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ABSTRACT

The performance of convolutional codes in fading channels typical of the planetary entry channel is examined in detail. Here the signal fading is due primarily to turbulent atmospheric scattering of the RF signal transmitted from an entry probe through a planetary atmosphere. The primary motivation is in support of the Pioneer-Venus mission although the results should have wider applicability. Short constraint length convolutional codes are considered in conjunction with binary phase-shift keyed (BPSK) modulation and Viterbi maximum likelihood decoding while for longer constraint length codes we consider sequential decoding utilizing both the Fano and Zigangirov-Jelinek (ZJ) algorithms. Careful consideration is given to the modeling of the channel in terms of a few meaningful parameters which can be correlated closely with theoretical propagation studies. For short constraint length codes we are primarily interested in the bit error probability performance as a function of $E_b/N_0$ parameterized by the fading channel parameters. For longer constraint length codes interest will center on the effect of the fading channel parameters on the computational requirements of both the Fano and ZJ algorithms. In either case the effects of simple block interleaving in combatting the memory of the channel is thoroughly explored. The approach is analytic where possible otherwise resort is made to digital computer simulation.
1.0 Introduction

The use of convolutional codes in conjunction with coherent binary phase-shift keyed (BPSK) modulation has proven to be an effective and efficient means of obtaining error control on the classical deep space channel. Recent work by Heller and Jacobs [1] has discussed the performance of short constraint length convolutional codes in conjunction with coherent BPSK modulation and Viterbi maximum likelihood decoding (cf. [2], [3]) while Jacobs [4] has treated the performance of longer constraint length convolutional codes utilizing sequential decoding (cf. [5], [6]). Again these works have been confined to the classical deep space channel where the net effect of the channel is simply the introduction of an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) component. In future planetary entry missions, however, the classical deep space channel provides an inappropriate model of the actual propagation environment experienced by an entry probe in transmitting an RF signal through a planetary atmosphere. Here it is expected that the signal will undergo fading due to a number of causes most notable of which appear to be: entry probe dynamics; effects of oscillator instabilities which become pronounced at the typically low bit rates associated with planetary entry missions; multipath reflections off the planet surface; turbulent atmospheric scattering of the RF signal within the planetary atmosphere. Our interest is primarily with this latter problem although the approach is purposely quite general and can be easily modified to apply to more general fading channel conditions. Unfortunately little is known concerning the performance of convolutional codes in fading channel environments typical of the planetary entry channel. The present study has been addressed to this problem. The primary motivation is in support of the Pioneer-Venus mission although the results should have wider applicability. In particular, we consider coherent BPSK modulation
in conjunction with Viterbi maximum likelihood decoding for short constraint length codes while sequential decoding strategies are employed for the longer constraint length codes. In the latter case both the Fano and Zigangirov-Jelinek (ZJ) algorithms are considered. Careful consideration is given to the modeling of the channel in terms of a few meaningful parameters which can be correlated closely with theoretical propagation studies. For short constraint length codes we are primarily interested in the bit error probability performance as a function of $E_b/N_0$ parameterized by the fading channel parameters. For longer constraint length codes interest will center on the effect of the fading channel parameters on the computational requirements of both the Fano and ZJ algorithms. In either case the effects of simple block interleaving in combatting the memory of the channel is thoroughly explored. The approach is analytic where possible otherwise resort is made to digital computer simulation.

2.0 Preliminaries

Before proceeding to a discussion of binary convolutional code performance on fading channels typical of planetary entry missions, let us first consider the performance afforded by the use of coherent BPSK modulation on the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel. This will not only serve to introduce the results to follow but will allow a check on the accuracy of the various channel/decoder simulation programs employed. Consider then the communications system illustrated in Figure 2-1. Here the binary data stream $\{a_i\}$ is applied to a binary convolutional encoder resulting in a binary sequence $\{x_i\}$ (actually $\pm 1$) at its output which is in turn applied to a modulator/transmitter producing at its output the analog channel waveform.

$$s(t) = \sqrt{2E_s} \cos (\omega_o t + \Theta) \sum_i x_i p(t - i T_s) \quad (2.1)$$
Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) with double-sided noise spectral density $N_0/2$ watts/Hz

Figure 2-1

Data Communications System Employing Binary Convolutional Coding
where \( n \) is the carrier frequency, \( \Theta \) the carrier phase assumed known at the receiver, and \( p(t) \) a pulse-like waveform of duration \( T_s \) seconds satisfying

\[
\int_0^{T_s} p^2(t) \, dt = 1
\]  

(2.2)

so that \( E_s \) can be interpreted as the signal energy per transmitted channel symbol. The quantity \( E_s \) is related to the energy per transmitted information bit \( E_b \) by

\[
E_s = R E_b
\]

(2.3)

where \( R \) is the rate of the binary convolutional code. We have been concerned only with codes for which \( R = 1/n \) where \( n \) is the number of transmitted channel symbols per information bit. In some cases the binary information sequence \( \{ a_i \} \) must be blocked into segments or frames of length \( N \) bits to each of which \( K-1 \) (\( K \) is the code constraint length) tail zeros are added which, of course, carry no information. Such a procedure is not necessary for Viterbi decoding but is required with sequential decoding to facilitate decoder synchronization and/or restart after buffer overflow. The effect of the tail zeros is to reduce the energy available for information transmission resulting in a relationship between \( E_s \) and \( E_b \) given by

\[
E_s = R \left( \frac{N}{N+K-1} \right) E_b
\]

(2.4)

so that if \( N \gg K \) as in most cases of interest, we have \( E_s \approx R E_b \) as in (2.3).

Due to the presence of additive channel noise, the channel output is

\[
v(t) = s(t) + n(t)
\]

(2.5)

where \( n(t) \) is zero-mean AWGN with double-sided noise spectral density \( N_0/2 \) watts/Hz. We will assume that the receiver utilizes a coherent matched filter matched to the pulse \( p(t) \). As a result, the receiver output after suitable normalization is the sequence of real numbers \( \{ r_i \} \) where
and, as before, \( \{x_i\} \) is a sequence of ±1 values determined by the actual information sequence and the specific structure of the binary convolution code employed. The sequence \( \{n_i\} \), on the other hand, is a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with zero mean and unit variance. The decoder processes the sequence \( \{r_i\} \) to produce at its output the sequence \( \{\hat{a}_i\} \) where \( \hat{a}_i \) is an estimate of the \( i \)th transmitted information bit \( a_i \). Let us consider first the bit error probability performance of this system employing short constraint length binary convolutional codes and Viterbi maximum likelihood decoding.

### 2.1 Viterbi Decoding

Recently Viterbi [3] has provided a rather complete analysis of binary convolution codes and their performance in typical communication systems. His work has shown the utility of the state diagram and associated generating function approach to analyzing such problems. We will assume knowledge of this approach in what follows. Of immediate interest is the fact, as Viterbi has shown, that a tight upper bound on bit error probability can be obtained in terms of the generating function \( T(D, N) \) (see Ref. [3] for definitions) associated with a particular binary convolutional code. In particular, if \( d_f \) is the free distance of a code of rate \( R \) and with associated generating function \( T(D, N) \), then a tight upper bound on the resulting bit error probability is provided by the expression

\[
P_b < \text{erfc} \left( \frac{2d_fR}{N_0} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{d_fRE}{N_0} \right\} \left| \frac{dT(D, N)}{dN} \right|_{N=1, D=\exp \left\{ \frac{RE}{N_0} \right\}}
\]  

(2.6)
An efficient computer program (cf. [7]) has been written to evaluate this bound for arbitrary binary convolutional codes specified only in terms of their code connection vectors. Odenwalder [8] and more recently Larsen [9] have tabulated a number of good codes which, among other things, possess the desirable property of maximizing the free distance $d_f$ for a given rate and constraint length. In particular, Odenwalder lists codes for rates $R = 1/2$ and $1/3$ and constraint lengths $K \leq 9$, while Larsen has extended this tabulation to $R = 1/2$, $1/3$ and $1/4$ for constraint lengths $K \leq 24$. The upper bound given by (2.7) has been evaluated for the Larsen codes for rates $R = 1/2$, $1/3$ and $1/4$ and constraint lengths $K \leq 10$. The results are illustrated in Figures 2-2 through 2-4. The simulated bit error probability performance for selected $R = 1/2$ and $1/3$ codes for various degrees of receiver output quantization are illustrated in Figures 2-5 through 2-8. The random number generator used in these simulations is one developed and tested previously at Lincoln Laboratory [10]. The path memory of the Viterbi decoder was chosen long enough to render negligible the effects of memory truncation (approximately 10 constraint lengths in each case). In all cases we have used uniform quantization of the matched filter outputs. More specifically, assume that there are $Q$ distinct quantization levels* \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_Q\} where $Q$ is some power of 2. Then if $I_j$ represents the upper endpoint of the $j$th quantization interval $j = 1, 2, \ldots, Q$ we shall assume

$$I_{Q/2} = 0$$

$$I_{Q-j} = -I_j \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{Q}{2} - 1$$

with the additional assignments

$$I_Q = +\infty \quad I_0 = -\infty$$

*The quantization levels are taken as the integers $+1, +2, \ldots, +Q/2$
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Upper Bound on Bit Error Probability
for BPSK Modulation on AWGN Channel with $R=1/2$
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Upper Bound on Bit Error Probability for BPSK Modulation on AWGN Channel with $R=\frac{1}{3}$
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Upper Bound on Bit Error Probability for BPSK Modulation on AWGN Channel with $R=\frac{1}{4}$
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Simulated Bit Error Probability Performance for K=3, R=1/2 Code
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Simulated Bit Error Probability Performance for K=3, R=1/3 Code
Figure 2-7

Simulated Bit Error Probability
Performance for \( K = 6, R = \frac{1}{2} \) Code
Simulated Bit Error Probability
Performance for $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$ Code
If \( \{ \tilde{r}_i \} \) represents the sequence of quantized matched filter outputs then

\[ \tilde{r}_i = q_j \text{ if } I_{j-1} < r_i \leq I_j \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, Q. \]

The receiver quantization is then completely determined by specification of the quantities \( I_j \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{Q}{2} - 1 \). We have taken in all our studies the uniform quantization grid such that

\[ I_j - I_{j-1} = \Delta \quad j = 2, \ldots, \frac{Q}{2} \]  

(2.9)

Simulation results have suggested that \( \Delta \) values as indicated in Table 2-1 are close to optimum and will be utilized in all simulations to be reported here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>( \Delta )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-1
Approximately Optimum Uniform Quantization Spacing

The results illustrated in Figures 2-5 through 2-8 are in complete agreement with those reported previously by Heller and Jacobs [1] and serves as a useful check on the accuracy of the simulation program.

2.2 Fano-Decoder

An important and useful parameter in characterizing the performance of sequential decoding schemes in general and the Fano algorithm in particular is the critical rate \( R_o \) (sometimes called the computational cutoff rate \( R_{comp} \)) which for coherent BPSK modulation and equally probable signaling is given by (cf. [4], Chap. 6)

\[ R_o = 1 - \log_2 \left[ 1 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{p \left\{ r \mid x=1 \right\}} \left\{ r \mid x=-1 \right\} dr \right] \]  

(2.10)
where \( p \left\{ r \mid x = i \right\} \) is the a posteriori probability of having observed a receiver output value \( r \) during any one channel signaling interval given that the corresponding transmitted symbol was \( x = i \) for \( i = \pm 1 \). For the AWGN channel, the receiver outputs are described by (2.6) and the sequel with the result

\[
p \left\{ r \mid x \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left( r - x \sqrt{\frac{2E_s}{N_0}} \right)^2 \right\}
\]

(2.11)

Substituting into (2.10) and performing the indicated integration we obtain

\[
R_o = 1 - \log_2 \left[ 1 + \exp \left\{ -\frac{E_s}{N_0} \right\} \right]
\]

(2.12)

For quantized receiver outputs, the value of \( R_o \) must be computed according to

\[
R_o = 1 - \log_2 \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{Q} \sqrt{p \left\{ \tilde{r} = q_j \mid x = i \right\} p \left\{ \tilde{r} = q_j \mid x = -i \right\}} \right]
\]

(2.13)

where now \( p \left\{ \tilde{r} = q_j \mid x = i \right\} \) is the a posteriori probability that the quantized receiver output assumes the \( j \)th quantization level \( q_j \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, Q \) during any signaling interval given that the corresponding transmitted symbol was \( x = i \) with \( i = \pm 1 \). A plot of \( R_o \) vs. \( E_s/N_0 \) is provided in Figure 2-9 for different amounts of receiver quantization. In Table 2-2 we illustrate how closely the value of \( R_o \) for uniform quantization approaches that with optimum quantization for selected values of \( E_s/N_0 \). This table is taken from Richer [11].

One of the principal problems encountered in the practical implementation of sequential decoding schemes in general and the Fano algorithm in particular is the variability of the amount of computation required to decode a message block. In particular, if \( C_N \) is the total number of computations required to decode a message block consisting of \( N \) bits, then it can be shown [12] - [13] that the number of computations per decoded information bit \( C = C_N/N \) has a probability distribution
Figure 2-9
Critical Rate $R_o$ vs. $E_s/N_0$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_s/N_0$</th>
<th>Number of Quantization Intervals $Q$</th>
<th>$R_0$</th>
<th>Optimum</th>
<th>Uniform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0139</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-17 db</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td>0.0143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9 db</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0844</td>
<td>0.0841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.0865</td>
<td>0.0861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0871</td>
<td>0.0870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3 db</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-2

Comparison of $R_0$ for Optimum and Uniform Quantization at Selected Values of $E_s/N_0$. 


which is approximately Pareto (cf. Cramer [14], page 248) of the form

\[ P \left\{ C > X \right\} \approx A X^{-\alpha} \quad (2.14) \]

where the approximation holds only for large \( X \). The quantities \( A \) and \( \alpha \) in (2.14) depend primarily on the channel and, in particular, on the quantity \( R/R_o \) through its dependence on \( E_s/N_o \). Here \( R/R_o \) is the code information rate normalized by the critical rate \( R_o \) and is easily computed with the aid of Figure 2-9 as a function of \( E_s/N_o \). For \( \alpha > 1 \), the expected value of the number \( C \) of computations per decoded information bit exists and is finite while for \( \alpha \leq 1 \) it does not exist. Furthermore, it can be shown that \( \alpha > 1 \) for \( R/R_o < 1 \) while \( \alpha \leq 1 \) for \( R/R_o \geq 1 \). It is for this reason that \( R_o \) has been called the critical or computational cutoff rate of sequential decoding. Our interest in sequential decoding will be confined to a particular code and block length. In particular, we consider a \( K = 32 \), \( R = 1/2 \) code described by Massey and Costello [15]. Although not a systematic code, this code has the unique ability of recovering the transmitted data sequence by simple modulo-two addition of the hard decisioned received data sequence. In all cases we consider a block length of \( N = 250 \) bits and \( Q = 8 \) level quantization. The decoder utilizes threshold spacing \( T_o = 4 \) bits. In Figure 2-10 we illustrate the empirically derived probability distribution of \( C_N \) for several values of \( R/R_o \) determined by simulation on the basis of \( 10^4 \) successive transmissions of the 250 bit message.\(^*\) A computational cutoff of \( 5 \times 10^4 \) computations per block has been imposed in these simulations. Table 2-3 lists other pertinent results of these simulations.

\(^*\)The number of computations per decoded information bit \( C \) can then be determined as \( C = C_N/N \) with \( N = 250 \).
Massey Quick-Look Code
K = 32, R = ½
Q = 8

Figure 2-10
Empirical Probability Distribution of Computational Count for Fano Decoder in AWGN Channel
Based upon an imposed computational cutoff of 5 x 10^4 computations per 250 bit block.

Table 2-3
Other Pertinent Results of Fano Decoder Simulations in AWGN Channel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/R_o</th>
<th>Block Error Probability P_E</th>
<th>Quit Probability P_Q</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.5x10^8</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.5x10^7</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.1x10^3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Zigangirov-Jelinek (ZJ) Decoder

The Fano algorithm treated in the preceding section has been used extensively in sequential decoding applications. It has been thoroughly investigated and its behavior well documented [16] - [20] and generally understood. Recently an alternative sequential decoding algorithm has been proposed independently by Zigangirov [21] and Jelinek [22] sometimes referred to as the Zigangirov-Jelinek or simply the ZJ algorithm. This algorithm differs from the Fano algorithm in that it saves all information on path segments which have been previously examined for possible future use. It can be shown [23] that the performance of the two algorithms are identical in the sense that, for all practical purposes, the sets of nodes examined by both algorithms are the same as are the paths ultimately selected. The significant difference is in the search strategies. With the ZJ algorithm, a node may be extended at most once, while the Fano algorithm allows repeated visits to a node in the process of decoding a received data sequence. There is an obvious tradeoff here between the smaller number of computations of the ZJ algorithm and the reduced memory requirements of the Fano algorithm. In
light of the potential computational advantage of the ZJ algorithm, and the relatively low and continually decreasing cost of memory, the ZJ algorithm is the more likely candidate for providing near real-time decoding of long constraint length convolutional codes.

The details of a digital computer program for simulating the performance of the ZJ algorithm under a variety of channel conditions has been described previously in [24] and need not be repeated at this time. The basic ZJ algorithm is characterized by the use of a memory table or "stack" to store node or cumulative path metrics associated with paths previously examined and extended. Each table entry consists of the identification of the most recent node on each path previously explored together with the cumulative path metric up to that node in the code tree. It is to be noted that there is exactly one entry for each previously explored path. The table entries are ordered in terms of decreasing path metrics so that, in particular, a pointer directed to the top of the stack will always be pointing to the node which currently possesses the largest cumulative path metric associated with it. The ZJ decoder then consistently attempts to extend the path through the node currently identified with the top entry in the stack. More specifically, the ZJ algorithm proceeds according to the following rules:

1.) Initialize by clearing the memory table and creating one entry corresponding to the root of the code tree. The cumulative path metric associated with this entry is set equal to zero.

2.) Retrieve the entry with the largest cumulative path metric currently residing at the top of the stack. If the associated node is at the end of the code tree,
22.

decoding is completed - announce the corresponding
N-bit message as having been transmitted. Otherwise
continue to step 3.

3.) Compute the cumulative path metrics associated with
the two successors of the node identified in step 2.

4.) Entries are created for these two successor nodes and
inserted in the stack at appropriate positions while
the entry for the predecessor node is deleted.

5.) Go to step 2.

There are several comments appropriate to this basic ZJ algorithm. First,
observe that since there are a finite number of nodes in the code tree the
algorithm will eventually terminate although possibly with an erroneous decision.
Indeed, even when a correct decision is eventually made a large number of
computations may be required. Second, it is to be noted that a decoding table
with at least \(2^N\) entries is required to avoid overflow although under typical
noise conditions only a small percentage of this number will actually be used.
For large \(N\) it becomes unfeasible to provide the required memory so that the
ZJ algorithm will be forced to operate with a reduced table size. Under such
conditions it is, of course, possible that momentarily atypical noise conditions
can result in the only entry associated with the correct path being purged from
the stack. As a result, the decoder will have great difficulty in extending
any of the remaining incorrect paths to the end of the code tree. It is clear,
however, that given enough time the decoder will eventually make a decision
although in error. If the table size is to be reduced then, it would appear
appropriate to terminate decoding with declaration of an erasure if the number
C of computations* per decoded information bit exceeds a fixed preset limit $C_{\text{max}}$, or equivalently if the total number of computations exceeds $NC_{\text{max}}$. This will surely reduce the block error probability $P_E$ but at the expense of an increase in the quit probability $P_Q$. This is tolerable provided that $C_{\text{max}}$ is not so low as to result in the decoder quitting an appreciable percentage of the time when the correct path is in fact still represented in the decoding table. As part of the description of the ZJ decoder then we must specify the table size $T \leq 2^N$ and the imposed computational cutoff $C_{\text{max}}$. Other than some experimental work by Richer [11] there is little information to aid in this choice. In Figure 2-11 we illustrate the empirically derived probability distribution of $C_M$ for the ZJ decoder for several values of $R/R_0$ again using the $K = 32, R = 1/2$ Massey quick-look code. These results were obtained on the basis of $10^4$ successive transmissions of the 250 bit message with an imposed computational cutoff of $5 \times 10^4$ computations as in the case of the Fano decoder. Again the computational distribution exhibits Pareto behavior although the computation count for a specified $R/R_0$ is, as expected, reduced considerably over that for the Fano decoder. The table size $T$ in these simulations was chosen to consist of a maximum of $5 \times 10^3$ entries and is sufficient to insure that the probability of purging the correct path is negligible. Indeed, Figure 2-12 illustrates the empirically determined probability distribution of the required table size for several values of $R/R_0$, again for the Massey $K = 32, R = 1/2$ code. This data was obtained by monitoring the maximum depth into the decode table or stack achieved by the table entry corresponding to the correct path. Interestingly enough this distribution again exhibits Pareto behavior although we have not made any great effort to pursue this result. It is clear, however, from Figure 2-12

*Here a computation will be taken to mean a single iteration of the steps 1-5 describing the basic ZJ algorithm.
Massey Quick-Look Code

Figure 2-11
Empirical Probability Distribution of Computational Count for ZJ Decoder in AWGN Channel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\frac{R}{R_0}$</th>
<th>$\frac{E_s}{N_0}$, in db</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.80</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.90</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2-12

Empirical Probability Distribution of Required Table Size for ZJ Decoder in AWGN

Massey Quick-Look Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \frac{R}{R_0} )</th>
<th>( \frac{E_s}{N_o}, \text{in } \text{dB} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.80</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.90</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( K=32, R=\frac{1}{2}, Q=8 \)
that only moderate table sizes are required to provide reliable decoding in the presence of AWGN. Table 2-4 lists some other pertinent simulation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R/R_o$</th>
<th>Block Error Probability $P_E$</th>
<th>Quit Probability $P_Q$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>9.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$7.9 \times 10^{4}$</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based upon an imposed computational cutoff of $5 \times 10^4$ computations per 250 bit block and a decode table consisting of $5 \times 10^3$ entries.

Table 2-4
Other Pertinent Results of ZJ Decoder Simulations in AWGN Channel

It is to be noted that the computational advantages of the ZJ decoder vis-à-vis the Fano decoder is offset somewhat by the more complicated nature of a typical ZJ computation. In particular, even for decode tables of modest size, the sort and merge (or insert) operation represented by step 4 of the basic ZJ algorithm can be extremely time consuming if not performed intelligently. As a result, the computational advantage of the ZJ algorithm can be severely compromised if careful attention is not given to the data file organization and/or search strategy of the decode table. We have not investigated this topic to any great extent. It is felt, however, that with appropriate care given to such details the ZJ decoder does indeed appear a more attractive alternative to the Fano decoder for providing near-real time decoding of long constraint length convolutional codes.
3.0 Fading Channel Characterization

The transmitted channel waveform $s(t)$ for BPSK modulation has been given previously by (2.2) and the sequel. It will be more convenient in what follows to consistently use complex signal representations. In particular, $s(t)$ can be expressed in the form

$$s(t) = \Re \left\{ \sqrt{2E_s} \sum_i x_i u_o(t-iT_s) e^{j\omega_o t} \right\}$$

(3.1)

where $u_o(t)$ is the complex envelope of the transmitted channel signal during any one signaling interval. More specifically, in this case

$$u_o(t) = p(t) e^{j\Theta}$$

(3.2)

where $p(t)$ and $\Theta$ have been defined previously in conjunction with (2.1). From (2.2) it follows that

$$\int_0^{T_s} |u_o(t)|^2 dt = 1$$

(3.3)

The transmitted signal $s(t)$ can be written in the still more convenient form

$$s(t) = \Re \left\{ u(t) e^{j\omega_o t} \right\}$$

(3.4)

where now

$$u(t) = \sqrt{2E_s} \sum_i x_i u_o(t-iT_s)$$

(3.5)

The received signal is similarly of the form

$$u(t) = \Re \left\{ w(t) e^{j\omega_o t} \right\}$$

(3.6)

where now $w(t)$ is the complex envelope of the received waveform and will be assumed of the form

$$w(t) = z(t) + n(t)$$

(3.7)
Here the quantity $z(t)$ is the complex amplitude of the received scattered signal component and $n(t)$ is a signal independent zero-mean stationary complex Gaussian random process with

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ n(t) n(t_0) \right\} = 0 \quad (3.8a)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ n(t) n^*(t_0) \right\} = N_0 \delta(t-t_0) \quad (3.8b)$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is the Dirac delta function and $N_0/2$ is the double-sided noise spectral density of the inphase and quadrature components in watts/Hz. We have assumed that $z(t)$ can be expressed in the form (cf. [25])

$$z(t) = \left[ \Gamma + a(t) \right] u(t) \quad (3.9)$$

Here $\Gamma = \chi e^{j\psi}$ is a complex quantity whose amplitude $\chi$ is a fixed deterministic quantity while the phase $\psi$ is uniformly distributed over $[-\pi, \pi]$. The quantity $a(t)$ is a complex zero-mean Gaussian random process completely described in terms of a channel scattering function as described previously in [25]. We will assume that $\sigma^2$ is the common variance of the inphase and quadrature components of $a(t)$ (actually $\sigma^2 = \sigma_a^2/2$ in the notation of [25]). Under these conditions, the probability density function (p.d.f.) of

$$r(t) = \left| \Gamma + a(t) \right| \quad (3.10)$$

is given by the Rayleigh-Rice distribution

$$f_R(r) = \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp \left\{ - \frac{r^2 + \chi^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} I_0\left( \frac{r \chi}{\sigma^2} \right); \quad r \geq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

$$= 0; \quad r < 0$$

*Hopefully it will not cause confusion that we are using $r(t)$ to represent the envelope of the received signal component and $\{ r_i \}$ to represent the sequence of matched filter outputs.*
where $I_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order zero. If $\gamma = 0$ (or equivalently $\Gamma = 0$), this reduces to the Rayleigh distribution.

$$
 f_R(r) = \begin{cases} 
 \frac{r}{\sigma^2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{r^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} & ; \quad r \geq 0 \\
 0 & ; \quad r < 0 
\end{cases} 
$$

The mean-square value of the Rayleigh-Rice distribution is easily seen to be given by

$$
 E \{ r^2 \} = 2 \sigma^2 + \gamma^2
$$

where $\alpha = \gamma/\sigma$, allowing $\gamma^2$ to be measured in units of $\sigma^2$.

It should be noted, that a number of theoretical propagation studies concerned with RF signal fading in the Venusian atmosphere (cf. [26], [27]) have been concluded indicating that instead of (3.9), the received signal component is described by

$$
 z(t) = \tilde{a}(t) u(t)
$$

where the amplitude $r(t) = |\tilde{a}(t)|$ is lognormal, i.e.,

$$
 r(t) = e^{\chi(t)}
$$

with $\chi(t)$ a real zero-mean Gaussian random process with variance $\sigma^2$ and the phase $\phi(t) = \arg \{ \tilde{a}(t) \}$ is likewise a real zero-mean Gaussian random process with variance $\sigma^2$. It follows that under the lognormal assumption $r(t)$ has mean

$$
 E \{ r \} = \exp \left\{ \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right\}
$$

and mean-square value

$$
 E \{ r^2 \} = \exp \left\{ 2 \sigma^2 \right\}
$$
Table 3-1 summarizes some pertinent information on the log-amplitude process $X(t)$ and the phase process $\phi(t)$ taken directly from [27].

The results of these previously referenced propagation studies have been discussed in [28] where arguments have been advanced in support of the Gaussian model represented by (3.9) and the sequel. These arguments will not be repeated here. Let us note, however, that for the most part our simulation studies have neglected phase fluctuations and have concentrated on the effects of amplitude fluctuations alone. The important question in a choice of fading channel model is then how closely the Rayleigh-Rice and lognormal distributions resemble each other. At least for the relatively small values of $\sigma^2_X$ indicated in Table 3-1, the lognormal distribution is closely approximated by the Rayleigh-Rice distribution for appropriate choice of $\gamma$ and $\sigma^2$. This is particularly true in the region of small values of $r$ relative to the rms value ($r_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\text{E}\{r^2\}}$) which essentially determines the performance with coding. In particular, consider the exceedence probability given by $Q_R(r) = 1 - F_R(r)$ where $F_R(r)$ is the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) corresponding to either the lognormal or Rayleigh-Rice distribution. For the former we have

$$Q_R(r) = 1 - \Phi \left\{ \frac{\ln r}{\sigma_X} \right\}; \quad r \geq 0$$

$$= 1 \quad ; \quad r < 0$$

with $\Phi(x)$ the unit Gaussian c.d.f., while for the Rayleigh-Rice distribution we have

$$Q_R(r) = q(\alpha, r/\sigma^2) \quad ; \quad r \geq 0$$

$$= 1 \quad ; \quad r < 0$$

(3.18)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L^*, \text{km}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\sigma_\phi^2$, rad$^2$</th>
<th>$B^*, \text{Hz}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.2282</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $L$ is depth of penetration into Venusian atmosphere

** $B^*$ is 3 db single-side bandwidth of the log-amplitude spectra

Data based upon homogeneous turbulence below 55 km with structure constant

$$C_n = 2.024 \times 10^{-6} \ m^{-1/3}$$ (inferred from Venera 4)

and wind shear velocity

$$v_L = 50 \text{ m/sec and uniform (Venera 4 data)}$$

Table 3-1

Summary of Log-Amplitude and Phase Fluctuation Results
where

\[ Q(\alpha, \beta) = \int_{\beta}^{\infty} x \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2 + \alpha^2}{2} \right\} I_0(\alpha x) \, dx \]

is Marcum's Q-function (cf. [29]). The quantity \( Q_R(r) \) is plotted in Figure 3-1 for selected \( \sigma_x^2 \) and \( \alpha \). It is clear that there is a close resemblance for appropriate parameter choices. We have not attempted to determine an optimum choice of parameter values in any sense. Instead we have chosen to evaluate code performance parametrically as a function of both \( \gamma \) and \( \sigma_x^2 \). In particular, for fixed \( \gamma \) we have equated mean-square values for both the Rayleigh-Rice and the lognormal distributions to obtain an empirical relationship between \( \alpha \) and \( \sigma_x^2 \). Equating mean-square values we obtain

\[ 2 \sigma^2 + \gamma^2 = \exp \left\{ 2 \sigma_x^2 \right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

so that for fixed choice of \( \sigma_x^2 \) and \( \gamma^2 \) we can solve for \( \sigma^2 \) (or equivalently \( \sigma_a^2 \)) with the result

\[ \sigma_a^2 = 2 \sigma^2 = \exp \left\{ 2 \sigma_x^2 \right\} - \gamma^2 \approx (1 - \gamma^2) + 2 \sigma_x^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

where the approximation is justified due to the relatively small values of \( \sigma_x^2 \) as indicated in Table 3-1. It follows that

\[ \alpha^2 = \left( \frac{\gamma}{\sigma_a} \right)^2 = \frac{2 \gamma^2}{(1 - \gamma^2) + 2 \sigma_x^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

which is the desired empirical relationship. For the small values of \( \sigma_x^2 \) considered here the choice \( \gamma = 1 \) appears to provide the best match. For example with \( \sigma_x^2 = 0.056 \) and \( \gamma = 1 \) we have from (3.22) \( \alpha \approx 4.2 \) while \( \alpha \approx 7.5 \) for \( \sigma_x^2 = 0.018 \). In either case, the match between the Rayleigh-Rice and lognormal distributions as illustrated in Figure 3-1 is quite good and, improves with smaller values of \( \sigma_x^2 \).
Figure 3-1
Comparison of Lognormal and Rayleigh-Rice Amplitude Distribution
Indeed, as argued in [28] it is only for small values of $\sigma_\chi^2$ that the lognormal distribution for the scattered signal amplitude can be considered valid.

Although the case $\chi = 1$ appears to provide a best fit to the lognormal distribution it remains of interest to consider performance over a wide range of $\chi$ values. Due primarily to limitations on computer processing time, however, we have been forced to limit our consideration of $\chi$ values. As a result, we have chosen to concentrate on the two cases $\chi = 0$ and $\chi = 1$. This should essentially bound the range of anticipated channel conditions.

In previous work [23], [28] we choose to restrict attention to channel frequency dispersion functions of the form

$$\overline{\phi}(f) = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{2\pi} \frac{B_o}{B_o^2 + f^2}$$

(3.23)

where $B_o$ is the coherence bandwidth of the channel in Hz. As a result the autocorrelation function of the $a(t)$ process in (3.9) is given by

$$R_{aa}(\tau) = E \left\{ a(t + \tau) a^*(t) \right\}$$

$$= \sigma_a^2 e^{-2\pi B_o |\tau|}$$

(3.24)

A relationship between $B_o$ and $B_\chi$ was derived in [28] with the result

$$B_o = \sqrt{2} \sigma_\chi B_\chi$$

(3.25)

With $\sigma_\chi^2$ and $B_\chi$ specified as in Table 3-1 it is a simple matter to tabulate appropriate values of the two important parameters $\sigma_a^2$ and $B_o$. This is provided in Table 3-2.
### Table 3-2

Summary of Fading Channel Model Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(L^*, \text{km})</th>
<th>(\sigma_X^2)</th>
<th>(B_x, \text{Hz})</th>
<th>(B_\sigma = \sqrt{2 \sigma_X B_x}), Hz</th>
<th>(\sigma_a^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\chi = 0)</td>
<td>(\chi = 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>1.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>1.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4x10^{-5}</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*L* is depth of penetration into Venusian atmosphere

Data taken from Table 3-1.

It is to be recalled that in [25] it was assumed for simulation purposes that the process \(a(t)\) varies slowly relative to an elementary signaling interval of \(T_s\) seconds duration so that it can be considered constant over any such interval but allowed to vary from interval-to-interval. It was remarked that this is a realistic assumption for \(B_\sigma T_s \ll 1\). From Table 3-2 it is seen that this restricts us to signaling rates in excess of approximately one channel symbol/second which is felt quite reasonable for planetary entry missions. We will assume that some mechanism is available to allow perfect phase tracking of the signal component of the received signal. Admittedly this is somewhat unrealistic and later in this report we will describe in some detail the effects of imperfect phase tracking. For the time being, however, let us assume that a perfect carrier phase reference is available. The receiver output, after suitable normalization, is then the sequence.
\[ r_i = x_i \sqrt{\frac{2E_S}{N_0}} \left| \Gamma + a_i \right| + n_i \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \] (3.26)

which replaces that given previously in (2.6). Here \( a_i \) represents the value of the \( a(t) \) process throughout the \( i \)th signaling interval and can be described by the first order regression

\[ a_{i+1} = \rho a_i + w_i \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \] (3.27)

The sequence \( \{ w_i \} \) is an i.i.d. sequence of zero mean complex Gaussian random variates such that

\[ E \{ w_i w_i^* \} = 0 \] (3.28a)

and

\[ E \{ w_i w_j^* \} = (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_a^2 \delta_{ij} \] (3.28b)

with \( \delta_{ij} \) the Kronecker delta function, \( \rho = e^{-2\pi B_o T_s} \) and \( T_s \) the duration of an elementary signaling interval. This scheme has been described previously in [25] and need not be repeated. Simulation studies have been performed parametrically as a function of \( B_o T_s \) and allows conclusions to be drawn as a function of signaling rate \( f_s = 1/T_s \).

Finally, it is to be noted that one of the major concerns in the simulation results to be described in the following sections is to establish the efficacy of interleaving in combatting the channel memory represented by the time-correlated fading. For short constraint length codes the quantity of interest is the amount of interleaving required to achieve a given error probability for specified \( E_b/N_0 \) as a function of the parameters describing the channel model, the code and the receiver operation. Of particular importance will be the interleaving requirements as a function of the channel coherence bandwidth \( B_o \) with other parameters held fixed. For longer constraint length codes we are interested in the effectiveness
of interleaving in reducing the computational and/or storage requirements of sequential decoders in the presence of fading. While there are many interleaving techniques possible, we shall employ a particularly simple approach until such time as a superior approach becomes apparent. We shall call this approach block interleaving and is described as follows: The encoded serial bit stream is first blocked into blocks of length \( L \) bits where \( L = \ell n \) for some \( \ell = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( n \) is related to the code rate \( R = 1/n \). An \( I \times L \) block interleaver then transmits each of the \( L \) successive bits in a block separated by \( I - 1 \) bits from \( I - 1 \) other blocks. The storage requirements are then \( N = I \times I \) bits.

### 4.0 Simulation Results for Viterbi Decoding

In this section we will describe some of the simulation results obtained to date for short constraint length convolutional codes in conjunction with Viterbi maximum likelihood decoding. The channel model will be as described in the preceding section. The codes considered in this study are listed in Table 4-1. In each case we utilize the Odenwalder/Larsen codes (cf. [8], [9]) as discussed previously in Section 2.0. The \( K = 3 \) code for both rate 1/2 and 1/3 is included since its performance is relatively easy to simulate. In cases where \( \gamma = 0 \) we have simulated only the case \( \sigma_a^2 = 1 \) since Table 3-2 indicates the actual value will be very close to unity for a wide range of mission parameters. For \( \gamma = 1 \), on the other hand, we have considered only the value \( \sigma_a^2 = 0.1 \) as this appears representative of worst case values appearing in Table 3-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R bits/channel use</th>
<th>Constraint Length K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>3, 7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-1

Short Constraint Length Codes Considered in Simulation Study
Let us first consider the effects of $\sigma_a^2$ and/or $\gamma$ on the bit error probability $P_b$ when there is little or no memory on the channel. This is obtained by setting $B_0 T_s$ equal to some relatively large value, say $B_0 T_s = 10.0$. The resulting performance then represents an ultimate limit for fixed $\gamma$ and $\sigma_a^2$ which can be approached with sufficiently large interleaving. The simulated performance with infinitely fine quantization is illustrated in Figures 4-1 and 4-2 for $\gamma = 0$ and $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$ and in Figures 4-3 and 4-4 for $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$ with selected rate $1/2$ and $1/3$ codes. These results should be compared with Figures 2-5 through 2-8 for performance over the AWGN channel. It should be clear from Figures 4-3 and 4-4 that, for $\gamma = 1.0$ and $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$, performance close to that obtained in the absence of fading can be achieved provided the channel is memoryless. For smaller values of $\sigma_a^2$, the performance approaches the upper bound for the AWGN channel even more closely. The case $\gamma = 1.0$ and $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$ then, according to the discussion of the previous section, provides a useful measure of representative performance when the scale of amplitude fading represented by $\sigma_x^2$ is small. Although large values of $\sigma_x^2$ have not been predicted by propagation studies, the case $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$ nevertheless provides a useful measure of performance for large $\sigma_x^2$ and in this sense provides a worst case performance bound.

In Figures 4-5 through 4-8 we illustrate the expected performance as a function of the dimensionless quantity $B_0 T_s$ when there is no interleaving and infinitely fine receiver quantization is employed. It is apparent that severe degradation in performance results with increasing channel memory represented by small values of $B_0 T_s$. In particular some degree of interleaving must be provided if the signaling rate is such that $B_0 T_s \leq 0.1$. Referring to Table 3-2, for a penetration of 55 km into the Venusian atmosphere this would imply a maximum

*Care must be taken in the interpretation of the large $B_0 T_s$ results. As stated, they provide a useful measure of the ultimate performance which can be approached with sufficiently large interleaving. The actual performance for large $B_0 T_s$ (i.e., fast fading) must take into account the decorrelation loss in the detectors. We have not pursued this question.
Figure 4-1
Simulated Performance of Selected Rate 1/2 Codes with $R = \frac{1}{2}$, $B_0T_s = 10.0$
$\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$

Original page is of poor quality.
Figure 4-2

Simulated Performance of Selected Rate 1/3 Codes with $B_oT_s = 10.0, \gamma = 0$ and $\sigma_d^2 = 1.0$
Figure 4-3
Simulated Performance of Selected Rate 1/2 Codes with $B_o T_s = 10.0$, $\gamma = 1.0$ and $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$
Simulated Performance of Selected Rate 1/3 Codes with $E_o T_s = 10.0$, $\gamma = 1.0$, and $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$.
Figure 4-5
Simulated Performance of K = 7, R = 1/2 Code for Different Values of $B_0T_s$ without Interleaving and with $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma^2_a = 1.0$
Figure 4-6
Simulated Performance of $K = 7, R = \frac{1}{2}$ Code for Different Values of $B_0T_s$ without Interleaving and with $\gamma = 1.0, \sigma_\alpha^2 = 0.1$
Simulated Performance of $K = 6$, $R = \frac{1}{3}$ Code for Different Values of $B_0 T_S$ without Interleaving and with $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$
Simulated Performance of $K = 6$, $R = \frac{1}{3}$ Code for Different Values of $B_o T_s$ without Interleaving and with $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_q^2 = 0.1$
signaling rate of approximately 1 channel symbol per second if interleaving is to be avoided. It should be noted that at higher altitudes $\sigma_a^2$ is smaller and the effects of channel memory are not as severe. This is offset, however, by the corresponding decrease in $B_o$. At any rate, the conclusions to be drawn are that some degree of interleaving is required if reasonable signaling rates are to be achieved.

4.1 Interleaving Considerations

A number of simulations have been performed to establish the efficacy of interleaving in reducing the channel memory and thereby avoiding the severe degradations in error probability performance for small $B_o T_s$ demonstrated in the preceding section. While considerably more work is required in this area, some tentative conclusions can be drawn on the basis of simulation results obtained as part of this study.

An illustration of typical improvement in bit error probability to be realized with the use of a simple block interleaver structure is provided in Figures 4-9 and 4-10 for a $K = 7, R = 1/2$ code with $B_o T_s = 0.001$. In all cases we have employed an $I \times L$ block interleaver as described in Section 3.0 with $L = \ell n$ for some $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $n$ is related to the code rate by $R = 1/n$. We have found it convenient in simulating interleaver performance for convolution codes of different rates to parameterize results in terms of the two quantities $I$ and $\ell$. As a result we have consistently labeled curves in terms of the literal product $I \times \ell$. Note that no interleaving is implied in the case $1 \times \ell$ for any value of $\ell$. It is clear from Figures 4-9 and 4-10 that, at least for this code, an interleaver of size in excess of $30 \times 30$ is required to approach within 1 db of the limiting performance for $B_o T_s$ in the vicinity of 0.001. Similar conclusions can be drawn from Figures 4-11 and 4-12 for a $K = 6, R = 1/3$ code and in Figure 4-13 for a $K = 3, R = 1/2$ code again with $B_o T_s = 0.001$ in each case. For larger values
Effects of Block Interleaving for $K = 7$, $R = \frac{1}{2}$
Code with $P_0 T_s = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_d^2 = 1.0$

Limiting Case of Zero Channel Memory

Figure 4-9
Figure 4-10

Effects of Block Interleaving for $K = 7$, $R = \frac{1}{2}$

Code with $B_0 T_s = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$
Effects of Block Interleaving for $K = 6, R = 1/3$

Code with $B_o T_s = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 0, \sigma_a^2 = 1.0$
Figure 4-12
Effects of Block Interleaving for $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$
Code with $B_0 T_s = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$
Limiting Case of Zero Channel Memory

Figure 4-13

Effects of Block Interleaving for $K = 3, R = 1/2$

Code with $B_0 T_s = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 0, \sigma_a^2 = 1.0$
of $B_T$, of course, the interleaving requirements are less severe. This is illustrated in Figures 4-14 and 4-15 for a $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$ code with $B_T = 0.01$ and $B_T = 0.1$ respectively. The effects of interleaving have shown a surprising insensitivity to both code rate and constraint length depending instead only upon the value of $B_T$. It is somewhat surprising that such small amounts of interleaving can be so effective in combatting the memory effects of the channel. This behavior is easily explained by reference to Figure 4-16 which illustrates in some detail the approach of the bit error probability performance to the limiting case of zero channel memory as a function of $B_T$ for a $K = 3$, $R = 1/2$ code. Observe that for $B_T = 0.001$ initially, a 30 x 30 interleaver results in an "effective" time-bandwidth product of 0.03 which according to Figure 4-16 should provide performance within 1 db of the limiting case. In general an interleaver of size $I \times I$ results in an "effective" time-bandwidth product of $B_T \times I$ since successive symbols are then separated by $I$ channel symbols reducing the memory or equivalently increasing the coherence bandwidth by the factor $I$. This is illustrated in Figure 4-17 for a $K = 7$, $R = 1/2$ code with an initial $B_T = 0.001$. It is demonstrated that the performance obtained with interleaving compares favorably with that obtained with the corresponding "effective" value of $B_T$. As a rule of thumb it would appear that if performance within a few tenths of a db of the limiting performance is to be obtained, the "effective" $B_T$ should be in the vicinity of 0.1. Table 4-2 provides a summary of the interleaving requirements as a function of $B_T$. 
Figure 4-14
Effects of Block Interleaving for $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$
Code with $B_0 T_s = 0.01$ and $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$
Figure 4-15
Effects of Block Interleaving for K=6, R=1/3
Code with $B_0 T_s = 0.1$ and $Y = 0, \sigma_a^2 = 1.0$
Figure 4-16
Approach of Performance with $K = 3, R = \frac{1}{2}$ Code to Limiting Performance with Increasing $B_0 T_s$
Figure 4-17
Comparison of Performance of K=7, R=1/2 Code
for Various Amounts of Interleaving with Corresponding "Effective" $B_0T_s$

$K=7$, $R=\frac{1}{2}$
$\gamma=0$, $\sigma^2=1.0$

- NO INTERLEAVING
- WITH INTERLEAVING ($B_0T_s=0.001$)

Bit Error Probability $P_b$ vs $E_b/N_0$ in $\text{dB}$

$B_0T_s = 0.02$
$B_0T_s = 0.03$
$B_0T_s = 0.06$
$B_0T_s = 10$
($\text{Limiting Case}$)

$20 \times 20$
$30 \times 30$
Finally it is to be noted that in all of the preceding work we have considered only square interleaver structures. It is to be expected that performance should be an insensitive function of the dimension \( l \) and hence economies can be realized by reducing this dimension considerably. Figure 4.18 illustrates typical results for a \( K = 3, R = 1/2 \) code utilizing non-square interleaving. Obviously there is a finite limit to how small \( l \) can be made without seriously degrading performance. This is a subject of continuing study.

### 4.2 Quantization Effects:

In all the simulations described so far infinitely fine quantization was employed. It remains to determine the effects of receiver quantization. The effects of receiver quantization are in clear evidence in Figure 4.19 and Figures 4.20 and 4.21 for a \( K = 6, R = 1/3 \) code and \( B_{0T} = 10.0 \) and \( B_{0T} = 0.01 \) respectively. In each case no interleaving is employed. It appears, as in the case of the AWGN channel, that a degradation of only a few tenths of a db result with \( Q = 8 \) level quantization. We do not expect the previously drawn conclusions on interleaving requirements to be altered if 8 level receiver quantization is employed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( B_{0T} )</th>
<th>Required ( I \times X )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1 x 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10 x 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>100 x 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-2
Summary of Interleaving Requirements as a Function of \( B_{0T} \) to Obtain Performance within a Few Tenths of a db of Limiting Performance
$K = 3, R = \frac{1}{2}$

$\gamma = 0, \sigma_a^2 = 1, B_0T_s = 0.001$

RESULT FROM PREVIOUS SIMULATIONS

$\text{Bit Error Probability } P_b$

$E_b/N_0 \text{ in } \text{db}$

Figure 4-18

Performance of $K = 3, R = 1/2$ Code with Non-Square Interleaver
Effects of Quantization on $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$ Code
with $B_0T_S = 10.0$ and $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 1.0$

Figure 4-19

Effects of Quantization on $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$ Code
with $B_0T_S = 10.0$ and $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma^2 = 1.0$
Figure 4-20

Effects of Quantization on $K=6$, $R=1/3$ Code
with $B_0 T_s = 0.01$ and $\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$

$K=6$, $R=1/3$, $B_0 T_s = 0.01$
$\gamma = 0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 1.0$

NO INTERLEAVING

Bit Error Probability $P_e$

$E_b/N_0$ in db

$Q=2$
$Q=4$
$Q=8$

$Q=\infty$
Figure 4-21
Effects of Quantization on $K = 6$, $R = 1/3$ Code
with $B_0 T_s = 0.01$ and $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$
4.3 Comparison of Results With Lognormal Amplitude Distribution

While there is some theoretical justification of the lognormal amplitude distribution there is also reason to believe that the Rayleigh-Rice amplitude distribution provides a more realistic model of actual fading channel behavior. It is of some interest to compare simulation results obtained under both assumptions. This is illustrated in Figure 4-22 for a $K = 3$, $R = 1/2$ code and $B_0 T_s = 0.001$. For the lognormal distribution we take $\sigma_\chi^2 = 0.056$ and $\sigma_\chi^2 = 0.018$ with $B_\chi$ related to $B_0$ through (3.25). The parameters of the Rayleigh-Rice distribution have been chosen as $\chi = 1.0$ and $\sigma_a^2$ taken from Table 3-2. The simulated bit error probability performance compares favorably with the correspondence improving with smaller values of $\sigma_\chi^2$.

4.4 Imperfect Phase Tracking

The simulation results reported in preceding sections have all assumed perfect phase tracking. As a result, the sequence of matched filter outputs can be described by (3.26) and the sequel. In reality, since the phase is not known at the receiver, quadrature matched filters must be employed. During the $i^{th}$ signaling interval the inphase and quadrature matched filter outputs can be respectively represented as

$$r_{I,i} = x_i \sqrt{\frac{2E}{N_0}} |\Gamma_i + a_i| \cos \theta_i + n_{I,i}; \quad i = 1, 2,... \quad (4.1a)$$

and

$$r_{Q,i} = x_i \sqrt{\frac{2E}{N_0}} |\Gamma_i + a_i| \sin \theta_i + n_{Q,i}; \quad i = 1, 2,... \quad (4.1b)$$

Here $\{n_{I,i}\}$ and $\{n_{Q,i}\}$ are mutually independent i.i.d. zero-mean unit Gaussian sequences and
Comparison of Results for Rayleigh-Rice and Lognormal Distribution with $K = 3$, $R = 1/2$ Code
\[ \theta_i = \arg \left[ \Gamma + a_i \right] \]
\[ = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{\sqrt{2} \sin \psi + a_{Q,i}}{\sqrt{2} \cos \psi + a_{I,i}} \right] ; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \]

represents the value of the true phase process \( \theta(t) \) throughout the \( i \)th signaling interval. The quantities \( a_{I,i} \) and \( a_{Q,i} \) are respectively the inphase and quadrature components of the complex quantity \( a_i \) described previously, i.e.,
\[ a_i = a_{I,i} + j a_{Q,i} ; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \] (4.3)
as in [25]. It proves convenient to represent the quadrature matched filter outputs as
\[ R_i = r_{I,i} + j r_{Q,i} \]
\[ = x_i \sqrt{\frac{2E}{N_0}} \left| \Gamma + a_i \right| e^{j\theta_i} + N_i ; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \] (4.4)
where now
\[ N_i = n_{I,i} + j n_{Q,i} ; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \] (4.5)

We have then made use of a phase estimator originally proposed by Jacobs [4] and easily shown to be related to that described by Arnstein [30]. In particular, we develop the estimate

\[ \hat{\theta}_i = \pm \frac{1}{2} \arg \left\{ \sum_{k=i-N}^{i-1} R_k^2 \right\} ; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \] (4.6)

of the phase \( \theta_i \) during the \( i \)th signaling interval based upon \( N \) past observations of the matched filter output sequence represented by (4.4). The 180° phase ambiguity represented by the + sign in (4.6) can easily be removed by periodic insertion of a known signal sequence and subsequent tracking of the phase during successive signaling intervals. An analysis of the estimation accuracy to be achieved with
this estimator is provided in [31] where it is shown that for large SNR the performance approaches that of a first order phase-locked loop (PLL) with tracking bandwidth \( b_L = 1/NT_s \). The advantage of this approach is that it does not require carrier power. If the phase were truly constant for all time it would make sense to utilize as large a value of \( N \) in (4.6) as possible. The assumption of constant phase over the \( N \) preceding signaling intervals is approximately satisfied if \( N < 1/B_0T_s \) where the dimensionless quantity \( B_0T_s \) has been defined previously. In our simulations we will assume that

\[
N = \frac{\alpha}{B_0T_s}
\]

(4.7)

when \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) is to be specified. The question remains as to how \( \alpha \) is to be chosen as a function of the fading channel parameters. Figure 4-23 illustrates a typical simulation result for a \( K = 3, R = 1/2 \) code with \( \gamma = 0, \sigma_a^2 = 1.0 \) and \( B_0T_s = 0.015 \). With a choice \( \gamma = 0 \), the phase varies so rapidly that nothing is to be gained by employing \( N \) in excess of 3 (\( \alpha = 0.05 \) in this case). In Figure 4-24 we illustrate the performance of the phase tracker for a \( K = 3, R = 1/3 \) code now with \( \gamma = 1.0, \sigma_a^2 = 0.1 \) and \( B_0T_s = 0.001 \). Here the phase varies so slowly that performance within a few tenths of a db of that afforded by perfect phase tracking can be achieved with \( N = 100 \) (\( \alpha = 0.1 \)). Additional results are illustrated in Figures 4-25 and 4-26. It would appear that for \( \gamma = 1.0, \sigma_a^2 = 0.1 \), which we feel are typical channel parameters for a Venusian atmosphere, a value \( \alpha \) in the range \( 0.25 \leq \alpha \leq 0.1 \) should provide performance within a few tenths of a db of that obtainable with perfect phase tracking.

5.0 Simulation Results for Sequential Decoding

In this section we will describe some of the simulation results obtained for both Fano and ZJ decoding of long constraint length convolutional codes. We
\[ K = 3, \quad R = \frac{1}{2} \]
\[ \gamma = 0, \quad \sigma_a^2 = 1.0, \quad B_o T_s = 0.015 \]

**NO INTERLEAVING**

Number of past intervals used for phase estimation

\[ N = \frac{\alpha}{E_o T_s} \]

Perfect phase tracking

- \( N = 3 \) \((\alpha = 0.05)\)
- \( N = 6 \) \((\alpha = 0.1)\)
- \( N = 16 \) \((\alpha = 0.25)\)

**Figure 4-23**

Effects of Imperfect Phase Tracking on \( K = 3, \quad R = 1/2 \)

Code with \( \gamma = 0, \quad \sigma_a^2 = 1.0 \) and \( B_o T_s = 0.015 \)
Effects of Imperfect Phase Tracking on $K = 3$, $R = 1/3$

Code with $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$, and $B_0 T_s = 0.001$
Figure 4-25
Effects of Imperfect Phase Tracking on $K = 7$,
$R = 1/2$ Code with $\gamma = 1.0$,
$\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$ and $B_0 T_s = 0.001$
Effects of Imperfect Phase Tracking on $K = 6$, $R = \frac{1}{3}$ Code with $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_0^2 = 0.1$, and $B_T = 0.01$.

Figure 4-26

$P_b$ vs $\frac{E_b}{N_0}$ for different values of $N$:
- $N=5$ ($\alpha=0.05$)
- $N=9$ ($\alpha=0.09$)
- $N=25$ ($\alpha=0.25$)

No interleaving.
will attempt to parallel the discussion of the Viterbi decoding results presented in the preceding section to the extent possible. It is to be emphasized at the outset that considerably less simulation results have been obtained for sequential decoding vis-à-vis the results for Viterbi decoding. This is due chiefly to the time consuming and expensive nature of the computer processing required in order to obtain statistically significant data. As a consequence, the results and conclusions reported here should be considered tentative based as they are on a limited number of simulation results. It is expected, however, that all substantive issues will be resolved in the course of work presently in progress.

In all simulations of sequential decoder performance we have made use of the Massey quick-look code described previously in Section 2 with constraint length $K = 32$ and rate $R = 1/2$. Each frame or block consisted of 250 information bits to which 31 tail zeros were added. The receiver employed uniform quantization with $Q = 8$ levels. All simulation results are reported on the basis of $10^4$ successive transmissions of the 250 bit message. A computational cutoff of $5 \times 10^4$ computations was imposed for both the Fano and ZJ decoder. The ZJ algorithm employed a decode table consisting of a maximum of $5 \times 10^3$ entries although only a small percentage of this number were ever required. Both the Fano and ZJ decoders employed a bias $\lambda_o = 1/2$ (i.e., equal to the rate) while the Fano decoder employed a threshold spacing $T_o = 4$ bits. We have found it convenient to present simulation results for fixed values of $R/R_o$. Here $R_o$ refers to the computational cutoff rate or critical rate in the absence of fading, i.e., in the AWGN channel as determined by (2.13) and the sequel. It is recognized that the actual critical rate is effectively lowered in the presence of fading although no attempts have been made to explicitly compute this quantity.
as a function of the underlying channel parameters. In what follows then we will continue to use the normalized rate \( R/R_0 \) referred to the critical rate of the original AWGN channel as a convenient parameter in describing the behavior of sequential decoders in the planetary entry channel.

In Figures 5-1 and 5-2 we illustrate the empirically determined computational distribution of the Fano decoder as a function of the dimensionless quantity \( B_0T_s \) for \( R/R_0 = 0.8 \) and 0.9 respectively. Here the channel parameters were chosen as \( \gamma = 1.0 \) and \( \sigma_a^2 = 0.1 \). For the case \( R/R_0 = 0.99 \), the probability of exceeding the imposed computational cutoff of \( 5 \times 10^4 \) computations per block was close to unity even for \( B_0T_s = 10.0 \) so that results are not plotted. Similarly, for the choice of channel parameters \( \gamma = 0.0 \) and \( \sigma_a^2 = 1.0 \) the quit probability \( P_q \) was consistently found to be close to unity for \( R/R_0 \geq 0.8 \). As a result, this worst case has been eliminated from further consideration. On Figures 5-1 and 5-2 we have indicated the performance in AWGN for comparison purposes. The case \( B_0T_s = 10.0 \) represents, for all practical purposes, the limiting case of zero channel memory which can be approached with sufficiently large interleaving. It is clear that even moderate amounts of channel fading can result in severe degradation of the computational performance of the Fano decoder. Furthermore, even if large amounts of interleaving is provided the best that one could expect is to approach the limiting case of zero channel memory represented by the case \( B_0T_s = 10.0 \). For \( R/R_0 \) close to unity, this performance can in turn be orders of magnitude worse than the computational requirements in the AWGN channel. Similar comments can be made for the ZJ decoder on the basis of the simulation results obtained to date.

In the absence of fading, prudent system design would dictate choosing \( R/R_0 \) close to unity. From the limited simulation results reported here it must then be concluded that, in the presence of even moderate amounts of channel
Figure 5-1

Empirical Probability Distribution of Computational Count for Fano Decoder with $\gamma = 1.0$, $\alpha^2 = 0.1$ and $R/R_0 = 0.8$
Figure 5-2

Empirical Probability Distribution of Computational Count for Fano Decoder with $\gamma = 1.0$, $\sigma_a^2 = 0.1$ and $R/R_o = 0.9$
fading, interleaving alone will not prove sufficient to insure computational requirements comparable to that of the AWGN channel. What is required is clearly a combination of interleaving and a simultaneous reduction in the operating $R/R_0$ ratio. While it is felt that the required reduction in $R/R_0$ can be explicitly calculated, no attempt was made to do so as part of this study. It is felt that this is a topic worthy of additional investigation.

5.1 Interleaving Considerations

A limited number of simulations have been performed to investigate the efficacy of simple block interleaving in combatting the channel memory and thereby reducing somewhat the computational requirements of sequential decoders for small values of $B_0T_s$. Typical results for a Fano decoder are illustrated in Figures 5-3 and 5-4 for $R/R_0 = 0.8$ and 0.9 respectively with $B_0T_s = 0.01$ in either case.

Here it would appear that an interleaver of size $50 \times 50$ will suffice to provide performance comparable to the memoryless channel if $R/R_0 = 0.8$ while for $R/R_0 = 0.9$ an interleaver of size $100 \times 100$ is required. Unfortunately time and computer processing costs have precluded obtaining additional simulation results. It is recommended that future investigations concerning the efficacy of interleaving consider the more meaningful range of $R/R_0$ values such that $R/R_0 \leq 0.8$.

6.0 Summary and Conclusions

An attempt has been made to describe an approach to the determination and parameterization of the performance of convolutional codes on fading channels typical of planetary entry missions. For short constraint length codes and Viterbi decoding we have considered the effects of amplitude fading alone under the assumption a perfect carrier phase reference is available and have shown the severe degradation which results unless some form of interleaving is utilized to combat the channel
Figure 5-3

Effects of Block Interleaving on Computational Distribution of Fano Decoder with $\gamma=1.0$, $\sigma^2=0.1$, $B_0T=0.01$ and $R/R_0=0.8$
Figure 5-4

Effects of Block Interleaving on Computational Distribution of Fano Decoder with $\gamma=1.0$, $\sigma_a^2=0.1$, $B_0T_s=0.01$ and $R/R_0=0.9$
memory. The efficacy of simple block interleaving was investigated and shown to be quite effective in reducing the bit error probability \( P_b \). The effects of imperfect carrier phase tracking was then considered and a simple phase estimator proposed which has shown to result in performance comparable to that of perfect phase tracking for reasonable \( E_b/N_0 \) and provided the parameters of the phase tracker were chosen appropriately. Other than some additional work on the effects of phase tracking errors, it is felt that the treatment of short constraint length codes and Viterbi decoding is complete.

For longer constraint length codes and sequential decoding much more work remains. In particular, additional work should be done to establish the efficacy of block interleaving than has been reported here. The penalty in operating \( R/R_0 \) to maintain computational and/or storage requirements comparable to that for the AWGN channel should be investigated in detail. We have not studied the effects of imperfect phase tracking to any great extent and this subject is certainly worthy of detailed investigation. Finally, it appears that with suitable implementation, the ZJ decoder is capable of providing near real-time decoding of long constraint convolutional codes. This could be of considerable utility in relay links where decoding is provided onboard the spacecraft. Much work remains, however, before a complete understanding is available concerning the nature of the tradeoff between the block error probability \( P_E \), the quit error probability \( P_Q \) and the computational and storage requirements. These topics are all subjects of continuing investigation.
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