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Abstract

The motion of the ball and sliding friction in an arched outer-race ball bearing under thrust load is determined. Fatigue life evaluations were made. The analysis is applied to a 150 millimeter bore ball bearing.

The results indicated that for high speed-light load applications the arched bearing has significant improvement in fatigue life over that of a conventional bearing. An arching of 0.254 mm (0.01 in.) was found to be an optimal. For an arched bearing it was also found that a considerable amount of spinning occurs at the outer race contacts.
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Symbols

\( A \) distance between raceway groove curvature centers

\( a \) semimajor axis of projected contact ellipse

\( B \) initial position, inner-raceway groove curvature center

\( c \) inner race bore

\( D \) ball diameter

\( d \) raceway diameter

\( d_m \) pitch diameter initially

\( \frac{d_m}{d_m} \) pitch diameter after dynamic effects have acted on the ball

\( E \) defined by equation (65)

\( e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_7 \) defined by equations (55), (56), (57), (58), (60), (61), and (62)

\( F \) force

\( F_a \) axially applied load

\( f \) \( r/D \)

\( g \) amount of arching, or width of material removed from outer race of conventional bearing

\( h \) left outer-race contact, initially
distance from top of arch to top of ball when bearing is in radial contact position

*p* polar moment of inertia of ball

⊥ inner-race contact finally

\( J \) Jacobian defined by equation (64)

\( \ell \) left-outer-race contact, finally

\( K \) load-deflection constant

\( \kappa \) right-outer-race contact, finally

\( k \) \( a/b \)

\( L \) life in hours

\( \mathcal{L} \) ball center, finally

\( M \) frictional moments

\( \overline{M} \) inertia moments

\( m \) final position, inner-raceway groove curvature center

\( m \) ball mass

\( \mathcal{N} \) tip of arch

\( n \) rotational speed

\( P_d \) bearing diametral clearance

\( P_e \) free end play

\( Q \) ball normal load

\( R \) radius of deformed pressure surface in plane of major axis of pressure ellipse

\( r \) raceway groove curvature radius
\[ \overline{r} = \sqrt{R^2 - x^2} - \sqrt{R^2 - a^2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^2 - a^2} \]

- \( r' \) effective rolling radius of ball
- \( S_d \) diametral play
- \( S_x \) axial distance between the final position of the inner and left outer raceway groove curvature center
- \( S_z \) radial distance between the final position of the inner-raceway groove curvature center and the right or left outer raceway groove curvature center

\[ \{ \text{coordinate systems defined in report} \} \]

- \( U', V', W' \)
- \( X, Y, Z \)
- \( x, y, z \)
- \( x', y', z' \)

- \( V \) radial projection of distance between ball center and outer-raceway groove curvature center
- \( W \) axial projection of distance between ball center and outer-raceway groove curvature center

- \( Z \) number of balls
- \( \alpha \) radial contact angle
- \( \alpha', \beta' \) angles defining direction of \( \omega_B \)
- \( \beta \) axial contact angle, initially
- \( \Delta \) distance between raceway groove curvature center and final position of ball center

- \( \delta \) contact deformation
- \( \delta_a \) axial displacement
\[\eta \quad \text{defined by equation (10)}\]
\[\Lambda \quad \text{density}\]
\[\lambda \quad \text{modulus of elasticity}\]
\[\xi \quad \text{Poisson's ratio}\]
\[\Omega \quad \text{absolute angular velocity}\]
\[\Omega_c \quad \text{orbital angular velocity of balls about the bearing axis}\]
\[\omega \quad \text{relative angular velocity}\]
\[\omega_B \quad \text{angular velocity of ball about its center}\]

**Subscripts**

\[i \quad \text{inner race}\]
\[o \quad \text{outer race}\]
\[ol \quad \text{outer left}\]
\[or \quad \text{outer right}\]
\[R \quad \text{rolling}\]
\[S \quad \text{spinning}\]
\[x'X\]
\[y'Y\]
\[z'Z\] \(\:\text{coordinate system defined in report}\)

**Introduction**

Aircraft gas turbine engine rotor bearings currently operate in the speed range from 1.5 to 2.0 million DN (bearing bore in mm times shaft speed in rpm). It is estimated that engine designs of the next decade will require bearings to operate at DN values of 3 million or more (ref. 1). In this DN range, analyses (refs. 2 and 3) predict a prohibitive reduction in bearing fatigue life due to the high centrifugal forces developed between the rolling elements and the outer race.
An approach to the high speed-bearing problem is an arched outer race ball bearing. In this bearing, when centrifugal forces become large, the contact load is shared by two outer race contacts instead of just one outer race contact as is present in conventional ball bearings. A first order thrust load analysis of an arched-outer-race ball bearing that considers centrifugal forces but that neglects gyroscopics, elastohydrodynamics, and sliding friction was performed (ref. 4, 5). The analysis was applied to a 150-millimeter-bore, angular-contact ball bearing. The results indicated that an arched bearing is highly desirable for high speed applications. In particular, for a DN value of 3 million (20 000 rpm) and an applied axial load of 4450 newtons (1000 lbs), an arched bearing shows an improvement in life of 306% over that of a conventional bearing.

The objective of the work described in this paper was to conduct fatigue life analysis of the arched outer race ball bearing while considering the complete motion of the ball as well as the sliding friction. A comparison will be made with a conventional ball bearing as well as comparing the results of ref. 4 and 5 (where only centrifugal force was considered). A more detailed report of the above is given by the author in ref. 6. The analysis in this paper will neglect elastohydrodynamics and thermal effects. Furthermore, the approach to be used is that used by Jones (ref. 2) in analyzing a conventional ball bearing.

Arched-Bearing Geometry

Figure 1 shows how the arched outer race is made. A conventional outer race is shown in figure 1(a) with a race radius of $r_0$. Also shown
in figure 1(a) is the portion of the conventional outer race that is removed in forming an arched outer race. Figure 1(b) shows the arched outer race with the portion of length $g$ removed. Note that there are now two outer-race radius centers separated by a distance $g$. With modern technology being what it is, an arched outer race can be in one piece.

Figure 2 shows the arched bearing while in a noncontacting position. Here the pitch diameter $d_m$, diametral clearance $P_d$, diametral play $S_d$, and raceway diameters $d_i$ and $d_o$ are defined. The diametral play is the total amount of radial movement allowed in the bearing. Furthermore, the diametral clearance is the diametral play plus two times the distance from the bottom of the ball to the tip of the arch when the bearing is in a radial contact position.

Figure 3 shows the arched bearing in a radial contact position. Instead of contacting at one point at the bottom of the outer raceway, the ball contacts at two points separated by an angle $2\alpha$. From figure 3 the radial contact angle $\alpha$ can be written as

$$\alpha = \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{g}{2r_o-D}\right)$$

A distance which needs to be formulated is the distance from the tip of the arch to the bottom of the ball when the ball and raceway are in the radial contact position as shown in figure 3. This distance is defined as $h$. From figure 3(b) and using the Pythagorean theorem to solve for $h$ the following can be written:
Note that, as one might expect, as $\alpha \to 0^\circ$, $h \to 0$. With $h$ known, a number of conventional bearing parameters can be formulated from figures 2 and 3. The outer-raceway diameter may be written as

$$d_o = \bar{d}_i + P_d + 2D$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$P_d = S_d + 2h$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\bar{d}_i$ is the inner raceway diameter after centrifugal growth has been considered. Using the centrifugal growth equation found in Timoshenko (ref. 7) the inner raceway diameter will expand according to the following formulation:

$$\bar{d}_i = d_i + \frac{A d_i}{1544 \lambda_1} \left( \frac{n_1 \pi}{30} \right)^2 \left( \left( \frac{d_i}{2} \right)^2 (1 - \xi_i) + \left( \frac{c}{2} \right)^2 (3 + \xi_i) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

From equations (3) and (4) the diametral play can be written as:

$$S_d = d_o - \bar{d}_i - 2D - 2h$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The pitch diameter $d_m$ from figure 2 can be expressed as:

$$d_m = \bar{d}_i + \frac{S_d}{2} + D$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Figure 4 shows the arched bearing while in the axial position.

From this figure the distance between the center of curvature of the
inner and left outer race can be written as:

\[ A = r_0 + r_i - D \]

With \( f_o = r_o / D \) and \( f_i = r_i / D \) the above equation becomes

\[ A = B D \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where

\[ B = f_o + f_i - 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

From figure 4(b) the following equation can be written:

\[ \eta = r_0 - \sqrt{r_o^2 - \left( \frac{r_i}{2} \right)^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

With \( \eta \) known, the contact angle can be expressed as:

\[ \beta = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{A - \frac{P_d}{2} - \eta}{A} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The end play of an arched bearing is:

\[ P_e = 2A \sin \beta - g \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

**Contact Geometry**

From the experimental work of Haines and Edmonds (ref. 8) it is observed that the arched bearing will initially operate with two point
contact at the lower speeds and then with three-point contact at higher speeds when the centrifugal forces become significant. When centrifugal force acts on the ball, the inner and outer-raceway contact angles are dissimilar; therefore, the lines of action between raceway groove curvature radius centers become discontinuous, as shown in figure 5. In this figure the left and right-outer raceway groove curvature centers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed in space and the inner-raceway groove curvature center $\gamma$ moves axially relative to those fixed centers.

Figure 5 gives the distance between the fixed right and left-outer raceway groove curvature centers $\alpha$ or $\beta$ and the final position of the ball center $L$ as:

$$\Delta_{ol} = r_o - \frac{D}{2} + \delta_{ol} = (f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{ol}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$\Delta_{or} = (f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{or}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where

- $\delta_{ol}$ normal contact deformation at left-outer raceway center
- $\delta_{or}$ normal contact deformation at right-outer raceway center

Similarly, the distance between the final inner-raceway groove curvature center $\gamma$ and the final position of the ball center $L$ is:

$$\Delta_i = (f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\delta_i$ is the normal contact deformation at the inner-raceway center.
The axial distance between the final position of the inner and left-outer-raceway groove curvature center is:

\[ S_x = A \sin \beta + \delta_a \quad (16) \]

where \( \delta_a \) is the axial displacement. The radial distance between the final position of the inner-raceway groove curvature center and the right or left-outer-raceway groove curvature center is:

\[ S_z = A \cos \beta \quad (17) \]

From figure 5 and equations (13) to (17) the following can be written:

\[ \beta_{or} = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{g - W}{(f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{or}} \right) \quad (18) \]

\[ \beta_{ol} = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{W}{(f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{ol}} \right) \quad (19) \]

\[ \beta_i = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{A \sin \beta + \delta_a - W}{(f_i - 0.5)D + \delta_i} \right) \quad (20) \]

Using the Pythagorean theorem and regrouping terms results in:

\[ \delta_{or} = \sqrt{V^2 + (g - W)^2 - D(f_o - 0.5)} \quad (21) \]

\[ \delta_{ol} = \sqrt{V^2 + W^2 - D(f_o - 0.5)} \quad (22) \]
\[ \delta_1 = \sqrt{(A \cos \beta - V)^2 + (A \sin \beta + \delta_a - W)^2 - D(f_1 - 0.5)} \quad (23) \]

The normal loads are related to the normal contact deformation in the following way:

\[ Q = K \delta^{1.5} \quad (24) \]

With the proper subscripting of \( i, o_l, \) and \( o_r \) this equation could represent the normal loads of the inner ring \( Q_i, \) left outer ring \( Q_{o_l}, \) or right outer ring \( Q_{o_r}. \) Having defined the normal load in terms of the load deflection constant, one needs next to know the equations for the load deflection constants. The derivation of the load deflection constants will not be derived here but can be obtained from refs. 5, 6, or 7.

Figure 6 shows the position of the ball and raceway groove curvature centers and contacts with and without dynamic effects acting on the ball. In this figure the unbarred values represent initial location and the barred values represent final location when dynamic effects have acted on the ball. From this figure the pitch diameter when dynamic effects have acted on the ball is:

\[ \bar{d}_m = d_m + 2\left[(f_o - 0.5)D + \delta_{0_l}\right] \cos \beta_{0_l} - 2(f_o - 0.5)D \cos \beta \quad (25) \]

One design constraint which needs to be adhered to is for the left and right outer race contacts NOT to overlap. The following inequality must be satisfied in order to prevent overlapping of the left and right outer race contact:
\[ a_{ol} \cos \beta_{ol} + a_{or} \cos \beta_{or} < \frac{D}{2} (\sin \beta_{ol} + \sin \beta_{or}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (A)

If the above inequality is not satisfied, then by increasing the amount of arcing one can get the inequality to be satisfied.

**Inertia Forces and Moments on Ball**

As was mentioned in the introduction, the analysis which follows is that of Jones (ref. 2) with the exception that Jones analyzes a conventional bearing and in this paper an arched outer race ball bearing is analyzed.

Figure 7 shows the instantaneous position of an element of ball mass of a high speed, ball bearing. From Jones (ref. 2) the equations for the inertia forces and moments on the ball can be written as:

\[ F_{x'} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

\[ F_{y'} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

\[ F_{z'} = m \left( \frac{d_{m}}{2} \right) \Omega_{c}^{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

\[ \bar{M}_{X'} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

\[ \bar{M}_{Y'} = I_{P} \omega_{B} \Omega_{c} \sin \alpha' \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

\[ \bar{M}_{Z'} = -I_{P} \omega_{B} \Omega_{c} \cos \alpha' \sin \beta' \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)
where

\[ \omega_B = \dot{\theta} = \text{angular velocity of ball about its center} \]
\[ \Omega_c = \varphi = \text{angular velocity of balls about bearing axis} \]
\[ m = \text{mass of ball} \]
\[ I_p = \text{polar moment of inertia of ball} \]

From figure 7 the following equations can be written:

\[ \begin{align*}
\omega_x' &= \omega_B \cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \\
\omega_y' &= \omega_B \cos \alpha' \sin \beta' \\
\omega_z' &= \omega_B \sin \alpha'
\end{align*} \]

Relative Motions of Rolling Elements

According to Hertz the radius of the deformed pressure surface in the plane of the major axis of the pressure ellipse is:

\[ R = \frac{2fD}{2f + 1} \]

Figure 8 shows the contact of the ball with the right outer race. Due to \( \omega_o \cos \beta_{or} \), a point \((x_{or}, y_{or})\) on the right outer race has the linear velocity \( V'_{1or} \) or

\[ V'_{1or} = -\frac{d_m \omega_o}{2} - \overline{r}_{or} \omega_o \cos \beta_{or} \]

where
\[
\bar{r}_{or} = \sqrt{R_o^2 - X_{or}^2} - \sqrt{R_o^2 - a_{or}^2} + \sqrt{(D/2)^2 - a_{or}^2}
\]  

(35)

Due to \( \omega_x \), \( \cos \beta_{or} \) and \( \omega_z \), \( \sin \beta_{or} \) a point \((X_{or}, Y_{or})\) on the ball has the linear velocity \( V_{2or} \), where

\[
V_{2or} = \bar{r}_{or}(\omega_z \sin \beta_{or} - \omega_x \cos \beta_{or})
\]  

(36)

The velocity with which the right outer race slips on the ball in the \( \bar{Y} \) direction is

\[
V_{Yor} = V_{10r} - V_{2or}
\]

Substituting equations (34) and (36) into the above equation while making use of equation (32) gives:

\[
V_{Yor} = -\frac{d_m \omega_o}{2} + \bar{r}_{or} \omega_o \left[ \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \cos \beta_{or} - \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{or}) - \cos \beta_{or} \right]
\]  

(37)

Due to \( \omega_y \), all points within the pressure area have a velocity of slip of race on the ball of \( V'_{xor} \) in the \( x'-z' \) plane.

\[
V'_{xor} = -\omega_y \bar{r}_{or} = -\omega_B \bar{r}_{or} \cos \alpha' \sin \beta'
\]  

(38)

Due to the components of velocity which lie along the line defined by \( \beta_{or} \) there is a spin of the right outer race, \( \omega_{bor} \) with respect to
the ball. Due to component $\omega_o \sin \beta_{or}$ the following can be written:

$$\omega_{1or} = \omega_o \sin \beta_{or} \quad (39)$$

Due to the components $\omega_x \sin \beta_{or}$ and $\omega_z \cos \beta_{or}$ the following can be written:

$$\omega_{2or} = \omega_x \sin \beta_{or} + \omega_z \cos \beta_{or} \quad (40)$$

Therefore the spin of the right outer race with respect to the ball can be written as:

$$\omega_{Sor} = \omega_{1or} - \omega_{2or}$$

Substituting equations (39) and (40) into the above equation while making use of equation (32) gives

$$\omega_{Sor} = \omega_o \left[ \sin \beta_{or} - \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \sin \beta_{or} + \sin \alpha' \cos \beta_{or}) \right] \quad (41)$$

Making use of figure 8 and equation (32) one finds the angular velocity of roll of the ball on the outer-right race contact to be

$$\omega_{Ror} = \omega_o \left[ \cos \beta_{or} + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \cos \beta_{or} - \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{or}) \right] \quad (42)$$

In a similar manner the following equations can be written for the outer left and inner contacts:
\[ V'_{Yo} = -\frac{\ddot{d}_m}{2}\omega_0 + \bar{r}_{ol} \omega_0 \left[ \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{ol} + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} \cos \alpha' \cos \beta_{ol} - \cos \beta_{ol} \right] \]

\[ V'_{Xo} = -\left( \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} \right) \bar{r}_{ol} \omega_0 \cos \alpha' \sin \beta' \]  

\[ \omega_{So} = \omega_0 \left[ \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} \cos \alpha' \cos \beta_{ol} - \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} \sin \alpha' \cos \beta_{ol} - \sin \beta_{ol} \right] \]  

\[ \omega_{Ro} = \omega_0 \left[ -\cos \beta_{ol} + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_0} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta_{ol} + \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{ol}) \right] \]  

\[ V'_{Yi} = -\frac{\ddot{d}_m}{2} + \bar{r}_{i} \omega_i \left[ \cos \beta_i - \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_i} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta_i + \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_i) \right] \]  

\[ V'_{Xi} = -\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_i} \bar{r}_{i} \omega_i \cos \alpha' \sin \beta' \]  

\[ \omega_{Si} = \omega_i \left[ \sin \beta_i + \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_i} (\sin \alpha' \cos \beta_i - \cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \sin \beta_i) \right] \]  

\[ \omega_{Ri} = \omega_i \left[ \cos \beta_i - \frac{\omega_B}{\omega_i} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta \cos \beta_i + \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_i) \right] \]
There are some radii denoted as \( r'_{OL} \), \( r'_{OR} \), and \( r'_{I} \) for the outer left, outer right, and inner contacts, respectively, called the effective rolling radii at which pure rolling occurs. These radii are not necessarily restricted to points which lie on the deformed pressure surfaces if gross slip between the ball and races occurs. At these effective rolling radii \( r'_{OL} \), \( r'_{OR} \), and \( r'_{I} \) on the ball, the translational velocity of ball and race is the same. Therefore the following equations can be written:

\[
\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} = \frac{\frac{\bar{d}_m}{2r'_{OL}} + \cos \beta_{OL}}{\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \cos \beta_{OL} + \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{OL}} \tag{51}
\]

\[
\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} = \frac{\frac{\bar{d}_m}{2r'_{OR}} + \cos \beta_{OR}}{\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \cos \beta_{OR} - \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{OR}} \tag{52}
\]

\[
\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} = \frac{-\frac{\bar{d}_m}{2r'_{I}} + \cos \beta_{I}}{\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \cos \beta_{I} + \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{I}} \tag{53}
\]

From equation (52) solving for \( r'_{OR} \) the following can be written:

\[
r'_{OR} = \frac{\frac{\bar{d}_m}{2}}{\frac{\omega_B}{\omega_o} (\cos \alpha' \cos \beta' \cos \beta_{OR} - \sin \alpha' \sin \beta_{OR}) - \cos \beta_{OR}} \tag{54}
\]
If instead of the ball center being fixed in space the outer race is fixed, then the ball center must orbit about the center of the fixed coordinate system with an angular speed of $\Omega_1 = -\omega$. Therefore the inner race must rotate with an absolute angular speed of $\Omega_1 = \omega_1 + \Omega_1$. Using these relationships the relative angular speeds $\omega_o$ and $\omega_1$ can be described in terms of the absolute angular speed of the inner raceway.

The equations for the friction forces ($F_Y$ and $F_X$) and moments ($M_S$, $M_Y$, and $M_R$) can be found in Jones (ref. 2).

**Equilibrium Conditions**

Figure 9 shows the moments acting on the ball. From this figure the following equations can be written.

\[ e_1 = -M_{Rol} \sin \beta_{ol} + M_{Sol} \cos \beta_{ol} + M_{z'} + M_{Ri} \sin \beta_i - M_{Si} \cos \beta_i + M_{Ror} \sin \beta_{or} + M_{Sor} \cos \beta_{or} = 0 \]  
\[ e_2 = -M_{Rol} \cos \beta_{ol} - M_{Sol} \sin \beta_{ol} + M_{Ri} \cos \beta_i + M_{Si} \sin \beta_i - M_{Ror} \cos \beta_{or} + M_{Sor} \sin \beta_{or} = 0 \]  
\[ e_3 = M_Y - M_{y'ol} - M_{y'or} - M_{y'i} = 0 \]

Figure 10 shows the forces acting on the ball. From this figure the following equations can be written.

\[ e_4 = -Q_{ol} \cos \beta_{ol} - F_{xol} \sin \beta_{ol} - Q_{or} \cos \beta_{or} + F_{xor} \sin \beta_{or} + F_z + Q_i \cos \beta_i + F_{xi} \sin \beta_i = 0 \]
\[ e_5 = Q_{ol} \sin \beta_{ol} - F_{xol} \cos \beta_{ol} - Q_{or} \sin \beta_{or} \]
\[ \quad - F_{xor} \cos \beta_{or} - Q_i \sin \beta_i + F_{xi} \cos \beta_i = 0 \] (59)

\[ e_6 = F_{Yol} + F_{Yor} + F_{Yi} = 0 \] (60)

Ball bearings subject to a pure thrust load have the following relationship.

\[ e_7 = Q_i \sin \beta_i - F_{xi} \cos \beta_i - \frac{F_a}{Z} = 0 \] (61)

Note that because of equation (61) equation (59) can be rewritten as

\[ e_5 = Q_{ol} \sin \beta_{ol} - F_{xol} \cos \beta_{ol} - Q_{or} \sin \beta_{or} - F_{xor} \cos \beta_{or} - \frac{F_a}{Z} = 0 \] (62)

Therefore we have seven equations (55), (56), (57), (58), (60), (61), and (62) and seven unknowns \( V, W, \delta_a', \alpha', \beta', r'_{ol}, \) and \( r'_i. \)

The numerical iteration procedure of the seven simultaneous nonlinear equations is given in detail in ref. 6. The resulting iteration equation is the following:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  V \\
  W \\
  \vdots \\
  r'_i
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  \bar{V} \\
  \bar{W} \\
  \vdots \\
  \bar{F}'_i
\end{bmatrix}
- \begin{bmatrix}
  J^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  e_1(\bar{V}, \bar{W}, \ldots, \bar{F}'_i) \\
  e_2(\bar{V}, \bar{W}, \ldots, \bar{F}'_i) \\
  \vdots \\
  e_7(\bar{V}, \bar{W}, \ldots, \bar{F}'_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\] (63)
where

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial e_1}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial r'_1} \\
\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial r'_1} \\
\frac{\partial e_3}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_3}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_3}{\partial r'_1} \\
\frac{\partial e_4}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_4}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_4}{\partial r'_1} \\
\frac{\partial e_5}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_5}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_5}{\partial r'_1} \\
\frac{\partial e_6}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_6}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_6}{\partial r'_1} \\
\frac{\partial e_7}{\partial V} & \frac{\partial e_7}{\partial W} & \ldots & \frac{\partial e_7}{\partial r'_1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(64)

and \(\bar{V}, \bar{W}, \ldots, \bar{r}'_1\) are initial estimates of \(V, W, \ldots, r'_1\).

Therefore from the iterative method described, one is able to obtain values of \(V, W, \delta_a, \alpha', \beta', r'_{ol}, r'_1\) which satisfy equations (55), (56), (57), (58), (60), (61), and (62). With \(V, W, \delta_a, \alpha', \beta', r'_{ol}, r'_1\) known and given equations (18), (19), (20), and (24) the contact loads \(Q_i, Q_{ol}, Q_{or}\) and contact angles \(\beta_i, \beta_{ol}, \beta_{or}\) can be evaluated and then the fatigue life can be determined. The fatigue life analysis is the same as that presented in refs. 4, 5, or 6 and will not be repeated here. The equations for a conventional bearing can be directly obtained from the arched-bearing analysis by simply letting the amount of arching be zero \((g = 0)\) and not considering equations related to the right outer race.

Discussion of Results

A conventional 150 millimeter ball thrust bearing operating under pure thrust load was used for the computer evaluation. Bearing param-
eters and results such as life, contact loads, contact angles, spin to roll ratio, cage to shaft speed ratio, and maximum compressive stress are shown in Tables 1 through 4. For a given applied axial load and a given inner ring speed the amount of diametrical play $S_d$ (fig. 2) was held fixed while considering different amounts of arching. In an arched bearing the free contact angle $\beta$ becomes larger than that of the conventional bearing even though the diametral play is held constant. The greater the amount of arching (the larger the $g$), the higher the free contact angle.

The following observations can be made from the results in tables 1 to 4:

1. For high speed-light loads there is substantial increase in life for an arched bearing compared to a conventional bearing.

2. An optimal amount of arching when considering fatigue life is 0.254 mm (0.010 in.) for axial applied load of 4450 N (1000 lb) and 0.381 mm (0.015 in.) for axial applied load of 22 200 N (5000 lb). However, these are not strong optimals.

3. At shaft speed equal to 12 000 rpm the arch bearing has made contact with the outer right race.

4. As the amount of arching is increased the outer race spin to roll ratio increases significantly. Therefore for an axial applied load of 22 200 N (5000 lb) one might change the optimal amount of arching to 0.254 mm (0.010 in.).

5. For a conventional bearing the spin to roll ratio of the inner race contact is at least a magnitude larger than that of the outer
race contact. However, for an arched bearing the spin to roll ratios are of the same order for the various contacts.

6. There is less advantage in using an arched bearing at high loads.

In trying to choose the best amount of arching to use, one is confronted with the following constraints:

a. The amount of arching must be large enough so that overlapping of the left and right outer race contacts does not occur. That is, inequality (A) is satisfied. For example, for the bearing considered \( 0 < g \leq 0.127 \text{ mm (0.005 in.)} \) did not satisfy inequality (A).

b. With arching greater than 0.381 mm (0.015 in.) there can be an order of magnitude more spinning occurring at the outer race contacts.

Therefore, in view of the above, an amount of arching equal to 0.254 mm (0.01 in.) is the best when considering fatigue life and amount of spinning.

Figure 11 shows the effect of speed on outer race normal ball load \( Q_o \), for an arched bearing and a conventional bearing. The axial applied load is fixed at 4450 N (1000 lb). It is seen how the arched outer race shares the load between the left and right outer race contact. As the speed is increased and more centrifugal force acts on the ball it is seen in this figure that the value of the normal ball load for a conventional bearing is considerably higher than the left or right outer race normal ball load of an arch bearing. The result of reducing the outer race normal load from one large load to two smaller loads results in life improvement for the arch bearing.
Figure 12 shows the fatigue life percent of improvement for an
arched bearing over that of a conventional bearing for axial applied
loads of 4450 and 22 200 N (1000 and 5000 lb). A comparison is also
shown between the present results and those of ref. 4 and 5 where only
the centrifugal force is considered. The ordinate \( E \) of figure 12 is
defined by the following:

\[
E = \frac{(L|_{g=0.254\text{mm}} - L|_{g=0})\times100}{L|_{g=0}}
\]

(65)

Figure 12 shows the fatigue life improvement over the conventional
bearing is significant for high speed light load condition. This increase
is because the load is shared by the two outer race contacts. Furthermore,
this figure shows that there is little difference between the
fatigue life analyses of this paper and that of ref. 4 and 5.

Figure 13 shows the effect of speed on the absolute value of spin
to roll ratio for an arch bearing and a conventional bearing. The applied
load is held fixed at 4450 N (1000 lb). This figure shows that for
an arched bearing, the outer race spin to roll ratio of the arched bearing
is an order of magnitude larger than that of a conventional bearing.
Therefore, one might speculate that there is more heat generated in an
arch bearing.

**Conclusion**

The motion of the ball and sliding friction in an angular contact
arched-outer-race ball bearing under thrust load is analyzed. This
motion of the ball and sliding friction is expressed in terms of the inertia
effects on the ball and the frictional resistance resulting from inter-
facial slip at the contact areas. The solution of seven simultaneous
equations involving double integrals for which closed form solution can-
not be found is required. Fatigue life evaluations via Lundberg-Palmgren
were made. The similar analysis of a conventional bearing can be di-
rectly obtained from the arched bearing analysis by simply letting the
amount of arching be zero and not considering equations related to the
unloaded half of the outer race. The analysis is applied to a 150 milli-
meter bore angular contact ball bearing.

The results indicated that for high speed-light load applications
the arched outer race ball bearing has significant improvement in
fatigue life over that of a conventional bearing. An arching of 0.254 mm
(0.01 in.) was found to be an optimal when spinning is considered with
fatigue life. For an arched bearing it was also found that a considerable
amount of spinning occurs at the outer race contacts.
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TABLE 1. - CHARACTERISTICS OF A CONVENTIONAL BEARING (g = 0), FOR AN AXIAL APPLIED LOAD OF

4448 N (1000 LB) and 22 241 N (5000 LB)

[Inner-raceway groove curvature, 0.54; outer-raceway groove curvature, 0.52; pitch diameter, 187.55 mm (7.3838 in.); ball diameter, 22.23 mm (0.8750 in.); diametral play, 0.2499 mm (0.0098 in.); 22 balls.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotational speed of inner raceway, ( n_i ), rpm</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_a ), 4448 N (1000 lb)</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_a ), 22 241 N (5000 lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 000</td>
<td>16 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life, ( L ), hr</td>
<td>12 496</td>
<td>2291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway load, ( Q_r ), N</td>
<td>419.7</td>
<td>452.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway load, ( Q_{ol} ), N</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>2995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway load, ( Q_{or} ), N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway contact angle, ( \beta_r ), deg</td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td>26.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{ol} ), deg</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{or} ), deg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{cr}/\omega_{rl} )</td>
<td>0.4804</td>
<td>0.4625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{ol}/\omega_{rl} )</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage to shaft speed ratio, ( \Omega_c/\Omega_i )</td>
<td>0.4693</td>
<td>0.4672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mi} ), N/cm²</td>
<td>1.013×10⁹</td>
<td>1.040×10⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{MoL} ), N/cm²</td>
<td>1.302×10⁹</td>
<td>1.5306×10⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mor} ), N/cm²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2. - CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ARCH BEARING, \( g = 0.254 \text{ mm (0.010 in.)} \), FOR AN AXIAL APPLIED LOAD OF
4448 N (1000 LB) AND 22 241 N (5000 LB)

[Inner-raceway groove curvature, 0.54; outer raceway groove curvature, 0.52; pitch diameter, 187.55 mm (7.3838 in.); ball diameter, 22.23 mm (0.8750 in.); diametral play, 0.2499 mm (0.0098 in.); 22 balls.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotational speed of inner raceway, ( n_1 ), rpm</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_a ), 4448 N (1000 lb)</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_a ), 22 241 N (5000 lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 000</td>
<td>16 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life, L, hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway load, ( Q_r ), N</td>
<td>31 602</td>
<td>8368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway load, ( Q_{ol} ), N</td>
<td>389.8</td>
<td>422.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway load, ( Q_{or} ), N</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway contact angle, ( \beta_r ), deg</td>
<td>5350</td>
<td>1093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{ol} ), deg</td>
<td>28.52</td>
<td>25.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{or} ), deg</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>16.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{cr}/\omega_{Ri} )</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>15.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{or}/\omega_{Rol} )</td>
<td>0.5806</td>
<td>0.4966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage to shaft speed ratio, ( \Omega_c/\Omega_i )</td>
<td>0.3493</td>
<td>0.3206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mi}, \text{ N/cm}^2 )</td>
<td>-0.2377</td>
<td>-0.2611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mor}, \text{ N/cm}^2 )</td>
<td>0.4639</td>
<td>0.4543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mor}, \text{ N/cm}^2 )</td>
<td>0.9887×10^9</td>
<td>1.016×10^9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mor}, \text{ N/cm}^2 )</td>
<td>1.158×10^9</td>
<td>1.296×10^9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mor}, \text{ N/cm}^2 )</td>
<td>0.8632×10^9</td>
<td>1.096×10^9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3. - CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ARCH BEARING, \( g = 0.381 \text{ mm (0.015 in.)} \), FOR AN AXIAL APPLIED LOAD OF
4448 N (1000 lb) and 22 241 N (5000 lb)

[Inner-raceway groove curvature, 0.54; outer raceway groove curvature, 0.52; pitch diameter, 187.55 mm (7.3838 in.); ball diameter, 22.23 mm (0.8750 in.); diametral play, 0.2499 mm (0.0098 in.); 22 balls]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotational speed of inner raceway, ( n_i ), rpm</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_a ), 4448 N (1000 lb)</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_a ), 22 241 N (5000 lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 000</td>
<td>16 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life, ( L ), hr</td>
<td>35 072</td>
<td>7972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway load, ( Q_r ), N</td>
<td>404.3</td>
<td>447.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway load, ( Q_{0l} ), N</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>1738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway load, ( Q_{or} ), N</td>
<td>701.0</td>
<td>1280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway contact angle, ( \beta_r ), deg</td>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>25.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{0l} ), deg</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>25.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{or} ), deg</td>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>24.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{cr}/\omega_{ri} )</td>
<td>0.5445</td>
<td>0.4836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{0} \omega_{r} /\omega_{ri} )</td>
<td>0.4701</td>
<td>0.4635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage to shaft speed ratio, ( \Omega_c/\Omega_1 )</td>
<td>0.4530</td>
<td>0.4464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{mi} ), N/cm²</td>
<td>(1.000 \times 10^9)</td>
<td>(1.036 \times 10^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{0l} ), N/cm²</td>
<td>(1.25 \times 10^9)</td>
<td>(1.282 \times 10^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{or} ), N/cm²</td>
<td>(1.000 \times 10^9)</td>
<td>(1.158 \times 10^9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 4. - CHARACTERISTICS OF AN ARCH BEARING, g = 0.508 MM (0.020 IN.), FOR AN AXIAL APPLIED LOAD OF

4448 N (1000 LB) AND 22 241 N (5000 LB)

[Inner-raceway groove curvature, 0.54; outer raceway groove curvature, 0.52; pitch diameter, 187.55 mm (7.3838 in.); ball diameter, 22.23 mm (0.8750 in.); diametral play, 0.2499 mm (0.0098 in.); 22 balls.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotational speed of inner raceway, ( n_i ), rpm</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_{a'} ), 4448 N (1000 lb)</th>
<th>Axially applied load, ( F_{a'} ), 22 241 N (5000 lb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life, ( L ), hr</td>
<td>12 000</td>
<td>16 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway load, ( Q_T ), N</td>
<td>32 838</td>
<td>7487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway load, ( Q_{OL} ), N</td>
<td>414.8</td>
<td>450.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway load, ( Q_{OR} ), N</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway contact angle, ( \beta_T ), deg</td>
<td>793.6</td>
<td>1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{OL} ), deg</td>
<td>28.81</td>
<td>26.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-outer raceway contact angle, ( \beta_{OR} ), deg</td>
<td>34.32</td>
<td>34.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway spin to roll ratio, ( \omega_{cr}/\omega_{Ri} )</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td>33.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-outer raceway spin to roll ratio,</td>
<td>0.5466</td>
<td>0.4879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_{Sol}/\omega_{Rol} )</td>
<td>0.6782</td>
<td>0.6717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_{Sor}/\omega_{Ror} )</td>
<td>-0.6778</td>
<td>-0.6717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage to shaft speed ratio, ( \Omega_{e}/\Omega_{i} )</td>
<td>0.4330</td>
<td>0.4265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mi} ), N/cm(^2)</td>
<td>1.009x10(^9)</td>
<td>1.038x10(^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mol} ), N/cm(^2)</td>
<td>1.120x10(^9)</td>
<td>1.280x10(^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right outer-raceway maximum compressive stress, ( \sigma_{Mor} ), N/cm(^2)</td>
<td>0.9908x10(^9)</td>
<td>1.185x10(^9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) Conventional. (b) Arched.

Figure 1. - Bearing outer race geometries.

Figure 2. - Arched ball bearing in noncontacting position.
Figure 3. - Arched ball bearing radially loaded.

(a) Radial contact position.

(b) Details of contact.

Figure 4. - Arched ball bearing axially loaded.

(b) Axial contact position of top ball.

(a) Details of contact.
Figure 5. - Position of ball center and raceway groove curvature centers with and without centrifugal force acting on ball. Points shown for ball in top position, with bearing loaded axially.

Figure 6. - Position of ball and raceway groove curvature centers and contacts with and without force acting on ball.
Figure 7. - Instantaneous position of element of mass in ball.
Figure 8. - Contact of ball with right outer race.
Figure 9. - Moments acting on ball.

Figure 10. - Forces acting on ball.
Figure 11. - Effect of speed on outer-race normal ball load, $Q_0$, for arched bearing (arching, 0.254 mm; 0.010 in.) and a conventional bearing (arching, 0) for an axial applied load of 4450 newtons (1000 lb).
Figure 12. - Effect of speed on fatigue life percent improvement of arched bearing (arching, 0.254 mm; 0.010 in.) compared with that of conventional bearing for axially applied loads of 4450 newtons (1000 lb) and 22200 newtons (5000 lb).
Figure 13. - Effect of speed on absolute value of spin to roll ratio for arch bearing and a conventional bearing for a axial applied load of 4450 newtons (1000 lb).