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Section 1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

A methodology was developed to predict the relevant contributions of the more intangible cost elements encountered in the development of flight-qualified hardware based on an extrapolation of past hardware development experience. Major items of costs within water recovery systems were identified and related to physical and/or performance criteria. Cost and performance data from Gemini, Skylab, and other aerospace and biotechnology programs were analyzed to identify major cost elements required to establish cost estimating relationships for advanced water recovery systems. The results of the study are expected to assist NASA in long-range planning and allocation of resources in a cost effective manner in support of earth orbital programs. This report deals with the cost analysis of the five leading water reclamation systems, namely: 1) RITE waste management-water system, 2) reverse osmosis system, 3) multifiltration system, 4) vapor compression system, and 5) closed air evaporation system with electrolytic pretreatment.

The five leading water recovery systems were quantitatively evaluated. System characteristics, including process flows, performance and physical characteristics were also analyzed. Additionally, the status of development of each of the systems considered and the required advance technology efforts required to bring conceptual and/or pre-prototype hardware to an operational prototype status were defined. The equipment classifications used based on the degree of refinement were as follows: 1) working model, 2) low-fidelity prototype, 3) high-fidelity prototype, and 4) flight-qualified system.

The most cost effective development approach was discovered to be with the programs that initially used working models and subsequently low-fidelity prototypes to verify concept workability. The further continuation of the development of the best approaches in the advanced research and technology phase from the low-fidelity to high-fidelity level had the potential of
further reducing costs prior to committing funds to produce flight-qualified hardware. It was apparent that the high-fidelity hardware should be included in the advanced research and technology phase to provide the data required to minimize design changes in the flight production and qualification program. Design changes that occur too late in the development cycle will significantly escalate costs. The advanced research and technology phase, when effectively used, as previously discussed, has the overall effect of improving the production hardware development schedule and reducing the total program cost, including the expense of hardware, system certification, and testing.

The system costs were determined based on the summation of the average derived cost of each individual component for a given subsystem configuration. The system program costs were proportioned based on past recorded Gemini program experience. Cost of low- and high-fidelity water recovery system prototypes were also evaluated and found to average approximately 5% and 10%, respectively, of the cost of flight-qualified units. Resulting cost data agreed favorably with past equipment costs for other low- and high-fidelity prototype hardware developed in advanced research and technology programs. The cost analysis of water recovery systems is presented in the following Sections:

Cost Estimating Techniques

Cost Estimates of Water Recovery Systems

Conclusions
Section 2
COST ESTIMATING TECHNIQUES

Cost estimations were established for both low- and high-fidelity prototypes and flight-qualified-type water recovery hardware utilizing the methodology discussed below.

2.1 COST ESTIMATES OF WATER RECOVERY SYSTEM PROTOTYPES

The cost of low-fidelity water recovery system prototypes was found to depend on its degree of sophistication and utilization of available space hardware and/or commercial components. A cost estimate approximately equal to half that of a corresponding high-fidelity prototype was allocated to low-fidelity prototypes. High-fidelity prototypes were assumed to be similar in construction to the first test system produced in a flight program which has not undergone any qualification or reliability testing. The cost of the high-fidelity prototype was obtained by excluding those cost items which are pertinent solely to flight articles. Cost of low- and high-fidelity prototypes constituted 5% and 10%, respectively, of the cost of a corresponding flight-type system. A more detailed discussion of prototype cost estimating is presented in Report No. MDC G4630, "Cost Analysis of Life Support Systems - Summary Report".

2.2 COST ESTIMATES OF FLIGHT-QUALIFIED WATER RECOVERY SYSTEMS

The water recovery systems cost estimating techniques were developed by 1) identifying the physical and performance characteristics of each of the system components, 2) establishing or utilizing existing cost estimating relationships (CER's) for each of the components considered, and 3) the summation of equations for respective system components to establish the total system cost estimation. The U. S. Bureau of Standards Consumer Price Index was used to account for inflation and economic escalation.

The methodology used in the development of CER's is as follows:
1. The components were analyzed to determine which physical or performance characteristics might prove useful as predictive variables.

2. Costs were arrayed graphically against the candidate variables either singly or grouped. The most promising of these arrays were selected on the basis of a subjective analysis which considers the appropriateness of the variables, the form and slope of the curves, and the relative aspects of the component costs.

The derivation of a typical life support component CER is presented in detail in Report No. MDC G14630. Individual CER's for respective system components were summed up to establish the total system cost estimation. The validity of derived CER's was verified when they were applied to a number of Skylab components and were found to agree favorably with actual cost data. A summary of water systems CER's is shown in Table I.

A system schematic and a component identification list were prepared for each of the five water recovery systems. System and process descriptions, including system performance and characteristics, were also given. The physical and performance parameters were identified for use in formulating the cost estimating relationships. Recurring CER's were then developed and computed for each of the system subassemblies and summed up to obtain the integrated system recurring cost estimates. The system's non-recurring CER's were computed on an integrated system basis. The major influencing parameter for the non-recurring CER's was found to be the number of component types in the system.

2.3 COST ELEMENT STRUCTURE

The cost element structure, comprising the detailed recurring and non-recurring cost function, provides visibility of the total project expenditures and permits identification of the significant project costs. The definition of cost-related terms used in this report is given in Section 2.5.
**TABLE I - WATER RECOVERY SYSTEM**

**RECURRING COST ESTIMATING RELATIONSHIPS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSEMBLY</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATING RELATIONSHIP (FABRICATION COST, DOLLARS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**A. ELECTROLYTIC PRETREATMENT LOOP:**

1. **ACCUMULATORS**
   
   \[ C = 1918 V^{0.267} Q^{0.89} + 2959 W_{oc} \]

2. **PUMPS**
   
   \[ C = 91 (P_{w1}^{0.942} + P_{w2}^{0.942} Q^{0.89}) \times 670 W_{oc} \]

3. **ELECTROLYTIC CELL MODULE**
   
   \[ C = 6250 W_{m} + 2192 W_{oc} + 2000 \]

4. **METERING PUMPS**
   
   \[ C = 91 P_{w}^{0.942} Q^{0.89} + 670 W_{oc} \]

**B. WATER DISTILLATION LOOP:**

1. **BLOWER**
   
   \[ C = 38.2 P^{0.942} \]

2. **HEATER**
   
   \[ C = 600 (W_{h} + W_{oc}) \]

3. **DISTILLATION MODULE**
   
   \[ C = 15,885 W^{0.267} + 2959 W_{oc} \]

4. **HEAT EXCHANGER**
   
   \[ C = 159 W^{0.267} N^{1.905} + 2959 W_{oc} \]

5. **FILTRATION MODULE**
   
   \[ C = 200 W_{mf} + 670 W_{oc} \]

**C. WATER DISPENSING LOOP:**

1. **CHILLERS**
   
   \[ C = 159 W^{0.267} N^{1.905} + 2959 W_{oc} \]

2. **CIRCULATION PUMP**
   
   \[ C = 91 P_{w}^{0.942} + 670 W_{oc} \]

3. **CONTROLLER**
   
   \[ C = 4795 (W + W_{oc}) \]

**TOTAL HARDWARE COST**

\[ C_{T} = \sum_{Q=1}^{n} F_{A} F_{I} (\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_{i}) Q^{(1-b)} \text{ Dollars} \]

Where,

- \( n \) = Number of Units Purchased
- \( F_{A} \) = Component Assembling Factor
- \( F_{I} \) = Assembly Integration Factor
- \( m \) = Number of Components in Assembly
- \( C_{i} \) = Component Fabrication Cost
- \( b \) = Learning Curve Slope
Table II presents a breakdown of typical life support system expenditures, as encountered in the Gemini Program, divided in the respective recurring and non-recurring items. The major recurring cost item is that of flight hardware production. The major non-recurring costs are those related to Design, AGE, and Prime Contractor's specification and procurement efforts.

2.4 EFFECT OF INFLATION ON COST ESTIMATES

A major inherent feature of the methodology which is highly critical to the accuracy of the results obtained pertains to inflation and economic escalation. Since computed CER's are based on specific year dollars, they must be inflated to the proper year in order to obtain realistic future program values. Due to the lack of a specific aerospace price index, the yearly dollar value adopted in this report was considered to correspond to the Consumer Price Index shown in Figure 1, based on data published by the U.S. Bureau of Statistics.

2.5 COST-RELATED DEFINITIONS

The terminology used in this study is that practiced by the McDonnell Douglas Corporation. In order to assist users of the report who are familiar with different terms or groupings of cost-related activities, the following definitions are presented.

1. Engineering Design - involves the design and analysis of individual components and assemblies in the life support system.

2. Program Management - relates to planning, organizing, directing and controlling the project. Includes scheduling deliveries, coordinating changes and monitoring problem areas.

3. System Engineering - involves system design as opposed to component or assembly design. Includes design, analysis design support, and total system non-separable hardware design and integration effort.

4. Development Testing - involves testing with breadboard and prototype hardware that is required to evaluate component and assembly design concepts and performance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NON-RECURRING</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>RECURRING</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>Flight Hardware Production</td>
<td>54.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor General &amp; Administrative</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>Subcontractor G&amp;A</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>Sustaining Engineering</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Test</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification Test</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Test</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>18.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>Sustaining Tooling</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accountable Test Hardware</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expenses</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expenses</td>
<td>15.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Integration</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>System Integration</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime's Testing</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>100 %</strong></td>
<td><strong>RECURRING</strong></td>
<td><strong>100 %</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Qualification Testing - deals with formal qualification testing to ensure that components and assemblies provided meet mission performance and design requirements.

6. Reliability Testing - includes component and assembly life cycle and failure analysis testing to ensure operation of the system for the required mission duration.

7. Tooling - involves the design, fabrication and maintenance of component and assembly tools.

8. Non-Accountable Test Hardware - includes prototype units, breadboards, operational mock-ups and other non-deliverable development hardware items.

9. Aerospace Ground Support - includes design and fabrication of system test and servicing, system handling and checkout and hardware necessary during acceptance testing and launch operations.

10. Sustaining Engineering - includes incorporation of changes, modifications to design and contractor's project engineering design.

11. Subcontractor General and Administrative - includes overhead expenses charged as fixed percentages of all other costs.

12. Subcontractor Fee - involves the fee charged by the subcontractor as negotiated at beginning of the contract.

13. Minor Subcontractor - includes procurement costs for minor valves, lines and other required miscellaneous parts.

14. Prime Contractor Costs - include specifications, vendor coordination, procurement and documentation expenses.

15. Recurring Costs - recurring expenditures are divided into the Prime Contractor and Major Subcontractor costs. The Prime Contractor efforts involve primarily the incorporation of the life support systems into the spacecraft. The Major Subcontractor costs are broken into Sustaining Engineering, Tooling and System Production. The System Production expenditures are segregated into subsystems and these are in turn segregated into components.

16. Non-recurring - non-recurring expenditures for each life support subsystem are segregated into Prime Contractor and Major Subcontractor efforts. The Prime Contractor effort involves specification, coordination and integration of the system into the spacecraft. The Major Subcontractor effort is divided into Design and Development, AGE, Program Management and System Engineering, Test Operations and Hardware. The Design and Development costs are segregated into major subsystems.
FIGURE 1 - Consumer Price Index
(Source: U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics)
Section 3
COST ESTIMATES OF WATER RECOVERY SYSTEMS

Cost estimating relationships were derived for the following water recovery systems: 1) RITE Waste Management-Water System, 2) Reverse Osmosis Wash Water System, 3) Multifiltration Wash Water System, 4) Vapor Compression Water System, and 5) Air Evaporation System with Electrolytic Pretreatment.

A manned spacecraft has at least four sources of waste water, including 1) urine, 2) condensate, 3) fecal and/or flush water, and 4) wash water. Each water source may be processed by one of the systems cited above. Current plans indicate that the RITE system may be used to process all waste products including urine, flush water, wash water, feces and trash. The reverse osmosis and multifiltration systems, on the other hand, may be used to process only wash water which includes shower, handwash, and housekeeping wash water. The vapor compression and air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment systems, both of which are phase-change processes, are used primarily for urine recovery. Wash water is not normally processed in a phase-change-type process due to the large energy requirements per unit weight of such processes and the high liquid-to-solid ratio of wash water. When using reverse osmosis for wash water recovery, the resulting concentrated brine may be processed further in either the RITE, vapor compression, or the air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment systems.

A comparison between the various types of water recovery methods evaluated is presented in Table III. System characteristics, including performance and system operation, are outlined. Included also are availability, status of development and anticipated operational problems for each of the systems considered. Cost comparisons between water recovery systems should be based on the capability of the respective system to process comparable amounts of the same kind of waste water. For example, reverse osmosis may be compared to multifiltration for processing wash water and vapor compression may be compared to air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment for processing urine.

A discussion of each of the five water recovery systems and detailed cost estimates of the processes involved are presented in the following paragraphs.
**TABLE III - COMPARISON OF WATER RECOVERY SYSTEMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM CHARACTERISTICS</th>
<th>RITE</th>
<th>REVERSE OSMOSIS</th>
<th>MULTIFILTRATION</th>
<th>VAPOR COMPRESSION</th>
<th>AIR EVAPORATION/ ELECTROLYTIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREW SIZE</td>
<td>6 Men</td>
<td>6 Men</td>
<td>6 Men</td>
<td>6 Men</td>
<td>6 Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESSED FLUIDS/MATERIALS</td>
<td>Urine, flush water, wash water, feces, trash</td>
<td>Wash water, flush water</td>
<td>Wash water, flush water</td>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>Urine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS RATES</td>
<td>Flush water = 20 lbs/day</td>
<td>Wash water = 28 lb/hr (max)</td>
<td>Shower = 14 lb/hr</td>
<td>Urine and brine process rate = 2.42 lb/hr(max)</td>
<td>Urine rate = 3.23 lb/hr(max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM OPERATION</td>
<td>1. Trash, feces, urine and waste water are placed in evaporator, operated at 1.7 psi. 2. Steam from evaporator is purified in pyrolysis unit, then condensed in condenser and pumped into storage tank. 3. Radiotopes heat evaporator, pyrolyzer, incinerator and tanks. 4. Solids concentrated in the evaporator and moved by a solids pump into 1200°F incinerator.</td>
<td>1. Waste water filtered in 1-micron filter and pumped to R.O. module. 2. R.O. cell operating pressure up to 600 psi. 3. Permeate water is transferred to use tanks. Concentrated brine collected and stored.</td>
<td>1. Wash water filtered through 3-, 6-, and 1 micron filters, activated charcoal and ion exchange resin bed. 2. A circulatory pump transfers purified water in 160°F heated tanks.</td>
<td>1. Waste water is pumped to distillation unit. 2. Distillation unit is a motor-driven drum. Gases &amp; liquids are separated centrifugally. 3. Water vapor is then condensed, filtered &amp; transferred to heated use tanks.</td>
<td>1. Organic materials are removed from urine by electrolytic cell. 2. Pretreated water fed into evaporator where inorganic are deposited in wicks. 3. Item from evaporator is condensed and pure water transferred to heated tanks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM STATUS/AVAILABILITY</td>
<td>1. A low-fidelity system prototype has been developed and tested for 30 days. 2. A 180-day system life test is being started at 0-2.</td>
<td>1. Units operated at low temperatures available commercially. 2. Cells operated at 160°F are under development for integration in a working model. 3. A program to select high-pressure, high-temperature pumps is also being conducted.</td>
<td>1. A multifiltration system prototype has been successfully operated in NASA/MDAC 90-Day Test.</td>
<td>1. A number of working bench-type models have been developed. 2. A low-fidelity prototype was built and tested for 180 days. 3. A high-fidelity prototype is currently under development.</td>
<td>1. Low-fidelity prototype air evaporation system was tested in NASA/MDAC 60- and 90-day testing. 2. Low-fidelity prototype electrolytic pretreatment unit developed. 3. A more advanced electrolytic pretreatment system prototype is being built.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1 RITE WASTE MANAGEMENT - WATER SYSTEM (WM-WS):

**Process Description**

The RITE system is the most advanced concept water-waste management system under development. It has the capability of recovering water from all spacecraft waste materials including feces and can also shred and process trash. It goes one step further than other systems by automatically pumping the brine-sludge residue from the water recovery unit to an incinerator that reduces the solid wastes to an innocuous ash. All of the process heat used in the system is produced from low penalty isotopic sources. A simplified schematic of the system is shown in Figure 2.

The RITE system operation is described as follows. The integrated waste management and water recovery system (RITE) processes solid waste to an end product of sterile ash in addition to recovering and purifying water for reuse. Radioisotope thermal energy (RITE) is used as the heat source.

The effluent in the evaporator is driven centrifugally by an impeller to provide a gravitational field that permits nucleate boiling. The evaporator is operated at 120°F or less, and at approximately 1.7 psia. This low boiling temperature is maintained to minimize generation of gaseous products by thermal decomposition.

The steam from the evaporator passes through pyrolysis units that are equipped with counter-flow heat exchangers and catalytic beds. The high temperature RITE heater superheats the steam to 1200°F. This high temperature sterilizes the steam and a small flow of oxygen that is metered into the steam is used in catalytic oxidation of entrained gaseous impurities.

The steam passes from the pyrolysis units to the condenser. The steam is condensed at about 60°F and 0.26 psia in the condenser. This pressure is less than evaporator pressure and forces the steam flow through the system. Gases in the steam flow (that are not eliminated in the pyrolysis units) are vented to space vacuum from the condenser.

In the RITE configuration, the purified water is pumped out of the condenser periodically. It passes through conductivity and pH sensors to a set of
potable water storage tanks. The tanks are filled in rotation, and tested for chemical and microbiological purity prior to use.

The low temperature RITE heater provides heat for the evaporator and the water storage tanks. The tanks are heated (160°F) to prevent microbial growth. The flush water tank is heated to 100°F and disinfectant is automatically added to this water to maintain sterility.

Trash, feces, wash water and urine solids are concentrated in the evaporator and moved by a solids pump to the incinerator. The incinerator vacuum dries and thermally decomposes the solids at a temperature of 1200°F. This reduces the weight of the solids approximately 90-95 percent. After thermal decomposition, a small flow of oxygen to the incinerator is used to reduce the solids weight and volume to approximately 1 percent of the original. This small amount of sterile ash may be stored or jetisoned.

The system is automatically controlled to function in a fail-safe manner. Alarms warn of any failures that have occurred. If any component in the system ceases to function, components that supply effluent to it are automatically shut down.

Overheat of the RITE heaters is prevented by discharging surplus heat to compartment air. The high temperature RITE heater discharges surplus heat through a heat pipe to the compartment air. The low temperature RITE heater is protected from overheat by a fan and heat exchanger; excessive heater temperature activates the fan and causes a portion of the heater output to be transferred to the compartment air.

The water storage and distribution system shown in Figure 2 includes four storage tanks to be rotated in a 48-hour sequence in which the status at any particular time is: one tank being filled, one tank being chemically and microbiologically checked, one tank certified potable awaiting use, and one tank being used. Flexible quick-disconnect lines are used to effect the proper sequencing and achieve isolation after the fill cycle thus obviating any chance of inadvertent contamination as a result of back-flow or seepage.
such as might occur in a hard-plumbed system. The circulation pump can provide a continuous flow of hot water from the tank in use to the use point and return. This prevents bacterial growth during nonusage, in an otherwise stagnant line.

System Performance and Characteristics:

The physical, performance and chemical characteristics of the RITE waste management-water system are given as follows:

| Process Water Input          | = 57.2 lbs/day |
| Process Water Output        | = 54.0 lbs/day |
| RITE System Recovery Efficiency | = 94.5%      |

Water Loop Mass and Heat Balances:

1. Flush Water Tank Flow Rate = 20 lbs/day
2. Flush Water Input Temperature = 70°F
3. Flush Water Output Temperature = 100°F
4. Flush Water Tank Heat Input = 47.1 Btu/hr
5. Flush Water Tank Heat Loss = 30 Btu/hr
6. Evaporator Inputs, in lbs/day:
   - Flush Water = 20.0
   - Urine Solids = 0.8
   - Urine Liquid = 13.2
   - Wash Water = 24.0
   - Feces = 1.2
   - Trash = 1.2
7. Evaporator Outputs, in lbs/day
   - Water = 56.0
   - Feces = 1.2
   - Trash = 1.2
   - Waste Water = 1.2
   - Urine Solids = 0.8
8. Evaporator Heat Input = 2615 Btu/hr
9. Evaporator Heat Loss = 145 Btu/hr
10. Heater Flow Rate = 56 lbs/day
11. Heater Inlet Temperature = 103°F
12. Heater Outlet Temperature = 165°F
13. Heater Heat Input = 64 Btu/hr
14. Pyrolysis Chamber Heat Input = 206 Btu/hr
15. Pyrolysis Chamber Outlet Temperature = 370°F
16. Condenser Inlet Temperature = 100°F
17. Condenser Outlet Temperature = 60°F
18. Condenser Heat Rejection = 2385 Btu/hr
19. Condenser Water Loss = 2.0 lbs/day
20. Storage Tank Flow Rate = 54.0 lbs/day
21. Storage Tank Outlet Temperature = 145°F
22. Heat Input to Storage Tank = 202 Btu/hr
23. Incinerator Inputs, in lbs/day:
   Feces = 1.2
   Trash = 1.2
   Water = 1.2
   Urine Solids = 0.8
24. Heat Input to Incinerator = 127 Btu/hr

Low Temperature Heating Loop:
1. Isotope Heat Output = 5120 Btu/hr
2. Heating Fluid Inlet Temperature = 158°F
3. Total Heating Fluid Total Flow Rate = 426 lbs/hr
4. Heating Fluid Heat Flow to Components:
   Flush Tank = 47.1 Btu/hr
   Evaporator = 2615 Btu/hr
   Potable Water Tank, each of 4 tanks = 190 Btu/hr
   Emergency Water Tank = 135 Btu/hr
   Line Losses = 812 Btu/hr
5. Heating Fluid Flow Rates to Components:
   Flush Tank = 5.6 lbs/hr
   Evaporator = 313 lbs/hr
   Potable Water Tanks, each of 4 tanks = 22.8 lbs/hr
   Emergency Tank = 16.2 lbs/hr
Coolant Loop

1. Coolant Inlet Temperature = 30°F
2. Coolant Total Flow Rate = 150 lbs/hr
3. Coolant Flow Rate to Condenser = 142 lbs/hr
4. Coolant Flow Rate to Water Cooler = 16 lbs/hr
5. Condenser Heat Load = 2385 Btu/hr
6. Coolant Loop Outlet Temperature = 49.6°F

RITE System Mass and Heat Balances:

1. Urine Input to Urinal = 14.0 lbs/day
2. Number of Micturitions Per Day = 24
3. Air Flow to Urinal During Urination = 20 cfm
4. Trash Input, Solids = 1.2 lbs/day
5. Number of Trash Deposits per Day = 4
6. Amount of Water In Trash = 2.4 lbs/day
7. Airflow to Blender During Defacation = 40 cfm
8. Defacation Time, Nominal = 10 minutes
9. Coolant Flow Rate to Condenser = 0.25 gpm
10. Coolant Inlet Temperature to Condenser = 30°F
11. Coolant Outlet Temperature from Condenser = 45°F
12. Air Sterilizer Operating Temperature = 1250°F
13. Isotope Heat Input to Sterilizer = 44 watts
14. Pyrolysis Chamber Operating Temperature = 1250°F
15. Isotope Heat Input to Pyrolysis Chamber = 65 watts
16. Isotope Heat Input to Incinerator = 72 watts
17. Heat Loss from Heat Block = 219 watts
18. Heat Loss from Heat Pipe = 60 watts
19. Total Heat Supplied by Isotope to Heat Block = 460 watts

A complete listing of the components of the RITE System is shown in Table IV.
### TABLE IV
RITE SYSTEM COMPONENTS LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Shut-off, Manual</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Solenoid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Solenoid, Vacuum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way, Electrical</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way, Manual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 4-way, Electrical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank, Water Storage</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, Liquid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, Metering</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, Solids, including Trash Compactor and Auger</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash Collector and Shredder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator, Liquid-Gas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blender, Feces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blower</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotope Heater Assembly, Heat Block</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotope Heater Assembly, Heating Loop</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator, Centrifugal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Exchanger, Liquid-Liquid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Exchanger, Liquid-Air</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Control, Oxygen</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis Chamber</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Pipe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Sterilizer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incinerator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commode</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV
RITE SYSTEM COMPONENTS LIST (CONT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity Meter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity Sensor</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash Collector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Block, including Insulation Jacket</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Sensor</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Sensors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir, Disinfectant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Switching Unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement Unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Estimating Relationships:

The components utilized in the RITE Waste Management-Water System have been grouped into twenty-two groups, designated as I through XXII, as shown in the system schematic, Figure 2. The recurring and non-recurring CER's presented in the following paragraphs are based on estimated January, 1972 dollars. The Consumer Price Index was used to adjust CER's developed and based on prior years' dollar values.

Recurring CER's:

1. ECS Condensate Pump and Disinfectant Loop

The CER for the ECS condensate pump and the disinfectant loop, which comprises a pump and a disinfectant reservoir, is given by the following relation:

\[
\text{Condensate Pump and Condensate Loop Fabrication Cost } C = 91 \ p_{W_1}^{0.942} + p_{W_2}^{0.942} + 1918 v^{0.267} + 670 w_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]

where,
\[ P_{W_1} = \text{Condensate pump power input} = 500 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ P_{W_2} = \text{Metering pump power input} = 20 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ V = \text{Disinfectant Reservoir Volume} = 0.4 \text{ ft}^3, \text{ and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{Other components weight} = 2 \text{ lbs}. \]

Substituting the values of the variables in the above CER yields the following:
\[ C = 91 x \left[ 0.942 + 20 \right] + 1,918 \times (0.4) + 670 \times 2 \]
\[ = 91 \times [350 + 16.8] + 1918 \times 0.784 + 1340 \]
\[ = 33,379 + 1503.7 + 1340 = 36,223 \text{ dollars} \]

2. Urinal and Gas Separator:
The urinal and gas-liquid separator CER is given by the following relation:

\[ \text{Urinal and Separator Assembly Fabrication Cost } C = 91 P_{W}^{0.942} + 670(W_u + W_{OC}) \text{ dollars} \]

where,
\[ P_{W} = \text{Power input to separator} = 200 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ W_u = \text{Urinal weight} = 0.6 \text{ lbs.}, \text{ and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{Associated components weight} = 1.0 \text{ lb}. \]

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields the following:
\[ C = 91 \times (200)^{0.947} + 670 \times 1.6 \]
\[ = 91 \times 148 + 670 \times 1.6 = 14,540 \text{ dollars} \]
3. Trash Shredder/Pump:

The trash shredder/pump assembly CER is given by the following relation:

Urinal and Separator Assembly Fabrication Cost $C = 91 P_w^{0.942} + 670 W_{OC}$ dollars

where,

- $P_w = \text{Power input to trash shredder/pump} = 975 \text{ watts}$, and
- $W_{OC} = \text{Associated components weight} = 3.0 \text{ lbs}$.

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields the following:

$$C = 91 \times (975)^{0.947} + 670 \times 3.0 = 62,070 \text{ dollars}$$

4. Potable and Waste Water Tanks:

The CER for the potable and waste water tanks is given as follows:

Tanks Fabrication Cost $C = 1,918 V^{0.267} Q^{0.89} + 2959 W_{OC}$ dollars

where,

- $V = \text{Volume of tanks} = 1.0 \text{ ft}^3$,
- $Q = \text{Number of tanks} = 7$, and
- $W_{OC} = \text{Weight of other components} = 16.5 \text{ lbs}$.

Substituting the above values in the tanks' fabrication cost equation results in the following:

$$C = 1918 \times 1 \times 5.7 + 2959 \times 16.5 = 59,756 \text{ dollars}$$
5. Low Temperature Loop Heat Exchanger:

The CER for the low temperature loop, liquid-to-liquid heat exchanger is given by the following:

Heat Exchanger Fabrication Cost \( C \)

\[
C = 159 W^{0.267} N_p 1.905 + 2959 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]

where, \( W = \) heat exchanger weight = 10.0 lbs.,
\( N_p = \) number of ports per heat exchanger = 4,
\( W_{OC} = \) weight of other components = 1.0 lbs.

Substituting the values of the variable in the CER yields:

\[
C = 159 \times 1.85 \times 14.05 + 2959 \times 1.0 = 4136 \text{ dollars}
\]

6. Heating Loop Isotope Heater Assembly:

The CER for the heating loop isotope assembly deals with the tank type heat exchanger and heat block, around which the heating fluid is circulated. The cost of the radioisotope heating elements is not included in the estimate. The CER for the heater assembly is given by the following relation:

Heating Loop Isotope Heater Assembly Fabrication Cost \( C \)

\[
C = 1918 V^{0.267} + 159 W^{0.267} N_p 1.905 + 2959 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]

where,

\( V = \) Tank Volume = 3.35 ft\(^3\),
\( W = \) Heat Exchanger Weight, comprising heat block = 20 lbs.,
\( N_p = \) Number of heat exchanger ports = 2, and
\( W_{OC} = \) Weight of associated components = 1.0 lb.

Substituting the values of the variables in the above CER yields the following:
\[
C = 1918 \times (3.35)^{0.267} + 159 \times (20)^{0.267} \times 2^{1.905} + 2959 \times 1
\]
\[
= 1918 \times 1.373 + 159 \times 2.228 \times 3.72 + 2959
\]
\[
= 2633 + 1318 + 2959 = 6910 \text{ dollars}
\]

7. Expansion Tank:

The CER used for the expansion tank is the same equation utilized for the water tanks and is given as follows:

Expansion Tank Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[
= 1918 \times V^{0.267} + 2959 \times W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]

where,

\( V = \text{Tank Volume} = 1.0 \ \text{ft}^3 \), and
\( W_{OC} = \text{Weight of associated components} = 1.0 \ \text{lb} \).

Substituting the values of the variables in the above CER gives the following:

\[
C = 1918 + 2959 = 4877 \text{ dollars}
\]

8. Heating Loop Pumps:

The heating loop pumps CER is given by the following relation:

Pumps Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[
= 91 \times P_W^{0.942} \times Q^{0.89} + 670 \times W_{OC}
\]

where,

\( P_W = \text{Electrical power input to circulation pump} = 92 \ \text{watts} \),
\( Q = \text{Number of transfer pumps} = 2 \), and
\( W_{OC} = \text{Weight of other components} = 1.0 \ \text{lb} \).
Substituting the values of the above variables in the pumps fabrication cost equation results in the following:

\[ C = 91 \times 71 \times 1852 + 670 \times 1 = 12,636 \text{ dollars} \]

9. Feces Blender and Commode Seat:

The CER utilized for the feces blender and the commode seat is given by the following:

Feces Blender Assembly Fabrication Cost C

\[ C = 91 P' + 3900 W' \] dollars

where,

\[ P' = \text{Blender power requirement} = 370 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ W' = \text{Associated components weight} = 9 \text{ lbs}. \]

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:

\[ C = 91 \times 268 + 3900 \times 9 = 59,488 \text{ dollars} \]

10. Evaporator Assembly:

The evaporator assembly includes a liquid-gas separator, the rotating evaporator and associated valves. The CER for the evaporator assembly is given by the following:

Evaporator Assembly Fabrication Cost C

\[ C = 91 (P_{W_1}^{0.942} + P_{W_2}^{0.942}) + 159 W_{P}^{0.267} N_{P}^{1.905} + 3900 W_{OC} \] dollars

where,

\[ P_{W_1} = \text{Power input to evaporator motor} = 20 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ P_{W_2} = \text{Power input to separator} = 200 \text{ watts}, \]
Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:

\[ C = 91 \times (20^{0.942} + 200^{0.942}) + 159 \times 6^{0.267} \times 14.05 + 3900 \]

\[ = 91 \times (16.8 + 148) + 159 \times 1.614 \times 14.05 + 3900 \]

\[ = 22,503 \text{ dollars} \]

11. Air-Liquid Heat Exchanger:

The commode air-liquid heat exchanger CER is given by the following:

Heat Exchanger Fabrication Cost \( C \)

\[ = 159 \times W^{0.267} N_P^{0.905} + 2959 \times W_{0C} \text{ dollars} \]

where,

\( W = \) heat exchanger weight = 8.0 lbs.,

\( N_P = \) Number of ports per heat exchanger = 4,

\( W_{0C} = \) Weight of other components = 1.5 lbs.

Substituting the values of the variable in the CER yields:

\[ C = 159 \times 1.744 \times 14.05 + 2959 \times 1.5 = 8335 \text{ dollars} \]

12. Solids Pump:

The CER for the solids pump, including the compacting cylinder and the transport auger, has been based on similar hardware of comparable complexity and is given by the following:
Solids Pump Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[ = 2192 \, W + 670 \, W_{OC} \text{ dollars} \]
where,
\[ W = \text{Weight of solids pump} = 10.0 \text{ lbs.}, \text{ and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{Weight of associated components} = 1.0 \text{ lb.} \]
Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:
\[ C = 21920 + 670 = 22,590 \text{ dollars} \]

13. Potable Water Transfer Pump:
The potable water transfer pump CER is given by the following relation:

Pumps Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[ = 91 \, P_W^{0.942} + 670 \, W_{OC} \]
where,
\[ P_W = \text{Electrical power input to transfer pumps} = 30 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{Weight of other components} = 3.0 \text{ lbs.} \]
Substituting the values of the above variables in the pumps fabrication cost equation results in the following:
\[ C = 91 \times (30)^{0.942} + 670 \times 3 = 4250 \text{ dollars} \]

14. Potable Water Heater and Cooler:
Both the water heater and cooler are identical size liquid-to-liquid heat exchangers. The CER for the potable water heater and cooler assembly is given as follows:

Water Heater and Cooler Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[ = 159 \, W^{0.267} \, N_p^{1.905} \, Q^{0.89} + 2959 \, W_{OC} \text{ dollars} \]
where, \( W \) = heat exchanger weight = 10.0 lbs.,
\( N_p \) = number of ports per heat exchanger = 4,
\( Q \) = number of heat exchangers used = 2, and
\( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components = 4 lbs.

Substituting the values of the variable in the CER yields:
\[
C = 159 \times 1.85 \times 14.05 \times 1.855 + 2959 \times 4 = 18,046 \text{ dollars}
\]

15. Pyrolysis Units Assembly:

The Pyrolysis Units Assembly CER is given by the following:

Pyrolysis Units Assembly Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[
= 159 W^{0.267} N_p^{1.905} Q^{0.89} + 3900 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]
where,
\( W \) = pyrolysis chamber weight = 15.0 lbs.
\( N_P \) = number of ports per pyrolysis chamber = 2,
\( Q \) = number of pyrolysis units = 3, and
\( W_{OC} \) = weight of associated components = 9.0 lbs.

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:
\[
C = 159 \times 2.062 \times 3.72 \times 2.66 + 3900 \times 9 = 38,344 \text{ dollars}
\]

16. Condensate Pump:

The CER for the condensate pump assembly is given by the following relation:

Condensate Pump and Condensate Loop Fabrication Cost \( C \)
\[
= 91 P_w^{0.942} + 670 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]
where,
\( P_w \) = condensate pump power input = 500 watts,
\( W_{OC} \) = other components weight = 2.7 lbs.
Substituting the values of the variables in the above CER yields the following:

\[ C = 91 \times 350 + 670 \times 2.7 = 33,659 \text{ dollars} \]

17. Condenser Assembly:

The condenser assembly includes a tank-type condenser and associated valves. The CER for the condenser assembly is given as follows:

Condenser Assembly Fabrication Cost \( C \)

\[ C = 80 W^{0.267} N_{P}^{1.905} + 960 V^{0.267} + 2959 W_{OC} \text{ dollars} \]

where,

\( W = \) Condenser weight = 6.0 lbs.,
\( V = \) Condenser volume = 0.6 ft\(^3\)
\( N_{P} = \) Number of ports per condenser = \( \frac{4}{4}, \) and
\( W_{OC} = \) Weight of other components = 6.5 lbs.

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

\[ C = 80 \times 1.614 \times 14.05 + 960 \times (0.6)^{0.267} + 2959 \times 6.5 \]

\[ = 1814.1 + 946.7 + 19,233.5 = 21,994 \text{ dollars} \]

18. Heat Pipe:

The heat pipe assembly cost is also based on a weight basis and is estimated by comparison to similar hardware. The CER for the heat pipe assembly is given as follows:

Heat Pipe Assembly Fabrication Cost \( C \)

\[ C = 2000 W_{H} \text{ dollars} \]

where, \( W_{H} = \) heat pipe weight = 3.0 lbs.

then,

\[ C = 2000 \times 3 = 6000 \text{ dollars}. \]
19. Air Sterilizer:
The air sterilizer assembly CER is given by the following:

Air Sterilizer Assembly Fabrication Cost $C$

\[ C = 159 W^{0.267} N_p^{1.905} + 3000 W_{OC} \text{ dollars} \]

where,

\( W \) = Air Sterilizer weight = 14.0 lbs.

\( N_p \) = Number of prots per sterilizer chamber = 2,

\( Q \) = Number of pyrolysis units = 3, and

\( W_{OC} \) = Weight of associated components = 1.0 lb.

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

\[ C = 159 \times 2.023 \times 3.72 + 3000 \times 1 = 4197 \text{ dollars} \]

20. Air Blower:
The influencing parameter in the air blower fabrication is the electrical power input to the unit. The CER is given as follows:

Air Blower Fabrication Cost $C$

\[ C = 38.2 \times 160^{0.942} + 2192 W_{OC} \text{ dollars} \]

where,

\( P \) = electrical power input to the compressor 160 watts, and

\( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components = 2.0 lbs.

Substituting these values in the above equation yields the following:

\[ C = 38.223 \times 120 + 2192 \times 2.0 = 8970 \text{ dollars} \]

21. Incinerator:
The CER for the incinerator assembly, comprising the incinerator, ash collector and associated components, is given by the following:
Incinerator Fabrication Cost $C$

$$= 159 W^{0.267} N_P^{1.905} + 3900 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}$$

where,

$W$ = incinerator weight = 20.0 lbs,

$N_P$ = number of ports per pyrolysis chamber = 2,

$W_{OC}$ = weight of associated components = 6.0 lbs.

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

$$C = 159 \times 2.228 \times 3.72 + 3900 \times 6 = 24,718 \text{ dollars}$$

22. Heat Block and Insulation Jacket:

The heat block and insulation jacket assembly cost is based on a weight basis and is estimated by comparison to similar hardware. The heat block and insulation jacket CER is given as follows:

Insulation Jacket Fabrication Cost $C$

$$= 600 (W_B + W_j) \text{ dollars}$$

where,

$W_B$ = Heat block weight in pounds, and

$W_j$ = Insulation jacket weight in pounds.

Substituting the weights of the heat block and insulation jacket in the above CER yields:

$$C = 600 \times 45 = 27,000 \text{ dollars}$$

Integrated RITE System's Recurring CER:

The integration costs of components and assemblies into the RITE system are obtained by utilizing the system's recurring CER as defined in previous sections of this report. Applying the said CER, then:
First unit cost $C_F = 1.833 \times 1.1 \times (36,223 + 14,540 + 62,070 + 59,756 + 4,136 + 6,910 + 4,877 + 12,636 + 59,488 + 22,503 + 8,335 + 22,590 + 4,250 + 18,046 + 38,344 + 33,659 + 21,994 + 6,000 + 4,197 + 8,970 + 24,718 + 27,000)$

$= 2.016 \times 501,242 = 1,010,634$ dollars

and assuming the production of two flight-type units, one for flight and the other for back-up, then the total hardware cost is given by:

$$C_T = 1,010,634 \times (2)^{1-0.1047}$$

$$= 1,879,780$$ dollars

Integrated RITE System's Non-Recurring CER's:

Non-recurring CER's have been developed for engineering design only. Other non-recurring cost estimates are based on the typical cost breakdown ratios presented in Table II which were based on actual cost data collected in a space hardware production program. The analysis of a number of cost influencing parameters indicated that engineering design CER is mainly a function of the number of component types ($N$) in each system and is given by the following relation.

System design cost $C$

$$= 34,935N + 102,942$$ dollars

The regenerable solid desiccant system comprises 39 component types as shown in Table II. Accordingly, system design cost $C = 1,362,465 + 102,942 = 1,465,407$ dollars.

Values of other non-recurring cost items are listed in Table V which also shows the breakdown of recurring cost items based on the production of two flight hardware units. All cost figures are in estimated January 1972 dollars.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Recurring</th>
<th>Recurring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering Design</td>
<td>Flight Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,465,407</td>
<td>1,025,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor General and Administrative</td>
<td>Subcontractor G&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757,615</td>
<td>173,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317,993</td>
<td>72,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>Program Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109,906</td>
<td>25,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering</td>
<td>Sustaining Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161,603</td>
<td>36,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301,874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222,742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359,025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,620,740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td>Sustaining Tooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339,974</td>
<td>31,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accountable Test Hardware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146,541</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procure-</td>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procure-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ment Expense</td>
<td>ment Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,197,238</td>
<td>291,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Integration</td>
<td>System Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>734,169</td>
<td>134,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime's Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718,049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33,704</td>
<td>88,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8,786,580</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,879,780</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total RITE System Cost = 8,786,580 + 1,879,780 = 10,666,360 dollars
3.2 REVERSE OSMOSIS SYSTEM:

System Description:
This system is used for providing a sanitary means of collecting waste wash water and processing it for reuse in showers, sinks, and wash machines. The waste water initially passes through an expendable 30 micron mesh filter screen to remove large suspended solids such as hair, skin and lint. Figure 3 is a schematic which shows the major system components. The waste water is stored in a 13 1/4 lbs holding tank. A 1-micron filter is located downstream of the holding tank and a high pressure pump is used to deliver the water to the reverse osmosis module. The pressure is controlled by a downstream constant flow pressure regulator which varies the cell operating pressure between 265 and 600 psi. The wash water processor module includes a reverse osmosis cell made of DuPont permasep material and accessory equipment required to concentrate wash water and obtain fresh water. Since the permeation rate of the permasep is proportional to operating temperature, the reverse osmosis cell membrane area is sized for the lowest operating temperature (65°F). Permeate water is transferred through a conductivity meter and a motor driven three-way valve. If the conductivity is more than a predetermined value, the valve transfers the water back into the waste feed line where it is then reprocessed. If the conductivity is below the acceptable value, the valve transfers the water to the Water Storage and Sterilization Subsystem through a bacteria/charcoal filter. The brine from the RO cell is transferred through a constant flow pressure regulator, a conductivity meter and a three-way electrical valve. If the conductivity is high, the brine is discharged but if the conductivity is within acceptable limits, it is transferred by a recirculation pump to the waste feed line for reprocessing.

The wash water circuit interfaces with the sanitary washing facilities and receives the waste water from them at temperatures that can range up to 160°F. Due to heat transfer, it is not expected that the water temperature will be above room ambient by the time it reaches the processing unit. The waste storage tank has a capacity for 222 lbs of water and can therefore store all the wash water for a normal day's activity. The time line requirement storage capability is based on providing water for 5 showers in 0.45 hours. The germicide used in the wash water will serve to maintain the bacteria in an inhibited state. The dissolved solids are not collected in this subsystem but are transferred in
concentrated brine to the urine water recovery system for further processing and/or disposal.

System Performance and Characteristics:

The physical, performance and interface characteristics of the reverse osmosis system are as follows:

- Crew size = 6 men
- Wash water processing requirement = 224 lbs/day
- System process rate = 0.056 gpm
- Components design pressure = 800 psig
- Feed water temperature = 165 ± 10 °F
- Feed water pressure = 20 ± 5 psig
- Discharge water temperature = 160 ± 10 °F
- Discharge water and brine pressures = 0 to 30 psig
- Reverse osmosis system dimensions = 27" x 12" x 26"
- Reverse osmosis system weight = 200 lbs

A complete listing of the components of the vapor compression system is shown in Table VI.

Table VI
REVERSE OSMOSIS SYSTEM
COMPONENT WEIGHTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valve, check liquid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, shutoff, electrical</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, shutoff, manual</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way electrical, manual override</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, flow regulator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disconnect, with cap</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, relief, 40 psig, R.O.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter, debris, wash H₂O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table VI

REVERSE OSMOSIS SYSTEM
COMPONENT WEIGHTS
(Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter, R.O.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter, $H_2O$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter, activated charcoal/bacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, circulating</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, R.O., high pressure with relief</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage tank, processed water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulator, tank pressure</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller, R. O.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor, water quantity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor, waste water quantity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank, waste water storage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor, temperature $H_2O$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller, heater</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity meter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell, reverse osmosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disconnect, maintenance</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Estimating Relationships:

The reverse osmosis system components have been grouped in seven groups, designated as I through VII, as shown in the system schematic, Figure 3. The recurring and nonrecurring CER's presented in the following paragraphs are based on estimated January 1972 dollars. The consumer price index was used to adjust CER's developed and based on prior years' dollar values.
Recurring CER's:

1. Waste Water Accumulator

The waste accumulator CER is given as follows:

Waste water accumulator fabrication cost \( C = 1918V^{0.377} + 2959 \ W_{OC} \) dollars

where,
\( V = \) volume of the accumulator = 3.5 ft\(^3\), and
\( W_{OC} = \) weight of other components = 6.5 lbs

The other components denote the valves associated with the operation of the accumulator. An assembly integration factor is used at the assembly level to account for necessary piping and packaging.

Substituting the values the variables in the above equation yields:

\( C = 1,918 \times 1.605 + 2959 \times 6.5 = 22,312 \) dollars

2. High Pressure Pump Assembly

The high pressure pump assembly, which comprises the pump, filter and associated valves, has the following CER:

High pressure pump assembly cost \( C = 91 \ P_W^{0.942} + 670 \ W_{OC} \) dollars

where,
\( P_W = \) electrical power input to transfer pumps = 65 watts,
\( W_{OC} = \) weight of other components = 8.95 lbs

Substituting the values of the above variables in the pump fabrication cost equation results in the following:

\( C = 91 \times 65^{0.942} + 670 \times 8.95 = 10,683 \) dollars

3. Accumulator

The CER utilized for the accumulator is given as follows:

Accumulator fabrication cost \( C = 1,918 V^{0.267} + 2959 \ W_{OC} \) dollars
where,

\[ V = \text{volume of accumulator} = 0.5 \text{ ft}^3, \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 1.1 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the above values in the tank's fabrication cost equation results in the following:

\[ C = 1598 + 3255 = 4853 \text{ dollars} \]

4. Recirculation Pump

The recirculation pump assembly CER is given by the following:

Recirculation pump fabrication cost \( C = 91 P_W^{0.92} + 670 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\[ P_W = \text{electrical power input to transfer pumps} = 50 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 4.7 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the values of the above variables in the pump fabrication cost equation results in the following:

\[ C = 3640 + 3149 = 6789 \text{ dollars} \]

5. Reverse Osmosis Module

The reverse osmosis cell CER is given by the following:

Reverse osmosis module fabrication cost \( C = 250 W_{RO} + 670 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\[ W_{RO} = \text{reverse osmosis module weight} = 24 \text{ lbs} \text{ and}, \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{associated components weight} = 6.35 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:

\[ C = 6000 + 4255 = 10,255 \text{ dollars} \]

6. Processed Water Tank Assembly

The processed water tank assembly CER is given as follows:
Tank assembly fabrication cost $C = 1.918V^{0.267} + 2959\ W_{OC}$ dollars

where,
\[ V = \text{volume of processed water tank} = 3.5 \text{ ft}^3 \] and,
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 16.6 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the above values in the tank's fabrication cost equation results in the following:
\[ C = 3078 + 49,119 = 52,197 \text{ dollars} \]

7. Controller

The CER used for the controller fabrication cost was based on CER's developed for similar equipment and is given as follows:

Controller fabrication cost $C = 4795\ W$ dollars

where,
\[ W = \text{controller weight} = 10.0 \text{ lbs} \]

thus,
\[ C = 4795 \times 10 = \47,950 \text{ dollars} \]

Integrated Reverse Osmosis System's Recurring CER:

The integration costs of components and assemblies into the reverse osmosis system are obtained by utilizing the total system's recurring CER as defined in previous sections of the report. Applying the said CER, then:

First unit cost $C_F = 1.833 \times 1.1 \times (22,312 + 10,683 + 4853 + 6789 + 10,255 + 52,197 + 47,950) = 2.016 \times 155,039 = 312,600 \text{ dollars} \]

and, assuming the production of two flight-type units, one for flight and one backup unit, then the total hardware recurring cost is given by:
\[ C_T = 312,600 \times (2)^{1-0.1047} = 581,440 \text{ dollars} \]

Integrated Reverse Osmosis System's Non-Recurring CER's:

Non-recurring CER's have been developed for engineering design only. Other non-recurring cost estimates are based on the cost breakdown ratios presented in
Table II which were based on actual cost data collected in a space hardware production program. The analysis of a number of cost influencing parameters indicated that engineering design CER is mainly a function of the number of component types (N) in each system and is given by the following relation.

\[ \text{System design cost} = 34,935N + 102,942 \text{ dollars} \]

The regenerable solid desiccant system comprises 25 component types as shown in Table VI. Accordingly, system design cost \( C = 873,375 + 102,942 = 976,317 \) dollars.

Values of other non-recurring cost items are listed in Table VII, which also shows the breakdown of recurring cost items based on the production of two flight hardware units. All cost figures are in estimated January 1972 dollars.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Recurring</th>
<th>Recurring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>System Engineering Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Flight Hardware Production (2 units)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>976,317</td>
<td>317,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcontractor General and Administrative</strong></td>
<td><strong>Subcontractor G&amp;A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504,759</td>
<td>53,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subcontractor Fee</strong></td>
<td><strong>Subcontractor Fee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211,861</td>
<td>22,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Management</strong></td>
<td><strong>Program Management</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,224</td>
<td>7,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System Engineering</strong></td>
<td><strong>Sustaining Engineering</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307,540</td>
<td>11,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Development Test</strong></td>
<td><strong>Development Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201,121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualification Test</strong></td>
<td><strong>Qualification Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability Test</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reliability Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239,198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGE</strong></td>
<td><strong>AGE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,079,807</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tooling</strong></td>
<td><strong>Tooling</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226,506</td>
<td>9,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-accountable Test Hardware</strong></td>
<td><strong>Non-accountable Test Hardware</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97,632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</strong></td>
<td><strong>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>797,651</td>
<td>90,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System Integration</strong></td>
<td><strong>System Integration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>489,135</td>
<td>41,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prime's Testing</strong></td>
<td><strong>Prime's Testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478,395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minor Subcontracts</strong></td>
<td><strong>Minor Subcontracts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22,455</td>
<td>27,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,854,001</td>
<td>581,440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Reverse Osmosis System Cost = 5,854,001 + 581,440 = 6,435,441 dollars
3.3 MULTIFILTRATION WASH WATER SYSTEM

System Description:

The multifiltration Wash Water Subsystem, shown schematically in Figure 1, includes the following major components and/or assemblies:

1. Multifiltration unit consisting of a 30-micron, a 3-micron, and a 1-micron filters, two activated charcoal filters, a mixed resin column and a "cation" resin column.

2. A receiving tank, an on-line tank and a reserve tank.

3. A circulation pump.

4. Valves, sensors and controls.

5. A water chiller.

A complete listing of all the wash water subsystem components is given in Table VIII. The multifiltration wash water system performance and design requirements are as follows:

- Whole Body Shower Water Process Rate = 144 lbs/day
- Hand Wash Water Process Rate = 12 lbs/day
- Housekeeping Water Process Rate = 8 lbs/day
- Process Water Temperature = 165 ± 5°F

System operation and control as well as individual component design and performance characteristics are discussed in the following:

Operation

The heated positive expulsion tanks shown on the right side of the water system schematic, Figure 4, are sized for the 24-hour use rate which is 164 lbs or 19.7 gallons (6 man) of usable water. A multifiltration unit is combined with a line receiver to limit the filtration unit rate to 6.8 lb/hr. Electric 3-way valves are incorporated into the tank expulsion system such that each
FIGURE 4. WASH WATER MULTIFILTRATION SYSTEM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS.)</th>
<th>TOTAL WEIGHT (LBS.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Vent, 3-Way Solenoid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Liquid, 4-Way Solenoid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Check</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Relief, 40 PSIG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Disconnect, Liquid</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity Sensor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Switch</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiller</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Manual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifiltration Module</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9(^1)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>180.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleansing Agent Tank</td>
<td>1(^2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor, Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller, Wash Water Temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES: (1) Included are 8 expendable multifiltration cartridges required for 180-day mission.
(2) Additionally, 16 lbs of cleansing agent are required for the 180-day mission.
tank can be vented, connected to the pressurizing gas supply or cut off. Normal operation places all three tanks on the pressure gas line. Water is circulated by the positive displacement pump at all times. A high inlet pressure differential to the bypass valve opens the hot supply line to the return line. This allows a circulation of hot water to keep the lines free of microbial growth. A chiller is provided to reduce the temperature of 45°F for cold water use. Three tanks are provided, one of which is filled and on standby. When the water degrades to the point that reprocessing is desirable, the standby and use tanks are switched and the water outlet of the other tank is switched to the urine recovery system inlet for reprocessing. This water is processed when the urine feed is off, collected in potable water use tanks and then returned to the standby wash water tank.

Control

System controls utilize a centralized control panel. The multifiltration wash water panel can be mounted near its tanks, since it requires no attention except for reprocessing wash water, or also could be located in the waste management compartment. Quality gages and tank pressure data are available for system checks and analysis. All switches are normally in the ON position and periodic operations are not required. Should the water require reprocessing, the quick-disconnect hoses are switched to the other supply tank after verifying that the receiver is empty, and the urine recovery system line is connected to the unacceptable tank. Water is returned to the wash water system after processing through the potable water system by use of the other hose. Water transfer to and from receiving tanks and use tanks is accomplished through the use of quick-disconnects, rather than valved connectors, in order to minimize the possibility of bacteria growth or migration through the water lines.

The tank connectors are flexible hoses on the panels with quick disconnects and are advanced in a circular clockwise direction. Quantity gages allow a visual assessment of tank condition. By placing the interconnect panels in a protected location there is no possibility of inadvertent crew contact on the looped hoses and location in the waste management compartment minimizes
The expendable required of the wash water multifiltration module based on the NASA 90-day test data, are expected to be as follows:

a. Activated charcoal columns (a set of two), containing 5.0 lbs of charcoal, with an estimated total canister weight of 7.5 lbs for processing 4000.0 lbs of wash water.

b. Ion exchange columns (one anion resin and one cation), containing 6 lbs of resin, with an estimated total canister weight of 7.5 lbs for processing 4000.0 lbs of wash water.

c. Pall particulate filters (a set of one 30-μ, one 3-μ and one 1-μ filters), with a total weight of 1.5 lbs for processing 4000.0 lbs of wash water.

d. Two pounds of cleansing agent for use with every 4000 lbs of wash water.

2. Tanks and Heaters:

The wash water tanks are spherical, 20.8 inches in diameter, with a capacity of 19.7 gallons each, and are insulated with 2 inches of glass wool. Electrical heaters are located in the tanks and are each rated at 130 watts. Hot lines are insulated sufficiently so that the hot sterilization temperatures can be maintained without the need for line heaters or booster. Losses are estimated to be a few Btu/Hr per foot of line length with a 160-180°F fluid temperature.

3. Pump:

A positive displacement pump, with a capacity of 0.8 GPH, is required to assure correct flow rates. Since this flow rate is about 1/30 of the flow rate of Apollo pumps, there are no qualified pumps in this flow range. Power for this pump is expected to be approximately 5 watts.
Cost Estimating Relationships:

The multifiltration system components have been grouped in four groups, designated as I through IV, as shown in the system schematic, Figure 4. The recurring and non-recurring CER's presented in the following paragraphs are based on estimated January 1972 dollars. The consumer price index was used to adjust CER's developed and based on prior years' dollar values.

Recurring CER's:

1. Potable Water Tanks

The CER utilized for the potable water tanks is given as follows:

Tanks fabrication cost \( C = 1,918^{0.267} Q^{0.89} + 2959 \ W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\[ V = \text{volume of tanks} = 2.725 \text{ ft}^3, \]
\[ Q = \text{number of tanks} = 5, \text{ and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 30.5 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the above values in the tanks fabrication cost equation results in the following:

\[ C = 1918 \times 1.307 \times 5.2 + 2959 \times 30.5 = 100,327 \text{ dollars} \]

2. Multifiltration Module

The CER utilized for the multifiltration module is based on costs of similar hardware and is given as follows:

Multifiltration module fabrication cost \( C = 200 \ W_{MF} + 670 \ W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\[ W_{MF} = \text{multifiltration module weight} = 240 \text{ lbs and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of associated components} = 4.0 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:

\[ C = 200 \times 24 + 670 \times 4 = 7480 \text{ dollars} \]
3. Displacement Pump

The CER for the water transfer pump is given by the following relation:

\[
C = 91 P_w^{0.942} + 670 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]

where,

- \( P_w \) = electrical power input to pump = 5.0 watts,
- \( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components = 3.5 lbs

Substituting the values of the variables in above CER yields the following:

\[
C = 91 \times 12.8 + 670 \times 3.5 = 3510 \text{ dollars}
\]

4. Chiller Assembly

The chiller is basically a heat exchanger and the CER utilized for the chiller assembly is given by the following:

\[
C = 159 W^{0.267} N_F^{1.905} + 2959 W_{OC} \text{ dollars}
\]

where,

- \( W \) = chiller weight = 5.0 lbs,
- \( N_F \) = number of ports per chiller = 4,
- \( W_{OC} \) = weight of associated components = 4 lbs

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

\[
C = 159 \times 1.539 \times 14.05 + 2959 \times 4 = 15,670 \text{ dollars}
\]

**Integrated Multifiltration System's Recurring CER:**

The integration costs of components and assemblies into the multifiltration system are obtained by utilizing the total system's recurring CER as defined in previous sections of this report. Applying the said CER, then:

First unit cost \( C_F = 1.833 \times 1.1 \times \left( 100,327 + 7480 + 3510 + 15,670 \right) = 2.016 \times 126,987 = 256,040 \text{ dollars} \)

and, assuming the production of two flight-type units, one for flight and the other for backup, then the total hardware recurring cost is given by:

\[
C_T = 256,040 \times (2)^{1-0.1047} = 475,722 \text{ dollars}
\]
Integrated Multifiltration System's Non-Recurring CER's:

Non-recurring CER's have been developed for engineering design only. Other non-recurring cost estimates are based on the cost breakdown ratios presented in Table II which were based on actual cost data collected in a space hardware production program. The analysis of a number of cost influencing parameters indicated that engineering design CER is mainly a function of the number of component types \( N \) in each system and is given by the following relation.

\[
\text{System design cost } C = 34,935N + 102,942 \text{ dollars}
\]

The regenerable solid desiccant system comprises 18 component types as shown in Table VIII. Accordingly, system design cost \( C = 628,830 + 102,942 = 731,772 \) dollars.

Values of other non-recurring cost items are listed in Table IX, which also shows the breakdown of recurring cost items based on the production of two flight hardware units. All cost figures are in estimated January 1972 dollars.
TABLE IX - MULTIFILTRATION SYSTEM COST BREAKDOWN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Recurring</th>
<th>Recurring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering Design</td>
<td>Flight Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Production (2 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor General and Administrative</td>
<td>Subcontractor G&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>Program Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering</td>
<td>Sustaining Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td>Sustaining Tooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accountable Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</td>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Integration</td>
<td>System Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime's Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 VAPOR COMPRESSION SYSTEM:

System Description:

This system is used for collecting and processing urine, urinal flush water and rejected condensate. The recovered water is used for drinking and food reconstitution. The system includes a urine collection module containing a urinal blower type phase separator. The urine collection module is actuated by removing the urinal from its holder, which closes a switch and energizes the separator motor. Cabin gas is drawn into the urinal through peripheral holes and transfers the urine to the separator by pneumatic entrainment. After mic-turition, silver ion dosed water enters the urinal for flushing at approximately 30 psig and \(100^\circ F\). A flow of 150 ml of flush water is admitted to the urinal for approximately 10 seconds by a control timer. As this water is drawn into the separator, a measured amount of pretreatment chemical, to fix the urine, is mixed with the water. The pretreatment chemical, a compound composed of disinfectant, acid, antifoam agent, and water, is utilized at a rate of 0.4 lbs of pretreatment per 100 lbs of urine and flush water. The separator uses a rotating bowl to separate urine and flush water from cabin gas. The separated air is passed through a charcoal and bacteria filter and discharged into the cabin.

The pretreated urine and flush water are transferred from the liquid/gas separator to the waste collection tank, which has a capacity of 13\(\frac{1}{4}\) lbs of waste liquid.

The waste liquid is fed to the distillation module from the tank for processing. A peristaltic type feed pump sends liquid to the distillation module at a fixed rate of 15 lbs/hr. This pump is mounted on a common drive shaft with the recycle and condensate pumps.

The distillation unit is a motor driven, cylindrical device which separates liquids and gases by centrifugal force. Two concentric cylinders are used to form an inner evaporator and an annular condenser. The vapor compressor is statically sealed and is driven by an externally mounted motor at a rotational speed of approximately 3400 rpm. Timing gears step down the speed so that the bowl is driven by the same motor at 240 rpm. The distillation process occurs
whenever the motor is energized. The removal of water vapor concentrates the waste in the solution so that the concentration of dissolved solids is greatest at the hub end of the evaporator, which contains an annular sump.

Water vapor and gases are drawn from the evaporator through a demister into the vapor compressor and then forced into the condenser. A relief valve is located on the compression discharge to avoid any excessive pressure differentials that could cause overly vigorous boiling and to permit rapid startup with cabin gas in the condenser. Water vapor is condensed on the outer surface of the evaporator cylinder and centrifuged as droplets to the inner surface of the outer shell where it flows into an annular condensate sump. The condensate, at a nominal rate of 2.42 lbs/hr is transferred out of the condenser through two condensate pumps in series through a conductivity meter and a motor driven three-way valve.

The required start-up time for the still is approximately one hour to reach normal processing rate and the average processing rate is 2.42 lb/hr. for each still and the modules can operate continuously. If the feed is depleted, the still will continue to rotate to dry out the evaporator and prevent contamination. Normal dryout time is one to two hours.

Non-condensible gases are removed from the condenser section of the still by a purge pump and returned to the cabin. The purge flow is discharged to the urinal separator, thus taking advantage of the bacteria/charcoal filter.

Vapor compression distillation rate is directly proportional to cabin temperature and inversely proportional to the concentration of dissolved solids. The still will normally operate with the evaporator pressure at the saturation pressure of water at cabin gas temperature. The condenser temperature will be no less than 6°F above the saturation temperature of water at evaporator pressures. Normally, the evaporator pressure will be 20 mm Hg while the condenser pressure will be 40 mm Hg. The condensate water will normally be transferred to the water storage and sterilization subsystem at condenser temperature of approximately 90°F and a pressure of 30 psig.
Bacteria and odor control within this circuit is provided by the pretreatment of the urine in the urine collection module, flushing with silver dosed sterile water and distillation under vacuum at room temperature. Since the subsystem is sealed, odor control is not a problem. Gas from the separator is discharged directly to the cabin. Maintenance design is such that removal of components will not allow exposure of the contents of the circuit to the cabin atmosphere.

A flow diagram of the vapor compression system is shown in Figure 6. Table X gives a complete listing of the vapor compression system components.

### Table X

**Vapor Compression System Components Weight**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Spares</th>
<th>Unit Weight (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valve, check, liquid</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, shutoff, electrical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, shutoff, manual</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way manual, vacuum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way electrical, manual override</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way, electrical, gas</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, disconnect</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way, liquid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter, activated charcoal/bacteria</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator, liquid gas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump assembly, still</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiller</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat exchanger</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE X
VAPOR COMPRESSION SYSTEM
COMPONENTS WEIGHT
(Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meter, conductivity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller, VCD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor, potable and waste water quantity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller, urinal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank, potable and waste water storage</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still, vapor compression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow meter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilizer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement switching unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter, ion exchange</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Estimating Relationships:

The components utilized in the vapor compression system have been grouped into eight groups, designated as I through VIII, as shown in the system schematic, Figure 6. The recurring and non-recurring CER's presented in the following paragraphs are based on estimated January 1972 dollars. The Consumer Price Index was used to adjust CER's developed and based on prior years' dollar values.

1. Potable and Waste Water Tanks

The CER for the potable and waste water tanks is given as follows:
Tanks fabrication cost $C = 1,918V^{0.267}Q^{0.89} + 2959W_{OC}$ dollars

where,
- $V = \text{volume of tanks} = 3.0 \text{ ft}^3$
- $Q = \text{number of tanks} = 6$, and
- $W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 22.3 \text{ lbs}$

Substituting the above values in the tanks' fabrication, cost equation results in the following:

$$C = 1,918 \times 1.342 \times 4.92 + 2959 \times 22.3 = 78,645 \text{ dollars}$$

2. Urinal and Gas Separator

The urinal and gas-liquid separator CER is given by the following relation:

Urinal and separator assembly fabrication cost $C = 91P_W^{0.942} + 670(W_U + W_{OC})$ dollars

where,
- $P_W = \text{power input to separator} = 200 \text{ watts}$,
- $W_U = \text{Urinal weight} = 0.6 \text{ lbs}$, and
- $W_{OC} = \text{associated components weight} = 1.0 \text{ lb}$

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields the following:

$$C = 91 \times (200)^{0.947} + 670 \times 1.6 = 14,540 \text{ dollars}$$

3. Waste Water Transfer Pump

The waste water transfer pump CER is given by the following relation:

Pumps fabrication cost $C = 91P_W^{0.942} + 670W_{OC}$

where,
- $P_W = \text{electrical power input to transfer pumps} = 30 \text{ watts}$,
- $W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 4.2 \text{ lbs}$
Substituting the values of the above variables in the pumps fabrication cost equation results in the following:

\[ C = 91 \times (30)^{0.942} + 670 \times 4.2 = 5044 \text{ dollars} \]

4. Sterilizers and Filters

The CER utilized for the sterilizers and filters is based on costs of similar hardware and is given as follows:

Sterilizer and filters fabrication cost \( C = 200 W + 670 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\( W = \) weight of sterilizers and filters = 21.9 lbs, and

\( W_{OC} = \) other components weight = 4.0 lbs

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:

\[ C = 200 \times 21.9 + 670 \times 4.0 = 7060 \text{ dollars} \]

5. Vapor Compression Still

The CER utilized for the vapor compression still was based on manufacturers' data, as well as on comparisons with similar hardware costs, and is given as follows:

Still fabrication cost \( C = 2700 W_S + 670 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\( W_S = \) vapor compression still weight = 75.0 lbs, and

\( W_{OC} = \) other components weight = 3.5 lbs

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:

\[ C = 2700 \times 75 + 670 \times 3.5 = 204,845 \text{ dollars} \]

6. Heat Exchanger

The regenerative heat exchanger CER is given by the following:

Heat exchanger fabrication cost \( C = 159W^{0.267}_{NP} \times 1.905 + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,
Substituting the values of the variables in the CER yields:

\[ C = 159 \times 1.85 \times 14.05 + 2959 \times 2.3 = 10,940 \text{ dollars} \]

7. Controller

The vapor compression system controller CER is given as follows:

Controller fabrication cost \( C = 4795 (W + W_{OC}) \) dollars

where,

\[ W = \text{controller weight} = 5.0 \text{ lbs}, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{other components weight} = 30.0 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the values of the variables in the above equation results in the following:

\[ C = 4795 (5 + 30) = 167,825 \text{ dollars} \]

8. Chillers

The chillers CER is given by the following:

Chillers fabrication cost \( C = 159W^{0.267}N_{P}^{1.905}Q^{0.89} + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\[ W = \text{chiller weight} = 2.0 \text{ lbs}, \]
\[ N_{P} = \text{number of ports per chiller} = 4, \]
\[ Q = \text{number of chillers} = 2, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of associated components} = 6.5 \text{ lbs}. \]

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

\[ C = 159 \times 1.204 \times 14.05 \times 1.855 + 2959 \times 6.5 = 24,223 \text{ dollars} \]
9. Circulating Pump

The CER for the water distribution system circulating pump is given by the following relation:

\[ C = 91P_{W}^{0.942} + 670 W_{OC} \text{ dollars} \]

where,

\[ P_{W} = \text{electrical power input to pump} = 60 \text{ watts}, \]
\[ W_{OC} = \text{weight of other components} = 1.6 \text{ lbs} \]

Substituting the values of the variables in above CER yields the following:

\[ C = 91 \times 48 + 670 \times 1.6 = 5440 \text{ dollars} \]

Integrated Vapor Compression System's Recurring CER:

The integration costs of components and assemblies into the vapor compression system are obtained by utilizing the system's recurring CER as defined in previous sections of this report. Applying the said CER, then:

First unit cost \( C_F = 1.833 \times 1.1 \times (78,645 + 14,540 + 5,044 + 7,060 + 204,845 + 10,940 + 167,825 + 24,223 + 5,440) = 2.016 \times 518,562 = 1,045,577 \text{ dollars} \)

and assuming the production of two flight-type units, one for flight and the other for back-up, then the total hardware cost is given by:

\[ C_T = 1,045,577 \times (2)^{1-0.1047} = 1,944,770 \text{ dollars} \]

Integrated Vapor Compression System's Non-Recurring CER's:

Non-recurring CER's have been developed for engineering design only. Other non-recurring cost estimates are based on the cost breakdown ratios presented in Table II, which were based on actual cost data collected in a space hardware production program. The analysis of a number of cost influencing parameters indicated that engineering design CER is mainly a function of the number of component types \( (N) \) in each system and is given by the following relation:

System design cost \[ C = 34,935N + 102,942 \text{ dollars} \]
The regenerable solid desiccant system comprises 27 component types as shown in Table X. Accordingly, system design cost \( C = 943,245 + 102,942 = 1,046,187 \) dollars.

Values of other non-recurring cost items are listed in Table XI, which also shows the breakdown of recurring cost items based on the production of two flight hardware units. All cost figures are in estimated January 1972 dollars.
# TABLE XI - VAPOR COMPRESSION SYSTEM COST BREAKDOWN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Recurring</th>
<th>Recurring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering Design</td>
<td>Flight Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor General and Administrative</td>
<td>Subcontractor G&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>Program Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering</td>
<td>Sustaining Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accountable Test Hardware</td>
<td>Sustaining Tooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</td>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Integration</td>
<td>System Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime's Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total vapor compression system cost = 6,272,939 + 1,944,770 = 8,217,709 dollars
3.5 CLOSED AIR EVAPORATION SYSTEM WITH ELECTROLYTIC PRETREATMENT

Process Description:

A flow diagram of the closed air evaporation system with electrolytic pretreatment is shown in Figure 7. The system has two primary recirculation loops. The first loop contains the electrolytic pretreatment cell that removes organic constituents from the circulating urine. The second loop contains the closed air evaporation system that removes the remaining inorganic constituents. The system achieves its self-sterilizing feature by electrolytically generating chlorine from urine. Some of the chlorinated urine is used as flush water and added to the incoming raw urine to render it stable with respect to gross microbial growth. The subsequent removal of dissolved urine solids is accomplished in two steps. In the first step, the urine and flush water mixture is electrolyzed and, through a series of electrochemical reactions, the dissolved organic materials are converted to hydrogen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and oxygen. In the second step, the remaining solids are removed by air evaporation system wicks. The overall electrochemical reaction for the electrolytic urine pretreatment process is approximately represented as follows:

\[ X_3O + 2 C_2H_6N_2O_2 + 11 H_2O \rightarrow X_3O_4 + 17 H_2 + 2N_2 + 20_2 + 4CO_2 \]

In this equation, \( X_3O \) represents the inorganic compounds in raw urine, \( C_2H_6N_2O_2 \) represents the organic compounds in raw urine, and \( X_3O_4 \) represents the inorganic compounds in electrolyzed urine. \( X \) represents all atoms other than C, H, N, and O and is considered to have an atomic weight of approximately 30, which is about average for real human urine.

The mechanism for the overall electrochemical reaction is not known. However, it is felt that chemical reactions involving hypochlorite, chlorate, perchlorate, and perhaps both nascent chlorine and nascent oxygen are of prime importance. In actual practice, a batch of urine consisting of approximately 4 liters is circulated through an electrolysis cell operating at a current density in the range 200 to 300 mA/cm² until the TOC (Total Organic Carbon), COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand), and TKN (Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen) are each reduced to less than 100 mg/l.
Figure 7. CLOSED AIR EVAPORATION SYSTEM WITH ELECTROLYTIC PRETREATMENT
The system operation includes urine processing 4-liter batches in the electrolysis loop which on completion are transferred to the air evaporation loop. When a batch is completed in the air evaporation loop, the resulting purified water is transferred to the potable water storage tanks. The subsystem also has a pretreated urine accumulator and a flush water storage tank. The flush water tank has a manual fill provision to enable experimental determination of the optimum point at which flush water should be extracted in the electrolysis cycle. All other operations are completely automatic and function as described in the following paragraphs.

Four distinct pressure plateaus are arranged in the subsystem so that internal leakage will cascade in a reverse direction from: 1) the potable water storage tank, to 2) the air evaporation loop, to 3) the electrolysis loop, to 4) the raw urine accumulator. The pressure in each part of the subsystem is a function of the initial pressure loading in the individual bladder tanks. The pressure levels are set to eliminate overlap at the high- and low-pressure end of each plateau.

When a batch of pretreated urine is available in the pretreated urine accumulator, shown in Figure 7, and the electrolysis loop has completed its previous batch, valve V-1 moves to admit raw urine to the electrolysis loop. The transfer pump transfers raw urine into the electrolysate accumulator until the raw urine accumulator is emptied. Valve V-1 then moves to the position for circulating in the electrolysis loop.

When the electrolysis loop completes a batch, as determined by a preset timer, and the air evaporation loop has completed its previous batch, valve V-2 moves to admit the semi-processed urine from the electrolysis loop to the air evaporation loop. The semi-processed urine is then pumped into the pretreated urine holding tank until the electrolysate accumulator is emptied. Valve V-2 then returns to its normal position and processing in the air evaporation loop is started. If after completion of this operation there is another batch of electrolysate ready for processing, it is automatically transferred and processed. If no electrolysate is available, the air evaporation loop automatically shuts down and waits for the next batch. The electrolysis loop is automatically controlled in a similar manner in respect to availability of raw urine.
In the closed air evaporation loop, impure water is fed into a wick material through which heated air is passed. The combined action of reduced vapor pressure and heat transfer from the air to the water rapidly evaporates the water in the wick leaving the residues behind. The closed circuit air system comprises a blower and an isotope heater, both adding energy to the air stream, followed by the wick evaporator which extracts the heat of vaporization from the air stream. The vapor-laden air then passes through a regenerative heat exchanger which is used to extract heat from the air leaving the evaporator and adds it to the air leaving the condenser/separator. After leaving the heat exchanger, the air stream is admitted to the condenser/separator where the condensed water is extracted. When the wick is saturated with residues, it is taken off the line and replaced with a new wick. The isotope heater is designed to supply the system with a constant thermal load of 700 watts.

The closed air evaporation loop has inlets from the electrolysis system and transfer lines from the wash water and potable water systems to allow reprocessing of unacceptable water. A metering pump supplies 4.0 lb/hr of pretreated urine or wash water to the evaporator as long as a supply is present at the inlet. The evaporator has two wick assemblies which permit switching of the process path to allow wick replacement while maintaining continuous operation. Normal usage allows the maximum quantity of urine to be processed in approximately 16 hrs/day and thus leaves 8 hrs/day available for maintenance or water reprocessing.

The multifiltration unit and metering pump are designed for a flow rate of 4.2 lb/hr which allows the reprocessing of an out-of-tolerance potable tank (requires 2 days) or a wash water tank (requires 12 days) without interruptions in service. Note that potable use tank reprocess water comes into the system either ahead of the multifiltration unit pump or with the wash water through the evaporator inlet line.

There are 4 use tanks with positive expulsion system and solenoid-controlled 3-way valves and each with a capacity of 23.2 gallons. One tank is receiving, one is on the 2-day test cycle, one is on standby with chiller sterilization, and one on line for crew use. A three-section electric or pneumatic valve is used to switch chillers from use to standby recirculation when use tanks are
switched. This provides for periodic microbe control on the chiller every 2
days. An inlet pressure controlled pump recirculates the hot water except when
the inlet is dead headed. The conductivity meter monitors the wick loading,
with the high reading (1700 micro mhos/cm) indicating the end of useful wick life.

A complete listing of components in the closed air evaporation system with
electrolytic pretreatment is shown in Table XII. Expendable filters and evapora-
tors are included as spares for a 6-man/180-day mission.

TABLE XII - AIR EVAPORATION/ELECTROLYTIC PRETREATMENT SYSTEM COMPONENT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
<th>TOTAL WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Electrolytic Pretreatment Loop:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, shut-off, manual</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, solenoid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 3-way, electrical</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, check</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanks, bladder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>108.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, Q.D.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, liquid</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolytic cell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator, liquid/gas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure switch</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement switching unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor, water quantity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal 30 27 - 257.2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>SPARES</th>
<th>UNIT WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
<th>TOTAL WEIGHT (LBS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B. Closed Air Evaporation Loop:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blower</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotope heater assembly</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator, dual</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15*</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>170.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water trap</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat exchanger, regenerative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condenser/separator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow separator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder tank, 3.4 gallons size</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder tank, 23.2 gallons size</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>480.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, dual metering</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, metering</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump, circulation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifiltration unit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiller</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, manual</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, vent, 3-way solenoid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, check</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, 4-way</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve, by-pass</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orifices</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick disconnect, liquid</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity sensor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure switch and transducer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature sensor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity probe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller (listed under electrolytic pretreatment loop)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (Air Evaporation)</strong></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>953.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1210.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: Expendables based on a 6-man/180-day mission.
System Performance and Characteristics:

The physical, performance and interface characteristics of the closed air evaporation system with electrolytic pretreatment are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crew size</td>
<td>6 men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine produced, average</td>
<td>3.45 lbs/man-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine processing rate</td>
<td>3.23 lb/hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrolytic pretreatment loop characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine storage tank capacity</td>
<td>43.6 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine holding temperature</td>
<td>160°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine transfer flow rate</td>
<td>12.5 lbs/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine transfer time</td>
<td>3.5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch size, maximum</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch temperature</td>
<td>150 to 200°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batch circulation flow rate</td>
<td>8 lbs/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated water transfer flow rate</td>
<td>12.5 lbs/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated water transfer time</td>
<td>3.5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolytic pretreatment unit size</td>
<td>36&quot; x 21&quot; x 28&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air evaporation loop:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated tank holding capacity</td>
<td>43.6 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated water temperature</td>
<td>160°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process water flow rate</td>
<td>3.23 lbs/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process air flow rate</td>
<td>53 cfm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator inlet temperature</td>
<td>200°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaporator outlet temperature</td>
<td>130°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored potable water temperature</td>
<td>160°F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Estimating Relationships:

The components utilized in the closed air evaporation system with electrolytic pretreatment have been grouped in 14 groups, designated as I through XIV, as shown in the system schematic, Figure 7, which includes potable water tankage and distribution equipment. The recurring and non-recurring CER's presented in the following paragraphs are based on estimated January 1972 dollars. The
consumer price index was used to adjust CER's developed and based on prior years' dollar values.

Recurring CER's:

1. Electrolytic Pretreatment Loop Accumulators

The following CER was used for the raw urine, flush water and electrolyte accumulators:

Accumulators fabrication cost \( C = 1.918V^{0.267}Q^{0.89} + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars
where,
\( V \) = volume of accumulator, \( \text{ft}^3 \)
\( Q \) = number of accumulators, and
\( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components, \( \text{lbs} \)

Substituting the values of variables in the above equation, where \( V = 0.7 \) \( \text{ft}^3 \), \( Q = 3 \) and \( W_{OC} = 12.0 \) \text{lbs}, yields:
\[
C = 1.918 \times 0.91 \times 1.85^4 + 2959 \times 12 = 38,744 \text{ dollars}
\]

2. Electrolytic Pretreatment Loop Pumps

The electrolytic pretreatment loop pump CER is given by the following relation:

Pumps fabrication cost \( C = 91 \left( P_{W1}^{0.942} + P_{W2}^{0.942}Q^{0.89} \right) 670 W_{OC} \) dollars
where,
\( P_{W1} \) = electrical power input to circulation pump = 120 watts,
\( P_{W2} \) = electrical power input to transfer pumps = 10 watts,
\( Q \) = number of transfer pumps = 2, and
\( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components = 8.7 \text{ lbs}

Substituting the values of the above variables in the pumps fabrication cost equation results in the following:
\[
C = 91 \left( 92 + 8.8 \times 1.852 \right) + 670 \times 8.7 = 15,684 \text{ dollars}
\]
3. Electrolytic Cell Module Fabrication

A study of the costs of similar electrochemical cells and of prototype electrolytic pretreatment cells indicates that the electrolytic cell module fabrication cost may be given by the following relation:

\[ C = 6250W_M + 2192 W_{OC} + 2000 \text{ dollars} \]

where,

- \( W_M \) = weight of module = 4.0 lbs, and
- \( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components = 5.0 lbs

Substituting the values of the above variables in the electrolytic cell module fabrication cost equation yields the following:

\[ C = 6250 \times 4 + 2192 \times 5 + 2000 = 37,960 \text{ dollars} \]

4. Pretreated Urine and Potable Water Tanks

The same CER used for the electrolytic loop accumulators is utilized for the pretreated urine and potable water tanks and is given as follows:

Tanks fabrication cost \( C = 1,918V^{0.267}Q^{0.89} + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

- \( V \) = volume of tanks = 1.0 ft\(^3\)
- \( Q \) = number of tanks = 5, and
- \( W_{OC} \) = weight of other components = 27.5 lbs

Substituting the above values in the tanks fabrication, cost equation results in the following:

\[ C = 1918 \times 1 \times 4.2 + 2959 \times 27.5 = 89,153 \text{ dollars} \]

5. Metering Pumps

The CER for the metering pumps is given by the following equation:

Pumps fabrication cost \( C = 91 P^{0.942} Q^{0.89} + 670 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,
P_w = electrical power input to transfer pumps = 10 watts
Q = number of transfer pumps = 2, and
W_{OC} = weight of other components = 7.4 watts

Substituting the values of the above variables in the pumps fabrication cost equation results in the following:

C = 91 \times 8.8 \times 1.85^2 + 670 \times 7.4 = 6,441 dollars

6. Air Evaporation Loop Blower

The air blower CER is primarily dependent on the electrical power input to the unit and is given by the following relation:

Air evaporation loop blower fabrication cost C = 38.2 P^{0.942} dollars

where,

P = blower's electrical power input = 150 watts

then,

C = 38.2 \times 150 = 4,278 dollars

7. Air Evaporation Loop Heater

An isotope type heater is used in the air evaporation loop. The heater CER reflects only the cost of the housing and support structure and not the radioisotope elements and is given as follows:

Air evaporation loop heater fabrication cost C = 600 (W_h + W_{OC}) dollars

where,

W_h = heater weight = 8 lbs, and
W_{OC} = other components weight = 0.1 lbs

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

C = 600 (8 + 0.1) = 4,860 dollars

8. Evaporator

The evaporator CER, given below, was formulated by evaluating the unit structure and comparing it to other CER's developed for heat exchangers and canisters,
and is given as follows:

Evaporator fabrication cost \( C = 15,865W^{0.267} + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\( W = \) evaporator weight = 10.0 lbs, and
\( W_{OC} = \) weight of associated components = 1.7 lbs

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:

\( C = 15,865 \times 1.85 + 2959 \times 1.7 = 34,380 \) dollars

9. Heat Exchanger

The air evaporation loop regenerative heat exchanger CER is given by the following:

Heat exchanger fabrication cost \( C = 159 W^{0.267} N^1.905 + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\( W = \) heat exchanger weight = 20.0 lbs,
\( N = \) number of ports per heat exchanger = 4,
\( W_{OC} = \) weight of other components = 1.5 lbs

Substituting the values of the variable in the CER yields:

\( C = 159 \times 2.228 \times 14.05 + 2959 \times 1.5 = 9417 \) dollars

10. Condenser/Separator

The CER for the air evaporation loop condenser/separator will utilize the heat exchanger relation for the condenser and assume the separator to be part of the associated components, \( W_{OC} \). The condenser/separator fabrication cost is equation given as follows:

\( C = 159W^{0.267} N^1.905 + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,

\( W = \) condenser weight = 16.0 lbs,
\( N = \) number of ports per condenser = 4,
\( W_{OC} = \) weight of other components = 5.5 lbs
Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:
\[ C = 159 \times 2.1 \times 14.05 + 2959 \times 5.5 = 20,967 \text{ dollars} \]

11. Multifiltration Unit

The CER utilized for the multifiltration unit is based on costs of similar hardware and is given as follows:

Multifiltration unit fabrication cost \( C = 200 W_{MF} + 670 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,
\[ W_{MF} \text{ = multifiltration unit weight = 5.0 lbs, and} \]
\[ W_{OC} \text{ = weight of associated components = 0.1 lbs} \]

Substituting the values of variables in the above CER yields:
\[ C = 200 \times 5.0 + 670 \times 0.1 = 1067 \text{ dollars} \]

12. Chillers

The chillers are basically heat exchangers and their CER is given by the following:

Chillers fabrication cost \( C = 159W^{0.267}N_{P}^{1.905}Q^{0.89} + 2959 W_{OC} \) dollars

where,
\[ W \text{ = chiller weight = 2.0 lbs,} \]
\[ N_{P} \text{ = number of ports per chiller = 4,} \]
\[ Q \text{ = number of chillers = 2, and} \]
\[ W_{OC} \text{ = weight of associated components = 6.5 lbs} \]

Substituting the values of variables in the CER yields:
\[ C = 159 \times 1.204 \times 14.05 \times 1.855 + 2959 \times 6.5 = 24,223 \text{ dollars} \]

13. Circulating Pump

The CER for the water distribution system circulating pump is given by the following relation:
Circulating pump fabrication cost $C = 91P_W^{0.942} + 670 W_{OC}$ dollars

where,

$P_W =$ electrical power input to pump = 60 watts,

$W_{OC} =$ weight of other components = 1.0 lb

Substituting the values of the variables in above CER yields the following:

$C = 91 \times 48 + 670 \times 1 = 5038$ dollars

14. Controller

The air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment controller CER is given by the following equation:

Controller fabrication cost $C = 4795 (W + W_{OC})$ dollars

where,

$W =$ controller weight = 10 lbs, and

$W_{OC} =$ other components weight = 30 lbs

Substituting the values of the variables in the above equation results in the following:

$C = 4795 (10 + 30) = 191,800$ dollars

Integrated Air Evaporation/Electrolytic-Pretreatment System's Recurring CER:

The integration costs of components and assemblies into the air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment system are obtained by utilizing the system's recurring CER as defined in previous sections of the report. Applying the said CER, then:

First unit cost $C_F = 1.833 \times 1.1 \times (38,744 + 15,684 + 37,960 + 89,153 + 6,441 + 4278 + 4860 + 34,380 + 9417 + 20,967 + 1067 + 24,223 + 5038 + 191,800) = 488,562$ dollars

and assuming the production of two flight-type units, one for flight and the other for back-up, then the total hardware recurring cost is given by:
\[ C = \text{488,562} \times (2)^{1-0.1047} = 908,725 \text{ dollars} \]

**Integrated Air Evaporation/Electrolytic Pretreatment System's Non-Recurring CER's:**

Non-recurring CER's have been developed for engineering design only. Other non-recurring cost estimates are based on the cost breakdown ratios presented in Table II, which were based on actual cost data collected in a space hardware production program. The analysis of a number of cost influencing parameters indicated that engineering design CER is mainly a function of the number of component types \(N\) in each system and is given by the following relation:

\[
\text{System design cost } C = 34,935N + 102,942 \text{ dollars}
\]

The air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment system comprises 36 component types as shown in Table XII. Accordingly, system design cost \(C = 1,257,660 + 102,942 = 1,360,602 \text{ dollars} \).

Values of other non-recurring cost items are listed in Table XIII, which also shows the breakdown of recurring cost items based on the production of two flight hardware units. All cost figures are in estimated January 1972 dollars.
TABLE XIII-AIR EVAPORATION/ELECTROLYTIC PRETREATMENT SYSTEM COST BREAKDOWN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Recurring</th>
<th>Recurring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering Design</td>
<td>Flight Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Production (2 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,360,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor General and Administrative</td>
<td>Subcontractor G&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>703,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
<td>Subcontractor Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>295,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Management</td>
<td>Program Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>102,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Engineering</td>
<td>Sustaining Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>428,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Test</td>
<td>Sustaining Tooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>280,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>206,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>333,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,504,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>315,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-accountable Test Hardware</td>
<td>Sustaining Tooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>136,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</td>
<td>Specifications, Vendor Coordination and Procurement Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,111,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Integration</td>
<td>System Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>681,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime's Testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>666,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
<td>Minor Subcontracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,158,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>905,725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment system cost = 8,158,171 + 905,725 = 9,063,896 dollars
CONCLUSIONS

New methodology and cost estimating relationships were developed for flight-type and prototype water recovery systems. Five water recovery systems were considered. Two of the systems, multifiltration and reverse osmosis, are intended primarily for wash water reclamation. Two phase-change systems, vapor compression and air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment, are used primarily for urine recovery. The RITE system, which also comprises a phase-change process, was designed to process all the solid and liquid waste products of a manned spacecraft crew. System costs were found to be directly proportional to system complexities and the number of components involved in each system. Comparison of system costs, however, should be based on the functions performed by the respective systems, characterized by the quantity and type of waste water processed. For example, multifiltration system may be compared to reverse osmosis for processing wash water, while vapor compression is to be compared to air evaporation/electrolytic pretreatment for urine processing. In assessing the merits of the RITE system, the benefits accrued from processing all crew wastes in one system should be considered. System comparisons should also include all cost elements pertaining to operating system parameters, such as power, heat rejection, expendables, subsystem interfaces, and crew time so that all the systems considered would be compared on a common basis encompassing all the penalties incurred by each system on the spacecraft for the duration of the mission.

The most pertinent research and technology areas where additional efforts are warranted include the following:

1. The RITE system should be made to operate as a completely gravity-independent system.
2. Additional research and technology efforts should be expended to produce an operational high-fidelity RITE system prototype.
3. Development of a high temperature/high pressure pump for the reverse osmosis system should be pursued.
4. The further development of electrolytic pretreatment system to provide proper cell sealing and long-life operation should be accomplished.
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