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Abstract

Thruster size, beam current level, and specific impulse trade-offs are considered for mercury electron bombardment ion thrusters to be used for north-south station keeping of geosynchronous spacecraft. An 8-cm diameter thruster operating at 2750 seconds specific impulse at thrust levels of 4.4 mN (1 mlb) to 8.9 mN (2 mlb) with a design life of 20,000 hours and 10,000 cycles is being developed. The thruster will have a dished two-grid system capable of thrust vectoring of ±10 degrees in two orthogonal directions. A preliminary thruster has been fabricated and tested; thruster performance characteristics have been determined at 4.45, 6.68, and 8.90 millinewtons.

Introduction

The initial thruster system design was based on technology developed for the structurally integrated five centimeter diameter ion thruster. An eight centimeter diameter thruster was chosen for the Lewis Research Center auxiliary propulsion program because it represents a favorable compromise between weight and power restrictions, and operational life and thrust requirements.

Although the eight centimeter diameter ion thruster is an auxiliary propulsion device, its prime utilization within the Lewis Research Center is as a low cost test bed for prime propulsion thruster technology. Thruster components such as grid roll translation vectoring systems, impregnated cathode inserts, pyrolytic graphite baffles, and back plates, high voltage pulse starting and screen anodes currently being evaluated on an eight cm diameter thruster are directly applicable to larger diameter thrusters.

This paper also describes a computer analysis of thruster-mission requirements that supports the choice of an eight centimeter thruster for station-keeping applications on near term and future geosynchronous satellite missions. The components comprising the thruster system are described herein, along with the preliminary design and optimization. Performance data for the discharge chamber, the beam extraction system, and entire thruster are included.

Selection of Specific Impulse, Thruster Diameter and Ion Beam Current

The most probable use of auxiliary propulsion ion thrusters for geosynchronous spacecraft of 500 to 2000 kg mass is north-south station keeping. Other thruster functions such as east-west station-keeping and momentum wheel dumping typically represent much reduced total impulse requirements.

Choice of the optimum thruster size and operating conditions should then be determined by the north-south station-keeping requirements and thruster lifetime considerations.

A structurally integrated 5 cm diameter mercury ion thruster (SIT-5) with dual axis electrostatic vector grids producing 1.78 mN (0.4 mlb) thrust has demonstrated grid dependent thruster lifetime of 7688 hours. Figure 1 shows a plot of the maximum north-south station-keeping capability of a spacecraft using two of these thrusters and assuming a grid dependent thruster lifetime of 7500 hours. The plot indicates that a spacecraft whose mass and mission length are on or below the plotted curves can be north-south station kept with the thrust level indicated. Those spacecraft whose coordinates are above the curves cannot be north-south station-kept because either the thrust or thruster lifetime is inadequate. Two thrusters are assumed to share equally in the station-keeping duty. There is enough thrusting time (without allowing more than 7500 hr operation on the 5 cm thrusters) and thrust for one thruster to perform the north-south station keeping in the event of an initial thruster system failure. This provides the redundancy required to assure mission success.

Also plotted in figure 1 are the north-south station-keeping capabilities of similarly redundant 4.45 mN (1 mlb) and 8.90 mN (2 mlb) thrust level systems. These thrusters with higher thrust levels are assumed to use grid systems capable of 20,000 hours life. This is consistent with lifetime projections based on a 5000 hr test of a misalignment vector grid. As can be seen from figure 1, missions with much heavier spacecraft and longer mission lifetimes can be achieved with the use of the 4.45 mN (1 mlb) and 8.90 mN (2 mlb) thrust levels. Choice of the optimum thruster diameter, ion beam current, and specific impulse depends upon the specific mission and trade offs of weight, power, lifetime, and reliability.

To assess the various trade-offs, equations have been generated which are consistent with known or expected system masses, efficiencies, power levels, and ion beam currents. Two thrusters were assumed to share equally in the north-south station keeping function. Each thruster was redundant in that it could completely perform the north-south station-keeping in the event of an initial thruster system failure. Two different thruster system configurations were considered. The first configuration had a total mass of M™ and consisted of two independent thruster systems (two thrusters, two full propellant tanks, and two power conditioners) and the mass associated with the required solar cell array. The solar array was assumed to have an energy to mass ratio of 16.2 watts/kg (7.34 watts/lb). This included the weight and power penalties.
associated with the solar panel blanket, deployment mechanism, enclosure, support structure, orientation mechanism, slip rings, solar cell degradation, and seasonal panel inclination. The second thruster system configuration represented by mass $M_S$ was identical to the first with the exception of $T$, are given below:

1. The ion beam current $J_\text{sp}$; that yielded the minimum total system mass values ($M_T$ and $M_p$) for any given spacecraft mass, $M_S$; mission length, $Y$; and thruster orientation angle from north or south, $\phi$. As a result of this search, based on minimizing only total system mass without regard to component reliability and lifetime, the optimum configurations consisted of small diameter thrusters (8 cm diam for a 1000 kg spacecraft on a 5 yr mission) operating at high specific impulses (4000 to 5000 sec) and at high ion beam current levels ($K = 1.8$ to $2.2$). Since a specific impulse near 2750 seconds is advantageous with respect to operational reliability and lifetime considerations, a second computer search was made with $I_\text{sp} = 2750$ sec, $Y = 5$ yr, and $\phi = 0$. This choice of $I_\text{sp}$ appears acceptable since the thrust system mass is only moderately dependent on $I_\text{sp}$ as shown in figure 2. Table 2 is a list of the minimum total system masses for various spacecraft masses with $I_\text{sp} = 2750$ sec, $\phi = 0$, and $Y = 5$ yr. As can be seen by comparing tables 2 and 3, the use of 8 cm diameter thrusters for all spacecraft masses from 500 to 2000 kg represents an attractive single size system. The 8 cm thruster system is in the worst case heavier than the optimum size thruster of table 2 by only 2.7 kg (11%). In all cases the interconnected propellant tankage systems were between 21 and 27 percent lighter than the independent tankage systems. For near term and future heavier spacecraft masses the 8 cm thruster appears to be a favorable compromise of thruster lifetime, thrust capability, and near optimum total system mass.

Table 3 is a list of the resulting minimum total thrust system mass for various spacecraft masses assuming the use of 8 cm diameter thrusters ($D = 8$ cm) for all the spacecraft masses with $I_\text{sp} = 2750$ sec, $\phi = 0$ and $Y = 5$ yr. As can be seen by comparing tables 2 and 3, the use of 8 cm diameter thrusters for all spacecraft masses from 500 to 2000 kg represents an attractive single size system. The 8 cm thruster system is in the worst case heavier than the optimum size thruster of table 2 by only 2.7 kg (11%). In all cases the interconnected propellant tankage systems were between 21 and 27 percent lighter than the independent tankage systems. For near term and future heavier spacecraft masses the 8 cm thruster appears to be a favorable compromise of thruster lifetime, thrust capability, and near optimum total system mass.

### Thruster Components

#### Discharge Chamber

The thruster configuration in its current state of evolution is shown in cross sectional view, figure 3. The starting point for the 8 cm thruster was based on a 8/3 scale of the SIT-5 thruster. Like the SIT-5 thruster the 8 cm diameter thruster has a cylindrical shell engine body with a concentric anode. The discharge chamber diameter is 9.4 cm and the anode diameter is 8.6 cm. Chamber and anode lengths are 7.75 cm and 6.05 cm, respectively.

The magnetic circuit consists of a magnetic pole piece surrounding the cathode region, the thruster back plate, and the anode pole piece. The cathode pole piece is 1.2 cm long and 2.1 cm in diameter. A tantalum baffle was mounted even with the end of the cathode pole piece. The anode pole piece has a truncated conical surface which makes an angle of 20° with respect to the thruster axis and is 0.48 cm long. Its smallest diameter is 8.4 cm. The backplate and the anode pole piece are...
linked magnetically with twelve 0.32 cm diameter rod magnets. The magnetic field along the axis thruster varies from 75 gauss near the baffle to near 0 gauss at the grid plane.

Cathode

The main cathode configuration is shown in figure 4. It is a 0.32 cm diameter tantalum tube with a 0.25 mm orifice in a two percent thoriated tungsten tip. Two types of inserts are under investigation - the SERT II (Space Electric Rocket Test) barium carbonate coated rolled tantalum foil insert and an impregnated porous tungsten insert. Reference 7 describes the impregnated insert. The insert aids in the initiation of the cathode discharge and in the cathode emission during operation. The cathode tip is heated by a flame sprayed alumina encapsulated tungsten rhenium heater. All cathodes are of the enclosed keeper design. The keeper electrode mounts on a cylindrical alumina sleeve. The sleeve in turn slides over three layers of tantalum foil (0.015 mm thick) radiation shielding which is wrapped around the flame sprayed alumina. The keeper is positioned 1.5 mm from the cathode tip and has an orifice 2.5 mm in diameter. Mercury vapor is supplied to the cathode by a porous tungsten vaporizer. The flow rates are determined by measuring the time rate of change of Hg level in a 0.5 mm diameter burette.

It was possible to ignite the cathode discharge with less than 20 watts of tip heater power, 500 volts applied to the keeper electrode, and 80–90 equivalent milliamperes of mercury flow. An alternate approach using a high voltage pulse technique is being explored for cathode starting. If properly activated, cathodes such as shown in figure 4 can operate without tip heater power.

Neutralizer

An enclosed hollow cathode neutralizer similar to the main cathode was used to neutralize the beam. It was positioned 2.5 cm downstream and 2.5 cm radially outward from edge of the active grid area. The neutralizer keeper orifice was 1.25 mm in diameter. The impregnated porous tungsten insert was also tested in the neutralizer. The neutralizer was generally operated with 5 to 6 watts of tip heat. This power may be saved by using additional radiation shielding. The neutralizer was operated at several mercury flow rates between 3 and 10 mA. The neutralizer floating potential (i.e., the potential of the neutralizer with respect to ground) changed from –7 volts at the lowest neutralizer mercury flow rates (4 mA) to –12 volts at the highest (12 mA).

Beam Extraction System

The beam extraction system consists of two dished grids with matched hexagonal arrays of holes. The grid active area is defined by an 8 cm diameter. The grids were simultaneously hydroformed to a depth of 0.25 cm; this corresponds to a radius of curvature of about 30 cm. The screen grid is 0.40 mm thick and has an open area of 72.5%, which results from 1.97 mm diameter holes spaced 2.21 mm apart. The accelerator grid is 0.52 mm thick, and it has 1.69 mm diameter holes spaced 2.21 mm apart (51% open area). In the tests described in this paper, the grids used were permanently mounted with a 0.50 mm gap between them. A grid system which is capable of vectoring the grid ±10 degrees along two mutually perpendicular directions is currently being developed. The thruster vectoring will be accomplished by roll translation of the accelerator grid with respect to the screen grid.

Preliminary Thruster Performance

In all the thruster testing the electrical circuit shown in figure 5 was used. The anode was held at the net accelerating voltage, $V_a$. The cathode was negatively biased by the $\Delta V_T$ supply and the neutralizer floating potential was measured between the neutralizer and facility ground.

The vacuum facility used for this investigation was 4.5 m long by 1.5 m in diameter. The thruster test chamber was connected to the facility through a 0.3 m gate valve. The facility was maintained at 10⁻⁶ torr during thruster operation.

As mentioned earlier, the preliminary thruster geometry was determined by an 8/5 scaling of the SIT-5 geometry. This starting point proved very successful, yielding higher utilizations and lower discharge losses than achieved by the SIT-5 thruster with electrostatic vector grids. Subsequent variations of the chamber length, anode diameter, magnetic field, cathode pole pieces and baffles, and screen pole piece geometry improved thruster propellant utilization a few percent. The only significant change from direct scale was an increase in the anode diameter to 8.6 cm, and this modification was primarily to enhance the thruster capabilities in the 8.9 mN (2 mlb) thrust range.

The program goal of 80.6% should be attained by the 8 cm thruster. Significant decreases in the power to thrust ratio have also been obtained. The SIT-5 propellant utilization was 65%. The 8 cm thruster has, even in its preliminary stage, reached 72.7%. The increase in thruster diameter and use of a dished grid (rather than the electrostatic vector grid) system is probably responsible for a large portion of the utilization improvement. The program goal of 80.6% should be attained by thruster modifications which are mentioned later in this paper. Significant decreases in the power to thrust ratio have also been obtained. The SIT-5 operated at 185 watts/mlb and preliminary 8 cm has required only 135 watts/mlb. Further improvements can be expected from improved radiation shielding of the main cathode and neutralizer thereby reducing the required vaporizer heater power.

Improved discharge chamber performance was obtained at the 8.9 mN (2 mlb) thrust level. Total propellant utilizations greater than 80% were easily reached at discharge losses of 382 eV/ion. At these conditions the power to thrust ratio was 112.5 watts/mlb. These values were obtained without any effort to optimize the thruster for opera-
The discharge chamber performance as a function of propellant utilization is shown in figure 6. For all of the data shown, the net accelerating potential was 1200 volts and the accelerator grid voltage was -500 volts. At the maximum utilization of 74.5%, the discharge losses were 607 eV/ion. At discharge losses of 320 eV/ion (slightly less than the program goal) the propellant utilization was 65%. Data points are also shown for operation at 6.9 mN (1.5 mlb) and 8.9 mN (2.0 mlb). The thruster was power supply limited at 8.9 mN (2.0 mlb). These preliminary data points indicate that the thruster runs more efficiently at higher thrust levels, probably because of the constant neutral loss effect of reference 12.

Figure 7 is the current-voltage characteristic of the discharge in the ionization chamber at 104 milliamperes of equivalent Hg flow. Data is also shown for the discharge characteristic at 157 mA of mercury flow.

In figure 8 the ion beam current and accelerator drain current are plotted as a function of total discharge power. The most efficient ion production occurs between 20 and 25 watts. Above 30 watts, additional increases in discharge power result in little further increase in beam current. The accelerator drain current showed a peak which roughly coincided with the steepest portion of voltage-current curve.

The current carrying capabilities of the grids were evaluated by the method presented in reference 13. The net accelerating voltage, \( V_T \), and accelerator voltage, \( V_A \), were decreased while maintaining a constant \( V_T/V_T + |V_A| \) ratio of 0.67. The discharge power was adjusted to hold the beam current constant and the accelerator drain current was measured. The beam current and the Hg neutral flow were held constant to maintain fixed propellant utilization. When the total voltage was too low to focus the ions into the accelerator holes \( |V_A| \) increased rapidly. The minimum total voltage above the defocusing point was plotted against the thruster beam current in figure 9. Minimum voltage points were obtained for three different propellant flow rates and for five different utilizations. Ion beam current is linear with respect to total voltage with a slope of 0.37 mA/volt. This result is consistent with the results on 30 cm dished grids (13).

In figure 10, the beam current is plotted with respect to the net accelerating voltage. In all cases the accelerator voltage was held constant at -500 volts. Three curves are shown corresponding to thruster operating levels appropriate to 8.9 mN (2 mlb), 6.7 mN (1.5 mlb), and 5.1 mN (1.14 mlb) operating levels. All curves show very little increase in beam current between 500 and 1200 volts.

The results shown in figures 9 and 10 indicate that at the 8 cm operating points of 4.45 mN and 8.9 mN the grid system is operating far below its pervenance limit.

The accelerator voltage, \( V_A \), was also varied at constant net accelerating voltage, \( V_T \), of 1200 volts for three different values of Hg flow rates. Both the beam current and the accelerator drain current were measured at each value of accelerator voltage (fig. 11). In the figure, beam current and drain current are plotted so that direct comparisons can be made. The open symbols are beam current and the closed symbols are drain current. As expected, both beam current and accelerator drain current were increasing functions of accelerator voltage. Changing \( V_A \) from -250 volts to -1000 volts results in propellant utilization gain of 2 to 3%. This was probably due to the penetration of sheath into discharge chamber as total voltage increased.

Over the same interval of \( V_A \) the accelerator drain current increased by 17.5, 22.6, and 36% for the three different flow levels, 174, 141, and 96 mA, respectively. Over a 20,000 hr mission, the erosion from direct or charge exchange impingement might be a crucial factor and any reduction could represent a major increase in operational life. It is therefore desirable to keep \( V_A \) low.

The backstreaming limit of the beam extraction system was measured at the three beam current flow levels. It was found to be on the order of -200 volts. Although it became more negative with increasing beam current from -180 to -220 volts, the increase does not severely impact thruster operation. This is in agreement with results found on thirty centimeter thrusters (14).

The beam profile was also measured at several accelerator voltages: -1000, -500, and -280 volts. A motor driven negatively biased planar molybdenum probe was used. The ion current intercepted by the probe was plotted as a function of the probe position on an x-y recorder and is shown in figure 12. The probe was positioned 71 cm or nearly nine grid diameters downstream of the thruster. The probe was perpendicular to the thrust axis and it translated ±25 cm on each side of center. The half angle subtended by the probe translation was 19.5 degrees.

The resulting profiles for the 5.1 mN (1.14 mlb) and 8.9 mN (2.0 mlb) thrust levels were qualitatively very similar. Only the profile curves for the 8.9 mN (2.0 mlb) level are shown in figure 12. The thruster beamlets become more focused as the accelerator voltage is decreased at fixed \( V_T \). The increased focusing results in a more collimated beam. At a \( V_A \) of -280 volts the intercepted probe current decreased by half in less than five degrees and to one tenth in under ten degrees. The corresponding values for -500 and -1000 V levels of \( V_A \) are 6.8° and 11.2°, and 8.8° and 17.2°, respectively.

The combination of lower drain current, improved focusing, and lower thrust losses make operation at high net to total voltage ratio very desirable.

Concluding Remarks
A computer analysis has indicated that an 8 cm diameter thruster represents a favorable compromise between weight and power restrictions, operational life, and thrust requirements. An 8 cm thruster has been designed and fabricated on the 5 cm thruster technology. Preliminary results are encouraging; at this early state of development the 8 cm thruster operates at higher propellant utilizations and lower discharge losses than the best achieved by the 5 cm thruster. The dished double grid beam extraction system has demonstrated potential for operation well beyond the 2 mlb thrust level.
The major area for further development will be the discharge chamber. It is currently planned to investigate changes in performance by modifying the propellant flow distribution and by incorporating a magnetic baffle.

Appendix - Symbols

- $D$: thruster diameter, cm
- $I_{sp}$: specific impulse, sec
- $J_A$: accelerator drain current, mA
- $J_B$: ion beam current, A
- $J_{CH}$: cathode heater current, A
- $J_{CK}$: cathode keeper current, A
- $J_E$: cathode emission current, A
- $J_{NH}$: neutralizer heater current, A
- $J_{NK}$: neutralizer keeper current, A
- $K$: ion beam current factor
- $M_C$: total system mass assuming connected propellant tanks, kg
- $M_{Kg}$: mass of propellant, kg
- $M_I$: total system mass assuming independent propellant tanks, kg
- $M_{pc}$: mass of power conditioner, kg
- $M_{sc}$: mass of spacecraft, kg
- $M_{sp}$: mass of solar panel, kg
- $M_{TH}$: mass of ion thruster, kg
- $M_{TK}$: mass of independent propellant tank, kg
- $M_{TKC}$: mass of connected propellant tank, kg
- $P$: thruster input power, W
- $T$: thruster operating time, hr
- $V_A$: accelerator voltage, V
- $V_{CH}$: cathode heater voltage, V
- $V_{CK}$: cathode keeper voltage, V
- $V_S$: neutralizer floating potential, V
- $V_I$: net accelerating potential, V
- $\Delta V_I$: discharge voltage, V
- $V_{NH}$: neutralizer heater voltage, V
- $V_{NK}$: neutralizer keeper voltage, V
- $Y$: mission length, yr
- $\epsilon_I$: electron volts per beam ion, eV/ion
- $\eta_{pc}$: power conditioner efficiency, dimensionless
- $\eta_I$: ion thruster mass utilization efficiency, dimensionless
- $\phi$: thruster orientation with respect to north or south, rad
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Table 1. Performance parameters, efficiencies, and subsystem masses for various thruster diameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D, cm</th>
<th>$\epsilon_1$, eV/ion</th>
<th>$J_B$ at $K = 1$, A</th>
<th>$J_B$ at $K = 2$, A</th>
<th>$P$ at $K = 1$ and $I_{sp} = 2500$, W</th>
<th>$\eta_U$</th>
<th>$\eta_{pc}$</th>
<th>$M_{TB}$, kg</th>
<th>$M_{pc}$, kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>1.135</td>
<td>4.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>0.0717</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>1.816</td>
<td>6.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>280.5</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>2.270</td>
<td>8.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>375.2</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>3.405</td>
<td>9.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>591.0</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>4.540</td>
<td>10.327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Total system mass minimums and associated optimum parameters for various spacecraft masses assuming $I_{sp} = 2750$ sec, $Y = 5$ yr, and $\phi = 0$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{sc}$, kg</th>
<th>Independent propellant tankage</th>
<th>Connected propellant tankage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_I$, kg</td>
<td>$D$, cm</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Total system mass minimums and associated optimum parameters for various spacecraft masses assuming use of two 8 cm thrusters ($D = 8$ cm), $I_{sp} = 2750$ sec, $Y = 5$ yr, and $\phi = 0$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M_{sc}$, kg</th>
<th>Independent propellant tankage</th>
<th>Connected propellant tankage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M_I$, kg</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>$J_B$, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. 8 cm thruster parameters compared with SIT-5 parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 cm performance</th>
<th>8 cm performance goals</th>
<th>8 cm performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thrust</strong> (ideal), mlb</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific impulse, sec</td>
<td>2432</td>
<td>2804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total input power, W</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>122.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total efficiency, %</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power efficiency, %</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>71.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total utilization, %</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge utilization, %</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total neutral flow, mA</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power/thrust, W/mlb</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eV/ion excluding keeper, V</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eV/ion including keeper, V</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam current, Jg, mA</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net accelerating voltage, V_A, V</td>
<td>1409</td>
<td>1220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutralizer floating potential, V_g, V</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output beam power, W</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerator voltage, V_A, V</td>
<td>-700</td>
<td>-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerator drain current, J_A, mA</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerator drain power, W</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge voltage, V_I, V</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission current, J_E, A</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge power, W</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cathode:**
- Keeper voltage, V_CK, V | 12.8 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 12.0 |
- Keeper current, J_CK, A | 0.400 | 0.240 | 0.200 | 0.20 |
- Keeper power, W | 5.12 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.4 |
- Heater voltage, V_CH, V | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
- Heater current, J_CH, A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
- Heater power, W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
- Vaporizer voltage, V_CV, V | 4.7 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
- Vaporizer current, J_CY, A | 2.45 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
- Vaporizer power, W | 11.5 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
- Flow rate, mA | 34.0 | 83.3 | 93.0 | 147 |

**Neutralizer:**
- Keeper voltage, V_CK, V | 13.5 | 14.1 | 18.6 | 16.6 |
- Keeper current, J_CK, A | 0.360 | 0.360 | 0.50 | 0.5 |
- Keeper power, W | 4.86 | 5.08 | 9.3 | 8.3 |
- Heater voltage, V_CH, V | 0 | 0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
- Heater current, J_CH, A | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
- Heater power, W | 0 | 0 | 5.25 | 6.4 |
- Vaporizer voltage, V_NV, V | 1.65 | 1.65 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
- Vaporizer current, J_NV, A | 0.77 | 0.77 | 1.85 | 1.9 |
- Vaporizer power, W | 1.27 | 1.27 | 3.70 | 4.00 |
- Flow rate, mA | 2.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 10.7 |
- Neutralizer coupling power, W | 0.21 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 1.3 |

*Accounting for neutralizer floating potential but neglecting beam divergence and double ionization.
Figure 1. - Comparison of the maximum north-south station-keeping capabilities.

Figure 2. - Total thruster system mass for two 8 cm thrusters on a 1000 kg spacecraft with a five year mission, $\phi = 0$, $K = 1$ ($I_B = 0.0717$ A).
Figure 3. - Cross section of 8-cm-diameter thruster discharge chamber with the dished grid beam extraction system.

Figure 4. - Cross sectional view of main cathode and neutralizer configuration.
Figure 5. - Electrical circuit used for thruster testing.

Figure 6. - Discharge chamber performance as a function of propellant utilization at a beam current of 72 ma, $V_1 = 1200$ volts and $V_A = -500$ volts.

Figure 7. - Discharge voltage plotted versus emission current for 104 ma of Hg flow, $\circ$; and 160 ma of Hg flow, $\triangle$. The net accelerating voltage was 1220 volts and the accelerator voltage was -500 volts.
Figure 8. - Ion beam and accelerator drain currents as a function of total discharge power. The accelerator drain current is plotted simultaneously.

Figure 9. - A composite of grid performance operating points include several mass flow rates and efficiencies.

Figure 10. - The variation of beam current as a function of net accelerating voltage at appropriate mercury flow rates for thrust levels of 8.9 mN, and 4.4 mN.
Figure 11. - The variation of beam current as a function of accelerator voltage. The corresponding accelerator drain is plotted simultaneously.

Figure 12. - Beam profile at three different accelerator voltages but with $V_1 = 1200$ volts, $J_B = 130$ ma, rake was at 71 cm. Profiles very nearly the same $J_B = 72$ ma.