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ABSTRACT

Orbiting Astronomical Observatory data between 2000 Å and 3600 Å, obtained by the Wisconsin Experimental Package objective grating scanning spectrometer, are used to set upper limits to the abundances of many minor constituents in the atmospheres of Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, and Venus.

I. INTRODUCTION

Because of the large absorption cross-section of electronic transitions, ultraviolet spectroscopy has considerable appeal for the study of planetary atmospheres, providing an opportunity to search for trace constituents with abundances of one ppm or less. On the other hand, because of the increase of Rayleigh scattering towards short wavelengths, the effective penetration of ultraviolet photons into the planetary atmosphere may not be very deep, resulting in ultraviolet sampling of only the outer parts of the planetary atmospheres. In the present paper we present the results of a search for such trace constituents in the atmospheres of Mars, Jupiter, Saturn and Venus from observations carried out for this purpose by the Wisconsin Experimental Package (WEP) of the Orbiting Astronomical Observatory OAO-2.

The data were obtained with an objective grating scanning spectrometer, providing usable data between 2000 and 3600 Å.
A description of the instrumentation has been published by Code et al. (1970). A treatment of the planetary observations and their reduction, used in the following analysis, has been presented and discussed in general terms by Wallace, Caldwell and Savage (1972). Our analysis takes their results and derives specific upper limits on atmospheric constituents and some further interpretation of the observations in terms of simple atmosphere models, a division of labor agreed upon during our association as guest investigators on OAO/WEP. The albedo curves are reproduced here as Figures 1 and 2 for Mars at two different phase angles; Figure 3 for Jupiter; Figure 4 for Saturn (this is a brightness ratio of Saturn to the Sun rather than a geometric albedo); and Figure 5 for Venus. The reliability of this observational material is discussed in the above-cited article. The resolution achieved by the WEP scanner between 2000 and 3500 Å was slightly better than 25 Å. Wallace et al. (1972) describe the necessary modifications of this resolution required in cases where there were gaps in the data resulting from non-overlapping scans. Most of the gases in which we are interested exhibit continuous rather than discrete absorptions, in which case such refinements are unnecessary. We assume throughout that a 20% deviation from the continuum would be readily detectable ($\tau \approx 0.1$). Accordingly any gas producing an absorption with a total equivalent width $\sim 3$ Å should be easily detectable at these wavelengths. Under these assumptions, abundance upper limits are readily derived with an absorption model and a systematic culling of the literature on absorption cross-sections. In all cases we have adopted a simple reflecting layer formalism. We feel that greater sophistication is unjustified and unnecessary at present (Regas and Sagan 1970a, 1970b; Sagan and Regas 1970).

II. MARS

In Figures 1 and 2 are displayed the OAO data on the Martian geometric albedo as a function of wavelength for two phase angles, with representative probable errors also shown. The spectra seem to be in good agreement with the ground-based photometry of Irvine, Higdon and Ehrlich (1971). The results of two measurements made by the WEP broad band photometers are also indicated. While there is a bare hint of structure in the 3200 to 3600 Å region, there is no convincing evidence of absorption anywhere in the spectrum. The data are consistent with a pure Rayleigh atmosphere of carbon dioxide at a surface pressure of about 5.5 mb — or somewhat lower pressures if there is a significant aerosol component to the scattering. However, the Rayleigh component alone does not provide useful compositional information. An earlier indication (Wallace 1970) of a 2600 Å OAO absorption feature, ascribed to ozone,
Figure 1.—Geometric albedo of Mars as a function of wavelength, at phase angle 26.8°, as determined by the Wisconsin Experimental Package of OAO-2. The large increase in reflectivity to short wavelengths is consistent with Rayleigh scattering by a CO₂ atmosphere of 5.5 mb surface pressure. Observational details of this and the following figures are discussed by Wallace, Caldwell & Savage (1972).

has disappeared in the present photometric reduction. Since the OAO observations are made of the integrated planetary disc the present observations neither confirm nor deny the report by Barth and Hord (1971) that ozone absorption is present preferentially over the south polar cap.

Upper limits in cm-atm to the abundances of a number of gases of interest are shown in Table 1. Our OAO upper limits,
based on a model atmosphere composed entirely of 80 m-atm of CO₂, are there compared with limits set by other techniques. The last column is our best estimate on abundance upper limits from all methods. We stress that values we report here are very conservative, corresponding to a 20% deviation from continuum reflectivities. For some materials such as H₂S the ultraviolet upper limits are much below infrared upper limits because of the large ultraviolet absorption coefficient. In other cases, for example HCl and especially O₂, our ultraviolet wavelength range does not extend to short enough wavelengths, and infrared results are more sensitive.

As in the case of the Earth, the low upper limits on such
Table 1. Upper Limits on Minor Constituents in the Martian Atmosphere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>OAO</th>
<th>Other Methods</th>
<th>Best Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>O₃</strong></td>
<td>2 x 10⁻⁶ cm-atm</td>
<td>3 x 10⁻³ cm-atm</td>
<td>(1) 0.025 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O₂</strong></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(3) 2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C₃O₂ monomer</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>3 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(1) 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COS</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>4 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(1) 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SO₂</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>4 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(1) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H₂S</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(1) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(1) 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO₂</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>2 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(1) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N₂O₄</strong></td>
<td>3 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>4 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(4) 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N₂O</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>2 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(1) 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NH₃</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>3 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(1) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCN</strong></td>
<td>4 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>(1) 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH₄</strong></td>
<td>3 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(1) 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C₂H₂</strong></td>
<td>4 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>(1) 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C₂H₄, C₂H₆</strong></td>
<td>4 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(1) 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene and its derivatives</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>4 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(1) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCHO</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(4) 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH₃CHO and higher aldehydes</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>7 x 10⁻²</td>
<td>(4) 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(C₂H₅)₂S₂</strong> etc.</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CH₃COCH₃ and higher ketones</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purines, pyrimidines and their derivatives</strong></td>
<td>3 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCl</strong></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻¹</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(1) 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upper limits for other gases, largely halides, are set in Ref. (1).
potential volcanic effluents as H$_2$S and SO$_2$ might be particularly interesting in view of the extent of geologically recent volcanic activity reported by Mariner 9 (Masursky et al. 1972). However, the rate of ultraviolet photodissociation of H$_2$S and SO$_2$ on Mars is such that these results place no useful limits on the possibility of a few sites of contemporary volcanism on the planet. The Mariner 9 ultraviolet spectrometer (Barth et al. 1972), which is able to obtain comparable ultraviolet spectra, but in the vicinity of the observed calderas, may be able to shed more light on the problem. Somewhat more stringent upper limits than shown in Table 1 on the abundance of oxides of nitrogen on Mars can be set from the photochemistry (Sagan, Hanst and Young 1965).

The upper limits on formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and other aldehydes are of some interest. Such materials were first suspected on Mars from infrared spectroscopy in the 3.5 μ region (Sinton 1957, 1961; Colthup 1961), but subsequent investigations show that the reported absorption features are almost certainly spurious (Rea, O'Leary and Sinton 1965; Beer, Norton and Martonchik 1971). However, Hubbard, Hardy and Horowitz (1971) have found formaldehyde and acetaldehyde to be among the products synthesized by long wavelength ultraviolet irradiation of simulated Martian atmospheres above a silicate substratum. From the results reported by Hubbard et al. (also Hubbard 1971, private communication), a quantum yield of several times 10$^{-6}$ results. The ultraviolet photon flux at Mars, capable of synthesizing aldehydes in this way, is very roughly 5 × 10$^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$, implying a production rate ~10$^{10}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$. The photolytic photon flux is approximately the same with an absorption cross-section for photolysis of the order of 3 × 10$^{-20}$ cm$^2$. The mean time to photolysis of an aldehyde molecule is then ~10$^8$ sec, or a few hours. The expected steady state concentration is therefore ~10$^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, several orders of magnitude below the OAO limit exhibited in Table 1. With even the OAO upper limit on aldehyde abundance, the ultraviolet optical depth of these molecules is less than 0.1, implying no self protection against ultraviolet photolysis. The short aldehyde lifetime in the Martian solar ultraviolet radiation flux implies that,
like H$_2$S, it cannot be found very far from its site of produc-
tion. The quantum yields, while large by ordinary photochemi-
cal standards, are still so small that there does not appear
to be any prospect for an immediate ultraviolet test of the
production of aldehydes on Mars by the mechanism of Hubbard et
al. (1971). However, the possibility of large quantities of
aldehydes in the Martian surface material does seem to be ex-
cluded: each time a molecule leaves the surface it is photo-
lyzed, producing a situation not unlike evaporation into a
vacuum. We have also listed in Table 1 abundance upper limits
on some other organic molecules, such as alkyl sulfides and
acetone, materials much less likely than aldehydes on a priori
ground to be present on Mars.

Our upper limits on the abundances of the carbon suboxide
monomer permits us to comment on the suggestion by Plummer and
Carson (1969) that the carbon suboxide polymer (C$_3$O$_2$)$_n$ may be
a principal coloring material of the Martian surface. The
monomer vapor has been studied in the ultraviolet by Bell et
al. (1966), who found that an effective path of the order of
0.25 cm-atm led to detectable absorption at 2600-2700 Å. The
results of Smith et al. (1963) suggest ~0.4 cm-atm. The ab-
sence of this feature in our OAO data suggests an upper limit
of 30 ppm for the monomer. A more sensitive upper limit of
200 μ-atm (2.5 ppm with our model) has been set by Beer et al.
(1971) from their infrared interferometry and of 30 μ-atm by
Horn et al. (1972) from the Mariner 1969 infrared spectrome-
ter. This independent confirmation of the low upper limit on
the abundance of the monomer unfortunately has little direct
bearing on the fundamental question of whether the polymer is
present in large abundance on the Martian surface. The mole-
cular structure of the polymer is not a simple repetition of
the monomer. Accordingly the vapor pressure of monomer above
polymer should be very low and may follow a temperature depen-
dence different from the usual reciprocal exponential law.

From our literature survey, no definite detection of the mono-
mer above the polymer could be found, but recent experiments
place an upper limit to the C$_3$O$_2$ mixing ratio above ultravio-
et-irradiated polymer under Martian conditions at ~10$^{-5}$
(Khare, Khare and Sagan 1972), or ~10$^{-4}$ mb. The upper limits
on the monomer abundance found by Beer et al. (1971) corre-
spond to a partial pressure ~2 × 10$^{-5}$ mb, and by Horn et al.
(1972) ~3 × 10$^{-6}$ mb. We must conclude that the vapor pressure
above the polymer on Mars will be below the observational
limits given. Accordingly spectroscopic searches for the
monomer are poor indices of the existence of the polymer.
Such tests also must take account of the ultraviolet photoly-
sis of the monomer, following arguments similar to those pre-
sented above for the aldehydes. The residual reflection
spectrum of the planet in the ultraviolet, after the contribu-
tion of the atmosphere is removed, could also be used to pro-
vide information on surface materials. However, many samples
of \((C_3O_2)_n\) do not show the characteristic 2600 Å absorption
feature of the monomer, and the ultraviolet reflectivity of
the polymer appears to be strongly dependent on its mode of
formation (Smith et al. 1963, Khare et al. 1972). The absence
of an absorption feature in these wavelength regions is not a
convincing demonstration of the absence of carbon suboxide
polymer on the surface. The monomer absorption at 2600 Å
mimics moderately closely the absorption in the same wave
length region of ozone; accordingly it is barely possible that
the results of Barth and Hord (1971) apply to \(C_3O_2\), and not to
\(O_3\).

Another gas of some interest is HCl. Here again (Table 1),
our data complement those of Beer et al. (1971) who find an
equivalent upper limit of 0.1 ppm, an order of magnitude more
sensitive than our results. These limits are of significance
because HCl has been detected on Venus (Connes et al. 1967)
where the mixing ratio is quoted as 0.6 ppm. Thus the present
detection threshold for this gas in the Martian atmosphere is
comparable to the abundance which is identified in the atmos-
phere of Venus, especially because of the chance that substan-
tial quantities of \(CO_2\) reside in the north polar cap of Mars.
The Martian atmosphere may be deficient in HCl relative to
Venus. However, because of the possibility of the preferen-
tial trapping of HCl and its compounds both as condensates and
as clathrates in the Martian polar caps, and as chlorides in
the epilith, such a discrepancy cannot yet be considered
established. Since both atmospheres were presumably formed
by an outgassing process similar to that which occurred on
Earth, real differences in composition provide clues to dif-
ferential planetary atmospheric evolution. For this reason
it seems especially worthwhile to attempt an improvement in
the HCl detectivity.

III. JUPITER

The OAO Jupiter spectrum is displayed in Figure 3 where
the spectrophotometric and broad band photometric results are
compared with the ground-based spectroscopy of Younkin and
Münch (1963), and the ground-based photometry of Hopkins and
Irvine (1971). The decline from long to short visible wave-
lengths, corresponding to the generally reddish or yellowish
coloration of Jupiter, is reversed at about 3000 Å. The spec-
trum exhibits a slight rise to about 2500 Å and a falloff
towards shorter wavelengths. The general decline from long
visible wavelengths to 3000 Å is due to chromophores, probably
not in the gas phase, whose identification is still under dis-
cussion (see, e.g., Sagan 1971a). The general rise from 3000
to 2500 Å is consistent with Rayleigh scattering by ~5 km-atm of H₂. The decline shortward of 2500 Å is consistent with absorption by ~50 μ-atm of NH₃. But these quantitative estimates must be regarded as quite uncertain, and even such qualitative attributions as the decline at short wavelengths to absorption by ammonia must be regarded as conjectural. What seems quite well established, however, is that the solar radiation reflected in the near ultraviolet from Jupiter arises from a much higher atmospheric level than the radiation observed at long visible and infrared wavelengths, a conclusion in agreement with previous interpretations of such spec-
tra (see, e.g., Greenspan and Owen 1967; Anderson et al. 1969). Vapor pressure limitations furnish our principal reason for attributing the 2100 Å absorption feature to NH$_3$, other than the fact that we know this gas to exist on Jupiter. We still lack the spectral resolution to distinguish NH$_3$ from H$_2$S absorption at these wavelengths, and we cannot be completely certain of the relative importance of solid and gaseous NH$_3$ in causing the observed absorption, the solid being especially likely to contribute at the shorter wavelengths (Dressler and Schnepf 1960).

A conceivable alternative interpretation of this spectrum is that, superposed on a broad general decline in reflectivity from visible to ultraviolet wavelengths, is a broad absorption feature centered at 2900 Å. In this interpretation we look so high in the atmosphere in the ultraviolet that the Rayleigh scattering contribution is dominated by the broad absorption feature. But this model runs into serious difficulties precisely because at such high altitudes and therefore low temperatures there are no likely candidate absorbers to produce the broad absorption feature. The most successful category of such absorbers would be the aldehydes; roughly 1 mm-atm of formaldehyde, plus the same quantity of acetaldehyde, could produce a broad absorption feature of this sort, but the vapor pressure and ultraviolet photolysis time scale, as presented above for Mars, as well as the absence of the aldehyde precursor molecule, water, at these altitudes, makes this hypothesis quite unlikely. Accordingly, we opt for an explanation in terms of Rayleigh scattering and short wavelength absorption.

Except for the short wavelength decline, here attributed to ammonia, no other absorption features are in evidence. In Table 2 are compared the OAO ultraviolet upper limits on constituents, relative to 5 km-atm of H$_2$, with ground-based infrared upper limits on a number of molecules, based on the work of Owen (1969) and Cruikshank and Binder (1969), as related to 80 km-atm of H$_2$ by Sagan (1971a). The differences in ammonia mixing ratios, like the differences in H$_2$ abundances, reflect the smaller depth of penetration of ultraviolet compared to infrared photons into the Jovian atmosphere. Alkyl sulfides are produced in simulated Jovian environments if initial H$_2$S is present (Sagan and Khare 1971). The upper limits for benzene and its derivatives derived here are of the same order as the abundance of benzene predicted under conditions of quenched thermodynamic equilibrium in the Jovian atmosphere (Lippincott et al. 1967). Since the molecules are expected to be produced under non-equilibrium conditions, it is not implausible that they should be secularly variable with abundances hovering around $10^{-8}$ – $10^{-9}$. A similar remark probably applies to purines and pyrimidines. Because many of these constituents are readily photolyzed in the ultraviolet
we would not expect to see them in significant abundance at these high altitudes. In addition, simple atmospheric models suggest temperatures on the order of 115°K or less at the base of the atmospheric region probed in these ultraviolet wavelengths. Such low temperatures place serious constraints on the presence of vapor phase complex molecular constituents. Studies of the constituents expected at thermodynamic equilibrium (Greenspan and Owen 1966; Lippincott et al. 1967; Lewis 1969) show no molecules other than hydrogen, helium, methane and ammonia expected in this region of the atmosphere. Likely non-equilibrium products, such as simple hydrocarbons and nitriles (Sagan and Miller 1960; Lippincott et al. 1967; Woeller and Ponnambalam 1969; Sagan and Khare 1971) do not absorb at these ultraviolet wavelengths. When water vapor is introduced into such experiments, aldehydes are produced (Sagan and Miller 1960; Sagan and Khare 1971), but no water vapor is expected at the altitudes probed at these ultraviolet frequencies. The upper limits on aldehyde abundances ~several ppb are consistent with these expectations.

The best hope of more useful ultraviolet spectroscopic determinations of minor constituents in the Jovian atmosphere is from a flyby or orbiter ultraviolet spectrometer able to resolve the disc of Jupiter. The non-uniform distribution of optical frequency chromophores across the Jovian disc should continue to be characteristic of the planet in the ultraviolet. A variety of evidence suggests that the disturbance re-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>OAO UV</th>
<th>Ground-Based IR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>~6 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>1.2 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>6 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>&lt; 6 x 10⁻⁹</td>
<td>&lt; 3 x 10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₂</td>
<td>&lt; 5 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>&lt; 3 x 10⁻⁵</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>&lt; 6 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CHO and higher aldehydes</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C₂H₅)₂S₂, etc.</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃COCH₃ and higher ketones</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene and its derivatives</td>
<td>&lt; 5 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purines, pyrimidines and their derivatives</td>
<td>&lt; 2 x 10⁻¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>&lt; 6 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
responsible for the Great Red Spot propagates very high into
the Jovian atmosphere and that the chromophores responsible
for its characteristic coloration may be located at least in
part at high altitudes (Sagan 1962; Owen and Mason 1969; Sagan
1971b). It would be most interesting to determine whether
characteristic ultraviolet absorption features are associated
with the GRS, but a firm test of this hypothesis requires good
spatial resolution. It is a remarkable curiosity that none of
the ultraviolet spectra of the integrated disc of Jupiter to
date have been obtained when the GRS is near the planet's cen-
tral meridian. Our best hope in detecting disequilibrium pro-
ducts and simple organic molecules at the low temperatures
which apply to the ultraviolet is when they are entrained in
solid particles — presumably ammonia — circulating up from
greater depth. The fact that we do not see a very large in-
crease in reflectivity towards shorter wavelengths, as expect-
ed from the near infrared abundances of hydrogen, indicates
that the ultraviolet reflectivity is indeed dominated by such
cloud particles. It is exactly such a situation which may be
responsible for the color of the GRS.

The absence of absorption features other than the general
decline short of 2500 Å is consistent with other recent data
(Kondo 1971; Anderson et al. 1969), and inconsistent with the
eyear reports of a discrete absorption feature near 2600 Å in
rocket spectra by Stecher (1965) and by Evans (1965). This
absorption is of particular interest because the two categor-
ies of molecules proposed to explain it — benzene and its
derivatives (Greenspan and Owen 1966; Owen and Greenspan 1968)
and purines and pyrimidines and their derivatives (Sagan 1968)
— are organic molecules whose presence in the Jovian atmos-
phere would have important implications. The difference in
results of the 1965 work from that of more recent workers may
be due to problems with the solar spectral calibrations em-
ployed, but it is at least barely possible that all observa-
tions are valid and that the feature is a true secular
variable (Sagan 1971b).

IV. SATURN

Here again a significant degradation of the data occurs be-
cause of the limited angular resolution of the OAO spectrome-
ters. For Saturn we are forced to observe the rings and the
disc together. Because of the difficulty in separating these
two components we do not learn much about either. This is a
special pity since we know that the Equatorial Belt darkens in
the near ultraviolet, and limb brightening along the belt also
occurs at these wavelengths (Marin 1968). Furthermore, exten-
sion of observations of the rings to shorter wavelengths might
help to better define the composition of its constituent par-
articles. We already have evidence that at least two components (of which water ice is certainly one) are present (Lebofsky et al. 1970).

Unresolved ultraviolet observations of Saturn have been reported by Bless et al. (1968). Three filters were used to isolate 300 Å bandpasses centered at 2800, 2500 and 2100 Å. If the rings are black in the ultraviolet, an upper limit of 25 km-atm for the H$_2$ abundance in the planetary atmosphere was obtained. The present OAO data are consistent with this interpretation, and the general pattern of the Saturnian ultraviolet spectrum is very reminiscent of that of Jupiter. There is some hint of absorption features at 3000, 3200 and 3400 Å, but we do not believe the reliability of the data warrants any attempt to search for candidate absorbers. If we accept 25 km-atm of H$_2$, the resulting upper limits are those listed in Table 2 for Jupiter, but with upper limits on mixing ratios reduced by a factor of 5.

The increase of reflectivity short of about 3600 Å is very likely due to Rayleigh scattering; a similar feature appears in the ground-based spectrometry of Younkin and Münch (1963), where the contribution from the ring was very small. The alternative explanation in terms of increased ultraviolet reflectivity by the rings towards short wavelengths seems unlikely, in view of the results of Lebofsky et al. (1970) which indicate a sharp decrease in reflectivity towards the blue and the ultraviolet. While the error bars are large, there does seem to be a marginally significant decrease in reflectivity below 2500 Å. By analogy with Jupiter, we might again anticipate the presence of ammonia absorption. While equivocal results have been obtained, the best recent evidence suggests that ammonia is not detectable on Saturn in the near infrared (Owen 1965). The near infrared upper limit would be ~1-2 m-atm. The amount of ammonia required to account for our ultraviolet absorption is extremely small — 10 to 20 μ-atm, an amount not inconsistent with the vapor pressure curve of ammonia if the relevant regions of the upper atmosphere of Saturn are not below 100°K. In the lower atmosphere of Saturn, ammonia is expected on cosmic abundance grounds, and indeed the microwave spectrum gives a rather clear indication of ammonia absorption (Gulkis et al. 1960; Wrixon and Welch 1970). Uniform mixing ratios ~10$^{-4}$ NH$_3$ in the lower atmosphere, implied by the microwave data, would also be consistent with abundances of a few tens of μ-atm of ammonia in the upper atmosphere under the temperature boundary conditions mentioned above.

Concerning the ring spectrum, pure ammonia ice is, from observations in the 1 to 2.5 μ region (Pilcher et al. 1970), not a significant constituent of the ring particles. Water ice does not significantly absorb in the 2000 to 2500 Å region
Figure 4.—Absolute brightness ratio of disc plus rings of Saturn as a function of wavelength. The increase in reflectivity shortward of 3500 Å is consistent with Rayleigh scattering by several tens of km-atm of H₂; the decline shortward of 2500 Å is consistent with absorption by a few tens of μ-atm of ammonia. (Dressler and Schnepp 1960). Other conceivable components of the ring such as NH₄OH might absorb in this region, but the atmosphere will dominate the ring spectrum below 2500 Å; accordingly, ring absorption features should have little effect on the overall spectrum. Separate ultraviolet spectra of the disc and rings — as, for example, obtained by a Saturn flyby — would be of considerable interest.
MINOR CONSTITUENTS IN PLANETARY ATMOSPHERES

V. VENUS

Of the OAO data presented in the present paper those for Venus are most uncertain. They have been presented as geometric albedo vs. wavelength in Figure 5 where they have been made to join smoothly to the ground-based photometric results of Irvine (1968). The general character of the spectrum is again similar to that of Jupiter and Saturn. The general decline from long visible wavelengths to 3000 Å is a quantita-

![Image of albedo vs. wavelength graph for Venus](image)

Figure 5.—Geometric albedo of Venus as a function of wavelength at phase angle 103°. The increase in reflectivity short of 3000 Å is consistent with ~1 km-atm of CO₂ Rayleigh scattering. The decline in reflectivity shortward of 2500 Å is due to an as yet unidentified absorber.
tive indication of the pale lemon yellow color of Venus. The nature of the chromophores — probably in the Venus clouds — responsible for this absorption remains in dispute. The most natural explanation of the increase in reflectivity between 3000 and 2500 Å is Rayleigh scattering by atmospheric carbon dioxide. Very roughly several km-atm of CO$_2$ would be required — the correct order of magnitude of the effective amount above the visible clouds. In this interpretation, an additional absorber, operating at wavelengths shortward of 2500 Å would be required.

In the case of Jupiter, we have discussed an alternative explanation, namely, that, in the ultraviolet spectrum, we are looking sufficiently high that no strong Rayleigh component remains. A similar alternative exists for Venus, where we would be observing a broad absorption feature centered at about 2950 Å. Sulfur dioxide has an absorption spectrum of this sort; $\sim5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm-atm would produce a dip of approximately the observed extent. In some models of atmospheric chemistry decoupled from gas-mineral equilibria (Lippincott et al. 1967), SO$_2$ is expected to be the principal sulfur-containing molecule. But again because of the ultraviolet lability of this molecule (and vapor pressure problems?) we believe the Rayleigh scattering interpretation is more likely to be correct.

In this case upper abundance limits on a number of constituents can be set from the OAO scanner data and are displayed in Table 3. As in the case of Mars, the low upper limits found on the monomer of carbon suboxide and on the aldehydes may again have little to do with the possible presence of carbon suboxide polymer or paraformaldehyde, this time as significant constituents of the Venus clouds. It is of interest that the upper limit for the HCl abundance set by OAO is of the same order as the abundance detected by Connes et al. (1967) in the near infrared. However, again because of the vapor pressure and ultraviolet photolysis arguments, it is likely that the HCl mixing ratio as observed in the ultraviolet will be less than that observed in the infrared.

The short wavelength decline in geometric albedo begins in the OAO spectra several hundred Å longward of that implied by the rocket ultraviolet spectrum of Anderson et al. (1969). But whether the difference is due to the 27° difference in phase angles between the two observations, to a secular variation in cloud reflectivity, or to undiagnosed observational errors is not known at the present time. Observations at an intermediate phase angle by Jenkins et al. (1969) also show the cut-off at shorter wavelengths, thereby weakening the first alternative. Anderson et al. attribute their short wavelength absorption edge to CO$_2$ and HCl. If the OAO data are accurate, CO$_2$ cannot be responsible for an absorption beginning at 2500 Å although it can play a role in diminishing
Table 3. Upper Limits on Minor Constituents in the Cytherean Atmosphere (OAO Estimates Based on ~1 km-atm CO₂)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>OAO Upper Limit</th>
<th>Previous Estimates (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>0.003 ppm</td>
<td>0.03 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃O₂</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O₄</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CHO and higher aldehydes</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃COCH₃ and higher ketones</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Tabulated by Anderson et al. (1969)  
Further upper abundance limits are tabulated by Marov (1972).

the reflectivity at the shortest wavelengths we observe. Possible time-variable contributors to the short wave cut-off include Cl₂ and HOC1, complexes suggested by Lewis (1972) as likely constituents in an HCl-H₂O cloud system. Further integrated-disc ultraviolet spectroscopy of Venus would clearly be a great help in checking these points.

We are grateful to A. D. Code, L. V. Wallace and B. D. Savage for assistance in interpretation of the OAO instrumentation, calibration, and data reliability when we were Guest Investigators on the Wisconsin Experimental Package of OAO. We thank Brian O'Leary for his efforts in the earliest stages of our participation in this mission, Dennis Ward and Thomas Scattergood for useful literature searches of ultraviolet absorption coefficients, and Charles Barth for a constructive reading of the manuscript. This research was supported in part by NASA Grant NAS 1-9683.
REFERENCES


Kondo, Y. 1971, Icarus 14, 269.


Marin, M. 1968, J. des Obs. 51, 179.

