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SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POWER SYSTEM
Figure A-1. - Power System Diagram
SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF POWER SYSTEM

The following is a description and performance summary of the electrical power subsystem of the Bioresearch Module spacecraft.

1.0 POWER SYSTEM

The subsystem is powered by a solar array-rechargeable battery with series Pulse Width Modulated (PWM) charge control. Figure A-1 is a block diagram of the power system for Missions I, I(S), and II. The diagram for Mission III would differ in that the beacon and T/M transmitter are replaced by a GRARR VHF transponder. The system employs separate power converters for the experiments and the spacecraft systems. A redundant power converter (spacecraft power) is included to supply either or both if the respective converters fail. The use of the two converters (memory and main experiment) allows a greater overall conversion efficiency than would the use of one converter for both functions. The efficiency increase stems from the higher tolerances on the experiment-required voltages. Table A-1 summarizes experiment and data processing conversion efficiencies and conversion techniques. The efficiencies shown are based on average nominal loading.

Two T/M transmitters are used. One serves as a beacon and the other, the transmitter. Each is the redundant of the other.
### TABLE A-1. - POWER CONVERTER EFFICIENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VOLTAGE</th>
<th>EFFICIENCY</th>
<th>REGULATED BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPERIMENT POWER CONVERTER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.5 ±2.5</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>Using a limited range series regulator - resulting in a ±2V variation due to load and battery voltage variation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+15 ±2.5</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>Feedback from +5V output - resulting in less than ±1V variation due to load and battery voltage variation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 ±.02</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>Preregulator feedback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15 ±.5</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Post regulator (Low voltage drop series regulator)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| DATA PROCESSING PWR. CONVERTER |            |                                                                              |
| +15 ±.75               | 70%        | Post Regulator                                                              |
| +5 ±.25                | 75%        | Preregulator Feedback                                                       |
| -5 ±.10                | 70%        | Post Regulator                                                              |
2.0 POWER REQUIREMENTS

2.1 SPACECRAFT POWER REQUIREMENTS

The requirements for each mission are shown in Tables A-2 through A-5 with efficiencies included. The values under the column labeled "continuous" are the standby power requirements of each component that either must be energized continuously such as the memory or have a 100% duty cycle such as the spacecraft clock. The column labeled "Δ PWR" is the increase in power by each component when in the "operate" mode. The column labeled "duty cycle" contains the percent of mission time each component is in the "operate" mode; e.g., the 15% duty cycle of the encoder indicates that for each 96-minute orbit, the encoder will operate for a total of 14.4 minutes. The column labeled "Avg. PWR" contains the average power demand in watts of each component. The values are derived as follows:

\[
\text{Avg. PWR} = [\text{Continuous Power} + (\frac{\text{Duty Cycle}}{100})(\Delta \text{PWR})] \text{ watts}
\]

The total average spacecraft power demand for each mission is as follows:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(S)</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The peak power of each mission is as follows:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>68.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(S)</td>
<td>140.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>75.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>77.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and is found by summing the "continuous" and "Δ PWR" columns.

It should be noted that an S-band transmitter requirement was added to Mission I(S) to show that the system could handle a high powered, low duty cycle component. With this addition, the ΔPWR of data processor increased from 3.50 to 4.00 watts. The S-band power demand is carried throughout the analysis in Mission I(S) only.
### TABLE A-2. - MISSION I POWER REQUIREMENTS

(EFFICIENCIES INCLUDED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CONTINUOUS</th>
<th>ΔPWR.</th>
<th>DUTY CYCLE</th>
<th>AVG. PWR.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beacon</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/M Transmitter</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoder</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Conditioners</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/C Clock</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Receiver</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Decoder</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal System</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Reaction Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>.05%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Rate Gyro</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Integrating Rate Gyro</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Control Electronics</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Sun Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISSION I AVERAGE**

**SPACECRAFT POWER DEMAND**

16.7 WATTS,

PEAK = 68.3 WATTS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CONTINUOUS</th>
<th>Δ PWR.</th>
<th>DUTY CYCLE</th>
<th>AVG. PWR.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beacon</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/M Transmitter - VHF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/M Transmitter - S-Band</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoder</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Conditioners</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/C Clock</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Receiver</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Decoder</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal System</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Reaction Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Rate Gyro</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Integrating Rate Gyro</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Control Electronics</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Sun Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MISSION I(S) AVERAGE SPACECRAFT

POWER DEMAND 18.1 WATTS

PEAK = 140.73 WATTS
### TABLE A-4. MISSION II POWER REQUIREMENTS

**EFFICIENCIES INCLUDED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CONTINUOUS</th>
<th>Δ PWR.</th>
<th>DUTY CYCLE</th>
<th>AVG. PWR.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beacon</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/M Transmitter</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoder</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Conditioners</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/C Clock</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Receiver</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Decoder</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal System</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Reaction Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>.05%</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Rate Gyro</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Horizon Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Sun Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Control Electronics</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booms</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISSION II AVERAGE SPACECRAFT**

**POWER DEMAND 14.3 WATTS**

**PEAK - 75.03 WATTS**
### TABLE A-5. - MISSION III POWER REQUIREMENTS

(EFFICIENCIES INCLUDED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTINUOUS</th>
<th>Δ PWR.</th>
<th>DUTY CYCLE</th>
<th>AVG. PWR.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grarr Xpander</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoder</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Conditioners</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processing</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/C Clock</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Decoder</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal System</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Reaction Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Rate Gyro</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Horizon Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Sun Sensors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Control Electronics</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MISSION III AVERAGE SPACECRAFT**

POWER DEMAND 31.0 WATTS

PEAK = 77.05 WATTS
2.2 EXPERIMENT POWER REQUIREMENTS

Table A-6 shows the baseline and revised experiment power requirements with efficiencies included.

2.3 POWER REQUIREMENTS OF SYSTEM

2.3.1 Experiment and Spacecraft Power. - Table A-7 shows the total power required to operate the spacecraft and experiment for both the baseline and revised power profiles. These values are formed by summing the mission by mission total average power given in Tables A-2 through A-5 with the corresponding experiment average in Table A-6; e.g., for Mission I:

- From Table A-2: 16.7 watts
- From Table A-6: 87.1 watts
- Baseline Avg. Pwr. (Incl. efficiency): 103.8 watts

2.3.2 Solar Array Power Demand. The Bioresearch Module uses a solar array/rechargeable battery configuration in which the battery operates the spacecraft when in the shadow of the earth; therefore, the array must both power the module and supply enough energy to the battery for "night" operation. Table A-8 shows the solar array demand for each mission. The values for Missions I, I(S), and II are based on a 62% illuminated orbit and for Mission III, a 96% illuminated orbit. Battery charge and discharge efficiencies are included. The technique in deriving these values is as follows:

\[
\text{Power Demanded} = \text{Avg. Pwr}[1 + \left(\frac{\text{Dark}}{\text{Light}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\text{Charge Eff.}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\text{Dischg. Eff.}}\right)]
\]

For Missions I, I(S) and II,

\[
\text{Power Demanded} = 1.807 \times \text{Avg. Pwr. using 62% illuminated orbit.}
\]

For Mission III,

\[
\text{Power Demanded} = 1.055 \times \text{Avg. Pwr. using a 95% illuminated orbit.}
\]

Where the battery charge efficiency is 95% and discharge efficiency is 80%.
### TABLE A-6. - BASELINE AND REVISED EXPERIMENT POWER REQUIREMENTS

**Baseline Experiment Power Requirements, All Missions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage Range</th>
<th>Power Requirement</th>
<th>With Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.5 ±2.5 VDC</td>
<td>64 watts continuous</td>
<td>( \frac{70 \text{ watts Avg.}}{} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89 watts peak for 6 min/hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+15 ±2.5 VDC</td>
<td>3 watts continuous</td>
<td>3.6 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15 ±0.5 VDC</td>
<td>3 watts continuous</td>
<td>4.3 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 ±0.02 VDC</td>
<td>7 watts continuous</td>
<td>9.2 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.1 watts average (including efficiency)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revised Experiment Power Requirements, Missions I, II, III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage Range</th>
<th>Power Requirement</th>
<th>With Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.5 ±2.5 VDC</td>
<td>31 watts continuous</td>
<td>( \frac{37 \text{ watts Avg.}}{} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>111 watts peak for 3 min/hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+15 ±2.5 VDC</td>
<td>2 watts continuous</td>
<td>2.4 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15 ±0.5 VDC</td>
<td>2 watts continuous</td>
<td>2.8 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 ±0.02 VDC</td>
<td>5 watts continuous</td>
<td>6.6 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>48.8 watts average (including efficiency)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revised Experiment Power Requirements, Mission I(S)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage Range</th>
<th>Power Requirement</th>
<th>With Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.5 ±2.5 VDC</td>
<td>20 watts continuous</td>
<td>( \frac{22 \text{ Watts Avg.}}{} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 watts peak for 2 min/orbit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+15 ±2.5 VDC</td>
<td>2 watts continuous</td>
<td>2.4 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15 ±0.5 VDC</td>
<td>2 watts continuous</td>
<td>2.8 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5 ±0.02 VDC</td>
<td>5 watts continuous</td>
<td>6.6 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>33.8 watts average (including efficiency)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE A-7. - EXPERIMENT AND SPACECRAFT PWR.

(EFFICIENCIES INCLUDED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mission I</th>
<th>Mission I(S)</th>
<th>Mission II</th>
<th>Mission III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>103.8 watts</td>
<td>105.3 watts</td>
<td>101.5 watts</td>
<td>118.1 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised (Reference A-6, Item 1)</td>
<td>65.5 watts</td>
<td>63.2 watts</td>
<td>79.8 watts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised (Reference A-6, Item 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.0 watt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE A-8. - SOLAR ARRAY POWER

DEMAND*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mission I</th>
<th>Mission I(S)</th>
<th>Mission II</th>
<th>Mission III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>187 watts</td>
<td>190 watts</td>
<td>183 watts</td>
<td>125 watts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised</td>
<td>118 watts</td>
<td>114 watts</td>
<td>85 watts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94 watts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*62% Illuminated Orbit, Battery Efficiencies Included.
3.0 SOLAR ARRAY SIZING

The selection of solar cells was made in the Bioexplorer study, Reference A-2, and the cells remain 2 x 2 cm, 12 mil N/P silicon cells.

3.1 SOLAR ARRAY RADIATION ENVIRONMENT AND DEGRADATION

Fused silica cell covers of 25 mil thickness were selected to achieve maximum mission versatility. Table A-9 shows the expected environment with these covers. The 12 mil cover is shown for comparison.

With the radiation environment defined, the radiation degradation can be calculated by employing the following equation:

\[ Q = \left( \frac{\phi}{\phi_c} \right)^{1/2} + 1 \]^{1/2}

Where
- \( Q = \) power remaining
- \( \phi = \) integrated particle flux
- \( \phi_c = \) critical flux = 2 x 10^{11} protons/cm^2 = 6 x 10^{14} electrons/cm^2

The following results were obtained:

For Missions I, I(S), and II,
- 25 mil 6% degradation
- 12 mil 8%

For Mission III,
- 25 mil 20%
- 12 mil 31%

Unfortunately, radiation is not the only mechanism for degradation of the cells. Other mechanisms include cell mismatch, string mismatch, physical damage, cell cover impurities, and spacecraft alignment with respect to the sun. It should be noted that, except for some cover discoloring and radiation, these degradation factors occur on the ground. For the purpose of analysis, all degradation except radiation is included in the following sections. Table A-10 shows the expected degradation from these factors and from radiation.

3.2 SOLAR ARRAY CONCEPTS AND REQUIREMENTS

The Bioresearch Module will use a body-mounted, fixed array consisting of 120° segments wrapped around the spacecraft for Missions II and III, and a combination of segments and deployable panels
### TABLE A-9. - SOLAR CELL RADIATION ENVIRONMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proton Cutoff</th>
<th>Electron Cutoff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission I, II</td>
<td>Mission III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Mil Cover</td>
<td>12 Mil Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 MeV</td>
<td>6.6 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 KeV</td>
<td>320 KeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Particles During Mission Life**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protons/CM²</th>
<th>Electrons/CM²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 Mil</td>
<td>25 Mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Mil</td>
<td>12 Mil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission I, II</td>
<td>Mission III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3x10⁹</td>
<td>5x10¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x10⁹</td>
<td>2x10¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6x10¹⁰</td>
<td>5x10¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x10¹¹</td>
<td>10¹³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE A-10. - SOLAR CELL DEGRADATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MECHANISM</th>
<th>MISSIONS I &amp; II</th>
<th>MISSION III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Cell Mismatch</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. String Mismatch</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*3. Cell Covers (25 Mil)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Spacecraft Alignment</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Radiation (6 Mo.)</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes 2% Physical Damage
3.2.1 Mission Array Concepts. - Solar array considerations are as follows:

Missions I and I(S). - Missions I and I(S) are non-spinning spacecraft orientated such that the projection of the solar cells is normal to the sun. Body-mounted solar arrays are constrained by both the size and geometry of the vehicle. Since the experiment envelope is smaller for Mission I than for Mission I(S), an analysis of Mission I will be used for both I and I(S). By using the Mission I configuration, a guideline for interchangeability is established.

Figure A-2 shows the general geometry of Mission I. Figure A-2a shows the number of solar cells that can be placed on the configuration versus the sector size in degrees. Each sector includes the length of the spacecraft. For a 160° sector, approximately 5000 cells can be employed. The number of cells includes an 85% packing efficiency.

Figure A-2b illustrates the output in watts of a given sector versus time in sunlight. The decay is caused by increased cell temperature. The array output includes all degradation except the 6% radiation shown in Table A-10.

Figure A-2c shows the beginning-of-life (BOL) average output of the array versus sector size. The 180° sector provides an end-of-life (EOL) power of 178 watts (EOL power = BOL power x 0.94 = 189 x 0.94 = 178 watts). Since the baseline Mission I average power demand is 187 watts, the fixed solar array has inadequate power. An alternate array concept for Mission I and I(S) is shown on Figure A-3. The array will consist of 120° segments. Two segments are used to form a fold-out panel unit labeled D. Segments A, B, and C may be utilized. The graph shows 277 watts average BOL output for all segments, and the segment power output and number of cells is noted. All or any combination of the segments may be used as mission power requirements dictate. This will be discussed in section 3.2.2. Figure A-4 illustrates proposed panel deployment concepts.

Missions II and III. - For maximum versatility, the module geometry is divided into three longitudinal segments - the experiment bay, the equipment bay, and the lower unit. Each segment is divided into three 120° sectors giving a total of nine separate solar cell panels which can be added or removed as needed to supply the power required for a given mission. Figure A-5 shows this concept for Mission II. This configuration yields 218 watts average BOL with all degradation except 6% radiation included. Figure A-6 illustrates the sector-segment concept for Mission III. Note that the average BOL power is 221 watts, slightly higher than for Mission II with identical arrays. The difference is due to a lower temperature profile for Mission III due to less heat input from earth albedo.
*ALL DEGRADATION EXCEPT 6% RADIATION INCLUDED.*

**Total Spacecraft Baseline Demand:** 187 Watts

**Figure A-2:** Fixed Array Concept - Mission I
Each panel is a 120° sector.

**Spacecraft Demand** - 187 Watts

**Segment**

- A
- B
- C
- D

*All degradation except 6% radiation included.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Cells</th>
<th>Output (Watts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1190</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1266</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1380</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2534</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6370</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A-3. - Alternate Array Concept - Mission I
CONCEPTS:
- Leaf Spring
- Coil Spring
- Toggle Mechanism

REQUIREMENTS:
- 120° Rotation
- Activated by Yo-Yo Release
- 38 x 25 Panel
- 8.71 Lb. Total
  - Solar Cells: 3.96 Lb.
  - Structure: 4.75 Lb.

FIGURE A-4. - SOLAR PANEL DEPLOYMENT CONCEPTS
### Figure A-5 - Array Initial Output - Mission II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>No. Cells</th>
<th>Output* (Watts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3570</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4140</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,510</td>
<td>218.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total baseline demand: 183 Watts

*All degradation except 6% radiation included
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>No. Cells</th>
<th>Output (Watts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3570</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4140</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Baseline Demand: 125 Watts

*All degradation except 20% radiation included.

**FIGURE A-6. - ARRAY INITIAL OUTPUT - MISSION III**
3.2.2. **Mission Array Requirements.** Having established solar array possibilities and average power available, the next step is to select array size to meet mission power requirements. Table A-8 lists the power demanded by each mission for baseline and revised power profiles, and Figures A-3, A-5, and A-6 define the total power available from the candidate array. It is noted that not all the array area available is necessary for Missions I and I(S). Figure A-7 gives the area or sectors required by the Mission I and I(S) systems. For example, Mission I baseline average power required is 187 watts. By employing sectors A and B and fold-out panels D, the initial (BOL) power is 217 watts, and the final (EOL) power is 204 watts (includes 6% radiation degradation). This gives a 9% end-of-life (EOL) margin. Note that the revised power profile permits elimination of fold-out panels.

In a similar manner, Figure A-8 shows the area required and EOL margin for missions II and III.
Each segment consists of 120° sectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MISSION</th>
<th>REQ'D AREA</th>
<th>NO. SQ. FT.</th>
<th>NO. CELLS</th>
<th>BOL PWR.</th>
<th>EOL PWR.</th>
<th>REQ'D PWR.</th>
<th>EOL MARGIN (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I - Baseline</td>
<td>A, B, D</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>4990</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - Revised</td>
<td>A, B, C.</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>3836</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(S) - Baseline</td>
<td>A, B, D</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>4990</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(S) - Revised</td>
<td>B, C</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>2646</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes all degradation except 6% radiation.

**FIGURE A-7. - ARRAY REQUIREMENTS - MISSIONS I & I(S)**
Each segment is divided into three 120° sectors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MISSION</th>
<th>REQ'D AREA</th>
<th>NO. SQ. FT.</th>
<th>NO CELLS</th>
<th>BOL PWR</th>
<th>EOL PWR</th>
<th>REQ'D PWR</th>
<th>EOL MARGIN (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II - Baseline</td>
<td>A, B, C</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>11,510</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II - Revised</td>
<td>A, B</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>7,370</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III - Baseline</td>
<td>1/3 A, B, C</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>9,130</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III - Revised</td>
<td>A, 2/3 B</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>6,103</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes all degradation except radiation.

FIGURE A-8. - ARRAY REQUIREMENTS MISSIONS II & III
4.0 BATTERY SIZING

As discussed in Reference A-2, the nickel-cadmium battery is selected for the Bioresearch Module. Table A-11 illustrates the sizing of the 12 AH battery and compares it with a 6 AH battery. The latter has inadequate life for baseline requirements.
TABLE A-11. - BATTERY SIZING

MAXIMUM BATTERY LOAD DURING DARK
Baseline: 120 Watts @ 4.4 Amps.
Revised Profile: 82 Watts @ 3.0 Amps
*Assuming 95% discharge efficiency and an 80% charge efficiency at 70°F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Battery</th>
<th>12 AH</th>
<th>6 AH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Discharge - Baseline</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Discharge - Revised</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*No. of Reliable Cycles Available - Baseline</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*No. of Reliable Cycles Available - Revised</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12 AH - 23 CELL NICKEL CADMIUM
BATTERY IS ADEQUATE FOR ALL MISSIONS
5.0 COMPONENT SELECTION

There are three categories of electrical components on the Bioresearch Module:

(1) An Off-the-shelf purchase item, or a GFE item.

(2) A modified off-the-shelf purchase item, that is, one that required modifications to meet Bioresearch Module requirements without having to be requalified.

(3) A new fabricated item. An item whose function is unique to the Bioresearch Module such as the Attitude Control Electronics package.

The requirements for selection of off-the-shelf components were as follows:

(1) Meet the functional and physical requirements of Bioresearch Module.

(2) Where possible, qualified to the Bioresearch Module environments.

(3) Where possible, previously flown on similar craft with favorable histories.

(4) Available at reasonable cost.

The following data was generated for each component:

(1) Input power

(a) Voltage range and tolerance
(b) Current range and tolerance

(2) Unit lifetime and specific limitations (Will the unit operate for six months in space environment for a specified duty cycle?)

(3) Availability, cost, and delivery time in 1973

(4) Qualification status

(5) Specific data unique to the particular component
(6) General information

(a) History of past and current use
(b) General description
(c) Physical description

The components are listed in the following section.
6.0 BIORESEARCH MODULE ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS

The components are listed in item number order. Flight hardware items are numbered on drawings, Figures 1 through 4, Volume I.

ITEM 2 ATTITUDE CONTROL ELECTRONICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission I &amp; I(S)</th>
<th>Mission II</th>
<th>Mission III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>•Monitors 2 Sun sensors, detects</td>
<td>•Control Booms on Cmd.</td>
<td>•Amplifies signal to the six thrustors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>errors, energizes thrustor through</td>
<td>•Processes signals from horizon sensors</td>
<td>(Valve amplifier)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valve amp.</td>
<td>•Activates horizon sensors</td>
<td>•Monitors rate sensors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•Monitors rate sensors</td>
<td>•Commanded from ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•Cuts on/off integ. gyros</td>
<td>•Monitors the sun sensor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•No Gnd. Commands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. A-2, Fig. 70, P. 140</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref. A-2, Fig. 71, p. 146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The valve amplifier is an integral part of the ACE.

Duty Cycle: Continuous Operation (100%)

Input: 5.00 watts at 28 VDC (incl. eff.)

Qualification: VMSC's past experience on SDP, ALVRJ, and Scout

A new fabricated item.

ITEM 5 THRUSTOR VALVE - Mission I and I(S)

2 Units on Bioresearch Mission I

Input: 23.4 ma at 28 VDC
6.55 Watts

Output: Each unit consists of 3 nozzles - A, B, & C
Nozzles A & C output thrust is -.028 +.001 lbs. each
Nozzles B output thrust is 0.05 +.0025 lbs.

Duty Cycle: Each thrustor will be operated 1 time.
Each minute for 30 millisec. duration.

Qualification: Similar to valves used on OAO.

**Missions I, I(s)**

- **SUN SENSORS**
  - ACS ELECTRONICS
    - RATE SENSORS
    - INTEGRATE GYROS
    - N₂ TANKS & REG.
      - THRUST VALVES

*No Ground Commands Required*

**Missions II, II(s)**

- **SUN SENSOR**
  - ACS ELECTRONICS
    - RATE SENSORS
    - HORIZON SENSORS
    - N₂ TANK & REG.
      - THRUST VALVES

*Ground Command Required for Boom Extensions and Horizon Crossing Sensors*

**Mission III**

- **SUN SENSOR**
  - ACS ELECTRONICS
    - RATE SENSORS
    - HORIZON SENSORS
    - N₂ TANKS & REG.
      - THRUST VALVES

*Ground Command Required for Horizon Crossing Sensors*

**Figure A-9. - Attitude Control System - Component Diagram**
ITEM 5  THRUSTOR VALVE - Missions II & III

6 units on each Mission II and III
Sterer P/N 24060-1, Modified Off-the-shelf by reducing thrust from 2.5 lbf to 1.0 lbf.

Input: 34.5 watts at 28 VDC each.
Duty Cycle: Random 20 millisec pulses as required by vehicle. (<<1%)
Qualification: Similar to valves used on OAO.

ITEM 9  SUN SENSOR

2 sensor on Missions I & I(S), 1 sensor on Missions II & III

Input: No auxiliary power is required for operation.
Output: 0-5 milliamps.
Duty Cycle: Continuous operation during illuminated periods of orbit.
Qualification: 1771858 and similar units are used on ATM

ITEM 10  RATE GYRO - All Missions

1 unit on each Bioreserach Mission
Northrop P/N 79157-350, 3 axis DC/DC standard rate
Sensor Assy. Off-the-shelf

Input: 15 watts max. at 28 ±3 VDC.
Duty Cycle: The rate gyro package is activated on a low duty-cycle basis (Approx. 2%) to monitor body angular rates.
Qualification: Meets Scout Launch Environment and Spacecraft environment.
ITEM 16  THERMAL CONTROL ELECTRONICS - All Missions

1)  (Item 14) Thermistors about the cold plate - monitor cold plate temp. and allow for select cold plate temperatures. (Off-shelf)

2)  "Set-point" Controller - Biases thermistors to any one of 16 discrete cold plate temperatures. The controller receives signal from ground for selection of temperature. (New fabrication)

3)  Louver Control Electronics - Monitors thermistor output, when temperature changes the louver actuator is operated to either open or close louvers. (New fabrication) 2) and 3) are one unit.

4)  Louver Actuator - Clifton MSL-8-A-1 (Off-the-shelf)

Duty Cycle:  
1) & 3) 100%  
2) 15%  
4) Approx. 3 times per orbit.

Louvers are normally closed.

Input:  
700 Milliatts at 15 VDC for system.

ITEM 18 - COMMAND RECEIVER/DEMODULATÖR - Missions I & II

2 Units on each Mission I or II Module

SCI Electronics, SCI Proposal P70-1076, New Off-the-shelf

Input:  
1.07 watts standby 28 VDC  
2.29 watts operate 28 VDC

Duty Cycle:  
Two Interrogations per orbit.  
(12% of the time)

Qualification:  
Qualified by comparison to existing similar units built by SCI.

Availability:  
Will be available for fall, 1973.

Very similar to command receiver used on AN/ASW-25.

ITEM 19  COMMAND DECODER - Missions I & II & III

One unit on each Bioresearch Module.

AVCO AED 407 with PCM front end. Off-the-shelf.

Input:  
250 milliatts at 28 VDC standby  
6 watts at 28 VDC operate

Duty Cycle:  
Two Interrogations per orbit.  
12% of Time-Missions I & II.  
3 6 minute interrogations per 24 hours for Mission III.
Qualification: Unit qualified for use on Sert II and on Isis.


The unit consists of 2 decoders in one package, each having 216 commands capability.

ITEM 20 - PROGRAMMER CLOCK (All missions)

The unit consists of an 107.52 KHz oscillator and digital countdown circuitry and performs 4 functions:

1) Data encoder sequencing signals providing frame, word, and bit control.

2) Time signals to the experiment of 10 minute and 1 hour intervals.

3) Spacecraft control pulses for stabilization timing and other automatically timed functions.

4) Clock count accumulation for time tagging the stored and real-time data samples.

Duty Cycle: Continuous Operation (100%)

Input: 130 Milliwatts

A new fabricated item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLOCK OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Encoder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacecraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed Controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-34
ITEM 21 SIGNAL CONDITIONER - All Missions

The conditioner will standardize all non-standard data voltages to a compatible level with the encoder. Also, isolation of data signals will be provided to prevent external malfunctions from affecting the primary subsystem operations. Sensor excitation will be provided within this unit.

Input: 1.0 watt at 15 VDC.
Duty Cycle: Compatible with Encoder - 15%

A new fabricated item.

ITEM 22 ENCODER

1 unit on each Bioressearch Module
SCI Electronics, Inc., Model 680 PCM T/M system

Input: Missions I & II 8.16 watts max. @ 28 +4 VDC
       Mission III  7.36 watts max. @ 28 +4 VDC
Duty Cycle: Missions I & II 15% (14.4 min./orbit)
           Mission III  15% (3.6 Hrs/24 Hrs.)

Qualification: This unit is a second generation and the preceding unit (Model 650) was qualified by Sandia.

Availability: Will be available for Fall, 1973

Remarks: Sandia used Model 650 on many flights;
        EMR used 650 on Army AIDAS Program;
        NASA Edwards has eight 680 systems.

ITEM 23 T/M TRANSMITTER AND BEACON - Missions I & II

2 T/M transmitter-beacons on module
SCI P/N 1510100-1, SCI Electronics, Inc., Off-the-shelf.

Input: 25 ma at 28 VDC (700 milliwatts)
Output: 250 milliwatts, 136-137 MHz, .002% stability
Duty Cycle: One transmitter will serve as beacon at 136 MHz and as reserve T/M transmitter and will operate continuously (100%)
            The other will serve as T/M transmitter at 137 MHz and
as reserve beacon. As T/M transmitter it will have a 12% duty cycle (two interrogations per orbit).

If either fails, the other will perform both duties.

Qualification: By University of Iowa, 2nd quarter 1967.
Availability: Will be available for Fall, 1973

ITEM 24 DATA STORAGE

1 unit on each Bioresearch Module
Electronic Memories, Model SEMS-5L, Off-the-shelf.

Input: 0.15 watts standby
        1.25 watts operate
Voltages: +15 +5% UDC
         +5 +5% UDC
         -5 +2% UDC

Any sequence.

Duty Cycle: 15% of time (14.4 min/orbit) Missions I & II
            15% of Time (3.6 Hrs/Day) Mission III

Qualification: The SEMS-5L is the low standby power version of
               the SEMS-5 used on RMS.

ITEM 40 INTEGRATING RATE GYROS - Mission I & I(S)

2 units on each Mission I and I(S)
Honeywell P/N GG1101, Off-the-shelf.

Input: 3 watts at 28 VDC

Duty Cycle: As the spacecraft enters the dark side of an orbit,
            the gyros are turned on to maintain vehicle attitude.
            (37.3% max. in one orbit).

Qualification: Similar to GG 1111 used on SAM-D and astronaut
               maneuvering unit.
ITEM 43  EXTENDIBLE BOOMS - Mission II

3 Units are on each Mission II
Spar Model A-18, Spar Aerospace Products, Ltd., Off-the-shelf.

Input: 4 watts at 28 VDC includes DC-AC conversion and AC servo motor.

Duty Cycle: Less than 1%. Retraction or extension of the booms is a function of desired G-level and experiment.

Qualification: The A-18 is qualified for space flight and environment and has flown on Scout, Delta, Titan IIIC, Rubis, and Atlas Agena. It has been used on GGTS, GGII, O60 "A" and 'B', LISOS, ARSP, EOLE, and Probe I.


ITEM 44  HORIZON CROSSING INDICATORS - Missions II & III

2 units are used on each spinning mission.
Barnes P/N 13-206, Off-the-shelf with modification to interface with Module spin rate.

Input: 160 milliwatts at 28 VDC

Duty Cycle: The indicators will be employed during the first orbital pass through the ecliptic and approximately 3 months later to direct cold plate away from earth.

Qualification: Same (except power supply) as model 13-205SC used on TIROS.


ITEM 47  GRARR TRANSPONDER - Mission III

2 units on each Mission III vehicle.

Input: 1.61 watts +12 VDC R&RR & Command
16.5 watts at 28 VDC transmitter

Output: 7 watts at 136 MHz

Duty Cycle: 3 Six-minute Interrogations per 24 hours (1.25% of time)

Qualification: Designed to meet requirements of user spacecraft.


APPENDIX B

REVISED THERMAL PROFILE ANALYSIS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The thermal analysis presented in this appendix was performed to determine the impact on the thermal control system design of the revised heat loads and performance requirements presented in Reference B-1. These revised requirements for Missions I, II, and III are summarized in Table 1. Also included in Table 1 for comparison are the original requirements on which the previous design and performance analysis were based (Reference B-2).

**TABLE 1. - DESIGN REQUIREMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (Ref. B-2)</th>
<th>Revised (Ref. B-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Heat Load</td>
<td>180-270 Btu/hr</td>
<td>136 Btu/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak Heat Load</td>
<td>350 Btu/hr for 10 min/hr</td>
<td>408 Btu/hr for 3 min/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set-Point Temperature Range</td>
<td>35°F - 45°F</td>
<td>35°F - 50°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Tolerances</td>
<td>Set Point -5°F</td>
<td>Set Point +0°F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thermal control system concept has been described in detail in Reference B-2. Figure B-1 is a schematic showing the basic elements of the system. A direct-radiating cold plate with a radiating area of 6 square feet is mounted on the top of the experiment package, and heat load control is provided by a two-position louver array which is positioned in either the "open" or "closed" position by the louver control unit on the basis of signals from temperature sensors at the cold plate. The temperatures at which the "open" and "close" commands occur can be varied to achieve the desired set-point range indicated in Table 1. Further control flexibility can be obtained by adjusting the louvers so that they do not fully close in the "closed" position.
FIGURE B-1. - THERMAL CONTROL SYSTEM SCHEMATIC
2.0 THERMAL ANALYSIS

The revised thermal analysis was performed in two parts. In the first part the baseline thermal control system design (from Reference B-2) was analyzed to determine its performance with the revised heat loads and performance requirements. In the second part a parametric analysis was performed to determine the optimum design parameters for the system based on the revised requirements to determine what cost and/or weight savings were possible. The two basic design parameters considered in this analysis were cold plate mass and material, effective emissivity ratio (between the "louver open" and "louver closed" positions) and louver dead-band (temperature difference between "open" and "close" commands).

The most severe combination of internal heat load and environmental heating are presented by Mission II. This results from the fact that the Mission II spacecraft is inertially stabilized with its axis normal to the sun line, and must be facing the earth for an extended portion of each orbit. The Mission I spacecraft is assumed to be rotating in yaw at a rate of 10 revolutions per hour (one degree per second) and the earth radiation and albedo heat loads are distributed more uniformly over the orbit. Because Mission II is the most severe case, the required radiator thermal mass (or heat capacity) was established for this mission and used as the baseline for Missions I and III. The louver parameters (emissivity ratio and dead band), which are readily adjustable for each mission, were then optimized for Missions I and III. A separate analysis was performed for Mission I(S), which involves a different set of heat loads and cold plate design constraints.

A specialized computer routine, as discussed in Reference B-2, was used to perform the parametric analysis. In this routine the cold plate is considered as a single thermal node, and both internal and environmental heat loads are input as a function of time and orientation. Ten orbits were calculated for each run to assure that equilibrium conditions were obtained.

2.1 MISSION II

2.1.1 Heat Loads. - The internal (experimental) and environment heat loads assumed for the Mission II analysis are shown in Figure B-2. The environmental loads are identical to those used in Reference B-2, and are based on the most severe levels that would occur at a solstice condition for a vehicle launched at a 37-degree inclination. It has been assumed that the spacecraft can be rotated 180 degrees once during a six-month mission so that the cold plate will be facing the earth only on the dark or "night" side and solar albedo effects are minimized. Peak internal heat loads of 3-minute duration were included once an hour, with one peak occurring at the time of maximum environmental heating.

2.1.2 Baseline Design Performance. - The "baseline" design is defined in all cases as that which was presented in Reference B-2. For Mission II
FIGURE B-2. - MISSION II HEAT LOADS
the baseline design was defined by the following parameters:

- Cold plate material - beryllium
- Cold plate mass - 23 pounds
- Emissivity ratio - 3.0
- Louver dead-band - 2.5°F

The performance of this baseline design for the revised heat loads is shown in Figure B-3. Cold plate temperature is shown as a function of spacecraft position over a characteristic orbit. Performance at the minimum set-point of 35°F is shown, since this represents the most severe case. The baseline design meets the revised heat load requirements, with a maximum louver cycling rate of approximately 1.0 cycle per hour or 1.5 cycles per orbit.

2.1.3 Revised Design Analysis. - The assumptions made in the design analysis and the manner in which the analysis was performed are illustrated by a sample run shown in Figure B-4. Because the continuous heat load is lower for the revised requirements, the cold plate temperature may decrease during low environmental heating conditions, even with the louvers closed. This decrease is shown by (A) in Figure B-4. This decrease is a function both of radiator thermal mass and of effective emissivity at the closed position. The temperature for closing the louvers must be set at least the number of degrees indicated by (A) above the lower temperature limit to assure that the temperature of the cold plate will never drop below the limit.

Similarly, when peak internal heat loads occur at the most severe environmental conditions, the cold plate is not capable of rejecting the heat with the louvers fully open. The cold-plate temperature rise for this condition is illustrated by (B) in Figure B-4. The louver-open setting must be at least this amount below the upper temperature limit. The difference between the upper and lower limits then defines the maximum allowable deadband for a given cold plate mass and louver emissivity ratio. This allowable deadband is also affected by the required range of set-point temperatures, since the lower limit is determined by conditions at the maximum set point (50°F), where the temperature decrease at minimum heat load is greatest, and the upper limit is determined by the minimum (35°F) set point.

Figure B-5 shows the allowable dead-band as a function of both radiator (or cold-plate) mass and emissivity ratio. Cold-plate mass is plotted in units of pounds of aluminum, but this designation is arbitrary. The analysis was performed paremetrically in terms of heat capacity in Btu/°F. Corresponding values for a beryllium cold-plate can be obtained by assuming that the required mass is approximately one-half that for aluminum.

In addition to minimizing required cold-plate mass, to reduce weight and cost, it is desirable for reliability to minimize the cycling rate of the louvers. Louver cycling rates as a function of cold-
Figure B-4. Typical Cold Plate Performance - Mission II

- Upper Temp. Limit

- Cold Plate Temperature Drop at Low Environmental Heat Load
- Cold Plate Temperature Rise at Peak Internal Heat Load

Temperature (°F)
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FIGURE B-5. - ALLOWABLE LOUVER DEADBAND - MISSION II
plate mass are shown in Figure B-6 for several values of emissivity ratio, with dead-band limitations shown in Figure B-5 implicit in the analysis. The relatively narrow range of emissivity ratios shown result from the fact that for reasonable values of cold plate mass a ratio of 3.2 is the lowest value that permits any dead-band at all, and at a ratio of 3.6 the temperature drop at minimum heat load (\( \Delta T \)) in Figure B-4 is negligible and no further advantage can be gained by increasing the ratio.

Figure B-6 shows that an emissivity ratio of 3.6 is optimum for cold-plate masses up to approximately 22 pounds, and this ratio was selected for the revised design. The expected range in louver cycling rate for various set-point temperatures and sequencing of the peak internal heat load (in terms of peaks per hour) is shown in Figure B-7. A cold-plate mass of 21 pounds of aluminum was selected as the design point, since the louver cycling rate becomes relatively flat at this point. The range in louver cycling rate is approximately 3-5 cycles per orbit, slightly higher than was obtained for the baseline design in Reference B-2.

2.1.4 Revised Design and Performance. - The design parameters for the thermal control system for the revised heat load requirements are:

- Cold-plate material - aluminum
- Cold-plate mass - 21 pounds
- Emissivity ratio - 3.6
- Louver dead-band - 2.6°F

The temperature profiles for this design over two spacecraft orbits at the minimum set-point temperature are shown in Figure B-8. Also included for reference is louver position. One significant factor in Figure B-8 is that the cold-plate temperature over the two orbits remains in a narrow 3-degree band near the lower limit of the allowable temperature range. This indicates that, if very short and infrequent temperature transients which exceed the upper temperature limit were permitted, the louver dead-band could be opened up, with a consequent reduction in louver cycling rate.

2.2 MISSION I

2.2.1 Heat Loads. - The internal heat loads and incident earth radiation and solar albedo for Mission I are shown in Figure B-9 as a function of spacecraft orbital position. As in Mission II, the incident heat curves are based on a solstice condition where the spacecraft is at a maximum orbital inclination with respect to the equator and the consequent view angle between the cold plate and the earth is also maximum. The cyclic shape of the incident heat curves results in the 10 revolution/hour yaw rate of the spacecraft.

2.2.2 Baseline Design Performance. - The "baseline" design for Mission I was defined by the following parameters:
Figure B-6. Louver Cycling Rate - Mission II

Louver Cycle Rate (Cycles/Orbit)

Radiator Mass (Pounds of Al)
\[\frac{E_0}{E_c} = 3.6\]

- A - 3 peaks/hr. \(T_{set} = 35^\circ F\)
- B - 1 peak/hr. \(T_{set} = 35^\circ F\)
- C - 3 peaks/hr. \(T_{set} = 50^\circ F\)
- D - 1 peak/hr. \(T_{set} = 50^\circ F\)

**Figure B-7. Louver Performance Range - Mission II**
FIGURE B-8. COLD PLATE TEMPERATURE PROFILE - MISSION II
FIGURE B-9. - MISSION I HEAT LOADS
Cold plate material - beryllium
Cold plate mass - 23 pounds
Emissivity ratio - 3.0
Louver dead-band - 3.0°F

The performance of the baseline system with the revised internal heat loads is shown in Figure B-10. The system meets the revised loads with a louver cycling rate of approximately 1 cycle per hour.

2.2.3 Revised Design Analysis. - The cold-plate mass of 21 pounds of aluminum determined by the Mission II analysis was also used for Mission I to satisfy the requirement that the same cold plate design be applicable to all missions.

The effect of emissivity ratio on allowable deadband and louver cycling rate are shown in Figure B-11. The somewhat lower emissivity ratios for Mission I result from the fact that the yawing of the spacecraft reduces the effective orbital variation in incident heat loads from those encountered in Mission II. On the basis of this analysis, an emissivity ratio of 2.8 was selected for Mission I.

2.2.4 Revised Design and Performance. - The thermal control system design parameters for the revised heat load requirements are:

Cold plate material - aluminum
Cold plate mass - 21 pounds
Emissivity ratio - 2.8
Louver dead-band - 2.3°F

The cold-plate temperature profile for this design for a characteristic orbit and at the minimum set-point temperature is shown in Figure B-12. As is the case with Mission II, the temperatures tend to remain within approximately a 3-degree band. A reduction in louver cycling rate could be realized by opening up the dead band and permitting occasional short transients outside the 5-degree temperature band.

2.3 MISSION III

A cursory analysis was performed on Mission III to determine the performance of the baseline design and establish the limiting values for emissivity ratio and deadband for a 21-pound aluminum radiator. Since there is effectively no incident heat load except for very short periods near perigee, the analysis consists of determining the minimum allowable emissivity ratio that will assure that the cold plate temperature will not drop below the minimum temperature limit at the continuous heat load, and the maximum deadband that will assure the cold plate temperature does not exceed the maximum temperature limit at peak heat load.

The Mission III "baseline" thermal control parameters are:
Figure B-10. - Baseline Cold Plate Temperature Profile - Mission I
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FIGURE B-11. - LOUVER PERFORMANCE - MISSION I
FIGURE B-12. - COLD PLATE TEMPERATURE PROFILE - MISSION I
Because of the lower continuous heat load in the revised requirements, the baseline design will not meet the requirements without an increase in emissivity ratio to a minimum of 3.6. For a 21-pound aluminum cold plate the design parameters are:

- Cold plate material: aluminum
- Cold plate mass: 21 pounds
- Emissivity ratio: 3.8
- Louver dead-band: 4.0°F

The louver cycling rate for both the baseline design and the revised design is less than 1 cycle/hour.

### 2.4 MISSION I(S)

Mission I(S) represents a special case, since the change in heat load requirements permits a change from a pumped fluid system with side-mounted radiators, as described in Reference B-2, to a direct-radiating cold plate mounted on the top of the experiment package. The performance requirements for the Reference B-2 study were identical to those listed in Table 1 for the other missions. The available cold plate area for Mission I(S) is only 2.73 square feet, however, rather than the 6.0 square feet available for the other missions, which was inadequate to reject the specified heat load. The revised heat load requirements, which are tabulated in Table 2, can be met with the smaller cold-plate radiating area.

**TABLE 2. - REVISED MISSION I(S) DESIGN REQUIREMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continuous Heat Load</th>
<th>100 Btu/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Heat Loads</td>
<td>185 Btu/hr for 2 min/orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set Point Temperature Range</td>
<td>35°F - 50°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Tolerances</td>
<td>Set Point +0°F -5°F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incident heat loads for Mission I(S) are identical to those for Mission I as shown in Figure B-9. For the performance analysis
it was assumed that peak internal loads occurred sequentially at a point where the environmental loads were maximum. The design parameters for the thermal control system for the revised requirements are:

- Cold Plate Material - aluminum
- Cold Plate Mass - 12 pounds
- Emissivity Ratio - 2.0
- Louver Dead Band - 3.0°F

The temperature profile for this design at the minimum set point is shown in Figure B-13. The louver cycling rate is slightly less than 4 cycles/orbit. As was true for the other missions, the 3-degree range of the temperature indicates that the dead band can be increased, and the louver cycle rate reduced, if occasional short-duration temperature transients outside the 5°F tolerances are permitted.
FIGURE B-13. - COLD PLATE TEMPERATURE PROFILE - MISSION I(S)
3.0 SUMMARY

The results of the revised thermal analysis show that overall the modified heat load and performance requirements present less severe design problems than the baseline requirements analyzed in Reference B-2. The following conclusions represent a summary of the thermal analysis.

(1) The "Baseline" design (Reference B-2) will meet all modified heat load requirements for all missions.

(2) The modified heat loads permit the use of an aluminum cold plate, or of a lighter beryllium cold plate.

(3) The modified requirements for Mission I(S) permit the use of a direct-radiating cold plate rather than a pumped-fluid system.

(4) The louver cycling rate is slightly higher for the revised design than for the baseline system in Reference B-2. The baseline design will meet the revised heat loads, however, with a lower louver cycling rate than with the previous heat loads.

(5) Louver cycling rate could be reduced if occasional short-duration cold plate temperature transients outside the 5°F temperature tolerances were permitted.

(6) The increase in set-point temperature range from 10°F to 15°F has very little effect on performance.
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SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENT
MONITORING AND S-BAND SYSTEM

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The baseline Bioresearch Module is equipped with a VHF communications system (148 MHz Uplink and 137 MHz, 30 KHz Bandwidth, Downlink) which interfaces with the STADAN tracking system. In accordance with Reference C-1, a preliminary design is defined for a television system to monitor biological activity in the experiment package. Since TV transmission will require large bandwidths, an S-Band communications system is also defined.
2.0 EXPERIMENT MONITORING

The TV system is required to operate one minute per orbit at either 24 frames/sec or one frame/minute, with a resolution of 1/10 inch in a 7.5 inch by 10 inch frame size. The information can either be real time or stored.

The minimum resolution required is 200 TV lines which can be reproduced using a 300 line scan rate. The 300 lines require a 3 MHz bandwidth which can be obtained by employing an S-Band downlink of 2300 MHz.

The Bioresearch Module VHF baseline system can neither transmit nor store the required monitoring information.

2.1 INTEGRATION WITH BIORESEARCH MODULE

A parallel TV subsystem is required for experiment monitoring. Figures C-1 and C-2 illustrate the subsystem using either digital or FM recording.

Figure C-3 summarizes the equipment used on each system. Note that both the digital and FM record/playback weights and complexity favor a real-time, FM, transmission system. The real-time system would be more compatible with the baseline system, since the anticipated procedure is to observe biological experiments which are initiated only during spacecraft passes over ground video receiving stations. The real-time system can use the present VHF uplink, but requires an S-Band transmitter and antenna for downlink. The S-Band transmitter replaces one of the baseline VHF transmitters. By using a real-time FM video system (Figure C-2), the A/D converter/conditioner, Digital Recorder Multiplexer and recorder are not required.

Although the input power requirements of the camera, control unit, and transmitter are high (97 watts), the short duty cycle (one minute per 96 minute orbit) has only minor impact on the baseline power system; i.e., 97 watt-minutes added to the 9964 watt-minutes per orbit of Mission I. The short peak demand is supplied by the battery.
FIGURE C-1.- TV SYSTEM, DIGITAL
FIGURE C-2.- TV SYSTEM, FM
FIGURE C-3. - TV SYSTEM EQUIPMENT SUMMARY

Camera: GEC ED6038A and Control Unit

Output - 24 frames/sec and 300 lines/frame video

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HTV Synchronizing Pulses</th>
<th>Camera</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wt.</td>
<td>14 oz.</td>
<td>8.25 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>15 in.</td>
<td>1.72 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>15 watts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A/D Converter/Conditioner:

Input - Analog video with line and frame sync. 24 frames/sec.

Output - 9.6 MBps serial stream

| Wt.                   | 5 lb. (est) |
| Vol.                  | 10 in. (est) |
| Power                 | 2 watts (est) |

Digital Rec. Multiplexer:

| Wt.             | .5 lb. (est) |
| Vol.            | 10 in. (est) |
| Power           | 2 watts (est) |

Transmitter: SC1 MOD

P/N 2208100-1

Wt. 5 lbs.
Vol. 100 in.³
Power Input - 72 watts
Power Output - 10 watts

Recorder:

Record Time: 1 minute
Storage Capacity: 546(10)⁶ Bits

| Weight: | 9 lbs (est) | 38 lbs |
| Volume: | 300 in.³ (est) | 1900 in.³ |
| Power:  | 9 watts (est) | 85 watts |

Totals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight:</th>
<th>Digital</th>
<th>FM</th>
<th>Only FM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>51.25</td>
<td>12.25 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume:</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>2187</td>
<td>287 in.³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power:</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>25 watts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.0 **S-BAND SYSTEM**

The baseline Bioresearch Module has a data rate of 1.68 K bits per second and a T/M bandwidth of 30 KHz using a VHF system. Much higher data rates are achievable by changing systems. Since video transmission requires large bandwidths, it is feasible to define a wide bandwidth T/M system for the spacecraft. A 3 MHz bandwidth at a carrier frequency of 2300 MHz is chosen because of compatibility with video transmission.

Figure C-4 illustrates the S-Band subsystem. Existing baseline components such as the encoder, data storage, housekeeping, clock, decoder, signal conditioner, and power distribution systems are retained. The baseline T/M VHF transmitters, antennas and related hardware, and command receivers are replaced with S-Band components.

Table C-1 lists the three major components required by the spacecraft for S-Band. Table C-2 shows the technique used in determining the required power output of the S-Band T/M transmitter. Table C-3 summarizes the impact of the change from VHF to S-Band upon the baseline power system. Table C-4 summarizes the subsystem.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TABLE C-1. - S-BAND COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receiver: AVCO AED-303-2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency: 2000-2400 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Figure: 7 Db</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power: 1.3 Watts, 28 VDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume: 25 in$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 1.5 Lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmitter: SCI MOD of P/N 2208100-1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Input: Est. 72 Watts minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume: 100 in$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: 4 Lbs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**S-BAND ANTENNA**
(Designed to Fit Vehicle Envelope)

- **Type:** Four Cavity-backed Slots Spaced Around Spin Axis
- **Pattern:** Doughnut with Maxima 90° to Spin Axis
  - 120° Beamwidth
  - +4 db gain at maxima
  - -6 db gain near vertical
TABLE C-2. - REQUIRED S-BAND TRANSMITTER OUTPUT POWER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Characteristic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Receiver Threshold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 log K</td>
<td>-198.6 dbm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 log BW</td>
<td>B W = 3 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 log Te</td>
<td>TE = 2610°K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te = (NF - 1) 290°K</td>
<td>Threshold = -99.6 dbm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= (10-1) 290°K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 2610°K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Receiving Antenna</td>
<td>85 Ft. Dish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Polarization Loss</td>
<td>-3.0 db</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Space Loss 37.8 db</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 log NM</td>
<td>NM = 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 log F</td>
<td>F = 2300 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Loss = -166.6 db</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Vehicle Antenna</td>
<td>Omni Doughnut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Vehicle System Loss</td>
<td>+4.0 db</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Signal to Noise</td>
<td>Std. Coml. Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fade Margin</td>
<td>(-)20.0 db</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Transmitter Power</td>
<td>10 Watts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spacecraft: Bioresearch Module Mode: MOD TV 3 MHz BW at 24 frames/sec

Mission: I & II

Frequency: 2300 MHz

Range: 300 NM orbit
1200 NM Slant Range

C-10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VHF System</th>
<th>Mission I</th>
<th>*Mission I(S)</th>
<th>Mission II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total S/C Pwr. Req'd., W</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOL Pwr. Avail., W</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Margin, %</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S-Band System</th>
<th>Mission I</th>
<th>*Mission I(S)</th>
<th>Mission II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total S/C Pwr. Req'd., W</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOL Pwr. Avail., W</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Margin, %</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*I(S) Baseline Power System sized for VHF + S-Band (2% Duty Cycle)
**TABLE C-4.- S-BAND COMMUNICATION SUBSYSTEM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missions I &amp; II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dual 2300 MHz Receiver - Transmitter System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides Command/TM/TV with failure redundancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides 3MHz Bandwidth Analog TV Downlink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simultaneous TM/TV using Both Transmitters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna Pattern OMNI Doughnut with 120° Beamwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter Power Output 10 Watts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Power Input Approximately 72 Watts
REFERENCES

C-1 "Modified Experiment Support Requirements to be Evaluated under Task I-1", Enclosure (1) to NASA/Ames letter PEF: 204-5(80L) dated 11 June 1971.
APPENDIX D

BIORESEARCH MODULE GROUND STATION SUPPORT EVALUATION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The STADAN and MSFN have recently been reorganized into one space-tracking and data acquisition network. This network is controlled by the Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). The top management officer for this network is Mr. Robert L. Owen, Chief of Network Engineering Division, phone number (301) 982-2816. For purposes of this evaluation, the STADAN and MSFN are considered separate networks. All NASA tracking facilities are listed in Table D-1 and are shown in Figure D-1. Figure D-2 shows the Space Tracking and Data Acquisition Network (STADAN) and Figure D-3 shows the Manned Space Flight Network (MSFN). Certain existing Eastern Test Range, Western Test Range and White Sands Missile Range support is included with the MSFN capabilities; however, no evaluation of these total range capabilities has been performed. Data presented in this study are based on References D-1 through D-4 and contact with NASA/GSFC personnel.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>NETWORK</th>
<th>CODE NAME</th>
<th>TELEMETRY SYSTEM</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
<th>COMMAND ANTENNA</th>
<th>TRACKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks, Alaska</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>85-FT Dish(2)</td>
<td>136 MHz, 235 MHz, 400 MHz, 1700 MHz</td>
<td>SATAN (2)</td>
<td>MT, MOTS, GRARR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESC/CDA (Alaska)</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>40-FT Dish</td>
<td>136 MHz, 400 MHz, 1700 MHz</td>
<td>Disk-On-Rod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Myers, Fla.</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>FTMYSRS</td>
<td>SATAN Rec 9-yagi Rec</td>
<td>130-140 MHz</td>
<td>SATAN Dual-yagi</td>
<td>MT, MOTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannesburg, South Africa</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>JOBURG</td>
<td>40-FT Dish, SATAN Rec, 8-yagi Rec</td>
<td>136 MHz, 400 MHz, 136 MHz</td>
<td>SATAN Dual-yagi</td>
<td>MT, MOTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, Peru (Deactivated)</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>LIMAPU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tananarive, Malagasy Republic (Madagascar)</td>
<td>STADAN/MSFN</td>
<td>MADGAR</td>
<td>40-FT Dish, SATAN Rec, Dual-yagi</td>
<td>136 MHz, 400 MHz, 136 MHz</td>
<td>SATAN</td>
<td>MT, MOTS, GRARR C-Band (MSFN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barstow, Calif. (Caretaker Status)</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>MOJAVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Certain ETR, WTR, and DSIF stations support the Manned Space Flight Program as indicated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>NETWORK</th>
<th>CODE NAME</th>
<th>TELEMETRY</th>
<th>COMMAND ANTENNA</th>
<th>TRACKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goddard Space Flight Center - NTTF</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>NTTF</td>
<td>8-yagi SATAN</td>
<td>Dual-yagi</td>
<td>MT, MOTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orroral, Australia</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>ORORAL</td>
<td>85-FT Dish</td>
<td>Dual-yagi</td>
<td>MT, MOTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quito, Ecuador</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>QUITOE</td>
<td>40-FT Dish</td>
<td>Dual-yagi, 123/148 MHz</td>
<td>MT, MTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosman, North Carolina</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>ROSMAN</td>
<td>85-FT Dish(2)</td>
<td>Disc-On-Rod</td>
<td>MOTS, GRARR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago, Calif.</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>SNTAGO</td>
<td>85-FT X-Y Trk</td>
<td>Dual-yagi (123-148 MHz)</td>
<td>MT, MTS, GRARR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooby Creek, Australia (TOOWOOMBA)</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>TOOMBA</td>
<td>16-yagi Taco Manual Control Range</td>
<td>Yagi Dual-yagi</td>
<td>MT, MOTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winkfield, England</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>WNKFLD</td>
<td>14-FT Dish SATAN Rec 8-yagi</td>
<td>Yagi Dual-yagi</td>
<td>MT, MOTS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Certain ETR, WTR, and DSIF stations support the Manned Space Flight Program as indicated.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>NETWORK</th>
<th>CODE NAME</th>
<th>TELEMETRY SYSTEM</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
<th>COMMAND ANTENNA</th>
<th>TRACKING</th>
<th>TV MONITOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carnarvon, Australia (See MSPN Status)</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>CARVON</td>
<td>9-yagi Rec.</td>
<td>136 MHz</td>
<td>Crossed-yagi 123/148 MHz</td>
<td>CRARR (STADAN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauai, Hawaii (See MSPN Status)</td>
<td>STADAN</td>
<td>KAUAIH</td>
<td>30-FT Dish</td>
<td>225-2300 MHz</td>
<td>UHF/S-Band</td>
<td>C-Band</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USNS Vanguard</td>
<td>MSPN</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>Same Equipment</td>
<td>Same as Van</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USNS Redstone</td>
<td>MSPN</td>
<td>RED</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USNS Huntsville</td>
<td>MSPN</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension Island</td>
<td>MSPN</td>
<td>ACN ASC</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB, C-Band</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermuda</td>
<td>MSPN</td>
<td>BDA</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual uplink only)</td>
<td>212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB, C-Band Azusa-Glotrac</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Canary Is.</td>
<td>MSPN</td>
<td>CYI</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB, C-Band</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Certain ETR, WTR, and DSIF stations support the Manned Space Flight Program as indicated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>NETWORK</th>
<th>CODE NAME</th>
<th><strong>TELEMETRY</strong></th>
<th><strong>COMMAND</strong></th>
<th>TRACKING</th>
<th>TV MONITOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SYSTEM</td>
<td>FREQUENCY</td>
<td>ANTENNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Bahama Is. (Possibly Caretaker Status)</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>GEM GBI</td>
<td>30-FT USB</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz 212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB UHF</td>
<td>USB, C-Band (ETR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldstone, Calif.</td>
<td>MSFN/DSIF</td>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>85-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrid, Spain</td>
<td>MSFN/DSIF</td>
<td>MAD</td>
<td>85-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merritt Island, Florida</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>MIL MLA</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB UHF</td>
<td>USB, C-Band (ETR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam, Marianas</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>GWM</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guaymas, Mexico</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>GYM</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kauai, Hawaii (See STADAN Status above)</td>
<td>MSFN (STADAN)</td>
<td>HAW</td>
<td>30-FT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB UHF</td>
<td>USB, C-Band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi, Texas</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>TEX</td>
<td>30-FT USB</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeysuckle Creek, Australia</td>
<td>MSFN/DSIF</td>
<td>HSK</td>
<td>85-FT USB</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigua</td>
<td>MSFN/ETR</td>
<td>ANG ANI</td>
<td>30-FT USB</td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>USB UHF (ETR)</td>
<td>C-Band (ETR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Certain ETR, WTR, and DSIF stations support the Manned Space Flight Program as indicated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATION</th>
<th>NETWORK</th>
<th>CODE NAME</th>
<th>TELEMETRY</th>
<th>COMMAND ANTENNA</th>
<th>TRACKING</th>
<th>TV MONITOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Kennedy, Fla.</td>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>CNV</td>
<td></td>
<td>UHF</td>
<td>Offset Doppler, C-Band, Azusa-Glotrac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Air Force Base, Florida</td>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>PAT</td>
<td></td>
<td>UHF</td>
<td>C-Band</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Vandenberg, California</td>
<td>WTR</td>
<td>CAL</td>
<td>Telemetry</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-Band</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretoria, South Africa</td>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>PRE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C-Band</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Sands, N. Mexico</td>
<td>White Sands Missile Range</td>
<td>WHS</td>
<td>T/M Acq.</td>
<td>Aid Ant.</td>
<td>C-Band</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goddard Space Flight Center</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>NTTF</td>
<td>30-F USB</td>
<td>212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>UHF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnarvon, Australia (See STADAN Status above)</td>
<td>MSFN</td>
<td>CRO</td>
<td>30-PT USB (Dual)</td>
<td>212-260 MHz</td>
<td>USB</td>
<td>USB, C-Band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2270-2300 MHz</td>
<td>UHF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Certain ETR, WTR and DSIF stations support the Manned Space Flight Program as indicated.
FIGURE D-2.- SPACE TRACKING AND DATA ACQUISITION NETWORK

** RANGE AND RANGE RATE
S1 - 1 FAIRBANKS, ALASKA
S1 - 2 ROGSMAN, NORTH CAROLINA
S1 - 3 SANTIAGO, CHILE
S1 - 4 TANANARIVE, MALAGASY REP.
S1 - 5 CARNARVON, AUSTRALIA

** MINITrack
S2 - 1 FAIRBANKS, ALASKA
S2 - 2 FORT MYERS, FLORIDA
S2 - 7 QUITO, ECUADOR
S2 - 10 SANTIAGO, CHILE

** 85 FOOT ANTENNAS
S3 - 1 ROGSMAN, NORTH CAROLINA
S3 - 2 ROGSMAN, NORTH CAROLINA
S3 - 3 FAIRBANKS, ALASKA (2)
S3 - 4 ORRORAL, AUSTRALIA
FIGURE D-3.- MANNED SPACE FLIGHT NETWORK

UNIFIED S-BAND NETWORK
M1 - 1 MERRITT ISLAND, FLORIDA
M1 - 2 GRAND BAHAMA
M1 - 3 BERMUDA
M1 - 4 ANTIGUA
M1 - 5 CANARY ISLANDS
M1 - 6 ASCENSION ISLAND
M1 - 7 MADRID, SPAIN
M1 - 8 CARNARVON, AUSTRALIA
USNS VANGUARD
USNS REDSTONE
NOT SHOWN
M1 - 9 GUAM
M1 - 10 HONEYSUCKLE CREEK, AUSTRALIA
M1 - 11 KAUAI, HAWAII
M1 - 12 GOLDSTONE, CALIFORNIA
M1 - 13 GUAYMAS, MEXICO
M1 - 14 CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS
M1 - 15 GOODRICH SPACE FLIGHT CENTER (NTIF)
USNS MERCURY
USNS HUNTSVILLE
NOT SHOWN
C-BAND RADARS
M2 - 1 MERRITT ISLAND, FLORIDA
M2 - 2 PATRICK AFB, FLORIDA
M2 - 3 CAPE KENNEDY, FLORIDA
M2 - 4 GRAND BAHAMA
M2 - 5 GRAND BAHAMA
M2 - 6 ANTIGUA
M2 - 7 BERMUDA
M2 - 8 BERMUDA
M2 - 9 BERMUDA
M2 - 11 CANARY ISLANDS
USNS VANGUARD
USNS REDSTONE
NOT SHOWN
USNS MERCURY
USNS HUNTSVILLE
NOT SHOWN
M2 - 12 ASCENSION ISLAND
M2 - 13 ASCENSION ISLAND
M2 - 14 PRETORIA, SOUTH AFRICA
M2 - 15 CARNARVON, AUSTRALIA
M2 - 16 TANANARIVE, MALAGASY
M2 - 17 KAUAI, HAWAII
M2 - 18 VANDEBERG AFB, CALIFORNIA
M2 - 19 POINT ARGUELLO, CALIFORNIA
M2 - 20 WHITE SANDS, NEW MEXICO
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2.0 GROUND STATION SUPPORT OF SPACECRAFT TELEVISION

2.1 ANALYSIS

The ground station capabilities to support real time or recorded television were investigated and evaluated. The 137 MHz telemetry carrier frequency used in the baseline Bioresearch Module, which is that frequency assigned to the U.S. for space research telemetering by agreements reached by the Extraordinary Administrative Radio Conference of 1963, is allocated a nominal bandwidth of 30 KHz with a maximum bandwidth of 90 KHz. The requirement for real time or recorded television will require 1-3 MHz bandwidth depending on 100 to 300 line resolution, respectively.

Recent inquiries at GSFC (Frequency Control Officer) indicate the wideband requirements for television on the 137 MHz carrier frequency would not be acceptable for two reasons:

(1) Currently none of the STADAN ground stations have the capability to handle wideband transmission. This capability could be obtained by purchasing additional equipment.

(2) Other projects, such as the meteorological satellites operating in the 137-138 MHz frequency range would probably object to the transmission interference during Bioresearch Module wideband transmission.

The Administration Radio Conference concluded a world conference on August 11, 1971. New significance was added to the 136-138 MHz carrier frequency range in that the United States requested and obtained more bandwidth. The 138 MHz to 143.6 MHz carrier frequency was allocated to space research in region 2, in which North and South America are located. Therefore, a possibility exists for obtaining wideband (television) data utilizing the current telemetry system proposed for the Bioresearch Module providing that the STADAN wideband capabilities are obtained. A request to GSFC at this time to use wideband transmission in the 138 to 143.6 MHz range would probably be a first.

The Unified S-Band (USB) system, Figure D-3, provides tracking, ranging, command, telemetry and voice communications for the Apollo missions. The spacecraft transponder transmitter can be frequency-modulated for the transmission of real time television information or recorded data. GSFC has indicated that the USB system would be suitable for the Bioresearch Module wideband (television) requirement provided the carrier frequency is in the S-Band region, 2270-2300 MHz.
2.2 CONCLUSION

STADAN ground station support capabilities for real time or recorded television do not currently exist due to the wideband (1 to 3 MHz) requirement. A possibility of STADAN wideband ground station support may occur in the future with the allocation of the 138 to 143.6 MHz carrier frequency to space research and provided STADAN acquires wideband ground station equipment.
3.0 DESIGN IMPACT OF USING STADAN AND/OR MSFN

3.1 ANALYSIS

The design impact of using the Manned Space Flight Net either in addition to or in lieu of STADAN for ground station support was analyzed. It was assumed that the MSFN shall be available to support missions requiring television monitoring of the experiment.

Three configurations were considered which use the STADAN and/or MSFN ground station support for the Bioresearch Module telemetry, tracking, and command systems with wideband capability (television):

1. Telemetry carrier frequency 137 MHz, tracking carrier frequency 136 MHz, command carrier frequency 148 MHz, and wideband (television) capability using S-Band, 2270-2300 MHz.

2. Telemetry carrier frequency of 137 MHz with wideband ground station capability, tracking carrier frequency of 136 MHz and command carrier frequency of 148 MHz.

3. Telemetry, tracking and command carrier frequencies, including television monitoring, using S-Band.

The prime acquisition sites for telemetry, tracking and command for configuration (1) are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telemetry, Tracking and Command</th>
<th>Wideband Telemetry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roseman, North Carolina (STADAN)</td>
<td>Merritt Island, Florida (MSFN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quito, Ecuador (STADAN)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santiago, Chili (STADAN)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannesburg, South Africa (STADAN)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tananarive, Malagasy Rep. (STADAN)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnarvon, Australia (STADAN)</td>
<td>Carnarvon, Australia (MSFN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The prime acquisition sites for telemetry, tracking and command for configuration (2) are as follows:

Tananarive, Malagasy Republic (STADAN)

Quito, Ecuador (STADAN)
Rosman, North Carolina (STADAN)
Santiago, Chili (STADAN)
Orroral, Australia (STADAN)

The prime acquisition sites for telemetry, tracking and command including television monitoring for configuration (3) are as follows:

Ascension Island (MSFN)
Bermuda (MSFN)
Grand Canary, Island (MSFN)
Goldstone, California (MSFN)
Merritt Island, Florida (MSFN)
Guam, Marianas (MSFN)
Guaymas, Mexico (MSFN)
Kauai, Hawaii (MSFN)
Corpus Christi, Texas (MSFN)
Honeysuckle Creek, Australia (MSFN)
Antigua (MSFN)

3.2 CONCLUSION

Using the Manned Space Flight Net in addition to or in lieu of STADAN for ground station support will require that the Bioresearch Module contain provisions for S-Band capability (2270 to 2300 MHz carrier frequency). The Bioresearch Module using the 136-137 MHz carrier frequency for tracking and telemetry, and 148 MHz carrier frequency for command functions cannot be supported by the MSFN. The Manned Space Flight Network facilities require that the spacecraft transponder operate in the 2270-2300 MHz frequency range.
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APPENDIX E

VARIABLE SPIN CONTROL ANALYSIS

1.0 SPACECRAFT STABILITY STUDY

A dynamic stability study is presented for the spacecraft with its attached, flexible booms.

1.1 IDEALIZED SYSTEM

The idealized system to be analyzed is shown in Figure E-1.

![Figure E-1. - Idealized Spacecraft](image)

It is to be noted that

a) x, y, z are right handed, non-inertial axes. They are fixed in the body, along principal axes of the "rigid body".
b) $I_x, I_y, I_z$ are principal moments of inertia about the principal axes $x, y, z$, respectively.

c) $I_{xy} = I_{xz} = I_{yz} = 0$ are products of inertia.

d) $m$ = equivalent tip mass

e) $\zeta = -\eta, \xi = -\eta$

f) $\bar{i}, \bar{j}, \bar{k}$ are unit vectors, along $x, y, z$ respectively and are time-dependent.

g) The center of mass of the rigid body is in an inertial frame.

1.2 EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The equations of motion will now be written. They consist of the motion of the booms, relative to the central hub, and the "rigid body motion" of the central body with its attached booms.

1.2.1 Kinetic Energy. - The kinetic energy is given by

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \left[ I_x \omega_x^2 + I_y \omega_y^2 + I_z \omega_z^2 
+ \frac{1}{2} m \left( \vec{V}_i \cdot \vec{V}_i' + \vec{V}_j \cdot \vec{V}_j' + \vec{V}_k \cdot \vec{V}_k' \right) \right] \quad (1.1)$$

in which,

$$\vec{V}_i' = \dot{i} \vec{k} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_i$$

$$\vec{V}_j' = \dot{j} \vec{k} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_j$$

$$\vec{V}_k' = \dot{k} \vec{k} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_k$$ \quad (1.2)

and further,

$$\vec{r}_1 = \rho \vec{i}$$

$$\vec{r}_2 = \rho \left[ -\sin \theta \vec{i} + \cos \theta \vec{J} \right] - \eta \vec{k}$$

$$\vec{r}_3 = \rho \left[ -\sin \theta \vec{i} - \cos \theta \vec{J} \right] - \eta \vec{k}$$ \quad (1.3)
1.2.2 Potential Energy. - The spacecraft is in a state of "gravity free" motion as far as its center of mass is concerned. Thus, we are concerned only with the potential energy of the elastic booms.

Hence,

\[ V = \frac{1}{2} k_n \eta^2(z) \]  

in which \( k_n \) is an effective spring rate, given by

\[ k_n = \frac{3EI_n}{L^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{mp w_x^2 l^2}{2EI_n} \right] \]  

1.2.3 Boom Equations. - The equations of motion of the booms are given through the application of Lagrange's equations in conjunction with expressions (1.1) through 1.5).

Lagrange's equations are given by

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{\eta}} \right] - \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} = 0 \]  

If the indicated operations are carried out, there is obtained

\[ \ddot{\eta} + \frac{c}{m} \dot{\eta} + \omega_n^2 \eta = \frac{\rho}{3} [ \dot{\omega}_y (1 + 2 \sin \theta) - \omega_x \omega_z (1 + 2 \sin \theta)] \]  

1.2.4 "Rigid Body" Equations. - The "Rigid Body" equations are given by

\[ \ddot{H} = 0 \]  

in which the derivative is relative to an inertial frame.

Now \( \dot{H} \) is divided into two portions as follows:

\[ \dot{H} = \dot{H}_R + \dot{H}_E \]  

So that,

\[ \dot{H}_R = - \dot{H}_E = - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbf{r}_i \times m \ddot{r}_i \right\} \]
Further,

\[ \ddot{H}_R = I_x \omega_x \dot{\omega}_x + I_y \omega_y \dot{\omega}_y + I_z \omega_z \dot{\omega}_z \]  

(1.11)

By equations (1.8) through (1.11) and remembering that

\[ \dot{H} = \frac{d}{dt} (\ddot{H}_{xy}) + \bar{\omega} \times \bar{H} \]  

(1.12)

we arrive at the following Scalar equations of motion:

\[ \dot{\omega}_x + \omega_z \omega_y \left( \frac{I_z - I_y}{I_x} \right)' = 0 \]  

(1.13)

\[ \dot{\omega}_y + \omega_x \omega_z \left( \frac{I_y - I_x}{I_y} \right)' = \frac{m \rho}{I_y} \left[ \dot{\eta} \left( 1 + 2 \sin^2 \Theta \right) + \eta \omega_x^2 \left( 1 + 2 \cos \Theta \right) \right] \]  

(1.14)

\[ \dot{\omega}_z + \omega_y \omega_x \left( \frac{I_y - I_x}{I_z} \right)' = 0 \]  

(1.15)

in which,

\[ \begin{align*}
I_x' & = I_x + 2 m \rho^2 \cos^2 \Theta \\
I_y' & = I_y + m \rho^2 (1 + 2 \sin^2 \Theta) \\
I_z' & = I_z + 3 m \rho^2 \\
(I_z - I_y)' & = I_z - I_y + 2 m \rho^2 \cos^2 \Theta \\
(I_x - I_z)' & = I_x - I_z - m \rho^2 (1 + 2 \sin^2 \Theta) \\
(I_y - I_x)' & = I_y - I_x + 3 m \rho^2
\end{align*} \]  

(1.16)

1.3 STABILITY CRITERION

We take \( \omega_z \) to be constant and consider the stability associated with equations (1.7), (1.13) and (1.14).
We take solutions of the equations (1.7), (1.13) and (1.14) to be of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_y &= \gamma e^{\lambda t} \\
\omega_x &= \chi e^{\lambda t} \\
\eta &= N e^{\lambda t}
\end{align*}
\] (1.17)

and the determinant of the set of the resulting algebraic equations is:

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
\lambda & \frac{\omega_z (I_x - I_y)'}{I_y} & 0 \\
\omega_z (I_x - I_z)'/I_y & \lambda & -\frac{m\rho}{I_y} \left[ \lambda^2 (1 + 2 \sin \Theta) \\
\frac{\omega_z (1 + 2 \sin \Theta)}{3} & -\rho \lambda (1 + 2 \sin \Theta) & \left( \frac{\lambda^2}{m} + \omega_n^2 \right) \right]
\end{vmatrix} = 0
\] (1.18)

The frequency equation results from the expansion of this determinant. It is of the 4th degree in \( \lambda \), and may be written as

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{i=n=4} a_i \lambda^{n-i} = 0
\] (1.19)
The stability criteria are:

\[ a_i > 0 \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) \]  

(1.20)

Together with

\[ a_3 (a_1 a_2 - a_0 a_3) - a_1^2 a_4 > 0 \]  

(1.21)

These inequalities must be satisfied for dynamic stability.

1.4 STABILITY PROFILES

For this spacecraft, \( I_x = I_y \) and further we define

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{I_z}{I_x} - 1 &= \alpha \\
\frac{m \rho^2}{I_x} &= \beta \\
\frac{\omega_z}{\omega} &= \zeta
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.22)

With these definitions and the stability criteria noted by (1.20) and (1.21), we may show various stability profiles. These appear in Figure E-2 for four values of \( \beta \).

1.7 DEFINITION OF TERMS

\( T \) = Kinetic energy  
\( E \) = Young's Modulus  
\( I_\eta \) = 2nd moment of the boom cross-section  
\( \rho \) = distance from the spacecraft center of mass to the mass, \( m \)  
\( l \) = structural length of a boom.  
\( \omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z \) = angular velocity components of the spacecraft, along \( x, y, z \), respectively.
\( f = \text{dissipation function for a boom.} \)

\( \theta = \text{angle between the booms and specific axes (Figure E-1)} \)

\( H_E, H_R, = \text{angular momenta of the elastic and rigid portions of the spacecraft, respectively.} \)

\( V = \text{potential energy} \)

**FIGURE E-2. - STABILITY PROFILES**
2.0 CONING DIVERGENCE

We now consider the motion of the spacecraft and realize that because of the dynamic instability of motion about the z-axis, it will "cone". If this coning is not corrected, the system will finally change its motion to that of a spin about the axis of maximum moment of inertia.

We now wish to establish

a) An allowable cone angle, $\Theta_1$, based on a maximum wobble acceleration of $3 \times 10^{-3} g$.

b) The amount of time, $t_1$, which is required to build up the cone angle.

The amount of time $t_1$ will govern the control system operation and the amount of thruster gas required.

2.1 ESTABLISHMENT OF $\Theta_1$

Consider the vehicle shown below. For purposes of this portion of the study, the system is idealized into a rigid body and is treated by rigid body concepts.

FIGURE E-3. - CONING STUDY IDEALIZATION
2.1.1 **Angular Velocity and Acceleration.** - The angular velocity vector is given by

\[
\overline{\omega} = \left( \dot{\phi} \cos \psi + \phi \sin \theta \sin \psi \right) \overline{i} \\
+ \left( - \dot{\phi} \sin \psi + \phi \sin \theta \cos \psi \right) \overline{j} \\
+ (\phi \cos \theta + \psi) \overline{k}
\]

(2.1)

We will not allow the cone-angle velocity (\dot{\theta}) to grow large, so that we express \(\overline{\omega}\) by

\[
\overline{\omega} = \left( \phi \sin \theta \sin \psi \right) \overline{i} \\
+ \left( \phi \sin \theta \cos \psi \right) \overline{j} \\
+ (\phi \cos \theta + \psi) \overline{k}
\]

(2.2)

The angular acceleration is approximated by

\[
\dot{\overline{\omega}} = \dot{\phi} \psi \sin \theta \left( \cos \psi \overline{i} - \sin \psi \overline{j} \right)
\]

(2.3)

in which products in \(\dot{\phi} \dot{\theta}\), and the terms \(\ddot{\phi}\), \(\dot{\psi}\) have been ignored in comparison to the terms in expression (2.3).

2.1.2 **Linear Acceleration.** - Let the particle, P, be located by the position vector \(\overline{r}\). Then the linear velocity of the point P is given by

\[
\dot{\overline{r}} = \dot{\overline{r}}_{x'y'z'} + \overline{\omega} \times \overline{r}
\]

(2.4)

The acceleration is given by

\[
\ddot{\overline{r}} = \left[ \ddot{\overline{r}}_{x'y'z'} + \overline{\omega} \times \dot{\overline{r}}_{x'y'z'} + \overline{\omega} \times \overline{r} + \overline{\omega} \times (\overline{\omega} \times \overline{r}) \right]
\]

(2.5)

in which \(x', y', z'\) is the non-inertial body axes.

Now

\[
\overline{r}_{x'y'z'} = \overline{r}_{x'y'z'} = 0
\]

(2.6)
because the particle is stationary relative to the body. Thus,

\[
\ddot{r} = \dot{\omega} \times \dot{r} + \dot{\omega} \times (\omega \times r)
\]  
(2.7)

This is the linear acceleration of the particle.

If we expand Equation (2.7) we obtain

\[
\ddot{r} = [\dot{\omega} \times r + \dot{\omega} (\omega \cdot r) - \ddot{r} (\omega \cdot \omega)]
\]  
(2.8)

We now orient the particle so that

\[r_{y'} = 0\]

and substitute Equations (2.2) and (2.3) into (2.8). We then obtain

\[
\ddot{r} = \ddot{r} (\phi, \psi, \dot{\phi}, \dot{\psi}, r, r_{z'})
\]  
(2.9)

From the constance of angular momentum, it is easy to show that

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_{0z} \cos \Theta &= \dot{\phi} \cos \Theta + \dot{\psi} \\
\omega_{0z} \frac{I_z}{I_x'} \sin \Theta \sin \psi &= \dot{\phi} \sin \Theta \sin \psi \\
\omega_{0z} \frac{I_z}{I_y'} \sin \Theta \cos \psi &= \dot{\phi} \sin \Theta \cos \psi
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.10)

From which we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\phi} &= \frac{\omega_{0z} I_z}{I_x} \\
\dot{\psi} &= \omega_{0z} \cos \Theta [1 - I_z/I_x]
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.11)

in which we have used the fact that

\[I_{x'} = I_{y'} = I_x\]

We note also that \(\omega_{0z}\) refers to the original spin speed of the body about the z-axis.

We can now express Equation (2.2) as
\[ \bar{\omega} = \omega_{oz} \left( \frac{I_x}{I_x} \right) \left( \sin \theta \sin \psi \bar{t} + \sin \theta \cos \psi \bar{J} \right) + \omega_{oz} \cos \theta \bar{k} \]  

(2.12)

and Equation (2.3) becomes

\[ \dot{\bar{\omega}} = \omega_{oz}^2 \left( \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \cos \theta \sin \theta \left( 1 - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \]  

(2.13)

If we now take \( \Theta \) to be small and take the spin-rate to be constant, i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin \theta & \approx \theta \\
\cos \theta & \approx 1 \\
\psi & = \dot{\psi} t
\end{align*}
\]

(2.14)

We find the linear acceleration of point P to be

\[
\vec{a} = \omega_{oz}^2 \left[ \left( \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right)^2 \theta \sin \psi \dot{t} + \frac{r_\ell'}{r_\ell} \right] \bar{t} + \left[ \frac{r_\ell'}{r_\ell} \left( \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \theta \cos \psi \dot{t} \right] \bar{J} + \left[ \frac{r_\ell'}{r_\ell} \theta \sin \psi \dot{t} \left( 2 - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \bar{k} \right]
\]

(2.15)

The terms above may be combined in scalar form to obtain steady-state terms (constant in time) and a time varying term. This latter term is the one of interest. It is

\[
\vec{a}_t = \omega_{oz}^2 \left( \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \theta r_\ell' \left( 2 - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \sin \psi \dot{t}
\]

Its maximum value is

\[
\left| \vec{a}_t \right|_{\text{MAX}} = \omega_{oz}^2 \left( \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \theta r_\ell' \left( 2 - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right)
\]

(2.16)
2.1.3 Maximum Allowable $\Theta = \Theta_1$. - The allowable cone angle $\Theta_1$, is governed by the maximum allowable linear acceleration. This maximum allowable value is set at

$$\left| \frac{\dot{r}}{r} \right|_{\text{MAX}} = 3 \times 10^{-3} \frac{q}{d} \quad (2.17)$$

From this value and Equation (2.16) we can solve for the maximum allowable angle,

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{\left| \frac{\dot{r}}{r} \right|}{\omega_0^2 \left( \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) r_x' \left( 2 - I_z/I_x \right)} \quad (2.18)$$

Values of this angle are tabulated for various spin speeds as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPIN SPEED $\omega_0 \omega$</th>
<th>CONE HALF-ANGLE $\Theta_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Radians/sec.</td>
<td>2.46°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.83 Radians/sec.</td>
<td>.16°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other data are

$I_z = 7.81 \text{ slug-ft}^2$

$I_x = 18.75 \text{ slug-ft}^2$

$r_x' = 1.5 \text{ ft.}$

2.2 TIME HISTORY

We now predict the time required by the spacecraft to develop the cone half-angle, $\Theta_1$. We make this prediction on the basis of the dissipation of energy through the elastic motion resulting from the coning motion. Both the main body and the booms will contribute to this energy dissipation.

2.2.1 Energy Dissipation Relationship. - The drift angle rate, and the time rate of change of the kinetic energy of the spacecraft are related as follows:

$$\dot{T} = \dot{\Theta} \frac{H^2}{I_z^2} \left( I_z/I_x - 1 \right) \sin \Theta \cos \Theta \quad (2.19)$$
The energy dissipated in the elastic body due to hysteresis damping is, for each cycle of stress
\[ \int \int \int_V \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{2Et_0} \, dV \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

In which \( \gamma \) is the hysteresis damping factor, \( \sigma \) is the repeated bending stress, \( E \) is Young's modulus and \( t_0 \) is the period of the repeated stress cycle, and \( V \) is the volume of the material which is undergoing repeated stress.

The energy dissipation (2.20) causes a change in \( T \) as given by Equation (2.19). Thus, for each cycle,
\[ \dot{\theta} \frac{H^2}{I_z} (\frac{I_z}{I_x} - 1) \sin \theta \cos \theta = -\int \int \int_V \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{2Et_0} \, dV \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.21)

The problem now becomes one of determining \( \sigma \) and \( t_0 \). The previous analyses of Section 2.1 furnishes information for obtaining both of these items.

2.2.2 Boom Bending. - If we now consider the system shown below, we may write

![Diagram of Boom Bending Study]

FIGURE E-4. - BOOM BENDING STUDY
for the moment at point \( i \) along the boom

\[
\bar{M}_i = \omega_{o2}^2 \frac{I_x}{I_z} \left( 2 - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \theta \sin \psi t \times \left( I_{MB} - \frac{M_B L}{2} r_{xi} + \frac{M_{xi}}{6} r_{xi}^2 \right) \frac{t}{J} \tag{2.22}
\]

in which \( I_{MB} \) is the boom moment of inertia about \( r_{xi} = 0 \), i.e.,

\[ I_{MB} = \frac{M_B L^2}{3} \]

\( L \) is the boom length and \( M_{xi} \) and \( r_{xi} \) are shown below. It is to be noted that this moment is in a direction \( J \), normal to the \( x' \)-axis.

The bending moment \( \bar{M}_i \), given by Equation (2.22) is periodic, with its period being found from the second of Equations (2.11).

Thus, with \( \theta \) small \( \psi \) is constant and

\[
\psi t_o = \frac{2 \pi}{\omega_{o2}^2} \frac{I_x}{I_z} \left( 1 - \frac{I_z}{I_x} \right) \tag{2.23}
\]

The bending stress is given by

\[
\sigma = \frac{M_i s}{I_y} \tag{2.24}
\]
in which \( I_{y_i} \) is the second moment of the boom cross-sectional area at \( r_{x_i} \) about the \( y' \)-axis.

![Diagram of boom bending](image)

**FIGURE E-6. - BOOM BENDING**

We may now compute the drift rate \( \dot{\Theta} \) for the spacecraft resulting from boom hysteresis since we have obtained \( \sigma \) (Formula 2.24) and \( t_0 \) (Formula 2.23).

2.2.3 **Body Bending.** - We now account for hysteresis loss resulting from bending of the main body of the spacecraft. As before, we are only interested in the sinusoidal portion of the motion since it is only this which will cause bending (from inertia forces).

The bending moment at any location \( i \) along the spacecraft body is given by

\[
\bar{M}_i = \omega^2 \left( \frac{I_j}{I_x} \right)^2 \Theta \left[ \left( \frac{M_R r_{x'i} h}{4} + \frac{I_{yR}}{2} \right) - \left( \frac{M_R r_{x'i} h}{4} \right) \sin \dot{\psi} t \right] - \left( \frac{I_{xR}}{2} - \frac{M_{z'i} r_{z'i}^2}{6} \right) \cos \dot{\psi} t \bar{t} \right] \]

in which

\[ M_R = \text{Mass of the main body of the spacecraft} \]
\[ h = \text{half length of the body.} \]

The mass \( M_{z'i} \) and \( r_{z'i} \) are shown below and the other terms have been previously defined, except for
FIGURE E-7. - BODY BENDING

$I_{XR}$ and $I_{YR}$ which are:

$I_{XR} =$ moment of inertia of the main body (no booms) about the $x'$-axis.

$I_{YR} =$ moment of inertia of the main body (no booms) about the $y'$ axis.

The bending stresses occur about both the $y'$ and $x'$-axes. They are

$$
\sigma_{by'} = \frac{M_{y'} \eta_{ix'}}{I_{y'}} \\
\sigma_{bx'} = \frac{M_{x'} \eta_{iy'}}{I_{x'}}
$$

in which $I_{y'}$, $I_{x'}$, are the second moments of the shell (structural portion) in bending, about the $y'$ and $x'$-axes, respectively. $N_{ix'}$, $N_{iy'}$, are the centroidal fiber distances along $x'$ and $y'$ respectively.

The period of bending in this case is again given by Equation (2.23).

2.2.4 Time Variation. - Through the use of Equation (2.21), in which $\sigma$ and $t_0$ are given by Equations (2.23), (2.24), (2.26) and (2.27), we may write

$$
\frac{\dot{\Theta}}{\Theta} = \frac{(E_R + E_B) I_z}{H^2 (I_z/I_x - 1)}
$$

(2.28)
From which we obtain

$$t = \frac{1}{K} \log\left(\frac{\Theta}{\Theta_0}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.29)

in which

$$K = \frac{(E_R + E_B) I_z}{H^2(I_z/I_x - 1)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.30)

and

$$H = I_z \omega_0 z$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.31)

$E_R$ and $E_B$ are the energy dissipated by the main body and booms, respectively, $t$ is the time required to gain the cone half-angle $\Theta_i$, and $\Theta_0$ is the initial steady state cone half-angle error. Two plots of cone angle growth versus time are shown in Figures E-8 and E-9, for various hysteresis damping factors, $\gamma$.

2.2.5 **Conclusions.** - It is concluded, on the basis of this section of the study that

1. The small allowable $\Theta_i$ (Table 1), requires a control system with a small steady state error ($\ll .16^\circ$, for $\omega_0 z = 5.83$ radians/sec.)

2. The boom-extended configuration results in rapid cone build-up (in terms of hours).

3. The retracted boom configuration results in a very slow build-up of cone-motion (in terms of days).
FIGURE E-8. - CONE ANGLE GROWTH

FIGURE E-9. - CONE ANGLE GROWTH
3.0 THERMAL BENDING

This section concerns itself briefly with the forced bending motion of the extended booms of the spacecraft as it spins in orbit about the earth, as shown in Figure E-10.

The spacecraft is in orbit about the earth but it is also rotating about its spin axis (z-axis) at the following spin rates in two different modes of operation.

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_z &= 5.83 \text{ radians/sec (max.)} \\
\omega_z &= 1.50 \text{ radians/sec (min.)}
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

The motion of the booms will include both the free vibratory phenomena, including possible dynamic instabilities and forced motion. The possibility
of forced resonance is investigated briefly in this section, while an investigation of dynamic instability is contained in Section 4.

We now look more closely at the spacecraft-sun relationship as indicated in Figure E-11.

We base our analysis on the work of Merrick (Reference E-1) and write

\[ M_{z'}(x,t) + \lambda M_{z'}(x,t) = -K \phi(x) \sin \beta t \]  

(3.2)
in which \( \lambda \) is the reciprocal of the thermal time constant, \( M_z \) is the bending moment about the \( z' \)-axis, at any location \( x \) along the boom, \( -K \) is a constant which depends upon the thermal, mechanical and geometric properties of the boom and \( \phi \) is the angle of twist of the boom, relative to its root.

Now \( \phi \) is taken to be a slowly varying function of time (as has been verified for this study) and hence treated as a parameter in this analysis.

If we now express the solution of Equation (3.2) in terms of principal coordinates, we have

\[ M_y = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} EI \, V_i''(x) \, N_i(t) \]  

(3.3)
in which \( V_i \) is a solution to the homogeneous equation

\[ EI \, V_i''(x) - m \, \omega_i^2 \, V_i(x) = 0 \]  

(3.4)
in which \( E \) is Young's modulus, \( I \) is the second moment of the boom cross-section about the boom's centroidal axis along \( z' \), \( m \) is the boom-mass per unit length, \( \omega_i \) the \( i \)th natural frequency of the boom, and \( N_i(t) \) is the \( i \)th generalized coordinate.

If we now substitute (3.3) into (3.2), multiply this result by \( V_i'' \) and integrate over the length of the boom, we obtain,

\[ \dot{N}_i(t) + \lambda N_i = K_i \sin \beta t \]  

(3.5)
in which

\[ K_i = \frac{\int_0^L \phi(x) \, V_i'' \, dx}{EI \int_0^L (V_i'')^2 \, dx} \]  

(3.6)
FIGURE E-11. SPACECRAFT-SUN RELATIONSHIP

FIGURE E-12. BOOM BENDING

$\omega_3 = \beta t$
our approximation leads us to consider only the case \( i = 1 \) and we are to show that there is no danger of resonance as associated with Equation (3.5).

The solution to (3.5) is

\[
N_i(t) = \left( \frac{K}{\lambda + \omega^2} \right) \left[ \sin(\omega z t - C) + e^{-\lambda t} \sin C \right]
\]

in which \( C \) is a phase angle. It is seen that \( M_z \) is a periodic function, with a frequency of \( \omega_z \).

The lowest boom natural frequency is approximated by

\[
\omega_z^2 = \frac{3EI}{m \lambda^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{m \rho l^2 \omega_z^2}{2EI} \right]
\]

Hence it is seen that

\[
\omega_i > \omega_z
\]

so that there is never any danger of resonance.
4.0  THERMAL TORSION-BENDING DYNAMIC STABILITY

We now look at the possibility of instabilities that might occur as a result of the interaction of the temperature-induced moments and torques and the coupled bending-torsion response of a typical boom.

4.1  Sun Time and Location. - It can be seen from Figure E-11 that if we take \( t = 0 \) to occur at the time when Boom A aligns itself with the sun's rays, the times for heating a specific side of a boom are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPIN SPEED</th>
<th>TIME/CYCLE</th>
<th>SUNLIGHT TIME, ( t_s )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.83 radians/sec</td>
<td>1.08 sec.</td>
<td>.54 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50 radians/sec</td>
<td>4.18 sec.</td>
<td>2.09 sec.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incident radiation during \( t_s \) which is absorbed by a boom results in boom bending. This boom bending is generally coupled to a torsional response (this is particularly true for sections which are open torsionally) and the final result is a self-excited vibration not unlike the concept of aerodynamic flutter or the "shimmy" of an aircraft landing gear.

The basic ingredient which governs the dynamic stability of a boom is the torque that is built up in a section governed by the derivative of \( \psi (\psi_o) \), (Reference E-2), in which \( \psi_o \) is the angle of the sun relative to the boom cross-section. If the derivative

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi_o} < 0 \tag{4.1}
\]

the boom will tend toward instability and if

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi_o} > 0 \tag{4.2}
\]

the system will tend toward stability.

A plot of the function

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \psi_o}
\]

is taken from Reference E-2 and reproduced in Figure E-13.
FIGURE E-13. - DERIVATIVE OF $\sqrt{V(\psi_o)}$

On the basis of the above, it is clear that we may avoid instability which takes place in the region of

$$\frac{\partial \sqrt{V(\psi_o)}}{\partial \psi_o} < 0$$

by the proper orientation of the booms relative to the spacecraft main-body. These suggested orientations are shown in Table 3 in conjunction with Figure E-14.

FIGURE E-14. - BODY-BOOM SYSTEM
A further analysis was carried out, based on the work of Merrick (Reference E-1). On this basis, it was found that the system could tend toward instability when thermal loading was applied so that the negative derivatives of $\sqrt{\psi_0}$ were applicable. It was found on this basis that the system tends to slight instability but amplitude build-up is possible over periods of sunlight that are much greater than the actual operating case. In fact we have the following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM</th>
<th>(0^\circ)</th>
<th>(45^\circ)</th>
<th>(90^\circ)</th>
<th>(135^\circ)</th>
<th>(180^\circ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OVERLAP ANGLE</td>
<td>(0^\circ)</td>
<td>(45^\circ)</td>
<td>(90^\circ)</td>
<td>(135^\circ)</td>
<td>(180^\circ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGIONS OF STABILITY ((\sqrt{\psi_0} &gt; 0))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUGGESTED ORIENTATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAXIMUM TIME IN SUNLIGHT PER REVOLUTION</th>
<th>TIME FOR UNSTABLE DOUBLE AMPLITUDE OF MOTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(t_s = 2.09\ \text{sec.})</td>
<td>(35\ \text{sec.})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was found that the amplitude growth increased as follows: If the amplitude is \(A_0\) at time \(t = 0\), the amplitude will grow to, in time \(t_s\),

\[
A_0 e^{0.02(2.09)} = A_0 (1.0418)
\]

Thus, during the time \(t_s\), the amplitude will grow by only 4%.
The above ideas were based on the concept that within $t_s$ the system is subjected to full radiation. Of course, this is highly conservative and the tendency toward instability is even less than indicated above.
5.0 SPACECRAFT CONTROL RESPONSE

A parametric study was performed on the stability of a typical spinning satellite having a rigid central body with flexible booms. The effect of boom length on rate of divergence of wobble amplitude was found. From these results the rate of reaction control propellant consumption required to maintain this amplitude within prescribed limits was estimated assuming discrete corrections.

5.1 PARAMETRIC STABILITY STUDY

A mathematical model of the spinning body with flexible booms was described using state variables and linearized equations of motion. Four degrees of freedom were assumed. These were:

- Central body pitch and yaw angular rates
- Asymmetric boom deflections, pitch and yaw planes

The eigen values or roots of this 6th order system were found as a function of three parameters which apply equally well to 3 or 4 boom configurations:

- Central body spin/yaw inertia ratio
- Ratio of boom to central body yaw inertias.
- Boom stiffness parameter $f = \left( \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{\text{spin}}} \right)^2$

The real and imaginary parts of the eigen values, $\sigma + j\omega$, were non-dimensionalized and presented as

$$\omega/\omega_{\text{spin}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma/c/m$$

where:

- $C$ = Effective dashpot at boom tip
- $m$ = Tip mass.
- $\omega_n$ = Boom natural frequency stiffened by spin rate,
- $\omega_{\text{spin}}$ = spin rate (held constant)

For typically small boom dashpot values the real part of the root was found to be directly proportional to the dashpot constant used. This justified expressing the desired results of this study, divergence rate, in terms of the non-dimensional parameter $\sigma/c/m$

The results of a systematic parametric variation on these eigen values are shown in Figure E-15. The main points found here are:
SYSTEM ROOTS
\[ s = 0 \pm j \omega \text{ rad/sec} \]

\[ \omega_n = \text{BOOM NAT. FREQ} \]
STIFFENED BY
SPIN RATE \[ \frac{\omega}{\omega_{\text{spin}}} \]

\[ m = \text{TIP MASS} \]

\[ C = \text{EFFECTIVE DASHPOT} \]
AT BOOM TIP \[ \frac{m}{C} \]

\( \left( \frac{I_{\text{spin}}}{I_{\text{pitch}}} \right)_{\text{body}} = 0.4 \)

\[ \frac{6m}{C} \]

FIGURE E-15. - BOOM INERTIA AND STIFFNESS PARAMETRIC STABILITY STUDY
Flexibility increases boom inertia required to attain neutral stability. Thus:

\[
f = \left( \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{\text{spin}}} \right)^2
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \frac{\Delta I_{\text{spin boom}}}{I_{\text{spin body}}} )</th>
<th>RIGID</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum divergence rate at intermediate boom length is a strong function of stiffness.

Higher frequency modes are damped.

5.1.1 Baseline Configuration Characteristics. - The variation of the inertia and stiffness parameters as booms are extended was estimated for one typical Bioresearch Module configuration. Figure E-16 shows boom natural frequency versus boom extension. The spin rate and overall vehicle spin-to-pitch inertia ratio for the assumed vehicle is also shown. These two parameters are independent of boom flexibility. The effective spring rate of the isolated cantilever boom drops rapidly with length as evidenced by the rapid decrease in natural frequency shown without spin. The isolated half inch STEM boom has a natural frequency less than the spin rate for practically all extensions. The presence of spin provides restoring forces at the tip mass which effectively stiffen the booms. The boom frequency as stiffened by spin is 35 to 40% above the spin rate for extensions over 50%.

The boom natural frequency was calculated from the following expression:

\[
\omega_n^2 = \frac{3EI}{mL^3} + \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{r_o}{L} \right) \omega_{\text{spin}}^2
\]

where:

- \( EI = 1800 \text{ lb-in.}^2 \)
- \( m = 1.33 \text{ lbs tip mass} \)
- \( r_o = 1 \text{ foot, boom attachment radius} \)
- \( L = \text{Boom length} \)

5.2.2 Divergence Time. - The parameters for the particular extending boom configuration of Figure E-16 were combined with the parametric study of Figure E-15 and data on STEM boom damping measurements, to obtain the divergence times shown in Figure E-17.

Damping was obtained from the experimental data on a STEM
\( \omega_n = \text{boom nat. freq.} \)

STIFFENED BY SPIN RATE

\[
\frac{I_{\text{spin}}}{I_{\text{pitch}}} = \text{moment of inertia ratio}
\]

ASSUMING RIGID BOOMS

FIGURE E-16. - CONTROL BOOM INERTIA AND STIFFNESS CHARACTERISTICS
\( \frac{1}{\sigma} = \text{time to diverge to } e^x \text{ initial wobble amplitude} \)

**Figure E-17.** Wobble divergence time and allowable amplitude
boom cantilevered vertically under the influence of gravity as reported in Reference E-3. These tests indicated that the typical log decrement, $\delta$, was 0.01 for oscillations of a 43 foot boom. Variations in test conditions caused this parameter to vary by a factor of two. The test natural frequency was estimated and the hysteresis factor

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{k} = \frac{\delta}{\pi \omega}$$

was found to be about .003 for two different boom lengths. It was then assumed that this factor did not change with addition of tip masses.

The factor $c/m$ was estimated for the satellite configuration with boom tip mass added from:

$$\frac{c}{m} = \frac{c}{k}\frac{k}{m} = \omega_n^2 \left( \frac{c}{k} \right) = .003 \; \omega_n^2$$

where

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{3EI}{I^2}$$

is the natural frequency of the isolated cantilever boom with tip mass, $m$, added.

Finally the divergence rate, $\sigma$, was obtained from the non-dimensional damping parameter,

$$\frac{\sigma}{c/m}$$

thus,

$$\sigma = \frac{c}{m}\omega_n \left( \frac{\sigma}{c/m} \right) = .003 \; \omega_n^2 \left( \frac{\sigma}{c/m} \right)$$

The time for wobble amplitude to increase exponentially by a factor of 2.72 is given by $1/\sigma$ as shown in Figure E-17. This time constant varies from 1.8 hours at 5 foot extension to 9 hours at full extension. The control system must provide discrete corrections before rate gyro amplitude reaches the allowable rate values shown in Figure E-17. Variation in acceleration at the forward end of the experiment is held below $3 \times 10^{-3}$ g by this requirement.

5.3 PROPELLANT CONSUMPTION

For the purpose of estimating reaction control propellant consumption it was assumed that a rate gyro would be activated for brief periods during amplitude buildup. No correction would be made until the sampled rate exceeded a prescribed value. At that time control pulses would be applied until sensed rate dropped below a prescribed minimum value, at which time the correction would cease, starting a new buildup cycle. The time between corrections is given by

$$\Delta t = \frac{1}{\sigma} \log_e \left( \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right)$$
where $\frac{a_2}{a_1}$ is the ratio of the rate amplitudes before and after correction. Propellant consumption could be minimized by setting the maximum rate as small as possible but a limit is reached when the minimum rate is less than obtainable rate gyro accuracy.

Figure E-18 shows a typical response for the chosen compromise settings. The correction was assumed to occur from 0.4 to 0.1 deg/sec which would provide 12.5 hrs. between pulses for the full extension condition where $\frac{1}{\omega}$ is 9 hours.

The impulse required to make this 0.3 deg/sec correction is 0.077 lb-sec assuming the control jet acts on a 1.5 foot arm and the transverse moment of inertia is 22 lb-ft-sec$^2$.

The reaction control impulse expended per day was computed as a function of boom length on the basis of the above assumptions. Figure E-18 shows that consumption varies from 0.155 lb sec/day at full extension and very small values with full retraction to a maximum of about 0.75 lb-sec/day when the experiment is maintained at 0.6 g. This is equivalent to 2.25 lbs of $N_2$ consumed in 6 months.

5.4 RATE GYRO RESPONSE TO FLEXIBLE BOOMS

When control motors are pulsed the flexible booms will be excited superimposing an unwanted oscillation on the transverse body rates sensed by the body mounted rate gyros. The transient response of the sixth order system used in the stability analysis was calculated at full extension of the booms. Figure E-19 shows the variation in the transverse body rate vector produced by releasing initially restrained tip masses while the central body is coning. This vector would trace out a circle, if the booms were rigid. The flexible booms cause a bending oscillation at about 8 times the coning frequency or 1.8 times the spin frequency. The amplitude of this motion is only about 15% of the total transverse rate amplitude indicating that the rate gyros will function essentially as in the rigid body case.

5.5 CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study showed that flexible booms cause the spinning module cone angle to increase. With booms retracted or fully extended, the growth is very slow. Growth rate is most rapid at the intermediate boom length required for 0.6 experiment g. The propellant required to compensate this divergence for 6 months is 2.25 lbs of nitrogen. The boom bending frequency component was found to have little effect on rate gyro measurement of body rates for wobble damping control.
Typical wobble amplitude duty cycle

Angular rate

- 4°/sec
- 0.1°/sec

14 foot extension or 0.1 experiment "G's"

Propellant impulse = 0.155 lb·sec/day
28 lb·sec in 180 days

Figure E-18. - Wobble control duty cycle and propellant consumption
BODY RATE VECTOR INITIAL MOTION IN BODY
AXIS SYSTEM

INITIAL CONDITIONS:
X-AXIS BODY RATE
TIP MASSES
RESTRAINED

FIGURE E-19. - TYPICAL BODY RATE INITIAL RESPONSE
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This appendix contains four parts: a listing and description of potential Contract Line Items; a Work Breakdown Structure that diagrams the structure to the third level and then indexes the structure to the sixth level or indenture; a Program Plan or work flow; and a Schedule of major program milestones.

1.0 CONTRACT LINE ITEMS

Potential Contract Line Items are identified below accompanied by brief description of their contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLI No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Bioresearch Modules; four (4).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Bioresearch Module and System launch, checkout and servicing Ground Support Equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Experiment Life Support and Handling/Servicing Ground Support Equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Procedures/Instructions for handling, servicing, inserting, and withdrawal of experiment(s) using the Experiment Life Support and Handling/Servicing GSE and maintenance of the procedures/instructions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Experiment/Bioresearch Module System Technical and Operations Services for Orbital Missions; 4 months each for 4 missions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLI No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Reliability and Quality Assurance for Bioresearch Modules, System GSE, and Experiment and Life Support GSE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Bioresearch Module System checkout, and booster integration services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Mission Integration and Trajectory Analyses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.0 **WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE**

The Work Breakdown Structure appears in Table F-1 in diagramatic form to the third level and also in indentured index form to the sixth level.
TABLE F.1 WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE DIAGRAM

1st Level (WBS Indenture)

- Bioresearch Program
  - Launch Vehicle
  - Spacecraft System

2nd Level

- Bioresearch Module
- Experiment Payload
- Support Equipment
- Logistics
- Facilities

3rd Level

- System Test & Evaluation
- Peculiar Launch Equipment
- Systems Engineering/Integration
- Training
- Mission Support
- System/Program Management
BIO RESEARCH PROGRAM

Spacecraft System

Bioresearch Module

Attitude Control Subsystem
- Control Electronics
- Nitrogen Tanks
- Nitrogen Regulator
- Thruster Valves
- Yo-Yo Assembly
- Sun Sensors
- Rate Gyro Assembly
- Integrating Rate Gyro
- Horizon Crossing Indicators
- Extendible Booms

Thermal Control Subsystem
- Louver Assemblies
- Radiating Cold Plate Assemblies
- Thermistor Assemblies
- Thermal Control Electronics
- Insulating Blankets
- Cold Plates
Communications and Telemetry Subsystem

Command Receiver Assembly
Command Decoders
Programmer Clock
Signal Conditioner
Data Processor
PCM Encoder
Telemetry Transmitters
Data Storage Assembly
N Pet Press Transducer
Turnstile Antenna
Antenna Coupler
Tracking Beacon
Data Patch Unit
Dipole Antenna
Range-Range Rate Transponder
Harness

Electrical Subsystem

Power Control Assembly
Solar Cells
Battery Assembly
Power Distribution Box
Harness

Structure

Experiment Package Cover
Equipment Section
Aft Section
Scout Support Ring
Umbilical Receptacle/Plate
Extendible Solar Panels and Assembly
Experiment Payload(s)

Mechanical Support Equipment
- Trailer Van
- Work Stand
- Platform Scales
- Roll-Over Stand
- Hoist Sling
- Spacecraft Simulator (Mechanical Fit Check)

Fluids and Pneumatics Support Equipment
- Environmental Control Console
- Thermal Exchange Unit

Experiment(s) - GFE
Experiment Canister(s) - GFE
Experiment Simulator(s) - GFE
  - For Attachment to -41 Cold Plate
  - For Attachment to -13 Cold Plate

Support Equipment

Mechanical
- Shipping Containers
- Hoist Crane
- Spacecraft Hoist Sling
- Work Stand
- Roll-Over Stand
- Scales
- Solar Panel Covers
- Experiment Pack Simulation (see Experiment Payload)
Fluids and Pneumatics

GN₂ Cart
- Cart; mechanical assy
- GN₂ Pressure Control Panel
- GN₂ Hose & Adapter Kit

GN₂ System Leak Test Adapters

Telemetry - Electronic

Telemetry Instrumentation Console
- Console
- Cabling/Console Harness
- Telemetry Control Panel
- Power Supply
- Blowers
- Power Control Panel
- Distortion Analyzer
- Audio Oscillator
- PCM Formatter
- PCM Synchronizer
- Digital Voltmeter
- Oscilloscope
- Time Code Generator
- Time Code Converter
- Patch Panel
- Signal Conditioner Test Panel

Antenna
Receiver
Strip Chart Recorders
Events Recorder
Tape Recorder
Attitude Control - Electronic
Flight Control Console
  Console
  Console Harness
  Flight Control Equipment Panel
  Power Supply
  Blowers
  DC Digital Voltmeter
  Signal Generator
  E-Put Counter
  Oscilloscope
  Servo Analyzer
  Rate Table
  Megohmeter
  Power Control Panel

Cables
Spacecraft Mission Control - Electronic

Mission Control Console
- Console
- Console Harness
- Command Control Panel
- Power Supply
- Blowers
- Signal Generator (100-1700 MHz)
- Frequency Meter
- Power Meter
- RF Load Meter
- Electronic Counter
- Function Generator

Battery Charger

Battery Load Tester

Power Control Console
- Console
- Harness
- Power Supply
- Blowers
- Power Panel

Experiment Control Console
- Event Control Panel
- Event Monitor Panel

Cables
Logistics
  Procurement/Scheduling
  Property Administration and Control
  Inventory Maintenance
  Vendor/Experiment Liaison

Training
  Course Requirements
  Instruction

Facilities
  Spacecraft Check Out
    System Acceptance
    Receiving/Inspection
    Special Purpose Test
    Experiment Build-Up
    Spin Balance
    S/C Check Out
  Experiment Build-Up (GFE)
  Ordnance Storage
  Launch
  Mission

System Test and Evaluation (GSE to be added)

Development
  Electrical Performance
    Data Processor Bread Board (-11)
    Attitude Control Electronics Bread Board (-2)
  Electrical and Mechanical
Louver (-12), Louver Actuator (-15),
Cold Plate (Dummy) Linkage (-13)
Louver Control Electronics
Bread Board (-16)
Programmer Clock Bread Board (-20)
Power Controller Assembly Bread
Board (-30)

Thermal Vacuum

Thermal Components Bread Board, includes Electrical Test
(-14) (-16)

Structural Development Test

Deployable Solar Panels (-28)

Yo-Yo Despin Development Test (-39)

Cold Plate Material and Process (-13/41)
Development Test

Cold Plate Development Test (-13/41, -12)
Prototype Cold Plate Leak Test

Qualification

Leak Detection

Flight Cold Plates (-13)
Flight Cold Plates (-41)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical Performance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Electronics Prototype (-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processor Prototype (-11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Control (-12) (-14) (-15) (-16) (-13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programmer Prototype (-20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Controller Prototype (-30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Assembly Prototype (-32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance and Spin Test (Prototypes) (-28) (-34) (-35) (-36) (-37 Ring plus Dummy Hardware)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Measurements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype Structure (-28) (-34) (-35) (-36) (-37 Ring)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature Humidity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programmer Clock Prototype (-20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Controller Prototype (-30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Processor Prototype (-11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Electronics Prototype (-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louver Control Electronics Prototype (-16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Assembly Prototype (-32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vibration/Shock</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype Structure (-28) (-34) (-35) (-36) (-37 Ring Dummy Hardware, some (-31) and Simulated Cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Control Electronics Prototype (-2)
Data Processor Prototype (-11)
Louver Control Electronics (-16)
Programmer Clock Prototype (-20)
Power Control Assembly (-30)
Battery Assembly Prototype (-32)

Static Load Structural Design Verification Test
Prototype Structure (-28) (-34) (-35)
(-36) and (-37 Ring)

Acceleration
Control Electronics Prototype
(-2)
Data Processor Prototype (-11)
Louver Control Electronics (-16)
Programmer Clock Prototype (-20)
Power Control Assembly (-30)
Battery Assembly Prototype (-32)

Thermal Vacuum Includes Calibration
Thermal Control Subsystems
-12, -41, -15, -16, -17, -34, -31, & -14
Thermal Control Subsystem
-12, -13, -14, -15, -16, -17,
-34 and -31, Experiment Pack
Simulator

Antenna System(s) Qualification

Acceptance

Leak Detection

N2 Tankage (-3)
N2 Regulator (-4)
Thruster (-5)
Attitude Control Valves and
Plumbing (-6)
Ground Cooling System
(Plumbing, Valving,
(-38, -13/-41 Dummy)
Radiating Cold Plate Assembly
(-13)
Cold Plate (-41)

Electrical Performance

Control Electronics (-2)
Thrusters (-5)
Sun Sensors (-9)
Rate Gyros (-10)
Integrating Rate Gyros (-40)
Extendible Booms (-43)
Horizon Crossing Indicator
(-44)
Thermistor Assembly (-14)
Louver Control Actuator (-15)
Louver Control Electronics
(-16)
Thermal Control Fluid
  Lines, Valves (-42)
Command Receivers (-18)
Command Decoders (-19)
Programmer Clocks (-20)
  (Flight)
Signal Conditioning (-21)
Flight Data Processor (-11)
PCM Encoder (-22)
Telemetry Transmitters (-23)
Data Storage Assembly (-24)
Turnstile Antenna (-26)
Antenna Coupler (-27)
Data Patch Unit (-29)
Dipole Antenna (-45)
Dipole Antenna (-46)
Range Rate Transponder (-47)
Power Control Assembly (-30)
Solar Cells Panels (-31)
Flight Battery Assembly (-32)
Power Patch Unit (-33)
Umbilical Connector/
  Receptacle (-38)

Physical Measurements

  Control Electronics (-2)
N₂ Tanks (-3)
N₂ Regulators (-4)
Thrusters (-5)
Attitude Control Valves
  and Plumbing (-6)
Sun Sensors (-9)
Rate Gyros (-10)
Yo-Yo Assembly (-39)
Integrating Rate Gyros (-40)
Extendible Booms (-43)
Horizon Crossing
  Indicators (-44)
Thermal Control Sub-
  systems Louver
  Assembly (-12)
Radiating Cold Plate
  Assembly (-13)
Thermistor Assembly (-14)
Louver Control Actuators
  (-15)
Louver Control
  Electronics (-16)
Insulation Blankets (-17)
Cold Plate (-41)
Thermal Control Fluid
  Lines, Valves (-42)
Command Receivers (-18)
Command Decoders (-19)
Programmer Clock (-20)
  (Flight)
Signal Conditioning (-21)
PCM Encoder (-22)
Telemetry Transmitter (-23)
Data Storage Assembly (-24)
N2 Press Transducer (-25)
Turnstile Antenna (-26)
Antenna Coupler (-27)
Data Patch Unit (-29)
Dipole Antenna (-45)
Dipole Antenna (-46)
Range Rate Transponder (-47)
Power Control Assembly (-30)
Solar Cells Panel (-31)
Subsystem Acceptance Tests

Attitude Control Subsystem
Thermal Control via Vacuum/Electrical Performance
Thermal Control Fluid/Line Acceptance via Leak and Vibration
Command and Telemetry
Power

Thermal Control AGE Development/Qualification Tests (as required)

AGE Functional Acceptance

Ground System Verification

Power
Pneumatics and Fluids
Command and Telemetry

System Verification

Balance
Radio Frequency Interference/
Electromagnetic
Thermal
Peculiar Launch Equipment

Communications; Data, RF, and Voice
Timing/Sequencing
Critical Power and Sensing Electronic
System Engineering and Integration

Mission/Trajectory Analyses and Planning
Activation and Installation
Integrated System Test Specifications and Planning
Interface Specifications
Performance Specifications and Design
Fabrication Specifications and Engineering
Tradeoff Analyses
Reliability and Quality Assurance
Special Test Tool/Fixture Planning and Engineering
Engineering Administration, Release, and Document Control
Mission Support

Engineering Liaison Services
Launch Support/Checkout Operations
Orbital Support/Experiment Operations
Data Analyses and Processing
Administrative Services
Ground System(s) Checkout/Maintenance
3.0 PROGRAM PLAN

The Program Plan, Figure F-1, consists of six consequent-ive foldout sheets interrelated by means of serially numbered connectors □ on either of the long ends. The program’s hard/software services, and integration activities have been grouped into seven major strata or layers that appear throughout the six foldout sheets; namely, Stabilization/Attitude Control, Electrical, Thermal Control, Instrumentation/RF, Facilities/Mission Operations, and a systems management and integration stratum termed Spacecraft System. Activities or the elements of program work are presented as explained by the legend that appears on the first foldout sheet. Note that triangle symbols △ are Major Program Milestones and possess a number that likewise appears immediately to the right of the day-month-year calendar date on the Milestone Schedule, Table F-2. This identification permits the calendar date associated with each major program milestone to be correlated directly with the Program Plan.

The level of descriptive detail presented for each activity of the Program Plan has been established by summarizing much of the working detail that contributed to the Plan’s justification and reiterative development. Although of a summary nature, these descriptions capture the sequence, essence, and philosophy of the program for Program Management deliberation and tradeoffs. The Plan is thus intended to provide a level of abstraction from which further reviews and consideration of such items as design, testing, spares, tooling, manufacture, facility usage, quality, logistics, and interfaces with other agencies may be judged during detail design progress. It should be noted with regard to the interfaces associated with the program, that the program planning was accomplished with specific consideration for the Scout launch vehicle. Although the Program Plan treats Bioresearch Module development and operations, interfaces with Scout operations are shown as submittals or acceptances of specific documentation currently in use within the Scout Program as well as participation within Mission Working Groups. The Plan thus portrays a totally integrated program consisting of launch vehicle, spacecraft, and experiment or payloads but as seen from the aspect of spacecraft integration.

The Program Plan is a thus a vantage point from which to perform further management tradeoffs as necessary to arrive at a more detailed and justifiable program baseline having the flexibility for predicting/admitting authorized changes experienced in the program.
course but still having the rigidity for ensuring the course to be true and manageable.

The following description is presented of the six pages of Program Plan. Sheet 1 identifies program go-ahead and the system specification and program management plan being finalized. Activities involving definition of subsystem requirements and associated analyses lead to the issuance of development specifications and the release of design engineering. These activities coupled with the preparation of a proposed document tree to present the planned arrangement of all specifications and engineering drawings, a Reliability and Quality Assurance Plan, a Safety Plan, an Activation Plan, an EMI Plan, and a baseline version of the Integrated System Test Specification lead to a Preliminary Design Review approximately three months after go ahead. This initial activity serves to coagulate the program baseline philosophies and to identify the requirements and criteria for subsystem design and development testing. Note the attention to the evolution and role of the Integrated System Test Specification in its control of the integrated system.

Sheet 2 of Figure F-1 presents the development engineering being finalized resultant from the Preliminary Design Review and the performance of efforts necessary for engineering development testing such as development tooling and the fabrication of development hardware. Also shown is the initiation of the engineering and Product Specifications that will be necessary for the development of qualification and ultimately flight hardware. Also during the period shown, the AGE specifications emerge in initial form. More specific plans are prepared for the areas of Maintenance and Repair, Logistics, Manufacturing, and the mission itself in the form of a baseline Mission and Checkout Directive which is foreseen to provide top policy guidance for preparation of the actual Directive as well as to state particular ground rules and mission guidelines. Maintainability analyses encompassing all aspects of maintenance, operability, and serviceability for both airborne and ground equipments can be seen supporting the preparation of a proposed joint use listing and the first listing of a proposed spares list and ultimately the Manufacturing Plan itself.

Sheet 3 of Figure F-1 identifies the finishing touches necessary to conduct a Critical Design Review. Specifically, tooling planning and engineering, qualification hardware engineering and Product Specifications as well as component acceptance procedures are completed. Joint Use Negotiations are completed so as to finalize what
may be shared. The input to the Scout-required Payload Description Document is compiled and submitted. A mockup becomes available for use at the Critical Design Review. Following the CDR, the actual fabrication of qualification hardware begins. Likewise, Mission Objectives and Requirements for Spacecraft No. 1 are released in preliminary form to provide the requirements for the first mission. Based on this, the Payload Mission Requirements are compiled and submitted to Scout via the Mission Working Group. An experiment simulator can be seen to support Thermal Control Qualification Tests. Following Qualification Testing, engineering and Product Specifications are shown to be updated accordingly and the fabrication of the first flight article commences. Also, the AGE for thermal control protection of the experiment is shown to undergo development and qualification testing.

Sheet 4 identifies the release and approval of the Mission Directive for Spacecraft No. 1. AGE can be seen undergoing fabrication within each of the subsystems and following its assembly, the various functional acceptance tests are performed as required. The AGE coupled with its related Product Specifications becomes available and is installed for total ground system verification and checkout at the VMSC systems test facility. Various other inputs are submitted to the Scout program in anticipation of the forthcoming first flight. For instance, mission motor data is submitted for preparation of the solid motors; an update of the Payload Description Document transmits the latest payload requirements and characteristics now that the experiments have been defined. Also, the umbilical requirements for wiring are submitted. Training of personnel and experimenter agencies is completed. Flight subsystems are assembled and acceptance tested. The first article is built up through subsystem functional tests and acceptance until finally spacecraft system level is achieved. At this time the spacecraft undergoes total system testing for approximately two months. In the meantime the launch site facilities are shown to be undergoing preparation, and AGE peculiar to launch or mission control sites is shipped.

On Sheet 5, both Spacecraft No. 1 and the AGE are shipped to Wallops Island for checkout, acceptance of a simulated experiment package for system checkout and mating with the Scout, and then launch with the flight experiment package. Note that the requirements for the second Spacecraft mission are becoming available and the Baseline Mission Objectives and Requirements for Spacecraft No. 2 are being issued. The engineering and Product Specifications are then reviewed in light of the No. 2 mission to assure design compatibility. It is well
to point out that although the baseline spacecraft will be designed to meet a basic set of mission requirements, this review loop has been used to assure complete compatibility with specific mission requirement. Any necessary revisions are made to the engineering and specifications to accommodate the second mission. The fabrication of any necessary modifications to AGE parallels fabrication of the second spacecraft. Mission No. 1 is completed approximately one month prior to the final release of the approved Mission Directive and for Spacecraft No. 2. The AGE is foreseen to be of two types; that which will be retained at Wallops Island and the mission control site or that to be recycled for checkout of the second spacecraft at the plant. Accordingly, modifications of the AGE will be either made in the plant after the AGE is recycled with modification engineering shipped to the field for installation of the change hardware.

Sheet 6 shows the AGE being reverified for Spacecraft No. 2 and the subsystem acceptances and final system testing for the second spacecraft system. It is well to note again how the Integrated System Test Specification is updated to provide the detail control for total system integration. The vertical wavy line refers to a reiterative process to accommodate the third and final spacecrafts by having the reader cycle back to essentially the middle of Sheet 5 and coming through the flow again from there for each spacecraft until the No. 4 article at which time contract settlement would occur after the final reports and all hardware disposition had been accomplished. Milestone numbers for the third and final spacecrafts appear beneath the Major Milestone triangles where applicable within parenthesis; the first number refers to the third spacecraft and the second or other number refers to the fourth and final spacecraft.

4.0 BIORESEARCH MODULE

MAJOR PROGRAM MILESTONE SCHEDULE

A printout of the calendar date by day, month, and year appears first and is immediately followed by a three character number (eg. A00) which is the milestone number. A brief description of the milestone completes the line.
# BIORESEARCH MODULE MAJOR PROGRAM MILESTONE SCHEDULE

**ISSUE - REV/ 9 DEC 71**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DA YR</th>
<th>MILESTONE DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>010672A00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>PROGRAM GO AHEAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090672B03</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>PROGRAM BUDGETS/SOWS/SCHEDULES RELEASED VIA WA'S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160672B02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>BIORESEARCH MODULE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT PLAN APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230672B01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>SPACECRAFT SYSTEM SPECIFICATION RELEASE - APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300672F09</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>NOMINAL TRAJECTORY - MISSION SPECIFICATION RELEASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210772B00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>BIORESEARCH MODULE TEST PLAN APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210772F01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>START RELEASE DEV ENGRG/Dwgs/Spec - STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210772F08</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>START RELEASE DEV ENGRG/Dwgs/Spec - STRUCTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>040872F03</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>START RELEASE DEV ENGRG/Dwgs/Spec - THERMAL CONTROL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090872F05</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>PROGRAM DOCUMENT/Spec/Dwgs TREE RELEASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110872F07</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>START RELEASE DEV ENGRG/Dwgs/Spec - INSTRUMENTATION/RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230872F04</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>BASELINE INTEGRATED SYSTEM TEST SPEC RELEASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250872C07</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>EM1/RFI PLAN APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250872C08</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>SAFETY PLAN APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250872F02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>START RELEASE DEV ENGRG/Dwgs/Spec - ELECTRICAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280872C02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>TEST, LAUNCH, AND MISSION FACILITIES ACTIVATION PLAN APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280872C03</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>PROGRAM R/QA PLAN APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010972J00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301072D01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>START COLD PLATE MATERIAL/PROCESS DEVELOPMENT TESTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101172L12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-STRUCTURE ENGRG AND PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101172L17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-STRUCTURE AGE/HANDLING GEAR ENGRG/PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171172G00</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>BASIC ISSUE MISSION AND CHECKOUT DIRECTIVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171172L02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL ENGRG AND PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241172L06</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-THERMAL CONTROL ENGRG AND PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241172L10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-INST/RF ENGRG AND PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291172L13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL AGE ENGRG/PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>081272L04</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-ELECTRICAL ENGRG AND PROD SPEC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
151272L15 BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-THERMAL CONTROL AGE ENGRG AND PROD SPEC
151272L16 BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-INST./RF AGE ENGRG AND PROD SPEC
221272C05 MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR PLAN APPROVED
291272L14 BLINE REL FOR CDR/QUAL H/W-ELECTRICAL AGE ENGRG AND PROD SPEC
050173C04 LOGISTICS PLAN APPROVAL
090273C06 MANUFACTURING PLAN APPROVED
090373F10 SUBMIT INPUT/INTERFACE DATA TO PAYLOAD DESCRIPTION DOCUMENT
090373J06 DEVELOPMENT TESTING COMPLETE - STRUCTURE
160373J01 DEVELOPMENT TESTING COMPLETE - STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL
230373J02 DEVELOPMENT TESTING COMPLETE - ELECTRICAL
230373J03 DEVELOPMENT TESTING COMPLETE - THERMAL CONTROL
230373J05 DEVELOPMENT TESTING COMPLETE - INSTRUMENTATION/RF
300373C01 PROGRAM/EXPERIMENTER TRAINING PLAN APPROVAL
300373D02 SPACECRAFT MOKUP AVAILABLE FOR DESIGN REVIEW
300373F06 TEST/LAUNCH/MISSION FACILITIES, REQMNTS-SPEC RELEASE
300373F11 SPARES AND JOINT USAGE LISTING/INDEX AVAILABLE - PROPOSED
060473M00 CRITICAL DESIGN REVIEW COMPLETE
011073Q01 EXPERIMENT SIMULATOR AVAILABLE - FOR VMSC QUAL TESTING
010274H04 JOINT USE NEGOTIATIONS COMPLETE
220274K01 STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL QUAL TEST COMPLETE
220274K03 THERMAL CONTROL QUAL TEST COMPLETE
220274Q04 SPARES PROVISIONING COMPLETE
010374K09 THERMAL CONTROL AGE DEV/QUAL TEST COMPLETE
150374K02 ELECTRICAL QUAL TEST COMPLETE
150374K05 INSTRUMENTATION/RF QUAL TEST COMPLETE
010474K06 STRUCTURE QUAL TEST COMPLETE
050474V12 RELEASE PRELIMINARY PAYLOAD MISSION REQMNTS - S/C NO. 1
030574L01 STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
060574R12 RECEIVE PRELIMINARY TRAJECTORY DATA - S/C NO. 1
100574L05 THERMAL CONTROL PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
170574L20 THERMAL CONTROL AGE PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
240574L09 INSTRUMENTATION/RF PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
310574L18 STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL AGE PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
140674L03 ELECTRICAL PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
210674L21 INSTRUMENTATION/RF AGE PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
280674L19 ELECTRICAL AGE PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
280674R08 SUBMIT FINAL TRAJECTORY )ROMAN 1 *) FOR APPROVAL - S/C NO.1
STRUCTURE SUBSYSTEM PROD SPEC APROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
STRUCTURE AGE /HANDLING GEAR PROD SPEC APPROVED AND ENGRG COMPLETE
S/C SYSTEM TEST FACILITY AVAILABLE FOR AGE INSTALLATION
ALL AGE AVAILABLE
INPUT WIRING UMBILICAL REQUIREMENTS - S/C NO. 1
FINALIZE AND APPROVE SPACECRAFT NO. 1 MISSION DIRECTIVE
VMSC GROUND STATION AVAILABLE FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 1
TRAINING COURSES COMPLETE
FLIGHT SPARES AIRBORNE AND AGE AVAILABLE* AVAILABLE
GND SYS/FAC CHECKOUT COMPLETE AT VMSC FOR SYS TEST S/C NO. 1
START BUILD-UP OF S/C NO. 1 TO SYSTEM LEVEL
FINAL MISSION MOTOR DATA SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 1
STRUCTURE SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 1
ELECTRICAL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 1
INSTRUMENTATION /RF SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 1
THERMAL CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 1
STAB/ ATTITUDE CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 1
MISSION ROMAN 1 TRAJECTORY APPROVAL - S/C NO. 1
INPUT TO AGE INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 1
INPUT TO PAYLOAD INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 1
S/C NO. 1 SYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - FACI COMPLETE
LAUNCH/MISSION GROUND SYSTEMS READY TO SUPPORT S/C NO. 1
SIMULATED EXPERIMENT PACK AVAIL FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 1 - FIELD
FLIGHT EXPERIMENT PACK READY FOR INSERTION IN S/C NO. 1
SUBMIT S/C COUNTDOWN INPUT TO COUNTDOWN DOCUMENT - S/C NO. 1
BOOSTER READY FOR S/C NO. 1
S/C NO. 1 INSERTION - START MISSION OPERATIONS
RELEASE PRELIMINARY PAYLOAD MISSION REQMNTS - S/C NO. 2
RECEIVE PRELIMINARY TRAJECTORY DATA - S/C NO. 2
FIRST MISSION SUPPORT COMPLETE
SUBMIT FINAL TRAJECTORY ROMAN ONE S* FOR APPROVAL - S/C NO. 2
INPUT WIRING UMBILICAL REQUIREMENTS - S/C NO. 2
FINALIZE AND APPROVE SPACECRAFT NO. 2 MISSION DIRECTIVE
VMSC GROUND STATION AVAILABLE FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 2
GND SYS/FAC CHECKOUT COMPLETE AT VMSC FOR SYS TEST S/C NO. 2
FINAL MISSION MOTOR DATA SUBMITTED S/C NO. 2
STRUCTURE SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 2
ELECTRICAL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 2
101075M14 INSTRUMENTATION/RF SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 2
101075RC3 MISSION ROMAN ONE S TRAJECTORY APPROVAL - S/C NO. 2
101075M13 THERMAL CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 2
241075M11 STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 2
031175X15 INPUT TO AGE INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 2
261175X07 INPUT TO PAYLOAD INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 2
131275S03 SPACECRAFT SYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 2
291275Q07 SIMULATED EXPERIMENT PACK AVAIL FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 2 - FIELD
291275W03 LAUNCH/MISSION GROUND SYSTEMS READY TO SUPPORT S/C NO. 2
050176T03 FLIGHT EXPERIMENT PACK READY FOR INSERTION IN S/C NO. 2
090176V03 BOOSTER READY FOR S/C NO. 2
150176X10 SUBMIT S/C COUNTDOWN INPUT TO COUNTDOWN DOCUMENT - S/C NO. 2
010276X03 S/C NO. 2 INSERTION - START MISSION OPERATIONS
020476V10 RELEASE PRELIMINARY PAYLOAD MISSION REQMNTS - S/C NO. 3
030576R10 RECEIVE PRELIMINARY TRAJECTORY DATA - S/C NO. 3
010676Y03 SECOND MISSION SUPPORT COMPLETE
250676R06 SUBMIT FINAL TRAJECTORY ROMAN TWO* FOR APPROVAL - S/C NO. 4
020876X10 INPUT WIRING UMBILICAL REQUIREMENTS - S/C NO. 3
060876G02 FINALIZE AND APPROVE SPACECRAFT NO. 3 MISSION DIRECTIVE
130876N08 VMSC GROUND STATION AVAILABLE FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 3
060976N02 GND SYS/FAC CHECKOUT COMPLETE AT VMSC FOR SYS TEST S/C NO. 3
060976V06 FINAL MISSION MOTOR DATA SUBMITTED S/C NO. 3
170976M10 STRUCTURE SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 3
240976M07 ELECTRICAL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 3
081076R02 MISSION ROMAN TWO TRAJECTORY APPROVAL - S/C NO. 3
111076M09 INSTRUMENTATION/RF SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 3
181076M08 THERMAL CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 3
011176X14 INPUT TO AGE INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 3
051176M06 STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 3
241176X06 INPUT TO PAYLOAD INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 3
191276S02 SPACECRAFT SYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 3
271276Q06 SIMULATED EXPERIMENT PACK AVAIL FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 3 - FIELD
271276W02 LAUNCH/MISSION GROUND SYSTEMS READY TO SUPPORT S/C NO. 3
040177T02 FLIGHT EXPERIMENT PACK READY FOR INSERTION IN S/C NO. 3
140177V02 BOOSTER READY FOR S/C NO. 3
150177X16 SUBMIT S/C COUNTDOWN INPUT TO COUNTDOWN DOCUMENT - S/C NO. 3
010277X02 S/C NO. 3 INSERTION - START MISSION OPERATIONS.
010477V09 RELEASE PRELIMINARY PAYLOAD MISSION REQMNTS - S/C NO. 4
020577R09 RECEIVE PRELIMINARY TRAJECTORY DATA - S/C NO. 4
040677Y02 THIRD MISSION SUPPORT COMPLETE
240677R05 SUBMIT FINAL TRAJECTORY ROMAN TWO* FOR APPROVAL - S/C NO. 4
010877X09 INPUT WIRING UMBILICAL REQUIREMENTS - S/C NO. 4
050877G01 FINALIZE AND APPROVE SPACECRAFT NO. 4 MISSION DIRECTIVE
190877N07 VMSC GROUND STATION AVAILABLE FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 4
060977N01 GND SYS/FAC CHECKOUT COMPLETE AT VMSC FOR SYS TEST S/C NO. 4
050977V05 FINAL MISSION MOTOR DATA SUBMITTED S/C NO. 4
160977M05 STRUCTURE SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 4
230977M02 ELECTRICAL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 4
071077R01 MISSION ROMAN TWO TRAJECTORY APPROVAL - S/C NO. 4
171077M04 INSTRUMENTATION/RF SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 4
241077M03 THERMAL CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 4
031177X13 INPUT TO AGE INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 4
041177M01 STAB/ATTITUDE CONTROL SUBSYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 4
221177X05 INPUT TO PAYLOAD INTERFACE DRAWING SUBMITTED - S/C NO. 4
171277S01 SPACECRAFT SYSTEM ACCEPTANCE - S/C NO. 4
261277Q05 SIMULATED EXPERIMENT PACK AVAIL FOR CHECKOUT OF S/C NO. 4 - FIELD
271277W01 LAUNCH/MISSION GROUND SYSTEMS READY TO SUPPORT S/C NO. 4
050178T01 FLIGHT EXPERIMENT PACK READY FOR INSERTION IN S/C NO. 4
130178V01 BOOSTER READY FOR S/C NO. 4
150178X17 SUBMIT S/C COUNTDOWN INPUT TO COUNTDOWN DOCUMENT - S/C NO. 4
010278X01 S/C NO. 4 INSERTION - START MISSION OPERATIONS
010678Y01 FOURTH MISSION SUPPORT COMPLETE.
290978Z00 PROGRAM COMPLETE
SUMMARY

(To be used in preparation of Library-Card Abstract)

Preliminary designs of the Bioexplorer spacecraft, developed in an earlier study program, are analyzed and updated to conform to a new specification which includes use of both the Scout and the Space Shuttle Vehicle for launch. The new spacecraft design, referred to as Bioresearch Module, is capable of supporting a variety of small biological experiments in near-earth and highly elliptical earth orbits. Inboard profile drawings, weight statements, interface drawings, and equipment lists are provided to document the design. Considerable study is devoted to use of the Space Shuttle Vehicle for launch and retrieval. It is shown that the Bioresearch Module spacecraft is compatible with both Scout and the Space Shuttle Vehicle, but that the latter requires additional on-board hardware for launch support. A development plan is included to provide data for planning subsequent phases of the Bioresearch Module program.