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In the auroral zone, VLF hiss observation is a common feature of magnetic substorms. Quite a few years ago Buneman (1963) and Farley (1963) had found that long wavelength electrostatic waves are unstable in the E region of the ionosphere when the relative drift between the ions and electrons exceeds the ion thermal speed.

To explore whether or not this Hall current electrojet instability might also generate hiss at VLF frequencies, we have extended their work to shorter wavelengths and higher frequencies. For shorter wavelength modes, electron Debye length corrections can no longer be neglected and the dispersion relation therefore becomes density dependent.

We have assumed the following parameters:

\( B = 0.5 \text{ Gauss} \), \( \Omega_e = 8.8 \times 10^6 \text{ SEC}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_i = 1.6 \times 10^2 \text{ SEC}^{-1} \) for \( \text{NO}^+ \),
\( T = 230^\circ \text{K} \), \( \gamma_{en} = 1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ SEC}^{-1} \), \( \gamma_{en} = 2.6 \times 10^4 \text{ SEC}^{-1} \)

We have chosen the magnetic field to be 0.5 gauss so that our calculation will be applicable to the auroral region. \( \Omega_e \) and \( \Omega_i \) are the electron and ion cyclotron frequencies respectively. \( \text{NO}^+ \) has been assumed to be the only ion species. We have used Farley's temperature and collision frequencies to facilitate comparison with his calculation. We realize this temperature is low for the auroral zone. We have made some calculations with \( T = 460^\circ \text{K} \) and have found no significant changes in the results.
The basic equations are the kinetic equations with particle
conserving Krook collision operator for electrons and ions

$$\frac{\partial f^+}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} f^+ + \frac{e}{m^+} (E + \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}{c}) \cdot \nabla f^+ = - \frac{N^+(t, \mathbf{r})}{N_0} f^+$$

$$\frac{\partial f^-}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} f^- - \frac{e}{m^-} (E + \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}}{c}) \cdot \nabla f^- = - \frac{N^-(t, \mathbf{r})}{N_0} f^-$$

and Poisson's equation

$$\nabla \mathbf{E} = \frac{4 \pi e}{\varepsilon_0} \int (f^+ - f^-) \, d^3 \mathbf{v}$$

The linearized kinetic equation can be fourier transformed and the
perturbed distribution function can be solved by orbit integration
(Stix, 1962; Rosenbluth, 1965). In this calculation there is an
applied electric field leading to CE/B drift in the orbits. The
perturbed distributions can then be substituted into Poisson's
equation to get a dispersion relation

$$0 = \kappa^2 \lambda_D^2 + \frac{1 + \omega^*}{\omega} \frac{Z(\omega)}{\kappa a_i} + \frac{i \omega}{\kappa a_i} \frac{Z(\omega)}{\kappa a_i} + i \frac{\omega}{\kappa a_i} \frac{Z(\omega)}{\kappa a_i}$$

$$\omega^* = \omega + i \nu_{\text{IN}}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = e^{\mu_e} \Gamma_e(\mu_e) ; \quad \mu_e = \frac{\kappa^2 \omega_D^2}{2 \sigma_e} ; \quad \lambda_D^2 = \frac{4 \pi \epsilon_0}{\kappa a_i}$$

$$Z(S) = i \int_0^\infty e^{i \omega t - \frac{\omega^2}{2}} \, dt$$

$$-\omega_E = \frac{e B_0}{c m^-} ; \quad \omega_i = \frac{e B_0}{c m^+}$$
Since \( \nu^2 \gg \nu_D \) the orbit integration for the ions reduces those for straight line orbits and the ion contribution to the dispersion relation is independent of the magnetic field. If we assume \( K_H = 0 \) and \( \tilde{\omega} \ll \nu_E \) the dispersion relation reduces to

\[
0 = K^2 \lambda_D^2 + \frac{1 + \frac{\omega^*}{K \xi (\nu E)} \left( \frac{\omega^*}{K \xi} \right)}{1 + \frac{V_{\text{in}}}{K \xi} \left( \frac{\omega^*}{K \xi} \right)} \left( 1 - \Gamma_0 \right) \left( \omega - K \cdot V_d + i \nu_E \right) \frac{\omega - K \cdot V_d + i \left( 1 - \Gamma_0 \right) \nu_E}{1 + \frac{V_{\text{in}}}{K \xi} \left( \frac{\omega^*}{K \xi} \right)}
\]

In the long wavelength approximation \( K^2 \lambda_D^2 \) can be neglected, \( 1 - \Gamma_0 \) becomes \( \mu_E \), and the dispersion relation reduces to Farley's for \( K_H = 0 \).

The roots of the dispersion relation have been solved numerically by contour integration. This is a standard application of well known consequences of Cauchy's residue theorem. We will not go into a discussion of the method here. For an illuminating discussion of the method, see McCune and Fried (1967).

Figures (1), (2), and (3) illustrate the results of our calculation. Figure (1) shows the change of growth rate with variation in electron density. (In the auroral zone the electron density can vary from quiet to disturbed times by a factor of more than a hundred.) The growth rate and oscillation frequency were normalized to the geometric mean gyrofrequency in our calculation but we have included a scale in kilohertz for convenience of interpretation. For small drifts, only long wavelength modes will be unstable. Therefore, we chose a large value of \( V_d \) in the direction of the propagation vector \( \mathbf{K}, K \cdot V_d / K \xi = 3 \), to study the growth rate's dependence on electron density. In the low frequency region the curves show that electron Debye length correction is unimportant, in agreement with Farley's assumption. However, as the electron density of the plasma is increased,
the range of frequency of unstable modes also increases. The most rapidly growing mode shifts to higher frequency with increasing density. There is then a density threshold for destabilizing higher frequency modes; for a value of electron density greater than $1 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, the range of unstable modes includes the geometric mean gyrofrequency. At this high density the geometric mean gyrofrequency is just the lower hybrid frequency. In general, the upper cutoffs of unstable frequencies lie near but above the density dependent lower hybrid frequency ($\frac{1}{\omega_{lh, e}} = \frac{1}{\omega_{lh, e}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{n, e}}$) at all densities.

Figure (2) illustrates the change in growth rate due to variation of the value of $V_d$ projected upon the propagation vector. The results of figure (1) indicates that to generate high frequency waves, a large electron density would be required. For this reason we have chosen $N_e = 3.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This is a reasonable value for the auroral electrojet during a magnetic substorm. The result of figure (2) indicates that for a factor 2 increase in drift speed, from $1.5A_i$ to $3.0A_i$ the range of unstable frequency increases rapidly to include frequencies above the geometric mean gyrofrequency, and the most rapidly growing mode shifts to near the geometric mean gyrofrequency.

In figure (3) we have cast our results in a form which may perhaps be useful for backscattering experiments. The dotted curves on the graph represent the normalized phase speed of unstable waves as a function of normalized wavelength for the two indicated values of $K \cdot V_d / KA_i$. When the wave stabilizes, the dotted curve ends. The phase speed has been defined as $W_R / KA_i$ where $W_R$ is the real part of the complex frequency. The solid curve on the graph is a plot of the critical $K \cdot V_d / KA_i$ for instability against wavelength. Drifts above
the solid curve are unstable. A scale representing the corresponding radar frequency for backscattering experiments has been added for convenience.

From a family of such dotted phase speed curves, a polar plot of angular distribution of phase speeds relative to the current direction can be obtained. The solid curve enables us to determine the angle relative to the current at which the wave stabilizes. In the upper left hand corner are two examples of such polar plots. The polar plots correspond to a relative drift of $3A_i$ between the electrons and ions in the horizontal direction. One feature of the polar plots is that the angular distribution of phase speed becomes more isotropic for higher frequency backscattering experiments. On the other hand, for lower frequency backscattering experiments, the cone of angles of unstable waves is larger. For the 300 MHz case, the phase speed varies by a factor of about two as the direction of propagation vector changes from a direction parallel to the drifting electrons to the extreme limit of the cone. This would then indicate that a 300 MHz radar pointing at different directions relative to the electron drift will observe different Doppler shifted frequencies. This prediction disagrees with observations of the equatorial electrojet (Bowles et al, 1963; Cohen and Bowles, 1967). This discrepancy between prediction and observation may be due to the effects of nonlinear saturation of the instability. Nevertheless, a constant Doppler shift in frequency cannot be predicted on the basis of linear calculation.

The instability mechanism of Buneman (1963) and Farley (1963) has been well received as a possible explanation for the observations.
of long wavelength backscattering in the equatorial electrojet. In addition, a significant number of auroral backscattering experiments have features which of all the proposed mechanisms can best be interpreted in terms of the Buneman-Farley instability (Moorcroft, 1966; and Forsyth, 1968). An interesting feature of the present calculations is that a small increase of a factor 2 in the electron drift shifts the most unstable wave from the long wavelength region, appropriate to most backscattering experiments, to short wavelengths and high frequencies near the geometric mean gyrofrequency. This fact immediately suggests that the high frequency component may have to be taken into account in calculations of the turbulent saturation of the Hall current instability driven beyond threshold.

Our calculations also indicate that, unless nonlinear effects prevent $V_d/A_I$ from approaching 3, VLF electrostatic hiss and short wavelength backscatter ought to accompany strong Hall currents and long wavelength backscatter. On the other hand, when $V_d/A_I$ is small, long wavelength backscatter could be observable without hiss. Thus hiss, backscatter, and electrojet correlation studies might prove interesting.

Finally, we note that Hall currents can generate waves in part of the frequency band appropriate to auroral VLF hiss, which, assuming appropriate conversion to electromagnetic waves, might be related to the apparent correlation of VLF hiss and local auroral electrojet activity (Harang and Larsen, 1965).
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Change in growth rate due to variation in electron density

For a fixed value of $K \cdot V_d / K A_1 = 3$, ($K$ the propagation vector, $V_d$ the relative drift velocity between electrons and ions, and $A_1$ the ion thermal speed), the growth rate $\gamma$ is plotted against oscillation frequency for four different values of electron density. $\gamma$ and $\omega_R$ are normalized to the geometric mean gyrofrequency. For a magnetic field of 0.5 gauss an upper scale in kiloHertz is shown for the oscillation frequency. For increasing density, the range of unstable frequencies increases to include frequencies above the geometric mean gyrofrequency.

Figure 2. Change in growth rate due to variation in $K \cdot V_d / K A_1$

For a fixed electron density $N_e = 3.5 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ the growth rate $\gamma$ is plotted against oscillation frequency $\omega_R$ for four different values of $K \cdot V_d / K A_1$. $\gamma$ and $\omega_R$ are normalized to $\sqrt{N_e N_i}$, the geometric mean gyrofrequency. $K$ is the propagation vector, $V_d$ is the relative drift velocity between electrons and ions, and $A_1$ is the ion thermal speed. For a magnetic field of 0.5 gauss, a corresponding scale in kiloHertz is shown for the oscillation frequency. The value of $\omega_R^* / \Omega_e \Omega_i = 15$ corresponds to the chosen value of $N_e$ and a magnetic field of 0.5 gauss. For an increase of a factor 2 in electron drift the range of unstable frequencies increases to include frequencies above the geometric mean gyrofrequency and the fastest growing mode shifts to high frequency, near the geometric mean gyrofrequency.
Figure 3. Phase speed and critical value of electron drift as a function of wavelength

For fixed temperature $T=230^\circ K$ and electron density $N_e=3.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ the dotted curves on the graph are plots of normalized phase speed $\frac{W_R}{KA_i}$ of unstable waves versus normalized wavelength for two different values of $K \cdot V_d/KA_i$. The dotted curve ends when the wave becomes stable. $W_R =$ real part of the complex frequency, $K =$ propagation vector, $V_d =$ relative drift velocity between electrons and ions, $A_i =$ ion thermal speed, $A_e =$ electron thermal speed, and $\nu_e =$ electron cyclotron frequency. A scale representing the corresponding radar frequency for backscattering experiment is also shown. Also on the same graph and using the same vertical scale is a plot of critical $K \cdot V_d/KA_i$ versus normalized wavelength. For various values of $K$, the drift velocities giving $K \cdot V_d/KA_i$ values greater than those of the solid curve, the corresponding waves become unstable. In the upper corner are polar plots of angular distribution of phase speed relative to the direction of electron drift for the two indicated radar frequencies. The length of the arrows represent the magnitudes of $\frac{W_R}{KA_i}$. The relative drift $V_d$ between electrons and ions in the horizontal direction has a magnitude of $3A_i$. 
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