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ABSTRACT

Many algorithms used for data smoothing, data classification and error detection require the calculation of the distance from a point to the polynomial interpolating its 2n neighbors (n on each side) as is clearly the case in [1]. This computation, if performed naively, would require the solution of a system of equations and could create numerical problems. It is the purpose of this note to show that if the data is equally spaced, then this calculation can be performed using a simple recursion formula.
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1. Introduction. Many algorithms used for data smoothing, data classification and error detection require the calculation of the distance from a point to the polynomial interpolating its 2n neighbors (n on each side) as is clearly the case in [1]. This computation, if performed naively, would require the solution of a system of equations and could create numerical problems. It is the purpose of this note to show that if the data is equally spaced, then this calculation can be performed using a simple recursion formula.

2. The 2n-th Central Difference. Given \( \{w_i : i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) and a scalar \( h \) we may define the second difference operator

\[
D(w_i;h) = \frac{w_{i+1} - 2w_i + w_{i-1}}{h^2}.
\]

Consider \( Y = \{(x_i, y_i) : i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) with \( x_{i+1} - x_i = h \) for all \( i \). Recall that by the 2n-th central difference of \( Y \) at the i-th point we mean

\[
r_i^{(2n)} = D(r_i^{(2n-2)};h), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

with \( r_i^{(0)} = y_i \) for all \( i \).

By the 2n-th canonical central difference \( r_i^{(2n)} \) we mean the 2n-th central difference of the set

\[
\{(jh, \xi_j) : j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \}
\]
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at \((0,1)\) \((\delta_{ij} \text{ is the Kronecker delta})\).

A well-known property of the \(2n\)-th central difference is that it is a good approximation to the \(2n\)-th derivative for small \(h\), i.e., if \(y_{i} = f(x_{i})\) and \(f\) is \(2n\) times differentiable, then \(r_{i}^{(2n)} \to f^{(2n)}(x_{i})\) as \(h \to 0\). We offer the following alternate and very useful interpretation of the \(2n\)-th central difference.

**Theorem.** Let \(P(x)\) be the unique polynomial of degree \(2n - 1\) interpolating the points 

\[
\{(x_{i+j}, y_{i+j}) : j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n\} \ (n \geq 1).
\]

Then

\[
y_{i} - P(x_{i}) = \frac{r_{i}^{(2n)}}{r_{i}^{(2n)}} \text{ for any } h \neq 0.
\]

**Proof.** We merely sketch a proof. Consider the following table.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(y_{i-4})</th>
<th>(y_{i-3})</th>
<th>(y_{i-2})</th>
<th>(y_{i-1})</th>
<th>(y_{i})</th>
<th>(y_{i+1})</th>
<th>(y_{i+2})</th>
<th>(y_{i+3})</th>
<th>(y_{i+4})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 is constructed by taking for the \(n\)-th row the second difference of the \((n-1)\)-th row with \(h = 1\), for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\). Let \(a_{n,j}\) denote the element in Table 1 in the \(n\)-th row and in the column corresponding to \(y_{j}\).
From Table 1 we obtain \( r^{(2n)}_i = \sum_j a_{n,j} y_j \). Moreover \( r^{(2n)} = a_{n,1} \).

We now construct Table 2 from Table 1 by dividing by the column corresponding to \( y_1 \), i.e., by dividing the \( n \)-th row by \( r^{(2n)} \).

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>( y_{1-4} )</th>
<th>( y_{1-3} )</th>
<th>( y_{1-2} )</th>
<th>( y_{1-1} )</th>
<th>( y_1 )</th>
<th>( y_{1+1} )</th>
<th>( y_{1+2} )</th>
<th>( y_{1+3} )</th>
<th>( y_{1+4} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>-2/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2/3</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1/20</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>-3/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3/4</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>-1/20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/70</td>
<td>-4/35</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>-4/5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4/5</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>-4/35</td>
<td>1/70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As in Table 1 let \( b_{n,j} \) denote a typical element of Table 2. It can be shown that \( b_{n,i+j} \) for \(-n \leq j \leq n\) is the value at \( x_i \) of the Lagrangian polynomial of degree \( 2n - 1 \) which interpolates the \( n \) points on each side of \( x_i \) and is equal to 1 at \( x_{i+j} \) and 0 at the remaining points. Once this has been established, using Lagrange's formula it follows that

\[ y_1 - P(x_1) = \sum_j b_{n,j} y_j; \]

however \( \frac{r^{(2n)}}{r^{(2n)}} = \sum_j b_{n,j} y_j \). This proves the theorem.
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