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MEASUREMENT OF ATMOSPHERIC PRECIPITABLE WATER
USING A SOLAR RADIOMETER

By David E. Pitts, William McAllum, and Alyce E. Dillinger*
Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center

SUMMARY

Measurements of total atmospheric water vapor are accomplished by ratioing a water-vapor absorbing region (0.9435 micrometer) to a clear channel (0.8730 micrometer). Aerosol extinction is usually a slowly varying function of wavelength in the visible and near infrared. This ratioing effectively eliminates the aerosol and Rayleigh scattering leaving only the water-vapor absorption effects. Because a small amount of water vapor may saturate the strong water bands and a large amount of water vapor may not saturate the weak bands, this ratio is neither a linear nor an exponential function of precipitable water.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to describe a method of using a solar radiometer to deduce precipitable water in the atmosphere. The technique uses two channels. One channel covers a water-vapor absorption region, and the other, a nearby region in which water-vapor absorption is absent. The method operates on the assumption that the aerosol and Rayleigh scattering optical depths are approximately the same in the two channels. This assumption allows the ratio of the two channels to be used to eliminate the aerosol and Rayleigh scattering and thus gives the precipitable water in the slant path. By dividing the water amount by the air mass, the precipitable water in the vertical path may be obtained. Such an instrument was reportedly constructed and calibrated against radiosonde data during the early 1960's, but the details of the instrument and its success have not been verified.

*Lockheed Electronics Co., Houston, Texas 77058.

1The air mass is defined as the secant of the angle from the zenith.

2Precipitable water (centimeters) is defined as the total amount of water vapor (grams) in a column above a surface area of 1 square centimeter, divided by the density of liquid water (ref. 1).

3Murray and Barker (ref. 2) accredited Foster, Volz, and Foskett with the successful construction and calibration of this instrument.
SYMBOLS

\[ A = 0.416 \]
\[ B = -1.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (\mu m)^{-2}} \]
\[ C_1, C_2 \text{ constants} \]
\[ C_3 = \frac{I_0, v \int_0^1 F_2(v) \, dv}{\int_0^1 I_0, v C_2^2} \]
\[ F_1 = \phi(\lambda) \text{ of channel 1} \]
\[ F_2 = \phi(\lambda) \text{ of channel 2} \]
\[ F_2(v) = A \exp\left[B(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2\right] \]
\[ I \text{ intensity (W/cm}^2 \text{ \mu m sr)} \]
\[ \bar{I} \text{ average intensity} \]
\[ I_o \text{ downwelling known intensity} \]
\[ J \text{ meter reading of solar radiometer for air mass } m \]
\[ J_o \text{ meter reading of solar radiometer for air mass } m = 0 \]
\[ K \text{ experimentally determined constant } = 0.422 \]
\[ K_v \text{ absorption coefficient of the gas at frequency } v \]
\[ m \text{ air mass } = \sec \theta \text{ (an approximation valid 3 percent for } \theta \leq 80^\circ) = 1/u \]
\[ P_o \text{ precipitable water (cm) in the vertical path} \]
\[ T \text{ atmospheric transmission} \]
\[ \bar{T} \text{ average atmospheric transmission} \]
\( T(\lambda) \) atmospheric transmission as a function of wavelength

\( T_h \) atmospheric transmission for radiometer unit \( h \)

\( \bar{T}_u \) atmospheric transmission for uncalibrated radiometer

\( z \) altitude in the atmosphere

\( \theta \) zenith angle

\( \lambda \) or \( \nu \) wavelength or frequency, respectively

\( \lambda_0 \) or \( \nu_0 \) center wavelength (0.9435 \( \mu \text{m} \)) or frequency (10 598.83 cm\(^{-1} \)), respectively

\( \mu \) \( \cos \theta \)

\( \rho \) atmospheric density

\( \rho_{H_2O} \) density of water at standard temperature and pressure

\( \tau \) optical depth

\( \tau_0 \) optical depth of entire atmosphere

\( \phi(\lambda) \) least-squares fit of the transmission of the solar radiometer filter

Superscripts:

- downwelling quantity

\( x \) experimentally determined constant = 0.574

Subscripts:

\( 1,2 \) quantity over limits 1 to 2

\( 3,4 \) quantity over limits 3 to 4

\( 0.9420 \) quantity at 0.9420 \( \mu \text{m} \)

\( 0.8730 \) quantity at 0.8730 \( \mu \text{m} \)

\( a \) atmospheric gaseous absorption processes

\( b \) black-body radiator at a specified temperature
atmospheric Rayleigh and aerosol extinction

dummy variable

RADIOMETER MEASUREMENTS AND ACCURACY

Atmospheric aerosol and molecular scattering and absorption by atmospheric gases are deleterious conditions that distort the upwelling terrestrial radiation and cause problems in the automatic classification of multispectral imagery by automatic pattern recognition techniques (refs. 3 and 4). Moreover, these atmospheric conditions have large temporal and spatial variations that may require atmospheric corrections to be made over a grid covering the field of view of the remote sensor. For example, large gradients of precipitable water exist across dry fronts in the Midwest. These gradients may cause variations in precipitable water of from 1.9 to 3.0 centimeters over a distance of 40 kilometers (ref. 1). To allow for such atmospheric variations, a seven-channel Sun radiometer for measuring the optical depth of the atmosphere was designed, constructed, and tested in the field for more than a year (fig. 1). This unit is similar to the Volz Sun photometer used by Flowers et al. (ref. 5) and Volz (ref. 6) in 43 cities in the United States to determine atmospheric turbidity for air quality monitoring and is also similar to the multiple wavelength radiometer described by Shaw et al. (ref. 7). To distinguish between different types of aerosols (e.g., continental and maritime), Reeser (refs. 8 and 9), and Kleen (ref. 10) determined that it was necessary to measure atmospheric extinction at multiple wavelengths.

A device was needed to adequately measure such atmospheric extinction and to cover the principal visible and near infrared bands of the Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS) multispectral scanner (MSS) (ref. 11) and the Earth Resources Experiment Package (EREP) multispectral scanner (ref. 12). An analysis (ref. 13) was made that indicated an optimum set of wavelengths (0.38, 0.50, 0.61, 0.7487, 0.8730, and 1.04 micrometers) that could be used to meet this need. A study of the accuracy of the operator-radiometer-atmosphere system revealed a +10-percent error in measurements of the aerosol optical depth. An additional band at 0.9435 micrometer was chosen to measure absorption caused by atmospheric water vapor.

Kleen (ref. 10) showed that by ratioing the meter reading in the 0.9435-micrometer band to the meter reading in the 0.8730-micrometer band, the water-vapor absorption could be measured. The band at 0.8730 micrometer was chosen over that at 1.04 micrometers because the former is closer to the peak response of the silicon detector used in the radiometer. A network of these radiometers in the field of view of the ERTS or EREP multispectral scanners will give a small-scale picture of both aerosol and water-vapor effects on traversing signals. However, a more practical operational scheme that uses spacecraft data for this purpose will have to be developed to facilitate pattern recognition of large or remote areas of the world.
Cousin et al. (ref. 14) have described the method (the Prediction of Response of Earth Pointed Sensors-Reconstruction of Target Reflectance (PREPS-ROTAR)) for using these data to correct multispectral scanner data from ERTS at bands of 0.5 to 0.6, 0.6 to 0.7, 0.7 to 0.8, and 0.8 to 1.1 micrometers for aerosol effects using a table look-up scheme based on a solution to the radiative transfer equation known as the doubling method (refs. 15 and 16). With the calibration of the water channel of one solar radiometer represented by this paper, the other 16 radiometers may be cross-calibrated. The precipitable water obtained may then be used as an input, and water-vapor absorption effects may be removed from bands at other wavelengths for ERTS, EREP, or aircraft data with a similar look-up table. This look-up table was generated by modifying a compressed line-by-line atmospheric transmission model by Deutschman and Calfee (ref. 17) by using a set of atmospheric soundings to represent an extreme range of atmospheric precipitable water over extremes in temperature and moisture distributions (ref. 1). These results will then be included in the PREPS-ROTAR correction program. (A paper describing this look-up table for the ERTS MSS7 (0.8 to 1.1 micrometers) and the effect of water vapor on signature extension for corn and soybeans is being prepared by the authors as a companion paper.)

CALIBRATION OF THE SOLAR RADIOMETER

Atmospheric transmission $T(\lambda)$ for the 0.9435-micrometer water band was calculated by using a least-squares fit of the transmission of the filter for solar radiometer unit 4, as determined from a spectrophotometer (fig. 2). The Gaussian function $\phi(\lambda)$ used for the fit was of the form

$$\phi(\lambda) = A \exp\left[ B(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 \right]$$

Because the spectral response of the silicon detector changes by less than 0.5 percent and the solar irradiance changes by less than 2 percent over the width of the filter function, it was not necessary to include these terms in calculating the average transmission $\bar{T}$ for the band from the atmospheric transmission $T(\lambda)$.

$$\bar{T} = \frac{\int_{0.935}^{0.950\mu m} T(\lambda)\phi(\lambda)d\lambda}{\int_{0.935}^{0.955} \phi(\lambda)d\lambda}$$
The integrals in equation (2) were evaluated using the Simpson rule, incorporating atmospheric transmissions obtained for a 10-layer atmospheric model, and calculating water-vapor absorption with about 1 centimeter⁻¹ accuracy (fig. 3) smoothed by a triangular filter function 20 centimeters⁻¹ wide at the base (fig. 4). Values were calculated for 10 radiosonde data sets (ref. 1) representing a range of 0.166 to 5.851 centimeters precipitable water (fig. 5). This information includes the extreme range of precipitable water observed in the United States over a 9-month period in 1972 and 1973.

The solution for a downwelling known intensity \( I_o \), such as the Sun passing through an absorbing atmosphere where no scattering occurs, is

\[
I_v^{-}(\tau_v, \mu) = I_{ov} e^{-\tau_o, v_a/\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\tau_v}^{\tau_o, v_a} e^{-t} I_{ov}(t) \, dt
\]

(3)

However, if the atmosphere does not radiate strongly at the wavelengths considered and measurements are made at the Earth surface \( \tau_v = 0 \), equation (3) simplifies to

\[
I_v^{-}(0, \mu) = I_{ov} e^{-\tau_o, v_a/\mu}
\]

(4)

Now, if single scattering without an absorptive process is considered, a similar expression can be derived as a solution to the radiative transfer equations (ref. 18)

\[
I_v^{-}(0, \mu) = I_{ov} e^{-\tau_o, v_a/\mu}
\]

(5)

If these two processes are visualized as distinct phenomena with each occurring in a separate mathematical layer representative of the real atmosphere where both phenomena occur, then the total intensity transversing the layers is

\[
I_v^{-}(0, \mu) = I_{v_a}^{-}(0, \mu) I_{v_a}^{-}(0, \mu)
\]

(6)
or

\[ I_{\text{vsa}}(0, \mu) = I_{\text{ov}} e^{-\left(\tau_{0, \text{vsa}} / \mu\right)} e^{-\left(\tau_{0, \text{vsa}} / \mu\right)} \]  

Now, if the solar intensity is measured in two bands, one with aerosol and water-vapor absorption and one with only aerosol absorption, if finite filter functions \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are used for each, and if \( I_{\text{ov}} \) is a slowly varying function between \( v_1 \) to \( v_2 \) and \( v_3 \) to \( v_4 \), then equation (5) becomes

\[
\frac{I_{\text{vsa}}(0, \mu)}{I_{\text{vs}}(0, \mu)} = \frac{I_{\text{ov}}(v) e^{-\tau_{0, \text{vsa}} / \mu} dv}{\int_{v_1}^{v_2} F_1(v) dv} \]

and equation (7) becomes

\[
\frac{I_{\text{vsa}}(0, \mu)}{I_{\text{vs}}(0, \mu)} = \frac{I_{\text{ov}}(v) e^{-\tau_{0, \text{vsa}} / \mu} e^{-\tau_{0, \text{vsa}} / \mu} dv}{\int_{v_3}^{v_4} F_2(v) dv} \]

where \( v_1 \) to \( v_2 \) covers the 0.8730-micrometer band and \( v_3 \) to \( v_4 \) covers the 0.9420-micrometer water band. Now, if aerosol optical depth \( \tau_{0, \text{vsa}} \) is a slowly varying function of frequency over the range of frequencies \( v_1 \) to \( v_4 \),
then $e^{-\tau_{o,\nu,a}}$ can be removed from the integrals in equations (8) and (9) so that the ratio of equations (8) and (9) gives

$$\frac{I_{o,\nu} \int_{v_3}^{v_4} F_2(v)e^{-\frac{\tau_{o,\nu,a}}{\mu}} dv}{I_{o,\nu} \int_{v_3}^{v_4} F_2(v) dv}$$

(10)

where $F_2$ is the filter function over the water band $v_3$ to $v_4$. Now, since

$$I_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(0,\mu) = C_1 J_{(0.9420)} \text{ and } I_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(0,\mu) = C_2 J_{(0.8730)},$$

then equation (10) becomes

$$C_3 \frac{J_{(0.9420)}}{J_{(0.8730)}} = \int_{v_3}^{v_4} F_2(v)e^{-\left(\tau_{o,\nu,a}\right)^m} dv$$

(11)

where $F_2(v) = A \exp\left(B(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2\right)$. Furthermore

$$\tau_{o,\nu,a} = \int_0^z K_\rho \rho \, dz$$

(12)

For wavelengths near 1.0 micrometer, $K$ is approximately independent of $z$ (i.e., not dependent on temperature) so

$$\tau_{o,\nu,a} = K_\nu \rho \rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$$

(13)
so

\[
C \frac{J_0(0.9420)}{J_0(0.8730)} = \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_4} \exp\left[ -B (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 - K \nu \right] d\lambda \tag{14}
\]

where \( C = \frac{C_3}{A} = \frac{J_0(0.8730)}{J_0(0.9420)} \). Numerical evaluations of the preceding integral show that it is of the form \( \exp(-K \nu m \xi) \) so that

\[
\frac{J_0(0.8730)}{J_0(0.9420)} \frac{J(0.9420)}{J(0.8730)} = \exp(-K \nu m \xi) \tag{15}
\]

if the ratio \( \frac{J_0(0.8730)}{J_0(0.9420)} \) now includes the terms \( C_3/A \).

The water-vapor transmission is not an exponential function of precipitable water (fig. 5). This fact indicates that the transmission does not obey Beer's law. This is because the centers of strong bands saturate at low water amounts, leaving the weak absorbing lines to contribute to additional absorption. The ad hoc function

\[
\bar{T} = \exp(-K \nu m \xi) \tag{16}
\]

was determined to represent a good fit to the curve in figure 5 for solar radiometer unit 4.

Equations (14) and (15) assume the optical depth for aerosol and Rayleigh scattering is approximately the same in these adjacent channels. The Rayleigh optical depth changes by about a factor of 2 for these wavelengths, but it is a very small value of approximately 0.01 ± 10 percent, whereas the aerosol optical depth is about 0.5 (ref. 18).

Radiosonde data from downtown Houston, Texas, and solar radiometer data from the NASA Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center (JSC) at Clear Lake City, Texas, were collected for the same days. The ratio of \( J(0.9435)/J(0.8730) \) was compared to the precipitable water amounts from the radiosonde data in figure 5 and gave a subjective best fit of 0.44 for the value of \( \frac{J_0(0.8730)}{J_0(0.9435)} \) that represented the curve adequately except at higher water
amounts. These anomalous values are very likely attributable to the higher moisture amounts present at JSC, which is 40 kilometers southeast of the downtown Houston area where the 0600 l.s.t. radiosonde is launched. Most solar radiometer measurements are made at 0900 to 1100 l.s.t. when the sea breeze is starting to penetrate the coastal areas.

Once the calibration value \( \left[ \frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_o(0.9435)} \right]_h \) is known for radiometer unit 4, the meter readings \( J(0.9435) \) and \( J(0.8730) \) from radiometer unit 4 can be used to obtain the transmission in the water band \( \tilde{T} \), which allows the precipitable water in the slant path to be calculated using the curve in figure 5. Dividing the precipitable water in the slant path by the air mass (sec 6) will give the precipitable water in the vertical path.

Precipitable water measurements using other radiometers may be obtained by taking the ratio of the measurements \( \frac{J(0.9435)}{J(0.8730)} \) and multiplying by the calibration value \( \frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_o(0.9435)} \) for the appropriate radiometer from table I.

Figure 6 will then relate this calculated value to precipitable water in the slant path. The precipitable water in the vertical path may be obtained by dividing the slant path amount by the air mass \( m \).

Because each filter has a slightly different transmission function, the response of transmission as compared to precipitable water is different for the 0.9435-micrometer band of each radiometer. Figure 6 shows the calibration curves for all 17 radiometers. The curves were obtained by integrating the measured filter response functions over the absorption caused by water atmospheric vapor; five different atmospheric profiles were used in the same manner as just described for radiometer unit 4. Many of the curves are, for practical purposes, identical.

Once the calibration values \( \left[ \frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_o(0.9435)} \right]_h \) are known for the radiometer unit 4, calibration of the other units that were available were undertaken by making simultaneous measurements with radiometer unit 4 and the unknown radiometer. The technique used for finding the calibration values \( \left[ \frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_o(0.9435)} \right] \) for the uncalibrated radiometers is as follows:

1. Obtain two sets of simultaneous readings with radiometer unit 4 and the unknown instrument for the 0.8730- and 0.9435-micrometer channels. The two sets should be compared to eliminate reading or writing errors.

2. Use \( \left[ \frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_o(0.9435)} \right]_h \) for the calibrated photometer and the measured values \( \left[ \frac{J(0.9435)}{J(0.8730)} \right]_h \) for the calibrated photometer to calculate \( \tilde{T}_h \), where \( \tilde{T}_h = 0.4h \left[ \frac{J(0.9435)}{J(0.8730)} \right]_h \).
3. Use figure 6 to calculate precipitable water in the slant path based on measurements from radiometer unit 4.

4. Use the precipitable water from step 3 to find the transmission for the uncalibrated radiometer \( \tilde{T}_u \) using figure 6.

5. Use measured values \( J(0.9435) \) and \( J(0.8730) \) from the uncalibrated radiometer and the \( \tilde{T}_u \) from step 4 to calculate the calibration constants \( J_o(0.8730)/J_o(0.9435) \).

\[
\frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_o(0.9435)} = \frac{J(0.8730)}{J(0.9435)} \tilde{T}_u
\]  

Table I gives calibration values obtained in this manner for all radiometers that were available in the summer of 1973.

6. Obtain a second set of simultaneous measurements on a different day, perform steps 1 through 5, and cross correlate the values of water obtained with radiometer unit 4 and the instrument being calibrated. Figure 7 is an example of such a calibration verification.

If radiometer unit 4 becomes uncalibrated, units 1, 2, or 3 should be used as the standard.

In several studies, Morrissey and Brousadies (ref. 19), Barnes et al. (ref. 20), and others discuss temperature-induced errors in humidity, problems in repetition, and problems with response of radiosondes that served as the standard for measurement of water vapor for this technique. Brousadies and Morrissey (refs. 21 and 22) discuss an improvement in the radiosonde that eliminates the systematic trend to measure drier daytime profiles than infrared and other water-vapor measurements in the Barbados Oceanographic and Meteorological Experiment project. Their redesign of the radiosonde that was implemented by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration in 1972 shows an increase of 30 percent relative humidity (e.g., from 50 to 80 percent relative humidity) for some cases above \( 500 \times 10^2 \) \( \text{g/m}^2 \) (500 millibars). All the data used in this report were from the improved radiosonde system.

According to Brousadies (ref. 23), most radiosondes have laboratory accuracies of \( \pm 3 \) percent or less for relative humidity at temperatures above 298 K; however, at temperatures below 253 K, the error increases to \( \pm 6 \) percent with a mean bias of as much as 7 percent. However, at present, no assessment of the absolute accuracies for temperature and relative humidity is available to calculate the accuracy of determining total water from radiosonde data.

Water vapor is one of the most highly variable of all atmospheric constituents; thus, the accuracy of calibrating a solar radiometer by a balloon-launched
sensor requiring tens of minutes to measure. The profile will always be limited.

It is the authors' opinion that the relative humidity measurement from the radiosonde is accurate to about ±10 percent for values of relative humidity greater than 20 percent. If absolute radiance could be derived for the two channels of the radiometer, the accuracy of the radiosonde would no longer be the limiting factor in determining precipitable water in the atmosphere.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The technique of using the solar radiometer allows a self-consistent measurement of precipitable water to be made that can be used as an input to a transmission look-up table for other spectral bands of water-vapor absorption. As such, precipitable water serves as a convenient, physically meaningful, intermediary quantity.

Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Houston, Texas, February 26, 1974
951-16-00-00-72
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solar radiometer unit number</th>
<th>Calibration value $\frac{J_o(0.8730)}{J_0(0.9435)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.- The seven-channel solar radiometer.
Figure 2.- Filter function for the water band for solar radiometer unit \( \lambda \).
Figure 3.—Calculated transmission through the atmosphere for Albany, N.Y., Jan. 10, 1972, radiosonde, 0.202 centimeter precipitable water, 1 centimeter\(^{-1}\) accuracy.
Figure 4.— Calculated transmission through the atmosphere smoothed by a triangular filter function 20 centimeters$^{-1}$ wide. Albany, N.Y., Jan. 10, 1972, radiosonde, 0.202 centimeter precipitable water.
Curve for theoretical calculations using filter functions and atmospheric transmissions from compressed line-by-line model $\bar{T} = 0.44 \frac{J(0.9435)}{J(0.8730)}$.

Figure 5.— Precipitable water calibration for solar radiometer unit $L$.  

Photometer measurements

Radiosonde data for theoretical transmissions

1. Key West, Fla., July 17, 1972
2. Lake Charles, La., Sept. 5, 1972
4. Boothville, La., Nov. 11, 1972
8. Caribou, Maine, Jan. 10, 1973
Figure 6.- Calibration curves for 17 solar radiometers.
Figure 7.- Calibration verification between solar radiometers.