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ASSESSMENT AND CONTROL OF ELECTROSTATIC CHARGES

1. INTRODUCTION

Adverse effects of electrostatic charges on space vehicle design, development, test, and operations sometimes have been serious. Such effects include inadvertent ignition of electro-explosive devices, spurious triggering of electronics, and damage to insulating materials. The ignition by electrostatic charge of the final stage solid rocket motor of the Delta launch vehicle for the Orbiting Solar Observatory spacecraft during test operations killed three men in 1964. Electrostatic charges previously had ignited a similar motor without loss of life. In addition, failures of several vehicles after launch have been attributed to electrostatic charges.

Electrostatic charges in the atmosphere can have adverse effects on launch operations. NASA SP-8084, revision of June 1974, gives lightning models that should be applied to electrostatic problems. The lightning strikes on Apollo 12, shortly after liftoff, caused major disturbances to on-board electrical systems. Fortunately, most of the effects in this case were of a temporary nature and the mission was able to continue. Later, during lunar surface operations of the same mission, lunar dust was found to cover many of the experiment packages and space suits, thus causing them to overheat. The attraction of the dust particles to the thermal control surfaces may be partially attributable to electrostatic charges. Electrostatic charges have been of concern in the design of scientific satellites because of the need to account for electrostatic effects on sensitive instruments in the ionosphere and space.

Hazards to space vehicles can arise from electrostatic charges that are generated on board, externally, or by interaction between the space vehicle and its environment. Damage generally occurs during the sudden breakdown of matter in the field between accumulated charges of opposite polarities. This results in a current surge which is characterized by a very fast rise time and a high intensity for a very short period. Techniques for minimizing the foregoing hazards are available, but hazardous situations are not always recognized.

The problem of electrostatic charges sometimes is confused with problems of electromagnetic interference (EMI). A program to control EMI is not closely related to requirements for preventing damaging electrostatic charges. (EMI is treated in a separate design criteria monograph, NASA SP-8092.)
To be effective, a program to minimize the hazards of electrostatic charges must be instituted early in the design phase and must consider all aspects of the design, test, launch, and operations of the launch vehicle, spacecraft, and experiments. This monograph is intended (1) to alert the designers of space vehicles and experiments to problems associated with electrostatic charges, (2) to provide methods for assessing these problems, and (3) to show means for handling them. For more detailed treatment of particular aspects, the reader is directed to the references cited.

Section 2 of this monograph describes experience of NASA and DOD with electrostatic problems, generation mechanisms, types of electrostatic hazards, and current methods for handling electrostatic problems. Section 3 gives guidelines for judging the possible effects of electrostatic charges on a space vehicle mission and methods for establishing requisite control of electrostatic charging by design, development, and testing. Section 4 gives recommended practices and techniques for carrying out the objectives of section 3. Pertinent mathematical formulas and definitions are presented in the appendices.

Also available in this series are monographs that describe the natural environments of the Earth and other planets. Other design criteria monographs treat space vehicle technology in the areas of vehicle structure, guidance and control, and chemical propulsion. All monographs are listed at the end of this monograph.

2. STATE OF THE ART

2.1 Design and Operational Experience

To obtain a practical understanding of the nature and extent of electrostatic hazards in space flights, it is worthwhile to review some of the documented incidents of failure or malfunction that resulted from electrostatic charges.* The incidents show that unwanted or dangerous electrostatic effects may be generated by discharging aboard the space vehicle, by interaction with external objects on the ground, or by interaction with the atmosphere during flight.

2.1.1 Ranger Studies

During preorbital flight, some systems of a spacecraft are turned off or are in a standby mode. Analysis of flights indicates that during this time electrostatic discharging may occur. This could actuate a circuit prematurely; for example, a high voltage power supply could be turned on at an altitude at which reduced atmospheric pressure may permit arcing through insulation. This could lead to the destruction of the power supply (ref. 2).

---

*However, electrostatic charging can be used constructively; for example, the self-repulsion of a charged body could deploy and support in space an antenna (ref. 1).
2.1.2 Delta

During testing, the X-248 rocket motor of the Delta launch vehicle was accidently ignited in November 1963. Again in 1964 the X-248 motor ignited accidentally during ground handling with fatal results. Investigation indicated that electrostatic charging had occurred when the nonmetallic rocket casing had been rubbed in handling of a polyethylene cover. Sudden movement of the polyethylene resulted in a rapid increase of the voltage associated with this charge. The voltage was enough to fire an igniter squib (ref. 3).

2.1.3 Minuteman

A buildup of electrostatic charge apparently caused two failures of Minuteman flight test missiles (ref. 4). The reentry vehicles of the two missiles were not electrically bonded to the rest of the vehicles. The apparent result was that the reentry vehicle became charged sufficiently by the engine or another mechanism to cause a spark discharge that disabled the missile guidance and control system.

2.1.4 Titan III

Titan III vehicles C-10 and C-14 had guidance computer anomalies for a short interval a few seconds after launch, but computer performance recovered to permit successful missions. Investigation of these anomalies showed that the guidance computer was very sensitive to electrostatic discharging. Discharges across a 0.8 mm air gap that was eight feet away from the computer would sometimes cause an anomaly similar to that observed in the flights. Two possible causes were identified. As the more likely cause, the computer anomaly was attributed to electrostatic charging from the circulation of cooling fluid for the computer through teflon-lined hose with a braided steel jacket. Some sections of this hose were not grounded; bench tests revealed that flow of the coolant in these sections could build up a sufficient charge to discharge at the altitude where the anomalies occurred. Another possible cause of the anomalies was triboelectric* charging of the ablative coating of the payload fairing by ice particles in passage through the lower atmosphere with consequent sparking (ref. 4).

2.1.5 Apollo 12

Within seconds after launch of the Apollo 12 vehicle, it was struck at least twice by lightning. The lightning strokes caused interruption of communications, instrument disturbances, illumination of many warning lights, disconnection of fuel cells, tumbling by the inertial guidance system, and disturbances to the timing system. Nine measuring instruments were permanently damaged (ref. 5).

The launch was made during the passage of a cold front and low clouds were present, but no lightning had been observed prior to liftoff. The lightning discharges appear to have been induced by the presence of the Apollo 12 vehicle below a cloud that carried electrostatic

*Triboelectric (or frictional) charging is discussed in section 2.2.1.
charges. The conducting surfaces of the vehicle and the conducting gas plasma of its exhaust plume apparently formed a long cylinder which shortened the resistive distance for an electric discharge from the cloud to ground. Figure 1 illustrates the effect. When the field

---

Figure 1.—Distortion of lines of equal electric potential in the Earth’s field, caused by Apollo 12 rocket that led to breakdown conditions above and below rocket (ref. 5).
strength reached the dielectric threshold of the atmosphere, breakdown resulted between
cloud and flight vehicle and the lightning stroke resulted.

The discharge probably propagated both up and down from the vehicle. The path of current
flow along the vehicle would be on its outer surface, but transient currents were induced on
internal circuitry.

2.1.6 Applications Technology Satellite (ATS-5)

On August 12, 1969, the ATS-5 was launched into synchronous orbit at 105° West longi-
tude. Within weeks, telemetered data indicated that the spacecraft had charged up to a
potential of $\sim 10$ kV. In addition, it was concluded that observed long-term degradation of
the aluminized Mylar thermal blankets and difficulties with telemetry could be attributed to
sparking from differential charging of spacecraft surfaces.

The most probable cause of the high potential charging is bombardment of the spacecraft by
high energy charged particles of solar wind substorms. The spacecraft has potentials in the
order of several hundred volts negative in sunlight. In eclipse, the potential can reach $\sim 10$ kV,
apparently because of the flux and energy of the proton and electron plasma. The negative
potential presumably is reduced by the opposing action of the photoelectric effect when
ATS-5 is in sunlight (ref. 6).

2.2 On-board Sources of Electrostatic Charges

Large, stable electrostatic charges (positive and negative) can be generated on spacecraft and
launch vehicles by several processes for which appendix C gives the fundamental equations.
The principal on-board mechanisms are triboelectrification or friction, rocket exhaust effects,
and fluid flow.

2.2.1 Friction

Triboelectrification (charge accumulation that results from rubbing or interrupted contact
between dissimilar materials) is a major cause of electrostatic charging. In general, dissimilar
materials acquire opposite charges when they are placed in contact or are rubbed together;
the material with the larger dielectric constant usually bears the positive charge. Charge
separation can even occur between two identical pieces of material provided they are rubbed
in an asymmetric way so that friction occurs at one spot on one but over a wide surface on
the other. Experimenters have generated “triboelectric series” to indicate which material
becomes positively charged and which becomes negatively charged. Unfortunately, one can
not predict accurately the polarity and magnitude of the charges because they depend on the
nature of the surface and the method of rubbing or contact. One empirical series follows:

+platinum, paper, cellulose acetate, cellulose triacetate,
polyethylene, aluminum, polystyrene, copper, rubber —
A material in this series receives electrons and acquires a negative charge when it is rubbed with a material that precedes it on the list; conversely, a material usually loses electrons and acquires a positive charge when it is rubbed with a material that follows it. Not many of the available electrons cross between contacting materials. About $10^{12}$ electrons per cm$^2$ is a high value but represents only about one-millionth of a coulomb; normal observations are lower by a factor of a hundred or so (ref. 7).

A number of triboelectric hazards were found and corrected during the Apollo program. Rubbing of spacesuits and coming into contact with glass-fibered cloth, such as contained in a plastic couch cover, results in a charge buildup. The charge is generally small, and any resulting spark is not an ignition hazard under current design conditions (ref. 8). However, small sparks of static electricity, that were noted to result from rubbing the astronaut's suit during normal operations have been evaluated for the possible hazard of their igniting a combustible gaseous mixture in the cabin or a combustible such as log book paper. To avoid such hazards, charges on spacesuits were grounded by using a biomedical sensor wire. Similarly, a grounded metal screen was fitted under the couch cover to prevent charge buildup. Storage canisters placed in teflon receptacles were observed to spark when pulled from the teflon. Grounding the canister during insertion and withdrawal eliminated this problem.

The teflon fabric belt and pulley designed for hoisting Moon samples into the Lunar Excursion Module were also sources of electrostatic charging. Rubbing during the hoisting operation was found to charge the belt sufficiently for it to attract dust such as hematite or basalt. To prevent charge accumulation, the belt was sewn in a zigzag pattern with metal thread that provided a path for charge drainage. Consequently, dust pickup was eliminated.

### 2.2.2 Rocket Exhaust Effects

Electrostatic charging by rocket engines has received considerable study (refs. 9, 10, 11, and 12). The complex environment within the rocket chamber (where gases and plasma react at high temperatures) and the effects of the exhaust on vehicle charging are still not completely understood. Charging of the rocket chamber by electrons has been proposed as well as several other mechanisms (refs. 13, 14, and 15). These include thermionic emission from the heated walls of the combustion chamber and nozzle, triboelectric charging by solid particles in the exhaust, photoelectric emission, and fuel atomization.

### 2.2.3 Fluid Flow

The motion of liquid rocket propellants through pipes has been recognized as a contribution to the charging of liquid fuel rockets. Any spacecraft system involving non-conductive fluid flow can develop electrostatic effects.

The splashing of liquids leads to “waterfall” effect in droplet-laden air. The result is charging of the droplets and opposite charging of the atmosphere. Charge magnitudes of about $10^{-12}$ coulombs per gram of water have been observed in waterfalls (ref. 16). Both the waterfall effect and liquid flow through pipes are of concern in filling vehicle tanks with liquid propellant.
To prevent charge accumulation, grounding straps are used when fueling ships, aircraft, and launch vehicles. For aircraft fueling, a chemical agent for static dissipation has been introduced to eliminate charging hazards from liquid flow (ref. 17). Another technique for dissipating charges is by use of radioactive material when automatic equipment precludes possibility of exposure of persons.

2.3 External Sources of Electrostatic Effects

The space vehicle encounters a number of external sources of electrostatic effects during its operational life. From launch until the space vehicle has passed into the stratosphere, it is subject to atmospheric conditions. These include ice crystals in high clouds, the vertical electric field gradient, and large concentrations of electric charge in rain clouds.

The dielectric strength of the atmosphere is at a minimum at the reduced pressures of altitudes around 30 km. This increases the possibility that electrostatic charging will cause electrical breakdown of the medium between space vehicle components (sec. 2.4).

In the ionosphere, the encounters of ions and electrons with the space vehicle have electrostatic effects that are of concern in design of experiments. Above the ionosphere, the external source of electrostatic effects is the radiation flux consisting of sunlight and energetic charged particles.

2.3.1 Lightning

Typical thunderstorm cells are created by the heating and humidification of an air mass near the Earth. When this air mass rises to an altitude where the temperature is well below freezing, ice crystals grow and form an ice cloud. The rising, falling, and wind-created turbulence of the ice crystals in the presence of water droplets and snowflakes lead to electric charge separations in the thunderstorm cell. Charge densities of the order of 40 C/km³ are to be expected in a typical cell (ref. 18). A schematic drawing of the mature stage of development of the cell is given in figure 2. NASA SP-8084 presents the currently accepted parameters for electric fields during fair weather, cloudiness, and thunderstorms. This reference gives characteristics of lightning discharges, frequency of thunderstorm days at NASA launch sites, and means for estimating the effect of structural height on probability of lightning strikes. Recent studies are developing new data in this subject area, such as presented in figure 3.

Even though weather conditions are not sufficient to generate a lightning stroke from a thunderstorm cloud to the ground, a stroke can be triggered by the launch of a space vehicle. Because the vehicle is an excellent electrical conductor that presents an equipotential surface, it distorts the field between the charged cloud and the ground, as shown by figure 1. Sufficient localization of the field can result in breakdown such as occurred in the Apollo 12 launch. In this case, strokes were triggered at an altitude of 1.9 km (6400 ft) when the potential gradient measured near the surface was about 3500 V/m.
Figure 2.—The approximate charge distribution in a mature thunderstorm cell and the ground beneath it. (after ref. 18).
Additional information about lightning phenomena is given in references 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24.

2.3.2 Triboelectric Charging

Triboelectric charging, commonly called frictional charging, was discussed in connection with on-board sources of charging in section 2.2.1. The transfer of electrons between external sources and the flight vehicle is the same phenomenon. Such transfer can be expected whenever a flight vehicle passes through the atmosphere and is enhanced by passage through a dust cloud or a cloud of ice crystals.

The rate at which a vehicle acquires a charge and the polarity of the charge depend on the dielectric constants of the vehicle surface and the charging particles and on the frequency and velocity of encounters. Measurements on commercial jet transports flying through clouds of ice crystals have indicated rates of accumulation of charge as high as $5 \times 10^{-3}$ C/s or 5 mA. One aircraft reached a potential of 500 kV (ref. 26). Higher voltages are prevented when loss of charge by corona discharge equals the rate of collection by aircraft motion. Measurements of potential on a sounding rocket flight are shown in figure 4.

2.3.3 Atmospheric Field

A natural potential gradient exists in the atmosphere which varies with ground location and weather conditions. From a fair-weather value of 100 to 300 V/m at the surface, the potential gradient decreases non-linearly with altitude until it reaches about 4 V/m at 10 to 12 km.*

*Anon.: Surface Atmospheric Extremes (Launch and Transportation Areas), NASA SP-8084, revised, June 1974.
Figure 4.—Measured electric potential of a Nike-Cajun sounding rocket flight into the stratosphere (ref. 27).

This potential gradient of the atmosphere along a 100 m high vehicle ready for launch could result in a potential difference of over 10,000 V between the ground and the region near the top of the vehicle if it is not grounded. Grounding the vehicle eliminates this potential by allowing free charge to flow from the Earth. The charge (equal to the product of the voltage and the capacitance of the vehicle) can be estimated for typical vehicles 30 to 100 m high with capacitances around 1000 pF to be in the order of $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-5}$ C (ref. 4).

At liftoff, the plume of ionized gases between vehicle and launch pad acts as a conductor that momentarily retains the electrical connection with the ground and hence increases induction charging of the vehicle by the atmosphere. The charge may be enhanced during bad weather conditions because the potential gradient of the atmosphere would increase.
As the launch vehicle moves into regions with decreasing potential gradient, the induced charge tends to move across the vehicle surface to a more uniform distribution. Failure to provide a conductive bond between parts of the vehicle surface would prevent this motion and result in a potential difference across the insulating gap. In extreme conditions, sparking could result.

2.3.4 Ionosphere and Space

The potentials that can develop between the spacecraft and surrounding medium of the ionosphere or space are of concern in the design of sensitive experiments and scientific satellites. This interaction must be taken into account in design of experiments that are to take measurements in the medium. Sometimes the electric potential acquired by the spacecraft serves as a reference for on-board experiments.

2.3.4.1 Ionospheric Parameters

The ionosphere extends outward from an altitude of approximately 60 km until it merges with the plasma of interplanetary space. The ionization is the result of extreme ultraviolet solar radiation and cosmic rays interacting with the atmosphere to produce a plasma usually consisting of equal concentrations of positively and negatively charged particles. The plasma remains in a state of dynamic equilibrium because the loss of charged particles through recombination is balanced by the creation of new particles. Because the prime source of energy for the ionosphere is the Sun, the parameters defining the ionosphere vary diurnally, seasonally, and with the solar cycle. Geographical variations that result from anomalies in the Earth's magnetic field also exist.

The density of ions and electrons in the ionosphere can vary from 1 to $10^6$ charged particles per cm$^3$. By comparison, there are about $10^3$ charged particles per cm$^3$ at the Earth's surface, less than 10 particles/cm$^3$ in the trapped radiation belt, and 1 to 10 particles/cm$^3$ beyond 4 Earth radii.

2.3.4.2 Effect of Ionospheric Parameters on Spacecraft Potential

(a) Electron-Ion Mobility Considerations

If a vehicle enters the ionosphere with a large potential because of charging in the lower atmosphere, the ionosphere acts as an external ground. Consequently, ionospheric charges are attracted which neutralize the excess charge on the vehicle. However, the resulting equilibrium charge is not zero as indicated by the following process.

Because the temperature of positive ions, principally O$^+$ and NO$^+$, is comparable to that of the much lighter electrons, the electrons have a mean velocity that is much greater than that of the ions. (The ratio of the mean velocities is equal to the square root of the reciprocal ratio of the masses.) The higher velocity electrons strike the space vehicle more often so it acquires a negative charge. Equilibrium is reached when the spacecraft acquires sufficient negative charge to reduce the electron collection rate to the ion collection rate (ref. 27).
the absence of other charging mechanisms, the spacecraft equilibrium might have a negative potential of 0.9 V at a temperature of 2000°K according to Langmuir probe theory (ref. 28). Several measurements have agreed in magnitude with this result (ref. 9).

(b) $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$ Effect*

When a conductor moves through a magnetic field, an electric field $\mathbf{E}$ is developed across the conductor according to the relationship,

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$$

where $\mathbf{V}$ is the velocity vector of the conductor, $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field vector, and $\times$ indicates a cross product. In the case of the spacecraft interaction with the environment, the magnetic field is that of the Earth, and the conductor is the metallic skin or appendages of the spacecraft. If the spacecraft has long booms or antennas, a potential of several tens of volts can be developed. (This potential is $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{L}$, i.e., the dot product between $\mathbf{E}$ and conductor length $\mathbf{L}$). In the absence of $\mathbf{B}$, the spacecraft is an equipotential surface and the mobility considerations discussed in section 2.3.4.2(a) cause the potential to be slightly negative with respect to the ambient plasma.

The $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$ effect leads to non-uniform values for the potential between the spacecraft and the ambient medium. The potential difference between any point on the spacecraft and the adjacent undisturbed plasma at a given instant can have values ranging from near zero to several tens of volts negative. (Electron mobility in the ionospheric plasma prevents any spacecraft point from acquiring a large positive potential).

The plasma sheath that results from the $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$ effect is non-uniform and its thickness at any point varies as the spacecraft spins and thus changes orientation with respect to the magnetic field. This variable sheath can have unfortunate effects on experiments mounted on the spacecraft such as Langmuir probes measuring ambient densities and temperature.

One way of ameliorating this condition is to couple the antennas capacitively, at the same time insulating the roots of the antennas for a distance approximately equal to the radius of the plasma sheath.**

2.3.4.3 Effects of Radiation Flux

The spacecraft is also exposed to the radiation flux consisting of energetic charged particles and sunlight. The greatest intensity is found in the Earth's trapped radiation belt. Energetic charged particles, principally electrons and protons, can penetrate the spacecraft surface and

* M. A. Kasha treats the $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$ effect in detail in "The Ionosphere and Its Interaction with Satellites," Gordon and Breach, 1969.

** Florida, C. D., "Development of a Series of Ionospheric Satellites," proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 57, no. 6, June 1969, p. 871 and fig. 3.
impart electric charges to the spacecraft. Because of their lower mass, electrons in thermal
equilibrium in a proton-electron plasma strike a spacecraft more often than protons, and a
net negative charge is developed. The high energies of the particles lead to secondary emis-
sion of electrons that superimpose a positive charging on the primary particle charging effect.
Sunlight adds positive charge to the spacecraft by the photoelectric effect. As a result of
these processes, the surface of the spacecraft acquires a charge and the spacecraft acquires a
potential.* Measurements of spacecraft potential on Sputnik 3 showed a negative potential
that ranged from –2 to –7 V with altitude and diurnal variations. Spacecraft that operate
outside the magnetosphere experience much larger potentials and variations, such as de-
scribed in section 2.1.6. The emission characteristics of the surface and the presence of
other charging mechanisms can significantly affect these results (ref. 29). Figures 5, 6, and
7 demonstrate this dependence on surface and on the intensity and energy spectra of the
radiation fluxes.

Insulating surfaces such as paint generally increase the equilibrium potential so the space-
craft's external surface may require careful design attention. For example, sweep Langmuir
probes carried on scientific satellites to measure charged particle fluxes are affected by the
potential and its stability. Bare metal surfaces are preferable to minimize the potential and
its variation; but to permit sufficient thermal control, a compromise such as bands of ex-
posed metal may be necessary.

2.4 Electrostatic Discharge

The accumulation of electrostatic charges on electrically isolated bodies by the processes de-
scribed in the foregoing sections could lead to a number of results that might affect the
success of a space mission. Breakdown occurs when the electric field exceeds the dielectric
strength of the medium. Charge then crosses the dielectric between oppositely-charged
bodies. Heat and electromagnetic energy are emitted with passage of the charge. Besides
the electric field strength imposed on the medium, the occurrence and severity of the dis-
charge depend on system geometry and secondary discharge effects.

The gaseous medium that surrounds the components of a space vehicle is particularly vul-
nerable to breakdown in altitudes around 30 km because the dielectric strength of the at-
mosphere passes through a minimum at the reduced pressures associated with those altitudes
as shown by figure 8. Figure 8 also shows the range of altitudes over which breakdown
could occur with various field strengths that might be present on the space vehicle.

Breakdown could still be possible at much higher altitudes or even in orbit because of re-
sidual gases and outgassing materials in the space vehicle that could increase the localized
gas pressure until it reached the breakdown region.**

---

*Appendix A of NASA SP-8049 (The Earth's Ionosphere) provides formulas for calculating the spacecraft
potential at altitudes from the lower ionosphere into space.

**Information on outgassing pressure in an enclosure as a function of time was developed for space flight
Figure 5.—Emitted electron current divided by incident electron current for two surfaces at different energies of incoming electrons (ref. 30).
Figure 6.—Emitted electron current divided by incident proton current for two surfaces at different energies of incoming protons (ref. 30).
Figure 7.—Number of electrons emitted per incident photon; and the solar flux at 1 AU (ref. 30).
Breakdown of the gaseous medium occurs when the electric fields on the vehicle are strong enough to break apart the atoms or molecules of the medium into ions and electrons that then move according to the voltage gradient. If recombination takes place before the ions or electrons impinge on their respective electrodes, the breakdown is considered a partial one and is designated corona. If the gaseous ions impinge on both electrodes, then the breakdown of the dielectric between them is complete and is referred to as arcing or an arc discharge.

Another type of discharge are surface streamers which follow available surfaces between electrodes.

Figure 9 gives the frequency bands for the radio noise produced by corona discharge, arc discharge, and surface streamers.
Figure 9.—Average spectra of radio noise generated by electrostatic discharges (ref. 33).
2.4.1 Corona Discharge

Corona discharge usually is associated with nonuniform fields or assymetrical electrodes that cause concentrations of high fields at one or both electrodes. Corona discharge occurs when the entire gap of the gas medium is not spanned by the breakdown. Unsteady and fluctuating currents, increasing linearly and becoming steadier with increased potential, show a bluish light in the region of breakdown. The luminosity, which may be in a form such as a halo, a glow, or wavering rays, is the source of the term corona. The corona discharge current depends on altitude and electrode potential as shown in figure 10.

Corona discharges can precede an electric arc in many cases if the rate of discharge is increasing (sec. 2.4.2). Corona creates radio interference noise in a broad spectrum (fig. 9). The rapid fluctuations of the corona that result in electromagnetic waves have rates of current change on the order of 1 to 10 A/μs (ref. 31).

2.4.2 Arc Discharge

An arc discharge is manifested by small sparks that occur when dielectric breakdown is complete across the space between oppositely charged bodies. The sparks (with rise times of microseconds and peak currents of hundreds of amperes) create higher frequency disturbances than the corona (fig. 9). The heat and light that are generated lead to secondary effects which depend on the configuration and nature of the electrodes. Each charged body itself will experience pitting and deterioration at the origin of the arc. Dc power supplies, especially of 1 or 2 kV, have been found quite vulnerable to arcing. Once an arc has occurred, the ionized gas provides a low resistance path that permits high currents to flow at relatively low voltages.

To prevent arc discharge, the most susceptible parts of electrical circuits sometimes are imbedded in a solid dielectric with a high breakdown value. Another alternative is to keep the power supply turned off until the flight vehicle has passed through the critical range of altitudes (fig. 8).

2.4.3 Surface Streamers

Electrical discharge can occur across the surfaces of dielectrics. These discharges involve breakdown at the dielectric surface because of lowered dielectric strength of the surface molecular arrangement of the material or because of adhering impurities. (Surface streamers are not to be confused with the currents or streamers through a gas that may precede an arc discharge, discussed in section 2.4.2.) Triboelectrification of a dielectric, such as results from precipitation on a plastic vehicle surface is a common cause of surface streamers.

Radio noise is generated by surface streamers as well as by corona and arc discharges. However, because of the slower rise time, the noise spectrum does not extend to as high frequencies, as shown in figure 9.
2.5 Hazards from Electrostatic Charges

This section describes the more important types of hazards that can result from the electrostatic charging and discharging phenomena described in the preceding sections.

2.5.1 Electro-Explosive Device Hazards

The electro-explosive device (EED) is a pyrotechnic power source with a single-firing capability that uses electricity to initiate planned ignition. Ignition results in thermochemical reaction that produces gas, heat, and pressure at design rates and levels. The purpose of EEDs on launch vehicles and spacecraft is to actuate according to the flight schedule such functions as initiation, and sometimes termination, of thrust, separation of stages, removal of housings, and release of mechanisms.
The initiating circuits must be protected from stray voltages that might result from electrostatic discharging or induced currents. Such circuits include the command input to the firing device. Because the command circuit may be more sensitive than the EED, it should be protected and desensitized as well as the line to the EED.

There are three main types of EEDs (named by their method of actuating ignition): the resistive bridgewire, exploding bridgewire, and capacitive discharge.

2.5.1.1 Resistive Bridgewire

For this type of EED, ignition is accomplished by the heat generated in the bridgewire by a comparatively low voltage (0.1 to 5 V) at modest current levels (0.05 to 5 A). This type of EED is most frequently used in space operations because it can be protected against electrostatic charges and it does not put great weight and volume requirements on the vehicle or spacecraft. The electrostatic sensitivity of this type of EED is presented in reference 34; the relation of sensitivity test results to probabilities of successful firing is presented in reference 35.

Current state-of-the-art test requirements for resistive bridgewire EEDs are based on electrostatic hazards of contact with the human body and exposure to stray magnetic fields (ref. 36). The hazard from the human body is simulated in tests in which the resistive bridgewire must tolerate 25000 V through a 500 pF condenser with a 5000 Ω resistor without ignition or damage. To test against stray electric and magnetic fields, 1 A or 1 W is applied for 5 minutes to see if ignition occurs.

2.5.1.2 Exploding Bridgewire

The exploding bridgewire EED requires both high voltages (2000 to 3000 V) and high power (20 to 1000 W) for ignition. The pulse vaporizes the bridgewire and the associated explosion actuates the main EED power source. This type of initiator poses little danger of initiation by electrostatic charges but the launch vehicle is burdened with a relatively heavy power supply of about 3.6 pounds.

2.5.1.3 Capacitive Discharge

This EED is ignited by a capacitive discharge of typically about 20 V. It is commonly used where only low energy is available. It is highly sensitive to electrostatic charging. If this type of EED is in a vehicle or spacecraft design, particular care must be taken to guard against stray electrostatic discharges. EED's that can be fired by less than 1A or 1W should be avoided for vehicles or systems when they can be hazardous to life.

2.5.2 Combustible Gases

Combustible gases may collect in several ways. Battery charging releases hydrogen gas. Venting of propellant gases, such as the automatic venting of cryogenically-cooled gases, can result in concentration of vapors in a closed compartment. Liquid hydrogen-oxygen fuel cells also are potential sources of explosive gas mixtures.
An electric discharge in a combustible mixture of gases may result in an explosive combination because of the injection of localized and instantaneous energy. The minimum energy* needed for ignition varies with the mixture ratio of pairs of gases that are capable of exothermic reaction. If gas A or gas B is alone, a spark cannot cause a combustion reaction; as one gas is mixed with more and more of its reactive partner, the required ignition energy decreases to a minimum and then increases as still more of the reactive partner is added (fig. 11). The gas pressure of the mixture is also important. The minimum ignition energy for a hydrocarbon-oxygen mixture ranges from 0.002 to 0.004 mJ at 1 atmosphere, and increases to 0.02 to 0.04 mJ at a pressure of 1/3 atmosphere (ref. 8).

Another factor determining whether a discharge will result in chemical reaction is the ignition-quenching distance. This is the smallest distance over which a discharge of the minimum ignition energy will initiate a reaction. Across smaller gaps, discharges of greater amounts of energy may expend enough energy in the two conductors so that ignition is quenched.

2.5.3 Electronics

Surge currents initiated by electrostatic charge and direct or indirect surge currents of lightning can cause a variety of damage in electrical circuits. The heat effect of excessive current may cause fusing, burning, and melting of insulation on wires and thereby short or open circuits. Also, solder connections can be broken by surge current heating.

The most susceptible parts of electrical circuits are solid state devices. The microsecond pulse induced by lightning is within the response time of these devices. A relatively low voltage across the device can result in permanent damage.

2.5.4 Communications

On-board electrostatic effects cause radio noise as described in section 2.4. Atmospheric precipitation also interferes electrostatically with transmissions between Earth and spacecraft (ref. 38).

2.5.5 Persons

Electrostatic discharge may be hazardous to persons. A mild shock could cause a reflex action with secondary effects when a person is working on a delicate mechanism or is in cramped quarters. A discharge of about 0.25 J gives a heavy shock. An electrostatic charge of 10 J can be hazardous to life but is rarely encountered.

Under certain conditions, the human body can store a charge equal to that of a 500 pF capacitor at 18000 V (ref. 36). A most dangerous hazard to persons is handling electroexplosive devices sensitive to the electrostatic charge in the human body.

*Minimum energies needed for ignition of different gas mixtures is given in NACA 1300, Basic Consideration in the Combustion of Hydrocarbon Fuels with Air, ed. by H. C. Barnett and R. R. Hibbard, 1957.
Figure 11.—Minimum energy release to cause ignition of a methane-air mixture (ref. 37).
2.6 Methods for Limiting Electrostatic Charge Problems

2.6.1 Prelaunch Operations

Numerous possibilities for electrostatic charging exist during the preparation for space flight. The charging mechanisms are essentially those that have been discussed in the preceding sections, but the sources and the extent of the effects can take various forms. High voltage electrical equipment can contain hazardous accumulations of charges even though disconnected. Incidents of electric shock and high voltage damage to circuits and instruments can generally be prevented by application of the principles given in section 2.5.3.

The fueling of liquid rockets involves the flow of liquids through pipes and generation of considerable electric charge. Grounding straps generally are employed to prevent hazardous charge buildup. Spaces should be vented where combustible gas mixtures might otherwise accumulate.

Protection of the launch vehicle on the launch pad from lightning has received serious attention (ref. 39). The umbilical tower alongside the vehicle is designed with sufficient height and conductivity to ground to act as a lightning rod. To provide low ground resistance and ensure that all points of the launch complex assume the same potential during a stroke, ground rods are installed. These rods distribute lightning current and shield the rest of the launch complex. Tall grounded objects form cones of protection; the peak of the cone is the top of the grounded object and the radius of the cone’s base is the object’s height (ref. 40). The layout of the launch complex utilizes these cones wherever possible.

2.6.2 On-board Sources

Methods for handling the on-board sources, reviewed in section 2.2, may be grouped into two categories: control of charge accumulation and reduction of discharge effects.

2.6.2.1 Control of Charge Accumulation

Control of charge accumulation on conductors can be accomplished by grounding. Grounding should be continuous across flexible joints, lubricated mating surfaces, and insulation. Designers frequently include a grounding point or tab to permit temporary grounding of conductive components during assembly. When a conductor must be insulated from the ground, the insulator should have as high a conductivity as the application permits. Surface agents, such as semiconducting ceramic glazes, are frequently employed. Velostat, a plastic sheeting used to provide temporary physical protection, has grounding capability to prevent charge accumulation, but should be used with care since it degrades with age and continued use.

Charging of vented or dumped liquids (sec. 2.2.3) can result in attraction to a spacecraft surface. The venting of liquids has been studied in the Skylab program for possible electrostatic hazards (ref. 41). Routing of vents away from sensitive surfaces and control of venting velocity are appropriate countermeasures.
2.6.2.2 Reduction of Discharge Effects

The second approach to reducing electrostatic hazards is to minimize the effects of possible discharge. Often, a spark gap can route the arc along a safe path. An ion source such as a radioisotope can ionize the air so as to allow breakdown at a lower and safer potential. Wire stubs are frequently added to flight vehicles to provide points for corona discharge. Many tantalum (for ductility and strength) wires were bolted to the Minuteman missile at the base of the first and second stage motors. The free ends of the wires provided points for corona discharge to prevent Minuteman failures such as described in section 2.1.3. Figure 12 shows the design finally adopted.

2.6.3 Lightning Protection

Three lightning models are given in NASA SP-8084, revision of June 1974 (Surface Atmospheric Extremes-Launch and Transportation Areas). Model 1 is for a very severe discharge, Model 2 is for a discharge with a 98 percentile peak current, and Model 3 is for a discharge with an average peak current. For application of the lightning models to manned space vehicle design, JSC-07636 of September 1973 (Space Shuttle Lightning Protection Criteria Document) is useful.

2.6.3.1 Weather Watch

Avoidance of lightning strokes begins with a weather watch, provided for space flights by the National Weather Service, the Air Force, and the Navy. Weather forecasts are developed for the period of exposure when the vehicle is moved to the launch complex, the work period at the launch complex, and the moments of exposure during the launch. Location and movement of nearby weather fronts, and the on-site atmospheric electric field are kept under surveillance. When field monitoring or weather observations indicate excessive risk at launch time, countdown is delayed. The predicted passage of a large cloud cell across the launch area is of particular concern. The weather watch also is in operation during reentry of manned spacecraft and selects locations where the risk of lightning or large field gradients will be negligible.

2.6.3.2 Vehicle Design

In design, the possibility of one or more lightning strokes while the vehicle is on the launch pad is considered. Protection during this phase is provided by proper bonding of the space vehicle’s outer skin, i.e., bonding with a resistance no greater than 25 mΩ for protection against Lightning Model 1 (sec. 2.6.3). Protection by design measures during the launch phase may not be possible because of the greater vulnerability of both liquid and solid engines while burning. Therefore careful attention is given to selection of suitable weather conditions for launch (sec. 2.6.3.1).

Protection against currents induced inside the space vehicle is of concern. The currents that flow as a result of a lightning stroke may not act as dc currents in their inductive effects because of high frequencies. JSC-07636 (Space Shuttle Lightning Protection Criteria Document) gives information on shielding, design of protective circuits, and wave shapes of induced currents.
Figure 12.—Construction of corona dischargers to relieve electric potential on Minuteman II (ref. 42).
3. CRITERIA

3.1 Assessment of Electrostatic Effects

The hazards from electrostatic effects to spacecraft, launch vehicles, and ground operations shall be assessed and their effects on personnel safety and the mission objective determined. For each area of consideration, the assessment must take into account extremes of ambient conditions, charging mechanisms, and system performance to estimate the magnitude of electrostatic charges. Both experimental measurements and mathematical modeling may be useful in the foregoing analysis. Specific consequences of electrostatic charging, such as inadvertent firing of electroexplosive devices or disruption of radio guidance, shall be considered. When the assessment indicates that an unacceptable hazard or combination of hazards might exist, suitable measures must be provided in the design or operational procedures. When feasible, laboratory tests shall be performed to confirm the effectiveness of the design and operational procedure in minimizing deleterious electrostatic effects.

3.2 Control Objectives

Design of the flight vehicle and spacecraft shall be such that the effects of electrostatic charge accumulation and discharge from any source shall not interfere with performance or safety of the mission.

Design objectives shall be specific to the final design of spacecraft and launch vehicle. When data on exact magnitudes of effects are not available, such data shall be requested as part of the test program. If needed data can not be acquired, conservative estimates shall be used. Design considerations shall be documented and reviewed.

3.2.1 Fabrication and Test

The assembly and test procedures for spacecraft and launch vehicle shall include appropriate measures against charge buildup and sparking. Large metal components shall be grounded to prevent charge accumulation. Electrical equipment shall be grounded. All grounds shall be tested for continuity after installation and periodically when breakage from vibration or other testing appears likely.

Triboelectric effects shall be minimized, e.g., care shall be taken to avoid buildup of charge when polishing dielectric surfaces such as lenses, transparent insulators, and plastic parts. Moving belts, insulators, astronauts' suits, and other dielectrics with potential triboelectrification shall be designed and tested with this hazard in mind.
Tests shall be performed on all high voltage components to determine whether sparking occurs under expected environmental conditions and to ensure that circuits will survive intentional and unintentional turn-on.

Low voltage electronic components, especially those in the guidance and control system, shall be tested comprehensively because of their sensitivity to stray discharge and their crucial role in mission success.

Tests for electrostatic hazards shall be performed on systems that include moving dielectrics, e.g., the motion of tape in tape recorders or film in cameras. Charge buildup during operation in the space environment shall be measured where detectable and the associated hazards shall be determined.

3.2.2 Flight

The extent of electrostatic charging of the flight vehicle, the spacecraft, and subsystems by external and internal charging mechanisms shall be calculated when possible. The deleterious effects of charging shall be assessed. Appropriate measures shall be implemented when indicated by the severity of the hazards that are determined in this assessment.

Special attention shall be given to the following items in the performance of this analysis:

- accidental ignition of explosive devices by discharge pulses*
- provision for installation on the launch pad of sensitive initiating elements of ordnance devices, solid propellant devices, and destruct charges (ref. 39).
- currents induced in subsystems by lightning strokes**
- currents induced in subsystems by arcing of high voltage units
- ignition of flammable vapors or materials by discharges
- deterioration of insulation and other dielectrics due to breakdown
- effects of potential, such as attraction of dust, corona discharge, and charged particle attraction
- effects of discharge pulses on communications

---

*Reference 39 establishes categories A and B for explosive devices and provides specific procedures for handling each type. In category A are those devices that if fired unintentionally could cause death or injury to persons or major property damage by themselves or through a train of events.

**NASA SP-8084, revision of June 1974, gives three lightning models (sec. 2.6.3).
3.3 Management for Electrostatic Charge Control

Management practices to avoid electrostatic hazards are of particular significance because their existence may be unsuspected before investigation. Personnel safety should have highest priority. The status of the electrostatic control effort should be reported periodically to project management. The objective should be to discover possible electrostatic hazards and plan preventive measures early in the program. This may be carried out in three phases.

First, management should place emphasis on electrostatic hazards during the design phase by assignment of individual responsibility for (a) assessment of electrostatic effects, (b) development of specific standards for electrostatic control consistent with the mission, and (c) application of the foregoing standards to design, fabrication, assembly, testing, launch operations, and flight. Second, management should initiate tests and measurements to ensure that (a) the systems as designed satisfy the foregoing standards, and (b) the flight system as assembled also meets these standards. Third, management should include a system for review of unexpected electrostatic effects encountered during operational tests of the hardware.

4. RECOMMENDED PRACTICES

4.1 Design

4.1.1 Grounding Objectives

Many internal electrical hazards can be eliminated by electrical grounding to the vehicle shell. Ground wires should be used for individual systems when appropriate. Wires should be adequate to carry a surge of current without mechanical damage. Grounding is normal practice for electrical circuit design and should not be neglected in design of a mechanical apparatus or an astronaut's suit. As discussed in section 2.2, a charge can be generated almost anywhere. The amount of static charge that an electrically isolated man can accumulate is sufficient to ignite combustible gas vapors unless his suit is grounded. A high resistance ground is usually satisfactory to discharge surfaces susceptible to electrification by contact.

4.1.2 Shielding by the Vehicle Shell

Many internal electrostatic hazards can be eliminated by electrical bonding of the vehicle shell. All sections of the vehicle's outer shell should be bonded together to permit large quantities of electric charge to distribute across the shell by conducting paths. The bonded shell of the space vehicle then acts as an electrical shield to protect internal structures from lightning and atmospheric electricity. However, induced currents can develop when lightning
strikes. The effects of such currents should be assessed for the lightning models of NASA SP-8084 (sec. 2.6.3).

4.1.3 High Voltage Electronics

High voltage devices include high voltage generators, photomultiplier tubes, video tubes, and charged particle detectors. High voltage devices may have charged components at potentials that are significantly different from those of the spacecraft structure. Electrical discharge is a special hazard associated with such devices; lesser electrostatic effects are ion attraction, dust attraction, and dielectric strain.

Because a charge is unavoidable on the ungrounded side of a high voltage device, precautions must be taken to reduce electrostatic effects. The tendency to discharge can be minimized by removing sharp edges, turns, and points on the high voltage conductors. Recommended steps to prevent the contact of the gaseous atmosphere with the high voltage conductors are potting or hermetic sealing and pressurization. For missions of long duration, potting is much preferred. If the high voltage device is to be accessible for repair, potting compounds should be selected that can be removed partially to expose the defective component and then be replaced by new material which will bond completely to the old. When potting compound is used, a prime requirement is that it must adhere completely to all conductors to prevent air gaps or voids because they could be locations for possible breakdowns. Care should be taken to avoid entrapping bubbles of gas in potting.

Units should be vented to the outside vacuum of space for outgassing. Grounded shields should be used over the insulation of high voltage cabling to contain strong electric fields within the cable and prevent external structures from inducing a discharge (ref. 43).

4.1.4 Low Voltage Electronics

The low voltage circuits whose performance would affect mission success should be carefully assessed in the design stage against electrostatic hazards, particularly induced current pulses. Guidance and control circuits are of particular concern. The hazards of electrostatic buildup and discharge are often less well-recognized for low voltage electronics than for high voltage electronics.

Low voltage devices, the normal electronics of a space vehicle, should be designed to minimize coupling with high voltage devices, corona discharges, and lightning strokes. The conservative practices of short cables and conductive sheathes should be employed. Sensitive components, notably solid state devices, should be protected from high frequency pulses. A resistor-capacitor circuit in the lead to the base of a transistor can protect it against such pulses. When a critical component or circuit appears vulnerable, redundant circuits, isolated from each other, should be provided.

For the exterior of the spacecraft, the plasma of space acts as a conductor that should be considered in the design of exterior electronics. For example, exposed terminals of solar cells can collect electrons and increase the negative charge of the spacecraft. Therefore, the
positive side of the solar cell should be grounded and terminals should be insulated if feasible. In general, positive leads should be disconnected with a relay or other device when they are exposed to space.

4.1.5 Dielectrics

Electrostatic charge on a dielectric can lead to radio noise and possible hazards from arcing. In some cases, this may be prevented by coating the dielectric with a conducting material. Stannous oxide, which is conductive yet transparent, should be considered for glass surfaces. Velostat, a carbon-impregnated polyethylene, may be used where polyethylene can cause an electrostatic buildup, but velostat does degrade with age and continued use. Metal threads or wires, as mentioned in section 2.2.1, can reduce dielectric problems in fabrics.

Dielectrics in capacitors should be tested for their dielectric strength. If a breakdown occurs during operation, the discharge can tear a hole in the dielectric and reduce the capacitance. A major loss of dielectric material would cause the capacitance to approach that of an air gap capacitor.

Dielectrics used for insulation of high voltages should have a substantially higher rating than is required in ground-based systems. It is not unusual to require 2 to 5 times the normal rating.

Insulation should fit snugly onto conductors and be free of voids. Gas trapping underneath the dielectric or as bubbles in the material should be avoided. Gas pockets in wire coatings, potting compounds, or conformal coatings are particularly hazardous because the boundary between a solid dielectric and a gas of lower dielectric constant gives rise to an increase in electrical stress on the gas. This could cause a breakdown in the gas and heating and decomposition of adjacent insulation.

4.1.6 Electro-Explosive Devices

These devices, including squibs, igniters, spin and tumble rockets, explosive bolts, destructors, detonators, and other pyrotechnic units aboard space vehicles, should be thoroughly protected from electrostatic effects. The typical resistive bridgewire EED is ignited by an electric pulse, of low voltage (0.1 to 50 V) and low current (0.05 to 5 A). An electromagnetic pulse generated by nearby transmitters or an electrostatic discharge can readily induce a current within an unprotected sensitive EED and ignite it (ref. 39). Therefore, tests should be performed to determine sensitivity to nearby electrostatic discharges as well as to electrical discharges to the EED itself.

To prevent EED ignition, it is recommended that the pyrotechnic should be completely shielded from external sources. The conductive parts of the device should be electrically bonded together either by mechanical contact, connecting wires, or conductive resins. Selection of a high-resistance material should be made for use between the firing circuits and the case to dissipate any accumulation of charge without affecting proper functioning of the EED (ref. 35).
4.1.7 Installation of Ordnance Devices

Ordnance devices, such as solid propellant rocket motors and destruct charges, should be designed so that the sensitive initiating elements can be installed just prior to hookup on the launch pad. The ordnance devices should be accessible to facilitate installation and electrical connection as late as possible in the launch countdown (refs. 36 and 39).

4.2 Testing

Extensive testing of spacecraft and launch vehicle is essential. Electrostatic testing should be based on the measurement of the hazards and effects described in sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4. Although it is often not feasible to simulate certain extreme charging mechanisms, notably the effects of rocket exhaust, lower levels can often be simulated and extrapolated to the expected level. This is useful for the effects of lightning* and sustained operation under space conditions. When such an approach is necessary, the analysis should be documented and reviewed. An important factor to be considered in an extrapolation is that discharge is a threshold phenomenon. The electric fields during flight operations can be evaluated by scaling the measured field. To account for discharge and discharge effects, however, requires an analysis of dielectric strength, geometry, and other factors as described in section 2.4.

High voltage electrical systems should be tested for susceptibility to discharge at sustained critical pressure and also under a hard vacuum (10^{-7} torr) of sufficient duration to ensure that any small bubbles within an encapsulated circuit reach critical pressure (ref. 43).

X-ray photography is recommended if voids in potting are to be investigated. An alternative is to use transparent potting compound so that a microscope can be used to check for gas bubbles.

Dielectric bodies should be tested to determine their charge retention. A measurement should be made of the time constant for removal of charge. The dielectric strength of the material should be verified by measurement on the batch used.

Application of voltage to a system requires certain precautions. The connectors and cabling to the test specimen must be designed to carry high voltages. Special connectors and vacuum feedthroughs should be used when the voltages do not exceed about 150 V. Above this level, it is recommended that the high voltage supply be placed in the vacuum chamber and hard-wired to the system under test. However, the power supply must first be made discharge free. When testing a circuit with multiple high voltage supplies, it is recommended that separate low voltage supplies be used so that discharge transients or noise are not coupled directly to the high voltage circuitry (ref. 43).

4.3 Operations

Spacecraft launch operations have been extensively studied for electrostatic hazards ranging from arcing that results from charge buildup during prelaunch operations to the hazards of atmospheric electricity. It is recommended that management of a launch take full cognizance of the precautions which have been developed. Close and frequent coordination of information-gathering groups and launch operation groups is essential. There should be flexibility in launch dates to avoid adverse atmospheric conditions. Rescue and emergency backup personnel should be trained and available in the event of electrical shocks, lightning damage, or fires of electrical origin.

Electrostatic hazards should be taken into account in operational control of the flight vehicle after liftoff and the spacecraft after its separation. It will be necessary in some designs to keep certain high voltage equipment turned off until above the ionosphere to forestall arcing at the altitude where the Paschen minimum occurs in the atmosphere. Buildup of electric potential as a result of onboard and external sources described in section 2 can be expected. The general hazards described in this document may not include a hazard unique to a particular mission, as for example the docking of a space shuttle (ref. 44). The unique aspects of each flight mission should be explored for electrostatic hazards. If necessary, the system design and mission operations should be modified to control serious electrostatic effects that are revealed.
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APPENDIX A
ELECTROSTATIC SYMBOLS
AND COMMON UNITS

The International System of Units (SI) provides conventional symbols for the units employed in calculation of electrostatic effects. Listed below are some of the symbols, units, and conversion factors that relate them to some familiar units and fundamental quantities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNIT</th>
<th>MULTIPLY BY</th>
<th>TO OBTAIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>second</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>meter</td>
<td>39.37</td>
<td>inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m²</td>
<td>area</td>
<td>square meter</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>square inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>force</td>
<td>newton</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>pounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>current</td>
<td>ampere</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>coulombs/second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>charge</td>
<td>coulomb</td>
<td>6.25 x 10¹⁸</td>
<td>electrons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>capacitance</td>
<td>farad</td>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>microfarads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>energy</td>
<td>joule</td>
<td>9.48 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>BTU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>calories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>potential</td>
<td>volt</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>statvolts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>resistance</td>
<td>ohm</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eV</td>
<td>kinetic energy</td>
<td>electrovolt</td>
<td>1.60 x 10⁻¹⁹</td>
<td>joules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SI prefixes and symbols used to denote decimal multiples of quantities follow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiples</th>
<th>Prefixes</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10⁹</td>
<td>giga</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁶</td>
<td>mega</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10³</td>
<td>kilo</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>milli</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁶</td>
<td>micro</td>
<td>µ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻⁹</td>
<td>nano</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹²</td>
<td>pico</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B
SOME FORMULAS FOR
ELECTROSTATIC CALCULATIONS

The following formulas from electrostatic theory are presented to provide a ready reference. The symbols have been defined in appendix A. Subscripts on symbols refer to their value for each of the physical items considered.

Resistors in series

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + \cdots$$

Resistors in parallel

$$\frac{1}{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\Omega_1} + \frac{1}{\Omega_2} + \frac{1}{\Omega_3} + \cdots$$

Capacitors in series

$$\frac{1}{F} = \frac{1}{F_1} + \frac{1}{F_2} + \frac{1}{F_3} + \cdots$$

Capacitors in parallel

$$F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + \cdots$$

Coulomb’s Law

$$N = \frac{(C_1 C_2)}{(4\pi \varepsilon m^2)}$$

where \( N \) is the force between charges \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \), separated by distance \( m \), in a medium of permittivity \( \varepsilon \).

$$\varepsilon = k\varepsilon_0$$

where \( k \) equals unity in air and space and is the measured dielectric constant in other mediums, and \( \varepsilon_0 = 8.84 \times 10^{-12} \text{ F/m} \).

Potential from a distribution of charges

$$V = \left[ \frac{1}{(4\pi\varepsilon)} \right] \left[ \frac{C_1}{m_1} + \frac{C_2}{m_2} + \frac{C_3}{m_3} + \cdots \right]$$

where \( m_1 \) is the distance of charge \( C_1 \) from the point of potential \( V \).

Definition of capacitance

$$F = C/V$$

Electrostatic energy stored in a capacitor

$$J = \frac{1}{2} FV^2$$
Calculation of Capacitance —

1. The capacitance $F$ can often be calculated from the dimensions and shape of the body receiving the charge.

- Capacitance of a sphere of radius $r$: $F = 4\pi \varepsilon r$

- Capacitance of a prolate spheroid (cigar shape):

$$F = \frac{4\pi \varepsilon \sqrt{b^2 - a^2}}{\tanh^{-1} \sqrt{1 - (a/b)^2}}$$

where $b$ is half the length and $a$ is half the width of the spheroid.

2. When two bodies are charged equally and oppositely, the potential between them is given by the charge on one divided by the capacitance of the system. This capacitance often can also be calculated from geometric parameters. The capacitance for simple configurations often encountered is given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parallel plates</td>
<td>$\varepsilon A/d$</td>
<td>$A = \text{area}$, $d = \text{separation}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentric cylinders</td>
<td>$\frac{2\pi \varepsilon L}{\ln r_2/r_1}$</td>
<td>$L = \text{length}$, $r_2 = \text{outer radius}$, $r_1 = \text{inner radius}$, $\ln = \text{natural logarithm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentric spheres</td>
<td>$\frac{4\pi \varepsilon}{(1/r_1) - (1/r_2)}$</td>
<td>$r_1 = \text{inner radius}$, $r_2 = \text{outer radius}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallel cylinders</td>
<td>$\frac{\pi \varepsilon L}{\ln \left[ D + \sqrt{D^2 + 4a^2} \right]}$</td>
<td>$L = \text{length}$, $D = \text{distance between centers}$, $a = \text{cylinder radius}$, $\ln = \text{natural logarithm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere above a flat surfaces</td>
<td>$\frac{4\pi \varepsilon r}{1 - (r/2h)}$</td>
<td>$r = \text{radius}$, $h = \text{distance, sphere center to surface}$ (h greater than 2r)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C
CHARGING AND DISCHARGING EQUATIONS

A charged body which is not absolutely isolated will lose its charge at a rate controlled by the electrical resistance of the conducting path to ground. A charged capacitor will lose its charge at a rate controlled by the electrical resistance of the medium between the oppositely charged objects. Let the resistance be \( \Omega \) ohms, and let the capacitance of the charged body be \( F \) farads. After a time lapse of \( s \) seconds, the quantity of charge on the body has been reduced from \( C_0 \) to \( C \) according to the equation

\[
C = C_0 e^{-s/\Omega F}
\]

which implies that in \( 3\Omega F \) seconds, 95\% of the charge is gone. By differentiating this equation, we can determine the rate at which charge leaks from the body as a function of time. When a constant source of charge to the body is also present, the leakage rate increases until it reaches the source rate of charging. At any time, the charge will be changing at a rate of

\[
\frac{dC}{ds} = -\frac{1}{\Omega F} C + A \quad \text{coulombs/second}
\]

where \( A \) coulombs are delivered per second by the charging mechanism, such as the rubbing of another object. At equilibrium, the body reaches a constant quantity of charge. The equation gives this quantity as

\[
C = \Omega AF
\]

Because capacitance \( F \) equals \( C/V \), the equilibrium potential is equal to the product \( A\Omega \). The importance of making the resistance of the conducting path to ground as small as possible is evident.
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