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ABSTRACT

Absolute spectral energy distributions for the large redshift quasars OQ 172 and OH 471 are discussed along with similar data for two other quasars 4C05.34 and PHL 957. Assuming cosmological redshifts, OQ 172 and OH 471 are not as luminous as PHL 957. If these quasars are basically similar and if radiative processes dominate, the strength of Ly α and the behavior of the continuum at the Lyman limit strongly suggest that these objects consist of a central ionizing source surrounded by discrete clouds, filaments or a gaseous structure such as a disk. This gaseous matter does not cover the whole solid angle surrounding the source.

Subject Headings: Quasi-stellar sources - Spectrophotometry
INTRODUCTION

The discovery that the two radio sources Q 172 and OH 471 have redshifts respectively of 3.53 and 3.40 provides for the first time an excellent opportunity to look in detail at the continuum spectrum above and below the Lyman limit. In the case of OH 471, Carswell and Strittmatter (1973) identified two emission lines with $\text{La}$ and with $\text{OVI}$ ($\lambda 1031.9$, $1037.6$) or $\text{L6}$ ($\lambda 1026$). The emission line redshift of Q 172 (Wampler et al. 1973) depends on the identification of $\text{La}$ and $\lambda 1550$ of $\text{CIV}$. There is also some evidence for a feature at $\text{L6}$ or $\text{OVI}$. Both objects have rich absorption-line spectra.

OBSERVATIONS

Absolute spectral energy distributions for Q 172 and OH 471 were obtained with the multichannel spectrometer attached to the 200-inch (508 cm) Hale telescope. The results are shown in Figure 1 where $\log f_\nu$ is plotted against the observed $\log \nu$ for both objects. The flux $f_\nu$ is in units of ergs sec$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$; the energy distributions are based on the absolute calibration of $\alpha$ Lyrae as given by Oke and Schild (1970). For each energy distribution, the locations of the Lyman limit $L_L$, $\text{L6}$ or $\text{OVI}$, $\text{La}$, $\lambda 1550$ of $\text{CIV}$, and $\lambda 1909$ of $\text{CIII}$] are marked. In both objects the $\text{CIII}$] line, which was not used in determining the published redshift, is clearly present at the correct position. In both objects
there is a line at the position of L$\beta$ or OVI.

RESULTS

In discussing results for these two objects we will also discuss similar data which is available for two other large redshift objects 4C05.34 (Oke 1970, Lynds 1971) and PHL 957 (Lowrance et al 1972).

The continua of these four objects cannot really be represented adequately by a power law. The reason for this may be partly intrinsic but it is also a consequence of the many absorption lines below emission L$\alpha$ which are not resolved by the multichannel spectrometer. Since a power law is adequate on the red side of L$\alpha$, we have tabulated the spectral index $\alpha$, where $f_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$, for this spectral range in Table 1. The mean value of $\alpha$ between the radio and optical regions is -0.7 for OQ 172, OH 471, and 4C05.34.

It is also useful to list the observed flux $f_\nu$ at the frequency corresponding to the redshifted frequency of $10^{15}$ Hz as has been done for many other quasars (Oke et al 1970). These fluxes require a modest extrapolation beyond the redmost frequencies observed. These are also listed in Table 1 for all four objects. The relationship between the emitted flux $F_\nu_0$ at the source at rest frequency $\nu_0$ and the observed flux $f_\nu$ measured at the redshifted frequency of $\nu_0$ is
\[ F_{V_0} = 1.07 \times 10^{57} \left( \frac{100}{H_0} \right)^2 \frac{z^2}{1+z} \, f_V, \]  

(1)

where \( H_0 \) is the Hubble constant in km sec\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\).

The above formula applies for \( q_0 = +1 \). For \( q_0 = 0 \), the right hand side of the equation is multiplied by \((1 + \frac{1}{2}z)^2\).

Values of \( \log F_{V_0} \) are given in Table 1 for \( \nu_0 = 10^{15} \) Hz, \( H_0 = 100 \) km sec\(^{-1}\) mpc\(^{-2}\), and \( q_0 = +1 \). They can be compared directly with similar data for other quasars (Oke et al 1970). (In Table 1 of the reference just mentioned, the values of \( \log F_{V_0} \) (10\(^{15}\) Hz) should be increased by 3 to give units of ergs sec\(^{-1}\) Hz\(^{-1}\)). The absolute luminosities of OQ 172 and OH 471 are comparable with that of 4C05.34 but less than that of PHL 957. Using models with \( q_0 = 0 \) does not alter the relative luminosities of these four objects significantly.

The most striking difference between the energy distributions of OQ 172 and OH 471 is their behavior below the Lyman limit. In OQ 172 there is essentially no change at the limit, while in OH 471 there is a drop corresponding to a factor 5. In this latter object the smooth and gradual drop of intensity with wavelength near the Lyman limit is compatible with the breadths of the emission lines seen in the spectrum. In 4C05.34 the drop of intensity as one crosses the Lyman limit is about a factor 2, while in PHL 957, the redshift is too low to measure adequately the flux below the limit. The ratio of intensities \( I(1216) \)/\( I(1217) \) is given in Table 1.
In Table 2 are given the intensities of the observed emission lines in terms of (1) equivalent width in Angstroms, (2) observed intensity j ergs sec\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), and (3) absolute emitted intensity J ergs sec\(^{-1}\). The relation between j and J is

\[ J = 1.07 \times 10^{57} \left( \frac{100}{H_0} \right)^2 z^2 j \]  

(2) for \(q_o = +1\). The right hand side is multiplied by \( (1 + \frac{2}{3}z)^2 \) for \(q_o = 0\). J in Table 2 is based on \(H_0 = 100\) km sec\(^{-1}\) mpc\(^{-1}\) and \(q_o = +1\). For La two sets of numbers are given in 3 cases. The first corresponds to the observed equivalent width. Lu, however, is asymmetrical, being depressed on the violet wing, presumably by absorption lines. The second sets of numbers correspond approximately to twice the equivalent width measured from the line center redwards. The range of equivalent width for each line is typically a factor 3, but over a factor 4 for \(\lambda 1909\) of C III.

DISCUSSION

We consider the observations first in terms of a model in which a small central source, which provides the ionizing radiation, is surrounded by a spherically symmetrical nebula ionized of low density gas. We further assume that processes are radiative, that the Lyman emission lines are produced by recombination, and that the Lyman photons degenerate into La
when multiple scattering occurs. If the nebula is optically thin to Lyman radiation, then for an electron temperature of 10,000 to 20,000 °K the ratio of intensities of La to Lβ is 4.0 (Bahcall 1966). As the optical depth increases this ratio increases. Although our data are not adequate to determine whether the emission feature near Lβ is due to Lβ or O VI, it still provides an upper limit to the strength of Lβ. In the case of OQ 172, the ratio of La to the Lβ feature is consistent with an optically thin nebula; if the Lβ feature is really O VI, then the nebula is optically thick. For OH 471 and 4C05.34, the ratio is at least 10 to 20 corresponding to optical depths in the center of Lβ of at least 3 (Bahcall 1966).

We can also make a direct comparison between the number of La photons observed and the number of Lyman continuum photons emitted by the central ionizing source, which do not escape from the nebula. This latter can be estimated by extrapolating the continuum between La and L_L to much higher frequencies and allowing for the radiation still seen below the Lyman limit. When this is done for OH 471 and 4C05.34, La has too few photons by factors of 2.2 and 1.7 respectively. For the proposed spherical model there are at least two possible explanations. (1) If the optical depth at the Lyman limit is moderate, as indeed appears to be the case for this model, the Lyman opacity rapidly decreases to practically zero at higher frequencies and Lyman photons are mostly
absorbed just below the Lyman limit. (2) The radiative flux from the central source may decrease rapidly at higher frequencies. A cut off at 700 Å [near \( \log v \) (obs) = 10.15 in OH 471] would fit the observations.

A third possible solution is to postulate a model in which the nebula is not spherically symmetrical, but is in the form of clouds or some structure such as a thick disk, which does not cover the whole solid angle around the source. In this case the observed \( \text{La} \) intensity is produced by all the gas, and may correspond to an optically thin or optically thick case. The amount of radiation below the Lyman limit converted to \( \text{La} \) will depend on the fraction of the total solid angle about the source covered by clouds. The observed flux below the Lyman limit, on the other hand, will be governed by the amount of material in the line-of-sight to the central source; this may be large, small, or negligible. The observations of OH 471 and 4C05.34 do not distinguish among any of these models.

The observations of OQ 172 present an interesting and important difference from those of OH 471 and 4C05.34. Here \( \text{La} \) is observed with the usual equivalent width, but there is no discontinuity at the Lyman limit. In terms of the spherically symmetrical model, the strength of \( \text{La} \) requires a drop in intensity at the Lyman limit by 30 percent, which should occur just below the limit where the opacity is high. This is not observed. One could imagine an optically thin
gas with pure recombination, as is possible from the \( \text{La}/\text{L}\beta \) ratio, but such recombination produces not only \( \text{La} \) and \( \text{L}\beta \) but a Lyman continuum in emission with an intensity comparable with \( \text{La} \) itself. To produce the observed lines and no Lyman jump in this case requires a non-static model with just the right parameters, a rather unlikely situation. Thus a spherically symmetrical model does not work.

The observations of OQ 172 can however be explained with the third cloud or disk model. For this object the clouds or disk could be optically thin or optically thick while the Lyman absorption in the line-of-sight to the central source is very small.

Considering all three objects to be basically similar, the most reasonable model, if radiative processes dominate, is one in which the gas surrounding the central source is in clouds or in a restricted zone such as a disk, so that the gas subtends only a fraction of the total solid angle as seen from the central source. Such a model has been proposed by Schmidt (1964) to avoid the problem which electron scattering poses for highly variable quasars.
COLORS

The B-V colors of OQ 172 and OH 471 can be estimated roughly from the energy distributions and are approximately +0.6. The rather red color for a quasar is due to the presence of Lyα in the V band. The U-B color will put OQ 172 above the black-body curve in the two color diagram and OH 471 below the domain usually occupied by unreddened stars. Therefore these objects can be found by suitable 2-color surveys. There will presumably be other quasars with Lyman jumps intermediate between those of OQ 172 and OH 471 which mimic normal star colors. At redshifts of about 4.4 objects like OQ 172 will remain near the black-body line in the 2-color diagram, and an object like OH 471 will become extremely red with B-V of the order of +1.5 to +2.0. It will still be possible to discover such objects with photographic surveys, although intermediate objects will be missed.

This work was supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through grant NGL-05-002-134.
### TABLE 1
Continuum Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>$\log (f_\nu)$</th>
<th>$\log F_{\nu_0} (10^{15} \text{Hz})$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$I(1216^+)$</th>
<th>$I(1216^-)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OQ 172</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>-26.38</td>
<td>31.09</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH 471</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>-26.52</td>
<td>30.93</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C05.34</td>
<td>2.877</td>
<td>-26.44</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHL 957</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>-25.96</td>
<td>31.36</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2

**Emission Line Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>C III, λ1909</th>
<th>C IV, λ1550</th>
<th>Lα, λ1216</th>
<th>Lβ or O VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W $\times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>W $\times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$j \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QQ 172</td>
<td>229 29.0 387</td>
<td>61 11.2 149</td>
<td>249 59.0 786</td>
<td>67 16.1 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>352† 83.5 1113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH 471</td>
<td>152 10.2 126</td>
<td>101 9.4 116</td>
<td>400 38.2 472</td>
<td>27 2.8 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>560† 53.6 662</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4C05.34</td>
<td>50 7.0 62</td>
<td>147 26.0 230</td>
<td>462 97.0 860</td>
<td>40 8.5 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHL 957</td>
<td>66 37.0 289</td>
<td>56 45.0 349</td>
<td>129 150.0 1170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>152† 177.0 1380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* W is equivalent width in Å, $j$ is observed intensity in ergs sec$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$, and $J$ is emitted intensity in ergs sec$^{-1}$.

† Twice the equivalent width from the line center redwards.
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Fig. 1 Absolute spectral energy distributions for OQ 172 and OH 471. Log $f_\nu$, where $f_\nu$ is the flux in ergs sec$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$ is plotted against log $\nu$ where $\nu$ is the observed frequency. The positions of several emission lines and the Lyman limit $L_L$ are indicated. "At" marks the region of strong atmospheric water-vapor absorption. Standard deviation bars are included if they are significantly larger than the dots themselves.
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