( W \) = equivalent width, \( \text{cm}^{-1} \)

\( T^* \) = apparent temperatures, \( ^\circ\text{K} \)
The uncertainty in the radiance calibration (about $25^\circ$K) is far too small to account for such a large discrepancy. Also, scattered light and stray light from the first order of the grating were known to be negligible.

One is then tempted to ascribe the high emission levels to an excess population of $^2\Sigma + \text{OH}$ radicals. This would indicate the presence of a departure from thermal equilibrium and, hence, chemiluminescence in the gases above the reaction zone. A number of authors [14-18] have observed exactly these effects to a very significant extent within and near the reaction zone of premixed flames at atmospheric pressure, presumably caused by radical recombination. Such luminescence might be a possible explanation for the high ultraviolet emission-absorption temperatures observed here.

On the other hand, the reaction zone of the flame in the current experiment, in the center burner segment at least, appeared to be below the slit image. The reaction zone could be seen to begin about $1/16$ in. above the burner and to extend as a rather diffuse layer to perhaps $5/32$ in. above the end of the burner tubes. The image of the spectrograph and spectrometer slits was located, although imprecisely, above this reaction surface. We estimate that the lower boundary of the slit image was about $1/8$ in. above the burner in all cases. The gas velocities were such that the gases took approximately $10^{-3}$ sec to travel from the bottom of the reaction zone to this lower boundary of the slit image. Most evidence indicates that at atmospheric pressure, the OH should have equilibrated by this time. The slit image was located over the center burner segment during all measurements and, because of the above reasoning, the gas measurements for this segment should have been made in a region where thermodynamic equilibrium was established. However, because of the divergence of the beam between the slit image and the objective lens 20 in. away from the slit image (Item 22 in Fig. 3), portions of the beam passed much closer than $1/8$ in. to the burner in the outer segments. We believe that this passage of a fraction of the beam very close to the burner surface is the best explanation for the high emission-absorption temperatures in the ultraviolet. When the beam passes within $1/8$ in. of the burner, emission from the reaction zone, including OH chemiluminescence, will be sensed. Because of the imprecision in the slit image position, we estimate that as much as perhaps 10 percent of the beam volume was within or near the reaction zone. However, considering the levels of chemiluminescence observed in [14-18], this percentage does not seem sufficient to explain the high emission values leading to the high emission-absorption temperatures. The emission values are such that about 3.5 times as much OH emission is observed as would be observed from the combustion products at equilibrium at a temperature of $2600^\circ$K. It is possible, that, considering the variety of results observed in the entries of [14-18] and considering that the current situation is greatly different from any of the situations described in [14-18], the region of chemiluminescence might extend significantly above the apparent reaction zone so that much
more than 10% of the beam could observe OH chemiluminescence.

The OH absorption values were affected much less by this beam divergence because, although the amount of OH in the reaction zone is above the equilibrium amount, it does not exceed the equilibrium amount by orders of magnitude, and most of it remains in the ground state. Conversely, the emission is governed by the population of the \( ^2\Sigma^+ \) state of OH, which is orders of magnitude greater in the reaction zone than its extremely small value at equilibrium at 2600°K. The infrared measurements are not severely affected by this beam divergence because the vibrational states of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) remain near equilibrium even within the reaction zone, although the \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) concentration is somewhat lower there.

In other experiments where OH chemiluminescence was observed, the rotational temperature of both the \(^2\Pi\) and the \(^2\Sigma\) states of OH were near the flame temperature. To check to see that our measurements concur with the result, an analysis of the rotational structure of the isothermal flame (Profile E) spectra was performed in absorption with the use of Eq. (20). When the same line width for all rotational lines was used, temperatures in absorption near 2570°K were obtained for line widths of 0.03 and 0.05 cm\(^{-1}\) (corresponding to values of \(a\) equal to 0.3 and 0.5 at 1485°K). The results are shown in Fig. 17a and agree to within the experimental uncertainty with the infrared and sodium line-reversal temperatures. With the values for the line widths obtained from Nadler and Kaskan (shown in Table 2), an unusually low temperature in absorption of 2260°K was obtained (Fig. 17b) which casts further doubt on the applicability of their results to this study. This analysis shows that, while a uniform change in the line half-width of all rotational lines appears to have little effect on the rotational temperature obtained, the assumed variation of line half-width with rotational quantum number appears to have a great effect. The different rotational temperatures obtained illustrate the difficulties in the performance of a rotational temperature analysis when the lines are in the region of square-root growth.

Accordingly, we have ascribed the discrepancy between the ultraviolet emission-absorption temperatures and the infrared and sodium temperature to the inclusion of a region of OH chemiluminescence in part of the beam. It was originally hoped that we would be able to use both the infrared and ultraviolet measurements together in the inversion procedures, but the temperature discrepancies made such a procedure impractical. In the inversion procedures, the ultraviolet and infrared results were each considered separately.
FIGURE 17. RESULTS OF ROTATIONAL TEMPERATURE ANALYSIS OF ISOTHERMAL ABSORPTION SPECTRUM

(a) With Constant Line Widths of 0.03 and 0.05 cm$^{-1}$

(b) With Line Widths Derived from Nadler and Kaskan [9]
INVERSION PROCEDURES

There are a number of distinct approaches that have variously been utilized for inversion of spectroscopic measurements of flames and hot gases. These could be categorized as being either formal or empirical. Each category encompasses a considerable variation in approach. To accomplish the inversion, a formal approach could be based on either a mathematical operation, such as the Abel transform [12] or on a numerical computation, in which a set of radiative-transfer equations are simultaneously solved. In the latter approach, a set of \( n \) equations (e.g., Eq. 4), representing measurements of emission and absorption at \( n \) wavelength intervals, could, in principle, be solved to yield profiles in temperature and concentration characterized by a total of \( n \) parameters. The problems in performing such calculations and obtaining convergence with real data with finite uncertainties are discussed in the literature.

Recently the empirical approach has been found to be more useful. This approach utilizes auxiliary information to insure convergence in the inversion computation. For example, in the inversion of spectral data obtained with satellite-borne sensors, profiles in atmospheric temperature and water-vapor concentration are rapidly obtained by use of the nominal profiles of a standard atmosphere as a starting point in the iteration [19]. Analogously, determination of temperature and concentration profiles in gas dynamic systems such as combustion or propulsion devices is easier if we have prior knowledge of the general shape of the profiles to be expected. This information is usually available from theoretical gas dynamic considerations. Thus, in the prior study of temperature-profile determination in hot furnace-heated gas samples [1], the inversions were based on the assumption of known profiles, relatively simple in shape, which would usually be characterized by one to three parameters.

In the present study, the same basic approach was taken. However, in the case of flames, both the concentration and temperature profiles were unknown, so that the inversions were more difficult. For this reason, the temperature profiles studied were limited to simple shapes, linear and symmetrical triangular, which could be characterized by two parameters, the maximum and minimum temperatures. Furthermore, because of the nearly linear relationships between the theoretical adiabatic flame temperature and the partial pressures of \( H_2O \) (shown in Fig. 18), the same shape could be taken for the profiles in this quantity also. The theoretical temperatures and compositions for adiabatic \( H_2O \), \( CH_4-O_2 \) and \( CO-O_2 \) flames were obtained from the NASA thermochemistry computer-code [20]; the values are presented in Tables 4-6.
### TABLE 4. THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF ADIABATIC $\text{H}_2/\text{O}_2$ FLAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidizer to Fuel Ratio</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>6.0</th>
<th>8.0</th>
<th>10.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>1.984</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ (atm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ ($^\circ$K)</td>
<td>2065</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>3047</td>
<td>3078</td>
<td>3054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy (cal/gm)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy (cal/gm$^\circ$K)</td>
<td>8.446</td>
<td>6.080</td>
<td>4.978</td>
<td>4.339</td>
<td>3.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Molecular Weight</td>
<td>6.041</td>
<td>9.649</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>16.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\partial \ln V/\partial \ln P)_T$</td>
<td>-1.001</td>
<td>-1.023</td>
<td>-1.063</td>
<td>-1.077</td>
<td>-1.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(\partial \ln V/\partial \ln T)_P$</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>1.466</td>
<td>2.246</td>
<td>2.518</td>
<td>2.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat at Constant Pressure (cal/gm$^\circ$K)</td>
<td>1.661</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>4.819</td>
<td>4.771</td>
<td>3.912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat Ratio</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>1.137</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>1.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonic Velocity (m/sec)</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1382</td>
<td>1295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mole Fractions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
<td>0.0906</td>
<td>0.0765</td>
<td>0.0570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2$</td>
<td>0.7461</td>
<td>0.4558</td>
<td>0.2507</td>
<td>0.1486</td>
<td>0.0952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>0.2516</td>
<td>0.4610</td>
<td>0.5585</td>
<td>0.5811</td>
<td>0.5745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
<td>0.0336</td>
<td>0.0443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{OH}$</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>0.1070</td>
<td>0.1209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td>0.0531</td>
<td>0.1081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 5. THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF ADIABATIC CH\textsubscript{4}/O\textsubscript{2} FLAME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidizer to Fuel Ratio</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>1.330</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (atm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (°K)</td>
<td>1039</td>
<td>2618</td>
<td>3031</td>
<td>3053</td>
<td>3018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy (cal/gm)</td>
<td>-557.7</td>
<td>-371.8</td>
<td>-278.9</td>
<td>-223.1</td>
<td>-185.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Molecular Weight</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>19.21</td>
<td>21.50</td>
<td>23.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\partial\ln V/\partial\ln P))\textsubscript{T}</td>
<td>-1.064</td>
<td>-1.008</td>
<td>-1.057</td>
<td>-1.070</td>
<td>-1.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\partial\ln V/\partial\ln T))\textsubscript{P}</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>1.166</td>
<td>2.148</td>
<td>2.415</td>
<td>2.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat at Constant Pressure (cal/gm°K)</td>
<td>3.262</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>2.992</td>
<td>3.194</td>
<td>2.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat Ratio</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>1.173</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>1.110</td>
<td>1.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonic Velocity (m/sec)</td>
<td>928.7</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>1095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mole Fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>0.2729</th>
<th>0.2913</th>
<th>0.2153</th>
<th>0.1547</th>
<th>0.1124</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.0204</td>
<td>0.0371</td>
<td>0.0640</td>
<td>0.1134</td>
<td>0.1289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>0.0645</td>
<td>0.0499</td>
<td>0.0351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.6128</td>
<td>0.3542</td>
<td>0.1396</td>
<td>0.0731</td>
<td>0.0447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>0.0371</td>
<td>0.2880</td>
<td>0.3953</td>
<td>0.3913</td>
<td>0.3708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>0.0392</td>
<td>0.0479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.0630</td>
<td>0.0938</td>
<td>0.0994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
<td>0.0848</td>
<td>0.1608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C(S)</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidizer to Fuel Ratio</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>1.584</td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td>1.119</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (atm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (°K)</td>
<td>2198</td>
<td>2915</td>
<td>2975</td>
<td>2977</td>
<td>2958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy (cal/gm)</td>
<td>-820.1</td>
<td>-725.4</td>
<td>-650.4</td>
<td>-599.9</td>
<td>-538.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy (cal/gm°K)</td>
<td>2.083</td>
<td>2.099</td>
<td>2.094</td>
<td>2.087</td>
<td>2.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Molecular Weight</td>
<td>32.21</td>
<td>34.61</td>
<td>35.16</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td>35.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(∂lnV/∂lnP)\text{\textsubscript{T}}</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>-1.032</td>
<td>-1.052</td>
<td>-1.055</td>
<td>-1.053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(∂lnV/∂lnT)\text{\textsubscript{P}}</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>2.133</td>
<td>2.204</td>
<td>2.165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat at Constant Pressure (cal/gm°K)</td>
<td>0.3195</td>
<td>1.251</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>1.795</td>
<td>1.744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat Ratio</td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>1.116</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonic Velocity (m/sec)</td>
<td>838.6</td>
<td>883.8</td>
<td>882.8</td>
<td>879.4</td>
<td>873.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mole Fractions

- CO: 0.7374, 0.5350, 0.4194, 0.3491, 0.2703
- CO\textsubscript{2}: 0.2626, 0.4155, 0.4463, 0.4553, 0.4576
- O: 0, 0.0154, 0.0329, 0.0409, 0.0462
- O\textsubscript{2}: 0, 0.0342, 0.1015, 0.1547, 0.2260
Since the $\text{H}_2$-$\text{O}_2$ flames were found to be non-adiabatic, we have included Table 7, also obtained from Ref. 20, which gives the mole fraction of OH for such flames.

Since there were four unknowns, at least four spectral data points were required to accomplish the inversion of the infrared spectral data. One choice would have been four values of the combined emission-absorption spectrum, expressed in the apparent temperature, $T^*$. Such a choice would have preserved consistency with the previous study in which temperature profiles were deduced by matching curves of observed values and band-model predictions of $T^*$ as a function of absorptance. In the present study, it was more practical to utilize the emission and absorption data separately at two wavelengths representing fairly high and fairly low optical depths; the frequencies 3100 and 3400 cm$^{-1}$ were selected for this purpose. The values of the maximum and minimum temperature and water partial pressures were adjusted iteratively in calculations using Eqs. (1) and (3) until a best fit was obtained at the selected wavelengths in the emission and absorption spectra. (Actually, values of apparent temperature were used in place of radiance for convenience.) The OH inversion proceeded analogously. Since the lines were well separated, Eqs. (6) and (7) were used for the theoretical predictions with the line strengths given in Table 1. The line widths of Nadler and Kaskan (Table 1) were also used, except where noted.

Initially, it was thought that the flames would be adiabatic, so that there would be a one-to-one correspondence between the local temperature and the mole fraction of OH according to Fig. 18. However, the temperatures determined by the various methods for the isothermal profile, which was set close to a stoichiometric mixture ratio, are considerably lower than the theoretical values, indicating a departure from adiabaticity. This failure to achieve the theoretical flame temperatures indicated that it would no longer be valid to use Fig. 18 to relate the temperature to the OH mole fractions, and hence that the OH-inversion would require four parameters also.

In this case, however, a linear variation in OH pressure over the entire length of the burner would not be reasonable, as the degree of dissociation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is a steep function of temperature. An alternative four-parameter iteration procedure would have involved compound linear variations as specified by the quantities $T_{\text{max}}$, $P(\text{OH})_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{min}}$, and $X_{\text{min}}$, where $X_{\text{min}}$ represents the distance along the burner at which the pressure of OH is taken to be zero, and $T_{\text{min}}$ is the corresponding temperature. However, a continuous variation in $X_{\text{min}}$ could not be accommodated because the computation was set up in terms of seven isothermal regions corresponding to the burner segments. Therefore, a different approach was taken to treat the OH spectra.

To determine the OH concentration as a function of temperature, the absorption spectrum of the isothermal flame was examined in detail. The sodium line-reversal
### TABLE 7. MOLE FRACTION OF OH FOR NON-ADIABATIC CONDITIONS, H₂-O₂ COMBUSTION AT 1 ATM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O/F</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>6.0</th>
<th>8.0</th>
<th>10.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T, K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3100</td>
<td>.01990</td>
<td>.04600</td>
<td>.07920</td>
<td>.10980</td>
<td>.12760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>.01420</td>
<td>.03370</td>
<td>.06180</td>
<td>.09250</td>
<td>.11030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900</td>
<td>.00980</td>
<td>.02360</td>
<td>.04560</td>
<td>.07520</td>
<td>.09260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800</td>
<td>.00650</td>
<td>.01580</td>
<td>.03170</td>
<td>.05910</td>
<td>.07560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2700</td>
<td>.00410</td>
<td>.01010</td>
<td>.02090</td>
<td>.04490</td>
<td>.06010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600</td>
<td>.00250</td>
<td>.00620</td>
<td>.01310</td>
<td>.03290</td>
<td>.04650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>.00150</td>
<td>.00370</td>
<td>.00780</td>
<td>.02340</td>
<td>.03500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>.00005</td>
<td>.00011</td>
<td>.00024</td>
<td>.00250</td>
<td>.00510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>.00000</td>
<td>.00000</td>
<td>.00000</td>
<td>.00009</td>
<td>.00019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T(adiabatic)  
2065 | 2837 | 3047 | 3078 | 3054

OH (Mole Fraction)  
.0001 | .0185 | .0705 | .1070 | .1209
temperature, the infrared emission-absorption temperature, and the rotational temperature obtained from an analysis of the relative line intensities all gave temperatures near 2600°K. Thus, it seemed reasonable to assume 2600°K for the vibration-rotation temperature of the flame. By using Eq. (18) with the line intensities of Table 1 and a temperature of 2600°K, an estimate was obtained of the OH concentration required to give the measured equivalent widths for the 7 lines considered. One estimate was obtained when the line widths of Nadler and Kaskan (Table 1) were used, and one estimate was obtained with a uniform line width of 0.04 cm⁻¹. A value for the mole fraction of OH of 0.028 was obtained in both cases. This value is considerably below the OH concentration for a stoichiometric adiabatic flame and considerably above that for an adiabatic flame at 2600°K, further indication of the departure from adiabatic conditions. Reliable experimental oxidizer-fuel ratios could not be obtained, because the fuel control valves could not be calibrated. However, since we were striving for near-maximum temperatures during the isothermal runs, the oxidizer-fuel ratio for these runs would have been slightly less than 8; the value corresponding to the maximum adiabatic temperature. The value obtained from the line strengths for the OH mole fraction, 0.028, compares favorably with the value of 0.033 in Table 7 for 2600°K and an oxidizer-fuel ratio of 8. This agreement also indicates that the majority of the beam passes through a portion of the flame where OH concentration is near its equilibrium value. Table 8 shows the observed equivalent widths for these lines and computed equivalent widths for two OH concentrations and for both choices of line width.

The inversion procedure was applied in the ultraviolet to emission-absorption temperatures which are higher than the vibration-rotation temperature. Because temperatures could not be correlated with mixture ratios it was not possible to use Table 7 to relate temperature to OH concentration, hence the adiabatic curve for OH concentration versus temperature shown in Fig. 18 was modified as follows: To ensure that the OH concentration and emission-absorption temperature predicted by the inversion procedure were consistent with the observed values in the isothermal flame, the theoretical curve of P(OH) versus T shown in Fig. 18 was shifted to the left to coincide with the values T = 2835°K and P(OH) = 0.028 atm; i.e., the effect of flame cooling is assumed to be independent of the flame temperature and the discrepancy in OH temperature. This procedure yielded a new curve representing a one-to-one correspondence of OH partial pressure with ultraviolet emission-absorption temperature (Fig. 19). (In retrospect, a preferable procedure would have involved a set of high-resolution absorption spectra for isothermal shielded flames at various temperatures.)

This inversion, then, proceeded like the inversion for the infrared data. A pair of lines R₂¹ and R₂⁴, were selected to represent large and small optical depths, respectively. The values of maximum and minimum temperatures (and the corresponding OH concentrations from Fig. 19) were iteratively adjusted in calculations in which Eqs. (6)
TABLE 8. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL VALUES OF THE EQUIVALENT WIDTH OF OH LINES IN ISOTHERMAL FLAME AT 2600°K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Observed Equivalent Width (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Calculated Equivalent Width (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Line Half-Widths from Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Line Half-Width = 0.04 cm⁻¹</td>
<td>P(OH) = 0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂¹</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>0.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂³</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂⁴</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂⁷</td>
<td>(1.46)*</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂¹⁴</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂¹⁵</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂²⁰</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Questionable value caused by slight overlap by adjacent line.

FIGURE 19. MOLE FRACTION OF OH AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE
and (7) were used. Essentially two temperatures have appeared in the analysis to this point, the rotational-vibrational value measured by three methods and the electronic value measured by ultraviolet emission-absorption spectroscopy. In performing this iteration, one must now choose between the two values. If nonequilibrium effects are occurring, Eq. (5) is no longer valid, and the interpretation of the emission spectrum becomes more difficult. However, since we have ascribed the high ultraviolet temperature to the inclusion of only a small region where non-equilibrium effects are present, we have performed the inversion, using Eq. (5), based on the ultraviolet emission-absorption temperatures. This provides inversion results which agree with the measured integrated radiances.

By this means, we expected to obtain temperature profiles from a simple two-parameter fit of the integrated radiances for these two lines, letting the equivalent widths fall where they may. However, after a few iterations, it became obvious that because of the very steep gradient in OH partial pressure, no information could be obtained about the lower temperature regions in the flame. Test cases for each of the profiles, A through D, showed that a change of 100°K in the minimum temperature would yield a negligible change in the values of the integrated radiances and equivalent widths of the two lines. The results of these calculations, in effect, yielded only values for the maximum temperatures. Clearly, the experimental study of temperature measurements by OH emission and absorption indicate that they can contribute only to the determination of the maximum temperature.

At the beginning of the present study, the intention was to utilize the results of the above four- and two-parameter fitting procedures as the starting point for a second approximation to the temperature profile. This approximation would have been characterized by 14 parameters, in correspondence with the 7 segments of the burner. For this purpose, 7 wavelengths in the 3100- to 3700-cm\(^{-1}\) region would have been selected for the infrared inversion, and 7 well isolated OH lines for the ultraviolet, as specified in Table 2. An iterative calculation, similar to that proposed by Smith [19], would have been employed. However, limitations in time and funds did not permit the inclusion of this analysis.
6

TEMPERATURE-PROFILE DETERMINATIONS

6.1. INFRARED

The temperature profiles obtained from the inversions of the infrared spectra when the procedures detailed in the preceding section were used are shown in Figs. 11 through 14. The results are in qualitative agreement with the temperature profiles measured directly by the line-reversal apparatus. As the iterations proceeded, the convergence upon the temperature and concentration profiles representing the best fits to the observed spectra became quite slow. For expedience, the iterations were terminated when the calculated apparent temperatures and absorptances reasonably matched the observed values. The resultant best-fit conditions are summarized in Table 9. For profiles A through C, the observed and calculated values of $T^*$ and $\alpha$ agreed to within 250 K and 0.02 at the two selected frequencies. However, the convergence for profile D was much slower, and the calculations were terminated sooner. It was perfectly clear, however, that the results would not have been appreciably altered by further iterations. Therefore, the discrepancies in the temperature profiles as determined from the infrared spectra and the D-line observations remain to be explained. For the linear profiles, the greater differences occur at the lower temperature. (Recall that profiles A and B were achieved by merely rotating the burner 180°.) On the other hand, for the triangular profiles, C and D, the larger discrepancies occur at the higher temperatures.

The reason for this effect is not at all obvious. However, there are several possible sources of error:

(1) As mentioned in a preceding section, the D-line reversal measurement was affected by the addition of the cooling tubes to the top of the burner and the consequent raising of the optical path to a height at which some of the mixing region might have been colored with sodium. It was observed that the reversal did not occur consistently with perfect blending of the D-lines into the background continuum, indicating some variation in temperature along the path.

(2) In the iterative spectral radiance and absorptance calculations made with the bandmodel, the mixing region at each end of the burner was not considered to avoid complicating the inversion procedure. Absorption in the cool mixing region would most affect calculations in which the higher temperatures are closer to the observer. It is felt that this probably accounts for the poor fit of the observed and calculated values of $T^*$ and $\alpha$ shown in Table 9 for profile D.
### TABLE 9: COMPARISON OF INFRARED SPECTRAL DATA AND BEST-FIT CALCULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ ($^\circ$K)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{min}}$ ($^\circ$K)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max}}$ for H$_2$O (atm)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{min}}$ for H$_2$O (atm)</th>
<th>$\nu$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T^*$ ($^\circ$K)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>2278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>2113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2725</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>2338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>2284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>2320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>2214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>2447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>2375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\alpha$ values indicate the goodness of fit between observed and calculated values.
(3) Another shortcoming in the calculation procedure involved mixing of flames from the adjacent burners. The calculations were made under the assumption that the optical path consisted of seven isothermal sections, each the width of a burner segment; inclusion of mixing effects between sections would have considerably complicated the analysis. During the iterative calculations, it was obvious that the absorptance at both frequencies, 3100 and 3400 cm⁻¹, depended strongly on the average H₂O concentration and only showed weak response to moderate variations in the concentration profile. This is consistent with the rather slow variation of H₂O concentration with temperature, which, in turn, governs the variation in spectral absorption coefficient. However, the resultant H₂O-concentration profiles shown in Table 10, although they are accurate to no more than 10%, are clearly higher than the corresponding theoretical values shown in Fig. 18. This tends to substantiate the conclusion that the flames were not adiabatic.

6.2. ULTRAVIOLET

The maximum temperatures resulting from the inversions of the OH emission-absorption spectra are also shown on Figs. 11 through 14. Clearly, to display the complete profile of the final iteration would make no sense as the uncertainty at the lower end would be enormous. However, the values used, as given in Table 10, are probably realistic, since the calculated and observed equivalent widths are in fair agreement.

The values of the maximum temperatures so determined are considerably higher than those deduced from the infrared inversions, to a degree comparable to the differences noted in the direct emission-absorption measurements (Fig. 15). This is by no means coincidental, since the OH pressure-temperature relationship is based on this very data.
### TABLE 10. COMPARISON OF ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRAL DATA AND BEST-FIT CALCULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>( T_{\text{max}} ) (°K)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{min}} ) (°K)</th>
<th>( P_{\text{max}} ) for OH (atm)</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>( L ) (( \mu \text{W/cm}^2\cdot\text{sr} ))</th>
<th>( W ) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>R(_2)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>R(_4)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>R(_2)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>R(_4)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong></td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>R(_2)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>R(_4)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong></td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>R(_2)</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>R(_4)</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Highly uncertain*
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the current experimental program, it appears that, in the infrared region at least, temperature profiles in flames can be measured by emission-absorption spectroscopy in the same manner as the hot gases of the previous study [Ref. 1]. The uncertainties in the temperatures deduced during the current series of measurements were approximately 30° to 50°K while the temperature uncertainty in the earlier program was about 10°K.

An error analysis was undertaken which considered the temperature differences (2600°K versus 1200°K) and absorption differences (~ 1.0 versus ~0.3) between the current work and the earlier work. This analysis indicates that with similar experimental accuracies in the two programs, an uncertainty in temperature between three and six times as great should be expected for this program as compared to the previous program. Hence, the experimental uncertainties of the two programs are probably of the same order.

An additional uncertainty existed in this program which was not present in the earlier program: the sodium line-reversal measurements were made farther from the reaction zone than the infrared measurements, far enough so that mixing had begun to affect the sodium line temperature. Corrections for this were applied as shown in Fig. 5. However, the correction was small (~35°K), so that after the correction was applied, the residual error in the sodium reversal measurements was probably significantly smaller than the other uncertainties.

Thus, the infrared emission-absorption technique for spectral inversion is applicable to flames as well as to hot gases which exhibit a wide range of temperatures. The parametric inversion of infrared spectra should be capable of providing temperature and water-vapor profiles as reliable as the general profile shapes that are provided.

On the other hand, it does not appear that the emission absorption technique in the ultraviolet OH band will be quite as useful in flames as the infrared region because, within the reaction zone, the electronic states of OH are very substantially out of equilibrium with the kinetic temperature of the gas and substantial chemiluminescence is occurring [Refs. 14-18]. Thus, the temperatures measured in the reaction zone by emission-absorption in the OH band will be significantly higher than the kinetic temperature. It is our opinion that, in the current program, a portion of the beam viewed the reaction zone, a condition which led to higher emission-absorption temperatures in the ultraviolet than in the infrared. If the measurements in the current program had been
made somewhat further above the burner face, (where the temperatures would have been perhaps 50°K lower) this anomaly would not have appeared. Further experiments are required to test this hypothesis. However, the existence of nonequilibrium in the OH radicals places fundamental limitations on the regions in which emission-absorption probing by use of OH radiation is reliable. In particular, the use of this technique in and near combustion zones would not yield reliable results. Unfortunately, the sensing of combustion-zone temperatures constitutes an important set of potential uses for such techniques, since it is highly desirable to sense temperatures in at least the higher temperature regions by short wavelength emission.

Because of the very rapid variation of the equilibrium OH concentration with temperature, a disproportionately large fraction of the OH emission (and absorption) originates in the hottest portions of the flame. The result of this condition is that, in the inversion of the OH data to obtain temperatures, while the temperature of the hotter portions of the flame can be accurately extracted, the extraction of the temperature of the cooler portions is subject to very substantial uncertainty. This difference indicates that the OH radiation is best suited for the extraction of the maximum temperature of a gas having large temperature variations, and the infrared or other techniques should be used to extract the temperatures of the cooler regions.

It is desirable to sense temperatures in at least the higher temperature regions of a gas flow from the short wavelength emission primarily because of the greater sensitivity of the Planck function to temperature at shorter wavelengths. However, if regions are to be included in which nonequilibrium of the OH is a possibility, the use of an emission-absorption technique in the OH band should probably be abandoned. Other techniques in the ultraviolet should be investigated as possible means of temperature inversion in non-isothermal flames. Temperature inversion based on the relative intensity of the rotational lines within a band has been highly developed for isothermal paths (as used in this report) and perhaps could be generalized to yield temperature profiles across nonisothermal paths. However, such techniques will sacrifice much of the potential advantage of the short wavelength region, since the absolute emission values, the source of the potentially superior accuracy of the ultraviolet region when equilibrium is present, must be discarded where nonequilibrium exists. Furthermore, lack of knowledge of accurate transition probabilities and line widths limit the accuracy of rotational line analyses. It seems that ultraviolet line-of-sight probing techniques that are not based upon emission-absorption will not be substantially more accurate than infrared measurements and will be at least as difficult to perform experimentally.

Accordingly, future efforts should be directed toward a delineation of those regions and conditions in which temperature inversion by OH emission-absorption spectrometry is valid (i.e., where OH chemiluminescence is not significant). The various entries of
Refs. [14-18] describe observations of various degrees of chemiluminescence, all of which indicate OH nonequilibrium, within and near a reaction zone, and then downstream decay to complete equilibrium. However, the spatial extent of the chemiluminescence varies in the different cases, depending on the reactants and the flame conditions. The spatial extent is not known in general and, as indicated by the current work, could possibly be more extensive for diffusion flames than for premixed flames. Thus, it seems that a more complete study of the conditions under which substantial chemiluminescence of the OH molecule occurs must be carried out. This study could begin by probing an atmospheric pressure, H₂-O₂, diffusion flame of the type used in the present study with both sodium and lithium line-reversal measurements and OH emission-absorption measurements. Such a study would allow the determination of various translational and OH rotational temperatures and OH 2Σ⁺ population throughout the flame. The results would indicate the regions in the burner flame where OH chemiluminescence is important and hence provide information whereby the currently obtained, high emission-absorption temperatures in the ultraviolet could be explained. Further theoretical studies would still be required (besides the results in Refs. [14-18]) to attempt to generalize the conditions governing OH chemiluminescence. The results of this study would provide a means for determining whether a particular physical situation or region would yield OH chemiluminescence, and thus a means for deciding whether OH emission-absorption measurements with their potentially better temperature accuracy would be worthwhile for temperature probing in that region.

Uncertainty in the temperature determined for a burner segment from the OH measurements was strongly dependent on the OH content and hence temperature in that segment. The accuracy of the ultraviolet temperature determination is variable along the line of sight. An additional analytical study should be performed to determine a method by which ultraviolet and infrared emission absorption measurements can be combined so that the accuracy of the temperature profile all along the line of sight is maximized.
Appendix I
A BAND MODEL FORMULATION FOR VERY NONUNIFORM PATHS

1.1. INTRODUCTION

The particular band model used for the research described in this report was developed at Willow Run Laboratories [1, 2], and was originally formulated in terms of a nearly-weak or a nearly-strong (NW-NS) line approximation. A simple procedure for interpolation between the two approximations provided for intermediate optical depths. Applications of this band model in its original form yielded anomalous results; at some frequencies, the calculated values of apparent radiance with atmospheric absorption exceeded those for the hot source alone. Reasons for these inconsistencies were determined and corrections made. In the body of the report, we presented both results of the use of the modified band model and the requirements for a more complex interpolation procedure. The purpose of this appendix is to present the details of the revised formulation of the model, which represents a closer approximation to the exact equation of transfer than the original model, or comparable ones which have been based on the Curtis-Godson approximation.

The rationale in the development of the new model can be illustrated by considering the growth of a single, collision-broadened spectral line along a nonisothermal path. Once the formulation for such a single line is developed, it is carried over essentially unchanged to the case of a random band of overlapping lines.

The solution of the equation of transfer which describes the radiance of a single, isolated, spectral line from a general nonisothermal source under the conditions of local thermodynamic equilibrium, negligible scattering, and no significant source of radiation behind the gas, is

\[ \int_0^{X_T} \frac{\int_{\nu_o}^\nu L^*(\nu, X)k(\nu - \nu_o, X') \exp \left[ \int_0^X k(\nu - \nu_o, X') \, dX' \right] \, dX}{L^*(\nu, X)} \, d\nu \]

where \( X \) is the optical depth (mass per unit cross-sectional area) coordinate along the line of sight through the gas. \( L^*(\nu, X) \), the blackbody spectral radiance, is a function of frequency, or equivalently wave-number, \( \nu \), and temperature, \( T = T(X) \); \( T \) in turn, is a function of the position along the line of sight through the gas. The spectral absorption coefficient of the single line being considered, \( k(\nu - \nu_o, X) \), is a function of the spectral distance from the line center, \( \nu - \nu_o \), and the optical depth coordinate along the path, \( X \). \( L^*(\nu) \) is the spectral radiance of the gaseous source at wave-number \( \nu \) resulting from the single spectral line. \( X_T \) is the total optical depth of the gaseous source. The total radiance from the line is obtained by integration over all frequencies:
\[
L = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L^*_\nu(\nu, X) d\nu \left[ -\int_0^X k(\nu - \nu_o, X') dX' \right] dX d(\nu - \nu_o)
\]

If we introduce the transmittance, defined as
\[
\tau(\nu - \nu_o, X) = \exp \left[ -\int_0^X k(\nu - \nu_o, X') dX' \right]
\]

and interchange the order of integration, assuming that \(L^*_\nu(\nu, X)\) does not vary significantly over the frequency region involved, the equation for \(L\) can be written:
\[
L = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L^*_\nu(\nu, X) \frac{d}{dX} \left[ \int_0^X \tau(\nu - \nu_o, X) d(\nu - \nu_o) \right] dX
\]

The equivalent width, \(W\), is defined as
\[
W(X) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau(\nu - \nu_o, X) d(\nu - \nu_o)
\]

so that the expression for \(L\) becomes
\[
L = \int_{0}^{\infty} L^*_\nu(\nu, X) \frac{dW}{dX} dX
\]

Since \(W\) is only a function of \(X\), the above equation can be written
\[
L = \int_{0}^{\infty} L^*_\nu(\nu, X) dW(X)
\]

If we divide the path into \(N\) approximately isothermal elements, the expression for \(L\) becomes
\[
L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} L^*_\nu(X_i) [W(X_i) - W(X_{i-1})]
\]

where \(X_i\) is the optical path from the observer to the far boundary of the \(i\)-th isothermal element and \(W(X_i)\) is the equivalent width corresponding to that path.

Calculation of the values of \(W(X_i)\) shows that, in general, the integrals over \(X\) and \(\nu - \nu_o\) are not separable for nonisothermal paths. To allow such separation, an approximation for the variation of the line shape along the path is usually made. The Curtis-Godson approximation
is most commonly used for this purpose [21, 22, 23]. Another, is the nearly-weak, nearly-
strong line approximation [1]. The purpose of both approximations is the separation of the
integrals over X and \( \nu - \nu_o \) and the replacement of the nonisothermal path with an equivalent
isothermal path. Both yield satisfactory values of \( W(X) \) for many cases, especially when the
temperature and concentration variations are not too large.

In the evaluation of Eq. (28), the accuracy of the integration depends not so much on the
accuracy of the values of \( W(X) \), but on the accuracy of differences between values of \( W(X) \), i.e.,
on the derivative of \( W \) with respect to \( X \). However, an expression which is a reasonable approxi-
mation for \( W \) will not always yield a reasonable approximation to \( dW/dX \). To illustrate this,
we will compute the derivative of \( W \) with respect to \( X \), using both the Curtis-Godson approxi-
mation and the NW-NS approximation.

First it is necessary to obtain an expression for the equivalent width of a collision-broad-
ened line in an isothermal path. The absorption coefficient in this case is

\[ k(\nu - \nu_o, X') = \frac{S(X')}{\pi} \frac{\gamma(X')}{\gamma^2(X') + (\nu - \nu_o)^2} \]  

where \( S \) is the line strength and \( \gamma \) its half-width. Upon substitution into Eq. (23) specialized to
an isothermal path, and with the use of Eq. (25) the following expression for \( W \) is obtained after
some reduction [20] :

\[ W(X) = 2\pi \gamma \cdot f(x) \]  

where \( f \) is the Ladenburg-Reiche function.

\[ f(x) = xe^{-x}[J_0(ix) - iJ_1(ix)] \]  

and \( x = \frac{SX}{2\pi \gamma} \) is the dimensionless optical depth. \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \) are Bessel functions of the first kind,
of order 0 and 1 respectively. Tables of \( f(x) \) are found in the literature [25, 26].

1.2. CURTIS-GODSON APPROXIMATION

We have used the form of the Curtis-Godson approximation described by Goody [21]. It is
a method in which the equivalent width of an absorption line as viewed through a nonisothermal
path can be approximated by the equivalent width through some corresponding isothermal path.
The approximation involves the definition of an equivalent average half-width and line strength
for the path extending from the observer to some point, \( X \), in the path.

\[ S_e(X) = \frac{\int_0^X S(X') \, dX'}{X} \]  

(equation continued)
The denominator in the nonisothermal absorption coefficient (Eq. 29), is taken to be independent of \( X' \), of the form, \( \gamma_e^2(X) + (\nu - \nu_0)^2 \), so that

\[
\gamma_e(X) S(X) X' = \frac{\gamma_e(X) S_e(X) X}{\pi \gamma_e^2(X) + (\nu - \nu_0)^2}
\]

From this, it follows that

\[
W(X) = 2\pi \gamma_e(X) f(x)
\]

where \( x \), the dimensionless optical depth, is now defined as

\[
x(X) = \frac{\int S(X') dX'}{2\pi \gamma_e(X)}
\]

Equation (34) can now be used to investigate the behavior of \( dW/dX \) in a nonisothermal gas. If we differentiate (34) and make use of (32), we get

\[
\frac{dW}{dX} = S(X) \left[ \frac{df(x)}{dx} \left( 2 - \frac{\gamma(X)}{\gamma_e(X)} \right) + \frac{f(x)}{x} \left( \frac{\gamma(X)}{\gamma_e(X)} - 1 \right) \right]
\]

The variation with optical depth \( X \) can be mapped into a variation with dimensionless optical depth, \( x \), and Eq. (36) rewritten as

\[
\frac{dW}{dX} = S(X) \left[ \frac{df(x)}{dx} \left( 2 - \frac{\gamma(X)}{\gamma_e(X)} \right) + \frac{f(x)}{x} \left( \frac{\gamma(X)}{\gamma_e(X)} - 1 \right) \right]
\]

We can now place physical limitations on \( 1/S(X) \) \( dW/dX \). At \( X = 0 \), the quantity \( 1/S(X) \) \( dW/dX \) must be unity corresponding to the region of linear growth for small optical depths. It must also be less than one at larger optical depths as the square root region is approached; and, finally, it must remain non-negative for all \( x \), so that the equivalent width continues to grow as \( x \) increases. The variation of \( 1/S(X) \) \( dW/dX \) in Eq. (37) is shown in Fig. 20 as a function of \( x \) with \( \gamma/\gamma_e \) as a parameter. \( \gamma/\gamma_e \) is the ratio of the half-width at \( x \) to its average value taken over all the path before it. For \( \gamma/\gamma_e < 2 \), the function is well behaved, but not for
\( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_e} > 2 \). In the latter case the function becomes larger than one for intermediate values of \( x \), indicating a rate of equivalent-width increase greater than that in the linear region.*

1.2. NEARLY-WEAK, NEARLY-STRONG LINE APPROXIMATION

This approximation, like the Curtis-Godson approximation, defines an average for the line half-width. However, this average is fixed for the path in question and is defined as the average over the total path, \( X_L \):

\[
\gamma_{eL} = \frac{X_L}{\int_0^{X_L} S(x') \, dx'}
\]

Then the dimensionless optical depth is defined as:

\[
\bar{\chi}(X) = \frac{1}{2\pi \gamma_{eL}} \int_0^{X} S(x') F(x') \, dx'
\]  

(39)

\( F(x') \) is a function which must be unity in the NW approximation and \( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{eL}} \) in the NS approximation [5]. A form must be chosen for the variation of \( F(x') \) so that it produces a reasonable transition between the two approximations for intermediate optical depths. The equivalent width is given again as

\[
W(X) = 2\pi \gamma_{eL} f(\bar{x})
\]  

(40)

If we take the derivative of Eq. (40) for the NW approximation we obtain

\[
\frac{dW}{dX} = \frac{S(X) df(x)}{d\bar{x}}
\]  

(41)

and for the NS approximation, we obtain

\[
\frac{dW}{dX} = \frac{S(X) \gamma(x) df(x)}{\gamma_{eL} d\bar{x}}
\]  

(42)

The values for \( \frac{1}{S(X)} \) \( \frac{dW}{dX} \) (according to Eqs. 41 and 42) are shown in Fig. 21. It can be seen that the NW approximation never becomes physically unrealistic, i.e., \( \frac{1}{S(X)} \) \( \frac{dW}{dX} \) \( \geq 1 \);

*These errors, mentioned by Goody [21], are treated in detail by Drayson [22]. However their analyses dealt only with the absorption (or equivalent width). On the other hand, Walshaw and Rodgers [26] analyzed the effect of the Curtis-Godson approximation on the derivative of transmittance with optical depth, for several band models.
FIGURE 20. EQUIVALENT-WIDTH DERIVATIVE IN THE CURTIS-GODSON APPROXIMATION

FIGURE 21. EQUIVALENT-WIDTH DERIVATIVE IN THE NEARLY-WEAK AND NEARLY-STRONG LINE APPROXIMATIONS
however, for the NS approximation, the quantity \(1/S(X)(dW/dX)\) becomes greater than one at small values of \(x\) for \(\gamma(X)/\gamma' > 1\). This is accounted for by the proper choice of \(F(X')\). However, we are left with no information upon which to base \(F(X')\) at this stage.

It appears that neither the NW-NS approximation (unless \(F(X)\) is accurately specified) nor the Curtis-Godson approximation give sufficiently accurate values for \(dW/dX\) over the full range of optical depths and for all possible values of \(\gamma/\gamma_e\). On the other hand, it should be noted that the anomalies and errors in the curves for \(1/S(dW/dX)\) as given by the Curtis-Godson approximation are not serious as long as \(\gamma/\gamma_e\) never exceeds a value of about two. This condition exists [21, 22, 27] for most atmospheric paths but not for highly nonhomogeneous paths. For example, hot products of combustion viewed through long atmospheric paths yield values of \(\gamma/\gamma_e\) as large as 20 (Appendix III).

To obtain a more acceptable expression for \(dW/dX\), consider the growth of an isolated spectral line. The equivalent width is given exactly by

\[
W(X) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ - \frac{X}{\gamma(X)} \right] d(\Delta \nu) \tag{43}
\]

and its derivative, in terms of a Lorentz profile, by

\[
\frac{dW}{dX} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{S(X)\gamma(X)}{\gamma^2(X) + (\Delta \nu)^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{X} \frac{S(X)\gamma(X)}{\gamma^2(X) + (\Delta \nu)^2} dX' \right] d(\Delta \nu) \tag{44}
\]

where \(\Delta \nu = \nu - \nu_0\). In the original treatment of the Curtis-Godson and the NW-NS approximations, the separation of frequency-dependent and path-dependent variables was accomplished by substitution of an effective value, \(\gamma_e\), in both parts of the integrand. The only difference in our treatment from previous treatments is that we will use such an approximation only in the exponential term. Thus, in terms of \(\gamma_e = \gamma_e(X)\) defined by Eq.(32) and \(x\) defined by Eq. (35), Eq. (44) becomes

\[
\frac{dW}{dX} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{S(X)\gamma(X)}{\gamma^2(X) + (\Delta \nu)^2} \exp \left[ -2x\gamma_e(X)\frac{\gamma_e(X)}{\gamma_e^2(X) + (\Delta \nu)^2} \right] d(\Delta \nu) \tag{45}
\]

This is equivalent to making a Curtis-Godson substitution in the expression for the derivative of \(W\), rather than in the expression for \(W\) itself. The process of taking a derivative after an approximation has been made is reversed, a treatment which should be inherently better than the techniques described earlier for the calculation of radiances. This new approximation can be compared to the previous two if \(dW/dX\) is considered as the limiting value of the contribution
to W from a small element of path, ΔX, viewed through the path ahead of it. In the previous two approximations, the line shape of the element ΔX and the path in front of it are both given by the line shape for the equivalent, homogeneous path. In the current approximation, we assumed that the element ΔX has its true line shape, while the path in front of it has an equivalent homogeneous shape. Equation (45), checked against the exact relation (Eq. 44), for a two-layer, nonisothermal path, has been found to be very accurate. Further investigation of its accuracy is to be the subject of future work. It would be possible to fully develop a procedure for treating isolated Lorentz lines. However, our interest is ultimately in a random band model which treats the average of many lines.

1.3. BAND-MODEL CONSIDERATIONS

The calculation of an average spectral radiance at the boundary of a radiating body of gas, in a frequency interval encompassing a number of rotational lines, is represented by the relation

\[ \bar{L}_\nu = \frac{1}{\int g(\nu' - \nu) L_\nu(\nu') d\nu'} \]

where \( g(\nu, \nu') \) is an averaging function (e.g., the slit function of a spectrometer of moderate resolution, such as those which produce original absorption spectra from which band-model parameters are extracted). The exact spectral radiance, \( L_{\nu'} \), is given by the equation of transfer, Eqs. (21) and (23). Thus, Eq. (46) can be written

\[ \bar{L}_\nu = \int_0^\infty g(\nu' - \nu) \int_1^{\tau(X_L)} L_\nu(\nu', \tau') d\tau' d\nu' \]

where \( \tau \) is the perfectly resolved transmittance, and the primes denote variables of integration.

The order of integration in Eq. (47) can be inverted, and when frequency intervals are small enough that the Planck function is essentially constant, the result is the band-model expression:

\[ \bar{L}_\nu(\nu) = \int_1^{\tau(X_L)} \bar{L}_\nu(\nu, \tau') d\tau' \]

where

\[ \bar{\tau}(\nu) = \int_0^\infty g(\nu' - \nu) \tau(\nu') d\nu' \]
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Thus, \( \tilde{\tau} \) is seen to be the spectral transmittance measured by a conventional instrument or calculated by a band-model.

Equation (49) can be evaluated to obtain the average spectral radiance, \( \bar{L}_\nu \), by first computing \( \tilde{\tau} \) at a number of points along the path. The blackbody radiance is then represented as a function of \( \tilde{\tau} \), and integrated numerically over \( \tilde{\tau} \), with, for instance, the use of a simple summation. The various band models in current use, including those based on the Curtis-Godson approximation and the present model in its original form, do yield values for the transmittance with an accuracy sufficient for most engineering applications. However, as for the case of isolated lines, Eq. (49) involves a differentiation of the expression for \( \tilde{\tau} \) with respect to the optical path. Differentiation, of course, accentuates errors and uncertainties; in the isolated line case presented above, the derivative of the equivalent width exhibited the error. Therefore, an expression which yields reasonable values for \( \tilde{\tau} \) will not necessarily yield reasonable values for \( d\tilde{\tau} \) and, hence, for \( \bar{L}_\nu \). It was necessary, therefore, to develop an expression for \( \tilde{\tau} \) which has a derivative which will yield more realistic values of the difference in transmittance with a specified incremental increase in physical path.

The transmittance for a band of spectral lines with some overlapping can be expressed as

\[
\tilde{\tau} = \exp (\tilde{\tau}_n - 1)
\]

where \( \tilde{\tau}_n \) is the transmittance for an equivalent band of well-isolated nonoverlapping lines.

Differentiation of Eq. (50) with respect to the optical depth, \( X \), yields

\[
\frac{d\tilde{\tau}}{dX} = \frac{d\tilde{\tau}_n}{dX}
\]

which indicates that, with a reasonably accurate value for \( \tilde{\tau} \), the accuracy of \( d\tilde{\tau}/dX \) depends directly on the accuracy of \( d\tilde{\tau}_n/dX \).

In our current work, we have used the NW-NS approximation exclusively and at this point our consideration is limited to this model to find specification of the function \( F(X') \) which yields accurate values of a transmittance derivative. For the NW-NS approximation [5]

\[
\tilde{\tau}_n = \beta e L f(\bar{x})
\]

where the argument of the Ladenburg-Reiche function is, in this case,

\[
\bar{x}(X) = \frac{1}{\beta e L} \int_0^X \frac{\beta (X')}{\frac{\beta}{e L}} \eta(X') dX'
\]
in which \( \bar{k} = \bar{k}(\nu, T) \) is the first band-model parameter, the average absorption coefficient, identified as the average line strength to spacing ratio, and \( \beta = \beta(\nu, T) \) is the second band-model parameter, the line-overlap factor, identified as \( 2\pi \) times the average line width to spacing ratio. The effective value \( \beta_{eL} \) is defined analogously to \( \gamma_{eL} \) for the case of a single line:

\[
\beta_{eL} = \frac{\int_{0}^{X_L} \bar{k}(X')\beta(X')\,dX'}{\int_{0}^{X_L} \bar{k}(X')\,dX'}
\]  

(54)

\( F(X') \) has here been replaced by \( \left[ \beta(X')/\beta_{eL} \right]^{\eta(X')} \), a suitable functional form for \( F(X') \). Here \( \eta \) is an interpolation parameter between the nearly weak and nearly strong approximations, varying from 0 for the nearly weak to 1 for the nearly strong.

In an earlier study [5], an investigation of the form for \( \eta \) is described. As a result of that study, several reasonably useful empirical forms for \( \eta \) were determined. However, the information used to make these determinations was not sensitive enough to values of \( \eta \) to provide accurate information about its form. One of these empirical forms is:

\[
\eta = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } \bar{x} < 1 \\
(\bar{x} - 1)/9 & \text{for } 1 \leq \bar{x} \leq 10 \\
1 & \text{for } \bar{x} > 10 
\end{cases}
\]

This appears to make \( \eta \) a function of \( \bar{x} \) rather than \( X' \), and the determination of \( \bar{x} \) appears as an iterative procedure. However, in practice the integral for \( \bar{x} \) is evaluated by a summation, and a value for \( \eta \) for a particular term is determined from the value for \( \bar{x} \) calculated from the sum of the preceding terms. Thus, \( \eta \) is uniquely defined for each \( X' \).

Differentiation of Eq. (52) and utilization of Eq. (54) yields

\[
\frac{d\bar{\tau}_n}{dX} = \frac{d}{dX} \left[ \beta(X)/\beta_{eL} \right]^{\eta(X)} \frac{\bar{k}(X)}{k(X)}
\]  

(55)

which shows that the derivative of \( d\bar{\tau}_n/dX \) varies with the absorption coefficient (as would be expected), the derivative of the function \( f(\bar{x}) \), and the local values of \( \beta(X) \) and \( \eta(X) \). The physical significance of Eq. (55) can be seen in Fig. 22, a normalized plot of \(-\bar{k}/d\bar{\tau}_n/dX \) versus \( x \), with \( \beta/\beta_{eL} \) as a parameter. In the limit, as \( x \to 0 \), the band model reduces to a linear growth law, so that \(-\bar{k}/d\bar{\tau}_n/dX \) approaches unity. This function must be less than unity for all values
FIGURE 22. TRANSMITTANCE DERIVATIVE IN THE ORIGINAL BAND MODEL
of \( X \) greater than zero, as the square root growth law becomes operative. However, Fig. 3 shows that the empirical specifications for \( \eta \) do not conform to this requirement for certain values of \( \beta / \beta_{eL} \) and \( \bar{x} \), an inconsistency analogous to that shown earlier for isolated line growth. \( d\bar{\tau}_n / dx \) is correctly given by \[ (56) \]

\[
\frac{d\bar{\tau}_n}{dX} = -\frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \frac{d\bar{W}}{dX} \tag{56}
\]

where \( \bar{W} \) is an average equivalent width and \( \delta \) is an average line spacing in the spectral interval under consideration. For lines of equal strength and width, after some rearrangement, Eqs. (56) and (45) yield

\[
\frac{d\bar{\tau}_n}{dX} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k(X)\beta(X)}{\beta^2(X) + (2\pi\Delta\nu/\delta)^2} \exp \left[ -2x\beta_e(X) \left( \frac{\beta_e(X)}{\beta^2_e(X) + (2\pi\Delta\nu/\delta)^2} \right) \right] d\theta \tag{57}
\]

where \( x \) denotes the dimensionless optical depth as defined in Eq. (35) and used in Eq. (45).

Rewritten in terms of the two bandmodel parameters,

\[
x = \frac{\int_{0}^{X} k(X') dX'}{\beta_e(X)} \tag{58}
\]

where \( \beta_e(X) \) is the average value of the second band-model parameter over the path from the observer to the point \( X \), similar to the definition of \( r_e(X) \) in Eq. (32).

\[
\beta_e(X) = \frac{\int_{0}^{X} k(X')\beta(X') dX'}{\int_{0}^{X} k(X') dX'} \tag{59}
\]

The distinction between \( \beta_{eL} \) (a constant determined over the whole path), defined in Eq. (54) and \( \beta_e(X) \) (a function of \( X \), the optical depth coordinate) should be noted.

The change of variables \( \tan \theta/2 = 2\pi(\Delta\nu/\beta_e) \) and a trigonometric substitution yields

\[
\frac{1}{k(X)} \frac{d\bar{\tau}_n}{dX} = \frac{2}{\pi} \beta_e \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\exp \left[ -x(1 + \cos \theta) \right]}{\left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_e} \right)^2 + 1 \right]^{1/2} + \left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_e} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \cos \theta} d\theta \tag{60}
\]
Equation (60) has been integrated numerically; the results are plotted in Fig. 23, as \(-\frac{1}{\kappa}d\tau_n/dX\) versus \(x\); \(\beta(X)/\beta_e(X)\) is the parameter. The resulting curves exhibit none of the anomalies seen in Fig. 22; the curve for \(\beta/\beta_e = 1\) is identical in both figures.

The interpolation parameter, \(\eta\), can now be evaluated by equating Eqs. (60) and (55). These two equations involve different sets of variables, i.e., Eq. (60) is given in terms of \(x\) and \(\beta_e(X)\), and Eq. (55) is given in terms of \(\bar{x}\) and \(\beta_e L\). The relationship between \(\bar{x}\) and \(x\) is complex and depends on \(\eta, \beta_e(X)\) and \(\beta_e L\) in an integral form. Furthermore, although \(x\) is a unique function of the optical path extending from 0 to \(X\), \(\bar{x}\) is not a unique function in the same sense, since it depends on the path between \(X\) and \(X_L\) through \(\beta_e L\) as well. Such dependence is not physically realistic but appears as an integral part of the NW-NS approximation. In determining a form for \(\eta\), this dependence must be accounted for so that the computed value of \(\frac{1}{\kappa}(d\tau_n/dX)\) is unique despite the nonunique character of \(\bar{x}\), although this is very difficult to insure, except for certain types of paths. In particular, if \(\beta\) differs significantly from its average value, \(\beta_e L\) in only a very small portion of the optical path, then the contribution from that portion of the path to the integral defining \(\bar{x}\) is negligible compared to the contributions from the remainder of the path. In this case, the following approximate relation holds:

\[
\frac{X}{\int k(X') \left( \frac{\beta_e(X')}{\beta e L} \right) \eta(X') \, dX'} = \frac{X}{\int k(X') \, dX'}
\]

The above restriction also implies that

\[\beta_e(X) = \text{constant} = \beta_e L\]

and that

\[x = \bar{x}\]

Thus, if \(\beta_e(X)\) is not a strong function of \(X\) and if large variations in \(\beta(X)\) are allowed in very small fractions of the optical path only, values for \(\eta\) can be found in terms of \(\bar{x}\) and \(\beta/\beta_e L\) (except for \(\beta/\beta_e L = 1\) where \(\eta\) is indeterminate). The resultant values of \(\eta\) are shown in Fig. 24. Use of these values in Eq. (55) will insure that the derivative \(d\tau/dX\) will equal that given by Eq. (60). For convenience in application, we made simple analytical approximations to the curves of Fig. 24 by fitting them with functions as follows:

\[
\eta(\bar{x}, \beta/\beta_e) = \frac{\bar{x} + A_1(\beta/\beta_e)}{\bar{x} + A_2(\beta/\beta_e)}
\]

(61)
FIGURE 23. TRANSMITTANCE DERIVATIVE IN THE MODIFIED BAND MODEL

FIGURE 24. INTERPOLATION PARAMETER AS A FUNCTION OF OPTICAL DEPTH AND LINE-OVERLAP FACTOR
For any particular instance, the choice between Eqs. (61) and (62) is made on the basis of the quantity
\[ A - \frac{\beta}{\beta_e} \]
\[ \frac{\bar{X}A_3(\beta/\beta_e)}{A_5(\beta/\beta_e) + \bar{X}A_4(\beta/\beta_e)} \] (62)

If \( x > E \), Eq. (61) is used; otherwise Eq. (62) is used. Values for \( A_1, A_2, A_3 \), and \( A_4 \) are tabulated in Table 11. Values of \( d\tau_n/dX \) obtained when the values in Table 11 are used in place of the values shown for \( \tau \) in Fig. 5 are sufficiently accurate for most applications of this band-model.

The introduction of an interpolation parameter has little physical basis and is not the only way Eq. (60) could be introduced into a computational procedure. An alternative method for use of the above results would be the direct application of Eq. (60) into a band-model computer code. The resultant values of \( d\tau_n/dX \) could then be used in Eq. (51), if \( \bar{\tau}_n \) in Eq. (50) is evaluated in terms of \( x \) and \( \beta_e(X) \). Such a procedure would eliminate the need for a distinction between the NW and NS approximations and, therefore, eliminate an interpolation procedure. Unfortunately, when Eq. (60) is used, an analytic form for the solution of the integral is not easily obtained. Although a solution has been obtained in the form of an infinite series, this represents little improvement over a table of numerical values. Whether there would be a net gain in computational efficiency remains to be seen. However, a direct application of Eq. (60) might be expected to be somewhat more satisfactory because the restriction on the variation of \( \beta_e(X) \) compared to \( \beta_{eL} \) required in the evaluation of \( \eta \) would not be needed. This alternative mode of the band-model formulation is one of the subjects of a continuing investigation.

In summary, we have shown how both the Curtis-Godson approximation and the NW-NS approximation can introduce anomalies into the radiance computed over a highly nonisothermal path for an isolated spectral line. The application of a Curtis-Godson approximation to the expression for the equivalent-width gradient along the path produced a formulation yielding greatly improved radiance values which exhibit no anomalies. In the generalization to a random band model, the expression concerning the equivalent-width gradient has been used to obtain an interpolation between the nearly-weak and nearly-strong approximations valid for that band model.
The most important result presented herein is the form of the transmittance gradient given in Eq. (60). It is the basis of a computational procedure for computing the radiance from highly nonisothermal gaseous sources. This procedure yields significant improvements over previously used techniques. It has been shown to be a better approximation than those more commonly used and yields physically realistic results for all values of the path and band-model parameters.

TABLE 11. COEFFICIENTS TO BE USED IN Eqs. (61) AND (62)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta/\beta_c$</th>
<th>$A_1$</th>
<th>$A_2$</th>
<th>$A_3$</th>
<th>$A_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>7.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix II
COMPARISON OF THE MODIFIED BAND MODEL WITH OBSERVED HOT GAS SPECTRA

The measured spectra in Figs. 25 through 30 are those of furnace-heated gas samples, 60-cm long, obtained in the previous study [1]. We obtained the band-model predictions (the less detailed spectra in each figure) using Eqs. (1) and (2), modified as indicated in Appendix I.
FIGURE 25. SPECTRAL RADIANCE, RUN 10216807.  
Gas: $\text{H}_2\text{O/CO}_2/\text{N}_2$; $P = 57, 28, 675$ mm; temperature $(\text{OK}) = 378, 537, 723, 958, 1127, 1158, 990, 752, 555, 383$.  

$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$
Figure 26. Spectral Absorptance, Run 10216807.
Gas: H$_2$O/CO$_2$/N$_2$; P = 57, 28, 675 mm; temperature (°K) = 378, 537, 723, 958, 1127, 1158, 990, 752, 555, 383.

Figure 27. Spectral Radiance, Run 10216811.
Gas: H$_2$O; P = 670 mm; temperature (°K) = 382, 537, 723, 953, 1128, 1160, 990, 751, 558, 389.
FIGURE 28. SPECTRAL ABSORPTANCE, RUN 10216811.
Gas: H₂O; P = 670 mm; temperature (°K) = 382, 537, 723, 953, 1128, 1160, 990, 751, 558, 389.

FIGURE 29. SPECTRAL RADIANCE, RUN 10216812.
Gas: CO₂; P = 760 mm; temperature (°K) = 386, 528, 719, 953, 1130, 1160, 979, 737, 541, 387.

FIGURE 30. SPECTRAL ABSORPTANCE, RUN 10216812.
Gas: CO₂; P = 760 mm; temperature (°K) = 386, 528, 719, 953, 1130, 1160, 979, 737, 541, 387.
Appendix III
CALCULATION OF RADIATION FROM HOT H₂O AND CO₂ VIEWED THROUGH A COOL INTERVENING ATMOSPHERE

There are a number of engineering problems requiring quantitative calculations of the thermal emission from hot water vapor and carbon dioxide, viewed through atmospheric paths in which these species also appear as absorbers. In recent years, molecular band models, originally developed for atmospheric transmission calculations, have been extended to handle the case of inhomogeneous bodies of hot gases which emit as well as absorb. This appendix is concerned with their further extension to the case of a hot body of gas imbedded in a cool atmosphere, treated as a single radiative-transfer problem. The computational method is illustrated by a comparison of predicted and observed spectra in the 2.7-μm region for a small methane-oxygen flame.

The arrangements for the illustrative experiment were as follows: the flames were generated with a multiple-diffusion burner originally built for hydrogen-fluorine combustion [11]; it operated equally well with methane and oxygen. The burner was installed in the sample beam of the double-beam spectrometer described in [1]. An auxiliary system of mirrors, two diagonals and a sphere, provided for measurements of spectral radiance and absorptance of the flame viewed directly and through a 10.32-m path of ambient air; with the flame extinguished, the absorptance of the atmospheric path alone could also be measured. The observed spectral radiance in the 2.7-μm region of a stoichiometric CH₄/O₂ flame with and without an intervening atmospheric absorption are shown in Fig. 31. The extreme wings of the flame emission band, comprised primarily of lines originating from higher rotational levels, are relatively unattenuated, in contrast with the central region in which the low temperature atmospheric absorption is encountered. This characteristic shape for a vibration-rotation band of a highly nonisothermal path is perfectly analogous to the familiar shape of a self-reversed spectral line under corresponding conditions.

For the band-model calculations, a flame model, similar to that used in [11], consisted of an isothermal core and a mixing region in which temperature and the concentration of the combustion products decreased parabolically, as the result of dilution with ambient air, as shown in Fig. 32. However, in this case, recombination of two dissociated species, H₂O and CO₂, had to be accounted for. In order to avoid a detailed equilibrium calculation for all species concentrations at each local value of temperature in the mixing region, the assumption was made that the reactions occur independently:

\[ \frac{1}{2}H₂ + OH \rightarrow H₂O \]  \hspace{1cm} (64)
\[ \frac{1}{2}O₂ + CO \rightarrow CO₂ \]  \hspace{1cm} (65)
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FIGURE 31. OBSERVED AND PREDICTED SPECTRA FOR A 2.5-cm DIAMETER CH₄/O₂ FLAME VIEWED DIRECTLY AND THROUGH A 10-m PATH. The smoother curves are the band-model predictions; the upper curve is the flame alone; the lower curve is the flame and atmospheric path; the middle is the product of flame radiance and atmospheric transmittance which have been calculated separately.

FIGURE 32. MODEL FOR FLAME STRUCTURE
This permitted the approximations \( P(\text{OH}) \approx 2P(\text{H}_2) \) and \( P(\text{CO}) \approx 2P(\text{O}_2) \) which, combined with the definitions for the equilibrium constants and the over-all reaction equation, provided the relations

\[
P(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 0.667 - 3\left[ K_1 P(\text{H}_2\text{O}) / 2 \right]^{2/3} \quad \text{(66)}
\]

\[
P(\text{CO}_2) = 0.333 - 3\left[ K_2 P(\text{CO}_2) / 2 \right]^{2/3} \quad \text{(67)}
\]

Equations (66) and (67) were solved numerically at each temperature, with values for the equilibrium constants, \( K = K(T) \), given in [27].

As inputs to the flame model, the core temperature, \( T_c \), was taken as that measured in the center of a comparable \( \text{CH}_4 / \text{O}_2 \) flame by a sodium line-reversal technique; the core radius \( r_c / R \) was evaluated in accordance with the well-known properties of turbulent mixing of jets discharging into a quiescent medium [28]. The resultant values, \( T_c = 2800^\circ\text{K} \) and \( r_c / R = 0.74 \), were then used in the band-model calculations of the spectral radiance of the flame viewed directly and through the atmospheric path. The resultant band-model predictions, shown in Fig. 31 as the upper and lower smooth curves, compare favorably with the observed spectra.

Also shown in this figure, the middle smooth curve is the product of the flame radiance and atmospheric transmittance calculated separately. This procedure is incorrect in principle, since an atmospheric transmittance factor, calculated with such a band-model formulation (or for that matter determined experimentally by conventional techniques), refers to the attenuation of a spectrally continuous source. In this case, the hot gaseous source is spectrally discontinuous, with a high degree of correlation in spectral line content with that of the absorbing atmosphere. Hence, except for spectral regions in which lines are so close as to completely overlap, the application of an atmospheric transmittance factor, so determined, results in an overestimate of the radiance at a distant point. For the relatively short atmospheric path in the example shown, the two methods yield results that do agree to within the experimental error of the measurements. However, these effects become more pronounced at longer ranges. To illustrate, a set of calculations was made for the spectral radiance of a hypothetical source 1 m in diameter with a parabolic profile varying from \( 1200^\circ\text{K} \) in the center to \( 300^\circ\text{K} \) at the boundary, and a corresponding composition profile based on a reasonable mixture of combustion products of methane in air, observed at ranges of 10, 100, and 1000 m through a standard sea-level atmosphere at 50% relative humidity. The results are shown in Fig. 33, in which the upper curves are the radiance spectra of the hot source with no atmospheric absorption; the lower and middle curves are the apparent radiances at the indicated ranges, respectively calculated by the correct and incorrect procedure. It is clear that in selected spectral bandpasses, the apparent radiance would be lower by an order of magnitude or more than the product of the actual radiance and atmospheric transmittance.
FIGURE 33. APPARENT SPECTRAL RADIANCE OF A HOT SOURCE VIEWED THROUGH VARIOUS ATMOSPHERIC PATHS. The upper curves represent the hot source alone; the lower curves represent the combined hot source and atmospheric path; the middle curves show the product of the hot source radiance and atmospheric transmittance which have been calculated separately.
This appendix indicates the importance of the proper treatment of the apparent radiance distribution or spectral radiant intensities of distant hot combustion-product sources viewed through the atmosphere. The hot-gas band model developed under this and preceding contracts does provide a basis for such treatment, and is currently in use in various studies of rocket and jet-engine exhaust radiation.
Appendix IV
BAND-MODEL PARAMETERS FOR LOW TEMPERATURES

The values of the band-model parameters in this study were extracted from the General Dynamics tabulations [4]. These parameters were determined from the analysis of spectral absorptances of isothermal samples of hot gases and are reasonably reliable throughout the temperature range of the original measurements. However, the tabulations were extended down to 300°K by extrapolation. When these values were used in the present band model for the estimation of transmittances in atmospheric paths at some wavelengths, the results were in considerable disagreement with calculations made with the atmospheric transmission models and parameters described by Anding [29].

Since the latter have been demonstrated to be reliable over large ranges of atmospheric paths, horizontal and slant, the parameters in the hot gas band model were adjusted until a satisfactory agreement was achieved. Figure 34 shows the results of this adjustment for a 29.2-km slant path through a standard atmosphere; the path parameters are listed in Table 12. Figure 35 shows a comparison of the two models applied to a path which has the same temperature and pressure profiles, but which is shorter by a factor of 100.

TABLE 12. PATH PARAMETERS IN THE HOT GAS BAND MODEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (cm)</th>
<th>Temperature (°K)</th>
<th>P(H₂O) (atm)</th>
<th>P(CO₂) (atm)</th>
<th>P(N₂) (atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>288.0</td>
<td>0.956E-2</td>
<td>0.32E-3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.92E5</td>
<td>281.7</td>
<td>0.676E-2</td>
<td>0.277E-3</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.86E5</td>
<td>275.2</td>
<td>0.399E-2</td>
<td>0.252E-3</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.7E5</td>
<td>268.7</td>
<td>0.244E-2</td>
<td>0.222E-3</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17E6</td>
<td>266.2</td>
<td>0.155E-2</td>
<td>0.195E-3</td>
<td>0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.462E6</td>
<td>255.7</td>
<td>0.612E-3</td>
<td>0.171E-3</td>
<td>0.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.93E6</td>
<td>223.3</td>
<td>0.117E-4</td>
<td>0.063E-3</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE 34. TRANSMITTANCES FOR A 29.3-km ATMOSPHERIC PATH

FIGURE 35. TRANSMITTANCES FOR A 0.293-km ATMOSPHERIC PATH
ULTRAVIOLET EMISSION AND ABSORPTION SPECTRA

In Figs. 36 through 40 ultraviolet emission and absorption spectra are shown that were obtained for the 5 burner profiles (shown in Figs. 10 through 15). On all absorption curves, the absorption zero is determined from the local position of the trace between lines, and full absorption is taken to be the lower limit of the chart. On emission spectra, emission zero is the lower limit of the chart. The radiance calibration curve shown on each emission trace is the trace obtained from the corresponding observation of the spectral radiance standard lamp. The calibration curve shown must be divided by the calibration gain factor shown on each emission spectrum in order for the emission spectrum and the calibration curves to be comparable. (The radiance of the standard lamp was always much less than the radiance of the flame in the OH lines.) The radiance values of the standard lamp as determined by NBS, interpolated to the frequencies of the seven OH lines considered are given in Table 13. In the data reduction, these values were reduced by a factor of 0.92 to account for reflection losses in the lens between the lamp and the measurement system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Frequency (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Spectral Radiance ((\mu)W/cm(^2)-sr-cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 1</td>
<td>32415.5</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 3</td>
<td>32489.5</td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 4</td>
<td>32517.6</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 7</td>
<td>32572.6</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 14</td>
<td>32551.7</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 15</td>
<td>32531.8</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_2) 20</td>
<td>32363.5</td>
<td>0.358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calibration Gain Factor = 5

WILLOW RUN LABORATORIES

FIGURE 36. ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRA, PROFILE A: LINEAR (HOT THROUGH COLD)
FIGURE 37. ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRA, PROFILE B: LINEAR (COLD THROUGH HOT)
FIGURE 40. ULTRAVIOLET SPECTRA, PROFILE E: ISOTHERMAL
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